IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

DWIGHT MICKEL,	:
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE	:
ESTATE OF KIM MICKEL	:
et al.,	:
	:
Plaintiffs,	:
	:
v.	: Case No: CI05-2523
	: Judge Cook
THE TOLEDO HOSPITAL,	:
et al.,	:
	:
Defendants.	:

- - -

Videotape deposition of INGRID

ALCOVER, M.D., a Witness herein, called by the Plaintiff as upon Direct Examination pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before Doris Carino, Court Reporter, Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the offices of Shumaker, Loop & Kendrick, 1000 Jackson Street, Toledo, Ohio on Monday, May 4, 2009, commencing at 1:15 p.m.



405 Madison Avenue, Suite 900 ~ Toledo, OH 43604 PHONE: 419-241-2070 TOLL FREE: 888-419-2070 FAX: 419-241-4718 WEB: www.scagatereporting.com

÷

2	1	ALCOVER, M.D., 05/04/09
INDEX		4
Deposition of INGRID ALCOVER, M.D.:	1 Q	Dr. Alcover, would you kindly state your full name
	2	for the record and spell your last name for the
Page/Line	3	record, give us your current address as well if you
Direct Examination By Mr. Kulwicki	4	would.
Cross Examination By Ms. Baer	5 A	My name I'm Dr. Ingrid Alcover, A-I-c-o-v-e-r.
Redirect Examination By Mr. Kulwicki 27 6	6	And my current business address is 3333 Glendale
Recross Examination By Ms. Baer	7	Avenue, Toledo, Ohio, and that's the VA, VA here in
EXHIBITS	8	Toledo.
	9 Q	Thank you, Doctor. I'm here to ask you some
Page/Line	10	questions about care that you provided to Kim
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1	11	Mickel back in 2005. Hopefully we'll be rather
Defendant's Exhibit A 23 14	12	brief, but if you need to take a break for any
OBJECTIONS	13	reason we can certainly accommodate that. Let me
Entered by Page/Line	14	start by having you at least introduce yourself to
	15	the ladies and gentlemen of the jury by telling
Mr. Kulwicki	16	them your specialty of medicine. What do you do at
Mr. Kulwicki	17	the VA?
Mr. Kulwicki	18 A	I'm internal medicine and critical care medicine
Mr. Kulwicki	19	or intensive care. Presently I am internal
	20	
	21	medicine at the VA and I do primary internal
		medicine, primary care.
	22 Q	Back in 2005 where were you working at?
	23 A	I had my office at 1050 Isaac Streets Drive and
	24	that's in Oregon, Ohio. And I practiced in the
3	25	office but I would admit to various hospitals
1 APPEARANCES:		5
2 On behalf of the Plaintiffs:		around the city.
3 BECKER & MISHKIND CO., LPA	2 Q	Okay. I'm going to hand you, or I've already
David A. Kulwicki 4 1650 West 2nd Street, Suite #660	3	handed you some records that we'll mark as Exhibit
Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (419) 241-2600 5	4	1. Does that appear to be a complete copy of your
On behalf of the Defendants James Young, M.D. and	5	office chart from your Oregon, Ohio, office in 2005
D Emergency Physicians of Northwest Ohio of Toledo, Inc.	6	for Kim Mickel?
4 FF 🐷 .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7	7 A	It appears to be, yes.
7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: 8 John C. Barron	8	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked).
7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: 8 John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street	8 9 Q	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: 8 John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 	8 9 Q 10	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked).
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. 	8 9 Q 10 11	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 	8 9 Q 10 11 12	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of 'OS, March of 'OS, and November of 'OS; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of 'OS, March of 'OS, and November of 'OS; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of 'OS, March of 'OS, and November of 'OS; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: 8 John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. 11 and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey 13 One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct.
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey 13 One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 14 15 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true?
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey 13 One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 14 15 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: 16 MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct.
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Elizabeth E. Baer Four SeaGate, 8th Floor 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care
 7 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: 3 John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street 9 Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 10 0 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. 11 and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: 12 EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey 13 One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 14 15 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: 16 MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: 17 Elizabeth E. Baer 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Elizabeth E. Baer Four SeaGate, 8th Floor 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18 19	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do you recall how she became a patient of yours?
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Elizabeth E. Baer Four SeaGate, 8th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 249-7100 Also present: David Bryan, Videographer 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18 19 20 A	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do you recall how she became a patient of yours? Yes. The husband made an appointment for her to
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Elizabeth E. Baer Four SeaGate, 8th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 249-7100 Also present: David Bryan, Videographer INGRID ALCOVER, M.D., being first duly swore, as bereinafter certified 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18 19 20 A 21	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do you recall how she became a patient of yours? Yes. The husband made an appointment for her to be seen and that's how I first met the two of them.
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Elizabeth E. Baer Four SeaGate, 8th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 249-7100 Also present: David Bryan, Videographer Discover, M.D., being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, testified and said as follows: 	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18 19 20 A 21 22 Q	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do you recall how she became a patient of yours? Yes. The husband made an appointment for her to be seen and that's how I first met the two of them. Do you recall Mr. and Mrs. Mickel?
 SHUMAKER, LOOP & KENDRICK, LLP: John C. Barron 1000 Jackson Street Toledo, Ohio 43624-1515 (419)321-1275 On behalf of the Defendants Stephen Vivian, M.D. and The Toledo Clinic, Inc.,: EASTMAN & SMITH, LTD. Peter R. Casey One SeaGate, 24th Floor Toledo, Ohio 43624 (419) (419)241-6000 On behalf of the Defendants Dennis K. DeLapp, M.D. and West Park Family Physicians, Inc.: MARSHALL & MELHORN, LLC: Four SeaGate, 8th Floor Four SeaGate, 8th Floor Also present: David Bryan, Videographer INGRID ALCOVER, M.D., being first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,	8 9 Q 10 11 12 13 14 A 15 Q 16 A 17 Q 18 19 20 A 21 22 23 A	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 marked). Okay. From looking through that it looks like you saw Mrs. Mickel on three occasions: February of '05, March of '05, and November of '05; and then it also looks like maybe a nurse practitioner or a nurse from your office Correct. saw her in April of '05. Is that true? Correct. Okay. Let me just get some idea about the care that you provided to Mrs. Mickel. First of all, do you recall how she became a patient of yours? Yes. The husband made an appointment for her to be seen and that's how I first met the two of them. Do you recall Mr. and Mrs. Mickel? I do.

÷

					······································
	A	6			
1	A	She was in a cart; and if my memory doesn't fail	1		boluses. As long as the patient didn't aspirate or
2		me, by ambulance, by way of ambulette they would	2		it wasn't too much or it didn't distend the
	~	bring her in.	3		stomach, in which they would regurge, then it was
4	Q	And what was the purpose of the various visits	4		okay. You just usually you don't start quickly
5	•	that he brought her to you for?	5		and fill up the stomach because they could regurge.
6	Α	It was to be established initially, and to follow	6		They have to be watched closely. They have to be
7		up on her care. I don't have to say that she was	7		kept upright and all that. So it's not an easy
8		bedridden. And so I would make sure that she was	8		task. It's easier when you're doing it if the
9		feeling well, that her vital signs were well, that	9		patient is fine. But you have to be mindful of all
10		she had not aspirated or had had any kind of	10		of that. And I know the nursing services were not
11	~	infection going on.	11	-	too pleased with it.
12	Q	And with respect to your encounters with	12	Q	What was the outcome of your meeting with
13		Mrs. Mickel, can you tell us what sort of condition	13		Mr. Mickel over this issue in November of 2005?
14		she was in at the time of the various visits that	14	Α	Well, he said that according to him everything
15		you had in 2005?	15		appeared to be fine from what I remember and there
16	A	Well, she was, like I said, on a cart that she was	16		were no problems, and he was already doing it. And
17		brought in. She was not able to speak to me. She	17		so I told him, well, she's going to need according
18		was making, if I remember well, some sounds but	18		to the number of calories about six cans a day, six
19		nothing that she could carry on a conversation with	19		eight-ounce cans a day. Now, that you don't
20		me. You could tell she had had a stroke. She was	20		that you put in six times a day.
21		again I'm going from memory, please, four years	21	Q	And based on your encounter with him would it be
22		ago. And she was pretty much she wasn't	22		fair to say that you communicated that six cans a
23		vegetative state but she was profoundly	23		day would be appropriate nutrition for Kim?
24	-	neurologically impaired.	24	Α	Uh-huh. Yes. Mind you, we always also rely on
25	Q	Okay. Now, did she come in at any point during	25		the nutritionist and the nursing services that get
		7			9
1		2005 for any particular reason? In other words,	1		a hold of the nutritionist, to see how many
2		was there a particular problem that she presented	2		calories the patient needs because that could
3		with on any of these three or four visits that	3		change. If the person gets an infection, if the
4	A	I don't remember that she had anything. I thought	4		person if anything that should happen that they
5	~	it was just for just a regular visit.	5		get sick or they need more calories or more
7	Q	Would you describe her as well cared for during	0	•	proteins, then that would change.
7		the period of time that you were her physician?	7	Q	Did Mr. Mickel appear to be concerned about his
8	A	From what I remember, yes.	8		wife's welfare during these meetings?
9	Q	Okay.	9	Α	He did. He did. That's what I remember the most
10		MR. KULWICKI: Let's go off the record.	10		how he was always, you know, guarding her and
11		(Off the record).	11	•	concerned about her health.
	~		12	Q	If you would, tell the ladies and gentlemen of the
12	Q	Doctor, with respect to the last visit that you		~	•
13	Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's	13		jury what you recall about that.
13 14	Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed.	13 14	A	jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs
13 14 15	Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for	13 14 15		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care
13 14 15 16		had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.	13 14 15 16		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he
13 14 15 16 17	Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That	13 14 15 16 17		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent
13 14 15 16 17 18		had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that	13 14 15 16 17 18		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19		had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her	13 14 15 16 17 18 19		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to use a syringe and feed her himself.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that he was taking good care of her, that he would bathe
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to use a syringe and feed her himself. Is that an appropriate way to feed a patient who	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that he was taking good care of her, that he would bathe her and feed her and do all her needs.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to use a syringe and feed her himself. Is that an appropriate way to feed a patient who has profound anoxic brain injury?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that he was taking good care of her, that he would bathe her and feed her and do all her needs. And did she appear to be well cared for when you
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to use a syringe and feed her himself. Is that an appropriate way to feed a patient who has profound anoxic brain injury? I've seen people for to make things easier for	 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Q	jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that he was taking good care of her, that he would bathe her and feed her and do all her needs. And did she appear to be well cared for when you saw her?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q	had with Mrs. Mickel November 7 of 2005 there's some discussion about how she was being tube fed. Could you just read the first note in that note for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury. It said called by the nursing services. That means I was called by the nursing services, that the patient was getting tube feeding and her husband didn't want to use the pump, he wanted to use a syringe and feed her himself. Is that an appropriate way to feed a patient who has profound anoxic brain injury?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	A Q A	jury what you recall about that. He would he was always attentive to her needs and he would tell me that he was taking good care of her, that he was always watching her and that he wanted to take care of her. It was very apparent that he wanted to be the caretaker. And as the husband I didn't see anything wrong with that. And that he made it, or else he communicated to me that he was taking good care of her, that he would bathe her and feed her and do all her needs. And did she appear to be well cared for when you saw her? She did. She did. She I didn't see anything

(419) 241-2070

<u> </u>	•	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	101		
		10			12
1	_	wrong there. I period.	1	A	No, I would not. No.
2	Q	Okay. Now, in November after November of '05	2	Q	Okay, all right. At any of the actual office
3		you did not see Kim Mickel again?	3		visits that you had with Kim was there ever a
4	A	No.	4		complete head-to-toe assessment or was it more a
5	Q	And can you tell us when you started your position	5		visit to establish care and then just a couple
6		with the VA?	6	_	follow-up visits for some specific things?
7	Α	I started my position in 2006. After April 2006 I	7	Α	I examined her the first time I saw her pretty
8		don't - I didn't see anybody or else the office	8		much from head-to-toe. It was a little bit
9	~	was closed.	9		difficult on the cart to do a lot of stuff. And
10	Q	Okay.	10		afterwards I would do a general, you know, check
11		MR. KULWICKI: Doctor, that's all the	11		her heart, her lungs, her abdomen and that.
12		questions I have. These other lawyers may	12	-	Complete neurological was not done by me.
13		have some questions for you. Why don't we	13	Q	Because she, as I understand, was getting some
14		go off the record.	14		neurologic services through another physician at
15		MR. BARRON: If we can just take a	15		that time, correct?
16		couple-minute break.	16	Α	Correct, correct.
17		(Recess taken).	17	Q	All right. And as I look at your records, it
18		CROSS EXAMINATION	18		appears as though when you first started seeing Kim
19		MS. BAER:	19		that she was on Coumadin, correct?
20	Q	Hello, Doctor, we met earlier, but I will	20	Α	That is correct.
21		reintroduce myself again. My name is Lisa Baer;	21	Q	And for the jury, Cournadin is a blood thinner?
22		and I am the lawyer that represents Dr. Dennis	22	Α	It's a blood thinner.
23		DeLapp in this matter. I do just have a few	23	Q	And for a patient like Kim why is it what would
24		follow-up questions for you. I want to clarify, is	24		be some of the reasons that a patient like Kim
25		it your testimony that through April of 2006, was	25		would require being on the blood thinner?
		11			13
1		that the end time frame in which you were in the	1	Α	There's several reasons. One of the biggest ones,
2	_	office	2		or the first one that would come to anybody's mind
3	A	That's correct.	3		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first
34	Q	That's correct. on Isaac?	3 4		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she
3	Q A	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct.	3		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of
3 4 5 6	Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you	3 4 5 6		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also
3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA.	3 4 5 6 7		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in	3 4 5 6 7 8		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time	3 4 5 6 7 8 9		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q A	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q A	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q A Q A	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q A Q A	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q A Q A	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q	is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of Sylvania. You never saw Kim Mickel at Arbors, did 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you to assume for a moment that to be true.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of Sylvania. You never saw Kim Mickel at Arbors, did you? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	 is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you to assume for a moment that to be true. Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of Sylvania. You never saw Kim Mickel at Arbors, did you? I did not. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you to assume for a moment that to be true. Okay. Did you at any time give the medical
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q A Q	 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of Sylvania. You never saw Kim Mickel at Arbors, did you? I did not. Okay. So therefore it would be fair that you 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A	 is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you to assume for a moment that to be true. Okay. Did you at any time give the medical recommendation to Mr. Mickel that he take his wife
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		 That's correct. on Isaac? That's correct. All right. And so it was at that point that you began your practice at Glendale with the VA. I actually began earlier than that. I began in December, but I was going to the office part time until I was you know, I was giving it a Transition. Transition, correct. Thank you. Okay, all right. But nonetheless, the last visit that you had with Kim Mickel was November 7th of 2005? You're correct. Okay, thank you. Prior to when Kim Mickel became your patient I will represent to you she was a patient for a number of months at Arbors of Sylvania. You never saw Kim Mickel at Arbors, did you? I did not. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	 is she had a pulmonary emboli when she was first admitted. And because she does not move and she had the first pulmonary emboli the chances of getting another one would be very good. You also do it for other things which she doesn't have like valves and sometimes people when they have a stroke, not like hers, would also have this fear of having another stroke, they would be put on Coumadin. Her case, what I understood was because she had the pulmonary emboli. Okay. Now, we have had the opportunity to take Dwight Mickel's testimony and go through the facts of this case with him and take his sworn testimony. I want you to assume that it is Dwight Mickel's testimony that during the time period that Kim was your patient that Dwight made the decision to take Kim off all of her medications. Okay? I want you to assume for a moment that to be true. Okay. Did you at any time give the medical

<u> </u>	·	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF INGRID ALCOVER, M.D., 05/04/09				
	~	14			16	
1	Q	Okay. Now, I want you to further assume that it	1	•	at the time, correct?	
2		is Mr. Mickel's testimony that he took her off the	2	A	Uh-huh.	
3		Coumadin because there was no follow-up from your	3	Q	And how much was she taking?	
4		office as to how, if at all, he should be adjusting	4	A	She was taking 5 milligrams a day.	
5		the amount that she takes.	5	Q	All right. And then do you have a notation on	
6	A		6		that page as well?	
7	Q	Okay. I want to explore that for just a moment.	7	Α	I did. I wanted at that time to just lower it a	
8	Α	I believe you have a copy of your chart there.	8		little bit to 2. And usually I do that I'm	
9	Q	Correct.	9		always concerned if they would be over coagulated	
10	<u>v</u>	And within that chart are there a number of pages	10		in which they would start bleeding. And so I	
12		in which there were laboratory studies that were	11		wanted her to have an INR, to lower it just 1	
13	Α	done in relation to her Coumadin, correct?	12	~	milligram to see.	
14	Q	Correct, that's correct.	13	Q	All right. And would it have been the routine	
15	<u>u</u>	And for the benefit of the jury you have lab	14		protocol in your office at that time that someone	
16		sheets in which blood is drawn and you check	15		contact Mr. Mickel to notify him of how to adjust	
17		something called a pro time or an INR; is that correct?	16		the Coumadin?	
18	Α	Exactly. That is correct.	17	A	Correct. Correct.	
19	â	•		Q	All right. Do you have any information to suggest	
20	~	And tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what is it those studies will tell you for a patient	19		that that didn't happen in this case?	
21		who's on Cournadin?	20	A	No. I didn't have any information.	
22	Α	When somebody is on Coumadin they have to be	21 22	Q A	All right.	
23	~	therapeutic which is not the normal but it has to	22	A	No, I had a nurse at that time who was really	
24		be higher, it's usually 1 1/2, 2 1/2 times the	23 24	Q	good. My nurses are really good about calling.	
25		normal. So if somebody is 15, they would have to	25	4	And in fact if you'll go back a few pages, for	
		15	25		example there was another blood draw that was done	
1		be minimum of 18, 20 and that would be an INR	1		on April 19th of 2005.	
2		because the INR I'm sorry, the PT. The INR,	2	Α	Uh-huh.	
3		which is about 1, has to be $1 1/2$, $2, 2 1/2$,	3	Q	And at that time what was Kim's INR?	
4		depending on it depends really on what the	4	Ā	April	
5		appending on the appendix really on thruce die	5	Q	-	
6		person has, how resistant they are. We try to keep				
		person has, how resistant they are. We try to keep it within the level. If the person is a high risk		-	I'm sorry, April 19th of 2005. And again it has some bandwritten notations	
7		it within the level. If the person is a high risk	6		some handwritten notations.	
7		it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you	6 7	A	some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had	
7 8 9		it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's	6 7 8		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something	
8	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you	6 7		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if	
8 9	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal.	6 7 8 9 10		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was	
8 9 10	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the	6 7 8 9		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they	
8 9 10 11	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory	6 7 8 9 10 11		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was	
8 9 10 11 12	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where	6 7 8 9 10 11 12		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been talking about?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they know what their dose is. But still they have to be	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been talking about? The INR was 2.4.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they know what their dose is. But still they have to be careful not to eat things that may contain vitamin	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been talking about? The INR was 2.4. And so is that higher than what you would ideally	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they know what their dose is. But still they have to be careful not to eat things that may contain vitamin K that will alter the medication.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been talking about? The INR was 2.4. And so is that higher than what you would ideally like to see it be?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they know what their dose is. But still they have to be careful not to eat things that may contain vitamin K that will alter the medication. All right. And in fact is it on the April 19th	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A	it within the level. If the person is a high risk for other things, we sometimes up it to 3 but, you know, that's not the usual case. Usually it's 1 1/2 to 2 1/2 times the normal. So if we could look for a moment, if you have the chart there, if you would look to the laboratory sheets as just an example there was a study where the blood was collected on February 22nd, 2005. And I will show you it has some handwritten notations on it. This one right here. Uh-huh. All right. So, for example, at that point in time what was Kim's, the INR that you've just been talking about? The INR was 2.4. And so is that higher than what you would ideally like to see it be? No, that is fine.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A	some handwritten notations. It was too low. And so we opted, because it had gone down, and we opted Coumadin is something that's dependent on what you eat many times, if you're consistently taking the medication. 1.2 was totally low. And so sometimes I don't know if they ate something, vitamin K or something, that could have decreased the incidence. And so at that time I said, well, this is too low so we upped it to 4 again. And when you don't know the patient completely, you have to go until you know they're adequate. And then after you have several repeats then the person is easier to control because they know what their dose is. But still they have to be careful not to eat things that may contain vitamin K that will alter the medication. All right. And in fact is it on the April 19th laboratory sheet, does it indicate whether or not	

			1		
		18			20 Four questions for you. First l'd like to so back
1	Α	Correct, and it says there patient notified. And	1		few questions for you. First I'd like to go back
2	Q	a prescription was called in.	3		to your very first contact with Mr. Mickel and his
4	Ч.	Okay. If you will just take a look a moment at the last few blood draws that were done for Kim's	4		wife Kim. As I understand it that involved an office visit at your office over in Oregon on
5			5		
6		PT and INR starting, for example, a date where blood was drawn on July 19th, 2005.	6	Α	February 10 of 2005. That's correct.
7	Α	Uh-huh.	7	Q	
8	Q	Starting at that point in time	8	u.	Okay. Prior to you providing care to Kim Mickel she was receiving care from a Dr. Thomas Asher. I
9	A	Yeah.	9		-
10	â	Starting at that point in time through the last	10	A	don't know if you happen to know Dr. Asher. Yes, I know of him. Yes, I know Dr. Asher.
11	×.	laboratory value that you have on Kim was she ever	11	â	Do you have any understanding either from
12		therapeutic?	12	4	conversations with Dwight Mickel or from anyone
13	Α	No, she wasn't.	13		else as to why Dwight Mickel decided not to have
14	Q	In other words okay. So was her INR at a	14		Dr. Asher continue providing Kim Mickel's care?
15	×	number that you felt was acceptable?	15	Α	I don't and I thought about that.
16	Α	Not at all. Not at all.	16	Q	Do you have any recollection of asking Dwight
17	Q	Okay. Mr. Mickel has also given I want you to	17	<u>v</u> k	Mickel, when this first request was made for you to
18	~	assume has given some testimony in this case that	18		become involved in Kim Mickel's care did you make
19		he interacted with you to try and obtain visiting	19		any inquiry or question him at that time as to why
20		nurses services to care for Kim. You've had the	20		he was leaving, taking his wife away from
21		opportunity to go through your chart. Do you show	21		Dr. Asher's care?
22		any record or indication, Doctor, that Mr. Mickel	22	Α	I know I asked. I don't remember the answer. But
23		made that request of you or asked you to help	23	^	because I thought when she had that care she had
24		obtain that referral?	24		to have had a family doctor to follow her. And
25	Α	I don't remember. I don't remember him telling me	25		that why, you know, obviously you're thinking why
			1		
					21
1		19	1		21 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why
1 2	Q	that.	1 2		is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why
2	Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that	2	Q	is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change.
	Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there		Q	is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told
2 3	Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services?	2 3	_	is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory?
2 3 4		19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was	2 3 4 5	A	is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician.
2 3 4		19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said	2 3 4	_	is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last
2 3 4 5 6		19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So	2 3 4 5 6	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of
2 3 4 5 6 7		19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that	2 3 4 5 6 7	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that — they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q AQA	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q AQA	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that — they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q AQA	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q AQA	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true? Yes. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q AQA	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much. The other lawyers will probably have some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true? Yes. That's correct. So you yourself took the call from the visiting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q A Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much. The other lawyers will probably have some questions for you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true? Yes. That's correct. So you yourself took the call from the visiting nurse
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q A Q	19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much. The other lawyers will probably have some questions for you. CROSS EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true? Yes. That's correct. So you yourself took the call from the visiting nurse No, not necessarily. It was the nurses my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q BY (19 that. Do you show any documentation in your chart that would indicate that he had requested or that there was a request for those services? There was at first that they told me there was a visiting nurse because they're the ones who said on the last visit that he was giving the bolus. So I had to assume, if my memory doesn't fail me, that he was getting visiting nurse at that time. Do you have any recall that it was ever the case that the visiting nurses were no longer coming and that Mr. Mickel wanted help getting their services to start back up again? I don't remember that part. All right, fair enough. I don't remember that. Okay. MS. BAER: Doctor, that's all the questions I have. Thank you very much. The other lawyers will probably have some questions for you. CROSS EXAMINATION MR. BARRON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q A	 is she here. So there are a lot of reasons why patients change. But in terms of what, if anything, Mr. Mickel told you in explanation, you have no memory? No, he didn't speak badly about any physician. Okay. I'd like to now jump ahead to the last visit, the visit of November 7, 2005. A couple of questions about that. First, I'm understanding from the charting that's in your chart for that office visit, again November 7, 2005, that you or a member of your office staff assessed her weight as being in the 150 to 160 pound area. Correct. Okay. Now, when Mr. Kulwicki was asking you some questions about this visit he made reference to the fact that you had been called by the visiting nurses who were taking care providing some home care to Kim; is that true? Yes. That's correct. So you yourself took the call from the visiting nurse No, not necessarily. It was the nurses my nurse who picked up the call.

		22			24
1	Α	Uh-huh.	1		Dr. Camerota that's inappropriate. Taking
2	Q	from the visiting nurse service who was	2		opinions above and beyond what the witness
3		providing some home health care to Kim Mickel as of	3		is here for. This witness is here solely
4		November 7, 2005, true?	4		to talk about her care and treatment of
5	Α	That is correct.	5		the patient. And likewise when we
6	Q	And then pursuant to their duties they talked to	6		attempted to examine Dr. Camerota above
7		you about the results of that phone call, true?	7		and beyond his care and treatment of the
8	Α	That's correct.	8		patient we were denied that opportunity by
9	Q	And as I understand it the visiting nurse service	9		the Court after objections by counsel. So
10		through your staff member communicated to you that	10		we similarly object to using or attempting
11		they were not at all pleased about the fact that	11		to use this witness in that fashion. So
12		Dwight Mickel had decided to stop using the pump to	12		
13		give a continuous supply of nutrition and was going	13	Α	Does that mean I look at it?
14		to what you call a bolus feeding?	14	Q	Yes.
15	Α	That is correct.	15	Α	Okay.
16	Q	Okay. Did your nurse communicate to you anything	16	Q	Doctor, just before I ask a couple of questions
17		about the specifics of what the visiting nurse	17		about those photographs, I want to back up for a
18		service was worried or upset about?	18		moment and go back to a question that Ms. Baer
19	A	If she did, I don't remember.	19		asked you about your first visit, that being the
20	Q	Now, Doctor, I want to hand you some photographs	20		visit of October 10, 2005. To my recollection
21		and there are about 24 in number. They were	21		she'd asked you whether or not you had done a
22		photographs that I'll indicate were taken by the	22		head-to-toe assessment. And I just want to get
23		coroner's office at the time the autopsy was	23		maybe a little bit more specific about that. Is it
24		performed on Ms. Mickel. Specifically the	24		your recollection that on the well, at any time
25		photographs were taken on or about October 26th,	25		that you saw Kim Mickel did you ever do a
		23			25
1	•	2006. October 26th, 2006.	1	-	head-to-toe assessment?
2	A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay.	2	A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I
2 3	A Q	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18,	23	A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her
2 3 4	Q	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006.	2 3 4		head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and
2 3 4 5	Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay.	2 3 4 5	A Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she
2 3 4 5 6	Q	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before	2 3 4 5 6	Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you	2 3 4 5 6 7		head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance?
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the photographs at most can be used. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the photographs at most can be used. So that's number 1. And you're presenting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit. Correct. As I understand it in your last visit of November
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the photographs at most can be used. So that's number 1. And you're presenting all of them. Number 2, I think you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit. Correct. As I understand it in your last visit of November 7, 2005 the estimated weight was about 150 to 160
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the photographs at most can be used. So that's number 1. And you're presenting all of them. Number 2, I think you are trying to convert this witness into an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q	 head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit. Correct. As I understand it in your last visit of November 7, 2005 the estimated weight was about 150 to 160 pounds, true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A	2006. October 26th, 2006. Okay. With Ms. Mickel's date of death being October 18, 2006. Okay. I'm going to show you these photographs but before I ask you any questions I'm just going to give you an opportunity to look through the photographs and then I'll have some questions for you. As I say, there are I think about 24 in length. Okay. And I've had them marked as Defendant's Exhibit A to this deposition. (Defendant's Exhibit A marked). MR. KULWICKI: I'm going to object to the presentation of these photographs on a number of reasons for a number of reasons: 1, the Court, I believe, has issued an order that only a couple of the photographs at most can be used. So that's number 1. And you're presenting all of them. Number 2, I think you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q Q A	head-to-toe assessment? Not completely, because of the difficulty that I had where she was laying. I examined her legs, her arms and things like that, and When you examined her legs and arms was she clothed? Or what was the status of her It was clothed but you can except for the legs and the arms that you can check. So that you would have looked at her back, for instance? I don't remember looking at her back so that's why I don't swear to it and I don't say it. I like to do that but because it's not written I'm not going to say it. Okay, fair enough. Now, circling back to your last visit of November 7, 2005, I would like to now ask a couple of questions regarding your review of those photographs taken on roughly a year after your final visit. Correct. As I understand it in your last visit of November 7, 2005 the estimated weight was about 150 to 160

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF			
		26			28
1		coroner's office will indicate that at the time	1	~	weight from the nurse.
2		those photographs were taken Kim's body weighed 93	2	Q	Last topic, Doctor. You mentioned the use of
3		pounds.	3		Coumadin to treat for a pulmonary embolism. Do you
4	Α	I can believe it.	4		know where you got the information that the patient
5	~	MR. KULWICKI: Objection.	5		had had a pulmonary embolism in the past from?
6	Q	In other words, there's nothing that number	6	Α	From the discharge summary in the emergency room.
7		looks to fit with what you're seeing of the body in	7		It's over here. It was a discharge summary. Let
8		the photographs.	8		me get it here. Okay, maybe not. I show
9	~	MR. KULWICKI: Objection.	9		somewhere. I know she had post anoxic brain
10	Q	Is that true?	10	•	damage. That I do know, and that's a known fact.
11	A	Yes, sir.	11	Q	I may be able to save you. Irrespective of where
12	Q	Okay. Would it be fair to say that the condition	12		you got that information from, would you did you
		that you see reflected in those photographs marked	13		make an independent determination or an independent
14		in Defendant's Exhibit A is a radically different	14		diagnosis that the patient either had or had in the
15		condition of Kim Mickel's body, her situation as it	15		past had a pulmonary embolism? Or did you get that
16		was when you last saw her roughly a year before her	16		information from some other source?
17		death?	17	Α	I relied on the information before me because I
18		MR. KULWICKI: Objection.	18		wasn't there when she had it. So I relied on this
19	Α	Yes.	19		that she was admitted for shortness of breath due
20		MR. BARRON: Those are all the	20	-	to pulmonary emboli.
21		questions I have. Thank you very much.	21	Q	And can you tell us what you're referring to?
22		MR. CASEY: I have no questions on	22	Α	Oh, I'm sorry. The Toledo Hospital Discharge
23 24		behalf of Dr. Vivian.	23	-	Summary.
24		THE WITNESS: Do I give this back to	24	Q	In addition to pulmonary embolism does it list
43		you?	25		other diagnoses from that admission?
		27		•	29
1		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just	1	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia,
2		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions	2	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood —
23		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up.	2	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute
2 3 4		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court	2 3 4	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood — or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped
2 3 4 5		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter.	2 3 4 5	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a
2 3 4 5 6	BV	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the
2 3 4 5 6 7		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY I Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	 MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight it's an exact weight like 210 pounds or 211 pounds.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there amongst others was pulmonary embolism and that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight it's an exact weight like 210 pounds or 211 pounds. This says 150 to 160 or 150 or 160, something it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there amongst others was pulmonary embolism and that's why you treated with the Cournadin. Is that what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight it's an exact weight like 210 pounds or 211 pounds. This says 150 to 160 or 150 or 160, something it gives a range. Can you tell us why that is, why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there amongst others was pulmonary embolism and that's why you treated with the Cournadin. Is that what you were telling us?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A	 MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight it's an exact weight like 210 pounds or 211 pounds. This says 150 to 160 or 150 or 160, something it gives a range. Can you tell us why that is, why there's not an exact weight? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there amongst others was pulmonary embolism and that's why you treated with the Cournadin. Is that what you were telling us? Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q	MR. BARRON: Keep them. We'll just wait. We have perhaps some questions coming from other lawyers in follow-up. Eventually those will go to the court reporter. REDIRECT EXAMINATION MR. KULWICKI: Dr. Alcover, I have a few follow-up questions for you, three different points I want to make. Topic number one, with respect to the nutritional needs of a patient in a permanent vegetative state, you testified earlier that those can change over time. They do. Is one of the things that can make a patient need more nutrition when they get an infection like a pneumonia? You're correct. Okay. Topic number 2, with respect to the weight in November of '05, normally when I see a weight it's an exact weight like 210 pounds or 211 pounds. This says 150 to 160 or 150 or 160, something it gives a range. Can you tell us why that is, why	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	29 It sure does. It says septi coccal septicemia, which is an infection that starts in the blood or that's in the blood, it doesn't start. Acute respiratory failure, which means she stopped breathing in which case that's why she was on a respirator. Septic shock, which means the infection in the body was so severe that she went into shock. And then she had disseminated candidiasis, and that's a fungal infection that more likely than not she got in the hospital. She had a cardiac arrest. And I have to assume she had a cardiac arrest, it has to be from the respiratory problem that she had. Or when you go into septic shock you can't breathe and you have to be intubated. She had the cardiac dysrhythmia, diabetes and hypokalemia, and a And I know there's more there, we have that exhibit; but just for purposes of clarification with regard to one of the diagnoses listed there amongst others was pulmonary embolism and that's why you treated with the Cournadin. Is that what you were telling us? Correct.

—		· VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF IN		ID A	e de la constante de la consta
		30			32
1	D V 1	CROSS EXAMINATION	1		on the occasion that you examined Ms. Mickel's arms
2		MS. BAER:	2		and legs on the occasion of her first visit?
3	Q	Just a couple of other questions briefly, Doctor.	3	A	No. Thatla the aphy time way did as averainstics of
4		Mr. Kulwicki had just asked you whether an	4	Q	That's the only time you did an examination of
5		infection such as a pneumonia could alter a	5	A	
6		patient's need for a change or an increase in their	6	Q	of her limbs, is it?
7		caloric intake.	7	Α	No. I checked her every time she came and I did
8	A	That is correct.	8	~	not see
9	Q	Am I correct that really any type of infection,	9	Q	Always the observable portions of her body
10		whether it be a pneumonia, whether it be a severe	10	A	Correct.
11		decubiti, whether it be from a variety of sources,	11	Q	as opposed to having her disrobe or be
12		that that can alter a patient's need to need a	12	•	disrobed?
13		higher calorie requirement in someone who's in a	13	A	Correct.
14		state such as Kim's?	14	Q	Thank you.
15	~	MR. KULWICKI: Objection.	15		MR. CASEY: No further questions.
16	Q	Is that correct?	16		MR. KULWICKI: I don't have anything
17	Α	That's correct, because they have a higher need	17		else. Doctor, you have a right to review
18		for protein for the tissue breakdown and such. A	18		this transcript and make
19	~	lot of things can make a change.	19		THE WITNESS: No, thank you. I'll
20	Q	Okay. And you went through some of the noted	20		sign today.
21		events on the discharge summary; but certainly	21		MR. KULWICKI: Okay.
22 23		you're not here today to delineate any particular	22 23		(Off the record).
23		opinions as to specifically what transpired or what happened to Kim Mickel during the time she was at	23		MR. KULWICKI: And you can also review
25		the emergency department and later admitted to	24		your videotape, but you can waive that right as well.
		and the energency department and later admitted to	25		33
1		Toledo Hospital, true?	1		THE WITNESS: No thank you, even less.
2	Α	No, I don't have all the records to be able to	2		(Deposition concluded at 1:58 p.m.)
3		make that determination. If I had it in front of	3		(Signature waived.)
4		me	4		
5	Q	Fair enough. Thank you so much, Doctor.	5		
6		MS. BAER: That's all I have.	6		
7		MR. BARRON: Nothing further here,	7		
8		thank you, Doctor.	8		
9		THE WITNESS: Thank you.	9		
10		CROSS EXAMINATION	10		
11	BY I	MR. CASEY:	11		
12	Q	Doctor, in the Mrs. Baer just asked you about	12		
13		decubitus ulcers, bed sores, perhaps causing a need	13		
14		for change in nutrition.	14		
15	Α	That's correct.	15		
16	Q	In the photographs	16		
17		MR. KULWICKI: Objection. Move to	17		
18		strike. Go ahead.	18		
19	Q	In the photographs that you observed at	19		
20		Mr. Barron's request did you observe any bed sores	20		
21		or decubitus ulcers?	21		
22		MR. KULWICKI: Objection. You may	22		
23	_	answer.	23		
24	Α	Yes.	24		
25	Q	Did you observe any bed sores or decubitus ulcers	25		
		SEAGATE REPORT	ING	SEF	RVICE, INC.

	34
1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF OHIO)
) SS.
3	COUNTY OF LUCAS)
4	I, Doris Carino, Court Reporter and Notary
5	Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned
6	and qualified, do hereby certify that INGRID
7	ALCOVER, M.D. was by me first duly sworn; that the
8	testimony then given was by me reduced to stenotype,
9	afterwards transcribed upon a computer; that the
10	foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
11	testimony so given as aforesaid; that this deposition
12	was taken at the time and place in the foregoing
13	caption specified.
14	I do further certify that I am not a relative,
15	employee, or attorney of any of the parties or counsel
16	employed by the parties hereto or financially
17	interested in this action, nor am I, or the court
18	reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a
19	contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
21	hand and affixed my notarial seal of office at Toledo,
22	Ohio, this day of May, 2009.
23	
	DORIS CARINO
24	Notary Public in and for the
	State of Ohio
25	
	My Commission expires August 1, 2011

#	22 [2] - 2:5, 2:16	9	appointment[1] -	11:8, 19:25
	- 22nd [1] - 15:13		5:20	behalf (5) - 3:2, 3:5,
#660 [1] - 3:4	23 [2] - 2:10, 2:13	9[1] - 2:14	appropriate (2) -	3:10, 3:15, 26:23
#000[i]= 3.4	24 [3] - 2:4, 22:21,		7:22, 8:23	benefit [1] - 14:14
I	- 23:10	93 [1] - 26:2	April [7] - 5:15, 10:7,	beyond (2) - 24:2,
	241-2600 [1] - 3:4		- 10:25, 17:1, 17:4,	24:7
	249-7100 [1] - 3:18	A	17:5, 17:22	biggest (1) - 13:1
'05 (6) - 5:11, 5:15,	24th [1] - 3:13	:	Arbors [3] - 11:19,	bit [4] - 12:8, 16:8,
10:2, 27:19	26 [3] - 2:13, 2:14,		11:20, 11:25	19:25, 24:23
10.2, 27.19	2:14	A-I-c-o-v-e-r(1) - 4:5	area [1] - 21:12	bleeding (1) - 16:10
······································	26th [2] - 22:25, 23:1	A [1] -	arms [4] - 25:4, 25:5,	blood [10] - 12:21,
1	27 [1] - 2:5	2:10	25:8, 32:1	12:22, 12:25, 14:15,
	28(D) [1] - 34:19	abdomen [1] - 12:11	arrest [2] - 29:11,	15:13, 16:25, 18:4,
1 [11] - 2:6, 5:4, 5:8,	1	able [3] - 6:17, 28:11,	29:12	18:6, 29:2, 29:3
14:24, 15:3, 15:9,	2nd [1] - 3:4	31:2		
		acceptable (1) -	Asher [4] - 20:8,	body (5) - 26:2, 26:7
16:11, 23:18, 23:21,	3	18:15	20:9, 20:10, 20:14	26:15, 29:7, 32:9
34:25		accommodate[1] -	Asher's (1) - 20:21	bolus (2) - 19:7,
1[1] -	2 m 0.4 45.7	4:13	aspirate (1) - 8:1	22:14
2:9	3 [2] - 2:4, 15:7	according (2) - 8:14,	aspirated [1] - 6:10	boluses [1] - 8:1
1.2[1] - 17:10	30 [2] - 2:6, 2:15		assessed (1) - 21:11	brain [2] - 7:23, 28:9
1/2 [6] - 14:24, 15:3,	31 [3] - 2:6, 2:15,	8:17	assessment(3) -	break (2) - 4:12,
15:9	2:16	action [1] - 34:17	12:4, 24:22, 25:1	10:16
10 [4] - 2:4, 2:6, 20:5,	3333 [1] - 4:6	actual (1) - 12:2	assume (7) - 13:16.	breakdown [1] -
24:20		Acute [1] - 29:3	13:20, 14:1, 18:18,	30:18
1000 [2] - 1:19, 3:8	4	addition [1] - 28:24		
		address [2] - 4:3, 4:6	19:8, 25:25, 29:11	breath (1) - 28:19
1050 [1] - 4:23		adequate (1) - 17:17	ate (1) - 17:12	breathe [1] - 29:14
14[1] - 2:10	4(2) - 1:20, 17:14	adjust[1] - 16:15	attempted (1) - 24:6	breathing (1) - 29:5
15 _[3] - 2:13, 2:15,	419 [3] - 3:4, 3:13,	adjusting[1] - 14:4	attempting[1] -	brief [1] - 4:12
14:25	3:18	ADMINISTRATOR	24:10	briefly (1) - 30:3
150 [4] - 21:12,	419)241-6000 [1] -		attentive [1] - 9:14	bring [2] - 5:25, 6:3
25:22, 27:21	3:13	(1) - 1:4	attomey [1] - 34:15	brought [2] - 6:5,
160 [4] - 21:12,		admission [1] -	August [1] - 34:25	6:17
25:22, 27:21	419)321-1275(1) -	28:25	autopsy (1) - 22:23	Bryan [1] - 3:19
1660[1] - 3:4	3:9	admit [1] - 4:25	Avenue [1] - 4:7	business [1] - 4:6
17 [1] - 2:15	43604(1) - 3:18	admitted [3] - 13:4,	Avenue[i]-4.1	
18 [4] - 2:4, 2:14,	43624(1) - 3:13	28:19, 30:25		BY [6] - 3:25, 10:19,
•• •	43624-1515 [1] - 3:9	affiliated [1] - 34:18	B	19:23, 27:7, 30:2,
15:1, 23:3	44113 [1] - 3:4	affixed [1] - 34:21		- 31:11
19[1] - 2:5		aforesaid[1] - 34:11	badly (1) - 21:5	
19th (4) - 17:1, 17:5,	5	afterwards [2] -	Baer [4] - 3:17,	C
17:22, 18:6		12:10, 34:9	•• ·	
1:15[1] - 1:20			10:21, 24:18, 31:12	
1:58 [1] - 33:2	5 (3) - 2:9, 2:13, 16:4	ago (1) - 6:22	BAER [4] - 10:19,	caloric [1] - 30:7
		ahead (2) - 21:6,	19:18, 30:2, 31:6	calorie [1] - 30:13
2	6	31:18	Baer (1) - 2:6	calories [3] - 8:18,
-	·	al [2] - 1:5, 1:8	Baer (1) - 2:4	9:2, 9:5
	1	Alcover [3] - 4:1, 4:5,	BARRON [5] - 10:15,	Camerota (2) - 24:1,
2 [7] - 14:24, 15:3,	6 [1] - 2:5	27:8	19:23, 26:20, 27:1,	; 24:6
15:9, 16:8, 23:22,		ALCOVER [4] - 1:14,	31:7	candidiasis (1) -
27:18	7	2:2, 3:21, 34:7	Barron [2] - 3:8,	29:9
2.4 [1] - 15:20		alter [3] - 17:21,	19:24	cans [3] - 8:18, 8:19,
20 [1] - 15:1	•	30:5, 30:12		
	7 [6] - 7:13, 21:7,		Barron's (1) - 31:20	8:22
2005 [19] - 4:11,	21:10, 22:4, 25:16,	ambulance (1) - 6:2	Barron(1) -	caption (1) - 34:13
4:22, 5:5, 6:15, 7:1,	25:22	ambulette (1) - 6:2	2:5	cardiac (3) - 29:11,
7:13, 8:13, 11:15,	7th(1) - 11:14	amount[1] - 14:5	based [2] - 8:21,	29:12, 29:15
15:13, 17:1, 17:5,		anoxic [2] - 7:23,	23:24	care [22] - 4:10, 4:18
18:6, 20:5, 21:7,	0	28:9	bathe [1] - 9:21	4:19, 4:21, 5:17, 6:7,
21:10, 22:4, 24:20,	8	answer [2] - 20:22,	became [2] - 5:19,	9:15, 9:17, 9:21, 12:5
25:16, 25:22		31:23	11:17	18:20, 20:7, 20:8,
2006 (6) - 10:7,	8 [1] - 2:9	apparent [1] - 9:17	BECKER [1] - 3:3	20:14, 20:18, 20:21,
10:25, 23:1, 23:4		appear [4] - 5:4, 9:7,	become [1] - 20:18	20:23, 21:17, 21:18,
2009 [2] - 1:20, 34:22	8th (1) - 3:17	9:23, 15:24		22:3, 24:4, 24:7
2003 [2] - 1.20, 34.22 2011 [1] - 34:25		APPEARANCES[1] -	bed (3) - 31:13,	cared [2] - 7:6, 9:23
			31:20, 31:25	
210 [1] - 27:20 211 (1) - 27:20	1	3:1 appeared (1) - 8:15	bedridden [1] - 6:8	careful [1] - 17:20 caretaker [1] - 9:18
			began (4) - 11:7,	

CARINO [1] - 34:23 Carino [2] - 1:17,	12:4 Complete(4) 12:12	critical [1] - 4:18	29:8	employed (1) - 34:16
	Complete(1) - 12:12	Cross [3] - 2:4, 2:5,	distend [1] - 8:2	employee [1] - 34:15
34:4	completely [2] -	2:6	doctor [1] - 20:24	encounter(1) - 8:21
carry (1) - 6:19	17:16, 25:2	CROSS [4] - 10:18,	Doctor [16] - 4:9,	encounters [1] -
cart (3) - 6:1, 6:16,	computer [1] - 34:9	19:22, 30:1, 31:10	7:12, 10:11, 10:20,	6:12
12:9	concerned [3] - 9:7,	current [2] • 4:3, 4:6	18:22, 19:18, 19:24,	end [1] - 11:1
Case [1] - 1:7	9:11, 16:9	D	22:20, 24:16, 28:2,	Entered [1] - 2:12
case(7) - 13:11,	concluded [1] - 33:2	D	29:25, 30:3, 31:5,	establish [1] - 12:5
13:15, 15:8, 16:19,	condition (4) - 6:13,		31:8, 31:12, 32:17	established (1) - 6:6
18:18, 19:10, 29:5	11:24, 26:12, 26:15	damage(1) - 28:10	documentation[1] - 19:2	ESTATE [1] - 1:4
CASEY [3] - 26:22,	consistently [1] -	date (2) - 18:5, 23:3		estimated (2) -
31:11, 32:15	17:10	David [2] - 3:3, 3:19	done (5) - 12:12,	25:22, 27:25
Casey [1] - 3:12	contact [2] - 16:15,	death [2] - 23:3,	14:12, 16:25, 18:4,	et [2] - 1:5, 1:8
Casey (1) -	20:2	26:17	24:21 DOBIS IN 24:22	events [1] - 30:21
2:6	contain (1) - 17:20	December[1] - 11:9	DORIS [1] - 34:23	Eventually [1] - 27:4
causing(1) - 31:13	continue[1] - 20:14	decided [2] - 20:13,	Doris (2) - 1:17, 34:4	exact [2] - 27:20,
certainly [2] - 4:13,	continuous (1) -	22:12	dose 2] - 17:19,	27:23
30:21	22:13	decision (1) - 13:18	17:24	Exactly (1) - 14:18
certified [1] - 3:22	contract [1] - 34:19	decreased [1] -	down(1) - 17:8	examination [1] -
certify [2] - 34:6,	control [1] - 17:18	17:13	Dr [12] - 4:1, 4:5,	32:4
34:14	conversation(1) -	decubiti (1) - 30:11	10:22, 20:8, 20:9,	Examination [7] -
chances (1) - 13:5	6:19	decubitus [3] -	20:10, 20:14, 20:21,	1:15, 2:4, 2:4, 2:5,
change (8) - 9:3, 9:6,	conversations(1) -	31:13, 31:21, 31:25	24:1, 24:6, 26:23,	2:5, 2:6, 2:6
17:24, 21:2, 27:12,	20:12	Defendant's [4] -	27:8	EXAMINATION (6) -
30:6, 30:19, 31:14	convert [1] - 23:23	2:10, 23:12, 23:14,	draw [1] - 16:25	3:24, 10:18, 19:22,
chart [7] - 5:5, 14:8,	Cook [1] - 1:7	26:14	drawn (2) - 14:15,	27:6, 30:1, 31:10
14:10, 15:11, 18:21,	copy [2] - 5:4, 14:8		18:6	examine [1] - 24:6
19:2, 21:9	coroner's [2] - 22:23,	Defendants (4) - 1:9,	draws [1] - 18:4	examined [4] - 12:7,
charting [1] - 21:9	26:1	3:5, 3:10, 3:15	Drive [1] - 4:23	25:3, 25:5, 32:1
check [3] - 12:10,	correct [25] - 11:3,	defined [1] - 34:19	due (1) - 28:19	; example [4] - 15:12,
14:15, 25:8	11:5, 11:12, 11:16,	DeLapp [2] - 3:15,	duly (3) - 3:22, 34:5,	15:17, 16:25, 18:5
checked [1] - 32:7	12:15, 12:16, 12:19,	10:23	34:7	except (1) - 25:7
Cl05-2523 [1] - 1:7	12:20, 14:12, 14:13,	delineate (1) - 30:22	during [5] - 6:25, 7:6,	Exhibit [7] - 2:9,
circling (1) - 25:15	14:17, 14:18, 16:1,	denied [1] - 24:8	9:8, 13:17, 30:24	2:10, 5:3, 5:8, 23:12,
city [1] - 5:1	20:6, 21:19, 22:5,	Dennis [2] - 3:15,	duties (1) - 22:6	23:14, 26:14
Civil (2) - 1:16, 34:19	22:8, 22:15, 27:17,	10:22	DWIGHT[1] - 1:3	exhibit (1) - 29:18
clarification (1) -	30:8, 30:9, 30:16,	department [1] -	Dwight(7) - 13:14,	expert[1] - 23:24
29:18	30:17, 31:15, 34:10	30:25	13:16, 13:18, 20:12,	expires [1] - 34:25
clarify [1] - 10:24	Correct [14] - 5:14,	dependent [1] - 17:9	20:13, 20:16, 22:12	explanation (1) -
Cleveland (1) - 3:4	5:16, 12:16, 14:9,	deposition [4] - 1:13,	dysrhythmia(1) -	21:4
Clinic (1) - 3:11	14:13, 16:17, 18:1,	19:25, 23:13, 34:11	29:15	explore [1] - 14:7
closed [1] - 10:9	21:13, 25:20, 25:24,	Deposition [2] - 2:2,		
closely (1) - 8:6	29:23, 32:10, 32:13	33:2	E	F
clothed [2] - 25:6,	Coumadin (13) -	describe (1) - 7:6		•
25:7	12:19, 12:21, 13:11,	determination [2] -		
CO[1] - 3:3	14:3, 14:12, 14:21,	28:13, 31:3	easier (3) - 7:24, 8:8,	fact [5] - 16:24,
coagulated (1) - 16:9	14:22, 15:25, 16:16,	diabetes [1] - 29:16	17:18	17:22, 21:16, 22:11,
coccal [1] - 29:1	17:8, 17:25, 28:3,	diagnoses [2] -	EASTMAN (1) - 3:12	28:10
collected (1) - 15:13	29:21	28:25, 29:19	easy [1] - 8:7	facts (1) - 13:14
coming [2] - 19:11,	counsel [2] - 24:9,	diagnosis(1) - 28:14	eat [2] - 17:9, 17:20	fail (2) - 6:1, 19:8
?:3	34:15	different (2) - 26:14,	eight [1] - 8:19	failure [1] - 29:4
commencing[1] -	COUNTY [2] - 1:2,	27:9	eight-ounce [1] -	fair (5) - 8:22, 11:23,
:20	34:3	difficult (1) - 12:9	8:19	19:15, 25:15, 26:12
Commission [1] -	couple [7] - 10:16,	difficulty [1] - 25:2	either [2] - 20:11,	Fair (1) - 31:5
4:25	12:5, 21:7, 23:19,	Direct [2] - 1:15, 2:4	28:14	Family [1] - 3:15
commissioned[1] -	24:16, 25:17, 30:3	DIRECT (1) - 3:24	Elizabeth (1) - 3:17	family [1] - 20:24
	couple-minute [1] -	discharge [3] - 28:6,	emboli (4) - 13:3,	fashion [1] - 24:11
34:5 COMMON/m 1:1	10:16	28:7, 30:21	13:5, 13:12, 28:20	fear [1] - 13:9
COMMON(1) - 1:1	court [2] - 27:4,	Discharge [1] -	embolism (5) - 28:3,	February [3] - 5:10,
communicate [1] -	34:17	28:22	28:5, 28:15, 28:24,	15:13, 20:5
22:16	COURT [1] - 1:1	discussion [1] - 7:14	29:20	fed [1] - 7:14
communicated [3] -	Court[4] - 1:17,	disrobe(1) - 32:11	Emergency [1] - 3:6	feed (3) - 7:21, 7:22,
3:22, 9:20, 22:10	23:18, 24:9, 34:4	disrobed [1] - 32:12	emergency [2] -	9:22
compare [1] - 11:24	Court's [1] - 23:25	disseminated [1] -	28:6, 30:25	feeding [2] - 7:19,
complete [2] - 5:4,				

2:14 feit (1) - 18:15	12:4, 12:8, 24:22, 25:1	15:20, 16:11, 17:3, 18:5, 18:14	32:16, 32:21, 32:23 Kulwicki [1] - 2:5	M
few [5] - 10:23,	health [2] - 9:11,	instance [1] - 25:10	Kulwicki [1] -	
6:24, 18:4, 20:1,	22:3	instead [1] - 7:25	2:4	M.D [7] - 1:14, 2:2,
27:8			Kulwicki	3:5, 3:10, 3:15, 3:21,
	heart [1] - 12:11	intake [1] - 30:7		34:7
fill (1) - 8:5	Hello (1) - 10:20	intensive(1) - 4:19		
final (1) - 25:19	help (2) - 18:23,	interacted (1) - 18:19	2:13, 2:14, 2:14, 2:15,	March (1) - 5:11
financially [1] -	19:12	interested [1] - 34:17	2:15, 2:16	mark (1) - 5:3
84:16	hereby [1] - 34:6	internal [3] - 4:18,		marked [2] - 23:12,
fine (3) - 8:9, 8:15,	herein (1) - 1:14	4:19, 4:20	1	26:13
5:23	hereinafter [1] - 3:22	introduce(1) - 4:14		marked) [2] - 5:8,
firm [1] - 34:18	hereto (1) - 34:16	intubated [1] - 29:15		23:14
			lab (1) - 14:14	MARSHALL[1] -
first [15] - 3:22, 5:21,	hereunto [1] - 34:20	involved [2] - 20:3,	laboratory (4) -	3:16
:15, 11:25, 12:7,	Hi (1) - 19:24	20:18	14:11, 15:11, 17:23,	1
2:18, 13:2, 13:3,	high (1) - 15:6	Irrespective [1] -		matter [1] - 10:23
3:5, 19:5, 20:2,	higher(4) - 14:24,	28:11	18:11	mean [1] - 24:13
20:17, 24:19, 32:2,	15:21, 30:13, 30:17	Isaac [2] - 4:23, 11:4	ladles [4] - 4:15,	means [3] - 7:18,
4:7	himself [1] - 7:21	issue [1] - 8:13	7:16, 9:12, 14:19	29:4, 29:6
First [3] - 5:18, 20:1,			Last [1] - 28:2	medical [1] - 13:22
1:8	hold [1] - 9:1	issued [1] - 23:19	last (10) - 4:2, 7:12,	
	home [2] - 21:17,		11:13, 18:4, 18:10,	medication [2] -
fit (1) - 26:7	22:3	J		17:10, 17:21
Floor [2] - 3:13, 3:17	Hopefully [1] - 4:11		<u>19:7, 21:6, 25:16,</u>	medications [2] -
follow (7) - 6:6,	Hospital [2] - 28:22,	1	25:21, 26:16	13:19, 13:24
0:24, 12:6, 14:3,	31:1	Jackson [2] - 1:19,	lawyer(1) - 10:22	medicine (5) - 4:16,
0:24, 27:3, 27:8		3:8	lawyers [3] - 10:12,	4:18, 4:20, 4:21
follow-up [5] - 10:24,	hospital [1] - 29:10	James [1] - 3:5	19:20, 27:3	meeting (1) - 8:12
•	HOSPITAL [1] - 1:8	•••	laying [1] - 25:3	
2:6, 14:3, 27:3, 27:8	hospitals [1] - 4:25	John [2] - 3:8, 19:24		meetings [1] - 9:8
follows [1] - 3:23	husband (3) - 5:20,	Judge(1) - 1:7	least [1] - 4:14	MELHORN [1] - 3:16
foregoing [2] -	7:20, 9:19	July [1] - 18:6	leaving [1] - 20:20	member [2] - 21:11,
4:10, 34:12	hypokalemia [1] -	jump [1] - 21:6	legs (4) - 25:3, 25:5,	22:10
Four [1] - 3:17	1	jury (6) - 4:15, 7:16,	25:7, 32:2	memory [4] - 6:1,
••	29:16	9:13, 12:21, 14:14,	length (1) - 23:10	••••
four [2] - 6:21, 7:3		14:19	less [1] - 33:1	6:21, 19:8, 21:4
frame [1] - 11:1	i I	14.19		mentioned [1] - 28:2
front [1] - 31:3		·	level (1) - 15:6	met (3) - 5:21, 10:20,
full (1) - 4:1		K	likely (1) - 29:10	19:24
fungal (1) - 29:9	idea (1) - 5:17		likewise (1) - 24:5	MICKEL [2] - 1:3, 1:4
	ideally (1) - 15:21		limbs [1] - 32:6	Mickel (30) - 4:11,
G	impaired (1) - 6:24	Keep (1) - 27:1	Lisa (1) - 10:21	5:6, 5:10, 5:18, 5:22,
G	IN [2] - 1:1, 34:20	keep [1] - 15:5	list [1] - 28:24	5:24, 6:13, 7:13, 8:13,
	inappropriate(1) -	KENDRICK[1] - 3:7	listed [1] - 29:19	
		Kendrick [1] - 1:19		9:7, 10:3, 11:14,
MAMAMALUL 40.40	••••		LLC [1] - 3:16	· 11·17 11·20 13·23
	24:1			11:17, 11:20, 13:23,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15,	••••	kept[1] - 8:7	LLP[1] - 3:7	16:15, 18:17, 18:22,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15,	24:1	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim (25] - 4:10, 5:6,		16:15, 18:17, 18:22,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15,	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15	kept[1] - 8:7	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17,	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7,
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17,	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim (25] - 4:10, 5:6,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3,	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given (4) - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given (4) - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale (2) - 4:6,	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] -	kept [1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9,	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's (8) - 13:14,
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] -	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9,	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's (8) - 13:14,
gentlemen (4) - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given (4) - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale (2) - 4:6, 1:7 guarding (1) - 9:10 guesstimate (1) -	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's [8] - 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 20:14,
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] -	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's [0] - 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 20:14, 20:18, 23:3, 26:15, 32:1
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11,	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's [0] - 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 20:14, 20:18, 23:3, 26:15, 32:1 milligram [1] - 16:12
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] -	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent(2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7,	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25, 26:15, 30:24	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7	$\begin{array}{c} 16:15, \ 18:17, \ 18:22, \\ 19:12, \ 20:2, \ 20:7, \\ 20:12, \ 20:13, \ 20:17, \\ 21:3, \ 22:3, \ 22:12, \\ 22:24, \ 24:25, \ 30:24 \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent(2) - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25, 26:15, 30:24 KiM[1] - 1:4 Kim's [5] - 15:18,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11,	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\ Mickel's [8] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\ milligram [1] - 16:12 \\ milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\ mind [1] - 13:2 \\ \label{eq:mind_state}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] -	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25, 26:15, 30:24 KiM[1] - 1:4 Kim's [5] - 15:18, 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\ Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\ milligram [1] - 16:12 \\ milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\ mind [1] - 13:2 \\ Mind [1] - 8:24 \\ \end{tabular}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20,	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent(2) - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9	kept[1] - 8:7 Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6, 8:23, 10:3, 11:14, 11:17, 11:20, 12:3, 12:18, 12:23, 12:24, 13:17, 13:19, 15:25, 18:11, 18:20, 20:3, 20:7, 20:14, 20:18, 21:18, 22:3, 24:25, 26:15, 30:24 KIM [1] - 1:4 Kim's [5] - 15:18, 17:3, 18:4, 26:2, 30:14	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11,	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\ Mickel's [8] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\ milligram [1] - 16:12 \\ milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\ mind [1] - 13:2 \\ \label{eq:mind_state}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [6] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20,	$\begin{array}{c} kept[1] - 8:7\\ Kim (25) - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ KIM [1] - 1:4\\ Kim's [5] - 15:18,\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ kind [1] - 6:10\\ \end{array}$	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\ Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\ milligram [1] - 16:12 \\ milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\ mind [1] - 13:2 \\ Mind [1] - 8:24 \\ \end{tabular}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{kept [1] - 8:7} \\ \mbox{Kim [25] - 4:10, 5:6,} \\ \mbox{8:23, 10:3, 11:14,} \\ \mbox{11:17, 11:20, 12:3,} \\ \mbox{12:18, 12:23, 12:24,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:19, 15:25,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:14, 20:18,} \\ \mbox{21:18, 22:3, 24:25,} \\ \mbox{26:15, 30:24} \\ \mbox{KiM [1] - 1:4} \\ \mbox{Kim's [5] - 15:18,} \\ \mbox{17:3, 18:4, 26:2,} \\ \mbox{30:14} \\ \mbox{kind [1] - 6:10} \\ \mbox{kind [1] - 4:1} \end{array}$	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14 lower [2] - 16:7, 16:11	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligrams [1] - 16:12 \\milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 13:2 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17	$\begin{array}{c} kept[1] - 8:7\\ Kim (25) - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ KIM [1] - 1:4\\ Kim's [5] - 15:18,\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ kind [1] - 6:10\\ \end{array}$	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 Loop [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14 lower [2] - 16:7, 16:11 LPA [1] - 3:3	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 8:24 \\Mind [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\ \\$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] -	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5	$\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{kept[1]-8:7} \\ \mbox{Kim[25]-4:10, 5:6,} \\ \mbox{8:23, 10:3, 11:14,} \\ \mbox{11:17, 11:20, 12:3,} \\ \mbox{12:18, 12:23, 12:24,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:19, 15:25,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:14, 26:2,} \\ \mbox{30:14} \\ \mbox{kind [1] - 6:10} \\ \mbox{kindly [1] - 4:1} \\ \mbox{known [1] - 28:10} \end{array}$	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 LOOP [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14 lower [2] - 16:7, 16:11 LPA [1] - 3:3 LTD [1] - 3:12	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\ \end {timestal} \end {t$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] - 5:14, 17:6	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5 INGRID [4] - 1:13,	$\label{eq:septended} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{kept[1]-8:7} \\ \mbox{Kim[25]-4:10, 5:6,} \\ \mbox{8:23, 10:3, 11:14,} \\ \mbox{11:17, 11:20, 12:3,} \\ \mbox{12:18, 12:23, 12:24,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:19, 15:25,} \\ \mbox{13:17, 13:19, 15:18,} \\ \mbox{17:3, 18:4, 26:2,} \\ \mbox{30:14} \\ \mbox{14:10, 11-6:10,} \\ \mbox{14:10, 11-28:10,} \\ \mbox{14:10, 11-28:10,} \\ \mbox{14:10, 11-3:3,} \\ \mbox{14:10, 13:10, 12:10,} \\ \mbox{14:10, 13:10, 12:10, 12:10,} \\ 14:10, 13:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 12:10, 1$	$\label{eq:lighterministic} \begin{array}{c} \text{LLP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{look} [6] - 12:17, \\ 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, \\ 23:8, 24:13 \\ \text{looked} [1] - 25:9 \\ \text{looking} [2] - 5:9, \\ 25:11 \\ \text{looks} [3] - 5:9, 5:12, \\ 26:7 \\ \text{Loop} [1] - 1:19 \\ \text{LOOP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{low} [3] - 17:7, 17:11, \\ 17:14 \\ \text{lower} [2] - 16:7, \\ 16:11 \\ \text{LPA} [1] - 3:3 \\ \text{LTD} [1] - 3:12 \\ \text{LUCAS} [2] - 1:2, \\ \end{array}$	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 13:2 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\moment [5] - 13:20, \\ \end {tabular}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] - 5:14, 17:6 happen [3] - 9:4,	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5	$\label{eq:sept11} \begin{array}{c} \text{kept11} - 8:7\\ \text{Kim}(25) - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ \text{KiM}(1] - 1:4\\ \text{Kim's (5] - 15:18,}\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ \text{kind (1] - 6:10}\\ \text{kindly (1] - 4:1}\\ \text{known (1] - 28:10}\\ \text{Kulwicki (3] - 3:3,}\\ 21:14, 30:4\\ \end{array}$	LLP [1] - 3:7 look [6] - 12:17, 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, 23:8, 24:13 looked [1] - 25:9 looking [2] - 5:9, 25:11 looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, 26:7 LOOP [1] - 1:19 LOOP [1] - 3:7 low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, 17:14 lower [2] - 16:7, 16:11 LPA [1] - 3:3 LTD [1] - 3:12	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\ \end {timestal} \end {t$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] - 5:14, 17:6 happen [3] - 9:4, 6:19, 20:9	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5 INGRID [4] - 1:13,	$\label{eq:sept11} \begin{array}{c} \text{kept11} - 8:7\\ \text{Kim} [25] - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ \text{KIM} (1] - 1:4\\ \text{Kim's [5] - 15:18,}\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ \text{kind (1] - 6:10}\\ \text{kindly (1] - 4:1}\\ \text{known (1] - 28:10}\\ \text{Kulwicki [3] - 3:3,}\\ 21:14, 30:4\\ \text{KULWICKI [15] - \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:lighterministic} \begin{array}{c} \text{LLP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{look} [6] - 12:17, \\ 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, \\ 23:8, 24:13 \\ \text{looked} [1] - 25:9 \\ \text{looking} [2] - 5:9, \\ 25:11 \\ \text{looks} [3] - 5:9, 5:12, \\ 26:7 \\ \text{Loop} [1] - 1:19 \\ \text{LOOP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{low} [3] - 17:7, 17:11, \\ 17:14 \\ \text{lower} [2] - 16:7, \\ 16:11 \\ \text{LPA} [1] - 3:3 \\ \text{LTD} [1] - 3:12 \\ \text{LUCAS} [2] - 1:2, \\ \end{array}$	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligrams [1] - 16:12 \\milligrams [1] - 16:12 \\milligrams [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 13:2 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\moment [5] - 13:20, \\ \end {tabular}$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] - 5:14, 17:6 happen [3] - 9:4, 6:19, 20:9 happened [1] - 30:24	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5 INGRID [4] - 1:13, 2:2, 3:21, 34:6 injury [1] - 7:23	$\label{eq:sept11} \begin{array}{c} \text{kept11} - 8:7\\ \text{Kim} [25] - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ \text{KIM} (1] - 1:4\\ \text{Kim's [5] - 15:18,}\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ \text{kind (1] - 6:10}\\ \text{kindly (1] - 4:1}\\ \text{known (1] - 28:10}\\ \text{Kulwicki [3] - 3:3,}\\ 21:14, 30:4\\ \text{KULWICKI [15] - 3:25, 7:10, 10:11,}\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:lighterministic} \begin{array}{c} \text{LLP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{look} [6] - 12:17, \\ 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, \\ 23:8, 24:13 \\ \text{looked} [1] - 25:9 \\ \text{looking} [2] - 5:9, \\ 25:11 \\ \text{looks} [3] - 5:9, 5:12, \\ 26:7 \\ \text{Loop} [1] - 1:19 \\ \text{LOOP} [1] - 3:7 \\ \text{low} [3] - 17:7, 17:11, \\ 17:14 \\ \text{lower} [2] - 16:7, \\ 16:11 \\ \text{LPA} [1] - 3:3 \\ \text{LTD} [1] - 3:12 \\ \text{LUCAS} [2] - 1:2, \\ 34:3 \end{array}$	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 8:24 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\moment [5] - 13:20, \\14:7, 15:10, 18:3, \\24:18 \\$
$H = \frac{16}{12} + \frac{14}{12} + $	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent [2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5 INGRID [4] - 1:13, 2:2, 3:21, 34:6 InJury [1] - 7:23 inquiry [1] - 20:19	$\label{eq:sept11} \begin{array}{c} \text{kept11} - 8:7\\ \text{Kim} [25] - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ \text{KIM} (1] - 1:4\\ \text{Kim's [5] - 15:18,}\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ \text{kind (1] - 6:10}\\ \text{kindly (1] - 4:1}\\ \text{known (1] - 28:10}\\ \text{Kulwicki [3] - 3:3,}\\ 21:14, 30:4\\ \text{KULWICKI [15] - \\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:lighterministic} LLP [1] - 3:7 \\ look [6] - 12:17, \\ 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, \\ 23:8, 24:13 \\ looked [1] - 25:9 \\ looking [2] - 5:9, \\ 25:11 \\ looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, \\ 26:7 \\ Loop [1] - 1:19 \\ LOOP [1] - 3:7 \\ low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, \\ 17:14 \\ lower [2] - 16:7, \\ 16:11 \\ LPA [1] - 3:3 \\ LTD [1] - 3:12 \\ LUCAS [2] - 1:2, \\ 34:3 \\ \end {array} \end {$	$16:15, 18:17, 18:22, \\19:12, 20:2, 20:7, \\20:12, 20:13, 20:17, \\21:3, 22:3, 22:12, \\22:24, 24:25, 30:24 \\Mickel's [0] - 13:14, \\13:16, 14:2, 20:14, \\20:18, 23:3, 26:15, \\32:1 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:12 \\milligram [1] - 16:4 \\mind [1] - 8:24 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\Mind [1] - 8:24 \\mindful [1] - 8:9 \\minlmum [1] - 15:1 \\minute [1] - 10:16 \\MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 \\moment [5] - 13:20, \\14:7, 15:10, 18:3, \\24:18 \\Monday [1] - 1:20 \\$
gentlemen [4] - 4:15, :16, 9:12, 14:19 given [4] - 18:17, 8:18, 34:8, 34:11 Glendale [2] - 4:6, 1:7 guarding [1] - 9:10 guesstimate [1] - 7:25 H hand [3] - 5:2, 22:20, 4:21 handed [1] - 5:3 handwritten [2] - 5:14, 17:6 happen [3] - 9:4, 6:19, 20:9 happened [1] - 30:24	24:1 Inc [3] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:15 incidence [1] - 17:13 increase [1] - 30:6 independent[2] - 28:13 indicate [4] - 17:23, 19:3, 22:22, 26:1 indication [1] - 18:22 - infection [8] - 6:11, 9:3, 27:15, 29:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:5, 30:9 information [7] - 15:25, 16:18, 16:20, 28:4, 28:12, 28:16, 28:17 Ingrid [1] - 4:5 INGRID [4] - 1:13, 2:2, 3:21, 34:6 injury [1] - 7:23	$\label{eq:sept11} \begin{array}{c} \text{kept11} - 8:7\\ \text{Kim} [25] - 4:10, 5:6,\\ 8:23, 10:3, 11:14,\\ 11:17, 11:20, 12:3,\\ 12:18, 12:23, 12:24,\\ 13:17, 13:19, 15:25,\\ 18:11, 18:20, 20:3,\\ 20:7, 20:14, 20:18,\\ 21:18, 22:3, 24:25,\\ 26:15, 30:24\\ \text{KIM} (1] - 1:4\\ \text{Kim's [5] - 15:18,}\\ 17:3, 18:4, 26:2,\\ 30:14\\ \text{kind (1] - 6:10}\\ \text{kindly (1] - 4:1}\\ \text{known (1] - 28:10}\\ \text{Kulwicki [3] - 3:3,}\\ 21:14, 30:4\\ \text{KULWICKI [15] - 3:25, 7:10, 10:11,}\\ \end{array}$	$\label{eq:lighterministic} LLP [1] - 3:7 \\ look [6] - 12:17, \\ 15:10, 15:11, 18:3, \\ 23:8, 24:13 \\ looked [1] - 25:9 \\ looking [2] - 5:9, \\ 25:11 \\ looks [3] - 5:9, 5:12, \\ 26:7 \\ Loop [1] - 1:19 \\ LOOP [1] - 3:7 \\ low [3] - 17:7, 17:11, \\ 17:14 \\ lower [2] - 16:7, \\ 16:11 \\ LPA [1] - 3:3 \\ LTD [1] - 3:12 \\ LUCAS [2] - 1:2, \\ 34:3 \\ \end {array} \end {$	16:15, 18:17, 18:22, 19:12, 20:2, 20:7, 20:12, 20:13, 20:17, 21:3, 22:3, 22:12, 22:24, 24:25, 30:24 Mickel's [0] - 13:14, 13:16, 14:2, 20:14, 20:18, 23:3, 26:15, 32:1 milligrams [1] - 16:12 milligrams [1] - 16:12 milligrams [1] - 16:12 milligrams [1] - 16:4 mind [1] - 8:24 mind [1] - 8:24 mindful [1] - 8:9 minlmum [1] - 15:1 minute [1] - 10:16 MISHKIND [1] - 3:3 moment [5] - 13:20, 14:7, 15:10, 18:3, 24:18

move[1] - 13:4 Move[1] - 31:17	19:9, 21:21, 21:23, 22:2, 22:9, 22:16,	Oregon [3] - 4:24, 5:5, 20:4	PLEAS [1] - 1:1 pleased [2] - 8:11,	Q
MR [23] - 3:25, 7:10,	22:17, 28:1	ounce[1] - 8:19	22:11	1
10:11, 10:15, 19:23,	nurses [5] - 16:23,	outcome (1) - 8:12	pneumonia (3) -	qualified (1) - 34:6
23:15, 26:5, 26:9,	18:20, 19:11, 21:17,		27:16, 30:5, 30:10	questions (19) -
26:18, 26:20, 26:22,	21:22	P	point [5] - 6:25, 11:6,	4:10, 10:12, 10:13,
27:1, 27:7, 29:24,	nursing (4) - 7:17,	F		10:24, 19:19, 19:21,
30:15, 31:7, 31:11,	7:18, 8:10, 8:25		- 15:17, 18:8, 18:10	20:1, 21:8, 21:15,
		p.m [2] - 1:20, 33:2	points [1] - 27:9	23:7, 23:9, 24:16,
31:17, 31:22, 32:15,	nutrition (4) - 8:23,	page [1] - 16:6	portions [1] - 32:9	
32:16, 32:21, 32:23	22:13, 27:15, 31:14	Page/Line [3] - 2:3,	position [2] - 10:5,	25:17, 26:21, 26:22,
MS [4] - 10:19,	nutritional (1) -	2:8, 2:12	10:7	27:2, 27:8, 30:3,
19:18, 30:2, 31:6	27:10		post [1] - 28:9	32:15
	nutritionist [2] -	pages [2] - 14:10,	pound (1) - 21:12	quickly (1) - 8:4
Ν	8:25, 9:1	16:24	pounds (4) - 25:23,	
		Park [1] - 3:15	26:3, 27:20	R
	· O	part [2] - 11:9, 19:14	practice(1) - 11:7	
name [5] - 4:1, 4:2,	: -	particular [3] - 7:1,	practiced (1) - 4:24	
4:5, 10:21, 19:24		7:2, 30:22	practitioner[1] -	radically (1) - 26:14
necessarily [1] -	object [2] - 23:15,	parties [2] - 34:15,		range [1] - 27:22
21:22	24:10	34:16	5:12	rather [1] - 4:11
need (9) - 4:12, 8:17,	Objection [6] - 26:5,	past [2] - 28:5, 28:15	prescription(1) -	read (1) - 7:15
9:5, 27:14, 30:6,	26:9, 26:18, 30:15,	patient(21) - 5:19,	18:2	really (4) - 15:4,
30:12, 30:17, 31:13	31:17, 31:22	7:19, 7:22, 8:1, 8:9,	present [1] - 3:19	16:22, 16:23, 30:9
needs (4) - 9:2, 9:14,	objections [1] - 24:9		presentation (1) -	reason [2] - 4:13, 7:1
9:22, 27:10	observable[1] - 32:9	9:2, 11:18, 11:19,	23:16	reasons (5) - 12:24,
neurologic [1] -		12:23, 12:24, 13:18,	presented [1] - 7:2	13:1, 21:1, 23:17,
12:14	observe [2] - 31:20,	14:20, 17:15, 17:24,	presenting [1] -	1
	31:25	18:1, 24:5, 24:8,	23:21	23:18
neurological [1] -	observed [1] - 31:19	27:11, 27:14, 28:4,	Presently [1] - 4:19	received [1] - 21:24
12:12	obtain (2) - 18:19,	28:14		receiving (1) - 20:8
neurologically (1) -	18:24	patient's [2] - 30:6,	pretty [2] - 6:22, 12:7	Recess [1] - 10:17
6:24	obviously [1] - 20:25	30:12	previous (1) - 23:25	recollection [3] -
never(1) - 11:20	occasion [2] - 32:1,	patients (1) - 21:2	primary [2] - 4:20,	20:16, 24:20, 24:24
nonetheless [1] -	32:2	people [2] - 7:24,	4:21	recommendation [1]
11:13	occasions [1] - 5:10	13:8	pro (1) - 14:16	- 13:23
normal [3] - 14:23,	October [4] - 22:25,	performed [1] -	problem [2] - 7:2,	record [5] - 4:2, 4:3,
14:25, 15:9	23:1, 23:3, 24:20	22:24	29:13	7:10, 10:14, 18:22
normally [1] - 27:19	OF [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4,	1	problems [1] - 8:16	record) [2] - 7:11,
Northwest [1] - 3:6		perhaps [2] - 27:2,	Procedure (1) - 1:16	32:22
	1:4, 34:2, 34:3	31:13	profound [1] - 7:23	
notarial (1) - 34:21	office [19] - 4:23,	period [3] - 7:7, 10:1,	profoundly (1) - 6:23	records (4) - 5:3,
Notary [3] - 1:17,	4:25, 5:5, 5:13, 5:24,	13:17	protein [1] - 30:18	12:17, 25:25, 31:2
34:4, 34:24	10:8, 11:2, 11:9, 12:2,	permanent(1) -	-	Recross (1) - 2:6
notation [1] - 16:5	14:4, 16:14, 20:4,	27:11	proteins [1] - 9:6	REDIRECT [1] - 27:6
notations [2] - 15:15,	21:10, 21:11, 22:23,	person (5) - 9:3, 9:4,	protocol (1) - 16:14	Redirect [1] - 2:5
17:6	26:1, 34:21	15:5, 15:6, 17:18	provided [2] - 4:10,	reduced [1] - 34:8
note [2] - 7:15	offices [1] - 1:18	Peter (1) - 3:12	5:18	reference [1] - 21:15
noted (1) - 30:20	OHIO [2] - 1:2, 34:2	phone [1] - 22:7	providing (4) - 20:7,	referral (1) - 18:24
nothing [2] - 6:19,	Ohio (14) - 1:16,	photographs(14) -	20:14, 21:17, 22:3	referring [1] - 28:21
26:6	1:18, 1:19, 3:4, 3:6,		PT [2] - 15:2, 18:5	reflected [1] - 26:13
		22:20, 22:22, 22:25,	Public [3] - 1:17,	
Nothing [1] - 31:7	3:9, 3:13, 3:18, 4:7,	23:6, 23:8, 23:16,	34:5, 34:24	regard (1) - 29:19
notified [2] - 17:24,	4:24, 5:5, 34:5, 34:22,	23:20, 24:17, 25:18,	pulmonary [9] -	regarding (1) - 25:17
18:1	34:24	26:2, 26:8, 26:13,		regular (1) - 7:5
notify [1] - 16:15	one [7] - 13:2, 13:6,	31:16, 31:19	13:3, 13:5, 13:12,	regurge (2) - 8:3, 8:5
November [12] -	15:16, 21:24, 27:10,	physically [1] - 5:25	28:3, 28:5, 28:15,	reintroduce[1] -
5:11, 7:13, 8:13, 10:2,	27:14, 29:19	physician [3] - 7:7,	28:20, 28:24, 29:20	10:21
11:14, 21:7, 21:10,	One [2] - 3:13, 13:1	12:14, 21:5	pump [3] - 7:20,	relation [1] - 14:12
22:4, 25:16, 25:21,	ones [2] - 13:1, 19:6	Physicians [2] - 3:6,	7:25, 22:12	relative [1] - 34:14
27:19	opinions [2] - 24:2,	3:15	purpose [1] - 6:4	relied [2] - 28:17,
number [11] - 8:18,	30:23		purposes (1) - 29:18	
• • •		picked (1) - 21:23	pursuant [2] - 1:15,	28:18
11:19, 14:10, 18:15,	opportunity (4) -	place [1] - 34:12	22:6	rely (1) - 8:24
22:21, 23:17, 23:21,	13:13, 18:21, 23:8,	Plaintiff(1) - 1:15	put (3) - 8:20, 13:10,	remember (12) -
26:6, 27:10, 27:18	24:8	Plaintiff's [2] - 2:9,		6:18, 7:4, 7:8, 8:15,
Number [1] - 23:22	opposed [1] - 32:11	5:8	27:24	9:9, 18:25, 19:14,
	1	Distriction 4.0	1	19:16, 20:22, 22:19,
nurse (12) - 5:12,	opted [2] - 17:7, 17:8	Plaintiffs [2] - 1:6,		13.10, 20.22, 22.13,

repeats (1) - 17:17 reporter (1) - 27:5	30:10 sheet(1) - 17:23	Stephen [1] - 3:10 still [1] - 17:19	¹ 31:1, 34:21 took [2] - 14:2, 21:20	¹ 21:15, 24:19, 24:20, 25:16, 25:19, 25:21,
Reporter [2] - 1:17,	sheets (2) - 14:15,	stomach [2] - 8:3,	Topic [2] - 27:9,	32:2
34:4	15:12	8:5	27:18	visiting [9] - 18:19,
reporting (1) - 34:18	shock [3] - 29:6,	stop [1] - 22:12	topic [1] - 28:2	19:6, 19:9, 19:11,
represent[1] - 11:18	29:8, 29:14	stopped [1] - 29:4	totally (1) - 17:11	21:16, 21:20, 22:2,
represents [1] -	shortness (1) - 28:19		transcribed (1) -	22:9, 22:17
10:22		Street [3] - 1:19, 3:4,		
	show (5) - 15:14,	3:8	34:9	visits (5) - 6:4, 6:14,
request [4] - 18:23,	18:21, 19:2, 23:6,	Streets [1] - 4:23	transcript [2] -	7:3, 12:3, 12:6
19:4, 20:17, 31:20	28:8	strike (1) - 31:18	32:18, 34:10	vital [1] - 6:9
requested [1] - 19:3	Shumaker(1) - 1:18	stroke [3] - 6:20,	Transition [2] -	vitamin [2] - 17:12,
require (1) - 12:25	SHUMAKER [1] - 3:7	13:9, 13:10	11:11, 11:12	17:20
requirement [1] -	sick [1] - 9:5	studies [2] - 14:11,	transpired [1] -	Vivian [2] - 3:10,
30:13	sign [1] - 32:20	14:20	30:23	26:23
resistant (1) - 15:5	Signature (1) - 33:3	study (1) - 15:12	treat (1) - 28:3	
respect [5] - 6:12,	signs [1] - 6:9	stuff (1) - 12:9	treated [1] - 29:21	W
7:12, 23:25, 27:10,	similarly [1] - 24:10	suggest [1] - 16:18	treatment (2) - 24:4,	
27:18	situation [1] - 26:15	Suite [1] - 3:4	24:7	
respirator(1) - 29:6	six [4] - 8:18, 8:20,	summary [3] - 28:6,	true (9) - 5:15, 13:20,	wait [1] - 27:2
respiratory [2] -	8:22	28:7, 30:21	21:18, 22:4, 22:7,	waive [1] - 32:24
29:4, 29:13	SMITH [1] - 3:12	Summary (1) - 28:23	25:23, 26:10, 31:1,	waived [1] - 33:3
results [1] - 22:7	solely [1] - 24:3	supply [1] - 22:13	34:10	watched [1] - 8:6
review [3] - 25:17,	someone [2] - 16:14.		1	watching(1) - 9:16
32:17, 32:23	••	swear(1) - 25:12	try [2] - 15:5, 18:19	weighed [1] - 26:2
	30:13	sworn [3] - 3:22,	trying(1) - 23:23	weight (7) - 21:11,
risk [1] - 15:6	sometimes (3) -	13:15, 34:7	tube [2] - 7:14, 7:19	25:22, 27:18, 27:19,
room [1] - 28:6	13:8, 15:7, 17:11	Sylvania [1] - 11:20	two [1] - 5:21	27:20, 27:23, 28:1
roughly [2] - 25:18,	somewhere [1] -	syringe (1) - 7:21	type(1) - 30:9	welfare [1] - 9:8
26:16	28: 9			West [2] - 3:4, 3:15
routine(1) - 16:13	sores (3) - 31:13,	T	U	•••
Rule [1] - 34:19	31:20, 31:25	<u> </u>		WHEREOF [1] -
Rules (1) - 1:16	sorry [3] - 15:2, 17:5,		!	34:20
rulings (1) - 23:25	28:22	taken) (1) - 10:17	ulcers (3) - 31:13,	wife [3] - 13:23, 20:3,
	sort (1) - 6:13	task [1] - 8:8	31:21, 31:25	20:20
S	sounds [1] - 6:18	telephone (1) - 21:24	under (1) - 34:18	wife's [1] - 9:8
	source [1] - 28:16	terms (1) - 21:3	understood [1] -	witness [5] - 23:23,
	sources[1] - 30:11	testified [2] - 3:23,	13:11	23:24, 24:2, 24:3,
save [1] - 28:11	specialty (1) - 4:16	27:12	up [11] - 6:7, 8:5,	24:11
saw (8) - 5:10, 5:15,	specific (2) - 12:6,	testimony (8) -	10:24, 12:6, 14:3,	WITNESS [5] -
9:24, 11:20, 11:25,	24:23	10:25, 13:14, 13:15,	15:7, 19:13, 21:23,	26:24, 31:9, 32:19,
12:7, 24:25, 26:16		13:17, 14:2, 18:18,	24:17, 27:3, 27:8	33:1, 34:20
scale [1] - 27:24	Specifically [1] -	34:8, 34:11	upped (1) - 17:14	Witness (1) - 1:14
SeaGate (2) - 3:13,	22:24	THE (7) - 1:1, 1:4,	upright [1] - 8:7	words [3] - 7:1,
3:17	specifically [1] -		upset (1) - 22:18	18:14, 26:6
	30:23	1:8, 26:24, 31:9,		worried [1] - 22:18
seal [1] - 34:21	specifics (1) - 22:17	32:19, 33:1	usual [1] - 15:8	
see [10] - 9:1, 9:19,	specified (1) - 34:13	themselves(1) -		written (1) - 25:13
9:25, 10:3, 10:8,	spell (1) - 4:2	7:25	V	·
15:22, 16:12, 26:13,	SS [1] - 34:2	therapeutic [2] -		- Y
27:19, 32:8	staff [3] - 21:11,	14:23, 18:12	VA [6] - 4:7, 4:17,	
seeing [2] - 12:18,	21:24, 22:10	therefore (1) - 11:23		year [2] - 25:18,
26:7	start [5] - 4:14, 8:4,	thinking [1] - 20:25	4:20, 10:6, 11:7	• • • •
septi (1) - 29:1		thinner [3] - 12:21,	value(1) - 18:11	26:16
Septic [1] - 29:6	16:10, 19:13, 29:3	12:22, 12:25	valves [1] - 13:8	years (1) - 6:21
septic (1) - 29:14	started [3] - 10:5,	Thomas [1] - 20:8	variety [1] - 30:11	Young[1] - 3:5
septicemia [1] - 29:1	10:7, 12:18	three [3] - 5:10, 7:3,	various [3] - 4:25,	yourself [2] - 4:14,
service [3] - 22:2,	starting [1] - 18:5	27:9	6:4, 6:14	21:20
22:9, 22:18	Starting [2] - 18:8,	tissue(1) - 30:18	vegetative (2) - 6:23,	
	18:10		27:11	
Services [8] - 7:17,	starts (1) - 29:2	today [2] - 30:22,	versus [1] - 11:25	
7:18, 8:10, 8:25,	STATE [1] - 34:2	32:20	Videographer [1] -	
12:14, 18:20, 19:4,	state [4] - 4:1, 6:23,	toe [4] - 12:4, 12:8,	i 3:19	
19:12	27:11, 30:14	24:22, 25:1	videotape[1] - 32:24	
set(1) - 34:20	State [3] - 1:18, 34:5,	TOLEDO [1] - 1:8	• • •	
several (2) - 13:1,	34:24	Toledo [11] - 1:19,	Videotape(1) - 1:13	
			visit [16] - 7:5, 7:12,	
	1	3:6, 3:9, 3:11, 3:13,	• •	1
17:17 severe [2] - 29:7,	status [1] - 25:6 stenotype (1) - 34:8	3:6, 3:9, 3:11, 3:13, 3:18, 4:7, 4:8, 28:22,	11:13, 12:5, 19:7, 20:4, 21:7, 21:10,	