Page 1 1 2 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 3 RICHLAND COUNTY, OHIO 4) Lisa M. Yates, Admin. for the 5 Estate of Dylon John King, Deceased, etc., 6 Plaintiffs, 7) Case No. 01-389D v. 8 MedCentral Health System, et al.,) 9 Defendants.) 10) 11 12 13 14 15 DEPOSITION OF SUZANNE M. SMITH New York, New York 16 17 Tuesday, December 3, 2002 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 Reported by: PENNY ECONOMAKOS 25 Job No. 142643

	Page 2	Dage 4
	Page 2	Page 4
1		1
2		2 SUZANNE M. SMITH, called as a
3		
0	December 2, 2002	
4	December 3, 2002	4 Notary Public of the State of New York, was
4	9:43 a.m.	5 examined and testified as follows:
5		6 EXAMINATION BY
6		7 MR. COOK:
7	Deposition of SUZANNE M. SMITH, held at	8 Q Could you please state your full name for
8	the offices of Esquire Deposition Services,	- 51
9	216 East 45th Street, New York, New York,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	pursuant to Notice, before Penny Economakos, a	10 A Suzanne M. Smith.
11	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the	11 Q Suzanne, my name is Todd Cook. I am here
		12 representing midwife Susan Beach in a case that's
12	State of New York.	13 been filed by the Yates family against her. Have you
13		14 ever had your deposition taken before?
14		15 A I have.
15		16 Q Let me just go through some of the
16		
17		17 guidelines for this deposition, which applies to all
18		18 depositions, but I want to make sure you understand
19		19 every question that I ask.
20		20 A Yes.
21		21 Q If for some reason you don't understand a
$\frac{21}{22}$		22 question, please tell me to do so and I will be happy
		23 to rephrase.
23		24 A I will.
24		
25		25 Q If you don't tell me that you didn't
	Page 3	Page 5
	Page 3	Page 5
1	Page 3	Page 5 1 Smith
1 2	Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S :	1 Smith
		 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you
2 3	APPEARANCES:	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions?
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A.	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can.
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ.	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Geveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Golumbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis. Q And is she here today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis. Q And is she here today? A She is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis. Q And is she here today? A She is. Q I see you have many documents before you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis. Q And is she here today? A She is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street 	 Smith understand a question, can we presume that you understood all of my questions? A Yes, you can. Q In addition, feel free to take any break today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes or the records you have before you. A Thank you. Q Did you receive a copy of a notice of deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained you in this case? A Yes. Q Who is the attorney who retained you in this case? A Donna Taylor-Kolis. Q And is she here today? A She is. Q I see you have many documents before you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5Q6In addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 BY: MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5Q6In addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have many documents before you.19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5Q6In addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A7Thank you.9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make22some copies, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 BY: MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5Q6In addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A7Thank you.9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make22A23A23A24Correct.
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 BY: MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5QIn addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A7Thank you.9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make22Some copies, correct?23A24Q24What I would like to do for the record is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 BY: MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5Q6In addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A7Thank you.9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make22A23A23A24Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A P P E A R A N C E S : FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH CO., L.P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 94113-1791 BY: DONNA TAYLOR-KOLIS, ESQ. LANE, ALTON & HORST Attorneys for Defendant 175 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215 BY: TODD A. COOK, ESQ. MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. Attorneys for Women's Care of Mansfield, Inc. 127-129 North Pierce Street P.O. Box 546 Lima, Ohio 45802 BY: MATTHEW C. HUFFMAN, ESQ. 	1Smith2understand a question, can we presume that you3understood all of my questions?4A4Yes, you can.5QIn addition, feel free to take any break6today and don't hesitate to review any of your notes7or the records you have before you.8A7Thank you.9Q9Did you receive a copy of a notice of10deposition duces tecum from the attorney who retained11you in this case?12A13Q14this case?15A16Q17A18Q19You have been kind enough before this deposition to20allow me to review your file, for lack of a better21word, and to not only review your file but also make22Some copies, correct?23A24Q24What I would like to do for the record is

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 6		Page 8
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	were asked to bring all materials and records	2	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: You answer the question
3	reviewed by you that form the basis of your opinions	3	and I will make an objection.
4	in this case. Did you bring all of the records that	4	Q The question is would it be difficult for
5	you have?	5	you or a burden to prepare a list of the cases that
6	A I brought everything.	6	you are presently and actively working on?
7	Q You were also asked to bring any and all	7	A It would not be impossible to do.
8	medical literature that you reviewed or upon which	8	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Let me interpose this
9	you intend to rely in this case to form the basis of	9	because I am going to anticipate you are going
10	any of your opinions. Did you bring any medical	10	to ask her to prepare a list. I think you will
11	literature here today?	11	appreciate it from an ethics point of view and
12	A I did not. I did not review anything	12	we will discuss it. She would not want to, nor
13	specific for the case.	13	do I think the court would require her, to
14	Q And am I correct then that as you sit here	14	disclose case names where she is currently in
15	right now you do not intend to allow any medical	15	process, either for the defendant, in the
16	literature, per se, to form the basis of any of your	16	process of evaluating data where she has not yet
17	opinions in this case?	17	issued an opinion or the case has not yet been
18	A That's true.	18	filed. Do you understand what I am saying?
19	Q Let me ask just to follow up on that, have	19	People retain you presuit to evaluate a case and
20	you conducted any review of any medical literature as	20	I think it would probably be a breach of some
21	part of your review in this case?	21	ethics for her to disclose those cases. If
22	A I have not.	22	there is a case she is working on, and I don't
23	Q You were also asked to bring a full and	23	know this to be true, that she already issued an
24	complete curriculum vitae. You have that here?	24	expert report, that would not be a problem.
25	A I have done so.	25	Q So what I am understanding based on what
	Page 7		Page 9

1

15

16

20

Smíh

2 Q You were also asked to bring all working
3 notes prepared by you.
4 A I have done that.
5 Q Number five, you were also asked to bring

5 Q Number five, you were also asked to bring 6 all billing records for this case.

A I did.

1

7

8 Ο You did that, as well? And then, number 9 six, you were asked to bring any records which 10 reflect in any way the identity of other cases in which Suzanne Smith had served as an expert witness 11 12 on behalf of plaintiffs in which the allegation was 13 medical malpractice or negligence. I did not see 14 anything related to that, correct? 15 А Correct. 16 Q And please explain why you were unable to 17 bring that information. 18 А I do not maintain any records once I have 19 been informed by counsel that the case has been

20 closed. And the only records that I have in my

- 21 possession are currently active cases.
- 22 Q And can we -- let me put it this way.
- 23 Would it be difficult for you to prepare a list of
- 24 active cases that you are currently working on at
- 25 this time?

Smith

counsel represented, and correct me if I am wrong,
right now it would not be burdensome for you to
prepare a list of cases that you are actively working
on in which you have already provided an opinion to
the coursel who retained you?

A I could do that. I am not sure how manythat would be true for, but I could find that outeasily.

Q And what you are telling us here today is
there are a certain number of files or cases that you
are presently working on in which you are simply in
the review stage?

14 A Yes.

Q Which you have not yet rendered a formal

opinion to the counsel that has retained you and you

- 17 do not feel comfortable in divulging those cases18 since that would breach some confidentiality that y
- 18 since that would breach some confidentiality that you19 have with that attorney?
 - A I think that that's true.
- 21 Q Would you be willing to prepare a list of
- 22 those active cases that you are presently working on
- 23 where you have already rendered a formal opinion and
- 24 for us in this case in compliance or partial
- 25 compliance with number six of the duces tecum?

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Page 10		Page 12
Smith	1	Smith
THE WITNESS: Do I need to consult with	2	identification, as of this date.)
those attorneys?	3	Q I have handed you what's been marked as
MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I think that's the	4	Defendants' Exhibit No. 1. Can you identify that for
issue. Real quick, you are defense counsel.	5	the record, please?
You retained her. She has written a report	6	A It is my CV.
that's on your desk that says don't you dare go	7	Q And is that a true, current, accurate and
to trial. Plaintiff's counsel does not know	8	up to date curriculum vitae?
such a report exists. You see what I'm	9	A Yes, it is.
MR. COOK: Off the record.	10	Q And does that accurately set forth your
(Discussion off the record.)	11	educational background?
Q We had just a brief discussion off the	12	A It does.
record about the duces tecum, specifically number	13	Q Does that accurately set forth your
six. My understanding right now is that you are	14	professional credentials?
going to prepare a list of the active cases that you	15	A It does.
are presently working on which you have rendered a	16	Q And does it accurately set forth, as well,
formal opinion to counsel who has retained you. You	17	your professional organizations?
will provide that to Donna and Donna will provide	18	A Yes.
that to us. Correct?	19	Q And does it accurately set forth any and
A I can do that.	20	all publications that you have participated in?
MR. COOK: Thank you so much. Now what I	21	A Yes, it does.
want to turn to briefly is your curriculum	22	Q Are there any changes or modifications or
vitae. And we covered that in the duces tecum.	23	additions that need to be made to this curriculum
I want to mark that for the record.	24	vitae as you sit here today that you can recall?
MR. HUFFMAN: I am trying not to be	25	A No.
Page 11		Page 13
Smih		
Shilb	. 1	Cua th

16 are 17 form

Page 11		Page 13
Smíh	1	Smith
interruptive and to avoid making objections, if	- 2	Q Tell me what you do today on a daily basis
necessary, as we go along. I would like to make	3	professionally.
a prophylactic objection, if you will, to any	4	A I am employed as a staff midwife at State
questions or testimony that would elicit	5	University of New York Downstate Medical Center, also
	6	known as Health Science Center of Brooklyn.
would elicit any opinions from this witness that	7	Q And as part of that position what do you
would be critical of the defendant employer in	8	do on a day-to-day basis?
this case, as we as I understand she is going	9	A At the present time and for approximately
	10	the last 12 months I have been in ambulatory care
competent to criticize this employer anyway. So	11	only first. For the first 10 months or 11 months of
that's a general objection	12	the past year in labor and delivery triage. And for
	13	the last month to six weeks in antipartum clinic.
0	14	Q And for how long have you been in that
	15	position?
	16	A I have been at Downstate for just about
	17	eight years now.
	18	Q Do you have a title?
	19	A Staff it's TH Midwife 1. It's the
•	20	staff position 1.
	21	Q And do you teach?
	22	A I precept students for clinical rotation
	23	but I am not currently teaching directly. No
	24	didactic current responsibilities.
(Defendants' Exhibit 1, CV, marked for	25	Q Please explain for the record what you
	Smih interruptive and to avoid making objections, if necessary, as we go along. I would like to make a prophylactic objection, if you will, to any questions or testimony that would elicit testimony from in answering those questions that would elicit any opinions from this witness that would be critical of the defendant employer in this case, as we as I understand she is going to express no opinions and isn't, we think, competent to criticize this employer anyway. So	Smih1interruptive and to avoid making objections, if necessary, as we go along. I would like to make a prophylactic objection, if you will, to any questions or testimony that would elicit3a prophylactic objection, if you will, to any questions or testimony that would elicit5testimony from in answering those questions that would be critical of the defendant employer in this case, as we as I understand she is going to express no opinions and isn't, we think, competent to criticize this employer anyway. So that's a general objection11MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Mr. Huffman, just for the record since we are doing this, this is attorney Taylor-Kolis. I have on four different occasions represented to Lawrence Huffman that we are not making an independent, substantive allegation against anyone in the obstetrical group. Your position in this case is simply as the employer of Susan Beach. So you are, in fact, correct there won't be any answers given by this witness in particular that criticize the cobstetrical care given by the physicians. MR. HUFFMAN: Okay, thank you.24

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	Page 14		Page 16
1	Smith	1	Smih
2	mean by you precept students.	2	work does not allow additional time for labor and
3	A When midwifery students and also medical	3	delivery coverage.
. 4	students are obtaining clinical experience, they need	4	Q So presently there is only two midwives on
5	a supervisor or preceptor who is responsible for	5	staff?
6	their both the care that they render and the	6	A Correct.
7	teaching that they need during that care.	7	Q And when were there 10 to 12 midwives on
8	Q Can you tell me specifically the types of	8	staff?
9	patients, and give me a breakdown if you can	_ 9	A As I said, I joined the practice eight
10	percentage wise, the type of patients you will see on	10	years ago so it's been dwindling ever since.
11	a day-to-day basis in your position?	11	Q Why has it dwindled?
12	A Downstate is a state university hospital	12	A Because there has been nonreplacement by
13	but cares for primarily low social economic indigent	13	the hospital of the lines and that's an institutional
14	people and the state HMO Medicare Medicaid programs	14	problem that I have no specific knowledge of.
15	from the clinic standpoint. And so we see all of the	15	Q Is Downstate still delivering relatively
16	patients in conjunction with both attending	16	the same number of babies that they were eight years
17	physicians and resident physicians who are clinic	17	ago?
18	patients of Downstate. At the present time there are	18	A Not as they were eight years ago, no.
19	one full-time and one half-time, with me being the	19	Q Why not?
20	half-time person, working in the clinic. The	20	A I have no idea.
21	midwives care for the lowest risk of those patients.	21	Q Is it a significant reduction in the
22	We see the majority of the initial visits and then we	22	number? I mean it seems like to me it would be.
23	make the determination of those that we see that are	23	A That's not something that I can determine.
24	not appropriate to stay with midwifery care.	24	I know that part of the factor was the medical
25	Q Do you deliver babies?	25	student and the resident experience and some

Page 17

	rage 13		rage 17
1	Smith	1	Smíh
2	A I am credentialed to deliver babies and	2	scenarios that are going on within resident education
3	have privileges at the hospital to do so but because	3	demanding higher experiences.
4	of the current staffing situation, other midwives and	4	Q Do you have privileges to deliver babies
5	myself are not assigned to labor and delivery and	5	at any other facility today?
6	have not been for this past year.	6	A Not at the present time.
7	Q Prior to this past year did you deliver	7	Q In the past eight years have you possessed
8	babies at Downstate?	8	privileges to deliver babies at any other hospital or
9	A Yes.	9	institution?
10	Q For how long did you deliver babies at	10	A In this eight-year period, no.
11	Downstate?	11	Q Prior to this past year, roughly how many
12	A For the past seven years. It's strictly a	12	babies did you deliver over that seven-year period,
13	function of staff number.	13	do you know?
14	Q And can you explain to me I know you	14	A Generally around 30 to 40 per year
15	said strictly a situation of staff number, but	15	personally.
16	explain to me why you are not delivering babies	16	Q And again that would be 30 to 40 per year
17	presently, why you haven't in the past year.	17	without the on-site assistance of an obstetrician?
18	A Okay. When I joined the practice	18	A Well Downstate is a university hospital.
19	approximately eight years ago there were, if my	19	There is always attending physicians present on labor
20	recollection is correct, somewheres in the vicinity	20	and delivery.
21	of 10 to 12 midwives. And as a combination of	21	Q So they are always present?
22	attrition of one sort or another over the past period	22	A Yes.
23	of time those numbers of positions have now dwindled	23	Q They would be with you
24	down to only one-and-a-half full-time equivalent	24	A No. They are present on labor and
25	positions and the service requirements versus time	25	delivery.

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 18 Page 20 Smith 1 1 Smith 2 So they are present on the labor and was actually delivered by one of my partners -- both 0 2 3 delivery floor? 3 of these cases were when I was in private practice. 4 A Right. 4 Delivered by one of my partners and the mother had a 5 Q You may be delivering the child yourself, 5 subsequent post -- labor postpartum hemorrhage after 6 but the obstetrician might be right down the hallway 6 she had gone home from the hospital several days 7 so to speak? 7 later and was admitted and cared for at another 8 A Everybody at Downstate -- there is no call 8 institution. That case was settled for a very small 9 system. If you are on call, you are in the hospital, 0 sum of money, particularly when you consider it's New 10 you are in the facility. 10 York City. Again these would be 30 to 40 deliveries 11 Q 11 Q And were both cases filed here in New York 12 per year that you yourself personally delivered? 12 City? 13 Yes. I don't remember which borough but, А Yes. And that was -- the number would 13 А have been higher eight years ago than it was a year 14 14 yes, in New York City. 15 ago. A year ago it may have actually been probably 15 0 And, to the best of your knowledge, there 16 closer to 15 to 20. 16 have been no other claims made against you for 17 0 So at its peak 30 to 40? 17 medical malpractice that have not resulted in a 18 Α Yes. 18 lawsuit? 19 0 And at its lowest a year ago 15 to 20? 19 А None that I am aware of. 20I would think, maybe. That would take a 20 А Am I correct that you have not settled any 0 21 lot of digging to figure out. 21 other incidents that did not result in lawsuits? 22 Q Have you been sued for medical 22 No, not that I am aware of. A 23 malpractice? 23 Just again for the record, you are still 0 2.4 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Objection. But you can 24 presently both licensed and registered in the State 25 answer it. 25 of New York as a midwife and as a nurse? Page 19 Page 21 1 Smith 1 Smith 2 Α Yes. 2 In New York State they are both licenses А 3 Q How many times? 3 but the professions are called registered nurse and 4 Α Twice. 4 midwife. There is no designation before the 5 And did both of those cases involve 0 5 midwifery license in New York. deliveries that occurred at Downstate? 6 6 So you are presently certified as a 0 7 Α No 7 midwife in the State of New York? 8 Q Did any of those cases involve deliveries 8 Α No, I am licensed in the State of New York 9 that occurred at Downstate? 9 as a midwife. I am certified as a nurse midwife by 10 Α No. 10 the American College of Nurse Midwives. 11 Q Tell me the year of your first suit, if 11 O And does that require recertification? you recall. 12 12 А I was grandmothered because of my 13 А I have no real idea. It would have been 13

15 A Thave no real idea. It would have been 14 in the mid-1980s.

15 Q And just very briefly tell me what you16 understood the facts to be in the suit.

17 A I can't tell you which suit was which.18 They were both within a couple of years of one19 another so they would have both been in the

20 mid-1980s. One was a suit in which there was a claim

21 of birth injury resulting in mental compromise to the

- baby. That suit was dismissed when the plaintiff'sattorney withdrew from the case and was given
- 25 autometey withdrew from the case and was 24 permission to do so by the court.
 - permission to do so by the court. The second suit was a case which the baby

25

13 certificate being as old as it is. It does for14 recent graduates.

15 Q Are you licensed as a midwife in any other 16 state?

A I am not.

Q Again going back to your professional
experience, why did you leave Nurse Midwifery
Associates to go to your present position at
Downstate?
A That was a position that overlapped my

- 22 A That was a position that overlapped my 23 present position. The years, the starting was just
- 24 about the same time I actually started it. Midwife
- 25 Associates slightly ahead of time. That was a small
 - sociates slightly allead of time. That was a shial

17

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

r	Ministra	· · · ·	
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	private practice that a subgroup it was a total of	2	A Right.
3	three of us at the time at Downstate had on the	$\frac{2}{3}$	Q Why did you take that position?
4	side. That was a sideline position.	4	A February '93 to December of '94 is about a
5	Q Just so we are clear for the record, from	5	year-and-a-half, almost two years.
6	October of '94 through March of 1996 you worked in a	6	Q You are right. Why did you take that
7	private capacity for Nurse Midwifery Associates in	- 7	position?
8	Brooklyn, New York, correct?	8	A It was a per diem position. It was
9	A Correct.	9	strictly ambulatory care. It did not involve labor
10	Q That was during the same period of time	10	and delivery. And part of the personal reasons that
11	you were at Downstate?	11	I left my own practice had to do with the disruption
12	A Correct.	12	the call time was causing to my family life.
13	Q Why did you stop operating the private	13	Q When you say per diem, what does that
14	practice again? I apologize.	14	mean?
15	A The owner of that private practice was at	15	A On a day by day basis if they needed me at
16	the time the director of the midwifery service at	16	a particular clinic and they needed me to work.
17	Downstate and her private practice dwindled and she	17	Q So you are paid per patient or per day?
18	did not need a third midwife. And she was partners	18	A Per hour.
19	with the second so the first two were partners and I	19	Q I am going to turn now to your legal
20	was their employee.	20	cases, medical malpractice cases you have worked on.
21	Q I notice that from January 1982 through	21	And we covered a little bit of that at the beginning
22	October of 1992 you were the president and cofounder	22	of the depo with the active cases, but let me ask you
23	of CBS Midwifery, Incorporated in New York, New York,	23	right now, again I don't want you to divulge anything
24	correct?	24	confidential but presently how many cases are you
25	A Correct.	25	working on?
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	Q Tell me about that.	2	A Including this one, either four or five.
3	A That's the private practice that myself	3	I am not sure.
4	I am the S out of the CBS and my partner Carol	4	Q So four or five. And does that include
5	Abrante (phonetic), out of the C, founded. We were	5	all your cases, cases where you have not rendered yet
6	the first midwives in New York State to get	6	a formal opinion on?
1	autonomous admitting privileges at a hospital for midwifery prestice. And sourced years later we	7	A I think it does.
8	midwifery practice. And several years later we	8	Q How many of those cases are for the
10	brought in Barbara Sellers as an employee and then we incorporated the three of us as equal partners. And	9	plaintiff and how many for the defense presently?
$\begin{vmatrix} 10\\ 11 \end{vmatrix}$	I was the first to leave the partnership or the	10 11	A I don't know what the proportion is but I
11 12	incorporation for personal reasons. That had to	11 12	do know at the present time I have some on both sides of the table.
12	do nothing that had to do with business,	12	
14	professional.	13	Q For how many years have you been reviewing cases for attorneys?
15	Q Is that still an ongoing entity today?	15	A If I may look at my CV, since 1996.
16	A It is. Barbara is the only one that still	16	Q How did you get into the process of
17	owns it. Carol also left.	17	reviewing cases for attorneys? How did that begin?
18	Q But you have no income interest in that	18	A My first experience was two consecutive
19	any further?	19	years when I was in the navy reserve and my two weeks
20	A I have not.	20	assignment was to the navy Surgeon General's Office
21	Q I guess I am surprised you would leave	21	at Beaumed (phonetic) in Washington D.C. where I read
22	your own business to go to your position at Medical	22	charts and assisted in writing the Surgeon General's
23	and Health Research Associates of New York,	23	report, which is the military equivalent of doing
24	Incorporated, where you were there for less than a	24	expert witness review.
25	year, correct, from February '93 to December of 1994?	25	Q But then how did you start rendering
	 A second s	6	

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Page 26 Page 26 1 Smith 1 Smith 2 reviews for private intervences one people know 4 1 Smith 3 A After that experience some people know 4 1 Name Midwives is kaceduanteed in Washington I was 4 full and done that and the American Cellege of 3 Much Mashington I was 5 and with the organization and, to the best of my 5 A 6 attorneys approximately 6 So when you say concurrent with this but I can? 7 0 A intervention on the ange you provide the same 7 1 reviewed, method multipractice cases, for private 1 0 Hare you ever testified at trial in Ohio 12 before? 1 A Non. 1 0 1 State of box on 10 A Non. 1 0 10 A interve to kat one time and inf or indivieves 1 A No. 1 20 orcerall a interve on generation on the indivieves 1 No. 1				
2 reviews for private attemess? A A flarve in ocfue. 3 A Mer that experience some people knew that I had done that and the American College of O When was that? Do you recall when your 4 that I had done that and the American College of Nones Midwives is headquartered in Washington. It was Teview was? 7 active in the organization and, to the best of my A It was concurrent with this but I can't 8 knowtedge, they begut to refer people who would call the mational office to me. 0 So when you say concurrent with this, you 10 Q Since 1996 how many cases have you 1 A The you guess that it would be a total of 11 reviewed, modial malpractice cases, for private 0 A thave you evert testified at trial 0 12 b two work is picking up? A No. 14 Q How work is picking up? 13 have to disa. I would be ext may 10 A lawe you reviewed on behalf of patients? A No. 14 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 20 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q		Page 26		Page 28
3 A Matr that experience some people knew 3 Q When was that? Do you recall when your 4 that Had does that and the American Callege of 5 Nurse Midwives is headquartered in Washington. Twas 6 in connect with them because I have always been active in the organization and, to the best of my 8 howledge, they began to refer people who would call feature in the organization and, to the set of my 10 Q. Since 1996 how many cases have you feature in the organization and, to the set of my 11 review was? So when you say concurrent with this, you are the indiced market in the organization and, to the set of my 12 attraction office to me are tabling about this case here? 13 A I was concurrent with this, but I can't 14 the work and office to me are tabling about this case here? 15 have core had in out time and the largest year 1 have A No. 16 A I was concurrent with this, but I can't Q How many times have you existified at trial 17 Q And what do you attribut the targest year 1 have Q How many times have you given any other depositions, this year, year 2002? 18 A No. Q Dive recall the name of the atorney in 29 Smith Smith	1		1	
4 that I had done that and the American College of 4 review was? 5 Nuse Multivers is headquartered in Washington. I was in concurrent with this but I can't in contact with them because I have always been active in the organization and, to the best of my 4 review was? 7 active in the organization and, to the best of my 5 A It was concurrent with this but I can't in contact with them because I have always been active in the organization and, to the best of my 8 knowledge, they begin to refer propet who would call the national office to me. Q So when you say concurrent with this, you are talking about this case here? 10 O Since 1996 how many cases have you Q Have you ever testified at trial in Ohio 12 attrain in out the atom the atom of the store? A No. 11 reviewed, any opticy on ginter apposition? A No. 1 12 before? A No. 13 A No. 1 Q 14 D Since 1996 own of the 15 or 20 cases that 0 Go Socyce depositions, this year, year 2002? 14 Since 1996 own of the 15 or 20 cases that 2 Q Q Q Q Q Q <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><th></th><td></td></td<>				
5 Narse Midwives is leadquartered in Washingten. I was in contact with them because Have always been active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my active in the organization and, to the best of my the anional office to me			1	- 5 5
6 in contact with them because I have always been setting in contact with them because I have always been setting in the reganization and, to the best of my is knowledge, they began to refer people who would call the national office to me. 6 7 0	1			
7 active in the organization and, to the best of my 7 inter construction and, to the best of my 8 knowledge, they began to refor people who would call 9 So when you say concurrent with this, you 9 Q Since 1996 how many cases have you 10 A The Yates case. 11 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number I 11 A No. 12 attorneys, approximately? 10 A No. 13 A No. 14 Q How many times have you testified at trial in Ohio 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest year 1 have 13 A No. 14 Q How work is picking up? 14 Q How work is picking up? 14 Q How onlocal. I would be very 15 A No. 14 Q Have you given any other depositions, inits year. year 2002? 1 A No. 15 substantially – might be a 60/40 split, but pretry 3 Sorith 2 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 16 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 1 Sonith	1			
8 Q So when you say concurrent with this, you are talking about this case here? 10 O Since 1996 how many cases have you are talking about this case here? 11 reviewed, medical malpractice cases, for private tattores, approximately? 10 A 13 A Iwould guess that it would be a total of tattores, approximately? 10 A 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number I 13 A No. 15 have ever had. 10 Mem many times have you testified at trial 16 ever had. 0 Mem many times have you testified at trial 17 O And what do you atribute that to, that 14 A No. 19 A I have ever had. 16 A None. 19 O Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 A No. 20 O Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 22 20 22 21 A No. 21 you reviewed, an optime list a peremetage 3 A No.	1	-	1	
9 the national office to me. 9 are talking about this case here? 10 Q Since 1996 how many cases have you 11 Q Have you ever testified at trial in Ohio 12 attomeys, approximately? 11 Q Have you ever testified at trial in Ohio 13 A I would guess that in would be a total of 11 Q Have you ever testified at trial in Ohio 14 D How many times have you testified at trial 10 15 the work is picking ap? 16 A Non. 16 A None. 17 Q Have you given any other depositions, 19 A Have no idea. I would be very 18 A No. 10 Intersted to know. 19 Q Have you given any other depositions, 20 intersted to know. 10 Q Never? 14 Q Have you given any other depositions, 19 Q Have you given any other depositions, 20 intersted to know. 10 No. 12 21 A No. 12 2 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that 22 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that 10 11 Q Depoin reall the name of the attorney in 22 Smith <td>1</td> <td>- •</td> <th>1</th> <td>-</td>	1	- •	1	-
10 O Since 1996 how many cases have you 11 reviewed, medical malpractice cases, for private 12 attorneys, approximately? 13 A 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number 1 15 have ever had at one time and the largest year 1 have 16 ever had. 17 Q And what do you attribute that to, that 18 the work is picking up? 19 A I have no idea. I would be very 20 interested to know. 20 since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 21 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 22 your roviewed, can you give me just a precentage 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 24 Versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A Prety close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 26 Page 27 27 Smith 2 Specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 3 A 4 Yes. 7 Q 9 A Yes. 9	1			· · · ·
11 reviewed, medical malpractice cases, for private 11 0 Have you ever testified at trial in Ohio 12 attorneys, approximately? 13 A No. 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number 1 14 0 How many times have you testified at trial 15 how cever had at one time and the largest number 1 14 0 How many times have you testified at trial 16 ever had. 14 0 How many times have you testified at trial 16 ever had. 17 0 And what do you attribute that to, that 17 17 0 Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 17 0 Have you given any other depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 A No. 22 0 Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 25 A Prety close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 22 12 A No. 22 Q Dave you recall the name of the attorney in 3 both of those cases whor retained you? 3 close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 25 A Twice. 2 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td>				
12 attorneys, approximately? 13 A 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number I 15 have ever had at one time and the largest year I have 16 ever had. 17 Q And what do you attribute that to, that 18 the work is picking up? 19 A I have no idea. I would guess that it to, that 18 the work of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 or Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 your reviewed, can you give ne just a percentage 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 25 A 26 A 18 substantially - might be a 60/40 split, but pretty 26 G 27 Page 27 28 Specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A 7 Q 8 Yes. 9 A 10 most the WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 11 can't tel'what's cases and what's not. 12 </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <th></th> <td></td>	1			
13 A No. 14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number I 15 how ever had at one time and the largest number I 16 ever had. 17 Q And what do you attribute that to, that 18 the work is picking up? 19 A Have noi idea. I would be very 20 interested to know. 21 O Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 22 your reviewed on behalf of patients? 23 breaddown of those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 26 Page 27 13 Smith 2 Smith 2 Smith 3 a A yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: On, that's because it 11 wastn't a case. That's why 1 don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well f don't know that. 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sory, we are 15 do loguing.	1			-
14 15 to 20. This is at present the largest number I 15 have ever had it one time and the largest year I have 16 A we ever had it one time and the largest year I have 17 Q 18 the work is picking up? 19 A I have no idea. I would be very 20 interested to know. 21 Q 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 24 Q 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 26 Page 27 27 Page 27 28 Page 27 29 Q 20 Have you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 29 Page 27 20 Bay Snith 3 substantially - might be a 60/40 split, but pretty 3 close to 50/50. 4 A I do not. 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A Yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes herhead no. 8	13		1	
15 have ever had at one time and the largest year 1 have 15 overall? 16 ever had. 16 A None. 17 O And what do you attribute that to, that 16 A None. 18 the work is picking up? 16 A None. 19 A Thave no idea. I would be very 16 A None. 20 O Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 90 Have you given any other depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 90 Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 20 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 20 Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 21 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn'te 25 A Twice. 21 Smith 2 Q Duy ou recall the name of the attorney in 22 Deskdown of those you reviewed any prior cases 5 9 Do you recall where those depositions took 3 both for boxe cases whore thained you? 4 A Ido not. 5 0 Do you recall where those depositions took 4 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 Q Where? 3 A One was in New York City at a court <t< td=""><td>14</td><td></td><th></th><td></td></t<>	14			
16 ever had. 16 A None. 17 Q Ad what do you attribute that to, that 17 Q Never? 18 the work is picking up? 19 A I have no idea. I would be very 19 Q Have you given any other depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 discovery deposition; this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 20 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 27 A No. 24 You reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 25 A Pretiy close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 28 7 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 8 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 5 6 A Yes. 5 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 8 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I any sory. 8 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 11 mean tail any tail a case. That's why I don't remember. 11 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 12 Q Do you recall the paten	15		1	
17 Q And what do you attribute that to, that 17 Q Never? 18 the work is picking up? 18 A No. 20 interested to know. 19 Q Have you given any other depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 Cut of the 15 or 20 cases that you 23 you reviewed, an you give me just a percentage 20 Q OU to f the 15 or 20 cases that you 24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A No. 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 26 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 26 Mark way ou reviewed any prior cases specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? A Twice. 7 Q You reviewed any prior cases 5 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 A Yes. Q Haw you reviewed any prior cases 10 8 MS.TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A Ou ou you recall where those depositions took 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 10 G A Yes. Q <td>16</td> <td></td> <th>1</th> <td></td>	16		1	
18 the work is picking up? 18 A No. 19 A have no idea. I would be very 19 Q Have you given any other depositions, 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 discovery depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 20 Quit of the 15 or 20 cases that you 22 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives versus those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 22 Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 23 reviewed, in approximately how many cases did you 26 Mark you reviewed any prior cases 7 A Twice. 7 Q Pawe very reviewed any prior cases 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A Yes. 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 13 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 13 O Do you recall the year of either	17	Q And what do you attribute that to, that	1	
19AHave no idea. I would be very20interested to know.21QSince 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that23breakdown of thoses you reviewed on behalf of midwives24versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients?25APretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be26MPretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be27Page 27Page 2728SmithSmith29Co Lave you reviewed any prior casesSpecifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?3AYes.3AYes.10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13case. That's why I don't remember.14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are15dialoguing.16Q19Q11No. DefinitelyAlbany is the more16Q17Ms. Taylor-Kolis; correct?18A19Q19Q11How many, just one that you recall?12Q13A the lose so.14MS. Kolis was representing. I presume, correct?15A16Q17Ms. Kolis was representing. I presume, correct?24A25A26A27Page 2928A29A20D you recall	18		1	-
20 interested to know. 20 discovery depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 20 discovery depositions, this year, year 2002? 21 you reviewed, any you give me just a percentage 20 discovery depositions, this year, year 2002? 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of patients? A No. 24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? A No. 25 A Prety close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 23 reviewed, in approximately how many cases did you 24 you reviewed, any prior cases substantially – might be a 60/40 split, but pretty 26 Do you recall the name of the attorney in 3 both of those cases who retained you? A I do not. 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 5 Q Do you recall the name of the attorney in 3 both of those cases who retained you? A I do not. 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? G A Yes. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorty, we are dialoguing. Q Do	19	A I have no idea. I would be very	19	Q Have you given any other depositions,
21 Q Since 1996 out of the 15 or 20 cases that 22 you reviewed, can you give me just a percentage 23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 26 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 27 Page 27 Page 29 1 Smith 2 2 Smith 2 3 substantially - might be a 60/40 split, but pretty 2 3 close to 50/50. Twaikout 4 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases Smith 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 A Yes. 8 Q 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 10 reporter's office, but I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q A No. Definitely Albany is the more 15 dialo	20		20	
23 breakdown of those you reviewed on behalf of midwives 23 reviewed, in approximately how many cases did you 24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 23 reviewed, in approximately how many cases did you 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 24 give a deposition? 25 A Twice. 26 Smith 25 A 27 Smith 25 A 28 substantially – might be a 60/40 split, but pretty Close to 50/50. Q Do you recall the name of the attorney in 3 both of those cases who retained you? 4 A I do not. 3 both of those cases who retained you? 4 A I do not. 4 Q Do you have recall where those depositions took 6 place? 7 Q Yes. Q Do you have recall where those the attorney in 10 mS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A O 0 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 13 Do newas in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 11 mas. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 14 d	21		21	A No.
24 versus those you reviewed on behalf of patients? 24 give a deposition? 25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 25 A 1 Smith 25 A Twice. 1 Smith 25 A Twice. 2 Page 27 Page 29 1 Smith 2 Q Do you recall the name of the attorney in 3 close to 50/50. 4 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 A Yes. 7 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 8 Q Where? 9 A Yes. 9 A Our was in New York City at a court 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 10 reporter's office, but I don't bliewei twas ins 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more <td></td> <td></td> <th>22</th> <td>Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you</td>			22	Q Out of the 15 or 20 cases that you
25 A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be 25 A Twice. Page 27 1 Smith 2 Page 27 1 Smith 2 Q Do you recall the name of the attorney in 2 both of those cases who retained you? 4 2 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 4 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 5 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 A Yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 5 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: On, that's because it 10 reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this 11 wasn't a case. That's why Idon't remember. 11 12 International Airport. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 G So you have reviewed a prior matter for 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 Q How many, just one that you rec			23	
Page 27Page 291Smith2substantially – might be a 60/40 split, but pretty3close to 50/50.4Q4Q4Q5specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?6A6A7Q9A7Q9A7Q9A9A9A10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13can't tell what's cases and what's not.14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are15dialoguing.16Q17MS. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18A19Q19Q10How many, just one that you recall?11Q12Q14Delieve so.15A16Q17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18A19Q14Delieve so.15A16Q17Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?13A14Delieve so.15A16Q17Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?16Q17Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?13A		A.		
1Smith1Smith2Substantially - might be a 60/40 split, but pretty0QDo you recall the name of the attorney in3close to 50/50.3both of those cases who retained you?4QHave you reviewed any prior cases55specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?5QDo you recall where those depositions took6AYes.5QDo you recall where those depositions took7QYou have? She shakes her head no.5QWhere?9AYes.7AYes.9AYes.9AOne was in New York City at a court10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.113QDo you recall the year of either12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13QDo you recall the year of either14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are14deposition?15dialoguing.15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for18Q19QHow many, just one that you recall?19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AIbelieve so.21AI believe it was once cach. One for each.19QHow many, just one that you recall?21AI believe it was once cach. One for each. <td>25</td> <td>A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be</td> <th>25</th> <td>A Twice.</td>	25	A Pretty close to 50/50. It wouldn't be	25	A Twice.
1Smith1Smith2Substantially - might be a 60/40 split, but pretty0QDo you recall the name of the attorney in3close to 50/50.3both of those cases who retained you?4QHave you reviewed any prior cases55specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?5QDo you recall where those depositions took6AYes.5QDo you recall where those depositions took7QYou have? She shakes her head no.5QWhere?9AYes.7AYes.9AYes.9AOne was in New York City at a court10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.113QDo you recall the year of either12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13QDo you recall the year of either14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are14deposition?15dialoguing.15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for18Q19QHow many, just one that you recall?19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AIbelieve so.21AI believe it was once cach. One for each.19QHow many, just one that you recall?21AI believe it was once cach. One for each. <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>				
1Smith1Smith2QDo you recall the name of the attorney in3close to 50/50.34QHave you reviewed any prior cases5specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?46AYes.7QYou have? She shakes her head no.8MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry.99AYes.9AYes.10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it1011wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.1012THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I1313can't tell what's cases and what's not.1314MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are1415dialoguing.1516Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for1317Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?1418AYes.19Q How many, just one that you recall?1410Q And that case was for a patient that12Ms. Kolis was representing. I presume, correct?13A Teleive vo.14Q15A I believe so.16Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at24Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at	· .			
2substantially might be a 60/40 split, but pretty3close to 50/50.4Q4Q4Q4Q4Q5specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis?6A7Q7Q9A9A9A10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry.9A9A10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13can't tell what's cases and what's not.14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are15dialoguing.16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18A19Q10How many, just one that you recall?20A21Q22Q23A24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24Q24D24D24D24D24D24D24D2424242424<		-		Page 29
 3 close to 50/50. 4 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A Yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 10 And that case was for a patient that 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 3 both of those cases who retained you? 4 A I do not. 5 Q Do you recall at 3 both of those cases who retained you? 4 A I do not. 5 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 place? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Where? 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this 11 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 12 International Airport. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 deposition? 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 recent and it's three or four years ago and New York 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 And that case was for a patient that 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you			1	
 4 Q Have you reviewed any prior cases 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A Yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 10 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 4 A I do not. 5 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 place? 7 A Yes. 8 Q Where? 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 11 International Airport. 13 Can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 20 A I believe so. 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	1		1	
 5 specifically for Donna Taylor-Kolis? 6 A Yes. 7 Q You have? She shakes her head no. 8 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. 9 A Yes. 9 A Yes. 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 10 And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 5 Q Do you recall where those depositions took 6 D you recall where? 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this 11 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 12 International Airport. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 deposition? 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 R Yes. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 An that case was for a patient that 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 A I believe so. 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 24 D Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	1		1	-
 A Yes. Yes. Yes. Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it masn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. G So you have reviewed a prior matter for G So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? Q And that case was for a patient that Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at G Tell me about that case. Do you recall at C A I believe so. C A I believe it was once each. One for each. C A I believe so. C A I believe it was once each. One for each. C A I believe it was once each. One for each. C A I believe so. <li< td=""><td></td><td></td><th>1</th><td></td></li<>			1	
7QYou have? She shakes her head no.7AYes.8MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry.9AYes.8QWhere?9AYes.9AOne was in New York City at a court10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13can't tell what's cases and what's not.13can't tell what's cases and what's not.13QDo you recall the year of either14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are14deposition?1515dialoguing.15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for16recent and it's three or four years ago and New York17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18QAnd do you recall whether or not in both19QHow many, just one that you recall?19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AI believe so.21AI believe it was once each. One for each.21QAnd that case was for a patient that21AI believe it was once each. One for each.22Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?23QJust so we are clear, you don't recall the24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at24Just so we are clear, you don't		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
8MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry.9AYes.9AYes.9AOne was in New York City at a court10MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this11wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.10reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I11one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I13QDo you recall the year of either14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are14deposition?15dialoguing.15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for15A17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18QAnd do you recall whether or not in both19QHow many, just one that you recall?19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AI believe so.21AI believe it was once each. One for each.21QAnd that case was for a patient that21AI believe it was once each. One for each.22Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?23QJust so we are clear, you don't recall the24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at24names of any attorneys that were involved in either	1			1 0
 9 A Yes. 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 9 A One was in New York City at a court 10 reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this 10 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 12 International Airport. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 deposition? 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 recent and it's three or four years ago and New York 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 A I believe so. 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 				*
 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it masn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. can't tell what's cases and what's not. ms. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are can't tell what's cases and what's not. ms. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are can't tell what's cases and what's not. ms. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are can't tell what's cases and what's not. ms. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are can't tell what's cases and what's not. ms. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. G So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	1 ×		7	A Yes.
 11 wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. 12 THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 11 one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany 12 International Airport. 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 deposition? 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 recent and it's three or four years ago and New York 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 A I believe so. 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 22 But I am not positive of that. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the 24 names of any attorneys that were involved in either 		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry.	7 8	A Yes. Q Where?
12THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I131412International Airport.13can't tell what's cases and what's not.13QDo you recall the year of either14MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are14deposition?15dialoguing.15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?15ANo. Definitely Albany is the more18AYes.15ANo ure call whether or not in both19QHow many, just one that you recall?18QAnd do you recall whether or not in both20AI believe so.19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AI believe so.21AI believe it was once each. One for each.21QAnd that case was for a patient that21AI believe it was once each. One for each.23AI believe so.23QJust so we are clear, you don't recall the24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at24QJust so we are clear, you don't recall the	9	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes.	7 8 9	A Yes.Q Where?A One was in New York City at a court
 13 can't tell what's cases and what's not. 14 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 13 Q Do you recall the year of either 14 deposition? 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 recent and it's three or four years ago and New York 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	9 10	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it	7 8 9 10	A Yes.Q Where?A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this
 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? Q And that case was for a patient that Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. C Tail me about that case. Do you recall at Ms. Kolis was representing that Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall at Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall at Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the Ms. Kolis was representing that case. Do you recall the<td>9 10 11</td><td>MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.</td><th>7 8 9 10 11</th><td> A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany </td>	9 10 11	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember.	7 8 9 10 11	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany
 15 dialoguing. 16 Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 15 A No. Definitely Albany is the more 16 recent and it's three or four years ago and New York 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 22 But I am not positive of that. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the 24 names of any attorneys that were involved in either 	9 10 11 12	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I	7 8 9 10 11 12	A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport.
16QSo you have reviewed a prior matter for17Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct?18A18A19Q19Q20A10believe so.21Q21Q23A24Q24Q25A26A27D28A29A20A20But lam not positive of that.21C22A23A24Q25D26Yes.27D28D29Seretain data20A20A21D22A23A24D25D26Yes27D28D29Seretain data29Yes20A21A22But I am not positive of that.23Q24D24D25D26Seretain data27D28D29Seretain data29Seretain data29Seretain data29Seretain data20Seretain data21A22Seretain data23C24 <td>9 10 11 12 13</td> <td>MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not.</td> <th>7 8 9 10 11 12 13</th> <td> A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either </td>	9 10 11 12 13	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either
 17 Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 18 A Yes. 19 Q How many, just one that you recall? 20 A I believe so. 21 Q And that case was for a patient that 22 Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 23 A I believe so. 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 17 City is one or two years before that. 18 Q And do you recall whether or not in both 19 those cases where you gave depositions that you were 20 testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 22 But I am not positive of that. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the 24 names of any attorneys that were involved in either 	9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition?
18AYes.19QHow many, just one that you recall?20AI believe so.21QAnd that case was for a patient that22Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?23AI believe so.24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more
19QHow many, just one that you recall?19those cases where you gave depositions that you were20AI believe so.20testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient?21QAnd that case was for a patient that21AI believe it was once each. One for each.22Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?23AI believe so.23Q24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at24QI manes of any attorneys that were involved in either	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York
 A I believe so. Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. But I am not positive of that. But I am not positive of that. Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the names of any attorneys that were involved in either 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that.
 Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 21 A I believe it was once each. One for each. 22 But I am not positive of that. 23 Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the 24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both
22Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct?22But I am not positive of that.23AI believe so.23QJust so we are clear, you don't recall the24QTell me about that case. Do you recall at2424	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were
24 Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 24 names of any attorneys that were involved in either	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient?
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? A I believe it was once each. One for each.
25 all? 25 of those cases?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? A I believe it was once each. One for each. But I am not positive of that. Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? A I believe it was once each. One for each. But I am not positive of that. Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the names of any attorneys that were involved in either
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am sorry. A Yes. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Oh, that's because it wasn't a case. That's why I don't remember. THE WITNESS: Well I don't know that. I can't tell what's cases and what's not. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Sorry, we are dialoguing. Q So you have reviewed a prior matter for Ms. Taylor-Kolis, correct? A Yes. Q How many, just one that you recall? A I believe so. Q And that case was for a patient that Ms. Kolis was representing, I presume, correct? A I believe so. Q Tell me about that case. Do you recall at 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A Yes. Q Where? A One was in New York City at a court reporter's office, but I don't believe it was this one, and one was in Albany at a hotel near the Albany International Airport. Q Do you recall the year of either deposition? A No. Definitely Albany is the more recent and it's three or four years ago and New York City is one or two years before that. Q And do you recall whether or not in both those cases where you gave depositions that you were testifying on behalf of the midwife or the patient? A I believe it was once each. One for each. But I am not positive of that. Q Just so we are clear, you don't recall the names of any attorneys that were involved in either

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

<u> </u>			
	Page 30		Page 32
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	A Not offhand. Both of those cases are	2	Q Do you know Ms. Taylor-Kolis on a social
3	closed. I don't have any more documentation on	3	basis at all?
4	either of them. I know that for a fact.	4	A I do not.
5	Q Do you work for a service that helps	- 5	Q When were you first contacted by
6	attorneys obtain expert witnesses?	6	Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case? Well before I go to
7	A Occasionally.	7	that, before I do that, we covered the fact that you
8	Q Tell me what service is that?	8	brought everything that you reviewed in this case
9	A TASA.	9	before you here today, correct?
10	Q For how long have you been affiliated with	10	A True.
11	TASA?	11	Q What I would like you to do right now is,
12	A Maybe three years.	12	as easily as you can, list for us on the record
13	Q How did you become affiliated with TASA?	13	everything that you have been provided with to review
14	A A friend of mine who happens to be a CPA	14	in this case.
15	referred them to me or me to them. He has done some	15	A Okay. The MedCentral Health System's
16	consulting work for them in his role as a CPA expert.	16	medical records of Lisa Yates. The Ohio Department
17	Q Do you know how Donna Taylor-Kolis found	17	of Health Certificate of Fetal Death. The autopsy
18	you?	18	report. The deposition Exhibit 3, Certified Nurse
19	A Yes, she told me yesterday.	19	Midwife Guidelines MedCentral Health System and
20	Q And how did she find you?	20	portions of the policy and procedure manual.
21	A Referred by another attorney.	21	Deposition transcript of Lisa Yates. Deposition
22	Q Are you affiliated with any other expert	22	transcript of Susan Morgan. Nurse midwife standard
23	witness referral agencies or services that again	23	care arrangement for Mansfield Obstetrics and
. 24	helps attorneys find expert witnesses other than	24	Gynecology Associates, Incorporated d/b/a Women's
25	TASA?	25	Care, Inc. And most recently the expert reports of
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Smih	1	Smith
2	A Only insofar as, as I said, when I started	2	Dr. John P. Elliott, E-l-l-i-o-t-t, and Joyce
_3	I am pretty sure it was the American College of	3	Roberts, Ph.D.
4	Midwives that referred the attorneys to me and they	4	Q Let me follow up on a couple of things.
5	will continue to refer attorneys that contact the	5	Can you tell me which portions of the policy and
6	national organization to various experts.	6	procedure manual you were provided with?
7	Q Do you advertise at all your services?	7	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Parenthetically, the
8	A No.	8	ones you gave to us.
9	Q And again what I mean by services is your	9	MR. COOK: But just for the record I just
10	services to assist attorneys.	10	want to make sure.
11	A No.	11	A Okay. Two pages that are entitled
12	Q Have you reviewed cases for other	12	Certified Nurse Midwife Guidelines, pages one and
13	attorneys in Ohio?	13	two. Nursing personnel admission of patients in
14	A Yes.	14	labor. Nursing personnel care of patient in labor.
15	Q Can you tell me some of the attorneys that	15	Nursing personnel delivery room procedure. Nursing
16	ware house newspan of a new har in (Mri-9)	1.6	and a second

16 you have reviewed cases for in Ohio?

17 A The one that I can recall for sure is 18 Michael Becker.

Q And was Mr. Becker the attorney who 19

20 referred Donna Taylor-Kolis to you?

21 Yes. А

22 How many cases have you reviewed for Mike Q 23 Becker, just roughly?

24 A One or two. Remotely possible three but 25 it's more likely to be one than even two or three.

16 personnel cephalic version nurses role in. Nursing

17 personnel use of prostaglandin gel, parenthesis P,

18 little G, capital E, hyphen 2, close parenthesis, for

19 cervical ripening. Nursing personnel administration

- 20 of oxytocin, parenthesis Pitocin, close parenthesis.
- 21 And then, additionally, nurse midwife standard care
- 22 arrangement Mansfield Obstetrics Gynecology

23 Associates, Inc. d/b/a Women's Care, Inc.

- 24 You also mentioned that you recently Q
- 25 received a report from Joyce Roberts, correct?

	Page 34		Page 36
1	Smith	1	Smih
2	A Correct.	2	Q Did you have any telephone calls with her
3	Q I am sorry, we covered the policy and	3	office before receiving the records?
4	procedures manual?	4	A I believe so.
5	A Yes.	5	Q And were you told anything about the case
6	Q I just want to make sure.	6	at that time?
7	A Yes.	7	A Not that I can recall.
8	Q Do you know Joyce Roberts?	8	Q So again as of November 28, 2000, you
9	A I do.	9	received a copy of the medical records from
10	Q Tell me how you know Joyce Roberts.	10	MedCentral Health System, correct?
11	A Joyce Roberts is a certified midwife and a	11	A Correct.
12	former president of the American College of Nurse	12	Q And did you formulate any opinions about
13	Midwives.	.13	the case at that time? Again based solely on your
14	Q Recognizing that you and Ms. Roberts may	14	review of the medical records.
15	have some disagreements about this case, would you	15	A I don't believe so, but if you will give
16	agree that generally she is respected?	16	me a moment to look.
17	A She is respected.	17	Q Sure, go ahead.
18	Q And am I correct that in the professional	18	A The first opinion I rendered was not until
19 20	organizations that you are involved in you worked	19	July 22, 2002, and it includes not only the original
20	with Ms. Roberts, worked in conjunction with her? A I have never worked directly with her	$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	medical records but also depositions and the policy
$\frac{21}{22}$	A I have never worked directly with her either clinically or within a professional	$21 \\ 22$	procedure manual and nurse midwife standard care
23	organization, but during her tenure as president of	23	agreement. So, no, I did not render an opinion prior to that based solely on the medical records.
23	the college I was chair of one of the committees.	23	Q You were kind enough to allow me to review
25	Q And is she also respected within your	25	your notes.
20	2 Find is she also respected within your	25	your notes.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?	2	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am
2 3	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives? A She is respected.	2 3	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry.
2 3 4	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives? A She is respected. Q Were you provided any summary of the	2 3 4	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes
2 3 4 5	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives? A She is respected. Q Were you provided any summary of the medical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?	2 3 4 5	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right.
2 3 4 5 6	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives? A She is respected. Q Were you provided any summary of the medical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case? A No.	2 3 4 5 6	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated
2 3 4 5 6 7	Smith organization, the American College of Nurse Midwives? A She is respected. Q Were you provided any summary of the medical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case? A No. Q So in essence everything we have here	2 3 4 5 6 7	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find
2 3 4 5 6 7	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, ifyou recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2. (Defendants' Exhibit 2, memo, marked for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, ifyou recall?AAs long as I look at the dates on thecover letters I can tell you. On November 28, 2000,	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2. (Defendants' Exhibit 2, memo, marked for identification, as of this date.) Q Handing you Defendants' Exhibit No. 2, can you please for the record tell me what that is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, ifyou recall?AAs long as I look at the dates on thecover letters I can tell you. On November 28, 2000,I received the medical records.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzame M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2. (Defendants' Exhibit 2, memo, marked for identification, as of this date.) Q Handing you Defendants' Exhibit No. 2, can you please for the record tell me what that is? A It's my memo dated January 7, 2001, with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, ifyou recall?AAs long as I look at the dates on thecover letters I can tell you. On November 28, 2000,I received the medical records.QNow is that your first written	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzanne M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2. (Defendants' Exhibit 2, memo, marked for identification, as of this date.) Q Handing you Defendants' Exhibit No. 2, can you please for the record tell me what that is? A It's my memo dated January 7, 2001, with a preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smithorganization, the American College of Nurse Midwives?AShe is respected.QWere you provided any summary of themedical records by Ms. Taylor-Kolis in this case?ANo.QSo in essence everything we have herebefore us with what you just listed off is everythingyou reviewed in this case?AThat is.QNow you also prepared some notes in thiscase, correct?AI did.QAnd before we get to that, I would like tofirst go through the sequence in which the materialswere provided to you, okay?AOkay.QWhat were you initially provided with, ifyou recall?AAs long as I look at the dates on thecover letters I can tell you. On November 28, 2000,I received the medical records.	$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Smith A Preliminary opinion. You are right. I am sorry. Q In reviewing your notes A You are right. Q there was a memorandum dated January 7, 2001, from you A Now you are right and I just have to find it. Q Again just for the record it was dated January 7, 2001. It was from you, Suzame M. Smith, to Donna Taylor-Kolis. And the subject entitled is "Preliminary opinion regarding Lisa Yates/Dylon King, deceased." A Correct. MR. COOK: Let me mark that memo just for the record as Defendants' Exhibit No. 2. (Defendants' Exhibit 2, memo, marked for identification, as of this date.) Q Handing you Defendants' Exhibit No. 2, can you please for the record tell me what that is? A It's my memo dated January 7, 2001, with a

<u>г</u>		·	
	Page 38		Page 40
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	to be based solely upon your review of the medical	$\frac{1}{2}$	did not protend the outcome did require continued
3	records only?	$\frac{2}{3}$	vigilance. As discussed by telephone before I could
4	A They were.	4	
5	Q Correct?	5	render a final opinion, I would need to review the
6		1	midwive's clinical practice guidelines or management
-	5	6	protocols."
7	Q After preparing this memorandum, did you	7	Q As we pointed out, those were subsequently
8	request additional information regarding this case?	8	provided to you?
9	A I did.	9	A They were.
10	Q What did you request?	10	Q And didn't change the opinion that's
11	A The clinical practice guidelines or	11	stated in this?
12	management protocols.	12	A Correct.
13	Q Why did you request that information?	13	Q In fact, the language in this memo, in the
14	A In order to assist my final determination	14	January 7, 2001 memo, is exactly the same that's on
15	of the care provided by the midwife to Ms. Yates.	15	page two of your January 22, 2002 expert witness
16	Q And that information subsequently was	-16	report that was disclosed in this case?
17	provided to you, correct?	17	A Except for my identification of the
18	A It was.	18	midwife's name in my final and the addition of the
19	Q When was that provided to you?	19	final sentence.
20	A April 1st of 2002 I received the	20	Q The final sentence?
21	deposition Exhibit B, which was the Certified Nurse	21	A Which referred to physician consultation
22	Midwife Guidelines two-page document and the portions	22	and collaboration.
23	of the policy and procedure manual. And on June 10,	23	Q What did you think of Ms. Morgan's
24	2002, I received the nurse midwife standard of care	24	deposition transcript after you reviewed that? Did
25	arrangement for Obstetric and Gynecology Associates.	25	that assist with your review of this case?
	Page 39		Page 41
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	Q And did that information assist you with	2	A It didn't change my opinion. I was
3	formulating supplemental opinions in this case?	.3	concerned by some of her responses regarding her
4	A My opinion did not change based on what I	4	understanding of meconium and her description of
5	had read.	5	decelerations.
6	Q Tell me why your opinion did not change	6	Q In what way?
7	after reviewing the clinical practice guidelines?	7	A I didn't feel that they were accurate or
8	A Because although in my final opinion I did	8	thorough.
9	state that there was no evidence of physician	9	Q Do you feel that she was just flat out
10	consultation and collaboration, the I knew that	10	wrong in regard to her description of meconium and
10	from the records, although I had not written it in	11	her description of decelerations?
11 12	full detail in that preliminary opinion.	11	
12		12	1 5
13	Q Just again for the record and for benefit of Mr. Huffman who is on the phone who has not seen		to answer that question. I think they just didn't go
		14 15	far enough. But do you want me to read?
15	the January 7, 2001 memorandum, can you read that for	1	Q No, that's okay. Just so we are clear,
16	us?	16	too, for the record well let me I just want to
17	A "pon my review of the medical records	17	take this in chronological order and it will move
18	provided in the case of Lisa Yates/Dylon King,	18	quicker and we can move along here. You received the
19	deceased, I can state that the midwife mostly like	19	records first, you then received the clinical
20	failed to meet the standard of care since there is a	20	practice guidelines, then and then what did you
21	time of approximately 64 minutes of fetal heart	21	receive next?
22	monitoring immediately prior to the delivering of the	22	A No, first the records yes, then the
23	infant King. Review of the fetal monitor strips	23	guidelines, then the depositions, then the nurse
24	provided demonstrate a nonreassuring tracing,	24	midwife standard care arrangement.
25	including decelerations, which while in themselves	25	Q And just so we are clear, I know we may

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

Page 42 Page 44 Smith 1 1 Smith 2 have covered this, but nothing that you received 2 0 Just for the record it's dated July 22, 3 subsequent to the medical records in any way changed 3 2002, correct? 4 your opinions about what you believe occurred in this 4 Α Correct. 5 case? 5 Q Were there any draft copies of this report 6 А The only change, as we mentioned, was that 6 prepared by you that were edited by Ms. Taylor-Kolis? 7 I added the sentence regarding consultation. 7 No. A 8 And that was based on your review of what? 8 0 0 Were there any draft copies of this report 9 А That was based on the review of the 9 prepared that were edited by you at the request of 10 medical records but I had not specified it until I 10 Ms. Taylor-Kolis? 11 saw how the consultation was described within the, 11 I believe so. А 12 what do they call them, nurse midwife guidelines and 12 How many? 0 13 the policy procedure manual and the standard care 13 А I do it on the computer and can overwrite. 14 arrangement. 14 0 So as we sit here today do you have any 15 Q Just so we are clear for the record, what 15 copies of those prior drafts? 16 you are telling us that you added or that -- well I 16 А I do not. 17 guess it would be correct -- you added based upon 17 0 Do you recall what was edited in the prior 18your review of the clinical practice guidelines is 18 drafts? 19 the sentence "No evidence of physician 19 А I believe that my review item number four regarding the previous contact between Ms. Beach and 20consultation/collaboration by Ms. Beach despite the 20 delay in labor progress throughout the night in the Ms. Yates was more descriptive. 21 21 22 prolonged second stage"? 22 Q Okay. 23 Α Correct. 23 And I believe on one of the sections, must А 24 Q Other than today, did you meet with 24 have been either number six or number seven, I either 25 Ms. Taylor-Kolis at any prior time? 25 deleted or added a date for clarity purposes. I Page 43 Page 45 1 Smith 1 Smith 2 Not prior to today. A 2 think that's all I did. 3 Q How much time have you billed for this 3 And again just to streamline this, you do Q 4 case to date? 4 not intend to elicit any opinions at trial in regard 5 I have billed three-and-a-half hours plus Α 5 to the cause of death of this child; is that correct? 6 five hours plus one-and-a-quarter hours. 6 А I am sorry, could you rephrase that? Nine-and-a-quarter hours? 7 7 Am I correct, based on our review of your Q 8 0 Okay. And how much do you charge per hour report, again the report dated July 22, 2002, you do 8 9 for your review? 9 not intend at trial to elicit any opinions as to the 10 А For the review I charge \$250 an hour. 10 cause of death of this child? Your opinions in this Q 11 And how much do you charge for deposition 11 case focus squarely on the standard of care that 12 testimony? 12 applies to a midwife under these circumstances; is 13 А \$350 an hour. that correct? 13 14 And how much do you charge for trial Q 14 А That's true. 15 testimony, live trial testimony? 15 0 Let me ask you directly just so I -- again 16 А Time in the courtroom, \$400 an hour. 16 the focus or the purpose today is to learn all of 17 Are you planning on testifying live at Q 17 your opinions that you intend to testify to at trial. 18trial in this case in January if it goes to trial? 18 If asked at trial, are you going to be expressing any 19 А I am. 19 opinions to a reasonable degree of medical 20 Q Have you marked that off on your schedule? 20probability as to what caused the death of this 21 А I have not. 21 child?

22 I don't believe so. А were kind enough to provide to us all in this case.

25

- 23 And you will be deferring to other medical Q
- 24 practitioners on that issue, I would presume?
 - Α Yes.

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

22

23

24

25

Q

Α

I do.

Now let's turn to your report that you

You've got a copy in front of you, correct?

	Page 46		Page 48
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	Q Are you going to be rendering opinions in	2	delivery of the baby and, in addition, there was no
3	this case regarding any other medical provider other	3	physician consultation or collaboration during the
4	than Susan Morgan?	4	time Ms. Beach was caring for Ms. Yates.
5	A I don't intend to.	5	Q What I would like you to do then and
б	Q Or she is now known as Susan Morgan-Beach.	б	again you are simply
7	A I don't intend to.	7	A I paraphrased. You want me to read the
8	Q Have you been asked in this case by	8	report?
9	Ms. Taylor-Kolis to evaluate the medical care and	9	Q No, we can all read it for ourselves. I
10	treatment of any other medical provider in this case,	10	would like you to tell me specifically in what way
11	be it a doctor, nurse, hospital, whomever, by	11	Ms. Beach, Susan Morgan-Beach, deviated below the
12	Ms. Taylor-Kolis other than Susan Morgan-Beach?	12	appropriate standard of care that applies to a nurse
13	A No.	13	midwife in this case.
14	Q As you sit here today is there anything	14	A Okay. In respect to the care of a
15	else that you intend to review in this case that's	15	laboring mother I will start with the easy one
16	not been provided to you to date?	16	first there is no evidence of physician
17	A Not that I am aware of.	17	consultation or collaboration. Normal labor progress
18	Q Again I would presume you are going to	18	is approximately one centimeter per hour and
19	review the deposition transcript of Ms. Roberts?	19	Ms. Yates' progress in labor from the time that
20	Well I don't want to be presumptive.	20	Ms. Beach assumed care and examined Ms. Yates for the
21	A If I get it.	21	first time it's also written right in my letter.
22	Q I know I asked this question. Let me make	22	Ms. Beach assumed the management and care of
23	sure it's a little bit more specific. You have not	23	Ms. Yates at approximately 7:00 p.m. and examined
-24	reviewed any medical literature, including ACOG	24	Ms. Yates at 7:30, at which time she was six
25	bulletins, in your review of this case?	25	centimeters dilated. The progress from six

Page 47

Page 49

		rage 47	Page 49	
1	Smith	1	Smith	
2	A That's true.	2	centimeters to full dilatation, which is ten	
3	MR. COOK: What I would like to do	now is 3	centimeters, should have been approximately four	
4	I would like to have your July 22, 2002 r	eport 4	hours. However complete dilatation was not found	
5	marked as an exhibit. We will have that r	marked 5	until 4:50 in the morning which is, if my math is	
6	as Defendants' Exhibit No. 3.	6	correct, nine hours and 20 minutes. If my math is	
7.	(Defendants' Exhibit 3, July 22, 2002	7	correct. That's more than double the expected length	
8	report, marked for identification, as of th	is 8	of time. And then the time from full dilatation to	
9	date.)	9	delivery is generally expected to be less than two	
10	Q I am handing you what's been mark	ed as 10	hours and in this case was two hours and 26 minutes,	
11	Defendants' Exhibit No. 3. Can you describ	e just 🛛 11	again if my math is correct. There was no, as we	
12			have said, physician consultation during that time.	
13	A It's my letter of opinion regarding the	ie 13	Physician consultation would have been anticipated or	
14	care rendered by Susan Diane Morgan-Beac	h towards 14	expected with that much of a prolongation, at least	
15	Lisa Yates.	15	during that active phase of labor, the 26 minute	
16	Q That's two pages, correct?	16	variance of second stage. Had an earlier	
17	A It is two pages.	17	consultation been raised, a plan of care might be	
18	Q That's your signature, I believe, at the	ne 18	covering that and you might not need another one.	
19	end of that letter? Maybe there is no signate	ire. 19	However, in view of the fact that you did not have	
20	A On the original I am sure.	20	the earlier one, that's again a second missed	
21	Q What opinions have you formulated	in this 21	opportunity with an anticipated deviation or a	
22	case?	22	deviation from the anticipated normal.	
23	A In my opinion Ms. Beach failed to r	neet the 23	The more important element of failure to	
24	standard of care. There are 64 minutes of m	inimal 24	meet the standard of care is the ability to correctly	
25	fetal heart monitoring immediately prior to	the 25	interpret fetal monitoring. And it's my opinion that	

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

	Page 50		Dece 52
	-		Page 52
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	from what they call strip number four, which I would	2	percent of the contractions were associated with a
3	need to look up and see what time that started, strip	3	deceleration. And while you don't get concerned when
4	number four seems to have started at approximately a	4	the decelerations start, the cumulative effect and
5	few minutes before 7:00 p.m. on December 1, 1999, and	5	repetitive effect and number of hours of continuing
б	from that point through the rest of the labor there	6	decelerations with some areas where there are other
7	is significant amount of fetal heart decelerations.	7	fetal heart changes, where there is minimal
8	Q Can you tell me just to I don't mean to	8	variability from time to time, should have caused
9	interrupt you but tell me the number of that strip,	9	Ms. Beach to consider that perhaps the condition of
10	the beginning strip.	10	the baby needed to be evaluated.
11	A Do you want their page number?	11	Q And by evaluated you mean consulting an
12	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I don't think he has	12	obstetrician?
13	the same record.	13	A In Ms. Beach's deposition there was a
14	A Because it was confusing the way it's	14	point where she was asked about fetal scalp sampling
15	paginated.	15	and, as I recall, she stated that it was available at
16	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Because these are my	16	the institution but that she didn't perform the
17	Bates stamps from the records deposition	17	procedure herself and that she never considered to
18	service, not the hospital.	18	consult the physician at that point at some point
19	MR. COOK: Off the record.	19	for that purpose. But that would have been one of
20	(Discussion off the record.)	20	the ideas or suggestions that could have been made
21	MR. COOK: Back on the record, just to	21	when one is looking at differential both diagnoses
22	discuss identification purposes of her opinion	22	and plans.
23	on the fetal heart monitor, I think we have	23	Q What advantage would the midwife have by
24	agreed for purposes of this opinion we will	24	having the scalp monitor sample so to speak?
25	refer to the hospital stamping on the fetal	25	A Obviously with a test such as scalp
	· · ·		
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	heart monitors; is that correct, Donna?	2	sampling you could get a good result or a not good
3	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: That is correct.	3	result. If you had gotten a good result in the face

- Q Is that helpful to you?
- A I can do that.

4

5

6 Q Go ahead and do that for us. You were 7 referring to strip number four when I interrupted 8 you.

9 A Strip number four is what the nurse writes
10 on it when she changes the paper on the machine. The
11 stamp that's on the strip is 65002.

MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Can I take two minutes?(Recess taken.)

14 Q Just took a brief break. At the time we 15 took a break you were referencing for us the strip 16 number using the hospital stamp system on the fetal 17 heart monitor strips as to when you believe the -well you tell me. Tell me what you were telling us 18 19 about. You referred to strip 65002 and tell me why 20 you were referencing that strip number again. 21 From that point forward through the rest А 22 of the labor there were an increased number of fetal

- 23 heart decelerations. There had been an occasional
- one earlier than that but from this point forwardthey became quite frequent. In some cases almost 100

result. If you had gotten a good result in the face of the decelerations, you could have been more 4 5 confident that the baby was not suffering any ill effects from these repeating decelerations. If you б 7 had gotten a below normal result in the scalp sample, you would have had the opportunity to change the plan 8 9 and to perhaps effect a delivery by another means. 10 0 What is a fetal scalp sampling?

Q what is a fetal scalp sampling:

- A It's a method which whereby a small amount
 of blood is taken from the scalp of the baby while
 the baby is still inside the mother's uterus and then
 that sample of blood is sent to the laboratory for
- 15 analysis relating to oxygen levels and the normal
- 16 physiology of the baby.

17 Q In your experience, Suzanne, based on the

18 number of births that you have participated in, does

19 the scalp sample correlate, the results of the scalp

- 20 sample correlate with what's being shown in the fetal 21 heart monitor?
- 22 A Not always. It's possible to have a strip
- 23 that looks very worrisome such as this and have a

24 very appropriate, good scalp sample. It's also

25 $\,$ possible to have a strip that is as worrisome as this $\,$

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

	Page 54		Page 56
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	to show that the baby is indeed in jeopardy.	2	Q Now tell me about the early labor in this
3	Q Is there any risk associated with	3	case. What is your understanding of that?
4	obtaining a scalp sample?	4	A The early labor was managed by the
5	A There would be due to the fact that it	5	physicians. Ms. Yates was admitted at somewheres
6	does require drawing blood from the baby. A small	6	around 8 o'clock in the morning on December 1st of
7	risk regarding excessive bleeding, potentially even a	7	1999. She was placed on the fetal monitor at about
8	small risk of infection at that site.	8	8:20 in the morning. She was examined and the
9	Q Now in this case do you believe	9	amniotic membranes were artificially ruptured at
10	specifically that the standard of care required that	10	8:38. Labor progress remained slow. Pitocin
11	a scalp sample be obtained from this child?	11	augmentation was begun at approximately 11 o'clock in
12	A I believe that the standard of care	12	the morning. She received an epidural anaesthesia
13	required a physician evaluation to assist in making	13	starting somewheres around 1 o'clock in the
14	that decision.	14	afternoon. And in that earlier phase of labor, the
15	Q So you are not saying that specifically a	15	part of labor from the onset, perhaps zero or one or
16	scalp sample needed to be obtained, your point being	16	two centimeters, until the active phase of labor
17	is Ms. Beach should have consulted a physician?	17	which is considered to be four to five centimeters,
18	A I believe so.	18	there is much more variability of the normal speed of
19	Q Are you telling us that at a few minutes	19	labor. So given that when Ms. Beach arrived at
20	before 7:00 is when she should have consulted a	20	approximately 7:00 in the evening and examined her at
21	physician?	21	about 7:30 and she was at that point, Ms. Yates was
22	A No.	22	at that point six centimeters, which is one or two
23	Q When do you believe the consultation	23	centimeters into active phase, it's reasonable to
24	should have taken place?	24	assume that most of the day was early labor.
25	A I believe she should have consulted the	25	Q You mentioned that you are aware that
	Page 55		Page 57
	1.81.00		1480.57

Smith 1 2 physician -- I believe that the consultation should 3 have been obtained somewheres after midnight and 4 prior to the time of full dilatation, which was 4:50 5 in the morning.

And why do you believe that the physician 6 Q 7 should have been consulted between that four-hour time span? 8

9 By that stage of the game she had had А 10 approximately five hours of worrisome fetal heart 11 tracing, nonreassuring fetal heart tracing, and her 12 progress in labor was clearly diminished. It never stopped but it was very slow. 13

14 Q Can you be any more specific as to the 15 time you believe the doctor should have been 16 consulted?

17 А I don't think there is a purpose to that.

18 Q Okay.

19 A No.

20 Just so we are clear, your opinion is if 0 21 she had consulted a physician any time between 22 midnight and 4:50 a.m., even as late as 4:50 a.m., 23 she would have complied, in your opinion, with the 24 standard of care? 25 А Probably.

1 Smith 2 Pitocin was ordered and administered. Why was 3 Pitocin ordered by the physician and administered to 4 this patient? Again, just so we are correct for the 5 record, as you pointed out, Pitocin was administered 6 approximately three hours after her membranes were 7 ruptured? 8 А Right. At about 10:45 in the morning 9 Ms. Yates was still three cent -- only three 10 centimeters dilated. And so it's reasonable to 11 assume, although the physician note does not specify, 12 that it was started to hopefully speed up the 13 progress of labor. So thus we can surmise that the physician 14 Q 15 clearly was aware that labor was not progressing as 16 rapidly as they would have liked to have seen early 17 on, correct, otherwise Pitocin would not have been 18 administered? 19 А As I stated, the early phase of labor has 20 much more variations in speed. I can't make a 21 judgment as to what the physician really thought 22 about the speed of labor. However by virtue of the 23 fact that the membranes had been ruptured several 24 hours earlier, the physician more likely wanted it to 25 move faster than it was. Also, we have not mentioned

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

Page 58 Page 60 1 Smih 1 Smith 2 this. Ms. Yates' first baby was delivered by administered? The record shows 12:50. 2 Cesarean section for some difficulty, as I understand 3 3 A I have --4 it, for the dilatation and the speed of dilatation 4 Q I might be wrong. 5 and the failure to reach full dilatation. So it's 5 I have 12:50. And the reason I keep А 6 reasonable to assume that they were hoping to 6 quibbling on it is the physician, Dr. Elliott, has a 7 optimize her likelihood of a vaginal delivery. 7 different time so -8 0 In your opinion, I just want to make sure 8 Q Oh, our expert? 9 we are clear on this, do you believe that the Pitocin 9 А That's why I said approximately 1 o'clock. 10 was most likely administered because the labor was 10 That's fair. And in this case was the Q not progressing as quickly as you would have liked to 11 11 epidural ever reinforced? 12 have seen in this patient, since the membranes had 12 I believe it was. A 13 been ruptured at approximately 8:30? 13 Q When was it reinforced? 14 Would you rephrase that again? А 14 A There is an out-of-sequence note which is 15 Just to give you a preface, you mentioned Q 15 actually the second to last note in the labor and 16 that at this stage, the very early stages, it's quite 16 delivery nurse's observations at 06:17 saying that to variable as to how fast things are occurring so to 17 17 top patient off, which is epidural. I can't believe 18 speak. 18 that it wasn't also reinforced in between those two 19 Α Right. 19 intervals, but I don't see it. 20Q But in this particular instance based on 20 0 Just so we are clear, the reason to 21the fact that her membranes were ruptured I believe 21 reinforce is the effects of the anaesthesia are 22 you said at 8:30, is that correct? 22 wearing off, Ms. Yates is experiencing pain and it's 23 Approximately. 8:38. А 23 simply reinstituted, for lack of a better word? 24 Q 8:38. And that the Pitocin was 24 Α Absolutely, which is why I can't believe 25 administered approximately three hours later. That 25 it's 18 hours later.

Page 59 1 Smith 2 does not lead you to the opinion that labor was not 3 progressing as quickly as the physicians would have 3 have?	Page 61
2 does not lead you to the opinion that labor was not 2 Q How many prior children did Ms. Yat	\$ \$
	`S
2 progragging as quickly as the physician monthly	
3 progressing as quickly as the physicians would have 3 have?	
4 liked to have seen? And let me just rephrase it. 4 A One.	
5 Based on the fact that Pitocin was administered three 5 Q So she is what is termed a gravita 2?	
6 hours after her membranes were ruptured, do you 6 A Gravita refers to the number of	
7 believe more likely than not that labor was not 7 pregnancies, not just the number of children.	But
8 progressing as quickly or as rapidly as you would 8 she does not have any miscarriages or abortio	
9 like to have seen in a patient such as 9 listed so, yes, she is a gravita 2.	
10 Ms. Yates? 10 Q And would you agree that it's recognize	ed
11 A I think the fairest way to answer your 11 that when you have a patient who is a gravita	
12 question is to say that physicians have a tendency to 12 has been administered an epidural that the sec	ond
13 manage labors more actively than midwives do and that 13 stage of labor can take upwards of two-and-a-	
14 what is a normal progress of labor, while it's normal 14 two hours and 45 minutes, that that's not outsi	le the
15 no matter who is managing the patient, the physician 15 realm of normal?	
16 tends to be more aggressive in their management and 16 A It's outside the realm of the Friedman	
17 wish the progress to be even better than normal. 17 curve of normal but it can happen, yes.	
18 Q So again you are not stating in this case 18 Q I guess being more specific, are you a	
19 that her labor was progressing abnormally slow 19 that the ACOG practice bulletins specifically	
20between 8:30 and 11:30 a.m.?20that the second stage under those circumstance	
21 A Absolutely not. 21 again gravita 2, epidural, can routinely take lo	ıger
22 Q Now an epidural was administered to 22 than two hours?	
23 Ms. Yates, correct? 23 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I object to the	
24 A Correct. 24 reference to ACOG standards, but if you know the standards is a standard with the standards in the standards is a standard with the standard withe standard with the standard withe standard with the standard with	ow the
25 Q At what time was the initial epidural 25 answer to that question you can certainly a	iswer

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	Page 62		Page 64
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	it.	2	A Except for the fact that she hadn't
3	A I don't know the answer and I am not	3	consulted earlier and the hard line statement for
4	you would also have to know whether that bulletin was	4	second stage of labor is two hours. I don't think,
5	before or after the date of this labor and delivery.	5	however, that a consultation at two hours and some
6	Q Second stage begins well you tell me,	6	minutes in this case would likely have mediated the
7	when does second stage begin?	7	event.
8	A At full dilatation.	8	Q Tell me then where are you getting the
9	Q When does second stage end?	9	hard line basis that second stage should last, I
10	A At birth of the baby.	10	think as you are telling us, roughly two hours?
11	Q Would you agree that if the second stage	11	A The traditional Friedman curve.
12	of labor takes two hours and 26 minutes, in and of	12	Q What is the Friedman curve?
13	itself, in and of itself, that length of time does	13	A The Friedman curve is by a physician by
14	not mean that anybody did anything negligent?	14	the name of Emmanuel Friedman who studied and I
15	A Correct.	15	can't give you the exact year many, many, many
16	Q Correct? At what point in time, just from	16	women's labors and graphed the expected progress in
17	a time standpoint, would it be quite worrisome to you	17	labor. And it's from that graph that we assume labor
18	that the second stage of labor is taking longer than	18	from the active phase on to be approximately one
19	you would like it to? Would you agree that's greater	19	centimeter per hour plus two hours of second stage.
20	than you look confused.	20	Q And is that published somewhere?
21	A I am not confused.	21	A It's published.
22	Q Let me take a step back. Your opinion in	22	Q Where is it published?
23	this case is not that the second stage of labor took	23	A In just about every obstetric textbook in
24	too long? Is that your opinion?	24	the world.
25	A No.	25	Q Going back to your opinions in the case

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

Smith

Q That's why I want to make sure we are
clear. Just so I am clear, the fact that the second
stage of labor in this case took two hours and 26
minutes does not necessarily mean that Ms. Beach was
negligent or deviated from the standard of care,

7 correct?

1

15

19

20

8 A Correct. The period of time that I am9 more concerned about is that midnightish to 4:50 in

10 the morning.

11 Q But again just generally speaking, a

12 labor, second stage of labor that takes two hours and

13 26 minutes in a gravita 2 patient who is on an

14 epidural is not necessarily worrisome?

A If there was nothing else --

16 Q That's right.

17 A -- of concern, that would not be of a

18 concern by itself.

Q Normal fetal tracings, et cetera?

A That's right.

21 Q I just want to make sure we are clear.

- 22 The mere fact that the second stage took two hours
- 23 and 26 minutes does not mean in your opinion that
- 24 Ms. Beach was negligent? I realize you have
- 25 problems --

Smih

and again I understand you have got two headline opinions, one is that Ms. Beach failed to consult a physician and, secondly, that the fetal heart tracings in your opinion were nonreassuring between the hours of midnight and 4:50 a.m.?

A No, they were not reassuring for longer
than that, but that's why in addition at midnight she
began to fall behind the normal progress of labor and
now you have two points.

11 Q The first reason to consult was she was

12 not proceeding quickly enough?

A The first reason to consult was she was
having repetitive decelerations and the overall
pattern was nonreassuring.

16 Q Do you believe the mere fact that she was17 not preceding at one centimeter per hour necessitated18 a call to the physician, that alone?

- A Yes.
- Q That alone, okay.
- A Eventually. Not two hours later
- 22 necessarily but certainly when she really fell off

23 the curve. When you are taking two-and-a-half times

- 24 normal to get from six centimeters to full
- 25 dilatation, and you are taking nine-and-a-half hours

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

Page 65

	Page 66		Page 68
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	instead of four, some place in there you had to	2	at prolonged periods of nonreassuring strip.
3	consult.	3	Q Those instances in the strip from 65002
4	Q And again on that point, that should have	4	that you are thumbing through right now that do
5	occurred sometime between midnight and 4:50 a.m.?	5	demonstrate some decelerations, could those be
6	A If she was six centimeters at 7:30 in the	6	explained by bearing down efforts by Ms. Yates?
7	evening, she should have been fully dilated by 11:30	7	A Generally speaking when the mother is
8	in the evening. That's why I then said midnight	8	bearing down the contraction section of the strip
9	onward she was well past normal progress. Yeah,	9	looks different.
10	normal progress of labor. I will add to my statement	10	Q Okay.
11	what I hadn't said before, particularly in the face	11	A And the majority of the time she is not
12	of the fact that she has been receiving Pitocin this	12	bearing down.
13	whole time, which is designed to speed up the labor	13	Q Could they be explained by a mother who is
14	and she is still not at the normal speed.	14	pushing forcefully?
15	Q So you would disagree that the fetal heart	15	A Pushing forcefully and bearing down are
16	tracings demonstrate mild to moderately well that	16	the same thing.
17	the fetal heart tracings demonstrate good recovery?	17	Q So you would disagree with that? And
18	A Sometimes they do.	18	specifically
19 20	Q How would you describe the decelerations,	19	A Ask me the question again.
20 21	were they mild to moderate? I want to talk about the 65002 on.	20	Q Turn to 64745. What does that show?
$\frac{21}{22}$		21	A She is pushing at that point.
22	A Right. There are no let me not say no yet. Most of them are mild to moderate.	22 23	Q Pushing forcefully?
23 24	Q Just so we are clear, most of them from	24	A Probably. It doesn't you can't really
25	65002 on	24 25	determine force by the way the contraction looks because the reason it gets that flat line at the top
20	03002 011	23	because the reason it gets that hat the at the top
			-
	Page 67		Page 69
1	Smith	1	Smith
2	A Are moderate.		
		2	is because it runs out the graph so you don't know
- 3	O are mild to moderate decelerations?	23	is because it runs out the graph so you don't know what the force is
3 4	Q are mild to moderate decelerations? Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?	3	what the force is.
	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?	3 4	what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration?
4	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?A There is a couple that are mild.	3	what the force is.Q But that does show a deceleration?A Oh, yes.
4 5	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?A There is a couple that are mild.Q Do the majority of them show good	3 4 5	what the force is.Q But that does show a deceleration?A Oh, yes.Q How would you describe the deceleration?
4 5 6	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?A There is a couple that are mild.	3 4 5 6	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the
4 5 6 7	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?A There is a couple that are mild.Q Do the majority of them show goodrecovery? And while you are looking I would ask the	3 4 5 6 7	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at
4 5 6 7 8	Are moderate? You don't think any are mild?A There is a couple that are mild.Q Do the majority of them show goodrecovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them	3 4 5 6 7 8	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No,
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do nost of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. Q Tell me why. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn the page.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. Q Tell me why. A Because in my experience babies' fetal 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn the page. A Oh, it's recovered before the end of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. Q Tell me why. A Because in my experience babies' fetal heart rates can look surprisingly reactive relative 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn the page. A Oh, it's recovered before the end of the page.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent occurring with nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. Q Tell me why. A Because in my experience babies' fetal heart rates can look surprisingly reactive relative to the condition of the baby when he or she is born, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn the page. Q Oh, it is? I see, okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Are moderate? You don't think any are mild? A There is a couple that are mild. Q Do the majority of them show good recovery? And while you are looking I would ask the same question. Do most of them or a majority of them show a good variability, as well? A Variability is generally acceptable. Q How about recovery? A The recovery is maybe 50/50. Q So does it appear then that the child or the fetus is tolerating the labor and delivery process fairly well during that period of time? A Again the fact that they are nearly 100 percent of the contractions, I think that the length of time involved means that it would be very it's very difficult to be comfortable with fetal well being. Q Tell me why. A Because in my experience babies' fetal heart rates can look surprisingly reactive relative 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 what the force is. Q But that does show a deceleration? A Oh, yes. Q How would you describe the deceleration? A They are actually within the space of the two contractions that are on the strip center at 64745, there are probably three decelerations. No, there is four actually. There is one right at the start of that page which seems to be coming at the very end of a previous contraction that we only see a little bit of the return to baseline. There is one that starts early in the first full contraction that's on the monitor. Looks like it recovers, like you got maybe 15 to 30 seconds of baseline and then definitely drops down to a late well, at the end of the contraction. And there is with the second contraction another deceleration. Q Does that end up recovering? You can turn the page. A Oh, it's recovered before the end of the page.

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Page 70Page 701Smih12QThat, I take it, is not one that you would23be concerned about?34AI would be concerned about both of those35because they are late decelerations. Late56decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.67QDespite the fact that they she seems to8recover, correct?8	e 72
1Smih1Smith2QThat, I take it, is not one that you would2AYes.3be concerned about?3QAnd do you have any problem with Ms. Beach4AI would be concerned about both of those3QAnd do you have any problem with Ms. Beach5because they are late decelerations. Late4A Absolutely not.56decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	
2QThat, I take it, is not one that you would2AYes.3be concerned about?3QAnd do you have any problem with Ms. Beach4AI would be concerned about both of those3QAnd do you have any problem with Ms. Beach5because they are late decelerations. Late4A bosolutely not.5A6decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	1
3be concerned about?3QAnd do you have any problem with Ms. Beach4AI would be concerned about both of those4taking over the labor and delivery at that time?5because they are late decelerations. Late5AAbsolutely not.6decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	
4A I would be concerned about both of those4taking over the labor and delivery at that time?5because they are late decelerations. Late5AAbsolutely not.6decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	each
5because they are late decelerations. Late5AAbsolutely not.6decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	
6decelerations are uteroplacental insufficiency.6QDo you believe it was a deviation from the7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	
7QDespite the fact that they she seems to7standard of care for Ms. Beach to use intermittent8recover, correct?8auscultation?	
9 A Uh-huh. The cause of the deceleration is 9 A That late in the second stage?	
10 uteroplacental insufficiency. When the pressure is 10 Q Yes.	
11 off, she finally recovers. But for a relatively 11 A Yes.	
12 short window of time before he decelerates again. 12 Q Why?	
13 Q So this would be an example and I know 13 A Because you have had multiple, multiple	
14 we got a lot of strips here, but that would be an 14 hours of nonreassuring strip ahead of time and there	e
15 example that you believe is clearly nonreassuring? 15 is no way to determine whether it will stay at the	
16 A Clearly nonreassuring. 16 same level or whether it will worsen.	
17 Q If Joyce Roberts, who you have testified 17 Q Are you familiar with any ACOG practice	
18 is respected, testified this is simply evidence of a 18 bulletin concerning the use of intermittent	
19 mother who is pushing forcefully and she is not 19 auscultation?	
20 overly concerned about this deceleration based on the 20 A I know that they exist.	
21 recoverability and variability, how do you respond? 21 Q I understand you are critical or you	
22 Is she wrong? 22 believe that Ms. Beach should not have discontinued	ed be
23 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I will object but you 23 the use of the fetal heart monitor in this case.	
24 can answer it if you care to respond to the 24 Nonetheless, Ms. Beach did continue to monitor the	e
25 question phrased that way. 25 child every five to ten minutes thereafter, correct?	
Page 71 Page 7	e 73
1 Smith 1 Smith	
2 A Would you rephrase the question, please? 2 A I disagree.	
3 Q Well the point being I just want to 3 Q You disagree. Tell me why you disagree.	э.
4 just on this one example, if Joyce Roberts testifies 4 A Because my reading was they were every	
5 in this case during her deposition that she believes 5 nine to 15 minutes.	
6 that's an example of a mother pushing forcefully, 6 Q And do you believe that is – again I	
7 based on the fact that it demonstrates again I am 7 recognize your initial disagreement with even	
8 referring to 64745. And based on the fact that the 8 discontinuing the fetal heart monitor, but assuming	ng

- discontinuing the fetal heart monitor, but assuming -8 strips demonstrate good recovery and good 9
 - it was appropriate, you don't believe that she
 - 10 complied with the standard that applies to
 - intermittent auscultation by only evaluating the 11
 - child every nine to 15 minutes? 12
 - 13 Correct. Α

14

22

Q Tell me why.

15 А Because my understanding of the standard,

albeit I haven't read it recently, is that the 16

17 requirement in second stage is at least every five

18 minutes. And I believe it really specifies after 19 each contraction.

20 When you say you haven't read it lately, O -21

what are you referring to?

The ACOG information. А

23 Q That's what I thought. Nonetheless, the

24 readings that Ms. Beach did document did not

25 demonstrate any compromise of the fetus, did they?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

А

А

Q

testifies?

Α

Q

I would.

proceeding normally at that time?

Dr. Roberts.

variability, that despite the deceleration that is

nonreassured, how would you respond to that?

normal fetal heart tracings I would agree with

believe 64745 is preceded and followed by --

Nonreassuring tracings.

Q And just take it one step further. You

with her opinion on that issue, if that's what she

not something that should cause the midwife to be

If 64745 were preceded and followed by

So therefore you would strongly disagree

Now at the time Ms. Beach took over the

care of Ms. Yates, would you agree that her labor was

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

Page 76 Page 74 1 Smíh 1 Smith 2 Α It's impossible to determine that. stillborn, are you? 2 3 Q Did they represent or were they abnormal? 3 A I am saying that based on the strips I 4 Let me ask you this. What did she record every nine 4 would not have been surprised to have a low Apgar. to 15 minutes during the intermittent auscultation? 5 5 Have we covered all of your opinions that 0 6 Ms. Beach didn't record any of them. I Α б you intend to express in this case in regard to our 7 believe they were recorded by the nurse. 7 client, Ms. Beach? 8 You are correct. Q 8 A I believe so. 9 Α There are two different places to have 9 0 Just a couple of housekeeping things. 10 them recorded, one is on the little monitor strip 10 Well let me ask you this real quick. Well this is 11 that takes up for that period of time. And two of 11 part of the housekeeping. In your notes there was a 12 the -- one, two, three, four, five places on the 12 memorandum dated October 3, 2002. Do you have that 13 monitor strip which is number 64767 look abnormal. 13 there with you? 14 One which is handwritten to be evaluated to be the 14 I have get it. Α 15 maternal pulse. One which looks like it's probably 15 MR. COOK: I am going to have this marked 16 recovering from a deceleration because of the 16 as Defendants' Exhibit No. 4 I believe we are up 17 shoulder that followed as it came back to baseline. 17 to. One which looks like it's the start or entering into 1818(Defendants' Exhibit 4, memorandum, marked 19 the middle of a deceleration and you don't know what 19 for identification, as of this date.) 20 the relationship to the fetal -- to the contraction 20 Q You have got Defendants' Exhibit 4 in 21 is. So you can't judge what type of deceleration it 21 front of you? 22 is. One which is real short. One which is pretty 22 Sure. A 23 short. It's very hard to determine anything based on 23 Q What is that? 24 that strip. 24 It's my memorandum to Ms. Taylor-Kolis А 25 Ο. So you can't tell what they are recording, 25 after I read the defendant's expert opinions. Page 75 Page 77 1 Smith Smith 1 2 what the nurse is recording based on --2 And what does this memorandum state? I 0 3 A I don't think it's possible to draw a 3 mean just generally speaking, does it state your 4 conclusion based on these little pieces of fetal 4 disagreements with --5 heart tracings. There is no -- I was unable to be 5 Α It states where I felt we disagreed on our 6 certain that they were doing anything other than 6 opinions, yes. 7 listening with the fetal heart monitor, whether they 7 And you are referring in this memorandum 0 were actually listening, in addition, with a fetus 8 8 specifically to our obstetrical expert, Dr. Elliott, 9 scope. 9 and our nurse midwife expert, Joyce Roberts, correct? 10 Q The decelerations that we have talked 10 A Correct. 11 about from 65002 onward that you told us earlier in Now you were also kind enough before the 11 Q 12 this deposition which you believe were problematic, I 12 deposition started to allow me to review the notes 13 guess to use -- that may be the wrong wording, but you took in this case, correct? 13 14 that you believe required physician consultation? 14 А Correct. 15 А At some point, yes. 15 Specifically there were a set of notes Ο -- in and of themselves do not portend a 16 0 that you prepared I guess I would say globally about 16 17 poor outcome in this case, correct? 17 this case, correct? And then you have two separate 1818 sets of notes that were attached to each deposition?

18 A Again when you are talking about each
19 deceleration on its own, no. But when you are
20 talking about hours upon hours, the chances are
21 increased that the outcome would be negative.

Q You are not saying in this case that based
 on your review of the fetal monitor strips, without
 knowing what happened at the end, that you would have

25 anticipated that this child would have been

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

The first set of notes was my review of

MR. COOK: So the first set -- and we will

have this marked here as Defendants' Exhibit No.

(Defendants' Exhibit 5, handwritten notes,

marked for identification, as of this date.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

А

5.

the medical records.

	Page 78		Page 80
1	Smith	1	Smih
2	Q Are these the notes again I have handed	2	first try?
3	you Defendants' Exhibit No. 5. Are those the notes	3	A I did.
4	that you prepared in conjunction with your review of	4	Q If you intend to offer any additional
5	the medical records only?	5	opinions in this case or supplement or modify your
6	A Yes.	6	opinions in any way based on any additional
7	Q How many pages of notes are those?	7	information that you have been or will be provided in
8	A Seven.	8	this case by Donna, will you be kind enough to let
9	Q This is all in your own handwriting,	9	Donna know to have her let us know before trial?
10	correct?	10	A I will.
11	A It is.	11	MR. COOK: Okay, thank you.
12	Q Then, as we mentioned, simultaneous or at	12	Donna, have I covered all of the opinions
13	the time you read each deposition transcript you	13	that you believe you intend to elicit from this
14	prepared notes, as well?	14	witness at trial? Anything else I need to
15	A Correct.	15	cover? Just from a procedures standpoint.
16	Q And what I want to turn to first is the	16	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Let's put it this way.
17	deposition of Lisa Yates.	17	While she has something to say about an event,
18	(Defendants' Exhibit 6, handwritten notes,	18	it only goes, once again, to the same repetitive
19	marked for identification, as of this date.)	19	complaint that she has. Based on the totality
20	Q I am going to hand you what's been marked	20	of the circumstances there were numerous places
21	as Defendants' Exhibit No. 6. Please tell us what	21	where it should have occurred to the certified
22	that is.	22	nurse midwife to get medical consultation and
23	A Those are my notes from the deposition of	23	one of those had to do with the meconium, didn't
24	Lisa Yates.	24	it?
25	Q Two pages, correct?	25	THE WITNESS: It does.
	Page 79		Page 81

٦

Г

	1 age / 5	1	rage of		
1	Smíh	1	Smith		
2	A Two pages.	2	Q Let's talk about that. Tell me what other		
-3	Q In your own handwriting?	3	opinion you intend to express at trial that we have		
4	A In my handwriting.	4	not covered regarding the meconium.		
5	5 (Defendants' Exhibit 7, handwritten notes,		A Well when you add the meconium to my		
6	marked for identification, as of this date.)	6	6 evaluation of the fetal heart tracing, it just		
7	Q I am going to hand you what's been marked	7	further states that you have got to find out what's		
8	as Defendants' Exhibit No. 7. What is that?	8	going on. There is probably something negative going		
9	A These are three pages of notes in my	9	on.		
10	handwriting of Susan Morgan's deposition.	10	Q When you refer to the meconium, what are		
11	Q As we talked about, contained in your CV	11	you referring to?		
12	are various publications that you participated in,	12	2 A The leakage of the amniotic fluid towards		
13	correct?	13	3 the end of the labor was stained with meconium.		
14	A Correct.	14	There is a note in the records in sequence that		
15	Q Are any of those publications relevant to	15	refers to meconium leaking as the head was actually		
16	this case?	16	getting close to the delivery. However there is an		
17	A I don't believe so and none are current.	17	out-of-sequence note that identifies the first		
18	Q Have you ever had any problems with your	18	recognition of meconium several hours earlier.		
19	privileges at any hospital, meaning have they ever	19	Q Is it uncommon for there to be meconium		
20	been suspended or terminated?	20	leakage during a labor and delivery?		
21	A Only by my choice.	21	A It's one of the findings that needs to be		
22	Q Have you been suspended or terminated from	22	evaluated in the total picture. It's possible to		
23	any professional organization?	23	have meconium in a perfectly healthy, nonproblematic		
24	A I have not.	24	labor, delivery and baby. However when accompanied		
25	Q Did you pass your certification on the	25	or when it accompanies a worrisome fetal heart		

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 82 Smth tracing, one must rule out a hypoxic episode or repetitive hypoxic episodes. Q So what you are saying then in this case is when the meconium was first identified as leaking that Ms. Beach should have done something further,	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 84 Smih MR. COOK: In the memo that she prepared recently regarding our two experts, there was a comment in there that MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I think what she said about Dr. Elliott's comments
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 correct? A That's another one of the opportunities missed to both consult the physician and probably do the scalp pH, if it had not been done prior to that point, or to repeat it most likely if it had been done prior. Q That's what I wanted to follow up on. When the meconium was first leaking, what do you believe the standard of care required Ms. Beach to have done at that time? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. COOK: Right, about the occlusion. THE WITNESS: That I can't. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: That she can't. MR. COOK: I want to make sure. (Continued on the following page to include the jurat.)
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 A At a minimum consult a physician. It was identified as thick meconium. Q And when was the meconium first identified as leaking in the records based on your review? A The first note states 07:12 head showing with leaking thick meconium. Then there is a subsequent, out-of-sequence note that says at 05:15 thick meconium was noted in the nurse's notes. Q So when do you believe, based on your 	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	
	Page 83		
	6		Page 85
1	Smith	1	Page 85 Smith
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first	1 2	
2 3	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking?	2 3	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support
2 3 4	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15.	2 3 4	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't,
2 3 4 5	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out.	2 3 4 5	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her
2 3 4 5 6	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of	2 3 4 5 6	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have	2 3 4 5	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done.
2 3 4 5 6	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2002.
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ \end{array} $	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares? MR. COOK: Not to be disrespectful to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares? MR. COOK: Not to be disrespectful to your witness, but is she correct, as well, you do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2002.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares? MR. COOK: Not to be disrespectful to your witness, but is she correct, as well, you do not anticipate eliciting any opinions on proximate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2002.
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ \end{array} $	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares? MR. COOK: Not to be disrespectful to your witness, but is she correct, as well, you do not anticipate eliciting any opinions on proximate cause?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2002.
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Smith review of the records, that the meconium was first identified as leaking? A No later than 5:15. Q And I appreciate you pointing this out. That's why I want to make sure we understand all of your opinions. That's not something we would have wanted to be surprised with at trial. Anything else that you could think of that we have not covered that you believe Susan Beach deviated below the standard of care by either doing or failing to do in this case? A No. MR. COOK: Donna, is there anything else I appreciate you pointing that out. Is there anything else you could think of? MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, there isn't anything else. Bad record keeping. But who cares? MR. COOK: Not to be disrespectful to your witness, but is she correct, as well, you do not anticipate eliciting any opinions on proximate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Smith MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: If there was continuous monitoring, she might have been able to support or not support that. But because there wasn't, she can't say anything about that based on her training and experience in reading strips. MR. COOK: With that I am done. MR. HUFFMAN: Thank you very much and I have no questions. MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: The witness is going to read. (Time noted: 11:55 a.m.) SUZANNE M. SMITH Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2002.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	Page 86	
1	 	
1		
2	CERTIFICATE	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
4	: SS.	
5	COUNTY OF KINGS)	
б	I, PENNY ECONOMAKOS, a Shorthand	
7	Reporter and Notary Public within and for the	
8	State of New York, do hereby certify:	
9	That SUZANNE M. SMITH, whose deposition	
10	was held on December 3, 2002, as hereinbefore	
11	set forth, was duly sworn by me, and that this	
12	transcript of such deposition is a true record	
13	of the testimony given by such witness.	
14	I further certify that I am not related	
15	to any of the parties to this action by blood or	
16	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in	
17	the outcome of this matter.	
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
19	my hand this 12th day of December 2002.	
20		
21		
22	PENNY ECONOMAKOS	
23		
24		
25		
	Page 87	
1		
2	I N D E X	
2 3	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE	
2 3 4	I N D E X	
2 3 4 5	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE Suzanne M. Smith Mr. Cook 4	
2 3 4 5 6	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE Suzanne M. Smith Mr. Cook 4 EXHIBITS	
2 3 4 5 6 7	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE Suzanne M. Smith Mr. Cook 4 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' FOR I.D.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE Suzanne M. Smith Mr. Cook 4 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' FOR I.D. Exhibit 1, CV 11	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I N D E X WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE Suzanne M. Smith Mr. Cook 4 EXHIBITS DEFENDANTS' FOR I.D. Exhibit 1, CV 11 Exhibit 2, January 7, 2001 memo 37	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX-WITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITS-EXHIBITS-DEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV.11Exhibit 2, January 7, 2001 memo.37Exhibit 3, July 22, 2002 report.47Exhibit 4, October 3, 2002 memo.76	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX-WITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITS-EXHIBITS-DEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV.11Exhibit 2, January 7, 2001 memo.37Exhibit 3, July 22, 2002 report.47Exhibit 4, October 3, 2002 memo.76Exhibit 5, handwritten notes.77	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX-WITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITS-EXHIBITS-DEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV.11Exhibit 2, January 7, 2001 memo.37Exhibit 3, July 22, 2002 report.47Exhibit 4, October 3, 2002 memo.76Exhibit 5, handwritten notes.77	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ \end{array} $	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18 \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$	INDEXWITNESSEXAMINED BYPAGESuzanne M. SmithMr. Cook4EXHIBITSEXHIBITSDEFENDANTS'FOR I.D.Exhibit 1, CV	

23 (Pages 86 to 87)

				Ç
A	Airport 29:12	assist 31:10 38:14	71:7,8 74:23 75:2	born 67:24
ability 49:24	al 1:8	39:2 40:25 54:13	75:4,22 76:3 80:6	borough 20:13
able 85:3	Albany 29:11,11,15	assistance 17:17	80:19 82:20,25	Box 3:19
abnormal 74:3,13	albeit 73:16	assisted 25:22	85:5	breach 8:20 9:18
	allegation 7:12	associated 52:2	baseline 69:13,16	break 5:5 51:14,15
abnormally 59:19	11:18	54:3	74:17	breakdown 14:9
abortions 61:8	allow 5:20 6:15	Associates 21:20,25	basis 6:3,9,16 13:2	26:23
Abrante 23:5	16:2 36:24 77:12	22:7 23:23 32:24	13:8 14:11 24:15	brief 10:12 51:14
Absolutely 59:21	ALTON 3:10	33:23 38:25	32:3 64:9	
60:24 72:5	ambulatory 13:10	assume 56:24 57:11	Bates 50:17	briefly 10:22 19:15 47:12
acceptable 67:10	24:9	58:6 64:17	Beach 4:12 11:20	
accompanied 81:24	American 21:10	assumed 48:20,22	42:20 44:20 47:23	bring 6:2,4,7,10,23
accompanies 81:25	26:4 31:3 34:12		1	7:2,5,9,17
accurate 12:7 41:7	35:2	assuming 73:8	48:4,11,20,22	Brooklyn 13:6 22:8
accurately 12:10,13	amniotic 56:9 81:12	attached 77:18	52:9 54:17 56:19	brought 6:6 23:9
12:16,19		attending 14:16	63:5,24 65:3	32:8
ACOG 46:24 61:19	amount 50:7 53:11	17:19	71:23 72:3,7,22	bulletin 62:4 72:18
61:24 72:17 73:22	anaesthesia 56:12	attorney 5:10,13	72:24 73:24 74:6	bulletins 46:25
action 86:15	60:21	9:19 11:15 19:23	76:7 82:6,15	61:19
active 7:21,24 9:22	analysis 53:15	29:2 30:21 31:19	83:10	burden 8:5
10:15 24:22 26:7	answer 8:2 18:25	attorneys 3:5,11,17	Beach's 52:13	burdensome 9:3
49:15 56:16,23	41:13 59:11 61:25	10:3 25:14,17	bearing 68:6,8,12	business 23:13,22
64:18	61:25 62:3 70:24	26:2,12 29:24	68:15	
actively 8:6 9:4	answering 11:6	30:6,24 31:4,5,10	Beaumed 25:21	<u> </u>
59:13	answers 11:21	31:13,15	Becker 31:18,19,23	C 3:2,16,21 23:5
add 66:10 81:5	anticipate 8:9 83:22	attribute 26:17	began 26:8 65:9	86:2,2
added 42:7,16,17	anticipated 49:13	attrition 15:22	beginning 24:21	call 18:8,9 24:12
44:25	49:21,22 75:25	augmentation	50:10	26:8 42:12 50:2
addition 5:5 40:18	antipartum 13:13	56:11	begins 62:6	65:18
48:2 65:8 75:8	anybody 62:14	auscultation 72:8	begun 56:11	called 4:2 21:3
additional 16:2	anyway 11:11	72:19 73:11 74:5	behalf 7:12 26:23	calls 36:2
38:8 80:4,6	Apgar 76:4	autonomous 23:7	26:24 29:20	capacity 22:7
additionally 33:21	apologize 22:14	autopsy 32:17	believe 27:20,23	capital 33:18
additions 12:23	appear 37:25 67:13	available 52:15	29:10,21 35:25	care 3:17 11:23
Admin 1:4	Appearing 3:22	avoid 11:2	36:4,15 42:4	13:10 14:6,7,21
administered 57:2,3	applies 4:17 45:12	aware 20:19,22	44:11,19,23 45:22	14:24 24:9 32:23
57:5,18 58:10,25	48:12 73:10	46:17 56:25 57:15	47:18 51:17 54:9	32:25 33:14,21,23
59:5,22 60:2	appreciate 8:11	61:18	54:12,18,23,25	36:21 38:15,24
61:12	83:5,15	a.m 2:4 55:22,22	55:2,6,15 58:9,21	39:20 41:24 42:13
administration	appropriate 14:24	59:20 65:6 66:5	59:7 60:12,17,24	45:11 46:9 47:14
33:19	48:12 53:24 73:9	85:12	65:16 70:15 71:17	47:24 48:12,14,20
admission 33:13	approximately 13:9		72:6,22 73:6,9,18	48:22 49:17,24
admitted 20:7 56:5	15:19 26:12 28:23	<u> </u>	74:7 75:12,14	54:10,12 55:24
admitting 23:7	39:21 48:18,23	B 38:21	76:8,16 79:17	63:6 70:24 71:24
advantage 52:23	49:3 50:4 55:10	babies 14:25 15:2,8	80:13 82:15,25	72:7 82:15 83:11
advertise 31:7	56:11,20 57:6	15:10,16 16:16	83:10	cared 20:7
affiliated 30:10,13	58:13,23,25 60:9	17:4,8,12 67:22	believes 71:5	cares 14:13 83:19
30:22	64:18	baby 19:22,25 48:2	benefit 39:13	caring 48:4
afternoon 56:14	April 38:20	52:10 53:5,12,13	best 20:15 26:7	Carol 23:4,17
agencies 30:23	areas 52:6	53:16 54:2,6 58:2	better 5:20 59:17	case 1:7 4:12 5:11
aggressive 59:16	arrangement 32:23	62:10 67:24 81:24	60:23	5:14 6:4,9,13,17
ago 15:19 16:10,17	33:22 38:25 41:24	back 21:18 50:21	billed 43:3,5	6:21 7:6,19 8:14
16:18 18:14,15,15	42:14	62:22 64:25 74:17	billing 7:6	8:17,19,22 9:24
18:19 29:16	arrived 56:19	background 12:11	birth 19:21 62:10	11:9,19 19:23,25
agree 34:16 61:10	artificially 56:9	Bad 83:18	births 53:18	20:8 27:11,21,24
62:11,19 71:14,24	asked 6:2,7,23 7:2,5	Barbara 23:9,16	bit 24:21 46:23	28:9,10 32:6,8,14
agreed 50:24	7:9 45:18 46:8,22	based 8:25 36:13,23	69:13	34:15 35:5,9,12
agreement 36:22	52:14	38:2 39:4 42:8,9	bleeding 54:7	36:5,13 38:8 39:3
ahead 21:25 36:17	assigned 15:5	42:17 45:7 53:17	blood 53:12,14 54:6	39:18 40:16,25
51:6 72:14	assignment 25:20	58:20 59:5 70:20	86:15	42:5 43:4,18,23
51.072.17		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		

45:11 46:3,8,10		1		
16.15 25 17.22	children 61:2,7	concurrent 28:5,8	22:12,24,25 23:25	47:9 62:5 76:19
46:15,25 47:22	choice 79:21	condition 52:9	27:17,22 32:9	77:25 78:19 79:6
48:13 49:10 54:9	chronological 41:17	67:24	33:25 34:2,18	dated 37:6,10,22
56:3 59:18 60:10	circumstances	conducted 6:20	35:12 36:10,11	44:2 45:8 76:12
62:23 63:4 64:6	45:12 61:20 80:20	confident 53:5	37:15 38:5,17	dates 35:20
64:25 71:5 72:23	City 20:10,12,14	confidential 24:24	40:12 42:17,23	day 24:15,15,17
75:17,22 76:6	29:9,17	confidentiality 9:18	43:24 44:3,4 45:5	56:24 85:17 86:19
77:13,17 79:16	claim 19:20	confused 62:20,21	45:7,13 47:16	days 20:6
80:5,8 82:4 83:12	claims 20:16	confusing 50:14	49:6,7,11 51:2,3	day-to-day 13:8
cases 7:10,21,24 8:5	clarity 44:25	conjunction 14:16	57:4,17 58:22	14:11
8:21 9:4,11,17,22	clear 22:5 29:23	34:20 78:4	59:23,24 62:15,16	death 32:17 45:5,10
10:15 19:5,8 20:3	41:15,25 42:15	consecutive 25:18	63:7,8 70:8 72:25	45:20
20:11 24:20,20,22	55:20 58:9 60:20	consider 20:9 52:9	73:13 74:8 75:17	deceased 1:5 37:14
24:24 25:5,5,8,14	63:3,3,21 66:24	considered 52:17	77:9,10,13,14,17	37:24 39:19
25:17 26:10,11,21	clearly 55:12 57:15	56:17	78:10,15,25 79:13	decelerates 70:12
27:4,13 28:22,23	70:15,16	consult 10:2 52:18	79:14 82:7 83:21	deceleration 52:3
29:3,19,25 30:2	Cleveland 3:7	65:3,11,13 66:3	correctly 49:24	69:4,6,19 70:9,20
31:12,16,22 51:25	client 76:7	82:9,17	correlate 53:19,20	71:10 74:16,19,21
causation 83:25	elinic 13:13 14:15	consultation 39:10	correspondence	75:19
cause 45:5,10 70:9	14:17,20 24:16	40:21 42:7,11	35:24	decelerations 39:25
71:11 83:23	clinical 13:22 14:4	48:3,17 49:12,13	counsel 7:19 9:2,6	41:5,11 50:7
caused 45:20 52:8	38:11 39:7 40:5	49:17 54:23 55:2	9:16 10:5,8,17	51:23 52:4,6 53:4
causing 24:12	41:19 42:18	64:5 75:14 80:22	COUNTY 1:3 86:5	53:6 65:14 66:19
CBS 22:23 23:4	clinically 34:22	consultation/colla	couple 19:18 33:4	67:3 68:5 69:9
cent 57:9	close 26:25 27:3	42:20	67:5 76:9	70:5,6 75:10
center 13:5,6 69:8	33:18,20 81:16	consulted 54:17,20	court 1:2 8:13	December 1:17 2:3
centimeter 48:18	closed 7:20 30:3	54:25 55:7,16,21	19:24 29:9	23:25 24:4 50:5
64:19 65:17	closer 18:16	64:3	courtroom 43:16	56:6 86:10,19
centimeters 48:25	clue 28:2	consulting 30:16	cover 35:21 80:15	decision 54:14
49:2,3 56:16,17	cofounder 22:22	52:11	coverage 16:3	defendant 3:11 8:15
56:22,23 57:10	collaboration 39:10	contact 26:6 31:5	covered 10:23	
1 30.22.23 37.10				1 11:0
	1	•		11:8 Defendants 1:9
65:24 66:6	40:22 48:3,17	44:20	24:21 32:7 34:3	Defendants 1:9
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4	44:20 contacted 32:5	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6	40:22 48:3,17	44:20	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 .37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 continuous 85:2 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 deferse 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 defense 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12 delivered 18:12
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 deferse 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12 delivered 18:12 20:2,4 58:2
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 deferse 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12 delivered 18:12 20:2,4 58:2 deliveries 18:11
$\begin{array}{c} 65:24\ 66:6\\ \textbf{cephalic}\ 33:16\\ \textbf{certain}\ 9:11\ 75:6\\ \textbf{certainly}\ 61:25\\ 65:22\\ \textbf{certificate}\ 21:13\\ 32:17\\ \textbf{certification}\ 79:25\\ \textbf{certified}\ 21:6,9\\ 32:18\ 33:12\ 34:11\\ 38:21\ 80:21\\ \textbf{certify}\ 86:8,14\\ \textbf{cervical}\ 33:19\\ \textbf{Cesarcan}\ 58:3\\ \textbf{cetera}\ 63:19\\ \textbf{chair}\ 34:24\\ \textbf{chances}\ 75:20\\ \textbf{change}\ 39:4,6\ 40:10\\ 41:2\ 42:6\ 53:8\\ \textbf{changed}\ 42:3\\ \textbf{changes}\ 12:22\\ 51:10\ 52:7\\ \end{array}$	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 competent 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 deferse 10:5 25:9 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12 delivered 18:12 20:2,4 58:2 deliveries 18:11 19:6,8
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarean 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8 changed 42:3 changes 12:22	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continue 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8	Defendants 1:9 11:25 12:4 37:17 37:18,20 47:6,7 47:11 76:16,18,20 77:22,24 78:3,18 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 defendant's 76:25 deferring 45:23 definitely 29:15 69:17 degree 45:19 delay 42:21 deleted 44:25 deliver 14:25 15:2,7 15:10 17:4,8,12 delivered 18:12 20:2,4 58:2 deliveries 18:11 19:6,8 delivering 15:16
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarcan 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8 changed 42:3 changes 12:22 51:10 52:7 charge 43:8,10,11 43:14	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complete 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25 computer 44:13 concern 63:17,18 concerned 41:3	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15 copy 5:9 36:9 43:24	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Defendants 1:9} \\ 11:25 12:4 37:17 \\ 37:18,20 47:6,7 \\ 47:11 76:16,18,20 \\ 77:22,24 78:3,18 \\ 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 \\ \textbf{defendant's 76:25} \\ \textbf{defense 10:5 25:9} \\ \textbf{deferring 45:23} \\ \textbf{definitely 29:15} \\ 69:17 \\ \textbf{degree 45:19} \\ \textbf{delay 42:21} \\ \textbf{deleted 44:25} \\ \textbf{deliver 14:25 15:2,7} \\ 15:10 17:4,8,12 \\ \textbf{delivered 18:12} \\ 20:2,4 58:2 \\ \textbf{delivering 15:16} \\ 16:15 18:5 39:22 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 65:24\ 66:6\\ \textbf{cephalic}\ 33:16\\ \textbf{certain}\ 9:11\ 75:6\\ \textbf{certainly}\ 61:25\\ 65:22\\ \textbf{certificate}\ 21:13\\ 32:17\\ \textbf{certification}\ 79:25\\ \textbf{certificat}\ 21:6,9\\ 32:18\ 33:12\ 34:11\\ 38:21\ 80:21\\ \textbf{certify}\ 86:8,14\\ \textbf{cervical}\ 33:19\\ \textbf{Cesarcan}\ 58:3\\ \textbf{cetera}\ 63:19\\ \textbf{chair}\ 34:24\\ \textbf{chances}\ 75:20\\ \textbf{change}\ 39:4,6\ 40:10\\ 41:2\ 42:6\ 53:8\\ \textbf{changed}\ 42:3\\ \textbf{changes}\ 12:22\\ 51:10\ 52:7\\ \textbf{charge}\ 43:8,10,11\\ 43:14\\ \textbf{charts}\ 25:22\\ \end{array}$	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complete 11:11 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25 computer 44:13 concern 63:17,18	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15 copy 5:9 36:9 43:24 correct 5:22,23	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8 D D 87:2	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Defendants 1:9} \\ 11:25 12:4 37:17 \\ 37:18,20 47:6,7 \\ 47:11 76:16,18,20 \\ 77:22,24 78:3,18 \\ 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 \\ \textbf{defendant's 76:25} \\ \textbf{defense 10:5 25:9} \\ \textbf{deferring 45:23} \\ \textbf{definitely 29:15} \\ 69:17 \\ \textbf{degree 45:19} \\ \textbf{delay 42:21} \\ \textbf{deleted 44:25} \\ \textbf{deliver 14:25 15:2,7} \\ 15:10 17:4,8,12 \\ \textbf{delivered 18:12} \\ 20:2,4 58:2 \\ \textbf{delivering 15:16} \\ 16:15 18:5 39:22 \\ \textbf{delivery 13:12 15:5} \\ \end{array}$
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarcan 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8 changed 42:3 changes 12:22 51:10 52:7 charge 43:8,10,11 43:14	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25 computer 44:13 concern 63:17,18 concerned 41:3 52:3 63:9 70:3,4 70:20	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15 copy 5:9 36:9 43:24	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8 D 87:2 daily 13:2	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Defendants 1:9} \\ 11:25 12:4 37:17 \\ 37:18,20 47:6,7 \\ 47:11 76:16,18,20 \\ 77:22,24 78:3,18 \\ 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 \\ \textbf{defendant's 76:25} \\ \textbf{defense 10:5 25:9} \\ \textbf{deferring 45:23} \\ \textbf{definitely 29:15} \\ 69:17 \\ \textbf{degree 45:19} \\ \textbf{delay 42:21} \\ \textbf{delted 44:25} \\ \textbf{deliver 14:25 15:2,7} \\ 15:10 17:4,8,12 \\ \textbf{delivered 18:12} \\ 20:2,4 58:2 \\ \textbf{delivering 15:16} \\ 16:15 18:5 39:22 \\ \textbf{delivery 13:12 15:5} \\ 16:3 17:20,25 \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 65:24\ 66:6\\ \textbf{cephalic}\ 33:16\\ \textbf{certain}\ 9:11\ 75:6\\ \textbf{certainly}\ 61:25\\ 65:22\\ \textbf{certificate}\ 21:13\\ 32:17\\ \textbf{certification}\ 79:25\\ \textbf{certificat}\ 21:6,9\\ 32:18\ 33:12\ 34:11\\ 38:21\ 80:21\\ \textbf{certify}\ 86:8,14\\ \textbf{cervical}\ 33:19\\ \textbf{Cesarcan}\ 58:3\\ \textbf{cetera}\ 63:19\\ \textbf{chair}\ 34:24\\ \textbf{chances}\ 75:20\\ \textbf{change}\ 39:4,6\ 40:10\\ 41:2\ 42:6\ 53:8\\ \textbf{changes}\ 12:22\\ 51:10\ 52:7\\ \textbf{charge}\ 43:8,10,11\\ 43:14\\ \textbf{charts}\ 25:22\\ \textbf{child}\ 18:5\ 45:5,10\\ 45:21\ 54:11\ 67:13\\ \end{array}$	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25 computer 44:13 concern 63:17,18 concerned 41:3 52:3 63:9 70:3,4	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15 copy 5:9 36:9 43:24 correct 5:22,23 6:14 7:14,15 9:2 10:19 11:21 15:20	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8 D 87:2 daily 13:2 dare 10:7	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Defendants 1:9}\\ 11:25 12:4 37:17\\ 37:18,20 47:6,7\\ 47:11 76:16,18,20\\ 77:22,24 78:3,18\\ 78:21 79:5,8 87:7\\ \textbf{defendant's 76:25}\\ \textbf{defense 10:5 25:9}\\ \textbf{deferring 45:23}\\ \textbf{definitely 29:15}\\ 69:17\\ \textbf{degree 45:19}\\ \textbf{delay 42:21}\\ \textbf{delted 44:25}\\ \textbf{deliver 14:25 15:2,7}\\ 15:10 17:4,8,12\\ \textbf{delivered 18:12}\\ 20:2,4 58:2\\ \textbf{delivering 15:16}\\ 16:15 18:5 39:22\\ \textbf{delivery 13:12 15:5}\\ 16:3 17:20,25\\ 18:3 24:10 33:15\\ \end{array}$
65:24 66:6 cephalic 33:16 certain 9:11 75:6 certainly 61:25 65:22 certificate 21:13 32:17 certification 79:25 certified 21:6,9 32:18 33:12 34:11 38:21 80:21 certify 86:8,14 cervical 33:19 Cesarcan 58:3 cetera 63:19 chair 34:24 chances 75:20 change 39:4,6 40:10 41:2 42:6 53:8 changed 42:3 changes 12:22 51:10 52:7 charge 43:8,10,11 43:14 charts 25:22 child 18:5 45:5,10	40:22 48:3,17 college 21:10 26:4 31:3 34:12,24 35:2 Columbus 3:13 combination 15:21 comfortable 9:17 67:20 coming 69:11 comment 84:4 comments 84:6 committees 34:24 COMMON 1:2 complaint 80:19 complete 6:24 49:4 compliance 9:24,25 complied 55:23 73:10 compromise 19:21 73:25 computer 44:13 concern 63:17,18 concerned 41:3 52:3 63:9 70:3,4 70:20	44:20 contacted 32:5 contained 37:25 79:11 continue 31:5 72:24 continued 40:2 84:11 continuing 52:5 contraction 68:8,24 69:12,14,18,19 73:19 74:20 contractions 52:2 67:18 69:8 Cook 3:14 4:7,11 10:10,21 33:9 37:16 47:3 50:19 50:21 76:15 77:21 80:11 83:14,20 84:2,7,10 85:7 87:4 copies 5:22 44:5,8 44:15 copy 5:9 36:9 43:24 correct 5:22,23 6:14 7:14,15 9:2	24:21 32:7 34:3 42:2 76:5 80:12 81:4 83:9 covering 49:18 CPA 30:14,16 credentialed 15:2 credentials 12:14 critical 11:8 72:21 criticize 11:11,22 cumulative 52:4 current 12:7 13:24 15:4 79:17 currently 7:21,24 8:14 13:23 curriculum 6:24 10:22 12:8,23 curve 61:17 64:11 64:12,13 65:23 CV 11:25 12:6 25:15 79:11 87:8 D D 87:2 daily 13:2 dare 10:7 data 8:16	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Defendants 1:9} \\ 11:25 12:4 37:17 \\ 37:18,20 47:6,7 \\ 47:11 76:16,18,20 \\ 77:22,24 78:3,18 \\ 78:21 79:5,8 87:7 \\ \textbf{defendant's 76:25} \\ \textbf{defense 10:5 25:9} \\ \textbf{deferring 45:23} \\ \textbf{deferring 45:23} \\ \textbf{definitely 29:15} \\ 69:17 \\ \textbf{degree 45:19} \\ \textbf{delay 42:21} \\ \textbf{delted 44:25} \\ \textbf{deliver 14:25 15:2,7} \\ 15:10 17:4,8,12 \\ \textbf{deliveries 18:11} \\ 19:6,8 \\ \textbf{delivering 15:16} \\ 16:15 18:5 39:22 \\ \textbf{delivery 13:12 15:5} \\ 16:3 17:20,25 \\ 18:3 24:10 33:15 \\ 48:2 49:9 53:9 \\ \end{array}$

81:20,24	directly 13:23 34:21	D. C 25:21	Estate 1:5	F 86:2
demanding 17:3	45:15	d/b/a 32:24 33:23	et 1:8 63:19	face 53:3 66:11
demonstrate 39:24	director 22:16	u b) u 52.24 55.25	ethics 8:11,21	facility 17:5 18:10
66:16,17 68:5	disagree 66:15	E	evaluate 8:19 46:9	fact 11:21 30:4 32:7
71:9 73:25	68:17 71:19 73:2			
1	1	E 3:2,2 4:2 33:18	evaluated 52:10,11	40:13 49:19 54:5
demonstrates 71:7	73:3,3	86:2,2 87:2	74:14 81:22	57:23 58:21 59:5
Department 32:16	disagreed 77:5	earlier 49:16,20	evaluating 8:16	63:3,22 64:2
depo 24:22	disagreement 73:7	51:24 56:14 57:24	73:11	65:16 66:12 67:16
deposition 1:15 2:7	disagreements	64:3 75:11 81:18	evaluation 54:13	70:7 71:7,8
2:8 4:14,17 5:10	34:15 77:4	early 56:2,4,24	81:6	factor 16:24
5:19 28:24 29:14	disclose 8:14,21	57:16,19 58:16	evening 56:20 66:7	facts 19:16
32:18,21,21 38:21	disclosed 40:16	69:14	66:8	failed 39:20 47:23
40:24 43:11 46:19	discontinued 72:22	easily 9:9 32:12	event 64:7 80:17	65:3
50:17 52:13 71:5	discontinuing 73:8	East 2:9	Eventually 65:21	failing 83:11
75:12 77:12,18	discovery 28:20	easy 48:15	Everybody 18:8	failure 49:23 58:5
78:13,17,23 79:10	discuss 8:12 50:22	Economakos 1:24	evidence 39:9 42:19	fair 60:10
86:9,12	discussed 40:3	2:10 86:6,22	48:16 70:18	fairest 59:11
depositions 4:18	discussion 10:11,12	economic 14:13	exact 64:15	fairly 67:15
28:19,20 29:5,19	50:20	edited 44:6,9,17	exactly 28:6 40:14	fall 65:9
36:20 41:23	dismissed 19:22	education 17:2	EXAMINATION	familiar 72:17
describe 47:11	disrespectful 83:20	educational 12:11	4:6	family 4:13 24:12
66:19 69:6	disruption 24:11	effect 52:4,5 53:9	examined 4:5 48:20	far 41:14
described 42:11	divulge 24:23	effects 53:6 60:21	48:23 56:8,20	fast 58:17
description 41:4,10	divulging 9:17	efforts 68:6	87:3	faster 57:25
41:11	doctor 46:11 55:15	eight 13:17 15:19	example 70:13,15	February 23:25
descriptive 44:21	document 38:22	16:9,16,18 17:7	71:4,6	24:4
designation 21:4	73:24	18:14	excessive 54:7	feel 5:5 9:17 41:7,9
designed 66:13	documentation	eight-year 17:10	exhibit 11:25 12:4	fell 65:22
desk 10:7	30:3	either 8:15 25:2	32:18 37:17,18,20	felt 77:5
despite 42:20 70:7	documents 5:18	29:13,24 30:4	38:21 47:5,6,7,11	fetal 32:17 39:21,23
71:10	doing 11:14 25:23	34:22 44:24,24	76:16,18,20 77:22	47:25 49:25 50:7
detail 39:12	75:6 83:11	83:11	77:24 78:3,18,21	50:23,25 51:16,22
determination	DOMIANO 3:4	element 49:23	79:5,8 87:8,9,10	52:7,14 53:10,20
14:23 38:14	Donna 3:8 5:15	elicit 11:5,7 45:4,9	87:11,12,13,14	55:10,11 56:7
determine 16:23	10:18,18 27:5	80:13	EXHIBITS 87:6	63:19 65:4 66:15
68:24 72:15 74:2	30:17 31:20 37:12	eliciting 83:22	exist 72:20	66:17 67:20,22
74:23	51:2 80:8,9,12	Elliott 33:2 60:6	exists 10:9	71:14 72:23 73:8
deviated 48:11 63:6	83:14	77:8	expected 49:7,9,14	74:20 75:4,7,23
83:10	double 49:7	Elliott's 84:6	64:16	
deviation 49:21,22	Downstate 13:5,16	Emmanuel 64:14	experience 14:4	81:6,25 fetus 67:14 73:25
72:6	14:12,18 15:8,11		16:25 21:19 25:18	75:8
diagnoses 52:21	16:15 17:18 18:8	employed 13:4		1
		employee 22:20	26:3 53:17 67:22 85:6	figure 18:21
dialoguing 27:15 Diane 47:14	19:6,9 21:21 22:3	23:9	85:6	file 5:20,21
1	22:11,17 Dr 22:2 60:6 71.15	employer 11:8,11	experiences 17:3	filed 4:13 8:18
didactic 13:24	Dr 33:2 60:6 71:15	11:20	experiencing 60:22	20:11
diem 24:8,13	77:8 84:6	entering 74:18	expert 7:11 8:24	files 9:11
different 11:15 60:7	draft 44:5,8	entitled 33:11 37:12	25:24 30:6,16,22	final 38:14 39:8
68:9 74:9	drafts 44:15,18	entity 23:15	30:24 32:25 40:15	40:4,18,19,20
differential 52:21	draw 75:3	epidural 56:12	60:8 76:25 77:8,9	finally 70:11
difficult 7:23 8:4	drawing 54:6	59:22,25 60:11,17	experts 31:6 84:3	find 9:8 30:20,24
67:20	drops 69:17	61:12,21 63:14	explain 7:16 13:25	37:8 81:7
difficulty 58:3	duces 5:10,25 9:25	episode 82:2	15:14,16	findings 81:21
digging 18:21	10:13,23	episodes 82:3	explained 68:6,13	first 4:3 13:11,11
dilatation 49:2,4,8	due 54:5	equal 23:10	express 11:10 76:6	19:11 22:19 23:6
55:4 58:4,4,5 62:8	duly 4:3 86:11	equivalent 15:24	81:3	23:11 25:18 32:5
65:25	dwindled 15:23	25:23	expressing 45:18	35:15,23 36:18
dilated 48:25 57:10	16:11 22:17	ESQ 3:8,14,16,21	E-l-l-i-o-t-t 33:2	41:19,22 48:16,21
66:7	dwindling 16:10	Esquire 2:8		58:2 65:11,13
diminished 55:12	Dylon 1:5 37:23	essence 35:7	<u> </u>	69:14 77:19,21
1	1	1		

		I	1	-
78:16 80:2 81:17	34:16 49:9 63:11	happen 61:17	Τ	72:18 73:11 74:5
82:5,14,19,21	67:10 68:7 77:3	happened 75:24	idea 16:20 19:13	International 29:12
83:2	General's 25:20,22	happens 30:14		interpose 8:8
five 7:5 25:2,4 43:6	getting 64:8 81:16	happy 4:22	26:19	interpret 49:25
55:10 56:17 72:25	give 14:9 26:22	hard 64:3,9 74:23	ideas 52:20	interrupt 50:9
73:17 74:12	28:24 36:15 58:15	head 27:7 81:15	identification 12:2	interrupted 51:7
flat 41:9 68:25	64:15	82:21	37:19 40:17 47:8	interruptive 11:2
floor 18:3	given 11:21,23	headline 65:2	50:22 76:19 77:25	intervals 60:19
fluid 81:12	19:23 28:19 56:19	headquartered 26:5	78:19 79:6	involve 19:5,8 24:9
focus 45:11,16	86:13	Health 1:8 13:6	identified 82:5,18	involved 29:24
follow 6:19 33:4	globally 77:16	23:23 32:15,17,19	82:19 83:3	34:19 67:19
82:13	go 4:16 5:25 10:7	36:10	identifies 81:17	
followed 71:13,17	11:3 21:20 23:22	healthy 81:23	identify 12:4	issue 10:5 45:24
74:17	32:6 35:15 36:17	heart 39:21 47:25	identity 7:10	71:20
following 84:11	41:13 51:6		ill 53:5	issued 8:17,23
follows 4:5	goes 43:18 80:18	50:7,23 51:2,17	immediately 39:22	item 44:19
force 68:24 69:3	going 8:9,9 10:15	51:23 52:7 53:21	47:25	I.D 87:7
forcefully 68:14,15		55:10,11 65:4	important 49:23	T
68:22 70:19 71:6	11:9 17:2 21:18	66:15,17 67:23	impossible 8:7 74:2	
1	24:19 45:18 46:2	71:14 72:23 73:8	incidents 20:21	January 22:21 37:7
form 6:3,9,16	46:18 64:25 76:15	75:5,7 81:6,25	include 25:4 84:12	37:11,22 39:15
formal 9:15,23	78:20 79:7 81:8,8	held 2:7 86:10	includes 36:19	40:14,15 43:18
10:17 25:6	83:24 85:10	helpful 51:4	including 25:2	87:9
former 34:12	good 53:2,2,3,24	helps 30:5,24	39:25 46:24	jeopardy 54:2
formulate 36:12	66:17 67:6,9 71:9	hemorrhage 20:5	income 23:18	Job 1:25
formulated 47:21	71:9	hereinbefore 86:10	incorporated 22:23	John 1:5 33:2
formulating 39:3	gotten 53:3,7	hereunto 86:18	23:10,24 32:24	joined 15:18 16:9
forth 12:10,13,16	graduates 21:14	hesitate 5:6	incorporation	Joyce 33:2,25 34:8
12:19 86:11	grandmothered	higher 17:3 18:14	23:12	34:10,11 70:17
forward 51:21,24	21:12	HMO 14:14	increased 51:22	71:4 77:9
found 30:17 49:4	graph 64:17 69:2	home 20:6	75:21	judge 74:21
founded 23:5	graphed 64:16	hopefully 57:12	independent 11:17	judgment 57:21
four 11:15 25:2,4	gravita 61:5,6,9,11	hoping 58:6	indigent 14:13	July 36:19 44:2
29:16 44:19 49:3	61:21 63:13	HORST 3:10	infant 39:23	45:8 47:4,7 87:10
50:2,4 51:7,9	greater 62:19	hospital 14:12 15:3	infection 54:8	June 38:23
56:17 66:2 69:10	group 11:19	16:13 17:8,18	information 7:17	jurat 84:12
74:12	guess 23:21 26:13	18:9 20:6 23:7	38:8,13,16 39:2	
four-hour 55:7	42:17 61:18 75:13	46:11 50:18,25	73:22 80:7	<u> </u>
free 5:5	77:16	51:16 79:19	informed 7:19	keep 60:5
frequent 51:25	guidelines 4:17	hotel 29:11	initial 14:22 59:25	keeping 83:18
Friedman 3:4 61:16	8	hour 24:18 43:8,10	73:7	kind 5:19 36:24
64:11,12,13,14	38:22 39:7 40:5	43:13,16 48:18	initially 35:18	43:23 77:11 80:8
friend 30:14	41:20,23 42:12,18	64:19 65:17	injury 19:21	King 1:5 37:13,23
front 43:24 76:21	Gynecology 32:24	hours 43:5,6,6,7	inside 53:13	39:18,23
full 4:8 6:23 39:12	33:22 38:25	49:4,6,10,10 52:5	insofar 31:2	KINGS 86:5
49:2,8 55:4 58:5		55:10 57:6,24	instance 58:20	knew 26:3 39:10
62:8 65:24 69:14	H	58:25 59:6 60:25	instances 67:25	know 8:23 10:8
fully 66:7	H 4:2	61:14,22 62:12	68:3	15:14 16:24 17:13
full-time 14:19	half-time 14:19,20	63:4,12,22 64:4,5	institution 17:9	25:10,11 26:20
15:24	hallway 18:6	64:10,19 65:6,21	20:8 52:16	27:12 30:4,17
function 15:13	hand 78:20 79:7	65:25 72:14 75:20	institutional 16:13	32:2 34:8,10
further 23:19 71:16	86:19	75:20 81:18	insufficiency 70:6	41:25 46:22 61:24
81:7 82:6 86:14	handed 12:3 78:2	housekeeping 76:9	70:10	62:3,4 69:2 70:13
	handing 37:20	76:11		72:20 74:19 80:9
G	47:10	Huffman 3:16,21	intend 6:9,15 45:4,9	80:9
G 33:18	handwriting 78:9	10:25 11:13,16,24	45:17 46:5,7,15	knowing 75:24
game 55:9	79:3,4,10	39:14 85:8	76:6 80:4,13 81:3	knowledge 16:14
gel 33:17	handwritten 74:14	hyphen 33:18	interest 23:18	20:15 26:8
general 11:12	77:24 78:18 79:5	hypoxic 82:2,3	interested 26:20	known 13:6 46:6
generally 17:14	87:12,13,14	-J F ~ (M.M.).	86:16	Kolis 27:22
			intermittent 72:7	INVIIO Le l . La La
L	I			1

F				
	Lisa 1:4 32:16,21	marriage 86:16	22:18 29:20 32:19	56:6,8,12 57:8
labor 13:12 15:5	37:13,23 39:18	materials 6:2 35:15	32:22 33:12,21	63:10
	47:15 78:17,24	maternal 74:15	34:11 36:21 38:15	mother 20:4 48:15
16:2 17:19,24	list 7:23 8:5,10 9:4	math 49:5,6,11	38:22,24 39:19	68:7,13 70:19
18:2 20:5 24:9	9:21 10:15 32:12	matter 27:16 59:15	41:24 42:12 45:12	71:6
33:14,14 42:21	listed 35:8 61:9	86:17	48:13 52:23 71:11	mother's 53:13
48:17,19 49:15	listening 75:7,8	MATTHEW 3:16	77:9 80:22	move 41:17,18
50:6 51:22 55:12	literature 6:8,11,16	3:21	midwifery 14:3,24	57:25
56:2,4,10,14,15	6:20 46:24	mean 14:2 16:22	21:5,19 22:7,16	multiple 72:13,13
56:16,19,24 57:13	little 24:21 33:18	24:14 31:9 50:8	22:23 23:8	munipic 72.15,15
57:15,19,22 58:10	46:23 69:13 74:10	52:11 62:14 63:5	midwife's 40:18	N
59:2,7,14,19	75:4	63:23 77:3	midwives 14:21	N 3:2 4:2,2 87:2
60:15 61:13 62:5	live 43:15,17			
62:12,18,23 63:4	long 13:14 15:10	meaning 79:19 means 53:9 67:19	15:4,21 16:4,7	name 4:8,11 29:2
63:12,12 64:4,17			21:10 23:6 26:5	40:18 64:14
64:17 65:9 66:10	30:10 35:20 62:24	meconium 41:4,10	26:23 31:4 34:13	names 8:14 29:24
66:13 67:14 71:24	longer 61:21 62:18	80:23 81:4,5,10	35:2 59:13	national 26:9 31:6
72:4 81:13,20,24	65:7	81:13,15,18,19,23	midwive's 40:5	navy 25:19,20
laboratory 53:14	look 25:15 35:20	82:5,14,18,19,22	mid-1980s 19:14,20	near 29:11
laboring 48:15	36:16 50:3 62:20	82:24 83:2	Mike 31:22	nearly 67:16,17
labors 59:13 64:16	67:23 74:13	MedCentral 1:8	mild 66:16,20,23	necessarily 63:5,14
lack 5:20 60:23	looked 67:25	32:15,19 36:10	67:3,4,5	65:22
LANE 3:10	looking 52:21 67:7	mediated 64:6	military 25:23	necessary 11:3
language 40:13	looks 53:23 68:9,24	Medicaid 14:14	mine 30:14	necessitated 65:17
largest 26:14,15	69:15 74:15,18	medical 6:8,10,15	minimal 47:24 52:7	need 10:2 12:23
late 55:22 69:17	lot 18:21 70:14	6:20 7:13 13:5	minimum 82:17	14:4,7 22:18 40:4
70:5,5 72:9	low 14:13 76:4	14:3 16:24 18:22	minute 49:15	41:12 49:18 50:3
lately 73:20	lowest 14:21 18:19	20:17 23:22 24:20	minutes 39:21	80:14
Lawrence 11:16	L.P.A 3:4	26:11 32:16 35:5	47:24 49:6,10	needed 24:15,16
lawsuit 20:18		35:22 36:9,14,20	50:5 51:12 54:19	52:10 54:16
lawsuits 20:21	<u> </u>	36:23 38:2 39:17	61:14 62:12 63:5	needs 81:21
lead 59:2	M 1:4,15 2:7 4:2,2	42:3,10 45:19,23	63:13,23 64:6	negative 75:21 81:8
leakage 81:12,20	4:10 37:11 85:15	46:3,9,10,24	72:25.73:5,12,18	negligence 7:13
leaking 81:15 82:5	86:9 87:4	77:20 78:5 80:22	74:5	negligent 62:14
* 82:14,20,22 83:3	machine 51:10	Medicare 14:14	miscarriages 61:8	63:6,24
learn 45:16	maintain 7:18	meet 39:20 42:24	missed 49:20 82:9	never 28:17 34:21
leave 21:19 23:11	majority 14:22 67:6	47:23 49:24	moderate 66:20,23	52:17 55:12
23:21	67:8 68:11	membranes 56:9	67:2,3,4	New 1:16,16 2:9,9
left 23:17 24:11	making 11:2,17	57:6,23 58:12,21	moderately 66:16	2:12 4:4 13:5 20:9
legal 24:19	54:13	59:6	modifications 12:22	20:11,14,25 21:2
length 49:7 62:13	malpractice 7:13	memo 37:16,18,22	modify 80:5	21:5,7,8 22:8,23
67:18	18:23 20:17 24:20	37:25 40:13,14	moment 36:16	22:23 23:6,23
letter 47:13,19	26:11	84:2 87:9,11	money 20:9	-29:9,16 86:3,8
48:21	manage 59:13	memorandum 37:6	monitor 39:23	night 42:21
letters 35:21	managed 56:4	38:7 39:15 76:12	50:23 51:17 52:24	nine 49:6 73:5,12
let's 43:22 80:16	management 38:12	76:18,24 77:2,7	53:21 56:7 69:15	74:4
81:2	40:5 48:22 59:16	mental 19:21	72:23,24 73:8	nine-and-a-half
level 72:16	managing 59:15	mentioned 33:24	74:10,13 75:7,23	65:25
levels 53:15	Mansfield 3:17	42:6 56:25 57:25	monitoring 39:22	Nine-and-a-quart
license 21:5	32:23 33:22	58:15 78:12	47:25 49:25 85:3	43:7
licensed 20:24 21:8	manual 32:20 33:6	mere 63:22 65:16	monitors 51:2	nonproblematic
21:15	34:4 36:21 38:23	method 53:11	month 13:13	81:23
licenses 21:2	42:13	Michael 31:18	months 13:10,11,11	nonreassured 71:12
life 24:12	March 22:6	middle 74:19	Morgan 32:22 46:4	nonreassuring
liked 57:16 58:11	mark 10:24 37:16	midnight 55:3,22	Morgan's 40:23	39:24 55:11 65:5
59:4	marked 11:25 12:3	65:6,8 66:5,8	79:10	65:15 68:2 70:15
likelihood 58:7	37:18 43:20 47:5	midnightish 63:9	Morgan-Beach	70:16 71:18 72:14
Lima 3:20	47:5,8,10 76:15	midwife 4:12 13:4	46:6,12 47:14	nonreplacement
line 64:3,9 68:25	76:18 77:22,25	13:19 20:25 21:4	48:11	16:12
lines 16:13	78:19,20 79:6,7	21:7,9,9,15,24	morning 49:5 55:5	normal 48:17 49:22
1 111153 10:1.7				

				I ugo (
53:7,15 56:18	Obstetrics 32:23	37:25 39:3 42:4	particularly 20:9	Ph.D 33:3
59:14,14,17 61:15	33:22	1	66:11	1
61:17 63:19 65:9		45:4,9,10,17,19		picking 26:18
	obtain 30:6	46:2 47:21 64:25	parties 86:15	picture 81:22
65:24 66:9,10,14	obtained 54:11,16	65:3 76:5,25 77:6	partner 23:4	pieces 75:4
71:14	55:3	80:5,6,12 83:7,22	partners 20:2,4	Pierce 3:18
normally 71:25	obtaining 14:4 54:4	opportunities 82:8	22:18,19 23:10	Pitocin 33:20 56:10
North 3:18	Obviously 52:25	opportunity 49:21	partnership 23:11	57:2,3,5,17 58:9
Notary 2:11 4:4	occasional 51:23	53:8	pass 79:25	58:24 59:5 66:12
85:20 86:7	Occasionally 30:7	optimize 58:7	patient 24:17 27:21	place 29:6 54:24
note 57:11 60:14,15	occasions 11:16	order 38:14 41:17	29:20 33:14 57:4	66:2
81:14,17 82:21,23	occlusion 84:7	ordered 57:2,3	58:12 59:9,15	placed 56:7
noted 82:24 85:12	occurred 19:6,9	organization 26:7	60:17 61:11 63:13	places 74:9,12
notes 5:6 7:3 35:11	42:4 66:5 80:21	31:6 34:23 35:2	patients 14:9,10,16	80:20
36:25 37:4 76:11	occurring 58:17	79:23	14:18,21 26:24	plaintiff 3:5 25:9
77:12,15,18,19,24	67:17	organizations 12:17	33:13	plaintiffs 1:6 7:12
78:2,3,7,14,18,23	October 22:6,22	34:19	pattern 65:15	plaintiff's 10:8
79:5,9 82:24	76:12 87:11	original 36:19	peak 18:17	19:22
87:12,13,14	offer 80:4	47:20		
notice 2:10 5:9	offhand 30:2		Penny 1:24 2:10	plan 49:17 53:8
22:21	office 25:20 26:9	outcome 40:2 75:17	86:6,22	planning 43:17
		75:21 86:17	people 8:19 14:14	plans 52:22
November 35:21	29:10 36:3	outside 61:14,16	26:3,8	PLEAS 1:2
36:8	offices 2:8	out-of-sequence	percent 52:2 67:17	please 4:8,9,22 7:16
number 5:25 7:5,8	Oh 27:10 60:8 69:5	60:14 81:17 82:23	67:17	12:5 13:25 37:21
9:11,25 10:13	69:22,24	overall 28:15 65:14	percentage 14:10	71:2 78:21
15:13,15 16:16,22	Ohio 1:3 3:7,13,20	overlapped 21:22	26:22	plus 43:5,6 64:19
18:13 26:14 44:19	28:11 31:13,16	overly 70:20	perfectly 81:23	point 8:11 50:6
44:24,24 50:2,4,9	32:16	overwrite 44:13	perform 52:16	51:21,24 52:14,18
50:11 51:7,9,16	okay 11:24 15:18	owner 22:15	period 15:22 17:10	52:18 54:16 56:21
51:20,22 52:5	32:15 33:11 35:16	owns 23:17	17:12 22:10 63:8	56:22 62:16 66:4
53:18 61:6,7	35:17 41:15 43:8	oxygen 53:15	67:15 74:11	68:21 71:3 75:15
74:13	44:22 48:14 55:18	oxytocin 33:20	periods 68:2	82:11
numbers 15:23	65:20 68:10 69:24	o'clock 56:6,11,13	permission 19:24	pointed 40:7 57:5
numerous 80:20	80:11	60:9	person 14:20	pointing 83:5,15
nurse 20:25 21:3,9	old 21:13		personal 23:12	points 65:10
21:10,19 22:7	once 7:18 29:21	P · · ·	24:10	policy 32:20 33:5
26:5 32:18,22	80:18	P 3:2,2 33:2,17	personally 17:15	34:3 36:20 38:23
33:12,21 34:12	ones 33:8	page 40:15 50:11	18:12	42:13
35:2 36:21 38:21	one-and-a-half			1
38:24 41:23 42:12	15:24	69:11,21,23,25	personnel 33:13,14	pon 39:17
46:11 48:12 51:9		84:11 87:3	33:15,16,17,19	poor 75:17
	one-and-a-quarter	pages 33:11,12	pH 82:10	portend 75:16
74:7 75:2 77:9	43:6	47:16,17 78:7,25	phase 49:15 56:14	portions 32:20 33:5
80:22	ongoing 23:15	79:2,9	56:16,23-57:19	38:22
nurses 33:16	onset 56:15	paginated 50:15	64:18	position 11:19 13:7
nurse's 60:16 82:24	Ontario 3:6	paid 24:17	phone 39:14	13:15,20 14:11
Nursing 33:13,14	onward 66:9 75:11	pain 60:22	phonetic 23:5 25:21	21:20,22,23 22:4
33:15,15,16,19	on-site 17:17	paper 51:10	phrased 70:25	23:22 24:3,7,8
	operating 22:13	paraphrased 48:7	physician 39:9	positions 15:23,25
0	opinion 8:17 9:5,16	parenthesis 33:17	40:21 42:19 48:3	positive 29:22
object 61:23 70:23	9:23 10:17 25:6	33:18,20,20	48:16 49:12,13	possessed 17:7
objection 8:3 11:4	36:18,22 37:2,13	Parenthetically	52:18 54:13,17,21	possession 7:21
11:12 18:24	37:23 39:4,6,8,12	33:7	55:2,6,21 57:3,11	possible 31:24
objections 11:2	40:4,10 41:2	part 6:21 13:7	57:14,21,24 59:15	53:22,25 75:3
observations 60:16	47:13,23 49:25	16:24 24:10 56:15	60:6 64:13 65:4	81:22
obstetric 38:25	50:22,24 55:20,23	76:11	65:18 75:14 82:9	post 20:5
64:23	58:8 59:2 62:22	partial 9:24	82:17	postpartum 20:5
obstetrical 11:18,23	62:24 63:23 65:5	participated 12:20	physicians 11:23	potentially 54:7
77:8	71:20 81:3	53:18 79:12	14:17,17 17:19	practice 15:18 16:9
obstetrician 17:17	opinions 6:3,10,17	particular 11:22	56:5 59:3,12	20:3 22:2,14,15
18:6 52:12	11:7,10 36:12	24:16 58:20	physiology 53:16	22:17 23:3,8
10.002.12	111,10 00.12	27.10 20.20	Purphonogy 55.10	<i>ww.±1 wJ.J</i> ,0
				1

	I	r	1	p
24:11 38:11 39:7	79:18	P.O 3:19	recognize 73:7	60:18
40:5 41:20 42:18	procedure 32:20	1.000.00	recognized 61:10	reinstituted 60:23
61:19 72:17	33:6,15 36:21	Q	Recognizing 34:14	related 7:14 86:14
practitioners 45:24	38:23 42:13 52:17	question 4:19,22	recollection 15:20	relating 53:15
preceded 28:7	procedures 34:4	5:2 8:2,4 41:13	record 4:9 5:24	relationship 74:20
71:13,17	80:15	46:22 59:12 61:25	10:10,11,13,24	relative 67:23
preceding 65:17	proceeding 65:12	67:8 68:19 70:25		
precept 13:22 14:2	71:25		11:14 12:5 13:25	relatively 16:15
precept 15.22 14.2 preceptor 14:5		71:2	20:23 22:5 32:12	70:11
	process 8:15,16 25:16 67:15	questions 5:3 11:5,6	33:9 37:10,17,21	relevant 79:15
preface 58:15		85:9	39:13 41:16 42:15	rely 6:9
pregnancies 61:7	professional 12:14	quibbling 60:6	44:2 47:12 50:13	remained 56:10
preliminary 37:2	12:17 21:18 23:14	quick 10:5 76:10	50:19,20,21 57:5	remember 20:13
37:13,23 39:12	34:18,22 79:23	quicker 41:18	60:2 74:4,6 83:18	27:11 28:6
prepare 7:23 8:5,10	professionally 13:3	quickly 58:11 59:3	86:12	Remotely 31:24
9:4,21 10:15	professions 21:3	59:8 65:12	recorded 74:7,10	render 14:6 36:22
prepared 7:3 35:11	programs 14:14	quite 51:25 58:16	recording 74:25	40:4
44:6,9 77:16 78:4	progress 42:21	62:17	75:2	rendered 9:15,23
78:14 84:2	48:17,19,25 55:12		records 5:7 6:2,4	10:16 25:5 36:18
preparing 38:7	56:10 57:13 59:14	R	7:6,9,18,20 32:16	47:14
present 13:9 14:18	59:17 64:16 65:9	R 3:2 86:2	35:5,22 36:3,9,14	rendering 25:25
17:6,19,21,24	66:9,10	raised 49:17	36:20,23 38:3	46:2
18:2 21:20,23	progressing 57:15	rapidly 57:16 59:8	39:11,17 41:19,22	repeat 82:11
25:11 26:14	58:11 59:3,8,19	rates 67:23	42:3,10 50:17	repeating 53:6
presently 8:6 9:12	prolongation 49:14	reach 58:5	77:20 78:5 81:14	repetitive 52:5
9:22 10:16 15:17	prolonged 42:22	reactive 67:23	82:20 83:2	65:14 80:18 82:3
16:4 20:24 21:6	68:2	read 25:21 39:5,15	recover 70:8	rephrase 4:23 45:6
24:24 25:9	prophylactic 11:4	41:14 48:7,9	recoverability	58:14 59:4 71:2
president 22:22	proportion 25:10	73:16,20 76:25	70:21	report 8:24 10:6,9
34:12,23	prostaglandin	78:13 85:11	recovered 69:22	25:23 32:18 33:25
pressure 70:10	33:17	reading 73:4 85:6	recovering 69:20	40:16 43:22 44:5
presuit 8:19	protend 40:2	readings 73:24	74:16	44:8 45:8,8 47:4,8
presume 5:2 27:22	protocols 38:12	real 10:5 19:13	recovers 69:15	48:8 87:10
45:24 46:18	40:6	74:22 76:10	70:11	Reported 1:24
presumptive 46:20	provide 10:18,18	realize 63:24	recovery 66:17 67:7	Reporter 2:11 86:7
pretty 26:25 27:2	43:23	really 57:21 65:22	67:11,12 71:9	reporter's 29:10
31:3 74:22	provided 9:5 32:13	68:23 73:18	reduction 16:21	reports 32:25
previous 44:20	33:6 35:4,16,18	realm 61:15,16	refer 26:8 31:5	represent 74:3
69:12	38:15,17,19 39:18	reason 4:21 60:5,20	50:25 81:10	represented 9:2
primarily 14:13	39:24 40:8 46:16	65:11,13 68:25	reference 61:24	11:16
prior 15:7 17:11	80:7	reasonable 45:19	referencing 51:15	representing 4:12
27:4,16 36:22	provider 46:3,10	56:23 57:10 58:6	51:20	27:22
39:22 42:25 43:2	provider 40.3,10	reasons 23:12 24:10	referral 30:23	request 38:8,10,13
44:15,17 47:25	Public 2:11 4:4		referred 30:15,21	44:9
55:4 61:2 82:10	85:20 86:7	reassuring 65:7		
82:12	publications 12:20	recall 12:24 19:12	31:4,20 40:21	require 8:13 21:11
private 20:3 22:2,7	79:12,15	27:19,24 28:3	51:19	40:2 54:6
		29:2,5,13,18,23	referring 51:7 71:8	required 54:10,13
22:13,15,17 23:3	published 64:20,21	31:17 35:19 36:7	73:21 77:7 81:11	75:14 82:15
26:2,11 minilages 15:2 17:4	64:22	44:17 52:15	refers 61:6 81:15	requirement 73:17
privileges 15:3 17:4	pulse 74:15	receive 5:9 41:21	reflect 7:10	requirements 15:25
17:8 23:7 79:19	purpose 45:16	received 33:25	regard 41:10 45:4	reread 41:12
probability 45:20	52:19 55:17	35:22 36:9 38:20	76:6	Research 23:23
probably 8:20	purposes 44:25	38:24 41:18,19	regarding 37:13,23	reserve 25:19
18:15 55:25 68:23	50:22,24	42:2 56:12	38:8 41:3 42:7	resident 14:17
69:9 74:15 81:8	pursuant 2:10	receiving 36:3	44:20 46:3 47:13	16:25 17:2
82:9	pushing 68:14,15	66:12	54:7 81:4 84:3	respect 48:14
problem 8:24 16:14	68:21,22 70:19	recertification	registered 20:24	respected 34:16,17
72:3	71:6	21:11	21:3	34:25 35:3 70:18
problematic 75:12	put 7:22 80:16	Recess 51:13	reinforce 60:21	respond 70:21,24
problems 63:25	p.m 48:23 50:5	recognition 81:18	reinforced 60:11,13	71:12
	1			

responses 41:3	sample 52:24 53:7	Shorthand 2:11	social 14:13 32:2	77:12
responsibilities	53:14,19,20,24	86:6	solely 36:13,23 38:2	starting 21:23
13:24	54:4,11,16	shoulder 74:17	somewheres 15:20	56:13
responsible 14:5	sampling 52:14	show 54:2 67:6,9	55:3 56:5,13	1
rest 50:6 51:21	53:2,10	68:20 69:4	sorry 27:8,14 34:3	starts 69:14
result 20:21 53:2,3	saw 42:11		37:3 45:6	state 2:12 4:4,8 13:4
53:3,7		showing 82:21	1	14:12,14 20:24
	saying 8:18 54:15	shown 53:20	sort 15:22	21:2,7,8,16 23:6
resulted 20:17	60:16 75:22 76:3	shows 60:2	South 3:12	39:9,19 61:19
resulting 19:21	82:4	side 22:4	space 69:7	77:2,3 86:3,8
results 53:19	says 10:7 82:23	sideline 22:4	span 55:8	stated 40:11 52:15
retain 8:19	scalp 52:14,24,25	sides 25:11	speak 18:7 52:24	57:19
retained 5:10,13 9:6	53:7,10,12,19,19	signature 47:18,19	58:18	statement 64:3
9:16 10:6,17 29:3	53:24 54:4,11,16	significant 16:21	speaking 63:11 68:7	66:10
return 69:13	82:10	50:7	77:3	states 77:5 81:7
review 5:6,20,21	scenarios 17:2	simply 9:12 11:19	specific 6:13 16:14	82:21
6:12,20,21 9:13	schedule 43:20	48:6 60:23 70:18	46:23 55:14 61:18	stating 59:18
25:24 28:4 32:13	Science 13:6	simultaneous 78:12	specifically 10:13	stay 14:24 72:15
36:14,24 38:2	scope 75:9	sit 6:14 12:24 44:14	14:8 27:5 41:12	step 62:22 71:16
39:17,23 40:4,25	se 6:16	46:14	48:10 54:10,15	stillborn 76:2
42:8,9,18 43:9,10	second 19:25 22:19	site 54:8	61:19 67:25 68:18	stop 22:13
44:19 45:7 46:15	42:22 49:16,20	situation 15:4,15	77:8,15	stopped 55:13
46:19,25 75:23	60:15 61:12,20	six 7:9 9:25 10:14	specified 42:10	streamline 45:3
77:12,19 78:4	62:6,7,9,11,18,23	13:13 44:24 48:24	specifies 73:18	Street 2:9 3:6,12,18
82:20 83:2	63:3,12,22 64:4,9	48:25 56:22 65:24	specify 57:11	strictly 15:12,15
reviewed 6:3,8	64:19 69:18 72:9	66:6	speed 56:18 57:12	24:9
26:11,22,23,24	73:17	slightly 21:25	57:20,22 58:4	strip 50:2,3,9,10
27:4,16 28:23	secondly 65:4	slow 55:13 56:10	66:13,14	51:7,9,11,15,19
31:12,16,22 32:8	seconds 69:16	59:19	split 27:2	51:20 53:22,25
35:9 40:24 46:24	section 58:3 68:8	small 20:8 21:25	squarely 45:11	68:2,3,8 69:8
reviewing 25:13,17	sections 44:23	53:11 54:6,8	ss 86:4	72:14 74:10,13,24
37:4 39:7	see 5:18 7:13 10:9	Smith 1:15 2:7 3:4	staff 13:4,19,20	strips 39:23 51:17
reviews 26:2	14:10,15,22,23	4:10 5:1 6:1 7:1	15:13,15 16:5,8	70:14 71:9 75:23
RICHLAND 1:3	50:3 60:19 69:12	7:11 8:1 9:1 10:1	staffing 15:4	76:3 85:6
right 6:15 9:3 10:14	_ 69:24	11:1 12:1 13:1	stage 9:13 42:22	strongly 71:19
18:4,6 24:2,6,23	seen 39:14 57:16	14:1 15:1 16:1	49:16 55:9 58:16	student 16:25
32:11 37:2,5,8	58:12 59:4,9	17:1 18:1 19:1	61:13,20 62:6,7,9	students 13:22 14:2
48:21 57:8 58:19	Sellers 23:9	20:1 21:1 22:1	62:11,18,23 63:4	14:3,4
63:16,20 66:22	sent 53:14	23:1 24:1 25:1	63:12,22 64:4,9	studied 64:14
68:4 69:10 84:7	sentence 40:19,20	26:1 27:1 28:1	64:19 72:9 73:17	subgroup 22:2
ripening 33:19	42:7,19	29:1 30:1 31:1	stages 58:16	subject 37:12
risk 14:21 54:3,7,8	separate 77:17	32:1 33:1 34:1	stained 81:13	Subscribed 85:16
Roberts 33:3,25	sequence 35:15	35:1 36:1 37:1,11	stamp 51:11,16	subsequent 20:5
34:8,10,11,14,20	81:14	38:1 39:1 40:1	stamping 50:25	42:3 82:23
46:19 70:17 71:4	served 7:11	41:1 42:1 43:1	stamps 50:17	subsequently 38:16
71:15 77:9	service 15:25 22:16	44:1 45:1 46:1	standard 32:22	40:7
role 30:16 33:16	30:5,8 50:18	47:1 48:1 49:1	33:21 36:21 38:24	substantially 27:2
room 33:15	services 2:8 30:23	50:1 51:1 52:1	39:20 41:24 42:13	substantive 11:17
rotation 13:22	31:7,9,10	53:1 54:1 55:1	45:11 47:24 48:12	substantive 11.17 sued 18:22
roughly 17:11	set 12:10,13,16,19	56:1 57:1 58:1	49:24 54:10,12	suffering 53:5
31:23 64:10	77:15,19,21 86:11	59:1 60:1 61:1	55:24 63:6 72:7	suggestions 52:20
routinely 61:21	86:18	62:1 63:1 64:1	73:10,15 82:15	suggestions 52:20 suit 19:11,16,17,20
rule 82:2	sets 77:18	65:1 66:1 67:1	83:10	19:22,25
runs 69:2	settled 20:8,20	68:1 69:1 70:1	standards 61:24	19:22,25 sum 20:9
runs 09.2 ruptured 56:9 57:7	seven 15:12 44:24	71:1 72:1 73:1	standards 01:24 standpoint 14:15	
57:23 58:13,21	78:8	74:1 75:1 76:1		summary 35:4
59:6	seven-year 17:12		62:17 80:15	supervisor 14:5
59.0	seven-year 17:12 shakes 27:7	77:1 78:1 79:1	start 25:25 48:15	supplement 80:5
S		80:1 81:1 82:1	52:4 69:11 74:18	supplemental 39:3
	short 70:12 74:22	83:1 84:1 85:1,15	started 21:24 31:2	support 85:3,4
\$ 3:2 4:2,2 23:4	74:23	86:9 87:4	50:3,4 57:12	sure 4:18 9:7 25:3

passon	····			
31:3,17 33:10	40:3	21:25 22:3,10,16	31:24,25 33:11,13	want 4:18 8:12
34:6 36:17 46:23	tell 4:22,25 13:2	24:12 25:11 26:15	40:15 47:16,17	10:22,24 24:23
47:20 58:8 63:2	14:8 19:11,15,17	28:7 36:6,13	49:9,10 51:12	33:10 34:6 41:14
63:21 76:22 83:6	23:2 27:13,24	39:21 42:25 43:3	56:16,22 60:18	41:16 46:20 48:7
84:10	30:8 31:15 33:5	43:16 48:4,19,21	61:14,22 62:12	50:11 58:8 63:2
Surgeon 25:20,22	34:10 35:21 37:21	48:24 49:8,8,12	63:4,12,22 64:4,5	63:21 66:20 71:3
surmise 57:14	39:6 48:10 50:8,9	50:3 51:14 52:8,8	64:10,19 65:2,10	78:16 83:6 84:10
surprised 23:21	51:18,18,19 56:2	55:4,8,15,21	65:21 69:8 74:9	wanted 57:24 82:13
76:4 83:8	62:6 64:8 67:21	59:25 60:7 62:13	74:11,12 77:17	83:8
surprisingly 67:23	73:3,14 74:25	62:16,17 63:8	78:25 79:2 84:3	
Susan 4:12 11:20	,			Washington 25:21
	78:21 81:2	66:13 67:15,18	two-and-a-half	26:5
32:22 46:4,6,12	telling 9:10 42:16	68:11 70:12 71:23	61:13 65:23	wasn't 27:11 60:18
47:14 48:11 79:10	51:18 54:19 64:10	71:25 72:4,14	two-page 38:22	85:4
83:10	ten 49:2 72:25	74:11 78:13 82:16	type 14:10 74:21	way 7:10,22 41:6
suspended 79:20,22	tendency 59:12	85:12	types 14:8	42:3 48:10 50:14
Suzanne 1:15 2:7	tends 59:16	times 19:3 28:14	U	59:11 68:24 70:25
4:10,11 7:11	tenure 34:23	65:23		72:15 80:6,16
37:11 53:17 85:15	termed 61:5	title 13:18	U 4:2	86:16
86:9 87:4	terminated 79:20	today 5:6,16 6:11	Uh-huh 70:9	wearing 60:22
sworn 4:3 85:16	79:22	9:10 12:24 13:2	unable 7:16 75:5	weeks 13:13 25:19
86:11	test 52:25	17:5 23:15 32:9	uncommon 81:19	WHEREOF 86:18
system 1:8 18:9	testified 4:5 28:11	42:24 43:2 44:14	understand 4:18,21	willing 9:21
32:19 36:10 51:16	28:14 70:17,18	45:16 46:14	5:2 8:18 11:9 58:3	window 70:12
System's 32:15	testifies 71:4,21	Todd 3:14 4:11	65:2 72:21 83:6	wise 14:10
	testify 45:17 83:25	told 30:19 36:5	understanding 8:25	wish 59:17
T	testifying 29:20	75:11	10:14 41:4 56:3	withdrew 19:23
T 4:2 86:2,2	43:17	tolerating 67:14	73:15	witness 4:3 7:11
table 25:12	testimony 11:5,6	top 60:17 68:25	understood 5:3	10:2 11:7,22
take 5:5 18:20 24:3	43:12,15,15 86:13	total 22:2 26:13	19:16	25:24 27:12 30:23
24:6 41:17 51:12	textbook 64:23	81:22	university 13:5	40:15 80:14,25
61:13,21 62:22	TH 13:19	totality 80:19	14:12 17:18	83:21 84:8 85:10
70:2 71:16	thank 5:8 10:21	tracing 39:24 55:11	upwards 61:13	86:13,18 87:3
taken 4:14 51:13	11:24 80:11 85:8	55:11 81:6 82:2	use 33:17 72:7,18	witnesses 30:6,24
53:12 54:24	thick 82:18,22,24	tracings 63:19 65:5	72:23 75:13	women's 3:17 32:24
takes 62:12 63:12	thing 68:16	66:16,17 71:14,18	uteroplacental 70:6	33:23 64:16
74:11	things 33:4 58:17	75:5	70:10	word 5:21 60:23
talk 66:20 81:2	76:9	traditional 64:11	uterus 53:13	wording 75:13
talked 75:10 79:11	think 8:10,13,20	training 85:6		work 16:2 24:16
talking 28:9 75:18	9:20 10:4 11:10	transcript 32:21,22	V	26:18 30:5,16
75:20	18:20 25:7 40:23	40:24 46:19 78:13	v 1:7	worked 22:6 24:20
TASA 30:9,11,13	41:13 45:2 50:12	86:12	vaginal 58:7	34:19,20,21
30:25	50:23 55:17 59:11	treatment 46:10	variability 52:8	working 7:2,24 8:6
Taylor-Kolis 3:8	64:4,10 67:4,18	triage 13:12	56:18 67:9,10	8:22 9:4,12,22
5:15 8:2,8 10:4	75:3 83:9,16 84:5	trial 10:8 28:11,14	70:21 71:10	10:16 14:20 24:25
11:13,15 18:24	third 3:12 22:18	43:14,15,18,18	variable 58:17	world 64:24
27:5,8,10,14,17	thorough 41:8	45:4,9,17,18 80:9	variance 49:16	worrisome 53:23,25
30:17 31:20 32:2	thought 57:21	80:14 81:3 83:8	variations 57:20	55:10 62:17 63:14
32:6 33:7 35:5,24	73:23	true 6:18 8:23 9:8	various 31:6 79:12	81:25
37:12 42:25 44:6	three 22:3 23:10	9:20 12:7 32:10	version 33:16	worsen 72:16
44:10 46:9,12	29:16 30:12 31:24	45:14 47:2 86:12	versus 15:25 26:24	wouldn't 26:25
	31:25 57:6,9,9	try 80:2	vicinity 15:20	writes 51:9
50:12,16 51:3,12		÷		writing 25:22
		trving 10:25	1 VIEW 8:11 49:19	
61:23 70:23 76:24	58:25 59:5 69:9	trying 10:25 Tuesday 1:17	view 8:11 49:19 vigilance 40:3	
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9	Tuesday 1:17	vigilance 40:3	written 10:6 35:23
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24 84:5,9 85:2,10	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9 three-and-a-half	Tuesday 1:17 turn 10:22 24:19	vigilance 40:3 virtue 57:22	written 10:6 35:23 39:11 48:21
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24 84:5,9 85:2,10 teach 13:21	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9 thrce-and-a-half 43:5	Tuesday 1:17 turn 10:22 24:19 43:22 68:20 69:20	vigilance 40:3 virtue 57:22 visits 14:22	written 10:6 35:23 39:11 48:21 wrong 9:2 41:10
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24 84:5,9 85:2,10 teach 13:21 teaching 13:23 14:7	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9 three-and-a-half 43:5 thumbing 68:4	Tuesday 1:17 turn 10:22 24:19 43:22 68:20 69:20 78:16	vigilance 40:3 virtue 57:22 visits 14:22 vitae 6:24 10:23	written 10:6 35:23 39:11 48:21
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24 84:5,9 85:2,10 teach 13:21 teaching 13:23 14:7 tecum 5:10,25 9:25	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9 three-and-a-half 43:5 thumbing 68:4 time 7:25 13:9	Tuesday 1:17 turn 10:22 24:19 43:22 68:20 69:20 78:16 Twice 19:4 28:25	vigilance 40:3 virtue 57:22 visits 14:22	written 10:6 35:23 39:11 48:21 wrong 9:2 41:10 60:4 70:22 75:13
61:23 70:23 76:24 80:16 83:17,24 84:5,9 85:2,10 teach 13:21 teaching 13:23 14:7	58:25 59:5 69:9 74:12 79:9 three-and-a-half 43:5 thumbing 68:4	Tuesday 1:17 turn 10:22 24:19 43:22 68:20 69:20 78:16	vigilance 40:3 virtue 57:22 visits 14:22 vitae 6:24 10:23	written 10:6 35:23 39:11 48:21 wrong 9:2 41:10

Y	11 13:11 56:11 87:8	43215 3:13		
Yates 1:4 4:13	11:30 59:20 66:7	45 61:14		-
	11:55 85:12	45th 2:9		
28:10 32:16,21	12 13:10 15:21 16:7	45802 3:20		
37:23 38:15 44:21	12 10:10 10:21 10:7 12th 86:19	47 87:10		
47:15 48:4,19,20	12:50 60:2,5	47 07.10		
48:23,24 56:5,21	127-129 3:18	5		
57:9 58:2 59:10	1370 3:6	5 77:23,24 78:3		
59:23 60:22 61:2	142643 1:25	87:12		
68:6 71:24 78:17	15 18:16,19 26:14	5:15 83:4		
78:24	26:21 28:22 69:16	50/50 26:25 27:3		
Yates/Dylon 37:13	73:5,12 74:5	67:12		
39:18	175 3:12	546 3:19		
Yeah 66:9	175 5.12 18 60:25	540 3.15		
year 13:12 15:6,7	1982 22:21	6		
15:17 17:11,14,16	1992 22:21 1992 22:22	6 78:18,21 87:13		
18:12,14,15,19	1994 23:25	· ·		
19:11 23:25 26:15	1994 23:23 1996 22:6 25:15	60/40 27:2 64 39:21 47:24		
28:20,20 29:13	26:10,21	64745 68:20 69:9		
64:15	1999 50:5 56:7			
years 13:17 15:12	1999 50:5 50:7	71:8,13,17		
15:19 16:10,16,18	2	64767 74:13		
17:7 18:14 19:18		65002 51:11,19		
21:23 23:8 24:5	2 33:18 37:17,18,20	66:21,25 68:3		
25:13,19 29:16,17	61:5,9,11,21	75:11		
30:12	63:13 87:9 20 18:16 10 26:14	7		
year-and-a-half	20 18:16,19 26:14			
24:5	26:21 28:22 49:6	7 37:7,11,22 39:15		
yesterday 30:19	2000 35:21 36:8	40:14 79:5,8 87:9		
York 1:16,16 2:9,9	2001 37:7,11,22	87:14		
2:12 4:4 13:5	39:15 40:14 87:9	7:00 48:23 50:5		
20:10,11,14,25	2002 1:17 2:3 28:20	54:20 56:20		
21:2,5,7,8 22:8,23	36:19 38:20,24	7:30 48:24 56:21		
22:23 23:6,23	40:15 44:3 45:8	66:6		
29:9,16 86:3,8	47:4,7 76:12	76 87:11		
	85:17 86:10,19	77 87:12		
Z	87:10,11	78 87:13		
Z 4:2	216 2:9	79 87:14		
zero 56:15	22 36:19 40:15 44:2	8		
	45:8 47:4,7 87:10			
<u>\$</u>	26 49:10,15 62:12	8 56:6		· ·
\$250 43:10	63:4,13,23	8:20 56:8		
\$350 43:13	28 35:21 36:8	8:30 58:13,22 59:20		
\$400 43:16	3	8:38 56:10 58:23,24		
		9		
0	3 1:17 2:3 32:18			
01-389D 1:7	47:6,7,11 76:12	9:43 2:4	· ·	
05:15 82:23	86:10 87:10,11	93 23:25 24:4		· · ·
06:17 60:16	30 17:14,16 18:11	94 22:6 24:4		
07:12 82:21	18:17 69:16	94113-1791 3:7		
	37 87:9			
1	A			
1 11:25 12:4 13:19	4			
13:20 50:5 56:13	4 76:16,18,20 87:4			
60:9 87:8	87:11			
1st 38:20 56:6	4:50 49:5 55:4,22			
10 13:11 15:21 16:7	55:22 63:9 65:6			
38:23	66:5			
10:45 57:8	40 17:14,16 18:11			
100 51:25 67:16,17	18:17			
L				