

ORIGINAL

1 PATTY DOLL, et al., * IN THE
 2 Plaintiffs * COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 3 vs. * CUYAHOGA COUNTY
 4 UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS, * OHIO
 5 et al., Defendants * 297828

6 - - - - -

7 Deposition of THOMAS R. PRICE, M.D.
 8 was taken on Wednesday, May 5, 1999, commencing at
 9 1:00 p.m. at 660 West Redwood Street, Baltimore,
 10 Maryland, before MARK E. BROWN, RPR, CSR.

11 - - - - -

12 APPEARANCES:

13 HOWARD D. MISHKIND, Esquire
 14 On behalf of the Plaintiffs
 15 GEORGE M. MOSCARINO, Esquire
 16 On behalf of the Defendants
 17 RONALD A. RISPO, Esquire
 18 On behalf of the Defendants

19 - - - - -

20
 21 Reported By:
 MARK E. BROWN, RPR, CSR



I N D E X O F W I T N E S S E S

WITNESS :

PAGE :

THOMAS R. PRICE, M.D.

By Mr. Mishkind

3

I N D E X O F E X H I B I T S

EXHIBIT:

PAGE :

Exhibit No. 1 - curriculum vitae

3

Exhibit No. 2 - report

3



1 THOMAS R. PRICE, M.D.

2 the Deponent, called for examination by the Plaintiff,
3 being first duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole
4 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as follows:

5 (Whereupon, a curriculum vitae and a
6 report were marked as Price Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2
7 respectively for identification.)

8 EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. MISHKIND:

10 Q. Would you please state your name?

11 A. Thomas R. Price.

12 Q. Dr. Price, I'm Howard Mishkind. I
13 represent Patty and George Doll. I will be asking
14 you **some** questions this afternoon concerning the
15 opinions that you hold that you will be expressing
16 at the time of the trial which is coming up in a
17 little bit over a month from now, okay?

18 A. Okay.

19 Q. I have a document which I'd mark as
20 Exhibit 1 which is represented to me to be your
21 current curriculum vitae at least revised as of



September of 1998. First, is this --

A. Okay. We have a more recent -- I'm sorry, I didn't realize that. We have a more recent one. She will be bringing it around in an hour or so.

Q. Okay. That's current as of September of 1998?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. Do you know what additions would need to be made to that to bring it up to May of 1999?

A. Probably some additional papers. I think four or five additional papers to this.

Q. Any changes in your affiliation at any hospitals or universities?

A. Not from this, no.

Q. I also have, Doctor, what I've marked as Plaintiff Exhibit 2 which is a letter that you wrote to Patty Cuthvertson dated September 22nd of 1997. Is that, in fact, your opinion letter?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Have you written any other letters in



1 this case other than that letter concerning the
2 Patty Doll case?

3 A. I don't think so.

4 Q. What is your professional address?

5 A. Here in the Department of Epidemiology
6 and Preventive Medicine at the University of
7 Maryland School of Medicine in Baltimore.

8 Q. Are you spending all of your
9 professional time in the act of clinical practice of
10 medicine currently?

11 A. Not currently, no.

12 Q. What percentage of your time do you
13 spend?

14 A. I spend no time in active clinical
15 practice now.

16 Q. When did that change take place?

17 A. July 1st, 1998.

18 Q. As I ask you questions, Doctor, just for
19 my benefit and for the court reporter's, even though
20 you may know where I'm going, wait until I'm
21 finished with the question until you start



answering, okay?

A. Thank you.

Q. Thank you. Prior to July 1st of 1998, were you actively involved in the clinical practice of medicine?

A. Yes, I was.

Q. And what change took place as of July of 1998?

10 A. I actually retired from the Department
11 of Neurology and starting a month later, August the
12 1st, took a part-time job here in the Department of
13 Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine.

14 Q. Tell me basically what your day-to-day
15 activities consist of now.

16 A. I'm involved in teaching and research.
17 The teaching is for medical students and some
18 graduate students in the second year and the third
19 year of medical school and in the fourth year of
20 medical school and direct counseling with student
21 research involved in three projects; one is a drug
trial, one is a cardiovascular health study which is



1 an NIH national study at four sites around the
2 country and I'm a consultant to Johns Hopkins for
3 that study, and the third study is a study of the
4 return to work in stroke patients which is headed up
5 by Dr. Wazniak here in this institution. I've also
6 done some consulting work on a short-term basis for
7 other projects but not currently.

8 Q. Okay. And do you have any other
9 professional pursuits other than the teaching and
10 the research that you do currently?

11 A. Well, I review papers for journals as I
12 have for many years. I review research grants. I
13 will be reviewing one for NIH next month. I'm
14 reviewing one for the Wellcome Trust in England and
15 I'm supposed to review one for the Vancouver
16 Foundation in Canada. I've forgotten the exact
17 name.

18 Q. Since July of 1998, though, you haven't
19 actually treated any stroke patients; is that
20 correct?

23 A. That's true.



1 Q. Your report of September 22nd of 1997
2 identifies a number of items that you had reviewed
3 as of that time and I note with the cover letters
4 from Mr. Moscarino's office that you have received a
5 number of additional items since then; is that
6 correct?

7 A. I actually haven't compared the two
8 lists. What I've reviewed recently is what I
9 received recently. I actually don't any longer have
10 the documents that I originally reviewed.

11 Q. In fact, I was going to say that it
12 looks like what Mr. Moscarino's office did was,
13 because of the passage of time, sent you back all of
14 what you referred to in your September '97 letter
15 and additional material; is that correct?

16 A. I think so, yes.

17 Q. You had probably just discarded the
18 material?

19 A. I thought that was the end of the case
20 but it wasn't.

21 Q. All right. And so you had to re-review



1 the material again?

2 A. Yes, I did.

3 Q. And in addition, you had an opportunity
4 to review the depositions of various experts and
5 physicians that are involved in this case; is that
6 correct?

7 A. Yes, I have.

8 Q. Doctor, does your CV that you have
9 there, are there any articles referenced in the CV
10 that you believe to be applicable to this case?

11 A. The one that has already been cited, the
12 article in the New England Journal about pregnancy
13 in the postpartum state as in relationship to
14 stroke.

15 Q. Any others besides what I will refer to
16 as the Kittner article?

17 A. None that I know will be relevant to the
18 case, but, I mean, there are many that are relevant
19 to the case in terms of identifying stroke type,
20 what is a stroke and so forth, but it depends on
21 whether you bring them up or someone else.



1 Q. Well, you know what you've written.
2 What I want to know is whether or not there is
3 anything in your writings or presentations that are
4 referenced in the CV, rather than going through line
5 by line, that you believe to be applicable to a
6 woman who delivers a baby and then during the first
7 two weeks after delivering the baby has a stroke
8 that in some way is a factor in terms of the
9 opinions that you're going to be expressing other
10 than the Kittner article?

11 A. Right. I think that's the only one that
12 I know is relevant.

13 Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any articles in
14 the medical literature prior to preparing your
15 report other than the Kittner article?

16 A. Prior to preparing, I've reviewed many,
17 many articles. I review them all the time. As I
18 said, I review for journals accepting articles.

19 Q. Any articles that would in any way
20 relate to the issue of cause of stroke in a woman
21 who is postpartum other than the Kittner article?



1 A. I can't recall any.

2 Q. Have there been any further articles
3 that you're aware of that have commented on the
4 Kittner article since it was published in the New
5 England Journal of Medicine?

6 A. Not that I can currently remember, no.

7 Q. Could you tell me when it was that you
8 were first contacted in connection with this case?

9 A. No, I can not.

10 Q. Other than --

11 A. It was certainly before the letter that
12 I wrote which was a couple years ago.

13 Q. Other than the material that you have in
14 front of you which are depositions, reports, and
15 records, is there any other material that you have
16 that perhaps is at home or somewhere else concerning
17 this case?

18 A. No. I brought in everything this
19 morning that was at home, these letters from Patty
20 Cuthvertson and I think Mr. Moscarino.

21 Q. And what I would like to --



1 A. Oh, I'm sorry. I have some x-rays that
2 they sent me as well and the reports in the x-rays.

3 Q. And those were the films that were
4 referenced in your letter of September 22nd of 1997,
5 correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. MISHKIND: George, what I would like
8 to do rather than taking the time is since they are
9 just cover letters, if you could just provide me
10 with a copy of the cover letter that just confirms
11 what it is that he has here rather than going into
12 the record and reading it.

13 MR. MOSCARINO: You mean you just want
14 copies of these letters that we have right here?

15 MR. MISHKIND: Just so it reflects
16 exactly what it is that was sent to him so I don't
17 have to read it into the record.

18 MR. MOSCARINO: That's fine.

19 MR. MISHKIND: Okay.

20 THE WITNESS: I think there was one more
21 letter which I left on my desk just now but it is



1 just making an appointment for today. I realized it
2 because I know I wrote a little note on it, I
3 thought you would ask me about the note. The note
4 just says **10:30**, the time we agreed to meet this
5 morning and the room number of this room.

6 BY MR. MISHKIND:

7 Q. Do you know how it is that you were
8 introduced to Ms. Cuthvertson or Mr. Moscarino?

9 A. No, I don't. You mean the first time
10 that I met Mr. Moscarino?

11 Q. The first time you were contacted
12 presumably by phone or letter to review this case?

13 A. I really don't remember.

14 Q. Have you had occasion to work with
15 anyone from the Arter and Hadden law firm that Mr.
16 Moscarino used to be associated with?

17 A. I don't think so. They're in Cleveland?

18 Q. Yes.

19 A. As far as I know, no.

20 Q. Have you reviewed any cases for either
21 side, the plaintiff or the defendant, for any law
22



1 firms up in the Cleveland, Ohio area with the
4 exception of this case?

A. I don't think so. I don't think I've
4 ever done that.

Q. Are you currently involved in any other
6 cases at the request of Mr. Moscarino or anyone
associated with his firm?

A. Not as far as I know.

Q. You've reviewed medical malpractice
10 cases before?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. How many years have you had an occasion
1 to serve as an expert?

A. Probably 20 years.

Q. And besides this case, are you currently
1 involved in any other cases?

A. Currently is a tough word in the sense
1 that I know of no trial that -- no case that is
1 going to trial or has a scheduled date. I have been
2 talking about one other case and it seems to be in
2 limbo at the moment.



1 Q. So to your knowledge, there are two
2 cases that you are serving in some capacity as an
3 expert, this other case and the Patty Doll case?

4 A. Yes, but it may be all ended and I don't
5 know. Sometimes I don't find out.

6 Q. Okay. How many cases in the past 20
7 years have you averaged in terms of on a yearly
8 basis reviewing?

9 A. It's very hard to say exactly on a
10 yearly basis because some cases as this one go over
11 several years, but I would guess maybe I've been
12 involved in 20 cases, something of that sort.

13 Q. Can you tell me when the last time you
14 gave either deposition testimony like we're doing
15 today or testified in court?

16 A. I gave a deposition last fall on the
17 case that I just referred to.

18 Q. What was the subject matter in that
19 case?

20 A. It's a case of a patient who had several
21 strokes and the question related to the etiology of



1 the stroke. The patient was not pregnant -- or had
2 been pregnant but pregnancy was not an issue in the
3 cause of the stroke.

4 Q. Was that a case in Maryland?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you remember the name of any of the
7 parties to that case?

8 A. I think the patient's name was Seivold,
9 S-e-i-v-o-l-d, and I've forgotten the first name and
10 I have a hard time remembering the lawyer's firms
11 names. It's just not --

12 Q. Were you retained by the plaintiff's
13 counsel or defense counsel in that case?

14 A. In that case I was retained by one of
15 the defendants.

16 Q. Okay. And you don't recall the name of
17 any of the attorneys?

18 A. No, I don't.

19 Q. How many times have you testified
20 actually in a courtroom in trial?

21 A. I would guess six or seven times.



1 Q. And the number of times that you've
2 actually given deposition testimony during the 20
3 years that you've been doing this, give me your best
4 estimate as to the number.

5 A. Twelve, something like that.

6 Q. Have you --

7 A. When you said -- I'm sorry, can I
8 correct something?

9 Q. Go right ahead.

10 A. When you said in court, we also have an
11 arbitration system in this state so that some cases
12 are heard in arbitration which is not strictly
13 speaking a court and I included them in my -- what
14 did I say, six or seven?

15 Q. Okay. So a lesser number where you were
16 actually in a courtroom before a jury?

17 A. Yes. If you pause for a minute, I think
18 five times I've been in court and I would have to
19 make that eight or nine times total court and
20 arbitration.

21 Q. Have you provided your expertise,



3 Doctor, as a neurologist through any type of
2 services, expert search services or the companies
that make names available to attorneys?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Have you ever done that during your
6 professional practice?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Have you ever testified in connection
9 with a case involving the cause of a stroke in a
10 woman who was postpartum?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Who do you consider, Doctor, to be some
1 of the leading authorities on the subject of stroke
1 following the birth of a baby?

1 A. You mean internationally or --

1 Q. Internationally or nationally,
1 whichever.

1 A. And what do you mean by authorities?

1 Q. That you respect, that you believe to be
2 knowledgeable and considered by yourself and your
2 peers to have a considerable expertise in this



1 sub-specialty of stroke,

2 A. Probably the person's name that first
3 comes to mind is Dr. Kittner, the chief author of
4 the article in the New England Journal that we
5 referred to before.

E Q. You know Dr. Clark Millikan, one of the
7 plaintiff experts, correct?

E A. I do.

9 Q. How long have you known Dr. Millikan?

10 A. 25 years.

13 Q. Do you consider Dr. Millikan to be an
12 expert in the area of stroke?

13 A. Yes, I do.

14 Q. And is Dr. Millikan, in your opinion,
15 recognized and respected as an expert in this
16 sub-specialty of stroke?

17 A. I think so, yes.

18 MR. MOSCARINO: The sub-specialty of
19 stroke?

20 MR. MISHRIND: The sub-specialty of
21 stroke, right.



1 BY MR. MISHKIND:

2 Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, whether there
3 are any textbooks in the area of neurology that you
4 consider to be -- to have reliable scientific
5 information on the cause of stroke?

6 A. I know of no textbook that is 100
7 percent reliable but there are a large number of
8 textbooks, some are better in one area and some are
9 better in other areas.

10 Q. Can -- which do you consider to be more
11 reliable for information dealing with the cause of
12 stroke?

13 A. I actually don't know of a textbook that
14 is totally reliable to that.

15 Q. Let's shift then to journals. If you
16 wanted reliable information with regard to the cause
17 of stroke, what journals or journal articles would
18 you refer to?

19 A. A large number. Different journals,
20 some articles in certain journals are -- seem good
21 and others in the same journal may not be good so



1 it's not necessarily a journal.

2 Q. Let me ask you this, Doctor: Are you
3 going to at trial deem any articles to be
4 authoritative other than the Kittner article as it
5 relates to the etiology of stroke in the postpartum
6 period?

A. I don't think so, no.

7 Q. Okay. You have reviewed the MRI and the
8 MRA on Patty Doll, correct?

9 A. Yes, I did.

10 Q. You reviewed it initially and reviewed
11 it again most recently some time in April?

12 A. I don't think I looked at the follow-up
13 MRI at the first time. I'm not sure if it was done
14 or it wasn't available, I think.

15 Q. Tell me basically --

16 A. So the answer is yes but not exactly yes
17 because some part I apparently hadn't looked at at
18 the first go around.

19 Q. Which part, the MRI or the MRA?

20 A. The last MRI.



1 Q. Okay. And is this the MRI from November
4 of 1996?

A. '96, that's it.

4 Q. You reference both of those in your
1 report of September of 1997?

1 A. Then perhaps I had seen them. Can I
look at my report? If I have referenced them, I
certainly did look at it. I'm sorry, that is the --
does that say MRI or MRA? I would have to say that
10 I don't recall looking at it before. When I looked
1: at it in the last few weeks, it didn't look familiar
1: to me.

1: Q. Describe for me, if you would, since
1: you've recently both reviewed both the MRI and the
1 MRA what it is that you saw when you looked at Patty
1 Doll's films?

1 A. The MRA appears normal as reported by
1 the radiologist reader, the MRI shows a large and a
1 small stroke involving the left hemisphere,
2 primarily the left temporal lobe and part of the
2 left parietal lobe. It shows the atrophy after the



1 stroke, the results.

2 Q. And in what distribution is the stroke?

3 A. It is in the left temporal lobe and part
4 of the left parietal lobe.

5 Q. Is this a middle cerebral artery stroke?

6 A. It's certainly not involving the entire
7 middle cerebral artery. It appears most likely it's
8 a middle cerebral artery branch, artery infarct.

9 Q. You've mentioned a large and a small
10 stroke?

11 A. The small one is slightly anterior to
12 the large one and it involves the white matter and
13 would fit a definition of a lacune, l-a-c-u-n-e,
14 that type of stroke.

15 Q. Explain to me what that is, please?

16 A. It's a small, deep infarct generally in
17 the white matter or deep gray matter of the brain
18 and that's how you identify it.

19 You can also identify them by the kind
20 of deficit they cause, but we don't know whether
21 that predated the larger stroke or came on



1 simultaneously with it.

2 Q. Do you have any basis to say that there
3 was any evidence of stroke prior to November 15,
4 1996?

5 a. No, I don't.

6 Q. Are you able to tell me of what
7 significance from a neurological standpoint the
8 findings are that you've just described in terms of
9 the brain in terms of what area of the brain is
10 controlled by the area where the small and the large
11 infarcts are situated?

12 A. It's possible to somewhat predict from
13 the areas of the brain and the size of the infarct
14 what the deficit will be but never completely. And
15 so that reading an MRI or a CAT scan is not possible
16 to exactly say or be accurate in saying what the
17 deficit would be.

18 Q. In looking at the areas of the brain
19 that are involved, can you tell me to a probability
20 what type of neurological impact injuries of that
21 size to that location of the brain would cause?



A. Usually cause?

Q. Yes.

A. Well, we would expect someone with the -- who is right-handed with a left temporal lobe abnormality, as she shows, to have trouble with speech.

Q. Is that typically the aphasia type of reaction?

A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. What other deficits?

11 A. They might have problems with memory.
12 They might have a weakness of one side of the body,
13 the opposite side of the body or problems with
14 sensation on the opposite side or visual feel
15 problems on the opposite side.

16 Q. These are the kind of findings that you
17 usually see in a patient that has the infarct size
18 in the distribution that you see on the MRI?

19 A. No. Those are possible defects that you
20 could see.

21 Q. Have you had a chance to look at the



3 records on Patty Doll's neurological status to be
2 able to correlate whether or not those findings are
consistent with her clinical picture?

4 A. I think the findings on the MRI
5 currently are compatible with her clinical picture
as expressed in the records, yes.

Q. And which clinical manifestation, if you
will, are you aware of that are consistent with the
large and small stroke that you describe?

1 MR. MOSCARINO: Howard, it's not an
1 objection but a comment in the fact that we've had
1 this huge time gap in between obviously because of
1: the stay, you know, that necessitated me resending
1: all these materials, so I don't think any of us have
1: a clear current picture of Patty Doll so to the
1: extent that we may get some additional information,
1 I may give this, just like you probably will give
1 this to your own experts, to Dr. Price so I'm not
1 going to interrupt.

2 He obviously knows what he's looked at
2 so far, but I don't think we have any deposition or



1 any recent records from what she's like now, so with
2 that interruption, you know --

3 BY MR. MISHKIND:

4 Q. Based upon the information that you
5 reviewed as of May 5, 1999, what neurological
6 deficits are you aware of as described in the record
7 that are consistent with the findings of the -- in
8 the stroke on the MRI?

9 A. Well, certainly her initial findings of
10 the loss of speech and difficulty understanding and
11 the visual field defects and the right-sided
12 weakness and sensory problems that she had were all
13 compatible with the lesions seen on the MRI's over
14 time, not just the last one but the others as well.

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. They are compatible with the total
17 picture.

18 Q. What about her visual field deficits?
19 Are you familiar with what was described?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And what area of her vision was



3 impacted?

4 A. Yes. The right visual field, not the
entire field, but I think originally the entire
6 field but eventually it was smaller than that. It
7 was part of the right visual field and that would be
8 compatible with the lesion that we see on the MRI.

Q. I'm going to back up. You described two
lesions or two areas of infarction. Are you of the
opinion that most likely those two lesions occurred
1 at or around the same time?

1 A. I don't think there is any way to know
1: that.

1: Q. Is there any way to say that they
1 occurred separated in time?

1 A. No way for sure to say either one.

1 Q. Okay.

1 A. It's a bit unusual to see a small lacune
1 and a large stroke occur at the same time and to
1 know that that's what occurred and more common would
2 be that the small one occurred first and the large
2 one is what is causing the current problem as what



3 has occurred in this patient.

4 Q. Did you see any other films that would
4 suggest that the large stroke occurred at a time
4 distant from the events of November of 1994?

1 A. Could you clarify the question? I'm not
quite sure I understand what you're --

Q. You've looked at the CT scan as well?

A. Yes.

Q. And is there anything from the early
10 films back in '94 and the films that you looked at
1: that are dated in 1996 that are in any way
1: inconsistent with each other?

1: A. I don't think so. I mean, if there is
1: something you're referring to, I would be happy to
1 specifically comment on that but the general broad
1 way you ask the question I don't think so.

1 Q. And I don't mean to have it generally
1 broad but I want to make sure that when you take the
1 stand the end of June, that you're not going to
2 offer an opinion that some of these findings that
2 you see on the MRI were caused by anything other



1 than the stroke, to a reasonable degree of medical
2 probability, that Patty Doll sustained on or about
3 November 15 or November 16 of 1994.

4 A. I understand that.

5 Q. And are we in agreement that you're not
6 going to express any such opinions?

7 A. I certainly don't think I would.

8 Q. Okay. And the only reason I ask is
9 because when you describe a large and a small one, I
10 want to make sure that you're not going to suggest
11 that some other event occurred that is unrelated to
12 this period of time between the date of her
13 c-section and when her stroke occurred on November
14 16.

15 A. Well, I think I've already expressed
16 that when you see two strokes, a very small one and
17 a large one together, the usual assumption or the --
18 if you have evidence for it, the likeliest thing is
19 that the small one preceded the large one and that
20 they didn't occur at the same time.

21 However, as I already stated, I don't



3 know that it occurred before and I don't know that
2 it occurred at the same time either.

Q. Okay. So for purposes of this case,
L you're not going to opine that the large stroke is
P unrelated to the events that occurred between the
t time of her stroke -- the time of the c-section and
the time that the her neurological symptoms were
I manifested on November 16 of 1994, are you?

A. Well, to specifically answer your
1 question, I've already expressed the opinion that
1 it's related to her postpartum state and, of course,
1 she was pregnant before the cesarean section
1 occurred, and, therefore, in that sense I would be
1 relating it to something that went on before the
1 cesarean section.

I'm not trying to be silly, but you
1 asked the question in a way that if I said no, I
1 might not be quite accurate. I'm trying to be
1 accurate.

2 Q. Well, what is it that occurred before
2 the cesarean section that makes this presentation



with a small and a large stroke?

A. The fact that she was pregnant.

Q. Okay. And we're going to talk about your opinion concerning the postpartum period and the basis for your opinion, but what you see on the MRI and what you see on those films occurred at or around the time of Patty Doll's pregnancy that terminated on November 2nd of 1994, is that a fair statement?

1 A. I think so, yes.

1 Q. Okay. We will get into the nuances of
1 exactly when it occurred and the etiologies in a
1 moment, but what I'm looking to find out from you is
1 whether you are going to opine that maybe she
1 suffered another stroke some time in 1995, for
1 example?

1 A. I currently have no evidence that she
1 suffered another stroke in 1995. Were it to
1 develop, obviously I would testify to that.

2 Q. You have no evidence to support that
2 kind of proposition?



A. Not currently, no.

Q. Okay. Patients that have the size of stroke in the location that you see on the MRI, are they normally left with permanent neurological deficits?

A. Most of them are.

Q. Do you have an opinion based upon the information that you've reviewed up to the time that you wrote your report or perhaps even looking at Dr. Layton's report and some of the additional material that you were provided, whether or not the neurological deficits that Patty Doll has sustained as a result of this stroke are permanent?

MR. MOSCARINO: You're just talking globally. You're not pointing him to anything specific?

MR. MISHKIND: No, but you have had an opportunity to see reports of Dr. Nemunaitis, **the** deposition of Dr. Nemunaitis, correct?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. And you know who Dr. Nemunaitis is?



1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And who is Dr. Nemunaitis?

3 A. He is a rehabilitation doctor who took
4 care of her.

5 Q. And you have also seen the report of Dr.
6 Barry Layton?

A. I believe so, yes.

8 Q. He's the neuropsychologist?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And you've seen the deposition and
11 report of Dr. Alan Lerner, her neurologist, correct?

12 A. Yes, I have.

13 Q. And in all of those reports you see that
14 there is reference as to the dates of those reports,
15 there is reference to certain permanent neurological
16 sequela describe by each of those practitioners,
17 correct?

18 A. Yes, there are.

19 Q. Would you agree that the symptoms that
20 they describe in terms of her deficits are
21 consistent with the type of stroke that you



1 understand she suffered?

2 A. Yes. Yes, I do.

3 Q. And would you expect more likely than
4 not that Patty Doll will have permanent deficits as
5 a consequence of this stroke that will affect her
6 for the rest of her life?

7 A. I would expect that she would have some
8 deficits that would affect her for the rest of her
9 life, yes.

10 Q. Do you ever intend to offer any
11 testimony at the time of trial to quantify the
12 extent of how those deficits will impact her
13 activities of daily living?

14 A. If you're asking will I speculate about
15 it, no. I would want to rely on what is her status
16 in terms of her activities of daily living at work
17 and balancing the checkbook and raising the children
18 and so forth.

19 Q. And you have no knowledge at this point
20 as to what the current impact of the deficits are on
21 her activities of daily living; is that correct?



A. I have considerable knowledge based on the reports that you've already read and in talking to Mr. Moscarino, he supplied me with some information about her more current status.

Q. And, again, just to try to move past this point because I don't want to dwell on it, we can agree, can we not, that Patty Doll has a permanent injury that is a result of death of certain tissue in her brain caused by the stroke?

1 A. Yes.

1 Q. And those deficits will remain with her
1 for the rest of her life?

1 A. Well, some of the deficits that she
1 suffered have already cleared from her own testimony
1 and from others and there may be further clearing.
1 There may have already been further clearing since I
1 haven't read anything in the last three years from
1 her, but I would expect that there would be some
1 permanent deficit just to clarify that point.

2 Q. And tell me what permanent deficits
2 would you reasonably expect Patty Doll to have?



1 a. I would expect her to maintain the
2 visual field deficit that she has and it's likely
3 that she will have some speech and language problems
4 for a period of time but it's kind of impossible to
5 predict if 20 years from now she will still have
6 some.

7 Q. Okay. I want to talk to you about the
8 article a bit, the Kittner article which is titled
9 Pregnancy and the Risk of Stroke. You are
10 referenced as a contributing author, correct?

11 A. Yes, I am.

12 Q. What involvement did you have with
13 regard to the publication of this article?

14 A. I had considerable involvement. The
15 project of which it's a part was a part of the
16 stroke center. The project itself was headed by Dr.
17 Kittner, but since I was in charge of the principle
18 investigation for the stroke center, it was my
19 responsibility as well as a sort of overseer of all
20 the projects.

21 I was involved with all of the basic



1 development of the project including discussion with
2 NIH before it was funded. I was involved in getting
3 the funding. I was involved in doing the project.
4 I was involved in the analysis. I was involved in
5 the write-up.

6 If would you like to know why I am a
7 contributing author and not a regular author, we
8 were originally all listed and I notice I am still
9 listed that way on my curriculum vitae as an author.
10 I think my name was somewhere in the middle and the
11 New England Journal has a policy of only having, I
12 think, 12 authors -- whatever is actually there, and
13 so Dr. Sherwin, the other person who's listed as a
14 contributing author called me and said, Look, we're
15 old guys. It doesn't make any difference whether we
16 are actual authors or contributing authors. How
17 about us going to the bottom because all the other
18 people can use this in getting promotions and so
19 forth and I agreed with him.

20 Q. That is sole reason why you are not
21 listed right below the title?



1 A. Oh, I wouldn't be the first author.

2 Q. Well, in the paragraph below the title
3 with the other named people, if you will?

4 a. As far as I know, that is exactly how it
5 happened, and as far as I know, that is the only
6 reason.

7 Q. Do you have the protocols for which
8 patients were included and which were excluded?

9 A. With me today, no.

10 Q. Do you have them?

11 A. I could get them I'm sure. They are not
12 in my office. They are in Dr. Kittner's office.

13 Q. Okay. But it's something that you could
14 obtain?

15 A. I'm not quite sure what you mean by
16 protocol for patients that were included.

17 Q. Well, I presume that any time you do a
18 research project of this magnitude, that there are
19 certain protocols that are followed in terms of
20 chart review and --

21 A. Yes.



1 Q. So I'm asking where those protocols
2 would be that were followed in the process of doing
3 the study?

4 A. Well, the project is over now and I
5 assume that if the protocols exist more than
6 descriptions in papers, that they would be in Dr.
7 Kittner's office and the data would be there as
8 well.

9 Q. You don't have, not on you obviously,
10 but in your control and possession the actual data
11 from the study?

12 A. No, I don't. I mean, I was in charge of
13 the stroke center which encompassed three projects
14 of which this is one and it was Dr. Kittner's
15 specific responsibility to carry out and keep the
16 records and so forth and he did that.

17 Q. What were the other two projects?

18 A. There was one project on drug use and
19 abuse by Dr. Sloan as the principal investigator of
20 that project and my own project on progression of
21 stroke,



3 Q. Those two were not in pregnancy, were
4 they?

4 A. We would include pregnant people in
4 either study and I think, in fact, one of the
5 patients in the paper, the Kittner paper as you call
6 it, wasn't using cocaine and that patient certainly
7 would have been included in -- if it was in this
8 hospital certainly would have been included in Dr.
9 Sloan's project about drug use.

10 Q. The drug use and abuse project, however,
11 wasn't limited to patients that were pregnant or
12 postpartum patients?

13 A. Absolutely not.

14 Q. The definition of postpartum according
15 to this article or according to your definition is
16 how many weeks?

17 A. Well, it does vary from different
18 authors but we use six weeks which I think is sort
19 of standard. Six weeks from delivery.

20 Q. And what is your opinion as to the
21 incidents of stroke in women suffering -- or after a



1 normal delivery in the postpartum period?

2 A. The risk is about one in ten thousand,
3 something of that sort.

4 Q. And what is the risk of stroke in women
5 that are not in the postpartum period?

6 A. You mean with no or who are also not
7 pregnant?

8 Q. Right.

9 A. It's roughly one-eighth of that.

10 Q. What is the risk of stroke for women
11 that are pregnant?

12 A. Well, I would have to look at it right
13 here. That's the base rate and I would actually
14 have to look it up.

15 Q. Is it reflected in the article?

16 A. Yes. It's about -- it's a little less
17 than the risk of people who are not pregnant:
18 seven-tenths of the risk of women who are not
19 pregnant of the same age.

20 Q. Does the article itself, Doctor, does it
21 specifically address the increased risk associated



1 with c-section deliveries as opposed to vaginal
2 deliveries?

3 A. I'm unaware of an increased risk due to
4 c-section.

5 Q. Did this article in any way deal with an
6 analysis as to whether or not patients were at an
7 increased risk of suffering stroke during the
8 postpartum period that had had a c-section delivery
9 as opposed to a vaginal delivery?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Did this article address patients that
12 had placenta previa in terms of the increased risk
13 of stroke in those patients as opposed to patients
14 that had normal vaginal deliveries without any
15 problems with placental delivery?

16 A. No.

17 Q. Did this article in any way attempt to
18 differentiate breach presentations from normal
19 presentations in pregnancy as it related to the
20 increased risk of stroke in the postpartum period?

21 A. No.



Q. To your knowledge, have there ever been any studies that have attempted to evaluate whether or not a patient that is delivered by cesarean section was at increased risk of stroke over vaginal delivery?

A. I'm not aware of any.

Q. Of the women in the study that suffered stroke in the postpartum period, how many of them were heavy cigarette smokers?

1 A. Would you like me to look it up?

1 Q. Please.

1 A. It actually doesn't list it for the
1 postpartum period alone. It's a -- whether it's
1 related to pregnancy or not which would include
1 those doing the pregnancy, but the 29 percent of
1 those with cerebral infarctions related to pregnancy
1 were -- had current cigarette use compared to 43
1 percent of those who had strokes, ischemic
1 infarctions who were not pregnant.

2 Q. Can we agree, though, that the study
2 does not indicate -- this particular study does not



1 address stroke in the postpartum period as it
2 relates to the number of women that were heavy
3 cigarette smokers that suffered stroke during the
4 postpartum period, it doesn't differentiate it?

5 A. From the postpartum during the
6 pregnancy, they are not separated out in the table
7 given in the paper.

8 Q. And do you know how the numbers divide
9 based upon the study in terms of those who were
10 heavy cigarette smokers that suffered stroke that
11 are in postpartum versus during pregnancy?

12 A. No, I do not.

13 Q. How many of the women that suffered
14 stroke in the postpartum period had rheumatoid
15 arthritis?

16 A. None that I'm aware of.

17 Q. How many had lupus?

18 A. None that I'm aware of.

19 Q. Does the article address those issues --

20 A. Now, let's see.

21 Q. -- in the postpartum period?



A. Well, it does address them in terms of this table where if only one patient is identified with carotid dissection and they had their stroke in the postpartum period, then it identifies one patient as having a carotid dissection in the postpartum period.

Q. The study itself that we're referring to covered the period of 1988 to 1991 only, correct?

A. Yes.

1 Q. And it covered an area in Baltimore,
1 Maryland and the Washington area only, correct?

1 A. Well, and the counties in between so
1 it's both rural and city areas.

1 Q. Okay. And as I looked at the article,
1 it appears that there were two racial categories,
1 correct?

1 A. Yes. White and non-white.

1 Q. And the non-white, as I understand it
1 from reading the article, 88 percent of the
2 non-whites were black, correct?

2 A. Well, I would have to do the



calculations. It says 57 percent of the group were white and 38 percent were black, four percent Asian and then one percent were from other racial and ethnic groups. I don't know if that constitutes 88 percent of 43 percent.

Q. It says how many were Asian?

A. It says four percent were Asian.

Q. Do you know how many were Oriental?

A. No, I don't, but I would guess that they probably were all Oriental based on what I know of the area. We have very few Asians here who are not Oriental.

Q. But you are, in fact, guessing when you say that?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. In the study that was done, what was the duration of stay in the hospital following a normal delivery?

A. In this study?

Q. Yes.

A. I'm not sure that's in the article.



3 Q. What is the duration of stay in the
hospital following an uncomplicated cesarean
section?

A. A few days. Are you asking me in
general now? I'm not sure under what context you're
asking the question-

10 Q. In the context of this article when you
looked at patients that were following a normal
11 delivery in terms of the postpartum period, did you
look to see what the duration of stay in the
hospital was?

12 A. I'm sure it was looked at in the study
13 but I don't recall any of the data.

14 Q. What about -- did the data attempt to
15 differentiate uncomplicated from complicated
16 cesarean sections in evaluating any of the
17 postpartum strokes?

18 A. A lot of detail was looked at but I
19 don't specifically remember that that analysis was
20 done.

21 Q. And can you tell me how many patients in



this study experienced a stroke within 12 hours during the postpartum period but within 12 to 24 hours after undergoing further surgical intervention to remove a foreign body from their abdomen?

A. I'm not aware that any of them suffered a stroke under those circumstances.

Q. You've seen the CAT scan that was taken in July -- I'm sorry -- in September of 1994 while Mrs. Doll was in the hospital, correct?

1 A. In September of '94?

1 Q. I'm sorry -- November of '94.

1 A. Yes.

1 Q. And that film shows evidence of
1 hemorrhagic transformation?

1 A. Yes.

1 Q. Do you have an opinion as to what caused
1 the hemorrhagic transformation?

1 A. Well, hemorrhagic transformation as seen
1 by CT or MRI means that there is blood -- enough
2 blood in the stroke to show up. At autopsy you can
2 sometimes see small amounts of blood that aren't



3 enough to show up on the test. The cause of
4 hemorrhagic transformation really isn't well known.
It's not specifically associated with emboline.

4 Q. How many of the women that are in this
5 study experienced ischemic strokes with subsequent
6 hemorrhagic transformation?

A. I know of at least one who did.

Q. You just said that hemorrhagic
transformation normally does not occur in an embolic
1 stroke?

1 A. No, that is not what I said.

1 Q. I'm sorry.

1 A. I said it's not an indicator of an
1 embolic stroke.

1 Q. Okay. If an embolus is the cause of a
1 stroke, in order for there to be hemorrhagic
1 transformation that occurs, does a sufficient period
1 of time have to evolve for the hemorrhage to take
1 place after the embolic event occurs?

2 A. That's a difficult question to answer
2 because although some hemorrhages can occur late in



3 the stroke databank which was collected at four
2 centers, this is one of them, in the mid '80s, four
percent of the patients with ischemic infarction
4 presented with hemorrhagic transformation already on
5 the first CAT scan it was present.

6 Q. What is the mechanism of usual infarct
during a normal postpartum state?

7 A. Could you give me the question again?
8 I'm sorry.

9 Q. What is the mechanism that leads to an
10 infarct based upon this study in the normal
11 postpartum state?

12 A. Well, they are listed in a table. You
13 can see what is postpartum and what occurred during
14 the pregnancy. Preeclampsia, eclampsia was two,
15 primary vasculopathy was two, carotid dissection was
16 one, cortical vein thrombosis was one, post-hepatic
17 vasculitis was one, indeterminate cause was three.

18 Q. Okay. Did --

19 A. That's for the infarct, not the
20 hemorrhages.



3 Q. Right. Of the women that suffered a
4 postpartum stroke, did any of the women that you're
aware of experience or undergo surgical intervention
4 of any type in between the delivery of their child
1 and the date that they experienced the stroke?

A. I'm not aware of that.

Q. Can we agree that the events that
occurred in Patty Doll's situation between the date
of her c-section and her clinical course including
1 undergoing a laparoscopy and a laparotomy and then
1 the stroke is a fact pattern which is dissimilar to
1 the fact patterns described in the Kittner article?

1 A. Well, to the extent that every stroke is
1 a different fact pattern and whatever a fact pattern
1 is, I assume you mean by a number of facts to have
1 occurred to people, so each stroke is different.

1 Q. But, Doctor, to be specific, Patty Doll
1 was a sick patient when she came into the hospital
1 prior to her stroke in Saint Luke's Hospital,
2 correct?

2 A. Yes, I think she was ill, yes. That is



1 why she was admitted.

2 Q. And she had to -- she underwent surgery?

A. Yes, she did.

2 Q. They then converted the laparoscopy to a
3 laparotomy to remove the foreign body from her
4 abdomen, correct?

A. Yes.

5 Q. There were iatrogenically caused
6 cirrrosal tears to the bowel at the time of the
7 removal of the foreign body, correct?

1 A. In order to remove the foreign body,
2 yes.

1 Q. And she had 700 CCs of blood loss at the
2 time of the surgery, correct?

1 A. I think so, yes.

1 Q. How many patients in the Kittner study
2 had the same presentation that Patty Doll had that
3 suffered postpartum strokes?

1 A. I seriously doubt that any of them had
2 exactly that pattern but then many of them -- every
3 stroke is different. They have different patterns.



1 Q. How many of them had any patterns
2 remotely similar to Patty's in terms of having to
3 undergo surgery and having a 700 CC blood **loss**
4 within two-weeks after having had a c-section?

5 A. I'm not quite sure what you mean by
6 remotely.

7 Q. Well, that have any similarity?

8 A. Well, one of the patients with a
9 hemorrhage had to have a c-section.

10 Q. What but what was the cause of the
11 hemorrhage?

12 A. I've actually forgotten this.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. Right here **it** says that the cause of the
15 hemorrhage was indeterminate in the patient who had
16 a cesarean section.

17 Q. Okay. Doctor, in the article on page
18 773, left-hand column, second to last paragraph
19 starting with the extremely high relative risk of
20 stroke, you see that?

23 A. Yes.



1 Q. And I'm going to read into the record,
2 Extremely high relative risk of stroke during the
3 postpartum period suggests a causal role for the
4 large decrease in blood volume or the rapid changes
5 in hormonal status that follow a live birth or
6 stillbirth perhaps by means of hemodynamic,
7 coagulative, or vessel wall changes. What is meant
8 by hemodynamic, coagulative, or vessel wall changes?

9 A. Well, each has a different meaning.

10 Q. Okay.

11 A. But hemodynamic in this case would mean
12 things like blood pressure and the flow of blood so
13 that a major drop in blood pressure under certain
14 circumstances might be associated with an infarct.

15 Elevation of blood pressure as in
16 eclampsia, preeclampsia as talked about in the next
17 sentence and also elsewhere in the article may be
18 associated with stroke-like events and actual
19 strokes as well.

20 Coagulative means blood coagulation and
21 that is the clotting of blood. And vessel wall



changes here would be some changes that might occur with -- we would probably be thinking of changes that might occur with edema in the wall of the blood vessel perhaps with changes in the endothelial cells and so forth.

Q. The blood volume, the decrease in blood volume, is that referring to at the time of the delivery?

1 a. No, it goes on for some period of time
1 after the delivery. In order to supply the baby
1 with nutrients before birth, it's necessary for the
1 woman's body to have an extra large supply of blood
1 and it has to get blood through its half of the
1 placenta, and once the baby is born, it's not
1 necessary anymore and so there is a decrease over
1 time.

1 There sometimes is blood loss with the
1 delivery, but this is overall despite that without
1 any specific blood loss at the time of delivery
2 there is a decrease in blood volume.

2 Q. If there is a large blood loss, a bloody



3 delivery, does that increase the relative risk of
4 stroke during the postpartum period?

A. Well, you would have to tell me what you
4 mean by a large loss and under what circumstances.

Q. Well--

A. In someone who loses a pint or two of
6 blood who has or maintains their blood pressure and
1 has no particular other problems, no, probably not a
! risk factor.

1 Q. Did you read the description that Dr.
1 Gyves gave concerning the bloody field that existed
1 at the time of the cesarean section?

1 A. I believe so, yes.

1 Q. And would you believe that Patty would
1 be at a relatively higher risk of stroke during the
1 postpartum period because of the nature of that, the
1 bloody field in the cesarean over someone that had
1 had a normal delivery?

1 A. I don't think so.

2 Q. Okay. Patty had more coagulative and
2 vessel wall changes secondary to the fact that she



3 had to undergo a laparotomy -- laparoscopy and then
2 a laparotomy to remove the foreign body, would you
2 agree with that?

4 A. No, I wouldn't agree with that.

Q. Why?

6 A. Because I don't agree. I don't think
that is a true statement.

8 Q. Tell me why.

9 A. Because I don't think it is a true
10 statement.

11 Q. Well, when one has --

11 A. You put a combination of things
12 together. Why don't you ask me about each item.

13 Q. Well --

14 A. That would be much easier to answer.

15 Q. I will try to ask it, Doctor, so that
16 you can answer it but I thought it was answerable in
17 that fashion but I guess not.

18 When one undergoes surgery and loses 700
19 CCs of blood, is there a coagulative change that is
20 taking place?



1 A. Boy, not necessarily because of the
2 blood loss, no.

3 Q. What about vessel wall changes?

4 A. Vessel wall changes at the site of the
F surgery, certainly, but that's not what type of
6 vessel wall changes we were referring to in the
article.

1 Q. Okay. Did any of the women in your
! study have any type of inflammatory mass?

1 A. Not that I'm aware of.

1 Q. Did any of the women in your study have
1. increased white blood counts?

1 A. I'm not sure. Not that I'm aware of.

1 Q. What is your understanding as to the
1 presenting manifestations of Patty Doll's impending
1 stroke?

1 A. I'm not sure there were presenting
1 symptoms before the stroke of the impending stroke.

1 Q. Well, were there presenting
2 manifestations of Patty Doll's -- what were the
2 presenting manifestations when she had the stroke?



1 A. Well, I think she was not very
2 communicative and it was probably difficult for them
3 to tell in the recovery room and certainly difficult
4 to tell in retrospect exactly when the stroke
5 occurred, but during that day, she was not very
6 communicative and finally it was determined that she
7 was weak on one side and not speaking on a
8 **neurologic sense** not because **she** was -- I mean,
9 another possibility would be that she had gotten a
10 larger dose of sedatives and wasn't communicating
11 for that point, so I think the lack of communication
12 was probably the first manifestation in retrospect.

1 Q. The actual diagnosis of stroke wasn't
2 made until later that afternoon or early evening,
3 correct?

1 A. That is my understanding, yes.

1 Q. If the stroke had been diagnosed earlier
2 in the day, around 10:00 when she wasn't as
3 communicative and wasn't as responsive as she had
4 been before, would there have been any intervention
5 that could have been used to minimize the extent of



1 the damage caused by the stroke?

2 A. I think it's very unlikely.

3 Q. Why is that?

4 A. Because nowadays we use a TPA and that
5 wasn't available in 1994, but even today a person
6 who's just had an operation or delivery within two
7 weeks is not eligible for the use of the drug. And
8 other than that, we don't have treatments that we
9 know are effective.

10 a. So you're not critical of anyone in
11 terms of their response at Saint Luke's Hospital
12 then once she started to become confused and not as
13 responsive in terms of their efforts to arrive at a
14 diagnosis and to treat the condition?

15 A. You know, you're always critical in the
16 sense that, Gee, if we'd been there, maybe we could
17 have done more but I honestly don't know of what
18 could have been done if they had made the diagnosis
19 sooner.

20 And I would say that in a recovery room
21 situation, this sort of problem is a tough one



1 because of the possibilities that they've got a bit
2 more anesthesia or a little more sedative drug and
3 they are not responding because of that problem as
4 opposed to having had a new stroke so I'm not
5 distressed by that.

6 Q. Okay. Was Mrs. Doll in your opinion
7 dehydrated?

8 A. I don't know that. I noticed in one of
9 the depositions that it referred to evidence that
10 she was dehydrated. I think she mentioned a nurse's
11 note. I was unable to specifically find that
12 nurse's note myself describing anything about her
13 being dehydrated.

14 Q. There was a pre-anesthesia assessment
15 that describes her as appearing dehydrated.

16 A. I couldn't see that or it was distorted
17 on my page. I looked specifically for it and I
18 could not find it. If there was, then someone saw
19 something that made them think she was dehydrated.

20 Q. Of what significance if any would a
21 state of dehydration be?

A. If it was a pre-anesthesia note, then it would probably be important to run enough fluids to make sure she was not dehydrated.

On the other hand, it depends on just what they saw, a dry tongue or skin that looked slightly dehydrated is one thing and other evidence might be something else.

Q. Is it your opinion that Patty Doll did not have a patent foramen ova'le?

A. It's my opinion that none was found and since none was found, we can't say that it was present.

Q. Does the negative TEE rule it out?

A. It makes it very unlikely or if present is rather small and less likely to be a cause of a stroke.

Q. You've not been asked to provide any opinions concerning the standard of care provided by Dr. Gyves or Dr. Samudio at the time of her c-section, have you?

A. No.



1 Q. And I take it you're not going to be
2 testifying on issues of standard of care at the
3 trial of this case?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. Okay. Doctor, your hourly rate for
6 today's deposition is how much?

7 A. \$250 an hour.

8 Q. And what will you be charging when you
9 come to Cleveland to testify?

10 A. The same, but if I come there for a
11 whole day, I'll have to charge -- you know, if it
12 takes me a whole day because I only testify for 30
13 minutes or two hours, I'm going to charge for the
14 whole day.

15 Q. There are different types of strokes,
16 correct?

17 A. There certainly are.

18 Q. There is an ischemic stroke?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And a hemorrhagic stroke?

21 A. Yes.



1 Q. Are there other types?

2 A. Well, there is a hemorrhagic ischemic
3 stroke, so some of us tend to use the term ischemic
4 for all types that are caused by blockage of a blood
5 vessel and a hemorrhage, an intercerebral hemorrhage
6 or a subarachnoid hemorrhage for those which are
7 primarily due to blood. We just to try to separate
8 the two since they are confusing terms, and I
9 noticed one of the depositions got confused about
10 that.

11 Q. You describe in your report this as
12 being an ischemic stroke?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Was this embolic in origin in your
15 opinion?

16 A. In my opinion, I can't say.

17 Q. What are the various mechanisms that can
18 cause an ischemic stroke?

19 A. The commonest cause of ischemic strokes
20 as we have been able to judge in looking at large
21 numbers in sequence in our hospital and in the



1 stroke databank, the commonest cause that we could
2 identify was embolic and it's identified by finding
3 the source where the embolism came from.

4 Other causes would be atherosclerotic
5 which might be direct; that is, closure of a blood
6 vessel or by a clot forming on the surface of an
7 atherosclerotic plaque and going into the brain.

8 Another mechanism thought of as a
9 mechanism is the lacunar-type stroke because they
10 are so different although that doesn't tell you the
11 mechanism. It's just a small vessel stroke due to a
12 small vessel disease. About a third of patients,
13 about the same number that have embolic as a cause,
14 we cannot determine the cause even if we studied the
15 patient very thoroughly.

16 And there are about five percent overall
17 of patients with stroke such as in the postpartum
18 state or associated with drug use where there is a
15 more specific cause for it.

2c Q. In a patient that you believe -- strike
23 that. In an ischemic stroke, there are



3 circumstances where you believe that there -- that
4 the cause was an embolic event but you're just not
4 able to prove it to a certainty based upon the
4 testing that is performed: is that correct?

1 A. Where you have an internal belief, some
people do that, yes.

Q. You seem somewhat --

1 A. I wouldn't call that an embolic stroke.
If I just kind of thought it was an embolic -- in
1 other words, let me explain that because I think if
1 I saw someone who seemed to have had an embolic
1 stroke based on the type of stroke it was and I was
1 working the patient up, then I would look extra
1 hard. I would certainly try to get a
1 transesophageal echocardiogram because it's the best
1 way to look at the heart and some other things to
1 look for a source for emboli.

1 If you're asking me after all is said
1 and done whether all the evidence is in, if it looks
2 like an embolic stroke but you haven't found a
2 source for emboli, that's not an embolic stroke. I



mean, how can you call it an embolic stroke?

Q. Well, when I asked you before whether or not this was embolic in origin and your answer was I can't say --

A. I can't say that's an embolism, yes.

Q. Could you rule out an embolism as being a cause in this case?

A. You can never rule it out.

Q. And I guess that is my question.

1 A. You can never rule it out but you have
1 to -- to say something is an embolic stroke, you
1 have to have some evidence for it. I mean,
1 otherwise it's total speculation and you just sort
1 of say, Well, this one is due to emboli and this one
1 is due to something else and that is not a very good
1 way to decide what the cause of a stroke is.

1 Q. Was this stroke a hemorrhagic stroke in
1 your opinion?

1 A. That is, there was hemorrhage into the
2 infarct, yes.

2 Q. But was that the presenting -- was



hemorrhagic -- there was bleeding into the stroke but was that the primary cause of the stroke?

A. No. I think it was an ischemic stroke believed to be due to blockage of a blood vessel without seeing such and that there was hemorrhage into the ischemic infarct.

Q. And what caused the blockage of the blood vessel?

1 A. We don't know. It could have been
1 embolic material. It could have been a thrombus
a that occurred at the site.

1 Q. Why wasn't there any evidence either of
1 an embolus at the site -- embolus or a point of
1 origin that could be determined or why wasn't there
1 evidence of a thrombus at the site?

1 A. Well, by the time we usually work
1 patients up, and I would have to look and see when
1 the -- well, certainly by 1996 and the fact that
1 there wasn't any evidence there means that if it was
2 a clot, it was dissolved whether the clot occurred
2 there or somewhere else.



1 Q. So if this was an ischemic stroke with a
2 hemorrhage thereafter, the cause of the blockage of
3 this blood vessel could have been an embolus or a
4 thrombus notwithstanding the fact that we have no
5 proof of their being a thrombus and notwithstanding
6 the fact that we have no proof of a source for the
7 embolus?

8 A. Right, and that's based on evidence from
9 other patients where if you do work them up very
10 quickly, you can find evidence of blockage and that
11 is why -- and follow the patients and the blockage
12 will often disappear, so in a patient such as this
13 not worked up specifically to look for where the
14 blockage is because it wasn't important in what they
15 were going to do, we assume that there was a
16 blockage.

17 Q. Your testimony in this case based upon
18 your review is that she was not worked up at the
19 time to determine either?

20 A. I don't think the work-up was designed
21 to find the blockage within a matter of hours after

1 the stroke, primarily because there was nothing they
2 could do about it.

3 Q. So the fact that it wasn't done within
4 hours of the stroke and perhaps it was done days
5 after the stroke, that reduces the likelihood of
6 finding either the thrombus or the source of the
7 embolus?

8 A. Not the source of the embolus, usually,
9 but the thrombus -- or the embolus in its site where
10 it ended up: the blockage of the blood vessel.

11 Q. So as you read the deposition of Dr.
12 Lerner and you saw that they were not able to
13 determine what caused this ischemic stroke, that is
14 not surprising, is it?

15 A. Well, at least a third of the patients
16 that I've been working up, I'm not able to find --
17 about a third, I am not able to find a cause.

18 Q. Because there is no physical evidence at
19 the site when you get in to do your investigation?

20 A. And there is no source for emboli that's
21 reasonable and there is no evidence of



atherosclerosis and there is no evidence of all the other things that can cause a stroke.

Q. Now, do you believe that Patty Doll had small vessel disease?

A. Well, this small stroke that is anterior to the larger stroke that she had is typical of what you see with small vessel disease.

Q. So was she at increased risk of suffering a stroke because of having small vessel disease?

A. If she had small vessel disease, she probably has some blood vessel disease -- larger blood vessel disease as well.

Q. I'm asking because you're the one that referred to this particular stroke and I'm asking was she at increased risk?

A. Let me just try to clarify something. I raised the point that it could be simultaneous with the larger stroke or it could have predated. If it was simultaneous, then you try to think of a mechanism that could cause both strokes at the same



1 time and that is a very unusual event but you would
2 have to say, well, it doesn't indicate anything more
3 than the fact that there is strokes there.

4 If it predated it, then you would have
5 to say, well -- if you knew it predated it and I
6 don't know if it was predated, then you would have
7 to say, well, there is evidence of some vascular
8 disease here before the larger stroke occurred.

9 Q. Are you able to tell me the most likely
10 pathogenesis that led to Patty Doll's stroke?

11 A. And prove to you that that is the
12 pathogenesis?

13 Q. To a reasonable degree of probability.

14 A. Well, I think the investigation was
15 fairly complete and I don't see that they identified
16 a mechanism for the stroke. And that being the
17 case, I would have to say that it's clearly in the
18 postpartum time. We know that's a cause of strokes
19 and that's the major mechanism -- the major cause
20 that we can see.

21 It doesn't tell you the mechanism



because we don't know the mechanism. As we read the sentence in the paper, this is looking at the possibilities and not what we know about the postpartum period that leads to stroke and that's some of the speculation about it.

Q. So when I ask you again whether or not you can state to a probability as to the most likely pathogenesis, whether it be cellular or physiological events that led to Patty's stroke other than she was in the postpartum state, can you tell me what the most likely pathogenesis was?

A. No. Neither can anyone else.

Q. I'm not asking about anyone else.

A. I know but I stuck that in there.

Q. But that wasn't my question.

A. Well, I answered it anyway.

Q. But it wasn't my question, was it? Dr. Price cannot tell me what the most likely pathogenesis was that led to Patty's stroke.

a. And neither can anyone else.

Q. Doctor, would you please answer my



1 question?

2 MR. MOSCARINO: He can say it that way.
3 Why can't he say it that way? Obviously that's our
4 theory of the case that it's not -- go ahead and
5 answer.

6 BY MR. MISHKIND:

7 Q. Doctor, can you tell me -- this is my
8 question and let's see if you can answer it. Can
9 you tell me what the most likely pathogenesis was
10 that led to Patty Doll's stroke?

11 A. In terms of probable cause?

12 Q. Yes.

13 A. Neither I nor anyone else can.

14 Q. Can you, Doctor? I don't want to know
15 about anyone else.

16 A. Neither I nor anyone else can.

17 Q. You won't answer the question, will you?

18 MR. MOSCARINO: He has answered it.

19 MR. MISHKIND: Did I ask you --

20 THE WITNESS: That is my answer to the
21 question. I've answered it about six times. You



1 want me to go six more?

2 MR. MISHKIND: No, Doctor, I'd like you
3 to answer it once and only once the way it's
4 directed to you.

5 THE WITNESS: I did answer it.

6 BY MR. MISHKIND:

7 Q. Could you, Dr. Price -- I don't want to
8 know about anybody else, but can you -- can you
9 answer this question without referring to other
10 people? Can you tell me what the most likely
11 pathogenesis was that led to Patty Doll's stroke,
12 yes or no?

13 A. I can tell you what I think is the most
14 likely pathogenesis because that is what you just
15 asked me.

16 Q. The most likely pathogenesis that led to
17 Patty Doll's stroke, that's what I've been asking
18 you.

19 A. No, you put a condition on it as a
20 probable cause. You've asked me with probable cause
21 and you asked me without and I just answered the one



1 without.

4 Q. I'm asking to probability, can you tell
2 me yes or no?

4 MR. MOSCARINO: I think this has been
5 asked and answered.

6 THE WITNESS: It's been asked and
answered and you've not asked me a question.

8 MR. MISHKIND: Doctor, are you going to
! answer the question?

10 THE WITNESS: I have answered the
11 question repeatedly.

12 MR. MISHKIND: But you've chosen to add
1 in the qualifier.

13 THE WITNESS: That is my answer.

14 MR. MISHKIND: Okay. Doctor, that is
1 fine.

1 BY MR. MISHKIND:

1 Q. Is a patient at increased risk of stroke
1 following major abdominal surgery?

2 A. Depends on what happens during the
2 surgery.



Q. Major blood loss?

A. You mean any major abdominal surgery?

Q. A major abdominal surgery involving open laparotomy where there is 700 CC blood loss, is that patient at increased risk of stroke?

A. I don't think we know that.

Q. Would you agree that Patty Doll was at increased risk of suffering a stroke by virtue of having had the decreased hematocrit and hemoglobin prior to having her laparoscopy and the laparotomy then having had the laparoscopy, the laparotomy, the 700 CC blood loss, was she at increased risk over someone that did not undergo that kind of surgical intervention and did not have that kind of blood loss postpartum?

A. I don't think so.

Q. Okay. Why not?

A. Because I don't think so.

Q. Okay.

A. What else can I say?

Q. If that's going to be the explanation



1 that you give, Because I don't think so --

2 A. You asked me, Did I think so, and I
3 don't think so.

4 Q. But I would like to know perhaps from a
5 scientific basis why you don't feel that decreased
6 or volume depletion of 700 CCs after undergoing
7 major surgery to remove a foreign body, why that
8 would not increase the risk to a patient of
9 suffering a stroke after the surgery or
10 perioperatively, if you will, and if your answer is
11 that you don't feel that she is at increased risk
12 over other patients in the postpartum period I will
13 accept that and I believe that is your answer,
14 correct?

15 A. Yes, specifically related to the blood
16 **loss** I think as you --

17 Q. Well, the blood loss and having
18 undergone major abdominal surgery to remove a
19 foreign body. Is it your testimony -- are you going
20 to tell the jury that this patient was not at
21 increased risk of suffering a stroke by virtue of



1 having to undergo those two surgeries and having 700
4 ccs of blood loss two weeks following her delivery
2 of her baby?

4 A. The two surgeries were --

6 Q. The laparoscopy that was then converted
8 to a laparotomy with an expansion of the incision,
! the removal of the foreign body, the cirrrosal tear
10 during the removal of the foreign body, was this
11 patient at increased risk for stroke in the
12 perioperative or immediate postoperative period over
13 a patient who is just in the postpartum period that
14 has a normal delivery?

1 A. Not that I know of.

1 Q. In the writings that you've done,
1 Doctor, do you ever talk about surgery as being a
1 risk factor for stroke?

1 A. I don't recall that I have.

1 Q. Is surgery -- is stroke a potential side
1 effect of undergoing surgical intervention?

2 A. It certainly is for certain types of
2 surgery.



1 Q. What about major abdominal surgery?

2 A. Not as far as I'm aware and except under
3 certain circumstances.

4 Q. What circumstances?

5 A. For instance, in someone who has a
6 patent foramen ova'le in which a venous clot can go
7 up through the right heart and transfer to the left
8 **heart and cause an embolic stroke, a condition that**
9 I think is not going on in Ms. Doll.

10 Q. You would agree that according to the
11 hospital records when Patty presented to Saint
12 Luke's, she had umbilical abdominal pain?

13 A. She had abdominal pain, yes.

14 Q. And she was at least according to one
15 entry had the appearance of being dehydrated?

16 A. As you told me.

17 Q. Okay. And she also had an elevated
18 white blood count on presentation?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Presentation to the emergency room,
21 correct?



1 A. Yes.

2 Q. She had a diagnosis of small bowel
3 obstruction, correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And she also was nauseated and there was
6 a history of her having a recent bout of vomiting,
7 correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And that small bowel obstruction, no
10 question in your mind from your review was caused by
11 the foreign body that was left inside her at the
12 conclusion of the c-section, correct?

13 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.

14 Q. And certainly you wouldn't quarrel with
15 me when I say that Patty Doll, in all likelihood,
16 would not have needed to have a laparoscopy and a
17 laparotomy had she not had a foreign body not left
18 inside her at the conclusion of her c-section?

19 A. I would agree with that.

20 Q. Was there an inflammatory response
21 caused in Patty Doll's body by the foreign body?



A. Apparently there was, yes.

Q. And can an inflammatory -- can the foreign body that leads to an inflammatory response increase a patient's hypercoagulability?

A. In the abdomen.

Q. Can it cause a state of -- a state of increased hypercoagulability?

A. I don't think it can. I don't think that is known.

1 Q. So you would then disagree with Dr.
1 Millikan and Dr. Lerner and Dr. Marguiles who are
1 all of the opinion that the inflammatory response
1 caused by the foreign body caused an increased state
1 of hypercoagulability in Patty Doll?

1 A. It depends on which time you're talking
1 about. Dr. Lerner's statement about the cause was
1 because he also said that he didn't know what the
1 cause was.

1 Q. You read in his deposition, did you not,
2 that he stated that the inflammatory response caused
2 by the foreign body caused an increased state of



1 hypercoagulability?

2 A. I think that is pure speculation.

3 Q. So you would disagree with him, correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And would you disagree with Dr. Millikan
6 who also holds that opinion?

7 A. Well, both he and Dr. Marguiles were
8 thinking maybe there was some infection and
9 infection is associated with an increased risk of
10 stroke.

11 Q. Okay. And do you feel that Patty had an
12 infection?

13 A. No. The infectious disease experts and
14 Dr. Lerner himself stated that there was no
15 infection.

16 Q. She had a positive blood culture,
17 correct?

18 A. She had one positive blood culture, yes.

19 Q. And what was that culture?

20 A. I think it was strep viridans as I
21 recall.



1 Q. Did you also note that she had more than
2 one reported elevation of her WBC as well?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Dr. Price, do you believe that Patty
5 Doll was septic?

6 A. No.

7 Q. What is DIC?

8 A. Disseminated intravascular coagulation.

9 Q. And you've seen in reviewing the case
10 that several of the experts have opined that she had
11 a variant of DIC?

12 A. Sort of I think was the words I
13 remember.

14 Q. Okay. Do you disagree with the opinion
15 that she had DIC?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Tell me the basis that you would rule
18 out DIC?

19 A. Well, the basis that they used for --
20 you just don't imagine that DIC occurs. You must
21 have evidence for its presence.



1 Q. Okay.

2 A. One thing would be evidence of multiple
3 areas of blood vessel occlusion in multiple areas of
4 the body. She doesn't have that. Another would be
5 changes in the blood components that would -- could
6 not be due to something else and would, therefore,
7 be due to change in coagulation properties of the
8 blood.

9 They cited an elevated D-dimer. D-dimer
10 is the measurement of the components of a clot after
11 the clot has been broken down. It doesn't tell you
12 that the blood is hypercoagulable. It tells you
13 that you've had some clots that are broken down.

14 Now, she had just undergone surgery and
15 two weeks before that delivered by cesarean section.
16 I don't think it takes very much imagination to
17 realize that she had multiple clots in small blood
18 vessels as a result of both of those and that's why
19 clots were breaking down.

20 Q. She had multiple clots as a consequence
21 of having undergone the surgery to remove the



foreign body?

A. And also for the cesarean section.

Q. Right, but most close in time to the stroke which would be a cause of clot formation would be the surgery --

A. The clot formation at the sites of the surgery and the repairs to the bowel where there was some cirrhusal damage.

a. Okay. There is some reference to a pelvic thrombosis and studies were done to determine whether or not there was pelvic thrombosis?

A. Yes.

Q. And what is your understanding of the significance first to pelvis thrombosis and what the study showed?

a. Well, again, as a cause of stroke, if you have pelvic thrombi and a patent foramen ova'le, that is a hole in between the heart that allows a clot to come up on venous side and transfer from the right side of the heart to the left side of the heart which would be abnormal or unusual without a



1 patent foramen ova'le and then go up to the brain
2 and cause a stroke, then pelvic clots would be an
3 important finding until you -- in terms of a cause
4 of stroke.

5 I think the person who interpreted is a
6 vascular surgeon and came to the conclusion that
7 there were no pelvic clots, and I'm not an expert on
8 the presence or absence of pelvic clots. I take
9 that as it's written.

10 Q. Have you cared for women in the
11 postpartum period that have suffered strokes?

12 A. Absolutely.

13 Q. How many?

14 A. Probably less than 20, less than 15. I
15 can think of five right off the top of my head.

16 Q. How many of them were ischemic strokes?

17 A. Most of them were ischemic strokes. I'm
18 likely to be referred ischemic strokes and not -- I
19 was likely to be referred ischemic strokes and not
20 hemorrhages.

21 Q. I'm sorry?



1 A. I was likely to be referred ischemic
2 strokes instead of hemorrhages.

3 Q. Why is that?

4 A. Because hemorrhages in this hospital are
5 often seen by the neurosurgeon and covered by them.

6 Q. When Dr. Millikan testifies that he
7 believes that she had an embolic event that and the
8 embolus lodged in the middle cerebral artery and he
9 testifies that to a probability that that's what
10 occurred causing her ischemic stroke, I take it your
11 response is you disagree with Dr. Millikan on a
12 probability basis?

13 A. I disagree and I think he has no
14 evidence to make that statement. I mean, people can
15 express an opinion as to anything.

16 Q. Well, certainly neurologists in
17 evaluating the cause of a stroke in a clinical
18 setting can disagree as to the cause of the stroke,
19 correct?

20 A. That is true. It's amazing when all the
21 facts are known, how much agreement there is. I'm



1 regularly involved in this exercise and it's not
2 difficult to come to an agreement.

3 Q. So what you're saying is that all --
4 after all the facts are known, if neurologists are
5 looking at the same set of facts, they are all going
6 to come to the same agreement?

7 A. Well, I cited three projects that I'm
8 currently involved in. I could include the one that
9 led to the Kittner project and I think under the
10 circumstances, the only cause identified would be
11 the postpartum state. That would be -- and that
12 would be agreed upon by most people.

13 Q. Doctor, in the situations, the 15 or
14 less that you've had in postpartum, were you able to
15 determine a cause or mechanism for any of those
16 strokes?

17 A. Well, the last one I saw was a woman
18 with venous infarction which was also bloody. Some
19 yes and some no. Just as in the paper, at least as
20 many patients who are pregnant or in the postpartum
21 state were unable to state any other cause or



1 mechanism at least as often and probably more often
2 than in patients who are not pregnant.

3 Q. Doctor, are you going to testify at
4 trial before the jury in Cuyahoga County that the
5 stroke that Patty Doll suffered was in no way
6 related to the retained surgical tape or pad that
7 was left in at the time of the c-section?

8 A. It would be hard to say absolutely no
9 way. I think it's a possibility.

10 Q. Are you going to tell the jury that the
11 stroke that she suffered was not causally related to
12 the fact that she had a foreign body that was left
13 in her that caused an inflammatory process that led
14 to small bowel obstruction that led to laparoscopic
15 surgery followed by laparotomy to remove the sponge
16 and a 700 CC blood loss and then the stroke occurred
17 some 12 to 18 hours after that, are you going to say
18 that there is no causal relationship between the
19 events that were caused by the foreign body and the
20 subsequent stroke?

21 MR. MOSCARINO: Objection to the form of



1 the question. Go ahead.

2 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of.

3 BY MR. MISHKIND:

4 Q. So it's just purely coincidental that
5 she happened to suffer the stroke in light of all of
6 these things that went on from November 2nd when the
7 foreign body was left in her and then the abdominal
8 pain that she had, the bowel obstruction that
9 occurred, the surgeries that were necessitated and
10 the blood loss that occurred, all of those things
11 are just coincidental as it relates to the causation
12 of her stroke in this case?

13 A. I wouldn't say that.

14 Q. Okay. Tell me why I'm wrong.

15 A. Well, one of the surgeries was thought
16 to be necessary based on the placenta previa, that
17 is the cesarean section and she was -- had been
18 pregnant when she was in the postpartum state so, of
19 course, as I believe, that's related to the cause of
20 her stroke.

21 Q. But what I'm saying to you, Doctor, is



1 you're going to tell the jury that had the cesarean
2 section been done without a foreign body left in and
3 with all the sequela that occurred as a direct
4 result of the foreign body being left in, that more
5 likely than not, she would have suffered the stroke
6 anyway?

7 A. I think it's very likely that she would
8 have.

9 Q. And you're going to state that to a
10 reasonable degree of probability?

11 A. I think so.

12 Q. Was this an arterial stroke or a venal
13 stroke?

14 A. It appears to be arterial. It could be
15 venous.

16 Q. Aren't most postpartum strokes or ones
17 that are described as postpartum strokes, aren't
18 they venous in nature?

19 A. No.

20 Q. They are arterial in nature?

21 A. Yes.



1 Q. Do most postpartum strokes involve the
2 middle cerebral artery?

3 A. Well, most strokes in general -- most
4 ischemic strokes involve the middle cerebral artery,
5 so that's true also for postpartum as best I can
6 remember.

7 Q. And are you going to tell the jury that
8 Patty Doll was not at increased risk of suffering a
9 stroke during the postpartum period over what you
10 believe already to exist by virtue of just being in
11 the postpartum period by virtue of having had a
12 foreign body left in her and all of the sequela that
13 occurred between the date of the c-section and when
14 she had the stroke?

15 A. I don't know that she was at increased
16 risk above the postpartum state.

17 Q. Well, are you going to tell the jury
18 that your opinion is that she wasn't?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. Are you aware of situations where
21 a foreign body that has been left in someone has set



1 off a pathogenic process leading to a stroke?

2 A. I certainly am.

3 Q. What are those situations?

4 A. Well, the one was mentioned in one of
5 the depositions, perhaps Dr. Marguiles, of a bullet.
6 We are certainly aware of those particularly when
7 they enter the arterial system and can move around
8 and cause a stroke. Also valves put into hearts
9 which are non-human valves, they're pig valves or
10 plastic valves with balls are major sources of
11 emboli for a stroke.

12 Q. Can you have an increased state of
13 hypercoagulability caused by an inflammatory process
14 that can cause a state of hypercoagulability within
15 the arterial process in the brain?

16 A. Could you restate the question? I
17 thought you were heading one way.

18 Q. Could you have an increased state of
19 hypercoagulability -- actually, why don't you read
20 the question back. I'm not sure I can phrase it as
21 articulate as I did before.



a (The reporter read back the referred-to
2 portion of the record.)

3 THE WITNESS: Okay. I understand your
4 question. Under certain circumstances.

5 BY MR. MISHKIND:

6 Q. Can hypercoagulability cause a patient
to suffer a stroke?

8 A. Definitely.

9 Q. And can you have hypercoagulability that
10 leads to a stroke without having an embolic process
11 take place?

12 A. We think we can. I'd have to say -- I'd
13 have to qualify that answer without identifying an
14 embolic process took place that is some evidence for
15 it as opposed to a vague opinion that it might be
16 embolic.

17 Q. But from a scientific standpoint, one
18 can have an increased state of hypercoagulability
19 that leads to a stroke -- leads to an ischemic
20 stroke?

21 A. Yes.



Q. And that state of hypercoagulability that leads to the ischemic stroke can occur without evidence of an embolus?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And that certainly is a reasonable cause and effect scenario, hypercoagulability and a subsequent stroke without any evidence of an embolus?

A. Under certain circumstances, yes.

10 Q. Okay. And we can certainly agree that
12 an inflammatory mass such as a foreign body can
13 cause a state of hypercoagulability?

13 A. No, we cannot.

14 Q. Why not?

15 A. Because I don't believe that there is
16 evidence that it does.

17 Q. So that the -- all the experts that have
18 testified that an inflammatory mass can cause a
19 state of hypercoagulability, experts being Dr.
20 Lerner, Dr. Marguiles and Dr. Millikan, you disagree
21 with them?



1 A. Yes. They are not experts on
2 coagulability, number one, and number two, yes, I do
3 disagree with them and I disagree with Dr. Lerner's
4 final testimony but not his earlier testimony where
5 he said he didn't know the cause.

6 Q. Well, are you an expert in
7 coagulability?

8 A. No, I'm not.

9 Q. What qualifies one to become an expert
10 in coagulability?

11 A. Some hematologists are experts in
12 coagulability.

13 Q. Isn't a patient that is in the
14 postpartum state in an increased state of
15 hypercoagulability?

16 A. We assume that some of them are and
17 that's part of the explanation of what might be the
18 cause of a stroke. It's a speculation.

19 Q. Okay. And that's the speculation that
20 is contained in the Kittner article?

23 A. Yes.



Q. But certainly -- well, never mind. In addition to the positive D-dimer, she also had an elevated fibrinogen level, did she not?

A. The first one was normal and the second was about ten percent elevated.

Q. Do you have an opinion as to the cause of elevated fibrinogen level?

A. There are multiple causes. Some people think that it's elevated after some strokes and she was post-stroke when that was measured.

Q. It can also be consistent with an acute inflammatory state, correct?

A. An elevated fibrinogen level might be but it was distant from the stroke.

Q. Patty needed blood transfusions, didn't she?

A. I think she had some, yes.

Q. And her anemia, was that post-cesarean section or was the anemia secondary to the surgical intervention to remove the foreign body?

A. You will have to tell me what you mean



1 by anemia.

2 Q. Do you feel that she was anemic?

3 A. I think her hematocrit was 30 following
4 the c-section which would be anemic by some
5 standards. However, as we've already pointed out,
6 there is a change in the blood volume -- a decrease
7 in blood volume which allows concentration of the
8 remaining blood in the postpartum state and so I
9 don't think that was necessarily considered to be
10 anemic in the sense of requiring treatment.

11 Q. Was she anemic following the surgery to
12 remove the foreign body?

13 A. I think her blood hematocrit was in the
14 20's.

15 Q. And she needed blood transfusions at
16 that time?

17 A. I think so, yes.

18 Q. Can her hematocrit at that level cause
19 hypercoagulability?

20 A. Probably the opposite. Low hematocrit
21 tends to lead to a low viscosity of blood, viscosity



1 being the measurement of how thick it is; like syrup
2 is thicker than water and the less cellular elements
there are in the blood, which is what the hematocrit
measures, the less viscous the blood would be and
thus would be one way -- one component of
coagulability; that is, highly viscous blood is very
coagulable and low viscous blood is less coagulable.

Q. Dr. Millikan described some narrowing in
the carotid artery. Did you see that?

10 a. I saw an artifact on the test that he
11 should have recognized as an artifact and it was not
12 read by the radiologist as a --

13 Q. My question to you was: Did you see the
14 narrowing that Dr. Millikan referred to and your
15 testimony --

16 a. Of course I have no way of knowing if
17 what I saw is exactly what he was referring to.

18 Q. But what you saw you interpreted was an
19 artifact, correct?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Okay. So when Dr. Millikan testifies



1 that it's unlikely that Patty Doll would have
2 suffered this terrible event, meaning the stroke, if
3 the surgical tape had been removed at the time of
4 her c-section and she had been spared all of the
5 sequela to her body caused by the reaction to the
6 sponge, you're going to testify that you disagree
with that opinion, correct?

8 A. I think so, yes.

9 Q. You're certainly not ruling out the
10 sequela caused by the inflammatory mass as being a
11 factor that contributed to the stroke, are you?

12 A. Perhaps you can tell me what sequela
13 you're referring to.

14 Q. The sponge or the tape being left in,
15 the abdominal symptoms, abdominal pain, the
16 vomiting, the nausea, the need for the surgeries,
17 the 700 CC blood loss, that is the sequela that I'm
18 talking about and my statement to you is: You're
19 not ruling that out as being a contributing factor.

20 A. It certainly could be.

21 Q. Okay. But you feel that the most likely



1 cause is just being in the postpartum state?

2 A. Well, I think it's the cause for which
3 we have the most evidence.

4 Q. And in Patty Doll's case, what is it
5 specifically about her postpartum state that puts
6 aside and doesn't -- strike that.

7 What is it about Patty Doll's situation
8 that causes you to conclude that her stroke was a
9 postpartum stroke as opposed to being caused by her
10 body's reaction to this inflammatory mass?

11 A. Because I don't believe that it's been
12 shown that the body's reaction to an inflammatory
13 mass is a cause of strokes in this location.

14 Q. But yet you're not able to tell me what
15 the -- other than this most likely being an ischemic
16 stroke, you're not able to tell me what caused this
17 ischemic stroke?

18 A. Other than the postpartum state.

19 Q. Okay. And when one refers to the
20 postpartum state, what is it exactly about the
21 postpartum state that causes that label to be placed



1 on being a cause of her stroke?

2 A. Well, they're labeled postpartum because
they are within, based on our evidence and the usual
4 way, they are within six weeks of delivering a baby.
6 That is the definition of the postpartum state.

Q. And it's based upon the study that we've
talked about -- the Kittner study -- is what you are
relying on to opine that her stroke was a postpartum
stroke, correct?

1 A. No. I think it was generally thought
1 for good reason that the postpartum state or
1 pregnancy in general was associated with strokes
1: more than women at the same age and there is other
1: evidence that that is true and this is just the best
1: evidence because it's more recent and it's more
1: complete than previous evidence put together.

1 Q. If the sponge or tape or pad had been
1 removed -- strike that. If the surgical foreign
1 body had been removed earlier than November 15,
2 1994, do you have an opinion whether that would have
2 reduced the likelihood of Patty Doll suffering a



1 stroke?

2 A. Well, it couldn't be, as far as I'm
3 aware, removed before November, 1994, because as far
4 as we know it was left there at the time of the --

5 Q. November 15 of 1994.

6 A. Could you ask the question again?

7 Q. If the foreign body had been removed
8 earlier than November 15, 1994, which presupposes
9 that someone had recognized that it was there after
10 the c-section was concluded but before it was
11 discovered on November 15 of 1994, do you have an
12 opinion as to whether the likelihood of Patty Doll
13 suffering a stroke would have been lessened?

14 A. Probably not.

15 Q. Why?

16 A. Because I'm not -- I don't think there
17 is good evidence that a foreign body causing
18 inflammation in the lower abdomen is a cause of
19 stroke.

20 Q. And you don't believe that the foreign
21 body that caused inflammation had any effect on the



3 vascularity within the middle cerebral artery?

4 A. Could you restate the question? I'm
5 sorry -- this vascularity, could you use another
6 word?

7 Q. Sure. Is it your testimony that the
8 inflammatory reaction of her body to this mass did
9 not in any way affect the blood flow within her
10 middle cerebral artery?

11 A. I believe it did not.

12 Q. And it didn't, in your opinion,
13 contribute to the ischemia that caused the stroke in
14 this case?

15 A. I don't think there is any evidence of
16 that.

17 Q. Okay. Have we covered the opinions that
18 you hold in this case, Doctor?

19 A. I think so. Repeatedly, yes.

20 MR. MISHKIND: All right. I have no
21 further questions for you.

22 MR. MOSCARINO: Ron, do you have any
23 questions?



3 MR. RISPO: Afraid not. I hope you
4 weren't waiting with bated breath.

MR. MOSCARINO: No, I was actually happy
4 to hear that. Okay. Thanks, Ron.

MR. RISPO: Thank you.

MR. MOSCARINO: Why don't you have the
6 record reflect that we're not going to waive
8 signature. We will read the deposition.

(The deposition concluded at 3:15 p.m.)



1 CERTIFICATE FOR READING AND SIGNING

2
3 I hereby certify that I have read and
4 examined the within transcript and the same is a
5 true and accurate record of the testimony given
6 by me.

7 Any additions or corrections that I feel
8 are necessary I have listed on the separate ERRATA
9 SHEET enclosed, indicating the page and line number
10 of each correction.
11
12

13 _____
14 THOMAS R. PRICE, M.D.
15

16 _____
17 DATE
18
19
20
21
22



1 STATE OF MARYLAND


2 CITY OF BALTIMORE SS:

3
4 I, MARK E. BROWN, a Notary Public of the
5 State of Maryland, do hereby certify that the within
6 named Deponent personally appeared before me at the
7 time and place herein set out, and after having been
8 duly sworn by me, was interrogated by counsel.

9 I further certify that the examination was
10 recorded stenographically by me and that this transcript
11 is a true record of the proceedings.

12 I further certify that I am not of counsel to
13 any of the parties, nor an employee of counsel, nor
14 related to any of the parties, nor in any way interested
15 in the outcome of this action.

16 As witness my hand and notarial seal this
17 19th day of May, 1999.

18
19
20 
21
22



CURRICULUM VITAE

THOMAS R. PRICE, M.D.

Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine
University of Maryland School of Medicine
Baltimore, MD 21201
Phone: (410) 706-4125
Fax: (410)-706-3808
e-mail: tprice@epi.umaryland.edu

PERSONAL

Home Address	Date of Birth:	July 31, 1934
7841 Ellenham Road	Place of Birth:	Hampton, Virginia
Baltimore, MD 21204	Marital Status:	Married, Nancy Two Children

EDUCATION

B.A. (Psychology)	University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia	1956
M.D.	University of Virginia, School of Medicine Charlottesville, Virginia	1960
Graduate Summer Session in Epidemiology University of Minnesota		1968

LICENSURE

Maryland, 1967	Virginia, 1960
----------------	----------------

CERTIFICATION

American Board of Neurology and Psychiatry (Neurology 1970)

TRAINING

Intern, Cincinnati General Hospital Cincinnati, Ohio	1960-1961
Captain, United States Air Force, Medical Corps General Physician 317th TAC Hospital Evreux, France	1961-1963
Assistant Resident in Neurology University of Virginia Medical Center	1963-1964
Fellow in Neurology University of Virginia Medical Center	1964-1965
Fellow in Neuropathology University of Virginia Medical Center	1964-1965
Chief Resident in Neurology University of Virginia Medical Center	1965-1966

Price DEPOSITION	
EXHIBIT NUMBER	1
DATE	5/5/99
REPORTER	WS
ART MILLER & ASSOCIATES	

APPOINTMENTS

Research Professor of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine	1998
Professor of Neurology	
University of Maryland School of Medicine	1978-1998
Professor of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine	
University of Maryland School of Medicine	1990-1998
Associate Professor of Neurology	
University of Maryland School of Medicine	1972-1978
Assistant Professor of Neurology	
University of Maryland School of Medicine	1968-1972
Instructor in Neurology	
University of Maryland School of Medicine	1967-1968
Instructor in Neurology	
University of Virginia School of Medicine	1966-1967

PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY MEMBERSHIPS AND OFFICES

National Stroke Association, member of the Board of Directors, 1992-present
 Chairman of the Research Fellowship Committee, 1992-present
 Member Research Fellowship Committee, 1991-1992
 Member, Editorial Board, Stroke and Cerebrovascular Disease, 1992-present

American Academy of Neurology (Fellow)
 Bylaws Committee
 Member 1977 to 1985;
 Chairman 1978 to 1979; 1983 to 1985
 Member Press Relations Committee, 1979 to 1985
 Secretary Section on Neuroepidemiology, 1985 to 1987
 Chairman Section on Neuroepidemiology, 1987 to 1989
 Member Board of Directors of Vascular Neurology Section, 1994 to 1998

American Heart Association
 Chairman of Stroke Subcommittee, 1986-1987
 Stroke Council of the American Heart Association (Fellow)
 Member, Editorial Board, Stroke, 1985 to present
 Executive Committee member, 1980 to 1988
 Committee on Student Clerkships
 Chairman, 1982-1988
 Member, Budget Committee, 1987
 Maryland Chapter - Member of Stroke Sub-committee

Cerebral Cavernous Malformation Foundation
 Board of Directors, Member 1990 to 1997
 Treasurer, 1993 to present

American Neurological Association (Fellow)

American Medical Association

Maryland Neurological Society
President, 1979-1980

Baltimore City Medical Society

Medical and Chirurgical Society of Maryland

World Federation of Neurology
Member Research Committee on Neuroepidemiology

International Society of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism

ACADEMIC ACTIVITIES

Visiting Professor:

Washington Hospital Center, March 1974
University of Virginia School of Medicine, March 1976
National Naval Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland, December 22, 1978
Education Consultant Site Visitor, University of Virginia, Department of Neurology,
April 19-21, 1979
University of Cincinnati School of Medicine, April 16-17, 1981
University of Georgia School of Medicine, Augusta, Georgia,
January 28-29, 1982
University of Virginia School of Medicine, Charlottesville, Virginia, June 7-9, 1984
Uniformed Services Health Sciences University, Bethesda, Maryland,
July 13, 1987

Other:

American Heart Association Stroke Council, Representative to the
National Conference on Standards and Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation
and Emergency Cardiac Care, Dallas, TX, July 1987
Invited Speaker, American Heart Association's Fifteenth Science
Writers Forum, New Orleans, LA, January 17-20, 1988
Sabbatical Leave at Rivermead Hospital, Green College, Oxford
University, Oxford, England January - June, 1989
Chairman, Section on Hemorrhagic Stroke, Conference on Low Blood
Cholesterol Levels: Disease Associations, NHLBI, October 9-10, 1990
Invited Speaker, International Symposium on Stroke Prevention, New York
University Medical Center, March 14-15, 1991
American Heart Association, Invited Speaker, American Heart Association symposium on
thrombolysis and stroke, New Orleans, LA, November 17-19, 1992
National Institute of Health, Invited Speaker, NIH Workshop on Mortality Trends in
Stroke, Washington, D.C., November 30 - December 1, 1992
National Institute of Health, Invited Speaker, NIH Conference on Vascular Dementia,
Washington, D.C., June 1993
National Institute of Health, Invited Speaker, NIH Workshop on Stroke Mortality in
the Southeast U.S., August 30, 1994

APPOINTED COMMITTEE RESPONSIBILITIES

American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, certified 1970; Assistant Examiner 1974 - 1998, - 20 Examination Certificate 1998
Member of Psychiatry Question-Making Committee, 1986 - 1990

Member, Editorial Board Stroke: 1985-present

Member, Editorial Board Maryland Medical Journal

Member, Editorial Board Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases: 1992-present

Occasional reviewer:

Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease
American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis
Archives of Neurology
Annals of Neurology
Journal of American Medical Association

Member, Safety/Monitoring Committee Tissue Plasminogen Activator Study
NINCDS 1987-1990

Member, Stroke Nomenclature and Classification Committee NINCDS 1987-1989

Member, Monitoring Committee for North American Symptomatic Carotid
Endarterectomy Trial 1988 - 1993

Neurology Consultant for Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction Trial
(TIMI) NHLBI 1988

Neurology Consultant - Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program NHLBI
Chairman of the Cerebrovascular Event Committee
1984-1990

Member, Epidemiology Study Section B, NIH, 1988-1992

Member, Advisory Committee to Stroke and Trauma Section, NINDS-NIH,
1985-1989

Neurology Consultant - Cardiovascular Health Study, NHLBI
Chairman of the Cerebrovascular Events Committee
1989-present

Endpoint Committee - CONVINCCE 1996 - present

Awards

Student Council Teaching Award, University of Maryland School of
Medicine for "Inspirational Guidance and Interest in the Teaching and
Practice of Medicine", June 1979. A second similar award from the
Student Council, June 1981

Listed in "Best Doctors in the U.S.", by Stephen Naifeh and Gregory White

Listed in Town and Country Magazine Feb, 1995 "The Best Medical Specialists in North America"

Listed in Baltimore Maaazine Summer, 1995 "The Best Doctors in Baltimore"
Listed in Good Housekeeping Maaazine, March, 1996 "The Best Heart Doctors in America"

UNIVERSITY SERVICES

Secretary-Treasurer Medical Board University of Maryland Hospital	1972-1973
Neurology Representative to Medical Board	1972-1979
Member, Bressler, Pangborn and Deans Fund Committee	1971-1980
Acting Chairman, Bressler, Pangborn and Deans Fund Committee	1979
Clinical Years Committee	1975-1976
Student Advisor	1973-present
Internship Advisory Committee	1969-1973
Ambulatory Services Committee	1971-1973
House Staff Committee	1970-1973
Member Neuroscience I Committee	1976, 1981, 1982
Year III Advancement Committee	1975-1976
Clinical Years Curriculum Committee	1985 to present
Accreditation Sub-committee on Clinical Departments	1977
Departmental Representative to the School of Medicine Council	1978-1981
Continuing Medical Education Advisory Committee	1980-1990
Member, Search Committee for Chairman, Dept. of Biochemistry	1982
Member, Search Committee for Chairman, Dept. of Psychiatry	1983-1985
Director of Stroke Research and Stroke Service	1978 - 1998
President, Neurology Associates	1979-1986
At Large Member, Univ. of Maryland Hospital Executive Committee	1987-1988
Member, Peer Review Committee	1985-1988

OTHER SERVICE

Maryland Heart Association Stroke Council	1975-1976; 1979-1983
Computerized Axial Tomography Task Force of the Central Maryland Health Systems Agency, Inc.	1976-1977
Medical Advisory Board, Motor Vehicle Administration State of Maryland	1975-1989
Advisory Group of Mandatory Reporting of Certain Medical Conditions to the Motor Vehicle Administration	1974
Medical and Chirurgical Society Committee on Hospital Based Physicians	1977-1980
Governor's Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease	1984-1986
Maryland Coordinating Council on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders	1986-1988

PUBLICATIONS

- Price TR and Netsky MG: Myxedema and ataxia: Cerebellar alterations and neural myxedema bodies. Neurology 1966;16:957.
- Price TR: Neurological manifestations of heart disease. Maryland State Medical Journal 1968;17:103-104.
- Heck AF and Price TR: Opacity pulse propagation measurements in humans: Atraumatic screening for carotid arterial occlusion. Stroke 1970;1:411-418.

4. Heck AF, Price TR: Opacity pulse propagation as a screening technique in carotid occlusion. Transactions of the American Neurological Association 1970;95:41-46.
5. Heck AF and Price TR: Atraumatic detection of occlusive vascular disease in carotid and subclavian arteries by opacity pulse propagation techniques. Angiology 1971;22:153-164.
6. Bauman ML and Price TR: Intracranial metastatic malignant melanoma (long-term survival following subtotal resection). South Med J 1972;65:344-346.
7. Miller JQ and Price TR: Involvement of the brain in Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. South Med J 1972;65:437-439.
8. Price TR and Heck AF: Correlation of thermography and angiography in carotid artery disease. Arch Neurol 1972;26:450-455.
9. Miller JQ and Price TR. The nervous system in Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever. Neurology 1972;22:561-566.
10. Price TR and Heck AF: Opacity pulse propagation measurement and thermometry in the evaluation of carotid occlusive vascular disease: Correlations with Angiography. Stroke 1972;3:601-603.
11. Collaborative Group for the Study of Stroke in Young Women: (TR Price, Participating Neurologist) Oral contraception and increased risk of cerebral ischemia or thrombosis. NEJM 1973;288:871-878.
12. Hypertension - Stroke Cooperative Study Group: (TR Price, Participating Neurologist): Effect of antihypertensive treatment on stroke recurrence. JAMA 1974;
13. Collaborative Group for the study of stroke in young women: (TR Price, Participating Neurologist): Oral contraceptives and stroke in young woman, associated risk factors. JAMA 1975;231(7):718-722.
14. Heck AF and Price TR: Effects of premature atrial and ventricular contractions on opacity pulse wave propagation. Angiology 1975;26(5):415-419.
15. Dyken ML, Conneally PM, Haerer AF, Gotshall RA, Calanchini PR, Poskanzer DC, Price TR, Swanson PD: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks. I. Background, organization and clinical survey. JAMA 1977;237:822-886.
16. Swanson PD, Calanchini PR, Dyken ML, Gotshall RA, Haerer AF, Poskanzer D, Price TR, Conneally PM: A cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks: II. Performance of angiography among six centers. JAMA 1977;237:2202-2206.
17. Haerer AF, Gotshall RA, Conneally PM, Dyken ML, Poskanzer DC, Price TR, Swanson PD and Calanchini PR: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks: III. Variations in treatment. JAMA 1977;238:142-146.
18. Calanchini PR, Swanson PD, Gotshall RA, Haerer AF, Poskanzer DE, Price TR, Conneally PM, Dyken ML, Fuddy DE: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks: IV. The reliability of diagnosis of TIA's. JAMA 1977;238:2029-2033.
19. Fuddy DE, Conneally PM, Dyken ML, Price TR, Haerer AF, Poskanzer DC, Swanson PD, Calanchini PR, Gotshall RA: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks. V. Symptom analysis. JAMA 1977;238:2386-2390.

20. Price TR, Gotshall RA, Poskanzer DC, Haerer AF, Swanson, PD, Calanchini, PR, Conneally, PM, Dyken, ML, Fuddy DE: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks. VI. Patients examined during an attack. JAMA 1977;238:2512-2515.
21. Price TR, Woodward TE, Wisseman CL: Rickettsial diseases. Chapter 35. In: E Goldenshon, Appel S, editors. Scientific Approaches to Clinical Neurology, Lea and Febiger, 1977.
22. Gotshall RA, Price TR, Haerer AF, Swanson PD, Calanchini PR, Conneally PM, Dyken ML, Fuddy DE, Poskanzer DC: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks. VII. Initial diagnostic evaluation. JAMA 1978;239:2001-2003.
23. Conneally PM, Dyken ML, Fuddy DE, Poskanzer DC, Calanchini PD, Price TR, Haerer AF, Gotshall RA: Cooperative study of hospital frequency and character of transient ischemic attacks: VIII. Risk factors. JAMA 1978;240:742-746.
24. Miller JQ and Price TR: Tick-borne typhus including Rocky Mountain spotted fever. Chapter 35, Vol. 34: In: Vinken PJ and Bruyn GW, editors. Handbook of Clinical Neurology. North Holland Publishing Company, 1979.
25. Price TR: Introduction and summary to the section, "The autonomic nervous system effect of stroke on the heart and lungs" In: Price TR and Nelson E, editors. Cerebrovascular Diseases (11th Princeton Conference on Cerebrovascular Diseases), Raven Press: New York, 1979.
26. Price TR: Medical Treatment of Stroke. In: Salcinan M, editor. Diagnosis and Management of Neurologic Emergencies, Raven Press: New York, 1980.
27. O'Donnell PR, Jiji R, Vigorito R, Price TR: Angio-immunoblastic lymphadenopathy with meningeal involvement. Arch Neurol 1980;37:598-599.
28. Reggia JA, Pula T, Price TR, Perricone B: Towards an intelligent textbook of neurology: Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care. O'Neill J, editor, Washington, D.C., Nov. 1980.
29. Zagoria R, Reggia JA, Price TR, Banko MC: Bayesian classification in medicine: The transferability question Proceedings of the Fifth Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care. Nov. 1981, pp. 250-252.
30. Reggia JA, Pula T, Price TR, Taylor RA: A computer repository of neurological decision making knowledge. Transactions of the American Neurological Association. 1982; 106:129-132.
31. Robinson RG, Price TR: Post-stroke depressive disorders. A follow-up study of 103 out-patients. Stroke 1982;13:635-641.
32. Starr LB, Robinson RG, Price TR: The social functioning exam: An assessment for stroke patients. Social Work Res and Abstracts 1982;18:28-33.
33. Robinson RG, Starr LB, Kubos KL, Price TR: Post stroke affective disorders. In: Reivich M and Hurtig HI, editors. Cerebrovascular Research, Thirteenth Princeton Conference. Raven Press, 1983;137-145.
34. Starr LB, Robinson RG, Price TR: Reliability, validity and clinical utility of the social functioning exam in the assessment of stroke patients. Exp Aging Res 1983; 9(2):101-107.
35. Robinson RG, Starr LB, Kubos KL, Price TR: A two-year longitudinal study of post stroke mood disorders. I.

Findings during the initial evaluation. Stroke 1983;14:736-741.

36. Robinson RG, Kubos KL, Starr LB, Rao K, Price TR: Mood changes in stroke patients: Relationship to lesion location. Comp Psychiat 1983;24:555-566.
37. Lipsey JR, Robinson RG, Pearlson GR, Rao K, Price TR: Mood change following bilateral hemispheric brain injury. Br J Psychiatry 1983;143:266-273.
38. Robinson RG, Kubos KL, Starr LB, Rao K, Price TR: Mood disorders in stroke patients: Importance of location and lesion. Brain 1984;107:81-93.
39. Lipsey JR, Robinson RG, Pearlson G, Rao K, Price TR: Nortriptyline treatment of post-stroke depression: A double-blind study. Lancet 1984;1:297-300.
40. Robinson RG, Starr LB, Price TR: A two year longitudinal study of post stroke mood disorders: Prevalence and duration at six months follow-up. Br J Psychiatry 1984; 144:256-262.
41. Robinson RG, Starr LB, Lipsey JR, Rao K, Price TR: A two-year longitudinal study of post-stroke mood disorders: Dynamic changes in associated variables over the first six months of follow-up. Stroke 1984;15:510-517.
42. Robinson RG, Lipsey JR, Price TR: Depression: An often overlooked sequela of stroke. Geriatric Medicine Today 1984;3(7):35-45.
43. Kunitz SC, Gross CR, Heyman A, Kase CS, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolf PA: The Pilot Stroke Data Bank: Definition, design and data. Stroke 1984;15:740-746.
44. Reggia JA, Tabb R, Price TR, Banko MC, Hebel JR: Computer-aided assessment of transient ischemic attacks: A clinical evaluation. Arch Neurol 1984;41:1248-1254.
45. Shinar D, Gross CR, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolfe PA, Hier DB, Kase CS, Fishman IG, Wolfe CL, Kunitz SC: Inter-observer variability in the assessment of neurologic history and examination in the stroke data bank. Arch Neurol 1985;42(6):557-565.
46. Mohr JP, Rubinstein LV, Edelstein SR, Gross CR, Heyman A, Kase CS, Kunitz SC, Price TR: Approaches to pathophysiology of stroke through the NINCDS Data Bank. In: Plum F, editor. Cerebrovascular Disease 14th Princeton Conference, Raven Press, 1985.
47. Lipsey JR, Robinson RG, Pearlson GD, Rao K, Price TR: Dexamethasone suppression test and mood following stroke. Am J Psychiatry 1985;142:318-322.
48. Robinson RG, Bolduc PL, Starr LB, Kubos K, Price TR: Social functioning assessment in stroke patients. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1985;66:496-500.
49. Robinson RG, Lipsey JR, Price TR: Mood disorders in post-stroke patients. In: Shamoian CA, editor. Treatment of Affective Disorders in the Elderly, American Psychiatric Press, Inc., Washington, D.C., 1985, pp. 63-74.
50. Robinson RG, Lipsey JR, Price TR: Diagnosis and clinical management of post-stroke depression. Psychosomatics 1985;26(10):769-778.
51. Robinson RG, Lipsey JR, Bolla-Wilson K, Bolduc PL, Pearlson GD, Rao K, Price TR: Mood disorders in left-handed stroke patients. American Journal of Psychiatry 1985; 142(12):1424-1429.

52. Robinson RG, Starr LB, Lipsey JR, Rao K, Price TR: A two-year longitudinal study of post-stroke mood disorders: In-hospital prognostic factors associated with six month outcomes. J Nerv Ment Dis 1985;173(4):221-226.
53. Shinar D, Gross CR, Price TR, Banko MC, Bolduc PL, Robinson RG: Screening for depression in stroke patients: The reliability and validity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale. Stroke 1986;17:241-245.
54. Price TR: Progressing ischemic stroke. In: Barnett HJM, Mohr JP, BM Stein BM and Yatsu FM, editors. Stroke: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management New York:Churchill Livingstone, 1986:1059-1068.
55. Caplan LR, Kelly M, Kase CS, Hier DB, White JL, Tatemichi T, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolf PA: Infarcts of the inferior division of the right middle cerebral artery: Mirror image of Wernicke's Aphasia. Neurology 1986;36:1015-1020.
56. Gross CR, Shinar D, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Caplan LR, Price TR, Wolf PA, Kase CS, Fishman IG, Calingo S and Kunitz SC: Interobserver agreement in the diagnosis of stroke type. Arch Neurol 1986;43:893-898.
57. Robinson RG, Rao K and Price TR: Two-year longitudinal study of post-stroke mood disorders: Comparison of acute-onset with delayed-onset depression. Am J Psychiatry 1986;143:1238-1244.
58. Robinson RG, Bolla-Wilson K, Kaplan E, Lipsey JR and Price TR: Depression influences intellectual impairment in stroke patients. Br J Psychiatry 1986;148:541-547.
59. Shinar D, Gross C, Hier DB, Caplan LR, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolf, PA, Kase CS, Fishman IG, Barwick JA, Kunitz SC: Interobserver reliability in the interpretation of Computed Tomographic scans of stroke patients. Arch Neurol 1987;44:149-155.
60. Parikh RM, Lipsey JR, Robinson RG, Price TR: A two-year longitudinal study of post-stroke mood disorders: Dynamic changes in correlates of depression at one and two years follow-up. Stroke 1987;18(3):579-584.
61. Price TR: Depression and stroke. In: Dunkle RE, Schmidley JW, editors. New Issues in Stroke: Diagnosis, Treatment and Rehabilitation Among the Elderly, Springer Publishing Company, 1987.
62. Robinson RG, Bolduc PL, Price TR: A Two Year Longitudinal Study of Poststroke Mood Disorders: Diagnosis and Outcome at One and Two Years. Stroke 1987;18:837-843.
63. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Price TR: Comparison of cortical and subcortical lesions in the production of post-stroke mood disorders. Brain 1987;110:1045-1059.
64. Price TR, Wallam GL, Grady PA: Hypertensive Cerebrovascular Disease. Chapter VI In: Wallam GL, Hall WD, editors. Hypertension Management Year Book Medical Publishers, Inc., 1988.
65. Robinson RG, Boston JD, Starkstein SE, Price TR: Comparison of mania with depression after brain injury: Causal Factors. Am J Psychiatry 1988;145(2):172-178.
66. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Price TR: Comparison of patients with and without poststroke major depression matched for size and location of lesion. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1988;45:247-252.
67. Foulkes MA, Wolf PA, Price TR, Mohr JP, Hier DB: The stroke data bank: Design, methods, and baseline characteristics. Stroke 1988;19(5):547-554.
68. Robinson RG, Starkstein SE, Price TR: Post-stroke depression and lesion location. Stroke 1988;19:125-126.

69. Parikh RM, Lipsey JR, Robinson RG, Price TR: A two year longitudinal study of poststroke mood disorders: Prognostic factors related to one and two year outcome. Int J Psyciat Med 1988;18(1):45-56.
70. Tuhim S, Dambrosia JM, Price TR, Mohr JP, Wolf PA, Heyman A, Kase CS: Prediction of intracerebral hemorrhage survival. Ann of Neurol 1988;24(2):258-263.
71. Price TR, Lewis C: The Maryland Stroke Data Bank. Maryland Medical Journal 1988;37(5):383-384.
72. Sharkness CM, Price TR, Sherwin RMB: Risk factors for stroke subtypes. Maryland Medical Journal 1988;37(5):373-377.
73. Mayberg HS, Robinson RG, Wong DF, Parikh RM, Bolduc P, Starkstein SE, Price TR, Dannals RF, Links JM, Wilson AA, Ravert HT, Wagner HN Jr.: PET imaging of cortical S2-serotonin receptors following stroke: Lateralized changes and relationship to depression. Am J Psyciat 1988;145(8):937-943.
74. Parikh RM, Eden DT, Price TR, Robinson RG: The sensitivity and specificity of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression scale in screening for post-stroke depression. Int J Psychiat Med 1988;18(2):169-181.
75. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Berthier ML, Parikh RM, Price TR: Differential mood changes following basal ganglia versus thalamic lesions. Arch Neurol 1988;45:725-730.
76. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Berthier ML, Price TR: Depressive disorders following posterior circulation compared with middle cerebral artery infarcts. Brain 1988;111:375-387.
77. Chodosh EH, Foulkes MA, Kase CS, Wolf PA, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Price TR, Furtado BA Jr.: Silent stroke in the NINCDS Stroke Data Bank. Neurology 1988;38(11):1674-1679.
78. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Price TR: Comparison of spontaneously recovered versus non-recovered patients with post-stroke depression. Stroke 1988;19(12):1491-1496.
79. The Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program (SHEP) Cooperative Research Group (Thomas R. Price, participating neurologist): Rationale and design of a randomized clinical trial on prevention of stroke in isolated systolic hypertension. J Clin Epidemiol 1988;41(#12): 1197-1208.
80. Sacco RL, Ellenberg JH, Mohr JP, Tatemichi TK, Hier DB, Price TR, Wolf PA: Infarcts of undetermined cause: The NINCDS Stroke Data Bank. Ann Neurol 1989;25:382-390.
81. Sacco RL, Foulkes MA, Mohr JP, Wolf PA, Hier DB, Price TR: Determinants of Early Recurrence of Cerebral Infarction: The Stroke Data Bank. Stroke 1989;20:983-989.
82. Marler JR, Price TR, Clark GL, Muller JE, Robertson T, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Wolf PA, Caplan LR, Foulkes MA: Morning increase in onset of ischemic stroke. Stroke 1989;20:473-476.
83. Starkstein SE, Robinson RG, Honig MA, Parikh RM, Jocelyn P, Price TR: Mood changes after right hemisphere lesions. Brit J Psychiat 1989;155:79-85.
84. Bolla-Wilson K, Robinson RG, Starkstein SE, Boston J, Price TR: Lateralization of dementia of depression in stroke patients. Am J Psyciat 1989;146(5):627-634.
85. Calvert PC, Price TR: Neurologic Localization: Learning, knowledge representation, and generalization by a neuronal network model. SCAMC 1989;283-287.

86. Kittner SJ, Sharkness CM, Price TR, Plotnick GD, Dambrosia JM, Wolf PA, Hier DB, Kase CS, Turhim S: Infarcts with a cardiac source of embolism in the NINCDS Stroke Data Bank: Historical Features. Neurology 1990;40:281-284.
87. Starkstein SE, Mayberg HS, Berthier ML, Fedoroff P, Price TR, Dannals RF, Wagner HN, Leiguarda R, Robinson RG: Mania after brain injury: Neuroradiological and metabolic findings. Ann Neurol 1990;27:652-659.
88. Whisnant JP, Basford JR, Bernstein EF, Cooper ED, Dyken ML, Easton JD, Little JR, Marler JR, Clark HM, Petito CK, Price TR, Raichle ME, Robertson JT, Thiele B, Walker MD, Zimmerman RA: A report by a committee established by the Director, NINDS, NIH: Classification of Cerebrovascular Disease III. Stroke 1990;21(4):637-676.
89. Tatemichi TK, Foulkes MA, Mohr JP, Hewitt JR, Hier DB, Price TR, Wolf PA: Dementia *in* stroke survivors in the Stroke Data Bank cohort: Prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and computed tomographic findings. Stroke 1990;21:858-866.
90. Starkstein SE, Berthier ML, Fedoroff P, Price TR, Robinson RG: Anosognosia and major depression in 2 patients with cerebrovascular lesions. Neurology 1990;40:1380-1382.
91. Parikh RM, Robinson RG, Lipsy JR, Starkstein SE, Fedoroff JP, Price TR: The impact of post-stroke depression on recovery in activities of daily living over two-years follow-up. Arch Neurol 1990;47:785-789.
92. Price TR: Affective disorders after stroke. Stroke (Suppl II) 1990;21:12-13.
93. Starkstein SE, Cohen BS, Fedoroff P, Parikh RM, Price TR, Robinson RG: Relationship between anxiety disorders and depressive disorders in patients with cerebrovascular injury. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1990;47:246-251.
94. Price TR: Stroke in patients treated with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: The Thrombosis in Myocardial Infarction Clinical Trials and a Review of Placebo-Controlled Trials. Stroke (Suppl 3) 1990;21:III-8-9.
95. Chamorro A, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Kase CS, Tatemichi TK, Wolf PA, Price TR, Hier DB: Clinical-computed tomographic correlations of lacunar infarction in the Stroke Data Bank. Stroke 1991;22:175-181.
96. Hier DB, Foulkes MA, Swiontoniowski M, Sacco RL, Gorelick PB, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolf PA: Stroke recurrence within 2 years after ischemic infarction. Stroke 1991;22:155-161.
97. Gore JM, Sloan M, Price TR, Randall AM, Bovill E, Collen D, Forman S, Knatterud G, Sopko G, Terrin M and the TIMI Investigators: Intracerebral hemorrhage, cerebral infarction, and subdural hematoma after acute myocardial infarction and thrombolytic therapy in the thrombosis in myocardial infarction study (TIMI II Pilot and Randomized Clinical Trials). Circulation 1991;83:448-459.
98. Berndt RS, Mitchum CC, Price TR: Short-term memory and sentence comprehension: An investigation of a patient with crossed aphasia. Brain 1991;114:263-280.
99. Tuhim S, Dambrosia JM, Price TR, Mohr JP, Wolf PA, Hier DB, Kase CS: Intracerebral hemorrhage: External validation and extension of a model for prediction of 30-day survival. Annals of Neurology 1991;29:658-663.
100. Weiler PG, Camel GH, Chiappini M, Greenlick MR, Hughes GH, Luhr JC, Moye LA, Mungas D, Perron M, Peters MH, Price TR, Schron E, Shekelle RB: Part 9: Behavioral Characteristics. Hypertension 1991;(Suppl II)17:152-

161.

101. Stern BJ, Kittner SJ, Sloan MA, Meyd C, Buchholz D, Rigamonti D, Woody R, Meyerhoff J, Bell W, Price TR: Stroke in the young (Part I). Maryland Medical Journal 1991;40:453-462.
102. Stern BJ, Kittner SJ, Sloan MA, Meyd C, Buchholz D, Rigamonti D, Woody R, Meyerhoff J, Bell W, Price TR: Stroke in the young (Part II). Maryland Medical Journal 1991;40:565-571.
103. SHEP Cooperative Research Group (Thomas R. Price, contributing author): Prevention of stroke by antihypertensive drug treatment in older persons with isolated systolic hypertension: Final results of the *Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program* (SHEP). JAMA 1991;265:3255-3264.
104. Fedoroff JP, Lipsey JR, Starkstein SE, Forrester A, Price TR, Robinson RG: Phenomenological comparisons of major depression following stroke, myocardial infarction or spinal cord lesions. J Affective Disorders 1991;22:83-89.
105. Starkstein SE, Bryer JB, Berthier ML, Cohen B, Price TR, Robinson RG: Depression after stroke: The importance of cerebral hemisphere asymmetries. J Neuropsychiat Clin Neurosci 1991;3:276-285.
106. Fedoroff JP, Starkstein SE, Parikh RM, Price TR, Robinson RG: Are depressive symptoms non-specific in patients with acute stroke? Am J Psychiatry 1991;148:1172-1176.
107. Sloan MA, Kittner SJ, Rigamonti D, Price TR: Occurrence of stroke associated with use/abuse of drugs. Neurology 1991;41:1358-1364.
108. Sloan MA, Price TR: Intracranial Hemorrhage Following Thrombolytic Therapy for Acute Myocardial Infarction. Seminars in Neurology 1991;4(11):385-399.
109. Berthier ML, Starkstein SE, Leiquarda R, Ruiz A, Mayberg HS, Wagner H, Price TR, Robinson RG: Transcortical aphasia. Importance of the nonspeech dominant hemisphere in language repetition. Brain 1991;114:1409-1427.
110. Massaro AR, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Tatemichi TK, Price TR, Hier DB, Wolf PA: Clinical discriminators of lobar and deep hemorrhages: The Stroke Data Bank. Neurology 1991;41(12):1881-1885.
111. Kittner SJ, Sharkness CM, Sloan MA, Price TR, Dambrosia JM, Tuhim S, Wolf PA, Mohr JP, Hier DR. Infarcts with a cardiac source of embolism in the NINDS Stroke Data Bank: Neurological Examination. Neurology 1992;42:299-302.
112. Bryer JB, Starkstein SE, Votykka V, Parikh RM, Price TR, Robinson RG: Reduction of CSF monoamine metabolites in post-stroke depression: A preliminary report. Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences 1992;4:440-442.
113. Starkstein SE, Fedoroff JP, Price TR, Leiquarda R, Robinson RG: Anosognosia in patients with cerebrovascular lesions. A study of causative factors. Stroke 1992;23:1446-1453.
114. Sloan MA, Price TR, Foulkes MA, Marler JM, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Wolf PA, Caplan LR: Circadian rhythmicity of stroke onset: Intracerebral and subarachnoid hemorrhage. Stroke 1992;23:1420-1426.
115. Steinke W, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Tatemichi TK, Wolf PA, Price TR, Hier DB: Thalamic stroke. Presentation and prognosis of infarcts and hemorrhages. Archives of Neurology 1992;49(7):703-710.

116. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Kittner SJ, Bond MG, Wolfson SK, Bommer W, Price TR, Gardia JM, Savage PJ. Distribution and correlates of sonographically detected carotid artery disease in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Stroke 1992;23:1752-1760.
117. Kittner SJ, Sharkness CM, Sloan MA, Price TR, Dambrosia JM, Tuhim S, Wolf PA, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Tuhim S. Features on Initial Computed Tomography Scan of Infarcts with a Cardiac Source of Embolism in the NINDS Stroke Data Bank. Stroke 1992;23:1748-1751.
118. Starkstein SE, Federoff JP, Price TR, Leiquarda R, Robinson RG: Neuropsychological deficits in patients with anosognosia. Neuropsychiat Neuropsychol Behav Neurol 1992;6:43-48.
119. Timsit SG, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Tatemichi TK, Wolf PA, Price TR, Hier DB: Early clinical differentiation of cerebral infarction from severe atherosclerotic stenosis and cardioembolism. Stroke 1992;23(4):486-491.
120. Robinson RG, Parikh RM, Lipsey JR, Starkstein SE, Price TR: Pathological laughing and crying following stroke: Validation of measurement scale and double blind treatment study. Am J Psychiatry 1993;150(2):286-293.
121. Kittner SJ, McCarter RJ, Sherwin RW, Sloan MA, Stern BJ, Meyd CJ, Buchholz D, Seipp MJ, Price TR: Black-white difference in stroke risk among young adults. Stroke 1993;24 (Supple I):I-13 - I-15.
122. Morris PLP, Robinson RG, Andrzejewski P, Samuels J, Price TR: Association of depression with 10-year poststroke mortality. Am J Psychiatry 1993;150(1):124-129.
123. Tettenborn B, Caplan LR, Sloan MA, Estol CJ, Pessin MS, DeWitt LD, Haley EC, Price TR: Postoperative brainstem and cerebellar infarcts. Neurology 1993;43:471-477.
124. Castillo CS, Starkstein SE, Federoff JP, Price TR, Robinson RG: Generalized anxiety disorder after stroke. Journal of Nervous & Mental Disease 1993;181(2):100-106.
125. Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Polis AT, Hier DB, Kase CS, Price TR, Tatemichi TK, Wolf PA: Infarct topography and hemiparesis profiles with cerebral convexity infarction: The Stroke Data Bank. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1993;56:344-351.
126. Polak JF, O'Leary DH, Kronmal RA, Wolfson SK, Bond MG, Tracy RP, Gardin JM, Kittner SJ, Price TR, Savage PJ: Sonographic evaluation of carotid artery atherosclerosis in the elderly: Relationship of disease severity to stroke and transient ischemic attack. Radiology 1993;188:363-370.
127. Starkstein SE, Federoff JP, Price TR, Leiquarda R, Robinson RG: Apathy following cerebrovascular lesions. Stroke 1993;24:1625-1630.
128. Price TR, Psaty B, O'Leary D, Burke G, Gardin J for the Cardiovascular Health Study Research Group. Assessment of Cerebrovascular Disease in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 1993;3:504-507.
129. Starkstein SE, Federoff JP, Price TR, Leiquarda R, Robinson RG. Catastrophic reaction after cerebrovascular lesions frequency, correlates, and validation of a scale. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 1993;5(2): 189-194.
130. Timsit SG, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Foulkes MA, Tatemichi TK, Wolf PA, Price TR, Hier DB. Brain infarction severity differs according to cardiac or arterial embolic source. Neurology 1993;43(4):728-733.
131. Starkstein SE, Federoff JP, Price TR, Robinson RG: Denial of illness scale: a reliability and validity study.

Neuropsychiatr Neuropsychol Behav Neuro 1993;6:93-97.

132. Hier DB, Babcock DJ, Foulkes MA, Mohr JP,, Price TR, Wolf PA: Influence of site on course of intracerebral hemorrhage. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 1993;3:65-74.
133. Starkstein SE, Federott JP, Price TR, Leiguarda RC, Robinson RG. Neuropsychological and Neuroradiologic correlates of emotional prosody comprehension. Neurology 1994;44:515-522.
134. Foulkes MA, Sacco RL, Mohr JP, Hier DB, Price TR, Wolf PA: Parametric modeling of stroke recurrence. Neuroepidemiology 1994;13:19-27.
135. Hier DB, Yoon WB, Mohr JP, Price TR, Wolf PA. Gender and aphasia in the Stroke Data Bank. Brain and Language 1994;47: 155-167.
136. Edwards P, Wozniak MA, Corretti M, Price TR: Cardiac chimeric Network as an etiology for embolic stroke. Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 1994;4:238-241.
137. Johnson CJ, Kittner SJ, McCarter RJ, Sloan MA, Stern BJ, Buchholz D, Price TR. Interrater reliability of an etiologic classification of ischemic stroke. Stroke 1995;26:46-51.
138. Sloan MA, Price TR, Petito CK, Randall AMY, Solomon RE, Terrin ML, Gore J, Dollen D, Kleiman N, Feit F, Babb J, Herman M, Roberts WC, Sopko G, Bovill E, Forman S, Knatterud GL for the TIMI Investigators. Clinical features and pathogenesis of intracerebral hemorrhage after rt-PA and heparin therapy for acute myocardial infarction: The Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) II Pilot and Randomized Clinical Trial combined experience. Neurology 1995;45:649-658.
139. Psaty BM, Kuller LH, Bild D, Burke GL, Kittner SJ, Mittelmark M, Price TR, Rautaharjo PM, Robbins J: Methods of assessing prevalent cardiovascular disease in the Cardiovascular Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 1995;5:270-277.
140. Stone DA, Godard J, Corretti MC, Kittner SJ, Sample C, Price TR, Plotnick GD: Patent foramen ovale: An association between the degree of shunt by contrast transesophageal echocardiography and the risk of future ischemic neurologic events. American Heart Journal 1995;130:105-110.
141. Stone DA, Godard J, Corretti MC, Kittner SJ, Sample C, Price TR, Plotnick GD: Patent foramen ovale: An association between the degree of shunt by contrast transesophageal echocardiography and the risk of future ischemic neurologic events. American Heart Journal 1996;131: 153-159.
142. Morris PLP, Robinson RG, de Carvalho ML, Albert P, Wells JC, Samuels JF, Eden-Fetzer D, Price TR: Lesion characteristics and depressed mood in the Stroke Data Bank Study. J Neuropsychiatry 1996;8:153-159.
143. Kittner SJ, Stern BJ, Feaser BR, Hebel JR, Nagey DA, Buchholz DW, Earley CJ, Johnson CJ, Macko RF, Price TR, Sherwin R, Sloan MA, Wityk RJ, Wozniak MA. Stroke risk associated with pregnancy and the post-pregnancy period, New Engl J Med 1996;335:768-771.
144. Rohr J, Kittner SJ, Feaser BR, Whyte MG, Kanarak N, Buchholz DW, Earley CJ, Johnson CJ, Macko RF, Price TR, Sloan MA, Stern BJ, Wityk RF, Wozniak MA, Sherwin R. Traditional risk factors and stroke in young adults: the Baltimore-Washington Cooperative Young Stroke Study. Archives of Neurology 1996;53:603-607.
145. Manolio TA, Kronmal RA, Burke GL, O'Leary DH, Price TR, for the CHHS Collaborative Research Group: Short-Term Predictors of Incident Stroke in Older Adults. The Cardiovascular Health Study. Stroke 1996;27:1479-1486.

146. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Savage PJ, Borhani NO, Kittner SJ, Tracy R, Gardin JM, Price TR, Furberg CD. Thickening of the carotid wall - a marker for atherosclerosis in the elderly. Stroke 1996;27(2):224-231.
147. Kittner SJ, Stern BJ, Wozniak M, Buchholz DW, Earley CJ, Feeser BR, Johnson CJ, Macko RF, McCarter RJ, Price TR, Sherwin R, Sloan MA, Wityk RJ. Cerebral Infarction in Young Adults: The Baltimore-Washington Cooperative Young Stroke Study. Neurology 1998;50:890-894.
148. Price TR, Manolio TA, Kronmal RA, Kittner SJ, Yue NC, Robbins J, Anton-Culver H, O'Leary DH. Silent Brain Infarction on Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Neurologic Abnormalities in Community Dwelling Older Adults: The Cardiovascular Health Study. Stroke 1997;28:1158-1164.
149. Wagner KR, Giles WH, Johnson CJ, Ou CY, Bray PF, Goldschmidt-Clermont PJ, Croft JB, Brown VK, Stern BJ, Feeser BR, Buchholz DW, Earley CJ, Macko RF, McCarter RJ, Sloan MA, Stolley PD, Wityk RJ, Wozniak MA, Price TR, Kittner SJ. Platelet Glycoprotein Receptor IIIa Polymorphism P1A2 and Ischemic Stroke Risk: The Stroke Prevention in Young Women Study. Stroke 1998;29:581-585.
150. Sherwin R, Price TR. Fat chance Diet and Ischemic Stroke. JAMA 1997;278:2185-2186.
151. Davis BR, Vogt T, Frost PH, Burlando A, Cohen J, Wilson A, Brass LM, Frishman W, Price T, Stamler J, for the Systolic Hypertension in the Elderly Program Cooperative Research Group. Risk factors for stroke and type of stroke in persons with isolated systolic hypertension. Stroke 1998;29:1333-1340.
152. Sloan MA, Kittner SJ, Feeser BR, Gardner J, Epstein A, Wozniak MA, Wityk RJ, Stern BJ, Price TR, Macko RF, Johnson CJ, Earley CJ, Buchholz D. Illicit drug-associated ischemic stroke in the Baltimore-Washington Young Stroke Study. Neurology 1998;50:1688-1693.

BOOK

Price TR and Nelson E, editors. Cerebrovascular Disease (11th Princeton Conference on Cerebrovascular Diseases). New York:Raven Press, 1979.