	1
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO
3	KAREN WILSON,
4	Plaintiff,
5	-vs- <u>JUDGE MURPHY</u> CASE NO. CV-2002-06-3340
6	YOUN PARK, M.D., et al.,
7	Defendants.
8	
9	Deposition of <u>DAVID W. STEPNICK, M.D.</u> , taken
10	as if upon cross-examination before Tami A.
11	Mitchell, a Registered Professional Reporter and
12	Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio,
13	at University Hospitals of Cleveland, 11100
14	Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, at 3:08 p.m. on
15	Friday, August 22, 2003, pursuant to notice
16	and/or stipulations of counsel, on behalf of the
17	Plaintiffs in this cause.
18	
19	MEHLER & HAGESTROM Court Reporters
20	
21	1750 Midland Building 1015 Key Building
22	Cleveland, Ohio 44115 Akron, Ohio 44308 216.621.4984 330.535.7300
23	FAX 621.0050FAX 535.0050800.822.0650800.562.7100
24	
25	

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Donna Taylor-Kolis, Esq.	
3	Friedman, Domiano & Smith 600 Standard Building	
4	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 621-0070,	
5	On behalf of the Plaintiffs;	
6	Stephen Griffin, Esq.	
7	Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs 4518 Fulton Drive, N.S. Capton Obio 44735	
8	Canton, Ohio 44735 (330) 492-8717,	
9	On behalf of the Defendants Youn Park, M.D. and	
10	Youn Park, M.D., Inc.;	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	3
1	WITNESS INDEX
2	PAGE
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION DAVID W. STEPNICK, M.D.
4	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS 4
5	E X H I B I T I N D E X
6	EXHIBIT MARKED
7	Plaintiffs' Exhibit A 35
8	Plaintiffs' Exhibit B
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		4
1		DAVID W. STEPNICK, M.D., of lawful age,
2	-	called by the Plaintiffs for the purpose of
3		cross-examination, as provided by the Rules of
4		Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as
5		hereinafter certified, deposed and said as
6		follows:
7		CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DAVID W. STEPNICK, M.D.
8		BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
9	Q.	Doctor, for the record, let me state my name is
10		Donna Kolis. We were just briefly introduced
11		before the start of the deposition.
12		As you are probably aware from multiple
13		correspondence from Mr. Griffin, I represent the
14		estate of Geraldine Bailes. My understanding is
15		you are going to serve as an expert witness at
16		trial of the lawsuit. Is that a fair
17		understanding?
18	А.	That's correct.
19	Q.	I received an expert report that was apparently
20		authored by yourself dated April 18, 2003.
21		Doctor, to the best of your knowledge, is this
22		the only report you authored in this matter?
23	A.	To the best of my knowledge, yes.
24	Q.	I guess what we are going to do, you had an
25		opportunity to testify on occasions other than
	L	

			5
1		today, correct?	
2	A.	Correct.	
3	Q.	You have a pretty basic understanding of the	
4		deposition rules. You know you have to answer	
5		all my questions verbally; is that correct?	
6	Α.	Yes.	
7 -	Q.	My purpose today, honestly, is find out what	
8		you're going to testify to at trial, both as to	
9		the issue of standard of care and proximate	
10	×	causation.	
11		As you are undoubtedly aware, I'm not a	
12		physician, or at least not to your knowledge.	
13		Having said that, occasionally I ask questions	
14		that don't make sense. It's not purposeful. I	
15		may ask a question and it may not sound correct	
16		medically. If that occurs, would you extend me	
17		the courtesy of indicating you don't know what	
18		I'm asking you?	
19	А.	Yes.	
20	Q.	I would appreciate that. If you have something	
21		more pressing than answering my question, as in	
22		you receive a page, simply let us know. I don'	t
23		have any great plans for the evening; however	
24		long this takes us that is fine with me.	
25	A.	I will do so.	
	1		

		6
1	Q.	Have you on any occasion prior to this particular
2		piece of litigation worked for Mr. Griffin or any
3		of the members of his law firm, Buckingham
4		Doolittle?
5	A.	Not to my knowledge.
6	Q.	How frequently do you review medical legal
7 .	-	matters?
8	Α.	Probably on the average about one case per year,
9		perhaps two.
10	Q.	And generally speaking, we'll start with general,
11		what kinds of cases are you contacted to review?
12	А.	Most of the cases probably relate to head and
13		neck cancer, a few sinus surgery cases. To my
14		recollection those are the primary ones.
15	Q.	My guess was going to be it would have to be
16		oncology related because of your CV. But would
17		you say that's the majority of the cases you look
18		at?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	How many times have you given a deposition?
21	Α.	I would estimate six to eight.
22	Q.	Okay. Have you ever testified for a patient?
23	A.	As in a deposition?
24	Q.	Yes.
25	A.	Yes.

I

		7
1	Q.	Okay. And did you do so in your capacity as a
2		subsequent treating physician or expert witness?
3	A.	I'm sorry. You asked if I testified for the
4		patient. You mean if there is a lawsuit,
5		testifying for the attorney representing the
6		patient?
7	Q.	Yes.
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	We got a yes, yes?
10	А.	Yes.
11		THE WITNESS: Could you read back
12		the last part of her question, please?
13		
14		(Thereupon, the requested portion of
15		the record was read by the Notary.)
16		
17	Q.	As an expert witness?
18	Α.	As an expert witness.
19	Q.	How many occasions, please?
20	A.	Of the depositions probably three-quarters of
21		those on the basis of the patient.
22	Q.	Okay. And have you ever rendered testimony in
23		Cuyahoga County on behalf of a patient as an
24		expert witness?
25	A.	Yes, I have.

		8
1	Q.	What plaintiffs attorneys have you worked with?
2	A.	Plaintiffs attorneys?
3	Q.	Plaintiffs, attorney representing the patient.
4	A.	I don't recall the names to be honest.
5	Q.	When is the last time you testified, either by
6		deposition or in a court of law, on behalf of a
7		patient?
8	A.	I would say by deposition maybe two years ago on
9		behalf in a court of law it's been many more
10		years than that.
11	Q.	When is the last time you testified in a trial?
12	А.	The trial was about ten years ago, if I remember.
13	Q.	Okay. At present how many cases are you
14		currently reviewing?
15	А.	This one and then there's another case that's on
16		behalf of the plaintiff that's representing the
17		patient which I'm the treating physician.
18	Q.	You're the treating physician. What attorney is
19		representing the patient?
20	Α.	I don't recall.
21	Q.	Okay. You know Dr. Lavertu, correct?
22	A.	I do.
23	Q.	During the course of your analysis of this case
24		were you provided with a copy of the expert
25		report that was written by Pierre?
	1	

		9
1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	For purposes of brevity, I will state for the
3		record in reviewing your CV I have no doubt
4		you're qualified as an expert witness in this
5		matter. Just to make certain, you're currently
6		licensed in the state of Ohio, correct?
7	A.	Yes, I am.
8	Q.	I would assume from what I'm able to glean from
9		these numerous pages, at least 50 percent of your
10		professional time is in the active, clinical
11		practice of medicine?
12	. A.,	Yes.
13	Q.	You also teach?
14	А.	I do.
15	Q.	Are you teaching at the medical school or by way
16		of rounding the residents here?
17	A.	Primarily the residents.
18	Q.	Do you teach any classes at the medical school?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	What textbooks do you refer your residents to in
21		terms of supplementing their hands-on learning in
22		otolaryngology?
23	A.	It depends on the specific area of otolaryngology
24		and also depends on the specific procedure. In
25		the area of facioplastic, if it's a face lift,
	1	

ſ

Г	and the second	
		10
1		one text; if it's eyes, it's another. So a
2		variety of different textbooks.
3	Q.	Can you name those textbooks for me?
4	Α.	All of them?
5	Q.	Well, let's make it easy. Would there be a
6		particular textbook that you would commend to the
7		reading of your residents? Are you involved in
8		any fellowship training programs?
9	A.	No, I'm not.
10	Q.	Would there be a textbook you would commend to
11		their reading relative to the basic understand of
12		nasal vestibular or nasoseptal cancer?
13	A.	Probably not a single textbook, no.
14	Q.	How many multiple textbooks would you ask them to
15		look at for the material?
16	А.	As you know it's a rather unusual entity.
17	Q.	Yes.
18	А.	And I think as you also know the textbooks
19		represent the opinions of the person writing
20		them. So probably if I were going to ask the
21		if the residents were interested in knowing about
22		that, I would ask them to do a literature search
23		to see what was new and relevant in the area, if
24		anything had been published recently.
25	Q.	Had you done a literature search in anticipation
	1	

I		
		11
1		of my deposition to find out what is new and
2		relevant in the area?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	Did you do any literature search relative to the
5		issues that present themselves in this case?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	Unrelated but social question, you are acquainted
8		with my expert Dr. Barry Wenig?
9	Α.	I know who he is. If he walked down the hall, I
10		would not know who he was.
11	Q.	Have you heard him lecture or read his published
12		material?
13	A.	I have not heard him lecture. I don't know if I
14		read his published material.
15	Q.	Let's talk about what you do for a living. You
16		have an office in Beachwood?
17	A.	That's correct.
18	Q.	Is that your primary place of practice you see
19		patients?
20	A.	No. I have many actually.
21	Q.	Tell me where all your offices are.
22	A.	Probably would be easier to tell you what I do on
23		a week. Monday I operate at University
24		Hospitals. On Tuesdays I operate at the VA,
25		Cleveland VA. On Wednesdays I'm here for

		12
1		conference in the morning and go to the office I
2		think you're referring to, Beachwood or Chagrin
3		Highlands, and see patient there. Thursdays I'm
4		in Westlake at University Hospitals Westlake, OR
5		in the morning, seeing patients in the afternoon.
6		On Friday after our head and neck tumor
7		conference in the morning I either go to the
8		University Suburban office which is in South
9		Euclid, unless it's the second Friday of the
10		month in which case I'm here for the Bolwell
11		Clinic.
12	Q.	Now, I think I know where you spend your time.
13		What does the majority of your practice consist
14		of at this point? What are you doing when you're
15		doing these surgeries?
16	A.	Is the question the majority of my practice when
17		I'm seeing patients?
18	Q.	Or doing surgeries.
19	A.	Majority of the patients I see have head and neck
20		tumors.
21	Q.	To put it different and quicker to the point,
22		hopefully a spin on it, your practice of
23		otolaryngology is specialized into what appears
24		to me to be operations on head and neck tumors.
25		That's what you spend the majority of your time
	1	

		13
1		doing, am I correct?
2	A.	Correct.
3	Q.	I always do a double negative. It wouldn't
4		appear you have a general ENT practice seeing 60
5		people for a sundry of complaints, wax in the
6		ears, runny noses?
7	Α.	Correct. Some of those patients will wind up in
8		my office. That's not generally whom I'm
9		supposed to be seeing.
10	Q.	Are the majority of patients you treat
11		professionally referred to you by other
12		physicians who have ascertained there may be an
13		issue regarding head and neck tumor?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Doctor, from your point of view as the
16		otolaryngologist, I love saying that word, is
17		there a difference between a sore nose and a sore
18		in the nose?
19	A.	I am big on semantics. And to me those would
20		mean different things. Probably to most people
21		they wouldn't. To me a sore in the nose refers
22		to a thing or a sore, where a sore nose is a
23		symptom.
24	Q.	Okay. Prior to rendering your written report in
25		this matter, can you tell me what materials you
	1	

		14
1		actually reviewed, everything that is yours on
2		the table, I believe?
3	A.	Could I see the see the report that I
4		authored? It's in the pile here somewhere.
5	Q.	I didn't take it.
6		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: These are my
7		folders. These are his.
8	Α.	I would have stated in that report what I had
9		reviewed.
10	Q.	Just to make this so we don't stay here all
11		day, this is the report that I received. Of
12		course, my copy is highlighted.
13		MR. GRIFFIN: I have an
14		unhighlighted copy.
15	. A	Apparently, I'm reading from that report to help
16		me recall, it says I reviewed office records and
17		depositions of Drs. Park and Manning, as well as
18	a a satu of the c	the opinion of Dr. Wenig provided you regarding
19		the standard of care.
20	Q.	To the best of your belief, prior to the time you
21		wrote this report the only thing you then
22		reviewed was Dr. Park's office notes and
23		Dr. Manning's office notes, correct, in terms of
24		medical records?
25	A.	Right. And some of those I have to go back and
	L	

			15
	1		look, some of them as part of their office chart
)	2		may have had other information, whatever was part
	3		of their chart.
	4	Q.	Whatever was part of their chart?
	5	A.	Yes.
	6	Q.	Subsequent to the time you have reviewed
	7		additional medical records of any sort?
	8	Α.	Yes, I have.
	9	Q.	Can you tell me what you subsequently reviewed?
	10	*	MR. GRIFFIN: There's an index to
	11		all the records. I don't know when you
	12		reviewed them.
)	13	А.	I reviewed re-reviewed some of these,
	14		Dr. Park's, Dr. Manning's records, records from
	15		Gary Williams, pathology and radiology from Summa
	16		Health Systems reports, certain records from
	17		St. Thomas Medical Center, office chart from
4 a.	18		Paragon Health Associates, records from Edwin
	19		Shaw Hospital, records from Dr. Sieder/Sieder,
	20		additional things from Summit Oncology,
	21		Dr. Steinberger, Dr. Trantri's records, records
	22		from The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, additional
	23		things from Summa, that's probably most of them.
	24		I did also review Dr. Wenig's deposition.
	25	Q.	His deposition this past week, Wednesday?

l

	16
1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And the deposition of Dr the pathologist
3	Dr. Makk?
4	MR. GRIFFIN: M-a-k-k.
5	Q. Has the reading or evaluation of the additional
6	materials that you just discussed with me changed
7	any opinion that you initially rendered?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Has it caused you to have additional opinions
10	other than listed in this report?
11	A. Just that I think I agree with what I said there
12	more.
13	Q. All right. Doctor, have you ever been sued?
14	A. Yes.
15	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Objection for
16	the record, of course.
17	Q. How many times have you been sued?
18	A. Perhaps half a dozen, six.
19	Q. And of those half dozen or six did any of them
20	have to do with failure to diagnose nasal
21	vestibular cancer?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Were payments made on your behalf of any of those
24	six cases?
25	A. No.

		. 17
1	Q.	Who is your insurance carrier?
2	A.	This week?
3	Q.	Yeah, this week.
4	Α.	I think Mutual Assurance but I could be wrong.
5	Q.	Are you a member of a group?
6	A.	I'm a member of the group here at University
7		Hospitals which is University Faculty Practice
8		Associates which has a carrier.
9	Q.	Did you go to the lecture which Jim Malone gave?
10		You might have, you may not have. I thought he
11		did that, gave a big lecture to the University
12		Family Group not long ago regarding who your
13		carrier was.
14		Prior to this litigation did you know
15		Dr. Park?
16	Α.	I knew of him.
17	Q.	How did you know of Dr. Park?
18	Α.	I have taken care of patients he's taken care of.
19		He's referred some patients.
20	Q.	He referred patients to you?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	Okay. Dr. Park I assume, to the best of your
23		knowledge, does not do surgery on head and neck
24		tumors?
25	A.	He may well.

1		
		18
1	Q.	But you don't know that one way or the other?
2	A.	I don't know that one way or the other.
3	Q.	Why did he end up referring the patients to you,
4		to the best of your knowledge?
5	Α.	Community physicians will often take care of head
6		and neck cancer patients. If they feel that it
7		is a cancer that requires more expertise, because
8		that's what training you receive as an
9		otolaryngologist, on occasion if someone feels
10		they need more expertise on other occasions when
11		somebody wants to deal with the intricacies of
12		the treatment, radiation, chemotherapy and
13		whatnot or some patients some physicians
14		simply will refer because they're not interested
15		in dealing with the patient.
16		I don't know why specifically Dr. Park. My
17		recollection is that he's probably referred again
18		about half dozen patients so it's not a large
19		number. Typically these would be somebody that
20		he's identified a problem that he thought could
21		be better taken care of at an institution we have
22		a team approach towards tumor.
23	Q.	Describe your team approach towards tumor.
24	A.	University Hospitals has the Ireland Cancer
25		Center which is a National Cancer Institute

1 Accredited cancer center. As part of head and 2 neck there is a multidisciplinary team that 3 consists of otolaryngologists which would be, as I would often say to patients, surgical 4 5 oncologists because there is no specialty of 6 surgical oncology as you're aware, radiation 7 oncologist, actually several medical, several 8 medical oncologists, social workers, dentists, 9 radiologists, pathologists. So typically what 10 would happen is almost all cancer patients 11 presented as part of this multidisciplinary 12 conference that I referred to earlier in the 13 testimony to, number one, educate the residents 14 about the different treatment modalities and also 15 as an education conference to help get varying 16 opinions from the physicians in the room as to 17 what they believe is the best treatment modality, 18 to establish if a patient is eligible for any 19 experimental protocols, et cetera. And 20 university has as its main campus where we are 21 here, all of its satellite locations and that we 22 end up working together and caring for these 23 patients and they're treated as required. 24 Q. Okay. Before we get into the most important 25 stuff, we are going to work a little backwards.

		20
1		After you reviewed the initial materials and then
2		the subsequent medical materials that you
3		received and/or depositions did you reach a
4		conclusion that anyone subsequent to the
5		diagnosis of Mrs. Bails' cancer in January of
6		2001 was below the standard of care?
7	A.	I thought that as I reviewed the records that
8		when her cancer recurred, which again
9		semantically it didn't recur, it regrew, that it
10		struck me there were some irregularities that
11		could potentially be considered below the
12		standard of care.
13	Q.	Dr. Wenig used the word persistence versus
14		recurrences. Do you have an objection to his use
15		of the word persistence of her cancer?
16	А.	Again, as I alluded to, I'm big on semantics.
17		And recurrences in my mind is something that
1.8		means the tumor was gone and then it came back.
19		And the reason that that's probably an accurate
20		term it's not a new cancer, that when the tumor
21		is rediscovered it is simply regrown. Tumors
22		that completely disappear and show up in the same
23		place at another time truly haven't recurred.
24		Probably 99 percent of all physicians would
25		consider that recurrence, regrowth or persistence
	1	

		21
1		which means the tumor was microscopic in size
2		where it was not visible and undetectable to a
3		point the tumor can be detected. I would not
4		have an objection to that terminology.
5	Q.	Once again, this is, I don't want to call it a
6		red herring, these were mentioned during
7		cross-examination. I wanted to take a look at
8		them.
9		Would you agree with me subsequent to the
10		conclusion of Mrs. Bails' radiation treatment in
11		April that there wasn't a second biopsy within
12		three months to determine the cancer had
13		completely disappeared?
14	Α.	Can you read that back?
15	Q.	I talk fast.
16		
17		(Thereupon, the requested portion of
18		the record was read by the Notary.)
19		
20	Α.	I would specifically have to look at the dates in
21		the records. As what I alluded to, as I was
22		reading through the records and noticing some
23		signs perhaps the tumor was regrowing it seemed
24		there was some delay when that biopsy was
25		performed.

		22
1	Q.	I will represent the biopsy didn't occur, the
2		next biopsy until October of 2001. Is that your
3		recollection?
4	A.	Roughly, yes.
5	Q.	Having said you felt there were irregularities,
6		you felt that sometime in July of 2001 when there
7		was now the appearance of, I think what's been
8		described as a satellite lesion, perhaps a biopsy
9		should have been done at that point?
10	А.	I would have done so and I think most physicians
11		would have.
12	Q.	Do you have an opinion, to a reasonable degree of
13		medical probability, as to whether or not those,
14		what you've termed them. Irregularities caused
15		or contributed to Mrs. Bailes' death in January
16		of 2002?
17	Α.	I think that's difficult to say because the tumor
18		was originally, as you're aware, a small tumor
19		that was staged as a T-1 tumor. She then
20		underwent her radiation therapy and thereafter
21		it's apparent the growth rate changed.
22	Q.	I hate to let myself do that. Tell me why the
23		growth rate changed to you. What are you basing
24		that opinion on?
25	A.	I'm basing that on the description of what her

r

				23
	1		physical exam was when the radiation oncologis	t
	2		and others had seen her following the completi	on
	3		of radiation therapy.	
	4	Q.	You're not basing it on histology as contained	in
	5		the pathology slides saying it changed?	
	6	А.	Correct.	
	7	Q.	In fact, the first biopsy and second biopsy	
	8		described the same type of cancer, correct?	
	9	Α.	That's my recollection, yes.	
	10	Q.	Same characteristics?	
	11	A.	That is my recollection, yes.	
	12	Q.	Including that's what Dr. Makk testified to,	
	13		correct?	
	14	А.	As far as I recall.	• •
	15	Q.	You haven't independently looked at that	
	16		pathology slide?	
	17	А.	I have not.	
	18	Q.	Would it do you any good if you did?	
	19	А.	Probably not.	
	20	Q.	You're assertion her growth rate changed in thi	Ls
	21		particular cancer is based upon physical	
	22		findings; is that right?	
	23	А.	Yes.	
	24	Q.	And because I don't do this for a living, what	
J	25		about the physical changes indicate to you that	-
			• • • •	

		24
1		her growth rate changed?
2	Α.	Probably the most obvious one as I recall was the
3		general plastic surgeon Dr. Trochelman, Tantri,
4		I'm not looking at his note, but from my
5		recollection it was him that described in an
6		exam, if I recall, about one week apart where at
7		one point she didn't have something and then a
8		week later that she had multiple satellite
9		nodules.
10	Q.	What would account for that, Dr. Stepnick,
11		assuming the accuracy of the doctor's observation
12		and examination which I'm not challenging?
13	А.	Are you asking what the nodules came from?
14	Q	What would account for the difference in that one
15		week examination?
16	A.	Without having seen the patient, I'm sort of
17		speaking generally. Generally when you have
18		tumor recurrences that is seen as multiple
19		nodules throughout the skin that is considered
20		dermal metastasis. Dermal metastasis traveled
21		through the travels in the dermis and implants
22		in other areas of the dermis and grow. If
23		something grows in that period of time that is
24		not characteristic of squamous cell carcinoma in
25		general that would be considered very rapid.

		25
1	Q.	Could that occurrence, that event you and are you
2		discussing and we don't need to be specific of
3		the date, we know what note we are talking about,
4		those notes that are a week apart, this sudden,
5		dramatic appearance of additional satellite kinds
6		of abnormalities not be a direct product, the
7		fact cancer had been seeding through the dermis
8		for some period of time and was now full-blown
9		metastatic disease?
10	A.	I think that's very unlikely. I think that the
11		most likely thing is that the biologic behavior
12		of the tumor changed during radiation therapy and
13		following the radiation that the cells started to
14		travel and then the growth rate accelerated to
15		the point you could see physical signs changing
16		in a very short period of time.
17	Q.	Is radiation okay. Let me withdraw, starting
18		of the question with an ending part.
19		This change in the biological behavior of
20		this particular cancer as a result of radiation
21		therapy is a known entity?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Do you agree that it was true? I'm trying to do
24		my years and not think of any other cases. In
25		2001 there was no preference for a Stage 1
	1	

			26
	1		cancer, such as Mrs. Bailes, had between
	2		radiation therapy and surgical treatment?
	3	Α.	I heard what you said so I'm not going to ask to
	4		read that back.
	5		Could you please rephrase that?
	6	Q.	At that point the data available to a person
	7		treating a person with vestibular or septal
	8		cancer that's been called stage 1, we'll talk
	9		about that in a second, could you elect to do
	10		radiation therapy or surgical treatment?
	11	A.	I think from the standpoint of cure, the cure
	12		rates would be similar. From the standpoint of
)	13		overall patient care such as how do you
	14		reconstruct that, that the my understanding of
	15		probably why that was chosen was that it was
	16		decided that radiation therapy probably had an
	17		equal chance of cure as surgery but could leave
	18		the patient more intact than surgery would.
	19	Q.	You didn't have any objection to that choice
	20		being made in consultation with The Cleveland
	21		Clinic, I gather?
	22	A.	Correct.
	23	Q.	Let's talk about staging just for a second. Is
	24		there truly an agreed upon staging for vestibular
)	25		and septal cancer?

		. 27
1	A.	There currently is a staging system that includes
2		nasal cavity.
3	Q.	I have a bad Internet searcher and reader. Tell
4		me who has the staging system for those.
5	Α.	There's a group that's called the AJCC, American
6		Joint Committee on Cancer Staging. And cancer
7		staging is revised every so often. As more is
8		known about any particular tumor, that the
9		descriptions of the specific substages, anatomic
10		sites will be revised.
11	Q.	Can you tell me when they came out with a staging
12		system that actually included the nasal
13		vestibular cancer?
14	Ά.	I would have to go back and look. I don't know
15		that one offhand.
16	Q.	You don't have it in your pocket or anything,
17		right, because I didn't find it. Do you know
18		whether that staging system was recognized and
19		available in January of 2001?
20	Α.	No, I don't. It may or may not have been.
21	Q.	Okay. Doctor, do you treat vestibulitis?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Give me your definition of vestibulitis.
24	А.	I'm trying to tell you my own definition, not
25		that of Dr. Wenig, which I read two days ago, I
	1	

		28
1		believe. Vestib nasal vestibule is that
2		portion of the nose where the skin, as we have on
3		the outside, whiter skin meets the skin on the
4		inside, pinker skin and the mucosa that is
5		considered the nasal vestibule. There are small
6		hairs and that hair can get inflamed and that
7		would be vestibulitis.
8	Q.	Okay. If a patient has vestibulitis, the
9		inflammation can be caused by a number of things?
10	A.	Yes, it can.
11	Q.	One can be an infected hair follicle?
12	А.	Correct.
13	Q.	Also in that area of the vestibule we have some
14		sweat glands?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	Could those get blocked or clogged?
17	А.	Yes.
18	Q.	If a patient had vestibulitis what would you
19		expect to see in their nasal vestibule, what
20		would about the appearance?
21	А.	Could be a variety of different things. It's
22		not just seeing but it could be tender, often
23		it's tender, usually it's tender, some swelling,
24	- Chadra San San San	redness, could be some cresting in the area, can
25		be some excoriation, which means the top layers

		29
1		of skin are abraded or not present, probably a
2		light form of what might be considered an ulcer.
3	Q.	Okay. What would be the treatment for
4		vestibulitis?
5	А.	Depends on the severity and duration of it. If
6		it was something not particularly bothersome,
7		it's often local care such as cleaning and using
8		a topical antibiotic ointment. Something more
9		pronounced with fair tenderness and whatnot one
10		may add oral antibiotics and keeping things moist
11		in the area.
12	Q.	And how long would you expect that if there were
13		an infected hair follicle or blocked sweat gland
14		with the kind of treatment you described that
15		that condition would persist?
16	A.	It would vary. Some patients seemed to be prone
17		to it and have recurring episodes of
18		vestibulitis. There are patients that have it
19		once in their life and never have it again. If
20		a patient was being treated and had it for two
21		weeks and came back and still had it, I probably
22		wouldn't think that anything was wrong. I may
23		add an oral antibiotic.
24		To answer your question, it's sort of based
25		on the whole picture. It's not simply the
ļ	The second s	

		30
1		diagnosis of vestibulitis.
2	Q.	If in connection with a diagnosis of vestibulitis
3		there was present this excoriation that you've
4		described and that did not go away within, we'll
5		say, within four weeks, would you have something
6		else within your differential if you continued to
7		see a patient who had are you calling it
8	-	excoriation, the first layer the skin is gone and
9		you see a sore?
10	A.	Again, using semantics, excoriation means the
11		very top layers of skin are not there. And I
12		would personally consider an ulcer something
13		where you have the same type of process but now
14		you're deeper. So excoriation would be as you
15		described.
16	Q.	So going back to what I was asking you, someone
1.7		presented with what you thought was vestibulitis,
18		they went through the treatment modality you
19		discussed, we'll give them an oral antibiotic but
20		it persists with excoriation. Would you have
21		anything else within your differential at that
22		point?
23	А.	Yes.
24	Q.	What would been within your differential?
25	А.	Any time you have an ulceration, as obviously
	1	

		31
1		you're asking in this case, one has to consider
2		malignancy. One would look for other signs. If
3		I saw somebody that came back after four weeks
4		that still had ulcerations, are there rolled
5		edges, I would look for not necessarily pain
6		because that simply is a result of having an
7		ulceration, bleeding, progression.
8	Q	Doctor, I note you had an opportunity, I don't
9		think we discussed it, it's my oversight because
10		it's Friday afternoon, within your material it
11		appears you looked at some of the family members
12		depositions in this case; is that right?
13	А.	Yes, that's correct.
14	Q.	Can you tell us, for the record, what children's
15		deposition you read?
16	A.	I am looking at one that is Karen Wilson and I
17		assume because I haven't looked at these
18		recently Deborah Ondecker.
19	Q.	I believe those are the only two I saw. Are there
20		any others?
21	A.	There's, looks like, Kenneth James and David
22		Bailes.
23	Q.	Did you actually read their depositions?
24	A.	I did but it's been it couldn't have been too
25		long ago. Yes, I did.
	1	

		32
1	Q.	Did reading the family depositions add any
2		factual information for you to consider?
3	A.	I don't believe so. I think that's why I'm not
4		recalling them right off the top of my head until
5		I look at the depositions themselves.
6	Q.	You are aware that Dr. Manning indicates by his
7		office note that in November of 1999 he examined
8		Mrs. Bailes in her nasal vestibule left side and
9		describes an ulceration. Would you agree with
10		that?
11	А.	That's my recollection, yes.
12	Q.	Mrs. Bailes presents to Dr. Park approximately
13		how many days later? You can look at your notes.
14		It's not a memory contest.
15	A.	Which date was that you just referred to,
16		Dr. Manning?
17	Q.	Dr. Manning's visit of November 8th, 1999.
18	А.	I think it would be November 15th if that's what
19		you're referring to.
20	Q.	Yes. November 15th, 1999.
21	A.	Do you want to help me find it?
22	Q.	Did you want to look at Dr. Manning November
23		examination or Dr. Park?
24		MR. GRIFFIN: What do you want him
25		to look at?

		33
1	Q.	I asked if he had a recollection of what was
2		described by Dr. Manning in his November 1999
3		visit. It's November 8th.
4	A.	I'm looking at one page of notes I've taken. It
5		looks like from my notes that the nurse wrote
6		sore in nasal passage and Dr. Manning wrote sore
7		gotten bit better but not completely gone.
8	Q.	Okay.
9	A.	Scab over lesion nasal septum left. Sore appears
10		to be improving.
11	Q.	He wanted her to see an ENT; is that correct?
12	A.	See ENT, yes.
13	Q.	He describes it as an ulceration, correct?
14	Α.	I don't see that.
15	Q.	Okay. I guess we'll go backwards. He sees her
16		on October 11th, 1999, correct?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	What does he observe in that visit?
19	A.	Patient has a sore on left part of the septum of
20		nose which has been there on and off for about
21		one year.
22	Q.	Okay. That gives you the history of how long the
23		sore has been there, correct?
24	А.	Yes.
25	Q.	And then on examination he finds a shallow

I	CANTON LOC TO MANDOW COM	
		34
1		ulceration in the left septum, correct?
2	Α.	Correct.
3	Q.	He doesn't use the word excoriation?
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	And then she returns per his instructions in
6		approximately four weeks. Are we in agreement
7		with that?
8	А.	Yes.
9	Q.	Okay. And on that point he doesn't say that it's
10		gone away. He says it looks better, correct?
11	Α.	Correct.
12	Q.	But it's not gone. Would you agree with that?
13	Α.	Yes. According to his exam.
14	Q.	Okay. Do you think that he didn't know how to
15		conduct a physical examination of the patient's
16		nose?
17	А.	I don't know Dr. Manning so I don't know his
18		capabilities. I know I have been asked to see
19		things from other physicians that sometimes they
20		have an accurate sense of and other sense not.
21	Q.	Is the premise of any opinion you're rendering in
22		this case Dr. Manning was unable to recognize an
23		ulceration in the nose?
24	A.	No.
25	Q.	And Dr. Manning, in fact, filled out a referral

			35
	1		form. Have you seen that referral form? I'm
)	2		going to ask if you have seen this before. We'll
	3		mark that Plaintiffs' Exhibit A.
	4		
	5		(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit A
	6		was marked for purposes of identification.)
	. 7		
	8	Α.	I may have. I don't recall it offhand.
	9	Q.	Would you agree with me that what it says is
	10		persistent sore on nose, if you can make that
	11		out?
	12	A.	Persistent sore on nasal or nose, something like
<u></u>	13		that, yes.
	14	Q.	Based upon what is contained in his records he's
	15		describing the sore in the vestibule, I call it
	16		left vestibule, if that's all right with you?
	17	A.	Yes.
	18	Q.	And by history that sore has been there
	19		approximately a year, correct?
	20	A.	Well, he said it's been on and off. So the
	21		implication of that would be it's there and then
	22		at times it's not and it's healed up.
	23	Q.	Okay. If you presume, and we'll do this
	24		hypothetically, if you presume the testimony is
J	25		that Mrs. Bailes had a sore for approximately a
			a sole for approximately a

		36
1		year that never completely went away, would that
2		be the kind of sore in the nasal vestibule you
3		believe would need some evaluation to determine
4		whether or not perhaps it was a different disease
5		entity other than vestibulitis?
6		MR. GRIFFIN: Object. Go ahead.
7	Α.	Again, it would depend on the specific
8		characteristics which I really don't see. They
9		described it as a sore but I'm sorry
10		Dr. Manning hasn't referred to any other
11		descriptors such as rolled edges we talked about
12		before. If someone has, for instance, a deviated
13		nasal septum where they're subjected to drying,
14		it may not be unusual for someone to have a sore
15		that may be present for a year or more.
16	Q.	Can you tell us if that condition you just
17		suggested was present in the patient and would be
18		the cause of her to have a sore for more than a
19		year?
20	A.	I can't tell in this particular patient. I'm
21		simply stating the time in itself is nearly the
22		thing that would biopsy a sore or ulcer.
23	Q.	And Dr. Manning, not being an otolaryngologist,
24		only describes for you ulceration and doesn't use
25		any of these other descriptive terms you're
	1	
ĺ		37
----	----	---
1		looking for?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Do you have any doubt in your mind, as you sit
4		here today, that this particular area that's
5		being described by Dr. Manning is ultimately
6		where the cancer was detected?
7	Α.	It's the same general area, it seems, within a
8		few couple centimeters, half an inch; could be
9		the exact same area.
10	Q.	Does she describe it to Dr. Steinberger? Does
11		she tell Dr. STeinberger in the initial
12		presentation to him this is the sore in that
13		location that has been there for two years?
14		MR. GRIFFIN: January 22, '01.
15		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I think it's
16		January 10.
17		MR. GRIFFIN: January 10, '01.
18	A.	Dr. Steinberger notes in a letter to Dr. Manning,
19		which I'm looking at right at the moment, she was
20		evaluated with regard to a sore in her left nose
21		that will not heal. Apparently she had seen
22		Dr. Park for this. She has been on Bactroban for
23		some time and this has been about six months.
24	Q.	Do you recall a note written by Dr. Sieder also
25		about a sore she had seen Dr. Park about?
	1	

		38
1	A.	I'm reading what appears to be Dr. Sieder's
2		consultation note, initial consultation note from
3		February 14th of 2001 wherein his history he says
4		Ms. Bailes is 66 year old white female states
5		approximately two years ago she developed a
6		pimple in the nose, treated symptomatically over
7		the next 18 month with topical antibiotics which
8		helped symptomatically but did not help the mass
9		go away.
10	Q.	Looking at those documents, we'll look at more
11		before the evening is over, if you've answered
12		the question completely that's fine. I want to
13		be clear I heard what you said.
14		Do you dispute that the sore that she
15		complained about in 1999 in the left vestibule is
16		ultimately the site where the cancer was
17		determined to be?
18		MR. GRIFFIN: Object. Go ahead.
19	A.	As far as I can tell from these records they're
20		describing the same general area, yes.
21	Q.	Before we get into the particulars of your report
22		and some other issues, I notice when you gave me
23		the opportunity to review your chart you actually
24		had a transcription of Dr. Park's office notes;
25		is that correct?
	1	

		39	
1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Do you know when you got that transcription of	
3		his office notes?	
4	A.	No.	
5	Q.	Did you request a transcription of the office	
6		notes prior to rendering your opinion because you	
7		could not read his office notes?	
8	A	I really don't recall the proximity of when I	
9		received those.	
10		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I would like	
11		to mark those Plaintiffs' Exhibit B.	
12			
13		(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit B	
14		was marked for purposes of identification.)	
15			
16	Q.	Doctor, in looking at Dr. Park's chart could you	
17		actually read what Dr. Park's chart said without	
18		a transcript or his deposition testimony?	
19	A.	Let me look again. I can read most of it. There	
20		are certainly words here difficult to make out.	
21	Q	So I can gather the transcription aided you and	
22		assisted you determining what the sum total of	
23		all those notes said?	
24	A.	Yes.	
25	Q.	Do you know who prepared this transcript?	

Γ

			40
	1	A.	No.
	2	Q.	Did it come with a cover letter that you're aware
	3		of? I didn't see one. It might be in your
	4		stack.
	5	Α.	I'm sure it did. As you can see everything has
	6		been separated at this point in time.
	7	Q.	Dr. Stepnick, how many hours have you spent
1.1.1	8		evaluating medical records and testimony and
	9		preparing in this case?
	10	А.	I would have to go back and look. I would guess
•	11		12.
	12	Q.	Okay. Do you think I shouldn't you use that
	13		word. To a reasonable degree of medical
	14		probability as of September 1998 through January
	15		of 2001 was there a clear epidemiological
	16		correlation between cigarette smoking and nasal
	17		vestibular cancer?
	18	A.	I think nasal vestibular cancers are not
	19		considered to be like other sites in the head and
	20		neck in that sites such as oral cavity, tongue,
	21		larynx, pharynx, there's a clear epidemiological
	22		link between cigarette smoking and cancer.
	23	Q.	If I read my literature correctly, that is the
)	24		answer I was looking for. Maybe Dr. Koch is
	25		going to be one of our experts. Do you know
		1	

Г

1		Dr
2		MR. GRIFFIN: One of yours?
3		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I said he might
4		turn around to be mine. You never know.
5		MR. GRIFFIN: I highly doubt it.
6	Q. 1	What is the P53 mutation? What bearing does it
7 .		have, if any, in terms of what we know about
8		vestibular cancer and how we are going to treat
9		them?
10	Α.	The development of cancer is not an event that
11		happens at a moment in time. Current theories of
12		development of cancer, perhaps beyond theory,
13		we'll use the word theory even though I'm big on
14		semantics, the current theory about development
15		of cancer there's a series of abnormalities in
16		the chromosome that when they accumulate will
17		eventually lead to uncontrolled growth and
18		ability of the cells to spread or metastasize in
19		this uncontrolled local growth and that is
20		considered the mass.
21		There are a variety of different mutations
22		which is an abnormality in one of the chromosomes
23		that have been linked to development of cancer
24		and P53, which a specific site has been one of
25		the, if you will, hottest, one of the most looked
	1	

		42
1		at areas, have some of the highest correlations
2		with the development of cancer. So as we try to
3		understand what it is about the different things
4		such as tobacco which causes cancer in some
5		patients but may not cause cancer in another
6		patient, we are back to looking at chromosomes
7		and DNA of the patients that get the cancer to
8		help determine what really is different between
9		the patient.
10	Q	From what I have been able to absorb, which isn't
11		all of it, believe me, am I reading this material
12		about P53 correctly, the theory, and this is
13		pretty theoretical, cigarette smoking affects or
14		causes a P53 mutation?
15		MR. GRIFFIN: Objection. Move to
16		strike.
17	A.	It might but it's not known at this point in
18		time.
19	Q.	Okay. Does University Hospitals of Cleveland
20		have their nasal cancer patients undergo P53
21		mutation testing?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	Let's talk about your report. In the concluding
24		paragraph the last sentence of your first
25		paragraph you indicate, quote, unquote, the

1 disease process proved to be particularly 2 aggressive in her and ultimately led to her 3 death. I think we already had a brief conversation about that sentence. 4 But I would like for you to explain to me the basis of your 5 6 opinion. I think you have already done it to 7 some degree but this is my only chance to talk to you before trial. 8 9 Α. The staging system is quite admittedly an 10 imperfect system. Whether it can be applied to 11 this case or not is probably not relevant. The 12 staging system is a system by which physicians 13 can communicate with each other the likelihood 14 that a particular tumor is going to be curable or 15 not. So, in general, forehead and neck cancer is 16 stage 1, stage 2, stage 3, stage 4. Stage 1 most 17 curable, stage 4 least curable. 18 It becomes important as we look at various 19 ways to treat cancer, we can understand what is 20 working and what is not working as we grow 21 hopefully closer and closer to a cure of cancer. 22 In addition to that, the stage of a tumor is 23 something that helps us decide what the therapy 24 should be. So, again, by way of example that 25 often a stage 4 cancer will receive radiation

surgery and chemotherapy whereas a stage 1 cancer typically will receive radiation or surgery alone.

1

2

3

4 So the staging system is something that we use to communicate with each other and it relies 5 6 on statistics that are historical and it also 7 relies on the, if you will, average behavior of certain tumors, such that if you have a 8 9 particular tumor that the growth rate on the average is fairly predictable but that's not the 10 case for every tumor and some tumors may grow 11 12 much more slowly and some much more quickly. 13 So for, again, by way of example I have had patients who refused surgery one would think in 6 14 15 to 12 months will be dead and they're still 16 around five year later. There are other patients 17 you discovery the recurrence of tumor and within 18 a matter of four weeks they've died. The growth 19 rate of tumors is different despite the fact the 20 staging system is used. So my reference in this 21 particular case is that she had a tumor which 22 whether we want to call it a 1, T-1 or stage 1 23 tumor, whether we want to consider it a tumor 24 that was a small tumor, a centimeter or so in 25 size, that statistically should have been able to

be cured with either radiation or surgery, perhaps a 90 percent success rate. That is largely based on comparisons with other tumors because, once again, this one is so unusual. As you know, that isn't the case in her, in Ms. Bailes. After she received her radiation therapy, which again statistically in most patients would have cured this, that she started to develop signs and symptoms that ultimately proved was tumor returning and growing in a very rapid fashion. The question was asked before if radiation therapy could cause this to happen and the answer I said was yes. That's obviously not typically what happens with radiation therapy because we are using them to cure cancer not to make them worse but it can probably occur.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 The other thing that could have occurred, 18 which is pure speculation, is that the biologic behavior of the tumor could have changed during 19 20 the radiation therapy, not independent of the 21 radiation itself. And regardless of how she was 22 treated, whether it was with radiation or 23 whatnot, it would have progressed. So when I 24 say -- when I've use the terms particularly 25 aggressive, I'm using that based on what one

		46
1		would expect a typical tumor, how one would
2		expect a tumor this size to respond and the fact
3		it didn't do so in her.
4	Q.	Prior to the time that this diagnosis was made,
5		do you believe that the cancer was diagnosable?
6		MR. GRIFFIN: Object to form but
7		go ahead.
8	A.	At some point in time. All cancers begin as
9		single cells that, again, go through the changes
10		we have discussed before at which point in time
11		they are not diagnosable. And if someone had the
12		most sophisticated imaging studies which probably
13		today is a PET scan, if somebody even had a
14		biopsy, if there's only a few cells that have
15		undergone what we'll call malignant degeneration,
16		meaning the normal cells changed to cancer cells,
17		you may not be able to find that. As that grows
18		larger it gets to a point where it is diagnosable
19		by biopsy.
20	Q.	How large does it have to be to be diagnoseable
21		by biopsy, if there's an answer to that question
22	÷ .	and I don't know that there is?
23		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
24	A.	The answer would be that it would be smaller than
25		one could see because at one point in time when
	1	

1 people had small tumors that had already 2 metastasized to the neck and diagnose was made, 3 we were trying to figure out where the tumor came 4 from. It used to the be the standard would be 5 you do a blind biopsy, biopsy normal tissue and 6 in some cases you would find tumor under the 7 microscope where you could not see that. 8 I guess the answer to your question is it doesn't need to be visible to be there. 9 So one 10 may not notice -- one may not be able to find a 11 cancer even if it's there unless you do a biopsy. Based upon the sum total of medical information 12 Q. 13 that you have available to you, the charting, do 14 you believe that Mrs. Bailes was, first of all, 15 diagnosable prior to January of 2001? 16 MR. GRIFFIN: Object to form. 17 Α. I'm reading -- since you used January 2001. I'm 18 assuming because Dr. Steinberger made the 19 diagnosis then. 20 Ο. Of course. 21 I'm refreshing myself with his notes where he Α. 22 says there is left anterior septal deviation, which is I mentioned before one cause you can get 23 24 drying and excoriation even ulceration because of 25 the drying effect the septal deviation. He has

		48
1		with ulceration and granular tissue. Again, just
2		refreshing my memory, January 10th, 2001, if your
3		question is if that was biopsied a week before,
4		two weeks before would it have shown tumor, I
5		think it would.
6	Q.	That wasn't my question. The precise question
7		I'm asking is based upon symptoms that presented
8		in any and all medical records you have your
9		hands on. Was there a point that you believe
10		that this cancer could have been diagnosed prior
11		to January 2001? We can take a break for a
12	11 M.	second shouldn't be that many records in one
13		notebook.
14		I think you know what I'm asking. Was there
15		any physical manifestation that would have
16		suggested at an earlier point a biopsy could have
17		been done that would have resulted in a
18		diagnosis?
19		MR. GRIFFIN: Place an objection.
20	Α.	He describes again ulceration with granular
21		tissue. If someone had seen that as a physical
22		sign and was concerned that could be a cancer and
23		biopsy, yes, it could have resulted in a
24		diagnosis earlier.
25	Q.	What was significance of finding granular tissue

		49
1		at the time of his examination January 10th, I
2		believe it was, 2001?
3	A.	It's part of what we discussed before, that
4		while, again, I wasn't there to review that, it
5		sounds to me more when I read granular tissue
6		there was something else there other than missing
7		tissue, there was new tissue.
8	Q.	If you know, how long would it take for the
9		formation of granular tissue in the situation of
10		this particular kind of cancer to develop?
11	A.	Well, granular tissue isn't necessarily a sign of
12		cancer. Although, as we talked before, granular,
13		heaped edges, et cetera can be a sign of tumor
14		there is something called granulation tissue
15		which are simply capillaries, if you look at
16		them, which is normal healing tissue. So
17		there's really no answer to your question other
18		than the fact it could be either tumor or
19		granulation tissue.
20	Q.	What are the signs and symptoms of nasal
21		vestibular cancer?
22	A.	It depends on what point one defines it. If one
23		looks at what one may see, a mass, can see nasal
24		obstruction, can have bleeding, can have pain,
25		can have destruction of tissue, obviously have

		50
1		metastatic disease spread to lymph nodes and
2		whatnot. As it becomes earlier and earlier it
3		becomes much more difficult to define and it
4		simply may be, as we have discussed, ulceration,
5		rolled edges, those sorts of findings on physical
6		exam, some local pain and tenderness.
7 🧳	Q.	Doctor, you made the distinction if one looks
. 8		like we are looking at nasal obstruction
9		masses. If one looks early maybe all that there
10		is an ulceration; is that right?
11	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	Is it preferable to detect the nasal vestibular
13	. –	cancer early?
14		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
15	Α.	I think with any cancer, nasal vestibular or
16		otherwise, that the earlier that you detect a
17		cancer the better. However, if you push that to
18		the extreme, one could make the argument I should
19		line up everybody on this floor and take biopsies
20		from various parts of their body.
21	τQ.	You clearly know I'm not asking that argument.
22		In the face of ulceration at an early state, it's
23		better to have a diagnosis then at a later state?
24	А.	Again, the earlier that one makes the diagnosis,
25		generally the better that would be but that does
	1	

		51
1		not necessarily translate to a different
2		prognosis.
3	Q.	Okay. Let's do it this way. I'm trying to make
4		this easy so we don't have to stay much past 5:00
5		hopefully.
6		You have treated nasal vestibular cancer,
7		would I be fair to guess that?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	So through your education, extensive training,
10		your fellowship, and all the good stuff you have
11		gotten to do here, probably I'm guessing, you can
12		correct me if I'm wrong, when you are fortunate
13		enough to get someone who presents with a T-1,
14		that's the mythical staging
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	you have a conversation with your patients
17		about prognosis, correct?
18	· A.	Yes.
19	Q.	I don't know what your particular bedside manner
20		is, whether you wouldn't or would, do you tell
21		them what the statistical percentages are when
22		you first have a patient with T-1 tumor?
23	A.	I tell them vaguely. I don't talk about specific
24		numbers. And, in fact, I flip it around if I
25		somebody who has a very poor prognosis, maybe 10
	1	

ſ

		52
1		percent chance of survival, I tell that patient
2		that our goal is to make them those 10 out of
3		hundred that are going to be cured. So generally
4		while I don't throw out specific numbers, I
5		generally say whether this is something that is a
6		fairly good prognosis or something that is a poor
7		prognosis that we need to treat more
8		aggressively.
9	Q.	But in speaking as you sit in the office with a
10		patient and let's just say let's make that
11		patient someone very similar to Mrs. Bailes who
12		comes in with a certain size area that has been
13		defined as her lesion.
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Based upon that using our mythical staging system
16		she has a T-1 tumor .
17		MR. GRIFFIN: Object to the form.
18	Q.	As you sit there as her physician you know a
19		certain percentage of people diagnosed with T-1
20		tumor are not going to survive?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	And back in January of 2001 approximately,
23		Doctor, what do you believe the percentages were
24		of people who would not survive the diagnoses of
25		T-1 tumor?
	1	

		53
1	A.	It's by site.
2	Q.	Nasal vestibular or nasal septal cancer,
3		whichever would you prefer to use?
4	A.	I don't frankly know there are enough cases one
5		would come up with a specific number like that.
6		We used, quote, 12,000 of laryngeal cancer and
7		there's certainly more sub sites. That's, as you
8		said, a rare sub site. So our experience in that
9		area is largely based upon the response of other
10		T-1 tumors in the head and neck.
11	Q.	Would you at that point would you have known
12		what the statistical experience was?
13	A.	Can you ask that a different way?
14	Q.	Have you read studies within your specialty that
15		would aid and assist you in knowing what the
16		approximate statistical survivability was with
17		the T-1 nasal vestibular or septal cancer?
18	Α.	Probably in the past. In general, in general a
19		T-1 cancer carries with it a fair prognosis, 80
20		to 90 percent chance of cure.
21	Q.	Would you suspect that rate of cure would improve
22		if the person let me withdraw the question.
23		If Mrs. Bailes had been diagnosed in November
24		of 1999 with this particular cancer, that's an
25		if, do you believe that a diagnosis a year and

I

	54	
	three months or two months sooner than it was	
	made would have improved her ability to survive	
	the cancer?	
Α.	We would like to think so but it's not	
	necessarily true.	
Q.	With what you wrote in your report	
A.	I think that cancer carries with it an emotional	
	component, meaning when someone gets diagnosed	
	with cancer there's a lot emotion and probably	
	rightfully so. And so most patients when they	
	find out they have cancer, want it taken care of	
	tomorrow and even we as physicians would like to	
	think that a tumor that is present if, again	
	making the assumption that was diagnosed a year	
	and a half earlier, would like to think that that	t
	changes the prognosis.	
	If we assume that the tumor was there, that's	5
	purely an assumption, if we assume the tumor was	
	there a year and a half beforehand and that it's	
	approximately the same size as it was when it was	S
	actually diagnosed, again, using what I said was	
	our imperfect staging system, it would not change	е
-	the prognosis because it hasn't it would have	
	not have gone, again, we have gone around in	
	circles whether we can use the staging system or	
	Q.	 made would have improved her ability to survive the cancer? A. We would like to think so but it's not necessarily true. Q. With what you wrote in your report A. I think that cancer carries with it an emotional component, meaning when someone gets diagnosed with cancer there's a lot emotion and probably rightfully so. And so most patients when they find out they have cancer, want it taken care of tomorrow and even we as physicians would like to think that a tumor that is present if, again making the assumption that was diagnosed a year and a half earlier, would like to think that that changes the prognosis. If we assume that the tumor was there, that's purely an assumption, if we assume the tumor was there a year and a half beforehand and that it's approximately the same size as it was when it was actually diagnosed, again, using what I said was our imperfect staging system, it would not change the prognosis because it hasn't it would have not have gone, again, we have gone around in

		55
1		not. It would not have been upstaged. It would
2		not have gone to state 2 tumor. It would be
3		something that is the same stage.
4	Q.	Do you suspect that a year and a half earlier
5		year and three months earlier it would have been
6		the same size as it was as it was in diagnosed in
7		January of 2001?
8	А.	No. For the sake of argument, when you had asked
9		if it was detectable in biopsy, it was certainly
10		smaller because tumors grow. It may not have
11		even been present. Again, nothing none of us
12		know when it was something physically present
13		that somebody could have seen and biopsied.
14	Q.	As these type of nasal, the ones we are talking
15		about grow and spread, does that increase the
16		risk of dermal involvement that microscopically
17		spreads the cancer as its growing?
18	Α.	No. It's related more to biological behavior
19		than what you're describing.
20	Q.	You base that opinion on what?
21	A.	Base that opinion more on literature or patients
22		that come outside of our own specialty from
23		dermatology where they're seeing hundreds and
24		hundreds and thousands of skin cancers that are
25		often sort of whittled and picked away and that
19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	than what you're describing. You base that opinion on what? Base that opinion more on literature or patients that come outside of our own specialty from dermatology where they're seeing hundreds and hundreds and thousands of skin cancers that are

			56
	1		does not lead to an increase dermal metastasis.
	2	Q.	Have you personally spoken to Dr. Park about this
	3		case?
	4	A.	No, I have not.
	5	Q.	Once again you use a sentence in your report, you
	6		can look for it. I think it may be the second
	7		page, maybe it's still on the first page. You
	8	-	say it's unclear as to whether earlier diagnosis
	9		would have had truly impacted the curability. I
	10		think that's the way you stated it unless I got
	11		you confused with somebody else.
	12	,A.	I think we just discussed that issue.
)	13	Q.	Dr. Stepnick, were you able to ascertain either
	14		from Dr. Park's deposition or his office note as
	15		to whether or not in his November 1999 visit he
	16		explored the nose to the extent he could
	17		determine what was under the crusting he saw in
	18		Mrs. Bailes' nose.
	19	Α.	I'm sorry, November 15th?
	20	Q.	Yes.
	21	A.	Well, not to give you a hard time.
	22	Q.	That's all right. You're allowed.
	23	Α.	There are different types of crusts. There's
)	24		adherent crusts that obscure what is underneath
	25		them, crusts that is translucent that you can see

Г

1		through, crusts not translucent sitting in the
2		nasal cavity. So not knowing specifically what
3		it is he saw, if indeed that he examined the area
4		as it appears he has because he made comments
5		about some different things, one can assume he
6		was satisfied that he was examining the nose
7		appropriately. If there is a crust that
8		potentially is hiding a physical finding and the
9		crust doesn't go away, then it may be appropriate
10		to remove the crust. There are other times when
11		removing a crust is going to cause the nose to
12		bleed. You may want to see the patient back in
13		follow-up to see if indeed there's a crust there.
14	Q.	You have a recollection that Dr. Park testified
15		that Mrs. Bailes had had vestibulitis ongoing
16		over a large number of years?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	How many years do you think he said she had
19		vestibulitis?
20		MR. GRIFFIN: How many years she
21		had it?
22	Q.	Right. How many years did he diagnose that to be
23		her condition?
24	A.	It was approximately ten years.
25	Q.	And Mrs. Bailes did come back to see Dr. Park, is

		58
1		that right, following the November 15th visit?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And Dr. Park makes no mention of a finding in her
4		nose on that visit; is that right?
5		MR. GRIFFIN: Finding of crust,
6		you mean?
7	Q.	Right.
8		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Thanks for
9		correcting me, Steve.
10	Α.	He makes no mention of crust, that is correct.
11	Q.	Okay. From your recollection or you can look at
12		it, when I talked to him at his deposition about
13		the November 15th, 1999 visit that was the first
14		time he had drawn her left nostril with a finding
15		of crusting in that entire time period that he
16		had been taking care of her. Do you agree with
17		that?
18	A.	I see the drawing here in the notes. I'm
19		reviewing. He has a little drawing back in July
20		of 1989.
21	Q.,	Do you recall reading his deposition what he said
22		that drawing represented?
23	Α.	No, I don't recall specifically what he said that
24		represented.
25	Q.	Okay. Do you think that's a well-documented

ſ

		59
1		medical chart, Dr. Stepnick?
2	A.	It's unfortunately what a lot of practitioners
3		are used to. If I had to compare that to my own,
4		it's not a well-documented chart although it's
5		not unusual.
6	Q.	Your notes are dictated, I'm going to assume?
7 3	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Okay. In September of 2000 Mrs. Bailes returns
9		to Dr. Park, correct?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Prior to that visit had she been, once again, to
12		see Dr. Manning, am I right, in late August or
13		early September?
14	A.	I'm reviewing my notes and not the actual things
15		but my notes said it looks like she was to
16		Dr. Manning in August of 2000.
17	Q.	Right.
18	Α.	28th.
19	Q.	Yeah.
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	Do you recall what his findings were at that
22		time?
23	A.	My notes indicate recurrent ulceration, septum,
24		no bleeding.
25	Q.	Okay. And he's calling it an ulceration, correct?

ſ

		60
1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Let me ask you a couple questions about
3		semantics. Let's talk about semantics. Assuming
4		that in August of 2000 Mrs. Bailes had vestibular
5		cancer, I'm going to ask you to assume that.
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	When she experienced an ulceration in her nose,
8		if she was given topical treatments, even if
9		there was cancer underlying that area, could she
10		not experience some sort of clinical improvement
11		in the area?
12	Α.	To some degree, yes.
13	Q.	Because truly her cancer, as someone else said,
14		doesn't wax and wane?
15	Α.	Correct.
1,6	Q.	It's there?
17	Α.	Correct.
18	Q.	The outward clinical manifestation of
19		vestibulitis of the nasal cavity can change
20		depending upon if you apply a product to it,
21		would you agree with that?
22	Α.	If there's a significant component of associated
23		infection, then the product could help with that
24		but it won't do anything with the underlying
25		cancer.
	1	

		61
1	Q.	I always hate when I ask stupid questions. The
2		underlying cancer isn't visible was the
3		underlying cancer invisible at the time
4		Mrs. Bailes was diagnosed in January of 2001?
5	Α.	As best I can tell from the records, yes.
6	Q.	It manifested itself in what way?
7	A.	I would only be reading Dr. Steinberger's
8		records.
9	Q.	That's okay. That was the ulceration with
10		granulation tissue we were discussing?
11	Α.	Correct.
12	<u>Q</u> .	So at a time before it got to that, it may have
13		had some appearance but not the same as that?
14	<u>A</u> .	Yes.
15	Q.	Okay. Because the ulceration isn't the cancer,
16		it's what's underneath it, correct?
17	А.	The ulceration is the absence of tissue because
18		the cancer has destroyed the normal tissues.
19	Q.	Fair enough. So this note from August 28th, 2000
20		there's a description once again from Dr. Manning
21		of ulceration of the nasal septum, correct?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And, again, his history is saying many years she
24		had this ulceration problem, correct?
25	Α.	My notes says times two years.
	1	

.

		62
1	Q.	I think you're right, two years. He uses the
2		phrase many years in that particular note?
3	A.	I see that.
4	Q.	As part of appropriate history taking relative to
5		a complaint of a sore in a nose, doesn't the
6		otolaryngologist want to know the duration of
7		that sore?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Do you see anywhere in Dr. Park's notes that he
10		elicited from Mrs. Bailes in relation to the
11		complaint of her sore in her nose the duration of
12		the time of those sores?
13	A.	I would have to go back and look through it. I'm
14		assuming you're asking the question because it's
15		not there. But I think that given we have
16		already said that there are varying degrees of
17		documentation by various physicians, I would
18		think that a physician who feels he knows a
19		patient very well and sees her as many times as
20		Dr. Park does, may not have included that in his
21		record. I'm just speculating he may not have
22		included that in his record because he sort of
23		knows how things progressed. It's probably not
24		in there. That doesn't necessarily mean he
25		wasn't satisfied he knew when there were

		63
1		ulcerations there.
2	Q.	Along the lines of, you know, as you're having
3		the conversation with me sometimes a person goes
4		along with the patient, feels they know them so
5		well you don't have to put down things because
6		they have seen them over a period of time.
7		Sometimes a physician who practices in ears, nose
8		and throat have seen a patient on number of
9		occasions over the years with wax in their ears,
10		runny nose, things of that nature perhaps they
11		get a little lax because they think they know
12		what the problem is going to be?
13		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
14	Α.	That potentially could happen. I don't know
15		about this case.
16	Q.	Just as likely a doctor not document something
17		because he knows the patient.
18	· .	He has shallow ulceration in the left nasal
19		septum. Would you expect that a practitioner of
20		internal medicine would know vestibulitis as a
21		diagnosis?
22	Α.	I would like to think so but I also recognize
23		that in many medical schools that ear, nose and
24		throat isn't even taught to most physicians. In
25		fact, it's only recently we had medical students

		64
1		regularly spending time with us. As scary as
2		that may sound, I'm not commenting on
3		Dr. Manning, a lot of people don't know more than
4		taking a flashlight and looking up the nose.
5	Q.	Does he describe around that area of ulceration
6		anything that says pus, mucous, crusting?
7	A.	Could I have the date again?
8	Q.	August 28th, 2000. I'm going to ask how he
9		describes the ulceration and the area around it.
10		
11		(Thereupon, a recess was had.)
12		
13	Q.	Back to where we were. I believe I had asked you
14		just before I asked to have a short break, to
15		look at the note that Dr. Manning wrote on August
16		28th, 2000. He describes this finding in
17		Mrs. Bailes' nose as a shallow ulceration,
18		correct?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. He says it does not appear infected.
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	I know you can't think what he meant by when a
23		physician says does not appear infected. Does it
24		not to you indicate that this doesn't look like a
25		vestibulitis?
	1	

		65	
1	Α.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Doesn't have anything about swelling, redness,	
3		crusting, just has shallow ulceration, agreed?	
4	Α.	Correct.	
5	Q.	And at the conclusion of that visit, once again,	
6		referral to ENT for further evaluation of the	
7		nasal septum, right?	
8	A.	Yes.	
9	Q.	That was in his plan. She goes back to Dr. Park	
10		and I think it's September 5th, correct?	
11	А.	Yes.	
12	Q.	And that's about seven, seven to eight days, I	
13		can't remember if there's 31 days in August,	
14		seven to eight days later after that visit with	
15		Dr. Manning, right?	
16	A.	Approximately, yes.	
17	Q.	Okay. At that particular visit Dr. Park, once	
18		again, finds vestibulitis. Would you agree with	
19		that, that's what his notes said as well as his	
20		deposition testimony?	
21	А.	I'm looking at his summary of his notes and I	
22		will look at his notes.	
23	Q.	Okay.	
24	А.	It appears he says vestibulitis, yes.	
25	Q.	In looking at Plaintiffs' Exhibit B, which you	

		66
1		kindly handed to me, the transcription of his
2		office notes for 9-5 complaints of sore nose,
3		mouth lesion, ear clogged up. That's the
4		transcription that you received, correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Okay.
7	Α.	That's what I see here on the note.
8	Q.	Okay. When Mrs. Bailes went to see Dr. Manning a
9		week prior to this visit she was complaining of a
10		sore in the nose, not a sore nose, would you
11		agree with that?
12	Α.	It appears to be, yes.
13	Q.	And Dr. Park doesn't record any complaint of sore
14		in the nose, right?
15	Α.	I don't know how accurate, if he's a semanticist
16		as I am. It says sore nose.
17	Q.	Okay. What he does seem to be concerned about in
18		that visit is a buckle lesion, correct?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	And he orders a biopsy of this right buckle
21		lesion, correct? You've seen the order for that?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	He wasn't going to do it in his office, correct?
24	Α.	It appears that he was going to do it elsewhere
25		from the surgery schedule thing I'm looking at.

ſ

		67
1	Q.	Can an ENT do a buckle lesion biopsy in their
2		office especially if they're going to use local
3		anesthetic?
4	A.	Can they, yes.
5	Q.	Would you know the reason why he wanted it to be
6		performed at Barberton Citizens Hospital?
7	Α.	No. I would be speculating. He may have looked
8		at it and felt it was vascular and concerned it
9		might bleed. He may not have had the equipment
10		there that particular day. There are a vary of
11		reasons.
12		In general, as you asked, can you do a
13		biopsy, the answer is yes. But sometimes you
14		chose otherwise.
15	Q.	Based upon the note which he placed in the chart
16		and then his order for his biopsy, you don't see
17		he had any intention of evaluating her
18		vestibulitis, do you?
19	Α.	I don't see any evidence of that, no.
20	Q.	Okay. You expected a doctor would include that
21		in their chart if they were going to evaluate
22		vestibulitis other than observing it, wouldn't
23		you?
24	А.	I would think so.
25	Q.	Okay. Doctor, when you were evaluating the case,

Γ

		68			
1		I think you told me sometimes you turn pages			
2		down			
3	A.	Yes.			
4	Q.	if you think they're significant?			
5	A.	Yes.			
6	Q.	I'm going to steal this from you, borrow, I will			
7		return it. I am going to ask you about a few			
8		pages that you dog-eared I suppose. We are going			
9		to be trading this back and forth but that's all			
10		right.			
11		I'm looking at a rather large compendium of			
12		medical records. I assume you didn't index			
13		these?			
14	Α.	No, I did not.			
15	Q.	They came to you in this form, I'm sure?			
16	Α.	Yes.			
17	Q.	Your dog-eared page June 27th, 2001 written by			
18		Dr. Sieder. You know who Dr. Sieder is?			
19	A.	I do now.			
20	Q.	Is he the radiation oncologist?			
21	Α.	Yes.			
22	Q.	Can you tell me if you know what reason you			
23		dog-eared that page?			
24		MR. GRIFFIN: It looking like a			
25		cow ear.			
	[

	[
1		69
		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Let the record
2		reflect that that's a large turn down.
3	A.	At this point in time I was trying to clarify in
4		my mind the physical findings which would
5		correlate with the progression of her tumor.
6	Q.	Okay.
7	A.	So I dog-eared this one or cow-eared this one as
8		the eight week follow-up visit because I was
9		interested as I then compared to some of the
10		subsequent notes to see what it was that he was
11		seeing and what she was complaining of. And at
12		that point the indication was that she continued
13		to have bleeding and pain in the radiation area
14		which is not unexpected in his words and
15		continued to have some discharge from the left
16		nasal septum.
17	Q.	And was that what significance did that have
18		for you at the eight week marker?
19	A.	It didn't really have significance by itself. It
20		was only significant in relationship to the other
21		notes from Dr. Sieder.
22	Q.	It was significant in the what?
23	А.	It was significant in relationship to his other
24		notes. It wasn't significant necessarily in
25		itself.

		70
1	Q.	Okay. When did Steve Griffin contact you first
2		about reviewing this case?
3	A.	I don't know.
4	Q	Do you have some way of knowing based upon your
5		file.
6	A.	No, because I pulled these together for
7		preparation of this.
8	Q.	All right. We know it would have been before
9		April 18th because that's when you wrote the
10		report?
11	A.	Correct.
12		
13		(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit C
14		was marked for purposes of identification.)
15		
16	Q.	What's now marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit C these are
17		your handwritten notes. At the top does that say
18		April 13th?
19 -	A.	I says 4-13.
20	Q.	Under that is that a 2?
21	· A.	It's 30.
22	Q.	30 m?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	You spent 30 minutes on the file April 13th.
25		April 15 you spent another 30 minutes on the

Γ

		71
1		file?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	So it took you about an hour to go through the
4		charts of Dr. Manning and Dr. Park and is that
5		right? The way you have this listed Manning is
6		running down the side with pretty clear notations
7		about what it says and opposite side Dr. Park?
8	A.	I think that was just wasn't necessarily all
9		of the time I spent reviewing the files. It was
10		then after I reviewed the files, the time I spent
11		correlating those particular points and putting
12		it down.
13	Q.	You were doing a correlation what one found and
14		the other one found?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q.	I have to ask the question because God knows what
17		we'll talk about at trial.
18		What did you make of the fact Dr. Manning
19		found an ulceration and Dr. Park didn't?
20	А.	I probably overused the word semantics many times
21		in this deposition. But it wasn't clear to me if
22		the word ulceration was being used synonymously
23		by the two. I wasn't sure if the two of them
24		were using the word as the same condition.
25	Q.	Is the condition of vestibulitis characterized by

Γ

		72
1		a sore in the nose?
2	Α.	Not classically. Although the nasal septum,
3		which is very, very close, few millimeters away
4		which is where it appears that this ulceration
5		was, is an area that not uncommonly will have
6		excoriation or ulceration as a result of usually
7		drying and other processes. So while the
8		vestibulitis may not have been related to the
9		ulceration, that certainly it wouldn't be unusual
10		if there was excoriation or dryness of that area.
11		It's right in the same location, right adjacent,
12		right next to the vestibule.
13	Q.	Do you understand Dr. Park's testimony to be that
14		he never saw a sore in her nose in 1999 or 2000?
15	А.	His testimony is there.
16	Q.	In his deposition?
17	Α.	Is there a specific area you recall or you want
18		me to look through the whole
19	Q.	I'm going to ask it this way. You may still have
20		to look through it.
21		My recollection of my recent review of
22		Dr. Park's, both his office chart and his
23		deposition, is that he never saw a sore in
24		Mrs. Bailes' nose in 1999 or 2000. I am asking
25		if you have information to the contrary?
	I	
		73
----	----	---
1	A.	I may be missing something because I'm reading
2		this very quickly. I see what is page 34 of
3		Dr. Park's deposition when he was questioned, did
4		you see actual sore or did you just see an area
5		that looks inflamed. He said exactly that's why
6		I instead of describing it wrote down my clinical
7		impression, nasal vestibulitis which is saying
8		there's inflammatory changes. The question was
9		then asked of him, so it is at that point you saw
10		inflammatory change and ulceration or lesion he
11		said correct, he said no, so I don't know if
12		no means yes or no.
13	Q.	Your ability to interpret my question and his
14		answer, I suppose?
15	Α.	From my reading of that right at the moment,
16		again my interpretation, I'm interpreting for
17		Dr. Park and I can't answer for him, it doesn't
18		look like he saw an ulceration according to that
19		question.
20	Q.	In his transcribed notes or handwritten note you
21		never see anyplace he describes ulceration in
22		1999 or 2000, correct?
23	A.	He did not use the word ulceration as best I can
24		tell.
25	Q.	Let's make sure we have covered our bases so I

		74
1		don't get mad at anyone, which I should not get
2		mad.
3		At trial you are going to opine that Dr. Park
4		met the accepted standard medical care in his
5		care and treatment of Geraldine Bailes; is that
6		correct?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And you believe that he met pararepiolite
9		standard of care for her for what reason?
10	Α.	He met the standard of care because he dealt with
11		the problems she presented. He examined the
12		patient, appears to have documented pertinent
13		physical findings, he appears to have treated
14		what he believes are the problems she had, he
15		arranged for follow-up to make sure that the
16		problems resolved.
17	Q.	Okay. Have I covered everything you're going to
18		say about Dr. Park as it regards his examination
19		and care of treatment of Mrs. Bailes?
20		MR. GRIFFIN: Objection.
21	A.	Just answering the question off the top my head,
22		I would say yes.
23	Q.	Okay. Are you going to render criticisms at the
24		trial that other physicians who cared for
25		Mrs. Bailes during the calendar years 1999, 2000
	l	

l

1		
		75
1		or 2001 deviated from accepted standard medical
2		care?
3	A.	As we talked about before I think that if I were
4		asked questions of course I would not respond
5		unless I were asked questions. If I were asked
6		questions about ultimately the outcome of
7		Mrs. Bailes and was asked about Dr. Park's care
8		versus some the other care that was subsequently
9		rendered, I would be certainly critical of some
10		of the subsequent care although I'm sure I would
11	~	testify that the nature of the disease may be
12	(such that it would not have made made a change
13		in the outcome.
14	Q.	I want to be real specific. Whose subsequent
15		care did you feel was irregular, Dr. Sieder,
16		Dr. Steinberg, who?
17	A.	I think there were I think that they were both
18		involved at that point in time and I think most
19		physicians would have biopsied her sooner, which
20		may or may not have changed the outcome.
21	Q.,	To a reasonable degree of medical probability,
22		I'm assuming you're familiar with that phrase?
23	А.	Yes.
24	Q.	Did the irregularities of Dr. Sieder and
25		Dr. Steinberger in the summer of 2001 cause or
	1	

1.

1		
		76
1		contribute to Mrs. Bailes' death?
2	Α.	Again, that's difficult to say. My true belief
3		the nature and biological make up of that tumor
4		changed during the radiation therapy. And the
5		possibility exists, and I understand what you're
6		asking about to a reasonable degree of medical
7		certainty, the possibility exists. But if the
8		biological behavior stayed the same, I would
9		testify something differently but I can't say
10		based on the change of biological behavior.
11	Q.	One again to use a favorite phrase by an
12		old-timer, Burt Fisher, you are not going to
13		state these irregularities affected the outcome
14		of the case?
15		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
16	A.	I think that her course of disease would have
17		changed if it were diagnosed sooner. Whether she
18		would have ultimately survived or not, I probably
19		can't say.
20	Q.	Doctor, you didn't write anything in your initial
21		report about these deviations that you're
22		perceiving, correct?
23	A.	Correct.
24	Q.	So to paraphrase what you said, I know it will
25		come out in the transcript, you're saying it's
	ł	

Γ		77
1		not possible for you to know that based upon the
2		change in biology of Mrs. Bailes' tumor?
3		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
4	A.	It's not possible to know with certainty.
5	Q.	So it's not more likely than not?
6		MR. GRIFFIN: Object.
7	A.	I'm not sure you can say that.
8	Q.	Okay.
9		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I think I have
10		asked you enough questions and we made it
11		in two hours.
12		MR. GRIFFIN: He'll read.
13		
14		
15		DAVID W. STEPNICK, M.D.
16		DIVID W. SIEFNICK, M.D.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

•ر

0

David W. Stepnick, M.D. August 22, 2003

0	5	again 18:17; 20:8, 16;	area 9:23, 25; 10:23;	basic 5:3; 10:11
v	۶	21:5; 29:19; 30:10; 36:7;	11:2; 28:13, 24; 29:11;	basing 22:23, 25; 23:4
)		39:19; 43:24; 44:13; 45:4, 7; 46:9; 48:1, 20; 49:4;	37:4, 7, 9; 38:20; 52:12;	basis 7:21; 43:5
1 37:14, 17	50 9:9	50:24; 54:13, 21, 24;	53:9; 57:3; 60:9, 11; 64:5, 9; 69:13; 72:5, 10, 17; 73:4	Beachwood 11:16; 12:2
	5:00 51:4	55:11; 56:5; 59:11; 61:20,	areas 24:22; 42:1	bearing 41:6
1	5th 65:10	23; 64:7; 65:5, 18; 73:16;		becomes 43:18; 50:2, 3
		76:2, 11	argument 50:18, 21; 55:8	bedside 51:19
	6	age 4:1	around 41:4; 44:16;	beforehand 54:19
25:25; 26:8; 43:16, 16;		aggressive 43:2; 45:25	51:24; 54:24; 64:5, 9	
4:1,22	• • • • • •	aggressively 52:8	arranged 74:15	begin 46:8
1, T-1 44:22	6 44:14		ascertain 56:13	behalf 7:23; 8:6, 9, 16;
0 37:16, 17; 51:25; 52:2	60 13:4	ago 8:8, 12; 17:12; 27:25; 31:25; 38:5	ascertained 13:12	16:23
0th 48:2; 49:1	66 38:4		assertion 23:20	behavior 25:11, 19; 44:7;
1th 33:16		agree 16:11; 21:9; 25:23; 32:9; 34:12; 35:9; 58:16;	assist 53:15	45:19; 55:18; 76:8, 10
	8	60:21; 65:18; 66:11	assisted 39:22	belief 14:20; 76:2
2 40:11; 44:15		agreed 26:24; 65:3	associated 60:22	believes 74:14
2,000 53:6	80 53:19		Associates 15:18; 17:8	below 20:6, 11
3th 70:18, 24		agreement 34:6	assume 9:8; 17:22;	best 4:21, 23; 14:20;
4th 38:3	8th 32:17; 33:3	ahead 36:6; 38:18; 46:7	31:17; 54:17, 18; 57:5;	17:22; 18:4; 19:17; 61:5;
5 70:25		aid 53:15	59:6; 60:5; 68:12	73:23
15th 32:18, 20; 56:19;	9	aided 39:21	assuming 24:11; 47:18;	better 18:21; 33:7; 34:10;
58:1, 13		AJCC 27:5	60:3; 62:14; 75:22	50:17, 23, 25
18 4:20; 38:7	9-5 66:2	allowed 56:22	assumption 54:14, 18	beyond 41:12
	90 45:2; 53:20	alluded 20:16; 21:21	Assurance 17:4	big 13:19; 17:11; 20:16;
18th 70:9	99 20:24	almost 19:10	attorney 7:5; 8:3, 18	41:13
1989 58:20		alone 44:3	attorneys 8:1, 2	biologic 25:11; 45:18
1998 40:14	Α	Along 63:2, 4	August 59:12, 16; 60:4;	biological 25:19; 55:18;
1999 32:7, 17, 20; 33:2,	E.	Although 49:12; 59:4;	61:19; 64:8, 15; 65:13	76:3, 8, 10
16; 38:15; 53:24; 56:15;		72:2;75:10	authored 4:20, 22; 14:4	biology 77:2
58:13; 72:14, 24; 73:22;	ability 41:18; 54:2; 73:13	always 13:3;61:1	available 26:6; 27:19;	biopsied 48:3; 55:13;
4:25	able 9:8; 42:10; 44:25;	American 27:5	47:13	75:19
	46:17; 47:10; 56:13	analysis 8:23	average 6:8; 44:7, 10	biopsies 50:19
2	abnormalities 25:6;	anatomic 27:9	aware 4:12; 5:11; 19:6;	biopsy 21:11, 24; 22:1, 2
	41:15	and/or 20:3	22:18; 32:6; 40:2	8; 23:7, 7; 36:22; 46:14,
	abnormality 41:22	anesthetic 67:3	away 30:4; 34:10; 36:1;	19, 21; 47:5, 5, 11; 48:16,
2 43:16; 55:2; 70:20	abraded 29:1	answered 38:11	38:9; 55:25; 57:9; 72:3	23; 55:9; 66:20; 67:1, 13, 16
2000 59:8, 16; 60:4;	absence 61:17	anterior 47:22	********	bit 33:7
61:19; 64:8, 16; 72:14, 24;	absorb 42:10	antibiotic 29:8, 23; 30:19	B	bleed 57:12; 67:9
73:22; 74:25	accelerated 25:14	antibiotics 29:10; 38:7		bleeding 31:7; 49:24;
2001 20:6; 22:2, 6; 25:25;	accepted 74:4; 75:1	anticipation 10:25	B 39:11, 13; 65:25	59:24:69:13
27:19; 38:3; 40:15; 47:15,	According 34:13; 73:18	anyplace 73:21	back 7:11; 14:25; 20:18;	blind 47:5
17; 48:2, 11; 49:2; 52:22;	account 24:10, 14	apart 24:6; 25:4	21:14; 26:4; 27:14; 29:21;	blocked 28:16; 29:13
55:7; 61:4; 68:17; 75:1, 25	Accredited 19:1	apparent 22:21	30:16; 31:3; 40:10; 42:6;	body 50:20
2002 22:16	accumulate 41:16		52:22; 57:12, 25; 58:19;	Bolwell 12:10
2003 4:20	accuracy 24:11	apparently 4:19; 14:15; 37:21	62:13; 64:13; 65:9; 68:9	borrow 68:6
22 37:14	accurate 20:19; 34:20;	appear 13:4; 64:20, 23	backwards 19:25; 33:15	
27th 68:17	66:15		Bactroban 37:22	both 5:8; 72:22; 75:17 bothersome 29:6
28th 59:18; 61:19; 64:8,	acquainted 11:7	appearance 22:7; 25:5; 28:20; 61:13	bad 27:3	
16	active 9:10	appears 12:23; 31:11;	Bailes 4:14; 22:15; 26:1;	break 48:11; 64:14
	actual 59:14; 73:4	33:9; 38:1; 57:4; 65:24;	31:22; 32:8, 12; 35:25;	brevity 9:2
3	actually 11:20; 14:1;	66:12, 24; 72:4; 74:12, 13	38:4; 45:6; 47:14; 52:11;	brief 43:3
	19:7; 27:12; 31:23; 38:23;	applied 43:10	53:23; 56:18; 57:15, 25;	briefly 4:10
	39:17; 54:21	apply 60:20	59:8; 60:4; 61:4; 62:10;	Buckingham 6:3
3 43:16	add 29:10, 23; 32:1	appreciate 5:20	64:17; 66:8; 72:24; 74:5, 19, 25; 75:7; 76:1; 77:2	buckle 66:18, 20; 67:1
045.10				Burt 76:12
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25	addition 43:22	approach 18.22 23	Halle 20.5.21.10	
		approach 18:22, 23	Bails 20:5; 21:10	
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13	addition 43:22	appropriate 57:9; 62:4	Barberton 67:6	С
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13	addition 43:22 additional 15:7, 20, 22;	appropriate 57:9; 62:4 appropriately 57:7	Barberton 67:6 Barry 11:8	С
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13 34 73:2	addition 43:22 additional 15:7, 20, 22; 16:5, 9; 25:5	appropriate 57:9; 62:4 appropriately 57:7 approximate 53:16	Barberton 67:6 Barry 11:8 base 55:20, 21	
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13	addition 43:22 additional 15:7, 20, 22; 16:5, 9; 25:5 adherent 56:24 adjacent 72:11	appropriate 57:9; 62:4 appropriately 57:7 approximate 53:16 approximately 32:12;	Barberton 67:6 Barry 11:8 base 55:20, 21 based 23:21; 29:24;	C 70:13, 16
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13 34 73:2	addition 43:22 additional 15:7, 20, 22; 16:5, 9; 25:5 adherent 56:24	appropriate 57:9; 62:4 appropriately 57:7 approximate 53:16 approximately 32:12; 34:6; 35:19, 25; 38:5;	Barberton 67:6 Barry 11:8 base 55:20,21 based 23:21; 29:24; 35:14; 45:3, 25; 47:12;	C 70:13, 16 calendar 74:25
30 70:21, 22, 24, 25 31 65:13 34 73:2	addition 43:22 additional 15:7, 20, 22; 16:5, 9; 25:5 adherent 56:24 adjacent 72:11 admittedly 43:9	appropriate 57:9; 62:4 appropriately 57:7 approximate 53:16 approximately 32:12;	Barberton 67:6 Barry 11:8 base 55:20, 21 based 23:21; 29:24;	C 70:13, 16

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(1) 01 - called

David W. Stepnick, M.D. August 22, 2003

	***			August 22, 2005
49:14	centimeters 37:8	Committee 27:6	cover 40:2	39:18; 56:14; 58:12, 21;
calling 30:7; 59:25	certain 9:5; 15:16; 44:8;	communicate 43:13;	covered 73:25; 74:17	65:20;71:21;72:16,23;
ame 20:18; 24:13;	52:12, 19	44:5	cow 68:25	73:3
7:11; 29:21; 31:3; 47:3;	certainly 39:20; 53:7;	Community 18:5	cow-eared 69:7	depositions 7:20; 14:17;
68:15	55:9; 72:9; 75:9	compare 59:3	cresting 28:24	20:3; 31:12, 23; 32:1, 5
campus 19:20	certainty 76:7; 77:4	compared 69:9	critical 75:9	dermal 24:20, 20; 55:16;
Can 10:3; 13:25; 15:9;	certified 4:5	comparisons 45:3	criticisms 74:23	56:1
21:3, 14; 27:11; 28:6, 9,	cetera 19:19; 49:13	compendium 68:11	cross-examination 4:3,	dermatology 55:23
10, 11, 24; 31:14; 32:13; 35:10; 36:16; 38:19;	Chagrin 12:2	complained 38:15	7; 21:7	dermis 24:21, 22; 25:7
39:19, 21; 40:5; 43:10, 13,	challenging 24:12	complaining 66:9; 69:11	crust 57:7, 9, 10, 11, 13;	Describe 18:23; 37:10;
19; 45:16; 47:23; 48:11;	chance 26:17; 43:7; 52:1;	complaint 62:5, 11;	58:5, 10	64:5
49:13, 23, 24, 24, 25;	53:20	66:13	crusting 56:17; 58:15;	described 22:8; 23:8;
51:11; 53:13; 54:25; 56:6,	change 25:19; 54:22;	complaints 13:5; 66:2	64:6; 65:3	24:5; 29:14; 30:4, 15; 33:2 36:9; 37:5
25; 57:5; 58:11; 60:19;	60:19; 73:10; 75:12;	completely 20:22; 21:13;	crusts 56:23, 24, 25; 57:1	describes 32:9; 33:13;
61:5; 67:1, 4, 12; 68:22;	76:10; 77:2	33:7; 36:1; 38:12	curability 56:9	36:24; 48:20; 64:9, 16;
73:23; 77:7	changed 16:6; 22:21, 23;	completion 23:2	curable 43:14, 17, 17	73:21
cancer 6:13; 10:12;	23:5, 20; 24:1; 25:12;	component 54:8; 60:22	cure 26:11, 11, 17; 43:21;	describing 35:15; 38:20
16:21; 18:6, 7, 24, 25;	45:19; 46:16; 75:20; 76:4, 17	concerned 48:22; 66:17;	45:15; 53:20, 21	55:19; 73:6
19:1, 10; 20:5, 8, 15, 20;		67:8	cured 45:1, 8; 52:3	description 22:25; 61:20
21:12; 23:8, 21; 25:7, 20; 26:1, 8, 25; 27:6, 6, 13;	changes 23:25; 46:9; 54:16; 73:8	concluding 42:23	Current 41:11, 14	descriptions 27:9
37:6; 38:16; 40:17, 22;	changing 25:15	conclusion 20:4; 21:10;	currently 8:14; 9:5; 27:1	descriptive 36:25
41:8, 10, 12, 15, 23; 42:2,	characteristic 24:24	65:5	Cuyahoga 7:23	descriptors 36:11
4, 5, 7, 20; 43:15, 19, 21,		condition 29:15; 36:16;	CV 6:16; 9:3	despite 44:19
25; 44:1; 45:15; 46:5, 16;	characteristics 23:10; 36:8	57:23; 71:24, 25		destroyed 61:18
47:11; 48:10, 22; 49:10,	characterized 71:25	conduct 34:15	D	destruction 49:25
12, 21; 50:13, 15, 17; 51:6;		conference 12:1, 7; 19:12, 15		detect 50:12, 16
53:2, 6, 17, 19, 24; 54:3, 7,	chart 15:1, 3, 4, 17; 38:23; 39:16, 17; 59:1, 4;	confused 56:11	data 26:6	detectable 55:9
9, 11; 55:17; 60:5, 9, 13,	67:15, 21; 72:22	connection 30:2	date 25:3; 32:15; 64:7	detected 21:3; 37:6
25; 61:2, 3, 15, 18	charting 47:13	consider 20:25; 30:12;	dated 4:20	determine 21:12; 36:3;
cancers 40:18; 46:8;	charts 71:4	31:1; 32:2; 44:23	dates 21:20	42:8; 56:17
capabilities 34:18	chemotherapy 18:12;	considered 20:11;	DAVID 4:1,7;31:21;	determined 38:17
capacity 7:1	44:1	24:19, 25; 28:5; 29:2;	77:15	determining 39:22
capillaries 49:15	children's 31:14	40:19; 41:20	day 14:11; 67:10	develop 45:9; 49:10
carcinoma 24:24	choice 26:19	consist 12:13	days 27:25; 32:13; 65:12,	developed 38:5
care 5:9; 14:19; 17:18,	chose 67:14	consists 19:3	13,14	development 41:10, 12,
18; 18:5, 21; 20:6, 12;	chosen 26:15	consultation 26:20;	dead 44:15	14, 23; 42:2
26:13; 29:7; 54:11; 58:16;	chromosome 41:16	38:2, 2	deal 18:11	deviated 36:12; 75:1
74:4, 5, 9, 10, 19; 75:2, 7,	chromosomes 41:22;	contact 70:1	dealing 18:15	deviation 47:22, 25
8, 10, 15	42:6	contacted 6:11	dealt 74:10	deviations 76:21
cared 74:24	cigarette 40:16, 22;	contained 23:4; 35:14	death 22:15; 43:3; 76:1	diagnosable 46:5, 11,
caring 19:22	42:13	contest 32:14	Deborah 31:18	18; 47:15
carrier 17:1, 8, 13	circles 54:25	continued 30:6; 69:12,	decide 43:23	diagnose 16:20; 47:2;
carries 53:19; 54:7	Citizens 67:6	15	decided 26:16	57:22
case 6:8; 8:15, 23; 11:5;	Civil 4:4	contrary 72:25	deeper 30:14	diagnoseable 46:20
12:10; 31:1, 12; 34:22;	clarify 69:3	contribute 76:1	define 50:3	diagnosed 48:10; 52:19
40:9; 43:11; 44:11, 21;	classes 9:18	contributed 22:15	defined 52:13	53:23; 54:8, 14, 21; 55:6;
45:5; 56:3; 63:15; 67:25; 70:2; 76:14	classically 72:2	conversation 43:4;	defines 49:22	61:4;76:17
cases 6:11, 12, 13, 17;	cleaning 29:7	51:16;63:3	definition 27:23, 24	diagnoses 52:24
8:13; 16:24; 25:24; 47:6;	clear 38:13; 40:15, 21;	copy 8:24; 14:12, 14	degeneration 46:15	diagnosis 20:5; 30:1, 2;
53:4	71:6, 21	correcting 58:9	degree 22:12; 40:13;	46:4; 47:19; 48:18, 24;
causation 5:10	clearly 50:21	correctly 40:23; 42:12	43:7; 60:12; 75:21; 76:6	50:23, 24; 53:25; 56:8; 63:21
cause 36:18; 42:5; 45:12;	Cleveland 11:25; 15:22;	correlate 69:5	degrees 62:16	
47:23; 57:11; 75:25	26:20; 42:19	correlating 71:11	delay 21:24	dictated 59:6
caused 16:9; 22:14; 28:9	Clinic 12:11; 15:22; 26:21	correlation 40:16; 71:13	dentists 19:8	died 44:18
causes 42:4, 14	clinical 9:10; 60:10, 18;	correlations 42:1	depend 36:7	difference 13:17; 24:14
cavity 27:2; 40:20; 57:2;	73:6	correspondence 4:13	depending 60:20	different 10:2; 12:21;
50:19	clogged 28:16; 66:3	County 7:23		13:20; 19:14; 28:21; 36:4 41:21; 42:3, 8; 44:19; 51
cell 24:24	close 72:3	couple 37:8; 60:2	depends 9:23, 24; 29:5; 49:22	53:13; 56:23; 57:5
cells 25:13; 41:18; 46:9,	closer 43:21, 21	course 8:23; 14:12;	deposed 4:5	differential 30:6, 21, 24
14, 16, 16	commend 10:6, 10	16:16; 47:20; 75:4; 76:16	deposition 4:11; 5:4;	differently 76:9
	commenting 64:2	court 8:6,9	6:20, 23; 8:6, 8; 11:1;	difficult 22:17; 39:20;
Center 15:17; 18:25; 19:1	oonnonning 04.2	Court 0.0, 9	0.20.25 8.6 8 11.1	

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(2) calling - difficult

direct 25:6		exact 37:9	68:7; 72:3
disappear 20:22	E	exactly 73:5	68:7; 72:5 figure 47:3
isappeared 21:13		exam 23:1; 24:6; 34:13;	file 70:5, 24; 71:1
Jischarge 69:15	ear 63:23; 66:3; 68:25	50:6	files 71:9, 10
discovery 44:17	earlier 19:12; 48:16, 24;	examination 24:12, 15;	filled 34:25
discussed 16:6; 30:19;	50:2, 2, 16, 24; 54:15;	32:23; 33:25; 34:15; 49:1;	find 5:7; 11:1; 27:17;
31:9; 46:10; 49:3; 50:4;	55:4, 5; 56:8	74:18	32:21; 46:17; 47:6, 10;
56:12	early 50:9, 13, 22; 59:13	examined 32:7; 57:3;	54:11
discussing 25:2;61:10	ears 13:6; 63:7, 9	74:11	finding 48:25; 57:8; 58:3,
disease 25:9; 36:4; 43:1;	easier 11:22	examining 57:6 example 43:24; 44:13	5, 14; 64:16
50:1; 75:11; 76:16	easy 10:5; 51:4	excoriation 28:25; 30:3,	findings 23:22; 50:5; 59:21; 69:4; 74:13
dispute 38:14	edges 31:5; 36:11; 49:13;	8, 10, 14, 20; 34:3; 47:24;	finds 33:25; 65:18
distinction 50:7	50:5	72:6, 10	fine 5:24; 38:12
DNA 42:7	educate 19:13	Exhibit 35:3, 5; 39:11, 13;	firm 6:3
Doctor 4:9, 21; 13:15;	education 19:15; 51:9 Edwin 15:18	65:25; 70:13, 16	first 4:4; 23:7; 30:8;
16:13; 27:21; 31:8; 39:16;	effect 47:25	exists 76:5, 7	42:24; 47:14; 51:22; 56:7;
50:7; 52:23; 63:16; 67:20,	eight 6:21; 65:12, 14;	expect 28:19; 29:12;	58:13; 70:1
25; 76:20	69:8, 18	46:1, 2; 63:19	Fisher 76:12
doctor's 24:11	either 8:5; 12:7; 45:1;	expected 67:20	five 44:16
document 63:16	49:18; 56:13	experience 53:8, 12; 60:10	flashlight 64:4
documentation 62:17	elect 26:9	experienced 60:7	flip 51:24
documented 74:12	elicited 62:10	experimental 19:19	floor 50:19
documents 38:10	eligible 19:18	expert 4:15, 19; 7:2, 17,	folders 14:7
dog-eared 68:8,17,23; 69:7	else 30:6, 21; 49:6; 56:11;	18, 24; 8:24; 9:4; 11:8	follicle 28:11; 29:13
done 10:25; 22:9, 10;	60:13	expertise 18:7, 10	follow-up 57:13; 69:8; 74:15
43:6; 48:17	elsewhere 66:24	experts 40:25	following 23:2; 25:13;
Donna 4:10	emotion 54:9	explain 43:5	58:1
Joolittle 6:4	emotional 54:7	explored 56:16	follows 4:6
Jouble 13:3	end 18:3; 19:22	extend 5:16	forehead 43:15
doubt 9:3; 37:3; 41:5	ending 25:18	extensive 51:9	form 29:2; 35:1, 1; 46:6;
down 11:9; 63:5; 68:2;	enough 51:13; 53:4; 61:19; 77:10	extent 56:16	47:16; 52:17; 68:15
69:2; 71:6, 12; 73:6	ENT 13:4; 33:11, 12; 65:6;	extreme 50:18 eyes 10:1	formation 49:9
dozen 16:18, 19; 18:18	67:1	eyes 10.1	forth 68:9
Dr 8:21; 11:8; 14:18, 22,	entire 58:15	F	fortunate 51:12
23; 15:14, 14, 19, 21, 21,	entity 10:16; 25:21; 36:5		found 71:13, 14, 19 Foundation 15:22
24; 16:2, 3; 17:15, 17, 22;	epidemiological 40:15,	fana 0.25, 50,22	four 30:5; 31:3; 34:6;
18:16; 20:13; 23:12; 24:3, 10; 27:25; 32:6, 12, 16, 17,	21	face 9:25; 50:22 facioplastic 9:25	44:18
22, 23; 33:2, 6; 34:17, 22,	episodes 29:17	fact 23:7; 25:7; 34:25;	frankly 53:4
25; 36:10, 23; 37:5, 10, 11,	equal 26:17 equipment 67:9	44:19; 46:2; 49:18; 51:24;	frequently 6:6
18, 18, 22, 24, 25; 38:1,	especially 67:2	63:25;71:18	Friday 12:6, 9; 31:10
24; 39:16, 17; 40:7, 24;	establish 19:18	factual 32:2	full-blown 25:8
41:1; 47:18; 56:2, 13, 14; 57:14, 25; 58:3; 59:1, 9,	estate 4:14	Faculty 17:7	further 65:6
12, 16; 61:7, 20; 62:9, 20;	estimate 6:21	failure 16:20	~
64:3, 15; 65:9, 15, 17;	et 19:19; 49:13	fair 4:16; 29:9; 51:7;	G
66:8, 13; 68:18, 18; 69:21;	Euclid 12:9	53:19; 61:19 fairly 44:10; 52:6	
71:4, 4, 7, 18, 19; 72:13,	evaluate 67:21	familiar 75:22	Gary 15:15
22; 73:3, 17; 74:3, 18; 75:7, 15, 16, 24, 25	evaluated 37:20	Family 17:12; 31:11; 32:1	gather 26:21; 39:21
dramatic 25:5	evaluating 40:8; 67:17,	far 23:14; 38:19	gave 17:9, 11; 38:22
drawing 58:18, 19, 22	25	fashion 45:11	general 6:10; 13:4; 24:3,
drawn 58:14	evaluation 16:5; 36:3; 65:6	fast 21:15	25; 37:7; 38:20; 43:15; 53:18, 18; 67:12
Drs 14:17	even 41:13; 46:13; 47:11,	favorite 76:11	generally 6:10; 13:8;
drying 36:13; 47:24, 25;	24; 54:12; 55:11; 60:8;	February 38:3	24:17, 17; 50:25; 52:3, 5
72: 7	63:24	feel 18:6; 75:15	Geraldine 4:14; 74:5
dryness 72:10	evening 5:23; 38:11	feels 18:9; 62:18; 63:4	gets 46:18; 54:8
duly 4:4	event 25:1;41:10	fellowship 10:8; 51:10	given 6:20; 60:8; 62:15
duration 29:5; 62:6, 11	eventually 41:17	felt 22:5, 6; 67:8	gives 33:22
During 8:23; 21:6; 25:12;	everybody 50:19	female 38:4	gland 29:13
45:19; 74:25; 76:4	evidence 67:19	few 6:13; 37:8; 46:14;	glands 28:14

glean 9:8 goal 52:2 God 71:16 goes 63:3; 65:9 good 23:18; 51:10; 52:6 granular 48:1, 20, 25; 49:5, 9, 11, 12 granulation 49:14, 19; 61:10 great 5:23 Griffin 4:13; 6:2; 14:13; 15:10; 16:4; 32:24; 36:6; 37:14, 17; 38:18; 41:2, 5; 42:15; 46:6, 23; 47:16; 48:19; 50:14; 52:17; 57:20; 58:5; 63:13; 68:24; 70:1; 74:20; 76:15; 77:3, 6, 12 group 17:5, 6, 12; 27:5 grow 24:22; 43:20; 44:11; 55:10, 15 growing 45:10; 55:17 grows 24:23; 46:17 growth 22:21, 23; 23:20; 24:1; 25:14; 41:17, 19; 44:9,18 guess 4:24; 6:15; 33:15; 40:10; 47:8; 51:7 guessing 51:11

H

hair 28:6, 11; 29:13 hairs 28:6 half 16:18, 19; 18:18; 37:8; 54:15, 19; 55:4 hall 11:9 handed 66:1 hands 48:9 hands-on 9:21 handwritten 70:17; 73:20 happen 19:10; 45:12; 63:14 happens 41:11; 45:14 hard 56:21 hate 22:22; 61:1 head 6:12; 12:6, 19, 24; 13:13; 17:23; 18:5; 19:1; 32:4; 40:19; 53:10; 74:21 heal 37:21 healed 35:22 healing 49:16 Health 15:16,18 heaped 49:13 heard 11:11, 13; 26:3; 38:13 help 14:15; 19:15; 32:21; 38:8; 42:8; 60:23 helped 38:8 helps 43:23 hereinafter 4:5 herring 21:6

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

hiding 57:8
highest 42:1
Highlands 12:3
ighlighted 14:12
highly 41:5
histology 23:4
historical 44:6
history 33:22; 35:18;
38:3; 61:23; 62:4
honest 8:4
honestly 5:7
hopefully 12:22; 43:21;
51:5
Hospital 15:19; 67:6
Hospitals 11:24; 12:4;
17:7; 18:24; 42:19
hottest 41:25
hour 71:3
hours 40:7; 77:11
hundred 52:3
hundreds 55:23, 24
hypothetically 35:24

I

identification 35:6; 39:14:70:14 identified 18:20 'maging 46:12 mpacted 56:9 imperfect 43:10; 54:22 implants 24:21 implication 35:21 important 19:24; 43:18 impression 73:7 improve 53:21 improved 54:2 improvement 60:10 improving 33:10 inch 37:8 include 67:20 included 27:12; 62:20, 22 includes 27:1 Including 23:12 increase 55:15:56:1 indeed 57:3, 13 independent 45:20 independently 23:15 index 15:10; 68:12 indicate 23:25; 42:25; 59:23;64:24 indicates 32:6 indicating 5:17 indication 69:12 nfected 28:11: 29:13: 54:20,23 infection 60:23 inflamed 28:6; 73:5 inflammation 28:9 inflammatory 73:8, 10

information 15:2; 32:2; 47:12;72:25 initial 20:1; 37:11; 38:2; 76:20 initially 16:7 inside 28:4 instance 36:12 instead 73:6 Institute 18:25 institution 18:21 instructions 34:5 insurance 17:1 intact 26:18 intention 67:17 interested 10:21; 18:14; 69:9 internal 63:20 Internet 27:3 interpret 73:13 interpretation 73:16 interpreting 73:16 into 12:23; 19:24; 38:21 intricacies 18:11 introduced 4:10 invisible 61:3 involved 10:7:75:18 involvement 55:16 Ireland 18:24 irregular 75:15 irregularities 20:10; 22:5, 14; 75:24; 76:13 **issue** 5:9; 13:13; 56:12 issues 11:5; 38:22 J James 31:21 January 20:5; 22:15; 27:19; 37:14, 16, 17; 40:14; 47:15, 17; 48:2, 11; 49:1; 52:22; 55:7; 61:4 **Jim** 17:9 Joint 27:6 July 22:6; 58:19

K

June 68:17

Karen 31:16 keeping 29:10 Kenneth 31:21 kind 29:14; 36:2; 49:10 kindly 66:1 kinds 6:11; 25:5 knew 17:16; 62:25 knowing 10:21; 53:15; 57:2;70:4 knowledge 4:21, 23; 5:12; 6:5; 17:23; 18:4 known 25:21; 27:8; 42:17; 53:11

knows 62:18, 23; 63:17; 71:16 Koch 40:24 Kolis 4:10 L large 18:18; 46:20; 57:16; 68:11;69:2 largely 45:3; 53:9 larger 46:18 laryngeal 53:6 larynx 40:21 last 7:12; 8:5, 11; 42:24 late 59:12 later 24:8; 32:13; 44:16; 50:23;65:14 Lavertu 8:21 law 6:3; 8:6, 9 lawful 4:1 lawsuit 4:16:7:4 lax 63:11 laver 30:8 layers 28:25; 30:11 lead 41:17; 56:1 learning 9:21 least 5:12; 9:9; 43:17 leave 26:17 lecture 11:11, 13; 17:9, 11 led 43:2 left 32:8; 33:9, 19; 34:1; 35:16; 37:20; 38:15; 47:22; 58:14; 63:18; 69:15 legal 6:6 lesion 22:8; 33:9; 52:13; 66:3, 18, 21; 67:1; 73:10 letter 37:18; 40:2 licensed 9:6 life 29:19 lift 9:25 light 29:2 likelihood 43:13 likely 25:11; 63:16; 77:5 line 50:19 lines 63:2 link 40:22 linked 41:23 listed 16:10; 71:5 literature 10:22, 25; 11:4; 40:23; 55:21 litigation 6:2; 17:14 little 19:25; 58:19; 63:11 living 11:15; 23:24 local 29:7; 41:19; 50:6; 67:2 location 37:13; 72:11 locations 19:21 long 5:24; 17:12; 29:12; 31:25; 33:22; 49:8 look 6:17; 10:15; 15:1; 21:7, 20; 27:14; 31:2, 5;

32:5, 13, 22, 25; 38:10; 39:19; 40:10; 43:18; 49:15; 56:6; 58:11; 62:13; 64:15, 24; 65:22; 72:18, 20;73:18 20 looked 23:15; 31:11, 17; 41:25;67:7 looking 24:4; 31:16; 33:4; 37:1, 19; 38:10; 39:16; 40:24; 42:6; 50:8; 64:4; 62:24 65:21, 25; 66:25; 68:11, 24 looks 31:21; 33:5; 34:10; 49:23; 50:7, 9; 59:15; 73:5 lot 54:9; 59:2; 64:3 love 13:16 lymph 50:1 M 76:6 m 70:22 M-a-k-k 16:4 M.D 4:1, 7; 77:15 mad 74:1, 2 main 19:20 majority 6:17; 12:13, 16, 19, 25; 13:10 makes 50:24; 58:3, 10 making 54:14 Makk 16:3; 23:12 malignancy 31:2 56:1 malignant 46:15 **Malone** 17:9 manifestation 48:15: 60:18 manifested 61:6 manner 51:19 Manning 14:17; 32:6, 16, 22; 33:2, 6; 34:17, 22, 25; 36:10, 23; 37:5, 18; 59:12, 16; 61:20; 64:3, 15; 65:15; 66:8;71:4,5,18 Manning's 14:23; 15:14; 32:17 many 6:20; 7:19; 8:9, 13; 10:14; 11:20; 16:17; 32:13; 40:7; 48:12; 57:18, 20, 22; 61:23; 62:2, 19; 63:23;71:20 mark 35:3: 39:11 73:15 marked 35:6; 39:14; 70:14,16 marker 69:18 mass 38:8; 41:20; 49:23 masses 50:9 material 10:15; 11:12, 14; 31:10; 42:11 materials 13:25; 16:6; 20:1,2matter 4:22; 9:5; 13:25; 44:18 matters 6:7 may 5:15, 15; 13:12; 15:2; 46:12; 54:10; 63:24; 75:18 17:10, 25; 27:20, 20; 29:10, 22; 35:8; 36:14, 15; mouth 66:3

42:5; 44:11; 46:17; 47:10, 10; 49:23; 50:4; 55:10; 56:6; 57:9, 12; 61:12; 62:20, 21; 64:2; 67:7, 9; 72:8, 19; 73:1; 75:11, 20, maybe 8:8; 40:24; 50:9; 51:25; 56:7 mean 7:4; 13:20; 58:6; meaning 46:16; 54:8 means 20:18; 21:1; 28:25; 30:10; 73:12 meant 64:22 medical 6:6; 9:15, 18; 14:24; 15:7, 17; 19:7, 8; 20:2; 22:13; 40:8, 13; 47:12; 48:8; 59:1; 63:23, 25; 68:12; 74:4; 75:1, 21; medically 5:16 medicine 9:11; 63:20 meets 28:3 member 17:5.6 members 6:3; 31:11 memory 32:14; 48:2 mention 58:3, 10 mentioned 21:6; 47:23 met 74:4, 8, 10 metastasis 24:20, 20; metastasize 41:18 metastasized 47:2 metastatic 25:9; 50:1 microscope 47:7 microscopic 21:1 microscopically 55:16 might 17:10; 29:2; 40:3; 41:3; 42:17; 67:9 millimeters 72:3 mind 20:17; 37:3; 69:4 mine 41:4 minutes 70:24, 25 missing 49:6; 73:1 modalities 19:14 modality 19:17; 30:18 moist 29:10 moment 37:19; 41:11; Monday 11:23 month 12:10; 38:7 months 21:12; 37:23; 44:15; 54:1, 1; 55:5 more 5:21; 8:9; 16:12; 18:7, 10; 26:18; 27:7; 29:8; 36:15, 18; 38:10; 44:12, 12; 49:5; 50:3; 52:7; 53:7; 55:18, 21; 64:3; 77:5 morning 12:1, 5, 7 Most 6:12; 13:20; 15:23; 19:24; 22:10; 24:2; 25:11; 39:19; 41:25; 43:16; 45:7;

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650 Min-U-Script®

(4) hiding - mouth

Move 42:15 Mrs 20:5; 21:10; 22:15; [°]6:1; 32:8, 12; 35:25; 1:14; 52:11; 53:23; 56:18; 57:15, 25; 59:8; 60:4; 61:4; 62:10; 64:17; 66:8;72:24;74:19,25; 75:7;76:1;77:2 much 44:12, 12; 50:3; 51:4 mucosa 28:4 mucous 64:6 multidisciplinary 19:2, 11 multiple 4:12; 10:14; 24:8,18 mutation 41:6; 42:14, 21 mutations 41:21 Mutual 17:4 myself 22:22; 47:21 mythical 51:14; 52:15

N name 4:9; 10:3 names 8:4 nasal 10:12; 16:20; 27:2, 12; 28:1, 5, 19; 32:8; 33:6, 9; 35:12; 36:2, 13; 40:16, 18; 42:20; 49:20, 23; 50:8, 12, 15; 51:6; 53:2, 2, 17; 5:14; 57:2; 60:19; 61:21; 63:18; 65:7; 69:16; 72:2; 73:7 nasoseptal 10:12 National 18:25 nature 63:10; 75:11; 76:3 nearly 36:21 necessarily 31:5; 49:11; 51:1; 54:5; 62:24; 69:24; 71:8 neck 6:13; 12:6, 19, 24; 13:13; 17:23; 18:6; 19:2; 40:20; 43:15; 47:2; 53:10 need 18:10; 25:2; 36:3; 47:9; 52:7 negative 13:3 new 10:23; 11:1; 20:20; 49:7 next 22:2; 38:7; 72:12 nodes 50:1 nodules 24:9, 13, 19 none 55:11 normal 46:16; 47:5; 49:16;61:18 nose 13:17, 18, 21, 22; 28:2; 33:20; 34:16, 23; 35:10, 12; 37:20; 38:6; 56:16, 18; 57:6, 11; 58:4; 60:7; 62:5, 11; 63:7, 10, 23; 64:4, 17; 66:2, 10, 10, 14, 16; 72:1, 14, 24 noses 13:6 nostril 58:14 Notary 7:15; 21:18

notations 71:6 note 24:4; 25:3; 31:8; 32:7; 37:24; 38:2, 2; 56:14; 61:19; 62:2; 64:15; 66:7; 67:15;73:20 notebook 48:13 notes 14:22, 23; 25:4; 32:13; 33:4, 5; 37:18; 38:24; 39:3, 6, 7, 23; 47:21; 58:18; 59:6, 14, 15, 23; 61:25; 62:9; 65:19, 21, 22; 66:2; 69:10, 21, 24; 70:17;73:20 notice 38:22; 47:10 noticing 21:22 November 32:7, 17, 18, 20, 22; 33:2, 3; 53:23; 56:15, 19; 58:1, 13 number 18:19; 19:13; 28:9; 53:5; 57:16; 63:8 numbers 51:24; 52:4 numerous 9:9 nurse 33:5 \mathbf{O} Object 36:6; 38:18; 46:6, 23; 47:16; 50:14; 52:17; 63:13;76:15;77:3,6 **Objection** 16:15; 20:14; 21:4; 26:19; 42:15; 48:19; 74:20 obscure 56:24 observation 24:11 observe 33:18 observing 67:22 obstruction 49:24; 50:8 obvious 24.2 obviously 30:25; 45:13; 49:25 occasion 6:1; 18:9 occasionally 5:13 occasions 4:25; 7:19; 18:10;63:9 occur 22:1; 45:16 occurred 45:17 occurrence 25:1 occurs 5:16 October 22:2; 33:16 off 32:4; 33:20; 35:20; 74:21 offhand 27:15; 35:8 office 11:16; 12:1, 8; 13:8; 14:16, 22, 23; 15:1, 17:32:7:38:24:39:3,5,7; 52:9; 56:14; 66:2, 23; 67:2; 72:22 offices 11:21 often 18:5; 19:4; 27:7; 28:22; 29:7; 43:25; 55:25 Ohio 9:6 ointment 29:8 old 38:4 old-timer 76:12

Once 21:5; 29:19; 45:4; 56:5; 59:11; 61:20; 65:5, 17 oncologist 19:7; 23:1; 68:20 oncologists 19:5,8 oncology 6:16; 15:20; 19.6 Ondecker 31:18 one 6:8; 8:15; 10:1; 18:1, 2; 19:13; 24:2, 6, 7, 14; 27:15; 28:11; 29:9; 31:1, 2, 16; 33:4, 21; 40:3, 25; 41:2, 22, 24, 25; 44:14; 45:4, 25; 46:1, 25, 25; 47:9, 10, 23; 48:12; 49:22, 22, 23; 50:7, 9, 18, 24; 53:4; 57:5; 69:7, 7; 71:13, 14;76:11 ones 6:14; 55:14 ongoing 57:15 only 4:22; 14:21; 31:19; 36:24; 43:7; 46:14; 61:7; 63:25; 69:20 operate 11:23, 24 operations 12:24 opine 74:3 opinion 14:18; 16:7; 22:12, 24; 34:21; 39:6; 43:6; 55:20, 21 opinions 10:19; 16:9; 19:16 opportunity 4:25; 31:8; 38:23 opposite 71:7 oral 29:10, 23; 30:19; 40:20 order 66:21; 67:16 orders 66:20 originally 22:18 others 23:2; 31:20 otherwise 50:16; 67:14 otolaryngologist 13:16; 18:9; 36:23; 62:6 otolaryngologists 19:3 otolaryngology 9:22, 23; 12:23 out 5:7; 11:1; 27:11; 34:25; 35:11; 39:20; 47:3; 52:2, 4; 54:11; 76:25 outcome 75:6, 13, 20; 76:13 outside 28:3; 55:22 outward 60:18 over 33:9; 38:6, 11; 57:16;63:6,9 overall 26:13 oversight 31:9 overused 71:20 own 27:24; 55:22; 59:3 Р

20 page 5:22; 33:4; 56:7, 7; 68:17, 23; 73:2 pages 9:9; 68:1, 8 pain 31:5; 49:24; 50:6; 69:13 Paragon 15:18 paragraph 42:24, 25 paraphrase 76:24 pararepiolite 74:8 Park 14:17; 17:15, 17, 22; 18:16; 32:12, 23; 37:22, 25; 56:2; 57:14, 25; 58:3; 59:9; 62:20; 65:9, 17; 66:13; 71:4, 7, 19; 73:17; 74:3, 18 Park's 14:22; 15:14; 38:24; 39:16, 17; 56:14; 62:9; 72:13, 22; 73:3; 75:7 part 7:12; 15:1, 2, 4; 19:1, 11; 25:18; 33:19; 49:3; 62:4 particular 6:1; 10:6; 23:21; 25:20; 27:8; 36:20; 37:4; 43:14; 44:9, 21; 49:10; 51:19; 53:24; 62:2; 65:17;67:10;71:11 particularly 29:6; 43:1; 45:24particulars 38:21 parts 50:20 passage 33:6 past 15:25; 51:4; 53:18 pathologist 16:2 pathologists 19:9 pathology 15:15; 23:5, 16 patient 6:22; 7:4, 6, 21, 23; 8:3, 7, 17, 19; 12:3; 18:15; 19:18; 24:16; 26:13, 18; 28:8, 18; 29:20; 30:7; 33:19; 36:17, 20; 42:6, 9; 51:22; 52:1, 10, 11; 57:12; 62:19; 63:4, 8, 17;74:12 patient's 34:15 patients 11:19; 12:5, 17, 19; 13:7, 10; 17:18, 19, 20; 18:3, 6, 13, 18; 19:4, 10, 23; 29:16, 18; 42:5, 7, 20; 44:14, 16; 45:8; 51:16; 54:10; 55:21 payments 16:23 people 13:5, 20; 47:1; 52:19, 24; 64:3 per 6:8; 34:5 perceiving 76:22 percent 9:9; 20:24; 45:2; 52:1; 53:20 percentage 52:19 percentages 51:21; 52:23 performed 21:25; 67:6 perhaps 6:9; 16:18; 21:23; 22:8; 36:4; 41:12; 45:2;63:10

David W. Stepnick, M.D. August 22, 2003

period 24:23; 25:8, 16; 58:15;63:6 persist 29:15 persistence 20:13, 15, 25 persistent 35:10, 12 persists 30:20 person 10:19; 26:6, 7; 53:22;63:3 personally 30:12; 56:2 pertinent 74:12 **PET** 46:13 pharynx 40:21 phrase 62:2; 75:22; 76:11 physical 23:1, 21, 25; 25:15; 34:15; 48:15, 21; 50:5; 57:8; 69:4; 74:13 physically 55:12 physician 5:12; 7:2; 8:17, 18; 52:18; 62:18; 63:7; 64:23 physicians 13:12; 18:5, 13; 19:16; 20:24; 22:10; 34:19; 43:12; 54:12; 62:17; 63:24; 74:24; 75:19 picked 55:25 picture 29:25 **piece** 6:2 Pierre 8:25 pile 14:4 **pimple** 38:6 pinker 28:4 place 11:18; 20:23; 48:19 placed 67:15 plaintiff 8:16 Plaintiffs 4:2; 8:1, 2, 3; 35:3, 5; 39:11, 13; 65:25; 70:13,16 plan 65:9 plans 5:23 plastic 24:3 please 7:12, 19; 26:5 pocket 27:16 point 12:14, 21; 13:15; 21:3; 22:9; 24:7; 25:15; 26:6; 30:22; 34:9; 40:6; 42:17; 46:8, 10, 18, 25; 48:9, 16; 49:22; 53:11; 69:3, 12; 73:9; 75:18 points 71:11 poor 51:25; 52:6 portion 7:14; 21:17; 28:2 possibility 76:5,7 possible 77:1,4 potentially 20:11; 57:8; 63:14 practice 9:11; 11:18; 12:13, 16, 22; 13:4; 17:7 practices 63:7 practitioner 63:19 practitioners 59:2

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

P53 41:6, 24; 42:12, 14,

(5) Move - precise

precise 48:6

Youn Park, M.D., et al. August 22, 2003				
predictable 44:10	pus 64:6	recognized 27:18	56:5; 70:10; 76:21	schedule 66:25
prefer 53:3	push 50:17	recollection 6:14; 18:17;	reports 15:16	school 9:15, 18
referable 50:12	put 12:21; 63:5	22:3; 23:9, 11; 24:5; 32:11;	represent 4:13; 10:19;	schools 63:23
reference 25:25	putting 71:11	33:1; 57:14; 58:11; 72:21	22:1	search 10:22, 25; 11:4
premise 34:21		reconstruct 26:14	represented 58:22, 24	searcher 27:3
preparation 70:7	0	record 4:9; 7:15; 9:3;	representing 7:5; 8:3,	second 12:9; 21:11; 23:7;
prepared 39:25	Q	16:16; 21:18; 31:14;	16, 19	26:9, 23; 48:12; 56:6
preparing 40:9		62:21, 22; 66:13; 69:1	request 39:5	seeding 25:7
present 8:13; 11:5; 29:1;	qualified 9:4	records 14:16, 24; 15:7,	requested 7:14; 21:17	seeing 12:5, 17; 13:4, 9;
30:3; 36:15, 17; 54:13;	quicker 12:21	11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21,	required 19:23	28:22; 55:23; 69:11
55:11, 12	quickly 44:12; 73:2	21; 20:7; 21:21, 22; 35:14; 38:19; 40:8; 48:8, 12; 61:5,	requires 18:7	seem 66:17
presentation 37:12	quite 43:9	8; 68:12	residents 9:16, 17, 20;	seemed 21:23; 29:16
presented 19:11; 30:17;	quote 42:25; 53:6	recur 20:9	10:7, 21; 19:13	seems 37:7
48:7;74:11		recurred 20:8, 23	resolved 74:16	sees 33:15; 62:19
presents 32:12; 51:13	R	recurrence 20:25; 44:17	respond 46:2; 75:4	semantically 20:9
pressing 5:21		recurrences 20:14, 17;	response 53:9	semanticist 66:15
presume 35:23, 24	radiation 18:12; 19:6;	24:18	result 25:20; 31:6; 72:6	semantics 13:19; 20:16;
pretty 5:3; 42:13; 71:6	21:10; 22:20; 23:1, 3;	recurrent 59:23	resulted 48:17, 23	30:10; 41:14; 60:3, 3;
Primarily 9:17	25:12, 13, 17, 20; 26:2, 10,	recurring 29:17	return 68:7	71:20
primary 6:14; 11:18	16; 43:25; 44:2; 45:1, 6, 12, 14, 20, 21, 22; 68:20;	red 21:6	returning 45:10	sense 5:14;34:20, 20
prior 6:1; 13:24; 14:20;	69:13; 76:4	rediscovered 20:21	returns 34:5; 59:8	sentence 42:24; 43:4;
17:14; 39:6; 46:4; 47:15;	radiologists 19:9	redness 28:24;65:2	review 6:6, 11; 15:24;	56:5
48:10; 59:11; 66:9	radiology 15:15	refer 9:20; 18:14	38:23; 49:4; 72:21	separated 40:6
probability 22:13; 40:14; 75:21	rapid 24:25; 45:11	reference 44:20	reviewed 14:1, 9, 16, 22;	septal 26:7, 25; 47:22, 25; 53:2, 17
probably 4:12; 6:8, 12;	rare 53:8	referral 34:25; 35:1; 65:6	15:6, 9, 12, 13; 20:1, 7; 71:10	September 40:14; 59:8,
7:20; 10:13, 20; 11:22;	rate 22:21, 23; 23:20;	referred 13:11; 17:19, 20;	reviewing 8:14; 9:3;	13;65:10
13:20; 15:23; 18:17;	24:1; 25:14; 44:9, 19; 45:2;	18:17; 19:12; 32:15; 36:10	58:19; 59:14; 70:2; 71:9	septum 33:9, 19; 34:1;
20:19, 24; 23:19; 24:2;	53:21	referring 12:2; 18:3; 32:19	revised 27:7, 10	36:13; 59:23; 61:21;
26:15, 16; 29:1, 21; 43:11; 5:16; 46:12; 51:11;	rates 26:12	refers 13:21	Right 14:25; 16:13;	63:19; 65:7; 69:16; 72:2
53:18; 54:9; 62:23; 71:20;	rather 10:16; 68:11	reflect 69:2	23:22; 27:17; 31:12; 32:4;	series 41:15
76:18	re-reviewed 15:13	refreshing 47:21; 48:2	35:16; 37:19; 50:10;	serve 4:15
problem 18:20; 61:24;	reach 20:3	refused 44:14	56:22; 57:22; 58:1, 4, 7; 59:12, 17; 62:1; 65:7, 15;	seven 65:12, 12, 14
63:12	read 7:11, 15; 11:11, 14;	regard 37:20	66:14, 20; 68:10; 70:8;	several 19:7,7
problems 74:11, 14, 16	21:14, 18; 26:4; 27:25; 31:15, 23; 39:7, 17, 19;	regarding 13:13; 14:18;	71:5; 72:11, 11, 12; 73:15	severity 29:5
Procedure 4:4; 9:24	40:23; 49:5; 53:14; 77:12	17:12	rightfully 54:10	shallow 33:25; 63:18; 64:17; 65:3
process 30:13; 43:1	reader 27:3	regardless 45:21	risk 55:16	Shaw 15:19
processes 72:7	reading 10:7, 11; 14:15;	regards 74:18	rolled 31:4; 36:11; 50:5	short 25:16; 64:14
product 25:6; 60:20, 23	16:5; 21:22; 32:1; 38:1;	regrew 20:9	room 19:16	show 20:22
professional 9:10	42:11; 47:17; 58:21; 61:7;	regrowing 21:23	Roughly 22:4	shown 48:4
professionally 13:11 prognosis 51:2, 17, 25;	73:1, 15 real 75:14	regrown 20:21	rounding 9:16	side 32:8; 71:6, 7
52:6, 7; 53:19; 54:16, 23	really 36:8; 39:8; 42:8;	regrowth 20:25	Rules 4:3; 5:4	Sieder 37:24; 68:18, 18;
programs 10:8	49:17; 69:19	regularly 64:1	running 71:6	69:21;75:15,24
progressed 45:23; 62:23	reason 20:19; 67:5;	relate 6:12	runny 13:6; 63:10	Sieder's 38:1
progression 31:7; 69:5	68:22;74:9	related 6:16; 55:18; 72:8	~	Sieder/Sieder 15:19
prone 29:16	reasonable 22:12; 40:13;	relation 62:10	S	sign 48:22; 49:11, 13
pronounced 29:9	75:21;76:6	relationship 69:20, 23	·	significance 48:25;
protocols 19:19	reasons 67:11	relative 10:11; 11:4; 62:4 relevant 10:23; 11:2;	sake 55:8	69:17,19
proved 43:1; 45:10	recall 8:4, 20; 14:16;	43:11	same 20:22; 23:8, 10;	significant 60:22; 68:4;
provided 4:3; 8:24; 14:18	23:14; 24:2, 6; 35:8; 37:24; 39:8; 58:21, 23; 59:21;	relies 44:5,7	30:13; 37:7, 9; 38:20;	69:20, 22, 23, 24
proximate 5:9	72:17	remember 8:12; 65:13	54:20; 55:3, 6; 61:13; 71:24; 72:11; 76:8	signs 21:23; 25:15; 31:2; 45:9; 49:20
proximity 39:8	recalling 32:4	remove 57:10	satellite 19:21; 22:8;	similar 26:12; 52:11
published 10:24; 11:11,	receive 5:22; 18:8; 43:25;	removing 57:11	24:8; 25:5	simply 5:22; 18:14;
14	44:2	render 74:23	satisfied 57:6; 62:25	20:21; 29:25; 31:6; 36:21;
pulled 70:6	received 4:19; 14:11;	rendered 7:22; 16:7; 75:9	saw 31:3, 19; 56:17; 57:3;	49:15; 50:4
pure 45:18	20:3; 39:9; 45:6; 66:4	rendering 13:24; 34:21;	72:14, 23; 73:9, 18	single 10:13; 46:9
purely 54:18	recent 72:21	39:6	saying 13:16; 23:5;	sinus 6:13
purpose 4:2; 5:7	recently 10:24; 31:18;	rephrase 26:5	61:23; 73:7; 76:25	sit 37:3; 52:9, 18
purposeful 5:14	63:25	report 4:19, 22; 8:25;	Scab 33:9	site 38:16; 41:24; 53:1, 8
purposes 9:2; 35:6; 39:14; 70:14	recess 64:11	13:24; 14:3, 8, 11, 15, 21;	scan 46:13	sites 27:10; 40:19, 20;
57.14, /0.14	recognize 34:22; 63:22	16:10; 38:21; 42:23; 54:6;	scary 64:1	53:7

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

	David W. Stepnick, M.D.
	August 22, 2003
a 7.5	/3.10.52.7

sitting 57:1 situation 49:9 **~ix** 6:21; 16:18, 19, 24; 7:23 size 21:1; 44:25; 46:2; 52:12; 54:20; 55:6 **skin** 24:19; 28:2, 3, 3, 4; 29:1; 30:8, 11; 55:24 slide 23:16 slides 23:5 slowly 44:12 small 22:18; 28:5; 44:24; 47:1 smaller 46:24; 55:10 smoking 40:16, 22; 42:13 social 11:7; 19:8 somebody 18:11, 19; 31:3; 46:13; 51:25; 55:13; 56:11 someone 18:9; 30:16; 36:12, 14; 46:11; 48:21; 51:13; 52:11; 54:8; 60:13 sometime 22:6 sometimes 34:19; 63:3, 7;67:13;68:1 somewhere 14:4 sooner 54:1; 75:19; 76:17 sophisticated 46:12 ore 13:17, 17, 21, 22, 22; 50:9; 33:6, 6, 9, 19, 23; 35:10, 12, 15, 18, 25; 36:2, 9, 14, 18, 22; 37:12, 20, 25; 38:14; 62:5, 7, 11; 66:2, 10, 10, 13, 16; 72:1, 14, 23; 73:4 sores 62:12 sorry 7:3; 36:9; 56:19 sort 15:7; 24:16; 29:24; 55:25; 60:10; 62:22 sorts 50:5 sound 5:15:64:2 sounds 49:5 South 12:8 speaking 6:10; 24:17; 52:9 specialized 12:23 **specialty** 19:5; 53:14; 55:22 **specific** 9:23, 24; 25:2; 27:9; 36:7; 41:24; 51:23; 52:4; 53:5; 72:17; 75:14 specifically 18:16; 21:20; 57:2; 58:23 speculating 62:21; 67:7 speculation 45:18 spend 12:12, 25 spending 64:1 spent 40:7; 70:24, 25; 71:9,10 spin 12:22 spoken 56:2

55:15 spreads 55:17 squamous 24:24 St 15:17 stack 40:4 Stage 25:25; 26:8; 43:16, 16, 16, 16, 16, 17, 22, 25; 44:1, 22; 55:3 staged 22:19 staging 26:23, 24; 27:1, 4, 6, 7, 11, 18; 43:9, 12; 44:4, 20; 51:14; 52:15; 54:22, 25 standard 5:9; 14:19; 20:6, 12; 47:4; 74:4, 9, 10; 75:1 standpoint 26:11, 12 start 4:11; 6:10 started 25:13; 45:8 starting 25:17 state 4:9; 9:2, 6; 50:22, 23; 55:2; 76:13 stated 14:8; 56:10 states 38:4 stating 36:21 statistical 51:21; 53:12, 16 statistically 44:25; 45:7 statistics 44:6 stay 14:10; 51:4 stayed 76:8 steal 68:6 Steinberg 75:16 Steinberger 15:21; 37:10, 11, 18; 47:18; 75:25Steinberger's 61:7 **STEPNICK** 4:1, 7; 24:10; 40:7; 56:13; 59:1; 77:15 Steve 58:9; 70:1 still 29:21; 31:4; 44:15; 56:7;72:19 strike 42:16 struck 20:10 students 63:25 studies 46:12; 53:14 stuff 19:25; 51:10 stupid 61:1 sub 53:7,8 subjected 36:13 subsequent 7:2; 15:6; 20:2, 4; 21:9; 69:10; 75:10, 14 subsequently 15:9; 75:8 substages 27:9 Suburban 12:8 success 45:2 sudden 25:4 sued 16:13, 17 suggested 36:17; 48:16 sum 39:22; 47:12 Summa 15:15, 23 summary 65:21

Summit 15:20 sundry 13:5 supplementing 9:21 suppose 68:8; 73:14 supposed 13:9 sure 40:5; 68:15; 71:23; 73:25; 74:15; 75:10; 77:7 surgeon 24:3 surgeries 12:15, 18 surgery 6:13; 17:23; 26:17, 18; 44:1, 2, 14; 45:1;66:25 surgical 19:4, 6; 26:2, 10 survivability 53:16 survival 52:1 survive 52:20, 24; 54:2 survived 76:18 suspect 53:21; 55:4 sweat 28:14; 29:13 swelling 28:23:65:2 sworn 4.4 symptom 13:23 symptomatically 38:6,8 symptoms 45:9; 48:7; 49:20 synonymously 71:22 system 27:1, 4, 12, 18; 43:9, 10, 12, 12; 44:4, 20; 52:15; 54:22, 25 Systems 15:16 T T-1 22:19; 51:13, 22; 52:16, 19, 25; 53:10, 17, 19 table 14:2 talk 11:15; 21:15; 26:8, 23; 42:23; 43:7; 51:23; 60:3;71:17 talked 36:11; 49:12; 58:12;75:3 talking 25:3; 55:14 Tantri 24:3 taught 63:24 TAYLOR-KOLIS 4:8; 14:6; 16:15; 37:15; 39:10; 41:3; 58:8; 69:1; 77:9 teach 9:13, 18 teaching 9:15 team 18:22, 23; 19:2 ten 8:12; 57:24 tender 28:22, 23, 23 tenderness 29:9; 50:6 term 20:20 termed 22:14 terminology 21:4 terms 9:21; 14:23; 36:25; 41:7;45:24 testified 6:22; 7:3; 8:5, 11; 23:12; 57:14 testify 4:25; 5:8; 75:11; 76:9

testifying 7:5 testimony 7:22; 19:13; 35:24; 39:18; 40:8; 65:20; 72:13,15 testing 42:21 textbook 10:6, 10, 13 textbooks 9:20; 10:2, 3, 14,18 Thanks 58:8 theoretical 42:13 theories 41:11 theory 41:12, 13, 14; 42:12 therapy 22:20; 23:3; 25:12, 21; 26:2, 10, 16; 43:23; 45:7, 12, 14, 20; 76:4 thereafter 22:20 Thereupon 7:14; 21:17; 35:5; 39:13; 64:11; 70:13 Thomas 15:17 though 41:13 thought 17:10; 18:20; 20:7; 30:17 thousands 55:24 three 21:12; 54:1; 55:5 three-quarters 7:20 throat 63:8, 24 throughout 24:19 throw 52:4 Thursdays 12:3 times 6:20; 16:17; 35:22; 57:10; 61:25; 62:19; 71:20 tissue 47:5; 48:1, 21, 25; 49:5, 7, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 19, 25; 61:10, 17 tissues 61:18 tobacco 42:4 today 5:1, 7; 37:4; 46:13 together 19:22; 70:6 told 68:1 tomorrow 54:12 tongue 40:20 took 71:3 top 28:25; 30:11; 32:4; 70:17;74:21 topical 29:8; 38:7; 60:8 total 39:22; 47:12 towards 18:22, 23 trading 68:9 training 10:8; 18:8; 51:9 transcribed 73:20 transcript 39:18, 25; 76:25 transcription 38:24; 39:2, 5, 21; 66:1, 4 translate 51:1 translucent 56:25; 57:1 Trantri's 15:21 travel 25:14 traveled 24:20 travels 24:21 treat 13:10; 27:21; 41:8;

43:19:52:7 treated 19:23; 29:20; 38:6; 45:22; 51:6; 74:13 treating 7:2; 8:17, 18; 26:7 treatment 18:12; 19:14, 17; 21:10; 26:2, 10; 29:3, 14; 30:18; 74:5, 19 treatments 60:8 trial 4:16; 5:8; 8:11, 12; 43:8; 71:17; 74:3, 24 Trochelman 24:3 true 25:23; 54:5; 76:2 truly 20:23; 26:24; 56:9; 60:13 try 42:2 trying 25:23; 27:24; 47:3; 51:3;69:3 Tuesdays 11:24 tumor 12:6; 13:13; 18:22, 23; 20:18, 20; 21:1, 3, 23; 22:17, 18, 19; 24:18; 25:12; 27:8; 43:14, 22; 44:9, 11, 17, 21, 23, 23, 24; 45:10, 19; 46:1, 2; 47:3, 6; 48:4; 49:13, 18; 51:22; 52:16, 20, 25; 54:13, 17, 18; 55:2; 69:5; 76:3;77:2 tumors 12:20, 24; 17:24; 20:21; 44:8, 11, 19; 45:3; 47:1; 53:10; 55:10 turn 41:4; 68:1; 69:2 two 6:9; 8:8; 27:25; 29:20; 31:19; 37:13; 38:5; 48:4; 54:1;61:25;62:1;71:23, 23; 77:11 type 23:8; 30:13; 55:14 types 56:23 typical 46:1 Typically 18:19; 19:9; 44:2;45:14 U

ulcer 29:2; 30:12; 36:22 ulceration 30:25; 31:7; 32:9; 33:13; 34:1, 23; 36:24; 47:24; 48:1, 20; 50:4, 10, 22; 59:23, 25; 60:7; 61:9, 15, 17, 21, 24; 63:18; 64:5, 9, 17; 65:3; 71:19, 22; 72:4, 6, 9; 73:10, 18, 21, 23 ulcerations 31:4; 63:1 ultimately 37:5; 38:16; 43:2; 45:9; 75:6; 76:18 unable 34:22 unclear 56:8 uncommonly 72:5 uncontrolled 41:17,19 under 47:6; 56:17; 70:20 undergo 42:20 undergone 46:15 underlying 60:9, 24;

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

summer 75:25

spread 41:18; 50:1;

Min-U-Script®

(7) sitting - underlying

(1.2.2	winit 20.17 22.2 10		······	August 22, 200
61:2,3 underneath 56:24;61:16	visit 32:17; 33:3, 18; 56:15; 58:1, 4, 13; 59:11;	wrote 14:21; 33:5, 6; 54:6; 64:15; 70:9; 73:6		
nderwent 22:20	65:5, 14, 17; 66:9, 18; 69:8			
ndetectable 21:2	WW 7	Y		
undoubtedly 5:11	W			
unexpected 69:14		year 6:8; 33:21; 35:19;		
unfortunately 59:2	W 4:1,7;77:15	36:1, 15, 19; 38:4; 44:16; 53:25: 54:14, 10: 55:4, 5		
unhighlighted 14:14	walked 11:9	53:25; 54:14, 19; 55:4, 5 years 8:8, 10, 12; 25:24;		
University 11:23; 12:4, 8; 17:6, 7, 11; 18:24; 19:20;	wane 60:14 wants 18:11	37:13; 38:5; 57:16, 18, 20,		
42:19	wants 18:11 wax 13:5; 60:14; 63:9	22, 24; 61:23, 25; 62:1, 2;		
unless 12:9; 47:11;	way 9:15; 18:1, 2; 43:24;	63:9;74:25		
56:10; 75:5	44:13; 51:3; 53:13; 56:10;			
unlikely 25:10	61:6; 70:4; 71:5; 72:19			
unquote 42:25	ways 43:19			
Unrelated 11:7	Wednesday 15:25 Wednesdays 11:25			
unusual 10:16; 36:14; 45:4; 59:5; 72:9	week 11:23; 15:25; 17:2,			
up 13:7; 18:3; 19:22;	3; 24:6, 8, 15; 25:4; 48:3;	The start to concern and the start of the st	an a	
20:22; 35:22; 50:19; 53:5;	66:9; 69:8, 18			
64:4; 66:3; 76:3	weeks 29:21; 30:5; 31:3;			
upon 23:21; 26:24; 35:14; 47:12; 48:7; 52:15; 53:9;	34:6; 44:18; 48:4 well-documented 58:25;			
60:20; 67:15; 70:4; 77:1	59:4			
upstaged 55:1	Wenig 11:8; 14:18; 20:13;			
use 20:14; 34:3; 36:24;	27:25			
40:12; 41:13; 44:5; 45:24;	Wenig's 15:24			
53:3; 54:25; 56:5; 67:2; 73:23; 76:11	Westlake 12:4, 4			, ,
used 20:13; 44:20; 47:4,	what's 22:7; 61:16; 70:16 whatnot 18:13; 29:9;			
17; 53:6; 59:3; 71:22	45:23; 50:2			
ises 62:1	whereas 44:1			
using 29:7; 30:10; 45:15, 25; 52:15; 54:21; 71:24	wherein 38:3			
usually 28:23; 72:6	whichever 53:3			
······································	white 38:4			
\mathbf{V}	whiter 28:3			
	whittled 55:25 whole 29:25; 72:18			-
VA 11:24, 25	Whose 75:14			
vaguely 51:23	Williams 15:15			
variety 10:2; 28:21; 41:21	Wilson 31:16			
various 43:18; 50:20;	wind 13:7			
62:17 vary 29:16; 67:10	withdraw 25:17; 53:22			
varying 19:15; 62:16	within 21:11; 30:4, 5, 6,			
vascular 67:8	21, 24; 31:10; 37:7; 44:17; 53:14			
verbally 5:5	Without 24:16; 39:17			
versus 20:13; 75:8	witness 4:15; 7:2, 11, 17,			
Vestib 28:1	18, 24; 9:4			
vestibular 10:12; 16:21;	word 13:16; 20:13, 15;			
26:7, 24; 27:13; 40:17, 18; 41:8; 49:21; 50:12, 15;	34:3; 40:13; 41:13; 71:20, 22, 24; 73:23			
41:8; 49:21; 50:12, 15; 51:6; 53:2, 17; 60:4	words 39:20; 69:14			
vestibule 28:1, 5, 13, 19;	work 19:25			
32:8; 35:15, 16; 36:2;	worked 6:2; 8:1			
38:15;72:12	workers 19:8			
vestibulitis 27:21, 23; 28:7, 8, 18; 29:4, 18; 30:1,	working 19:22; 43:20, 20			
2, 17; 36:5; 57:15, 19;	worse 45:16		н. 	
60:19; 63:20; 64:25;	write 76:20			
65:18, 24; 67:18, 22; 71:25; 72:8; 73:7	writing 10:19			
view 13:15	written 8:25; 13:24; 37:24; 68:17			
visible 21:2; 47:9; 61:2	wrong 17:4; 29:22; 51:12			
		2	1	t

Min-U-Script®

LAWYER'S NOTES

Page	LINE	
	- 7.1.1	
· · · ·		
	1	