

CERTIFIED COPY

COMMON PLEAS COURT OF

HURON COUNTY, OHIO

- - -

ANGELIA FORTNER, ADMINISTRATRIX)

Doc. 340

OF THE ESTATE OF CIARA RENEE)

SAMS, DECEASED)

Plaintiff,)

vs.) NO. CVA-96-756

FISHER TITUS MEDICAL CENTER,)

et al.) VOLUME I

Defendants.)

-----)

DEPOSITION OF

PHILIP THEODORE NOWICKI, M.D.

COLUMBUS OHIO

JUNE 4, 1998

ATKINSON-BAKER, INC.
CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS
330 North Brand Boulevard, Suite 250
Glendale, California 91203
(818) 551-7300

REPORTED BY: Mary A. Frazier, R.M.R., C.R.R.

FILE NO.: 9808544

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

COMMON PLEAS COURT OF
HURON COUNTY, OHIO

- - -

ANGELIA FORTNER, ADMINISTRATRIX)
OF THE ESTATE OF CIARA RENEE)
SAMS, DECEASED)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) NO. CVA-96-756
FISHER TITUS MEDICAL CENTER,)
et al.) VOLUME I
Defendants.)
-----)

Deposition of PHILIP THEODORE NOWICKI,
M.D., taken on behalf of plaintiff, at Children's
Hospital, 700 Children's Drive, Columbus, Ohio,
commencing at 1:30 p.m., on Thursday, June 4,
1998, before Mary A. Frazier, R.M.R., C.R.R.

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE PLAINTIFF

LOWE, EKLUND, WAKEFIELD CO., L.P.A.
BY: CLAUDIA R. EKLUND, ESQ.
610 Skylight Office Tower
1660 West Second Street
Cleveland, OH 44113-1454

FOR THE DEFENDANT, DR. MAY

REMINGER & REMINGER
BY: P.J. MALNAR, ESQ.
The 113 St. Clair Building
Cleveland, OH 44114

FOR THE DEFENDANT, DR. KASTEN

FAUVER, TATTERSALL & GALLAGHER, P.L.L.
BY: J. C. WILLIAM TATTERSALL, ESQ.
5333 Meadow Lane Court
Elyria, OH 44035-1469

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

WITNESS: PHILIP THEODORE NOWICKI, M.D.

| EXAMINATION | PAGE |
|--------------------|------|
| BY: MS. EKLUND | 5 |
| BY: MR. TATTERSALL | 75 |

EXHIBITS:

(None)

QUESTIONS WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER:

(None)

INFORMATION TO BE SUPPLIED:

| PAGE | LINE |
|------|------|
| 18 | 6 |

1 PHILIP THEODORE NOWICKI, M.D.,
2 having been first duly sworn, was
3 examined and testified as follows:

4 CROSS EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. EKLUND:

6 Q Doctor, would you please state
7 your full name for the record, and spell your
8 last name?

9 A Philip Theodore Nowicki,
10 N-O-W-I-C-K-I,

11 Q You are a medical doctor?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q What is your business address?

14 A Children's Hospital, 700
15 Children's Drive, Columbus, Ohio, 43205.

16 Q What position do you hold at that
17 facility?

18 A I am a professor of pediatrics and
19 physiology at the Ohio State University, and I am
20 a neonatologist at Children's Hospital and
21 University Hospital.

22 Q How long have you held the
23 position in neonatology at Children's Hospital?

24 A Sixteen years.

25 Q How many neonatologists are on

1 staff at Children's Hospital at the present time?

2 A. Good question. Seven.

3 Q. Are you the chair of that

4 department?

5 A. No.

6 Q. Do you hold any title wit in that

7 department?

8 A. Actually I'm not a member of that

9 department. My division is the Division of

10 Molecular Medicine, because of my research

11 activity, but my clinical practice is in newborn

12 medicine. When I was hired, I was hired in the

13 Division of Newborn Medicine or Neonatology, but

14 several years ago, because of my research

15 activity, I shifted over to molecular medicine,

16 and have been there since.

17 Q. What do you mean by molecular

18 medicine? Can you give me a lay person's

19 description?

20 A. Molecular medicine is the branch

21 of medicine that deals with gene therapy,

22 understanding the means by which genes affect the

23 human condition.

24 Q- What kind of areas of study are

25 you working on at the present time?

1 A. My primary area of interest is in
2 the regulation of the gastrointestinal
3 circulation of the newborn. Premature infants
4 have a difficult time digesting food and often
5 have a problem with maintaining intestinal
6 integrity after birth, and the basis for that is
7 very unclear. My laboratory has been looking at
8 the mechanisms by which blood flow is regulated
9 into the intestine, and that's basically it.

10 Q. Am I to understand then blood flow
11 into the digestive system is controlled by genes?

12 A. Oh, yes, um-hmm.

13 Q. How long has that study been going
14 on?

15 A. Sixteen years. As long as I've
16 been here.

17 Q. Have you published any results of
18 your study?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. In what publication did they
21 appear?

22 A. The American Journal of
23 Physiology, Pediatric Research, Journal of
24 Pediatrics.

25 Q. Are you studying under a grant?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Who's the sponsor of that great?

3 " National Institutes of Health.

4 MR. TATTERSALL: I am sorry, I missed

5 that

6 THE WITNESS: The NIH.

7 Q BY MS. EKLUND: Any other areas of

8 research study that you are involved in at the

9 present time?

10 A. No.

11 Q How much of your professional time

12 is devoted to your research studies?

13 A About 60 percent.

14 Q In terms of your division of your

15 time between teaching of pediatrics and

16 physiology at Ohio State and your work in

17 neonatology, can you give me a breakdown of the

18 remaining 40 percent?

19 A I attend the intensive care

20 nursery three months a year, and in that capacity

21 I'm completely responsible for all the infants

22 admitted to the nursery for the entire month.

23 And I also cover the nursery nights and weekends

24 every other night and every other weekend for the

25 duration of the year. So I spend a lot of time

1 in clinical practice.

2 It's always hard when you talk
3 about percentages. As I'm sure, as yours, my
4 work week is far beyond 40 hours. It's more like
5 90.

6 Q As between your duties in
7 neonatology and I guess your teaching
8 responsibilities at Ohio State, how is that time
9 apportioned?

10 A Most of my teaching occurs when
11 I'm on service.

12 Q So it's a clinical setting?

13 A Yes. I teach seminars in the
14 department of physiology, but those are two- to
15 three-hour episodes that occur several times,
16 usually during winter quarter, and it's a very
17 small commitment.

18 Q In your clinical teaching in
19 conjunction with Ohio State, is that at Ohio
20 State University Hospitals?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q Do you spend a set number of days
23 per week at Ohio State University Hospitals?

24 A No. When I'm on service at
25 University, I'm there the entire month. I don't

1 even come here. I go from my home to University
2 Hospital. I also have an office there, and I
3 stay there the entire month. I don't even come
4 here.

5 Q. So is it alternating months?

6 A. Yes. July, December, and March of
7 each year I spend at University Hospital.

8 Q. The other months are at Children's
9 Hospital?

10 A. Here, right here in the research
11 lab. When I take night call at University, what
12 I'll do is I'll go home, I'll stop by the nursery
13 on my way home. So I'll leave here, say, at 6
14 o'clock, go to University Hospital, make rounds
15 with the residents, and then go from there to my
16 house. If I'm on call the weekend, I'll go from
17 my home to the nursery and back.

18 Q. Your on-call practice, is that
19 solely in the area of neonatology?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. Do you have privileges at any
22 other hospital other than Children's and
23 University?

24 A. Mount Carmel East Hospital.

25 Q. In what division?

1 A. Pediatrics, newborn medicine.
2 Q. Is there a difference between
3 newborn medicine and neonatology?
4 A. No.
5 Q. It's the same --
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. -- patient population that you
8 carry?
9 A Exactly. Those of us with speech
10 impediments that have a problem with ology say
11 newborn medicine.
12 Q It's easier for me, too. Would
13 newborn medicine also encompass the infant in the
14 pre-delivery stage?
15 A Yes, um-hmm.
16 Q So it is broad enough to cover?
17 A Yes, it is.
18 Q Have you ever taught the Neonatal
19 Resuscitation course, or Newborn Resuscitation?
20 A I teach residents the art of
21 caring for the infant in the delivery room. I do
22 not use the course offered by the American Heart
23 Association because I disagree with it, and I've
24 been doing this for a long time, and I just, I
25 mean the same concepts are taught, but I don't

use their structure what look right there, H
don't use that structure format

Q Map course that I am asking you
about, I think I don't -- may not know him it
correctly It's the Neonatal Resuscitation
course?

A Right, by 447 He's American heart,
yes

Q Do other physicians use that at
Children's Hospital or University Hospital?

A Yes

Q He it taught is, I guess, the
course is taught by other physicians?

A It's part of the training while
the residents are in the nursery in other
words, resident will spend one or two months in
the nursery per year, he during that time or
he will be practically introduced to whole
host of topics relevant to newborn medicine. One
of the things that we focus on clearly is the
issue of care of the infant in the delivery
room.

When I am on service, my house
staff is given this material, he we go through
it, but I just, I saw proper lecture and

1 presentations well before this became
2 standardized. Instead of changing my approach, I
3 simply will give them this to read, and I will
4 use my own notes and my own slides and such.

5 Q Is there any difference in, I
6 guess, the major principles --

7 A. No.

8 Q -- between the American Heart
9 Association course and the course that you teach?

10 A Absolutely not, no.

11 Q So it is more of an approach to
12 avocation --

13 A Precisely.

14 Q - where you differ?

15 A Precisely. I'm a crusty
16 curmudgeon. It's hard to change slides.

17 Q Is the course or the guidelines
18 put out by the American Heart Association
19 considered the standard for newborn
20 resuscitation?

21 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

22 THE WITNESS: No. It is a teaching
23 module. I would not construe it as standard of
24 care. It is something that has been prepared
25 initially by the American Heart Association, and

1 then thereafter went through the American Academy
2 of Pediatricians as a means to introduce
3 pediatric house staff and family practitioners to
4 the care of the infant in the delivery room. It
5 does not in the text proscribe standard of care,
6 no.

7 Q BY MS. EKLUND: Does it give a
8 step-by-step process for resuscitating newborns?

9 A Yes, it does.

10 Q My understanding of the idea
11 behind this publication and the course work is to
12 standardize the practice throughout the country
13 so that family practitioners and others, not
14 -----ilv neonatologists, can resuscitate
15 newborns?

16 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

17 THE WITNESS: The basis for it was really
18 to provide individuals instructing others in care
19 of the newborn with a tool to help them or assist
20 them in that teaching process. The idea was not
21 to standardize the care, it was to provide a
22 document to assist in teaching.

23 This comes with not only the
24 book, but it also comes with slides, it comes
25 with outlines and the whole nine yards. It's

1 designed -- and it can be given at different
2 levels, it can be given to people without a
3 medical background, it can be given to people
4 with a medical background. The idea is it's a
5 teaching tool.

6 And the purpose of the American
7 Heart Association when they put this together was
8 not to standardize care but was rather to assist
9 in the education of those who were going to be
10 caring for infants.

11 O BY MS. EKLUND: Could this course
12 be taught to nonmedical people, such as an
13 attorney?

14 A Well, actually it has been taught
15 to firefighters and police officers, so yes.

16 Q So this would have the same
17 ability or teaching skills --

18 A. No, no.

19 O They would be able to resuscitate
20 a newborn?

21 A Basically it's the same thing.
22 This is nothing more than an extension of the
23 classic CPR technique. CPR is used for adults
24 that have had cardiopulmonary distress. This is
25 used for infants that have had distress after

1 birth. And it's nothing more than a derivation
2 of classic CPR. It is taught to individuals,
3 paramedics, firefighters who might be in a
4 position where they would have to assist a
5 newborn after birth when access to a hospital was
6 not possible. So, yes, it is taught in that
7 regard. The principles are very simple.

8 Q. Have you taught this course to
9 people other than medical residents?

10 A. Myself, no.

11 Q. The medical residents whom you
12 have taught, are they -- what branch of medicine
13 are they?

14 A. Pediatrics, family practice.

15 Q. For how long have you been
16 teaching neonatal resuscitation?

17 A. Sixteen years.

18 Q. I don't want to go too much into
19 your background, because I assume most of it will
20 be in your CV.

21 A. Right.

22 Q. And it just doesn't seem fruitful
23 to me, so although I may ask you just because I
24 don't have it in front of me.

25 A. Sure.

1 Q. Other than your research in
2 molecular biology, have you published any other
3 articles specific to resuscitation of the
4 newborn?

5 A. No.

6 Q. You do have written course
7 materials and instructions for the resuscitation
8 courses that you teach?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Are they published in the hospital
11 library?

12 A. No.

13 Q. If I asked you, through your
14 attorney, to provide me with a copy of that
15 material, would you be able to do that?

16 A. It would take some time, but we
17 could get it together, yes.

18 Q. Is it voluminous or just ..

19 A. No, it's just a series of notes.
20 I give lectures with a piece of chalk. I'm an
21 old fashioned person, and I have lecture outlines
22 that I provide the residents, and I use that as a
23 means for them to take notes so they can follow
24 me as I speak.

25 I used to have a series of

1 slides, I probably still have them some place,
2 but as I've gotten older, I have learned that
3 teaching is more effective if it's done on a
4 one-to-one basis in a small room such as this
5 with two or three residents and a chalkboard.

6 Q. If you could get that together and
7 provide it to counsel and to me.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. When was the last time you taught
10 the course?

11 A. December. No, wait a minute, hold
12 on. Yes, December.

13 Q. December of '973

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. You taught it here at Children's
16 Hospital?

17 A. No, University Hospital.

18 Q. Have you served as an expert
19 witness prior to this case?

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. How many times?

22 A. I don't keep a record. I probably
23 do so about two or three times a year, and I've
24 been doing that for about the past seven or eight
25 years.

1 Q. Do you testify primarily for
2 defendants?

3 A. No, it's been both plaintiff and
4 defense.

5 Q. Evenly divided, would you say?

6 A. No. I've been approached more by
7 attorneys for the defense than for the
8 plaintiffs. I'd say probably 30/70,
9 plaintiff/defense.

10 Q. Have you ever testified in a case
11 other than this involving the resuscitation of a
12 newborn?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Can you tell me how many times?

15 A. Once or twice.

16 Q. Do you **know** the name of the case
17 in which you testified?

18 A. No, I don't.

19 Q. Do you recall the name of the
20 attorney?

21 A. No, sorry.

22 Q. Either attorney?

23 A. No.

24 Q. The attorney who hired you?

25 A. No.

1 MR. TATTERSALL: Are you referring to
2 deposition or testifying at trial? I didn't get
3 the distinction.

4 MS. EKLUND: I didn't make a
5 distinction.

6 THE WITNESS: Like I said, I didn't keep
7 records. My office is too small. I've got
8 limited space. I don't recall the names or
9 cases, no.

10 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Was this
11 deposition by way -- or testimony by way of
12 deposition or in person?

13 A. By deposition.

14 Q. I assume it was here in Columbus?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you testify in those cases on
17 behalf of a defendant?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Do you recall any of the specifics
20 of the case or what the alleged malpractice was?

21 A. No. But it's important to note
22 that the case did not involve just the care of
23 the infant after delivery. It was, part of the
24 case was what the pediatricians had done after
25 the child had been born. But it was more global

1 than that. It was the issue of birth injury,
2 when did the injury occur, trying to set a point
3 at which you could determine when the child's
4 damage occurred.

5 Q That is by looking at MRIs or
6 things like that?

7 A Exactly, records, and exactly.

8 Q Have you ever testified on behalf
9 of any physicians from Fisher Titus Hospital in
10 Norwalk, Ohio?

11 A. No

12 Q Have you ever testified at the
13 request of Beverly Sandacz?

14 A I don't think so.

15 MS. MALNAR: I'm not Beverly.

16 THE WITNESS: I have worked for Reminger
17 and Reminger before, but I don't believe for
18 Beverly, no.

19 Q BY MS. EKLUND: Has Reminger and
20 Reminger been the defense firm that has hired you
21 the most --

22 A. No

23 Q. over the last few years? Which
24 firm has, if you can say?

25 A I'm national. I don't know where

1 these people get my name. I get these phone
2 calls. I've done -- my most recent case was from
3 Houston, Texas. I think it's of my national
4 profile of my expertise in physiology and such,
5 is where they come from, but I've done cases in
6 Houston, Florida, Tennessee, some in Ohio, I mean
7 some in Ohio, but all over the country.

8 Q. Are you registered with any type
9 of --

10 A. No.

11 Q. -- research firm?

12 A. No.

13 Q. Or expert search place or anything
14 like that?

15 A. No. It kind of amazes me that I
16 get these phone calls. They come out of the
17 blue.

18 Q. Do you know how many times
19 previously you've testified for someone from
20 Reminger's office?

21 A. I think probably twice, I would
22 think twice.

23 Q. Who's your malpractice insurance
24 carrier?

25 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

1 **THE WITNESS:** Good question. It just got
2 changed. We were with **PIE**, and of course that's
3 all gone down the tubes.

4 **Q.** **BY MS. EKLUND:** Yes.

5 **A.** You know, to be honest with you,
6 I'm all part of a big corporat'on here, and I'm
7 not really even sure. **I'm** not really sure. I'm
8 covered.

9 **Q.** You are sure about that, okay.
10 Have you ever been sued in a malpractice case?

11 **A.** Oh, yes, four times.

12 **Q.** In what capacity were you involved
13 with the patient?

14 **MS. MALNAR:** Can I have a continuing
15 objection to this line of questioning?

16 **MS. EKLUND:** Sure.

17 **THE WITNESS:** I have been named in four
18 suits. In each of the cases I was a
19 co-defendant. In each of the cases, once the
20 information about the case became obvious during
21 discovery, I was excluded as a defendant. In
22 other words, I have never been -- I have been
23 deposed only once. Of those four cases, I was
24 removed from the case before I was even deposed.
25 In one case I was deposed, and as a consequence

1 of that deposition I was removed from the case.

2 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Did those cases
3 involve any resuscitation of the infant?

4 A. No.

5 Q. In the case where you were
6 deposed, do you recall the name of the patient?

7 A. You know, I should. I'm blocking
8 on it. Not offhand. No, I don't.

9 Q. Do you know any of the physicians
10 involved in this litigation?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Do you know any of the expert
13 witnesses?

14 A. No.

15 Q. Did you have a conversation with
16 Miss Sandacz when she retained you to review this
17 matter?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What do you recall of that
20 conversation?

21 A. She introduced me to the case,
22 asked me if I would be willing to review the case
23 for her. I told her I would. She sent me the
24 material. I reviewed it, and I called her back
25 and told her what I thought was going on.

1 Q. In terms of introducing you to the
2 case, what did she tell you about the case?

3 A. That it was a child born
4 prematurely, there was a difficult time at
5 birth. The child had eventually been taken off
6 the ventilator at the tertiary care center
7 because of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy.
8 That's pretty much it.

9 Q. Did she ask you to review the file
10 from a certain perspective?

11 A. Basically she requested me to look
12 at it from a perspective of whether Dr. May and
13 Dr. Trippe had done the appropriate things.
14 Particularly Dr. May.

15 Q. After reviewing the file, you
16 called her back and told her what your opinions
17 were?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What did you tell her?

20 A. I told her that in my opinion,
21 Dr. May had done the appropriate things.

22 Q. What about Dr. Trippe?

23 A. That he had done the appropriate
24 things.

25 Q. Did you have any criticism of any

1 physician involved in the care of this infant?

2 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

3 THE WITNESS: I only would talk about the
4 pediatric side of things. The obstetrician,
5 Dr. Kasten, is -- I'm not an obstetrician and I
6 can render no opinion regarding the care of the
7 mother or the care of the infant before the child
8 was delivered. I can only comment regarding the
9 care delivered by Dr. May and Dr. Trippe. And I
10 don't find any fault with what they did, no.

11 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Does neonatology
12 in its patient population cover the child in the
13 laboring process?

14 A. Yes, it does.

15 Q. In your practice, are you ever
16 consulted by OB/GYNs as to decisions concerning
17 induction of labor?

18 A. Realistically, no. What I'm
19 consulted on is whether or not, if the child is
20 delivered, the child could be construed as
21 viable. In other words, obstetricians or
22 perinatologists don't come to me and say, Phil,
23 should I deliver this baby now. They come into
24 me and say, I've got a problem. If I deliver
25 this baby now, what are its chances. And I will

1 tell them, of the situation, the estimated fetal
2 weight, the estimated gestational age, what I
3 believe the potential outcome for the child will
4 be. They then use that as a factor in
5 determining whether they should or should not
6 deliver the child.

7 Q. Have you been consulted in
8 cases -- in a case involving a 34-week premature
9 ruptured membranes?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What has been your opinion
12 regarding delivery of those infants?

13 A. Generally speaking, at 34 weeks
14 gestation, with rupture of membranes, at 34 weeks
15 the potential viability of the child is
16 excellent. With the advent of surfactant
17 replacement and modern ventilation techniques,
18 the outcome of these infants is outstanding.
19 And, therefore, I routinely would suggest to my
20 obstetrician colleagues that we would be capable
21 of caring for these infants and provide them with
22 a good outcome.

23 Q. Surfactant medication is a 48-hour
24 time period for --

25 A. No, no, you are confusing the

1 steroid with surfactant. Mothers are given the
2 corticosteroid betamethasone antenatally. That
3 induces the enzymes in the fetus' lung which
4 produce surfactant. We now have available the
5 actual surfactant itself which we place directly
6 into the child's lungs after birth, so if the
7 child is born and has evidence of hyaline
8 membrane disease, and that's H-Y-A-L-I-N-E.
9 Every time I see it, it's H-I-G-H-L-A-N-D.

10 Q. We've seen it every way you can
11 dream of.

12 MR. TATTERSALL: H-Y.

13 THE WITNESS: H-Y-A-L-I-N-E. If the
14 child shows clinical evidence of hyaline membran@
15 disease, we will give surfactant down the
16 endotracheal tube and the child will recover
17 remarkably. It's been a tremendous boon in the
18 field.

19 BY MS. EKLUND: When did that
20 become available?

21 A. About seven years commercially.
22 It was worked on primarily in the '80s.
23 Commercially available at the beginning of this
24 decade. It has revolutionized newborn care.

25 Q. What about betamethasone, is it

1 still used?

2 A. Obstetricians argue back and forth
3 about who should be receiving it, who should not
4 be receiving it. The two big arguments usually
5 are how far along is the mother. If the mother's
6 beyond 33, 34 weeks gestation, the argument is it
7 probably is not necessary. The other argument
8 usually is if their membranes have been ruptured,
9 there is a risk of infection. That's been pretty
10 much put to rest, however. One or two doses of
11 Betamethasone will not induce infection in the
12 mother, so that's usually now not considered a
13 contraindication.

14 Q. Is two doses the --

15 A. Standard.

16 Q. And that's over a 48-hour period
17 of time?

18 A. Right, and it would be repeated on
19 a two-hour basis if the mother is not delivered.

20 Q. Is she kept in the hospital or
21 discharged home?

22 A That depends on the insurance
23 company.

24 Q Unfortunately, insurance aside,
25 medically what is --

1 A. It would depend on her situation,
2 her living conditions, whether she has ruptured
3 or not. If she's dilated beyond two centimeters
4 and ruptured, it probably would be safer to keep
5 her in the hospital.

6 Q. What if she's ruptured but only
7 one centimeter dilated?

8 A. Probably safer to keep her in the
9 hospital.

10 Q. Do you know how to define labor?

11 A. Labor is uterine contractions
12 which lead to the progressive effacement and
13 dilatation of the cervix.

14 Q. Do you have any opinions about the
15 obstetrician care given to Angelia Fortner in
16 this case?

17 A. No.

18 Q. By that, I assume then you have no
19 criticism of anything Dr. Kasten did or did not
20 do?

21 A. I have no opinion.

22 Q. Do you agree that Ciara, the
23 infant involved in this case, at 34-plus weeks
24 was a viable infant?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q Did we have any cellulitis or abscesses?
2
3
4
5 A Of per chest x-rays, no. saw him
6 not
7
8 Q. What was the cause of the abscess?
9
10 A This infant was of hypoxic
11 ischemic encephalopathy. In process wherein the
12 brain has been deprived of oxygen and other
13 substrates it requires to maintain vitality.
14 This process occurred well before the child was
15 delivered, and extended through the time of the
16 child's birth.
17 Q So is it your testimony that this
18 hypoxic encephalopathy --
19
20 A We do use big words.
21 You tell me you cannot say
22 neonatology.
23
24 A. Hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy
25 occurred in utero?
26
27 Yes.
28
29 Q When this child was delivered, the
30 hypoxic event was not occurring at that time?
31
32 A No. This child was born flaccid,
33 with pronounced brachycephaly, no tone, and no gag

1 The one minute Apgar score was one. The only way
2 that can happen is if the brain has been turned
3 off. Two of the things that we score on the
4 Apgar scoring system are muscle tone and gag
5 reflex, and they are both indicators of central
6 nervous system function. If an infant is born
7 flaccid, there can only be two reasons, one, the
8 child has been given drugs via the mother that
9 caused that to occur, which did not happen here,
10 or there is some pathology between the brain, the
11 spinal cord, the peripheral nerve, and the
12 muscle.

13 Now if you look at Ciara,
14 congenital myotonic dystrophy, the muscle
15 disease, clearly was not there. She did not have
16 peripheral nerve damage because she was totally
17 flaccid, not just flaccid in one arm or one leg.
18 There was no evidence of spinal cord trauma, so
19 you are left by elimination to believe the
20 child's cerebral cortex had been turned off or
21 damaged.

22 **a.** On autopsy is there any evidence
23 of cerebral cortex damage?

24 A. There was evidence of it on the
25 MRI. She had profound cerebral edema.

1 Q. Is that something that could come
2 from not breathing when she's born?

3 A. It would come from a lack of
4 oxygen that occurred both before she was born and
5 after she was born.

6 Q. Is there any indication of fetal
7 distress in the labor and delivery records?

8 A. That's where this gets dicey. One
9 of the problems that you guys, and when I say you
10 guys, I mean attorneys, have is that they look at
11 this issue of proximate cause. Just let me run
12 with this for a second. There are sometimes
13 events that occur just at the time of partuition,
14 birth, or labor that can be recorded on fetal
15 rate tracings, cause aberrant fetal scalp pH and
16 such, that will cause asphyxia.

17 However, it is also possible, and
18 happens quite frequently, unfortunately, that
19 events occur days before the actual delivery of
20 the child, such that by the time the child is
21 delivered, the footprints of asphyxia changes the
22 blood gases, changes in fetal heart rate tracing
23 are gone.

24 To provide an analogy, if a child
25 falls into a pool and is down at the bottom of

1 the pool for ten minutes, and he's pulled up, and
2 he's intubated by the squad, he'll get his heart
3 back, his lungs back, his kidneys back, his gut
4 back, but he won't get his brain back. He will
5 be in the ICU hooked up to a machine breathing
6 for him. He'll be brain dead, but his vital
7 signs will be perfectly stable, his blood gases
8 will be perfectly normal.

9 Similarly, a fetus can undergo an
10 asphyxia insult days before delivery of a
11 substantial nature, and because of the time
12 between the insult and the actual birth, recovery
13 could occur, so that the footprints of asphyxia,
14 acidosis, fetal heart rate tracing abnormalities
15 are not there.

16 The fact is very simple. This
17 child had reasonably normal cord blood gas, but
18 had a one minute Apgar score of one. Now those
19 are profoundly discrepant bits of information,
20 and the only logical conclusion is that something
21 had happened to this child a long time before the
22 actual delivery of the infant.

23 Q. Would you expect a child who had
24 an earlier asphyxia event to survive and go
25 through the laboring process as the records

1 indicate this infant did?

2 A. Absolutely, sure. An example for
3 that would be a child with an encephali, a birth
4 defect where the brain is simply not there.
5 Those children do fine in labor, and are born,
6 and except for the absence of a brain, look just
7 fine.

8 Q If the one minute Apgar score is
9 incorrect, would that change your conclusions?

10 A But it isn't, because it's, not
11 only is the Apgar score recorded, but all through
12 the chart is written flaccid, not breathing,
13 limp. I mean, the child is clearly described as
14 being profoundly depressed at birth.

15 Q So your opinion is based upon the
16 description of the infant at birth?

17 A Absolutely.

18 Q There is nothing else in the
19 record or the autopsy that indicates what, if
20 anything, occurred or when it occurred?

21 A Not necessarily. The autopsy and
22 the MRI showed significant hypoxic ischemic
23 encephalopathy cerebral edema. Let's for
24 argument say that Ciara was born in a pristine
25 condition, and for some reason had a plug in her

1 airway and couldn't breath. Nine minutes
2 elapsed -- well, four minutes elapsed between the
3 time she was born and Dr. May first intubated
4 her, although he was bagging her during that
5 time. He intubated her. He got no response,
6 and, therefore, he appropriately pulled the tube
7 out, prepared himself for reintubation.

8 This is what we train people to
9 do. If you put the tube in and the child has not
10 come back, you take the tube out, you assume that
11 you have not put the tube in the right place or
12 that the tube is not big enough or that something
13 has to be done different. Take the tube out.

14 By the time he was ready to put
15 the tube back in, Dr. Trippe was there. He put
16 the tube in. He put in a 3.0 at five minutes,
17 didn't see a response. Took it out
18 appropriately. Put in a 3.5 at nine minutes.
19 That period of nine minutes, if the child had
20 been born in a pristine state, is simply not
21 sufficient to cause the degree of brain damage
22 that Ciara had experienced.

23 In other words, what I am saying
24 is that clearly the events that occurred after
25 her birth could not, by themselves, explain why

1 she had such a massive degree of brain damage.
2 It's just, it's not there.

3 Q. Are you telling me that nine
4 minutes without oxygen won't cause this brain
5 damage?

6 A. But she wasn't without oxygen.
7 Look at the chart.

8 Q. Let me just start this question.

9 A. Go ahead.

10 Q. Nine minutes without oxygen would
11 cause this type of severe brain damage; wouldn't
12 it?

13 A. No, no.

14 Q. You are saying no.

15 A. No. Because the question, it's an
16 irrelevant question, because she was not without
17 oxygen.

18 Q. That's not my question. I am
19 saying nine minutes without oxygen, Doctor, are
20 you telling me that will not cause brain damage,
21 this type of brain damage?

22 S. MALNAR: Are you asking him in a
23 vacuum?

24 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: I am asking the
25 question just like it is. I am not saying this

1 infant had no oxygen for nine minutes I am
2 saying, nine minutes without oxygen.

3 Q If you took a human being,
4 perfectly healthy, and completely eliminate
5 oxygen from their environment for nine minutes,
6 it would not be sufficient to cause this degree
7 of damage, no.

8 Q How about nine minutes of no
9 oxygen in a newborn?

10 A No. In fact, newborns are
11 specifically designed to withstand this kind of
12 insult. That's where -- I will put it to you this
13 way. The oxygen level in your blood right now,
14 your PO₂, is about 85. Now if you were pregnant,
15 your fetus' PO₂ would only be about 35. The
16 fetus lives in a very low oxygen environment for
17 a sustained period of time during fetal
18 development. The fetus is specifically designed
19 to live in a low oxygen environment. He thrives
20 in it. It happens because his hemoglobin is
21 different, his cardiac function is different, and
22 his circulation is different.

23 Q Once that infant is born, that
24 changes; doesn't it?

25 A. Very slowly. This is the blood

1 gas taken after the child was born. The child's
2 oxygen level is 90. What's normal. This child
3 was not deprived of oxygen.
4 Q At birth
5 A At this particular moment in time,
6 when this gas was obtained, this child's oxygen
7 level was normal.
8 Q At what point in time is that
9 blood gas obtained?
10 A 1848. She was born at 1730, I
11 think, wasn't it, or 1740?
12 Q Something like that. But that's
13 well over an hour from birth.
14 A Well, that's the first evidence
15 they had, the first time they could get a gas
16 Q Well, there were cord gases drawn
17 at birth by the OB.
18 A. Right.
19 Q And that's normal, wasn't it?
20 A Actually the arterial pO₂ and the
21 cord is a little bit on the lowish side, but it's
22 not out of the realm of normal.
23 Q So it's within normal range?
24 A Yeah, it's not too low.
25 Q The next blood gas, there is a

1 blood gases before 1940; isn't there, Doctor?

2 A I won't say it Wait a minute
3 So, that's 1940. 1937 So, I don't say one You
4 know, I just realized something as well maybe
5 some blood gases were run an hour later they were
6 drawn during that time. oxygen -- oxygen and
7 hemoglobin like each other a lot in other
8 words, the when on the axis of axis is plus.
9 right, but if I cut my vein the blood that comes
10 out will become an instantaneously because the
11 oxygen in the tissues will bind to the
12 hemoglobin and make it red.*

13 So these things plus is for a long
14 time before they were run, which makes me wonder
15 about the feasibility of the blood core samples

16 or Aren't they maintained in an
17 environment so that the core results are accurate
18 and correct?

19 A. Not necessarily If there are any
20 samples at all in the sample or if they are not
21 properly chilled, if they are left in room
22 temperature, it can change very dramatically

23 or do you know anything about how
24 this blood sample was preserved or taken?

25 A. No

1 Q. Or transported to the lab?
2 A. No.
3 Q. Can I look at your chart there?
4 A. Sure. That's the labs and her
5 chart from Fisher Titus. The previous sheets are
6 all CBCs and such, and there are four blood
7 gases, the two cords and the one at 1848 and the
8 one at 2000, which is on the next page.
9 Q. The blood gas drawn at 1840 or
10 1846, I can't quite read it, has a pH of 6.66.
11 A. Um-hmm.
12 Q. That's near death; isn't it?
13 A. It suggests a substantial
14 acidosis.
15 Q. The pH taken at 1730 was 7.2?
16 A. Yes.
17 Q. The other one is 7.3, both of
18 which are within normal ranges?
19 A. This is the venous blood gas, this
20 is an arterial blood gas, this is also a venous
21 blood gas, but this is very low, yes. The 6.6 is
22 very low.
23 Q. Would you agree that there is some
24 event that occurs between birth and when this
25 blood gas is drawn at 1846?

1 MS. MALNAR: Claudia, where are you
2 reading?

3 MS. EKLUND: Right here. It says time
4 drawn. In those little paragraphs where you
5 write things down.

6 THE WITNESS: It's obvious that two
7 things happened. First there are two things that
8 are going to affect the pH, the carbon dioxide
9 level and the bicarbonate concentration, one's an
10 acid and one's a base. And you can see that the
11 base level has profoundly decreased, so that the
12 child's base excess is minus 31, so the child is
13 really devoid of buffer. At the same time, the
14 carbon dioxide tension is high. So that this is
15 what has occurred between this point and this
16 point, but again, the fact that these two gases
17 were sitting around for an hour makes me wonder
18 about what happened.

19 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: I don't want you
20 to speculate about what happened.

21 A. Right.

22 Q. Do you have any reason to believe
23 they weren't --

24 A. No.

25 Q. -- properly stored, maintained,

1 transferred, whatever needed to be done with
2 them?

3 A. No.

4 Q. So you have to assume at this
5 point that they are accurate readings; don't you?

6 A. I think so, yes.

7 Q. You were, I think, looking for
8 something in this chart that indicated this baby
9 was receiving oxygen in the first nine minutes
10 after birth?

11 A. Sure. When the child was born,
12 Dr. May, in his deposition and also in the
13 nursing notes, it's clear that the child was
14 being given oxygen by mask, and it was being
15 bagged and masked during the first four minutes,
16 and then he was being bagged or she was being
17 bagged via the endotracheal tube when the child
18 was intubated at four minutes, and then again at
19 five minutes when Dr. Trippe intubated the child.

20 Q. Is the child getting oxygen if the
21 chest is not rising with the endotracheal tube?

22 A. The chest rise is another thing
23 about this case that people have focused on which
24 I think is curious. Chest rise in a 34-week
25 infant is very difficult to discern. The chest

1 of a 34-week infant is primarily cartilage from
2 roughly the mid axillary line up to the entire
3 anterior chest is cartilage. I have, on hundreds
4 of occasions, intubated a child in the delivery
5 room who was not breathing and bagged the child
6 properly and the child has turned pink and the
7 heart rate has gone up and not seen a substantial
8 chest rise. The chest rise, it can be very
9 difficult to see sometimes, especially in
10 premature infants.

11 Q. Do you have any reason to think
12 that there was chest rise in Ciara before
13 Dr. Trippe came into the room?

14 A. No.

15 Q. In doing a resuscitation on a
16 newborn and ventilating the child, you tell your
17 residents to use as much pressure as you need to
18 get the chest rise?

19 A. What I tell my residents is to
20 titrate to affect of improvement of the infant.
21 In other words, the goal of placing the tube in a
22 bag and mask is not to see the chest rise, it's
23 to see the child improve. **And** so what I tell
24 them is if the child is born and the child is not
25 breathing and you stimulate the child with

1 tactile stimulation and the child does not
2 respond, that you bag and mask the child. If you
3 see no response vis-a-vis an increased heart rate
4 or improved color, improved tone, then you
5 intubate the child. And then you bag and mask
6 the child. If you do not see -- you bag the
7 child through the ET tube. If you do not see
8 improvement in heart rate and color and tone,
9 then you make sure that your tube is where you
10 think it was.

11 Q. Isn't chest rise an indication
12 that the child is being ventilated, the lungs are
13 inflating?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. If the lungs don't inflate
16 immediately upon birth, they are more difficult
17 to inflate as time goes on?

18 A. I don't know that it's any more
19 difficult to inflate the lungs at three or four
20 or five minutes of birth than it is at the moment
21 of birth. The first breath obviously is a very
22 important event. The baby has to take a deep
23 breath to inflate the lungs. The lungs at the
24 time of partuition are primarily water filled,
25 and that has to be replaced with air, and that

1 takes a very deep breath to do.

2 Q. If the baby doesn't get that first
3 breath, the lungs will collapse; won't they?

4 A. They come out collapsed
5 basically. They are not air filled at birth. So
6 they are relatively deflated at birth.

7 Q. If they are not inflated
8 immediately after birth, all of the things that
9 the body would ordinarily do to start the
10 breathing process shuts down?

11 A. No, no.

12 Q. No?

13 A. The breathing process is initiated
14 by the central nervous system, and the reason
15 that Ciara was not breathing was that her central
16 nervous system had been turned off. That goes
17 back to what I was saying earlier, that this
18 child came out and obviously had sustained a
19 significant injury before she was even delivered.

20 Q. Have you looked at the MRI films
21 of Ciara?

22 A. I have not seen the films. I have
23 read the reports.

24 Q. When you treat children in the
25 nursery, do you look at the MRI films yourself?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. You read them and interpret them

3 yourself?

4 A. I read them. I allow my radiology

5 colleagues to provide the formal interpretation.

6 Q. Do you consult with them?

7 A. Yes. That way they get to drive

8 their Lexis. It's a great field, radiology.

9 Q. Do you agree that four minutes to

10 intubate this patient is too long?

11 A. No.

12 Q. What time frame do you teach your

13 residents when you are teaching resuscitation?

14 A. Time frames are very difficult to

15 use in that setting, because when you have a

16 crisis, time flies by very fast. What I tell my

17 residents is not to look at their watch but to

18 look at the patient. I tell them that when the

19 baby is put in front of **you**, the first thing you

20 look at is tone. Does the child have tone, yes

21 or no. **If** the answer is no, then that suggests

22 the child has significant central nervous system

23 depression. That means the child is not going to

24 begin to breath by him or herself. The child

25 needs to be bagged. I tell the residents that

1 they should first begin to bag the child, seal
2 the bag properly. Oftentimes that is enough to
3 get the child going. If that does not work, then
4 the child should be intubated.

5 Q. Time plays some importance in
6 making these decisions and going to the next
7 action; doesn't it?

8 A. No. Actually it doesn't. When
9 you are in the delivery room, you don't look at
10 the clock. I mean, you're looking at the baby.
11 I have never in my life looked at the clock in
12 the DR.

13 Q. I am not suggesting that these
14 doctors stand around and look at the clock and
15 not the baby.

16 A. What I am saying is it's a
17 step-wise process. You assess, determine how
18 depressed the child is, provide bag and mask
19 ventilation, assess for the success or failure of
20 that technique, and then go to the next step.

21 Now the time frame, this should
22 go on during the first three to five minutes of
23 the child's life.

24 Q. What should go on between the
25 first three to five minutes?

1 A. The point of assessment, initial
2 bag and mask, initial intubation.

3 Q. Successful intubation at five
4 minutes?

5 A. Yes, um-hmm.

6 Q. This child was not successfully
7 intubated at five minutes.

8 A. You don't have any evidence of
9 that.

10 Q. Do you have any evidence that she
11 was?

12 A. No, but you have no evidence that
13 she wasn't.

14 Q. Do you have any reason to believe
15 this child was being -- receiving oxygen at five
16 minutes?

17 A. I have no way of knowing that,
18 except to know the child was being bagged and
19 masked, had been intubated, and was being
20 bagged. Whether that first tube was in the
21 trachea or in the esophagus, both of us will
22 learn that on the day of our death. We'll have
23 to ask the higher power. I mean, I don't know.
24 I mean, certainly in a crisis situation,
25 esophageal intubation happens. I mean, that

1 happens all the time. But you have no evidence
2 here one way or the other that it did or did not
3 happen.

4 Q. Well, the only thing we know is
; that Dr. May says he did not get any chest rise.

6 A. Right.

7 Q. That would indicate the lungs were
8 not being inflated for whatever reason?

9 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: No, no. It indicates that
11 the chest didn't rise. The lungs could have been
12 inflated and you might not have seen the chest
13 rise. You're breathing right now, and I don't
14 see your chest rise.

15 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: I would submit
16 there is some difference. What event occurred
17 prior to labor and delivery to cause this brain
18 damage in this child?

19 A. I have no idea.

20 Q. What are the possibilities?

21 A. There are a number. Cord
22 accidents, the child could have tangled herself
23 in her cord. There could have been a loss of
24 placental function for any one of a number of
25 reasons. It's very difficult to say.

1 MR. TATTERSALL: Placental?

2 THE WITNESS: Placental functions. It's

3 very difficult to say. One of the things you

4 always have to remember is human gestation is

5 supposed to go 37 to 42 weeks, so if something

6 starts earlier than 37 weeks, you have to ask

7 yourself, why is that happening. Whenever a

8 perinatologist is presented with a mother who has

9 ruptured her membranes before 37 weeks gestation,

10 one has to wonder about what's going on with that

11 fetus, why did those membranes rupture.

12 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Is there any sign

13 of infection?

14 A. Infection is one cause of rupture

15 of membranes, but not the sole cause.

16 Q. Was there any sign of infection in

17 this pregnancy?

18 A. In this child, no, not really.

19 Q. Was there any meconium?

20 A. No, not at birth.

21 Q. Was the child meconium stained?

22 A. No, I don't believe so.

23 Q. Wouldn't meconium or meconium

24 stain in the infant indicate an earlier ischemic

25 event?

1 A. No, not in this case. This child
2 is 34 weeks gestation. Meconium is really not
3 there until -- meconium is a term thing to do.
4 Premature infants don't pass meconium when they
5 are asphyxiated.

6 Q. So only after the 37th week would
7 you expect it?

8 A. Yes. The neural connections that
9 would cause the colon to expel the meconium into
10 the amniotic fluid are not present at 34 weeks
11 gestation.

12 Q. Do I understand correctly that the
13 only basis you have for concluding that these
14 events occurred before labor is the Apgar score?

15 A. No. It's the child's condition.
16 I mean, the Apgar score is one representation of
17 that.

18 Q. What else about her condition?

19 A. She was flaccid.

20 Q. Well, that goes to the Apgar
21 score; doesn't it?

22 A. Exactly, she was not breathing,
23 and she was bradycardic.

24 Q. Anything else?

25 A. That's enough. I mean, that's --

1 I've seen thousands and thousands of babies
2 born. When a child is born, they are vigorous,
3 they cry, they have muscle tone, they move
4 around, they breath, and they have a good heart
5 rate. If that doesn't happen, that means that
6 something has occurred before the child was
7 delivered. It's as simple as that. Prematurely
8 born babies, 34 weeks gestation, come out kicking
9 and screaming.

10 Now if the child was profoundly
11 premature, say 24, 25, 26 weeks, then you
12 wouldn't expect that child to move very much.
13 But at 34 weeks gestation, these kids come out,
14 and they're very, very active, if they're
15 delivered in a non-asphyxiated state.

16 Q. What was the reason for Dr. May's
17 difficulties in ventilating this child?

18 A. I don't know. And that's a real
19 perplexing issue here, and I could only tell you
20 on a personal level that it has happened to me
21 also, in situations just like this, with badly --
22 with babies that are born that are profoundly
23 depressed at birth. The tube goes in, you know
24 it's in, and you bag the child. And you just
25 don't get a response. You don't see any evidence

1 of improvement in the child's cardiovascular
2 status. I have long wondered what the problem
3 is, and I don't really have any answers for you,
4 except to say that I've seen this happen myself.

5 Q. In those cases, were you
6 eventually able to ventilate the child?

7 A. Yes. But the outcome was almost,
8 in those cases, the outcome was almost invariably
9 as this case was.

10 Q. Death?

11 A. Profound brain damage.

12 Q. How many times has that occurred
13 to you?

14 A. I don't have a number.

15 Q. More than a couple?

16 A. Over ten, yes, over 16 years,
17 yes.

18 Q. Are those in premature infants?

19 A. Both in preterm and term infants,
20 yes.

21 Q. I assume you have read the
22 depositions that you've been supplied?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. Dr. Trippe testified he attempted
25 to put Epinephrine down the ET tube and it came

1 back.

2 A That's very common. That's a very
3 frustrating thing. When you have the tube in the
4 trachea and the lungs are noncompliant, the lungs
5 have not expanded properly yet, you'll see the
6 bolus of Epi kind of wash up and down the tube.
7 It's the same thing when you give surfactant down
8 the tube. There's a pressure gradient between
9 the thoracic space and the atmosphere, and it's a
10 hard way to give drugs. You can't just drip it
11 down, because there is pressure down there, and
12 it's very common.

13 Q It's common in noncompliant lungs?
14 A Common in situations like this,
15 yes, absolutely.

16 C She was not given any surfactant
17 down the tube; was she?

18 A No, she was not.

19 Q Do you have any criticism of that?
20 A No, because by the time she got to
21 the tertiary care center, she had a clear chest
22 x-ray. She had a little bit of right upper lobe
23 atelectasis, but that's just from the tube
24 placement issue.

25 Q Meaning she didn't need the

1 surfactant?

2 A. Correct.

3 O. How much ventilation pressure do

4 you teach your residents to give for the first

5 breath?

6 ^ I teach them to give the pressure

7 that is necessary to affect the change they need

8 to see. In other words, that the baby should

9 either have the mask fixed firmly into the face

10 or the tube placed into the trachea, the bag

11 should then be affixed to the tube or the mask,

12 and the bag should be pushed to a point where you

13 see a response on the part of the baby, that is

14 the child begins to turn pink, the child's heart

15 rate improves and the child's tone improves.

16 There really is no magic number

17 as far as pressure. It can be as low as 15

18 centimeters of water, it can be as high as 40

19 centimeters of water. It varies.

20 Q Can it be higher than 40?

21 A I don't believe so.

22 Q Can it be 60?

23 A I don't think so.

24 Q Would 60 cause any harm?

25 A I think if you took a newborn

1 infant and put 60 centimeters of water pressure
2 into his trachea, you'd probably cause a
3 pneumothorax

4 Q. That's not life threatening; is
5 it?

6 A Yes, it is.

7 Q If not treated?

8 A Yes.

9 Q If treated?

10 A If recognized and treated. it can
11 be fixed, yes.

12 Q Do family practitioners attend
13 premature infants at Children's or University
14 Hospital?

15 A The residents do. yes

16 Q Are they there under somebody
17 else's supervision?

18 A Yes

19 Q A neonatologist?

20 A Yes All the residents are

21 Q Do you have any family
22 practitioners who are not residents who attend
23 resuscitation of newborns?

24 A At University Hospital?

25 Q Yes

1 A. No.

2 Q. What about at Children's?

3 A. We don't deliver babies here.

4 Columbus is like Cleveland. I mean, all the

5 hospitals in Columbus have neonatologists. It's

6 a large metropolitan area, so that you would

7 expect to have a neonatologist present. In a

8 smaller area, Level 1 hospital, *you* go outside

9 Franklin County, and you are going to have family

10 practice residents and pediatricians in the DR

11 all the time.

12 Q. Is there any reason why a family

13 practitioner at a Level 1 hospital should not

14 attend a premature infant?

15 A. If he or she has been properly

16 trained, it should not be a problem.

17 Q. Proper training is the newborn

18 resuscitation course or something like that?

19 A. It's the entire experience in the

20 intensive care nursery, having had the experience

21 working with infants, helping them after birth,

22 and providing them with assistance. Which is

23 part of family practice training.

24 Q. Again, there was no sign of

25 infection in this pregnancy?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Or on autopsy?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Any reason to think this child had
5 a mucus plug?

6 A. I've read that in the -- mucus
7 plugs occur after delivery. I think, if
8 anything, what this child might have had was
9 either an amniotic fluid embolus in the trachea,
10 or alternatively, she might have had
11 tracheomalacia, T-R-A-C-H-E-O-M-A-L-A-C-I-A,
12 which is a condition where the smaller airways
13 collapse down and when that happens, they are
14 very, very, very difficult to inflate. Now on
15 the autopsy, the autopsy report does not directly
16 look at that, but unless you are a pediatric
17 pathologist and looking for it, you are not going
18 to find it.

19 Q. So we really don't know?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. These things. You are speculating
22 about them?

23 a. That's correct.

24 Q. There is no basis for it?

25 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

1 THE WITNESS: Except that there are
2 things that can occur that would cause these
3 events to occur.

4 Q B S EXCUSED: What would not
5 have occurred -- that would have occurred at the
6 time of delivery?

7 A. Yes, the expiration of amniotic
8 fluid. Well, the tracheomalacia would have
9 occurred, would have been a congenital condition
10 before delivery and would have been there since
11 the development of the lungs

12 Q But it wouldn't have caused a brain
13 injury until birth?

14 A That is correct.

15 Q The Level 1 hospitals outside of
16 Franklin County, do they serve their 34 week
17 deliveries to Columbus?

18 A. I don't know. I'm not an
19 obstetrician.

20 Q Do you attend any of the children
21 from outlying hospitals at University?

22 A I'm not sure what you are asking
23 Q In neonatology at University

24 A Right.

25 Q Do you see patients referred to

1 that institution from the outlying counties?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Do you know whether the policy is

4 to send 34-week --

5 A. I don't know.

6 Q. .. pregnancies? Is there any way

7 by looking at the MRI films to time the insult

8 which caused the brain injury?

9 A. You normally will see the

10 development of swelling of the brain generally

11 two to three days following the insult. But

12 that's, that's very difficult to temporally

13 relate to back-calculate of the MRI. I think

14 it's very hard to do.

15 Q. What about the microscopic

16 examination of the placenta, wouldn't there be

17 some indication there that an event had occurred?

18 A. Not necessarily. Once again,

19 unless the placenta was examined by a perinatal

20 pathologist who really was looking at the

21 placenta aggressively, looking for something,

22 then it probably would not have been seen. I

23 mean, placentas, as you know, probably any tissue

24 that's removed from a human in the state of Ohio

25 has to be examined by a pathologist. And so when

1 a placenta comes down into the pathology lab, I
2 mean, they are going to cut a few sections and
3 say, yeah, that's a placenta.

4 But there are people that are
5 specifically interested in looking at placental
6 pathology, and they will do special stain and
7 look very carefully. If that was not done, you
8 may have missed something. I've seen that happen
9 many times.

10 Q. If that was done, would that more
11 than likely show some indication?

12 A. Not necessarily. It depends on
13 what happened. You could have had a cord
14 accident occur and the placenta could have been
15 just fine.

16 Q. Is there anything in her prenatal
17 records which would indicate any problems with
18 the pregnancy?

19 A. No.

20 Q. For the infant? I take it you
21 have seen her prenatal records?

22 A. Yes, I have.

23 Q. Just looking through the
24 depositions that you have, I notice that on
25 Dr. Trippe, you -- I believe that's your writing,

1 says page 39?

2 A Yes

3 Q And you circled the Section where
4 he is talking about the 30 photographs of the
5 being in place and the compression were
6 given

7 A Let me see Yes

8 Q Did you circle that?

9 A Because this is his impression
10 that when he arrived, that the -- that Dr. May
11 saw anticipated the call properly and was doing
12 what he should be doing to help the call
13 Q Was that important to you in
14 formulating your opinion?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q Is your opinion based upon
17 Dr. Mripp's opinion?

18 A No.

19 Q Why was it important to you?

20 A It's important because it provides
21 me with evidence of what was happening at the
22 time What I do look for evidence in the
23 medical records in that position to what
24 actually transpired, go back and try to figure
25 out what actually happened I look for bits of

1 evidence in that regard.

2 Q In Dr. Carlson's, you've noted
3 page 50. You've circled where she said, yes, I
4 think appropriate evaluation and sequence of
5 interventions took place. So I would offer that
6 opinion. Was that important?

7 A Who is this?

8 Q Dr. Carlson. She's the
9 neonatologist at Toledo hospital where the child
10 was transferred.

11 A Yes. I mean I offered that, I
12 circled it because she obviously, when she looked
13 at the situation, she was comfortable with what
14 had happened.

15 Q Was that important to you?

16 A Again, it's a bit of evidence.

17 Q You have read Dr. Walentik's
18 deposition?

19 A Um-hmm.

20 Q You disagree with her opinions?

21 A She's entitled to her opinions.

22 Q Do you disagree with them?

23 A I thought the deposition was
24 interesting.

25 Q In what way was it interesting?

1 A She's very opinionated and very
2 outspoken, and I don't think that you can state
3 things in such black and white terms. I also
4 think that she missed a few very important facts
5 about, as a neonatologist who doesn't recognize
6 the fact that when the child was born, the
7 child's one minute Apgar score was one, the child
8 was flaccid, not breathing, bradycardic. To me I
9 wonder why she didn't pick up on that,
10 Q What else did she miss?
11 A Some of her references to the
12 physiologic activities or physiologic principles
13 that are involved in labor and delivery are a
14 little crude, shall we say.
15 Q Can you be specific?
16 A I'd have to go back and read it.
17 Q Is she wrong in her opinions?
18 A No. She has an opinion. I mean,
19 her opinion is that the child should have been
20 intubated more rapidly, and that's her opinion.
21 In all likelihood, in her institution, the child
22 probably would have been intubated more rapidly
23 by her. But the fact that she does that in her
24 institution as a Board certified neonatologist
25 does not mean that what Dr. May did at Fisher

1 mitus was inappropriate

2 Q How do you determine standard of
3 care in this setting?

4 A Again, you get back to this word,
5 standard of care, which is something that lawyers
6 come up with Doctors. I never heard that term
7 until I began to do legal work nine years ago. I
8 mean, standard of care in this situation would be
9 assessment of the child at birth, determination
10 of the degree of oppression, assistance of the
11 child from the standpoint of initiating
12 respiratory activity, with a bag and a mask. It
13 that does not work, then with an endotracheal
14 tube, now that should be carried out within the
15 first several minutes of life.

16 Q. When you say several, do you mean
17 one, two, three?

18 MS M4 NAR objection.

19 THE WITNESS: Five. That's only because
20 that's about how long it normally takes

21 Q. BY MS EKLUN: What's regular
22 or who's doing it?

23 A. Obviously if a person with a lot
24 of experience in taking care of babies is there,
25 it's going to happen much faster.

1 Q. But five minutes would be the top,
2 no matter who's doing it?

3 A. I would think that if the child
4 needs to be intubated and he's not intubated by
5 five minutes, then I think that's reasonable,
6 yes, that's a problem.

7 Q. Generally what do you understand
8 the standard of care to mean? I don't mean
9 specific to resuscitation, just generally.

10 A. As I understand it, it is lawyer
11 speak for what medical communities should provide
12 under a given circumstance, what the appropriate
13 response would be under a particular circumstance
14 to provide care to a particular patient.

15 Q. Do you understand it to be what a
16 reasonable physician would do under the
17 circumstances?

18 A. Correct, yes.

19 Q. Did you make any notes --

20 A. No.

21 Q. -- when you reviewed these
22 records?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Any reports?

25 A. No.

1 Q. Is there anything you reviewed
2 that's not on the table here?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Did you refer to any texts or
5 journals?

6 A. No.

7 Q. Have we discussed all of the
8 opinions that you intend to offer at trial?

9 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: Well, I do have another
11 opinion. Just a general philosophy about
12 malpractice in general.

13 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Okay. What is it?

14 A. What you have here is a bad
15 outcome. Bad outcome does not mean that
16 malpractice happened. If I go into the delivery
17 room right now -- I am on call tonight. If I go
18 into the DR tonight and I do three things wrong,
19 let's say I want to be a little bit reckless with
20 my life and so I stop by the liquor store and get
21 some Jack Daniels and go into the DR a little bit
22 toasted, and I do three or four things wrong, and
23 the child does well, I'm a hero. No problem.
24 Even though I committed malpractice.

25 If, as I would do, I go home, see

1 my kids and my wife, have my dinner, get called
2 back to the hospital, in a completely sober state
3 and do everything that I should do by the book,
4 by my training, by my instincts, by my
5 experience, and I have a bad outcome, then I'm
6 going to have to call the corporation lawyer the
7 next day and say, hey, we got a potential problem
8 here.

9 The problem with the system of
10 tort in Ohio is that there's no differentiation
11 between bad outcome and malpractice. Bad outcome
12 happened here. This child died. It was
13 terrible. It was a very unfortunate thing. But
14 Dr. May and Dr. Trippe did what they should have
15 done.

16 Q. That's your opinion, right?

17 A. Yes, it is my opinion that these
18 individuals did everything that they could and
19 should have done to assist this child in
20 surviving.

21 Q. Do you acknowledge that sometimes
22 doctors do commit malpractice?

23 A. Absolutely.

24 Q. And sometimes there is a bad
25 result?

1 A. Absolutely.

2 Q. Do you concede that other doctors
3 may have other opinions as to whether malpractice
4 occurred or did not occur?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt
7 Dr. Walentik's credentials or her honesty or
8 integrity?

9

10 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt
11 Dr. Fields' honesty or integrity?

12 A. No.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 recourse to seek compensation for that,
2 absolutely.

3 Q. Do you understand in this case
4 that if there weren't qualified experts saying
5 that malpractice had occurred on behalf of the
6 Plaintiff, that this case wouldn't be in court?

7 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, no.

9 MR. TATTERSALL: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: Yes, it would. You know
11 that as well as I do.

12 Q BY MS. EKLUND: No, you are
13 wrong. We can go off the record, but what makes
14 you think a case can be maintained without
15 qualified experts?

16 MS. MALNAR: Objection.

17 MR. TATTERSALL: Are you on the record or
18 off the record?

19 MS. ERLUND: On the record.

20 MS. MALNAR: This has nothing to do with
21 anything, Claudia.

22 MS. EKLUND: It has to do with bias.

23 MS. MALNAR: No, it doesn't have to do
24 with bias. He's already testified that he
25 doesn't have a problem with the care that was

1 rendered in this case and that he believed, and
2 these are my words interpreting what he said, but
3 that they complied with the appropriate standard
4 of care.

5 As to his opinions on the tort
6 system in Ohio, they are not relevant to
7 discovery in the deposition. I mean, if you want
8 to have a discussion with him after the case is
9 over, then so be it, but it's not appropriate,
10 and you know it as well as I do, at this juncture
11 in this case.

12 MS. EKLUND: Well, I disagree with you.

13 THE WITNESS: See, lawyers disagree,
14 too.

15 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Doctor, do you
16 agree that people, anybody, doctors, lawyers,
17 dentists, mechanics, who hold themselves out to
18 people as skilled in a particular service or
19 branch of medicine, are required to act in
20 accordance with an accepted standard of care?

21 MS. MALNAR: Objection. You are asking
22 him to interpret legal principles, and he's not
23 going to do it. If you want me to get the rule
24 out in terms of what's appropriate in a discovery
25 deposition and what isn't under 26E, I will.

1 MS. EKLUND: P.J., you can do anything
2 you want. You can direct him not to answer, and
3 we will come back later.
4 MS. MALNAR: I will direct him not to
5 answer.
6 MS. EKLUND: Of course, he is not your
7 witness, you understand.
8 MS. MALNAR: I understand.
9 MS. EKLUND: I don't think you can direct
10 him not to answer.
11 MS. MALNAR: Claudia, make up your mind.
12 You just told me to direct him not to answer if I
13 wanted to, and I did.
14 MS. EKLUND: Well, I would suggest to you
15 that he is not your witness, so you can't do
16 that.
17 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: Doctor, can you
18 answer that question?
19 A. Sure. Absolutely. People, a
20 patient comes to me, they expect that I will take
21 care of them properly, absolutely.
22 Q. One thing we didn't get was your
23 CV. I'm finished unless there is something in
24 your CV that I might want to ask you about.
25 A. Okay.

1 (Off the record.)

2 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: What do you
3 charge, Doctor, for your time?

4 A. For reviewing a case, \$250 an hour
5 for depositions, \$300 an hour for any part of an
6 hour. In other words, what I have to do, like
7 for this afternoon, I blocked off.

8 (Interruption.)

9 THE WITNESS: What I mean is that because
10 of what I do for a living in research, I had to
11 block the afternoon off, so I couldn't do an
12 expert, I couldn't do anything. So basically,
13 from 12 till whenever we stop, it's \$300 an
14 hour.

15 Q. BY MS. EKLUND: From 12? And for
16 testimony, courtroom or whatever?

17 A. I have never testified in court,
18 but it would be \$500 an hour, plus the cost of
19 getting there or whatever.

20 Q. Are you presently planning on
21 attending the trial in Norwalk, Ohio, in this
22 case?

23 A. If called to do so, yes.

24 Q. Thank you. I am sorry, do the
25 fees that you charge go to you or to your

1 department?

2 A. To me.

3 MS. EXLUND: That's all. Thank you.

4 CROSS EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. TATTERSALL:

6 O. Doctor, my name is Bill

7 Tattersall. I represent Dr. Kasten in this case;

8 the obstetrician. I just have a few questions.

9 A little bit about your background. What is your

10 age?

11 A I'm 45.

12 Q Where do you live?

13 A In Pinkerington, Ohio.

14 Q Where in Pinkerington?

15 A 9684 Wagon Wood Drive.

16 Q Are you Board certified?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q In what field?

19 " In pediatrics and in neonatal

20 perinatal medicine.

21 Q When were you certified in

22 pediatrics?

23 A 1982

24 Q And perinatal?

25 A. '83.

1 Q. Same year?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. Where did you go to undergraduate
4 school?
5 A. Drew University.
6 Q. Where is that?
7 A. D-R-E-W. It's in Madison, New
8 Jersey
9 Q. And medical school?
10 A. Tufts University in Boston.
11 Q. What year did you finish?
12 A. '78.
13 Q. Where did you do your residency?
14 A. New England Medical Center in
15 Boston.
16 Q. Did you do a fellowship after
17 that?
18 A. Yes, I did a fellowship in
19 Providence, Rhode Island, in Goodman Hospital
20 and you came out here to Ohio at
21 that point?
22 A. Correct
23 Q. Doctor, was there any obstruction
24 that prevented the breathing of this child at
25 birth. Do you think?

1 A. There could have been. I don't
2 know.

3 Q. The failure to breath could have
4 been not the result of an obstruction, is what
5 you are saying?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. If there was an obstruction, you
8 think it could have been a possible, or a
9 probable or a possible problem with the trachea?

10 MS. EKLUND: Objection.

11 THE WITNESS: That would be entirely
12 speculative. I can't really say.

13 Q. BY MR. TATTERSALL: In other
14 words, whether or not there was -- the amount of
15 pressure which was applied by Dr. May and by
16 Dr. Trippe was not relevant then as far as you
17 are concerned?

18 A. At 34 weeks gestation, 20 to 30
19 centimeters of water should open up the lungs
20 without any problem.

21 Q. Since it didn't, it had to be
22 something else, in your opinion?

23 A. Right.

24 Q. Fair enough. Dr. Walentik, she
25 was aware that there were, in a number of states,

1 places where Level 1 hospitals were the place
2 where obstetricians delivered 34 plus premature
3 children. Are you aware of that fact?

4 A. Oh, yes.

5 Q. Are you aware that that exists in
6 Ohio?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. Dr. Fields gave an opinion in his
9 discovery deposition. Did you read that, by
10 chance?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. That my doctor committed
13 malpractice because he did not have Dr. Trippe, a
14 pediatrician, on duty to be there to resuscitate
15 the baby at birth. Do you agree with that
16 opinion?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Is it appropriate if the person
19 who is there -- it is appropriate to have a
20 person present in premature birth for purposes of
21 resuscitation if necessary, correct?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. In fact, that's a standard of
24 care?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q But is that person is qualified by
2 training and experience to resuscitate that baby?
3 that would satisfy the standard of care?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you feel that Dr. May satisfied
6 the standard of care?

7 A He's a family physician trained in
8 this country, know of what we do for our family
9 practice residents at Ohio State University, he
10 should have been properly trained to be able to
11 do that

12 Q You are not rendering an opinion
13 as to whether or not the decision of Dr. Kastner
14 to, as he describes, augmentation of the labor,
15 to bring about the delivery, was a matter that
16 deviated from the standard of care, you are not
17 into that area, is that it?

18 A No

19 Q So you are not going to render an
20 opinion in that case?

21 A. That's correct, I have no
22 opinion.

23 Q. This child, as far as your opinion
24 is concerned, did not have hyaline membrane
25 disease, is that correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. The baby took a hit sometime in
3 uterus?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. That caused an episode that
6 resulted in the HIE, right?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. I was going to say hypoxic
9 ischemic encephalopathy.

10 MS. MALNAR: Well done.

11 Q. BY MR. TATTERSALL: But I didn't.
12 Was it appropriate for Dr. May, **as** far from a
13 neonatology standpoint, after the delivery of the
14 baby, to hand the baby to the attending physician
15 who was going to help with the resuscitation, was
16 that the appropriate thing to do at the time?

17 A. Yes.

18 MR. TATTERSALL: Thank you.

19 MS. MALNAR: Do you want to read or do
20 you want to waive?

21 THE WITNESS: I'll read it.

22 MS. MALNAR: Can we have **14** days, is that
23 okay?

24 MS. EKLUND: Yes. Original.

25 MS. MALNAR: Copy, yes, and I'll send it

1 to the doctor.

2 MR. TATTERSALL: Copy.

3 MS. MALNAR: Miniscript.

4 (Deposition concluded at 3:00

5 p.m.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF _____)
) **SS.**
COUNTY OF _____)

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty
of perjury that I have read the foregoing
transcript, and I have made any corrections,
additions, or deletions that I was desirous of
making; that the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my testimony contained therein.

EXECUTED this _____ day of _____,
19____, at _____, _____.
(City) (State)

- PHILIP THEODORE NOWICKI, M.D.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Mary A. Frazier, Registered Merit
Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter,
certify:

That the foregoing proceedings were taken
before me at the time and place therein set
forth, at which time the witness was put under
oath by me;


That the testimony of the witness and all
objections made at the time of the examination
were recorded stenographically by me and were
thereafter transcribed;

That the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.

I further certify that I am not a relative
or employee of any attorney or of any of the
parties, nor financially interested in the
action.

I declare under penalty of perjury under
the laws of the State of Ohio that the foregoing
is true and correct.

Dated this 10th day of June, 199%.



Mary A. Frazier R.M.R., C.R.R.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF CERTIFIED COPY

I, Mary A. Frazier, Registered
Professional Reporter, in the State of Ohio,
certify that the foregoing pages 1 through 84
constitute a true and correct copy of the
original deposition of PHILIP THEODORE NOWICRI,
M.D. taken on June 4, 1998.

I declare under penalty of perjury under
the laws of the State of Ohio that the foregoing
is true and correct.

Dated this 10th day of June, 1995.



Mary A. Frazier, R.M.R., C.R.R.