Doc. 291 The State of Ohio,) 1 2) SS: County of Cuyahoga.) 3 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 4 ROSEMARY WANK, ET AL., 5 Plaintiffs, б 7 - V S -) Case No. A. CHESTER'S, INC.,) 218390 8 9 Defendant.) 10 Videotaped deposition of Richard R. 11 12 Masin, M.D., a witness herein, called by the Plaintiff as if upon direct 13 examination under the statute, and taken 14 before Luann Zadell, a Notary Public 15 16 within and for the State of Ohio, pursuant 17 to the agreement of counsel, and pursuant to the further stipulations of counsel 18 herein contained, on Wednesday, the 3rd 19 day of August, 1994, at 9:30 a.m., at the 20 medical office of Richard R. Masin, M.D., 21 22 4100 Warrensville Center Road, City of Cleveland, County of Cuyahoga and the 23 24 State of Ohio. 25

1

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
         On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
3
               Gaines & Stern, by:
 4
               John V. Scharon, Esq.
 5
 6
          On behalf of the Defendant:
 7
 8
               Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton &
               Norman, by:
 9
               Mark Greer, Esq.
10
11
1.2
13
14
15
                             r.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

۰.

i

÷

. .

-

ال___

1		INDEX		
2	OBJECTION BY:	PAGE	LINE	
3	Mr. Scharon	61	7	
4	Mr. Scharon	61	2 5	
5	Mr. Scharon	6 2	3	
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	Ϋ́.			
16				
17				
18				
19 20				
20				
22				
23			-	
24			_	
25				

ł

41 4 5.

.

. 1

-

ł

__,

:

1 y. 2

¥

Т

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 RICHARD R. MASIN, M.D., a 3 witness herein, being of lawful 4 age, having been first duly sworn 5 6 according to law, deposes and says 7 as follows: 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF 9 RICHARD R. MASIN, M.D. 10 BY MR. SCHARON: 11 Q Good morning, Doctor. Would you, 1.2 13 please, state your full name for the 14 record? Richard R. Masin. 15 А Q And what is your professional address? 16 17 А 4100 Warrensville Center Road, Cleveland, Ohio. 18 And by profession, you are? 19 0 Orthopedic surgeon. 20 А 21 Q Doctor, with what is the specialty of orthopedic surgery concerned? 22 With the treatment of injuries to the 23 Α 24 musculoskeletal system, including backs, extremities, hands, feet. 25

4

Ø wood đ ч о w Ø н Ч **~**• ч 0 a n ц р 0 14 0hi Ħ Φ oard M 0 Ø Brent Hospi . 0 ΜŊ had р г \geq Ø ወ Ŋ Ø •# the Þ L, Medicin υ Н **c**., ם מ erγ Ы 44 н. Ч R you' . residency 0 Ø д. ש training Ð ኦ A уou υ ት exp Ø н **••**• **c**.. γou μ t ิ ช ф С д erγ q a a **u y** surg Brentwood show ൻ ർ 0 ter ц Ч Þ 4 ρ д ر ال A 3 have Ò ч О Т σ chool 0 Ø HUS Ø Osteopathic S 1 ≯ a t p a н М ці Ю υ Ļ р, еdi 0 μ ወ ወ ъ ency e G t P 5 ц e L ർ 0 ർ υ L, Ø . ٠ Doctor, -Ctor here ຜ ---а Н training orthop -r1 44 i**cclup**b cens д thopedi γď a d ч Ч ean medical long e X rd e d no 6 8 9 о Д ירן ריין lowed Ħ егγ surgery gida σ certifi μ Я R ⋗ You Surge M 0 ч О н 0 44 ٠rl ۰H ٠ th_{pi}t ц Ч 0 Q Your alify ٠ . ٠ 4 a E 97 and t t енр f o l ы Ц Ч right Ø дb i n 0 0 Ø Ø e Q н 0 ወ с Ч υ rH ч Н ũ ΰ ы Ц ٠H ц, υ υ н н 6. oard dTno5 act с р 011 ч Ч ຍ ບ ъ ed nb e n 44 eted education rthopedi thopedi ۰. ospital, Ð What • ensed . And ч Ч t i f i ດ ທ All ⋧ And All ດ ເວ ちょちょ н. С Ø a n Φ н υ q omp1 ₽ ρ н ¥ Ŋ ₽ ф ٠H тоf żቲΥ Ø ы С а Ч Φ ъ υ н н 0 t h Ø • + ۰H Q 4 **O** υ ď 田 0 0 4 0 Ч Q υ 4 O, υ 4 υ μ д 4 4 7 7 0 H Ч Ч т 3 1 6 ч 9 Ч \mathbf{N} m 4 ហ ω 5 ω Ч Ч 4 ហ 17 ω Ч 2 m 4 ഹ 2 2 2 Ч ч Ч 2 2

×ERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

il i m

In

ı

and, if you pass that, you become board 1 certified. 2 And you did? 3 0 Α Yes. 4 Doctor, with what hospitals are you 5 0 affiliated? 6 With Brentwood, Richmond Heights, 7 Α Marymount, and Robinson Memorial Hospital. 8 Dr. Masin, do you teach medicine? 9 Q A Yes, I do. 10 And in what capacity? Q 11 А To medical students, interns and 12 residents at hospitals I'm affiliated 13 with. 14 And have you published any works in 0 15 the medical literature? 16 Yes, I have. А 17 Q All right. Doctor, I want to turn now 18 to Rosemary Wank, with whom this case is 19 concern. Is she a patient? 20 Yes. 21 А 22 0 And for how long has she been a patient of your office? 23 Since 1991. А 24 Q Doctor, we have marked, as Plaintiff's 25

ر ...

	Exhibit No. 14, records which we've been
	provided, and ask you to look at those.
	Are those the office records of your
	practice?
	A Yes, they are.
	Q And were they prepared in the by
	physicians in your office in the ordinary
	course of the practice?
	A Yes, they were.
10	Q And were the notations made therein
11	made at or near the time of the events
12	that are recorded?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Thank you. You have a copy of those
15	in front of you?
16	A Yes, I do.
17	${f Q}$ Or actually, you probably have the
18	originals.
19	A The originals, yes.
2 0	Q Doctor, when was Rosemary Wank first
21	seen in your offices?
2 2	A In on January the 31st, 1991.
23	Q All right. And was a history taken?
24	A Yes, it was.
2 5	Q What was her history, at that time?

ŝ.

. :

. .!

į

· t

۰.

Þ

ŧ

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

1 A Her history was that she fell at a 2 restaurant on the previous Saturday night, 3 injuring her knees; most specifically, her 4 left. The left remained painful. You 5 want me continue with that?

6 Q Yes, please.

7 Δ She complained of immediate pain and swelling in her left knee and she was 8 unable to walk. On exam, at that time, I 9 found that she did have swelling, fluid 10 within her knee, having what's called a 11 grade two effusion, which means it's a 1.2moderate amount of fluid within the knee 13 joint. Also, pain over her medial 14 collateral ligament, which is the ligament 15 on the inner side of the knee. Also, pain 16 17 on the inner aspect of her kneecap. She was only able to bend her knee, 18 approximately, 30 degrees, due to her 19 pain. 20 Doctor, did Rosemary Wank give you any 21 0 22 history about whether she had had prior problems with her knees; that is, problems 23 24 before she fell at the restaurant? A No, she did not. 25

8

She did not give you a history, or she Q 1 had no problems? 2 Actually, she -- she did not give me a 3 А history of previous pain. 4 Okay. I think in -- I'm looking at 0 5 the fourth line of your first office note. 6 "No prior injuries," correct. 7 Α So she did give a history of having а 0 had no prior knee problems? 9 Correct. А 10 11 0 All right. And Doctor, did you reach any diagnoses at the time of this? 12 Yes, my impression at that time was a 13 А sprain of her medial collateral ligament 14 15 and a possible tear of her medial meniscus. 16 And using what's been marked as 17 Q Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 15, which is a 18 model of the knee joint, could you explain 19 to the ladies and gentlemen who will see 20 21 this video what part of the anatomy you're talking about? 22 This is looking at the knee from the 23 А front. Here's the kneecap and this tendon 24 25 that goes through kneecap, this is the

9

1	muscle up above, which is your thigh
2	muscle in the front. The ligaments on the
3	this is on the outside, here's the
4	inside, this is her medial collateral
5	ligament. Her pain, at the time of her
6	injury, was at the insertion of this
7	ligament on the femur and this is where
8	her ligament was stretched and partially
9	torn from at the time of her injury. The
10	also in question was that of a tear of
11	her cartilage, or her medial meniscus,
12	which is the shim-type structure within
13	the knee, which can be seen. (Witness
14	indicating.) How's that, is that a good
15	enough picture? And anytime there's an
16	injury to the medial collateral ligament,
17	you may well have an associated injury to
18	the medial meniscus.
19	Q All right. Doctor, on examination did
2 0	you find whether Rosemary Wank had a knock
21	knee, or a valgus deformity?
22	A Yes, she did.
23	Q All right. And was this a \cdot a
24	condition that she had prior to her fall
2 5	at the restaurant?

an an an an

1

10

Yes -- yes, it was. 1 А And did you find that she had it in 2 0 one knee, or both knees, which? 3 She had it in both knees; although, 4 А her left knee was more pronounced after 5 her injury. 6 Q 7 Okay. We'll get to that in .. in a 8 moment. Was the knock knees a source of 9 any problems for her prior to her fall at 10 11 the restaurant? Not that I'm aware of. 1.2 Δ Doctor, what was your treatment plan 13 0 after having seen her for the first time 14 15 in the office? She was to continue in a knee 16 А immobilizer in which she had been placed 17 at the time of her injury and that's to 18 give her support for her ligament injury. 19 20 However, I wanted her to begin taking it out of the knee immobilizer, start doing 21 range of movement exercises, continue 22 23 using ice, as necessary, and the patient 24 would then return to my office in two to three weeks if her symptoms persisted. 25

11

	Q And did she return to the office?
	A Yes, she did.
	Q All right. And when was that?
	A I should also mention that she had
	also been placed on the anti-inflammatory
	agent at the time of her injury. She was
	taking Voltaren and Dolobid for her pain
	and inflammation.
	Q Okay.
10	A She returned to my office in February
11	of '91. She felt
1.2	Q I was going to say, how was she doing
13	then?
14	A Well, She was better, but still having
15	some difficulties with her knee. She was
16	still unable to bend it fully, she still
17	had tenderness over her ligament, off of
18	the on the inside of her knee and when
19	I did a stress test on her knee, she still
20	had what's called valgus instability,
21	where her knee would actually open up a
22	little bit in pushing the foot out to the
23	side and the knee to the inside. At that
24	time, we decided to stay on the
2 5	anti-inflammatory type medications, as I

ł

J

1

- 1

ì

12

1	felt that her biggest problem was her
2	sprain of her medial collateral ligaments
3	and sprains of the medial collateral
4	collateral ligament that she has do heal
5	on there own.
6	Q Let me back up for a minute, because I
7	wanted to ask something about that valgus
8	instability that you found.
9	A Yes.
10	Q The ability of the $\cdot \cdot$ the leg to be
11	pushed out, or the knee to open up, as you
1.2	said. Was that something that was the
13	same as she had had before the fall, or
14	was that worse?
15	A No, she had more on her involved side
16	than on her pre •• on her uninvolved knee.
17	Q And is that something you would
18	expect, if it had preexisted the fall?
19	A No.
20	Q Now, was there any additional
21	treatment that was ordered in February?
22	A Just, she was going to go to physical
23	therapy for strengthening and range of
24	movement exercises. She was to
2 5	discontinue use of her immobilizer. I

4

1

A

13

1	ordered her a hinged brace for her to use,
2	at that time, for her daily activity.
3	Q So you ordered physical therapy?
4	A That's correct.
5	${f Q}$ All right. When was she next in the
6	office, Doctor?
7	A Actually, one week later. She was
8	unable to tolerate the brace, her knee
9	pain had continued without improvement.
10	This had been six weeks from her injury.
11	And at that time we discussed doing an
12	arthroscopy on the knee and we then
13	proceeded with that.
14	Q All right. Now, is arthroscopy a
15	surgical proceed?
16	A Yes, i ^t t is.
17	Q Is anesthesia used?
18	A Yes it is.
19	Q Did Rosemary Wank have that operation?
2 0	A Yes, she did.
21	Q Who performed it and where, Doctor?
2 2	A I performed her surgery at Richmond
23	Heights Hospital.
24	Q All right. Doctor, we've marked as
2 5	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 the records from

٨

Þ

• • •

.

14

1	Richmond Heights General Hospital. Are
2	those the records from the arthroscopy
3	procedure that you did on Rosemary?
4	A Yes, they are.
5	Q All right. You have the originals, or
6	your copies before you?
7	A Not of that, no. I all's I have in
8	my record is her actual surgical report-
9	Q All right. Well, that's what we want
10	to turn to now, so how is the
11	arthroscopy performed? How was it
12	performed in her case? How - how do you
13	go about doing it?
14	A The actual procedure itself?
15	Q Yes, sir.
16	A Patient's given an anesthetic. We
17	make small punctures about a centimeter in
18	length and about the size of your small
19	fingernail and we and we make three
20	basic punctures in the knee; one above the
21	kneecap, two below the kneecap, and insert
22	an instrument called an arthroscope, which
2 3	is about a centimeter, again, in diameter,
2 4	inside the knee, which has got a TV camera
2 5	attached to it, and then we observe the

Т

1

à

100

'?

.____

] £

]

]

-

÷

15

. . .

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

1	inside of the knee and watch it on a TV
2	monitor. Through the other punctures we
3	put other small instruments in there. We
4	could probe the knee surfaces, the
5	inside of the knee surfaces with them and,
6	also, if there is any pathology within the
7	knee, such as a tear of the cartilage, or
8	roughened areas, we can smooth those
9	areas, or remove loose pieces, or torn
10	cartilage.
11	Q All right. What on the subject of
12	anesthesia, do you know remember what
13	kind of anesthesia Rosemary had at that
14	first arthroscopy?
15	A She had a spinal anesthetic.
16	Q And how's that administered?
17	A By the department of anesthesia with a
18	needle in the and they inject Novocain
19	in the back, along the nerves of the back.
20	They then that gives them relief for
21	their pain, they have no symptoms, just
22	like having an anesthetic from a dentist.
23	Q Okay. Now, Doctor, at your
24	arthroscopy procedure what did you find
25	inside Rosemary's knee?

ş

í

-

_]

5

]

ł

16

.....

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

÷.

1 | First of all, before .. we should back A 2 up. Before you put the scope in the knee, you do an exam of the knee, which is done 3 4 right when they're given their anesthetic, 5 and that's where you ascertain any ligamentous instability. And at that 6 7 time, we did find that she had what's 8 called a second degree medial collateral sprain, which is a partial tearing and 9 stretching of the medial collateral 10 ligament. 11 12 Q And you've --13 А I'm sorry? 14 0 And you've shown us that particular ligament on the model? 15 Correct. This is the ligament on the 16 Α 17 side here. 18 0 Okay. At the time of her examination she was 19 Α 20 noted to have a slight gapping of the knee with anesthesia and, again, that goes 21 22 along with the second degree sprain of her 23 medial collateral ligament. 24 Then, at the time of the 25 arthroscopy, she was noted to have a --

1	what's called a small peripheral tear,
2	which is thought to be stable, which is at
3	the edge of the cartilage. Again, there
4	would be a normal thing, or expected to
5	have found with an injury to the medial
6	collateral ligament. The medial
7	collateral ligament is inherently attached
8	to the medial meniscus and you can have a
9	small tear along its periphery when you
10	have the injury that she had. And this
11	was thought to be a stable tear and, once
12	again, that's because it's along the edge
13	of the cartilage, it was not easily pulled
14	out into the joint, so it was felt that
15	this tear would heal on its own.
16	She was also noted to have, at
17	the time of her arthroscopy, some
18	softening of the undersurface of the
19	kneecap, which was graded as a grade one
20	chondromalacia of the kneecap.
21	\tilde{Q} All right. Doctor, have you had an
22	opportunity to review what's been marked
2 3	as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2, which is the
2 4	Cuyahoga County EMS run report?
25	A I I have seen parts of that.

-- F

يد م ا

.

۰...۱

1 Q All right. Okay. Doctor, calling your attention to the history section of 2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, the patient was 3 said to be found lying supine; how is 4 that? 5 6 А On her back. 7 Q All right. Complaining of left knee pain sustained when the patient states: 8 Slipped on wet floor and fell to knees and 9 subsequently rolled onto her back. 10 11 Patient states: Did not strike a head on floor. I can also tell you -- or ask you 12 to assume, by way of history, that at the 13 time of her fall, Rosemary Wank fell and 14 struck her left knee on a hardwood floor 15 16 at the restaurant. Now, Doctor, based upon the history, the physical 17 examination, your findings during your 18 19 surgery, do you have an opinion, with reasonable medical certainty, as to 20 whether the tear of the medial collateral 21 ligament, the tear of the medial meniscus, 22 23 and the chondromalacia of the kneecap were a direct and proximate result of her fall 24 at the restaurant? 25

19

Yes, they were. 1 Α And can you explain your reasoning? 2 0 Because that's the history that the 3 Α patient gave and these findings at surgery 4 would, certainly, go along with her injury 5 and she did not have these problems 6 7 beforehand. All right. Now, Doctor, was there 8 0 enough time between the fall on January 9 26th of 1991 and your arthroscopy in March 10 for that chondromalacia to have developed? 11 12 Yes. Α Now, Doctor, we have obtained records Q. 13 from Marymount •• I'm sorry, from Richmond 14 15 Heights General Hospital for just, I think, two days after your arthroscopy 16 17 from Richmond Heights dealing with an emergency room visit that she had there. 18 Are you familiar with what caused her to 19 go to the emergency room two days later? 20 21 А I believe she was having calf pain. This would be Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 0 22 23 б. No, this is back pain. Back pain. 24 А 25 0 Yes. And was that .. can you tell me

20

3

1	what that what the cause was determined
2	to be of the problems that she was having
3	two days after her arthroscopy?
4	A Not really.
5	Q Okay.
6	A No. I I mean, she was diagnosed as
7	having a lumbar sprain/strain at that
8	time.
9	Q What okay. I I, in reviewing
10	this record myself, and and I'm not
11	looking to testify here, but I want to ask
12	you, rather, she was she had had an
13	arthroscopic procedure with a spinal
14	anesthetic and
15	A Did that have anything to do with it?
16	I don't believe so.
17	LQ Okay)
18	A Because she never had any symptoms of
19	a of an infection, or anything like
2 0	that. I mean, that's the only problem you
21	can have with a spinal, besides a spinal
22	headache, and I don't see anything in
23	there mentioned about a spinal headache.
24	I understood that there was a problem with
25	a spinal headache?
	۱ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

,

- •

• •

• • • •

21

CALIFY STREET

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

- 1

1	Q I was looking for that note. That was
2	the nursing assessment and, apparently,
3	that was all just in the back?
4	A Yeah, the pain was in the back, so
5	Q Fine. Now, Doctor, what was Rosemary
6	Wank's condition when you next saw her
7	after the arthroscopy?
8	A That would have been on March the
9	14th, 1991.
10	Q Okay.
11	A Her knee was sore, which would be
12	expected after a surgery. Her puncture
13	sites were healing without any problem.
14	Her range of movement was still
15	restricted, because she had a minimal
16	amount of fluid within her joint. At that
17	time, I she was to continue her
18	exercises. I placed her on medication
19	called Coumadin, which is a blood thinner
2 0	and that, I believe, was because she had
21	had previous problems with phlebitis and
22	this was to help prevent a reoccurrence of
23	a phlebitis, or blood clots in the leg.
24	And I told her, at that time, she could
25	use her crutches, as needed. She was to
	I, I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

.

1

1

1

....

¥

22

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

- - -

.....

1	beginning her rehabilitation exercises and
2	I would see her back, then, in a month.
3	Q All right. Now, did you continue to
4	follow up with her after this?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q And can you tell us how she progressed
7	at the next visits?
8	A Yes. In the 25th of April, 1991, she
9	still had some swelling and some pain,
10	especially at night. She did have, still,
11	a small amount of fluid within her joint.
1.2	She had a range of movement from near full
13	straightening or extension of the knee,
14	down to 90 degrees of bend.
15	Q And how is that in terms of full or
16	normal?
17	A That's still not normal. She lacked,
18	you know, probably about 5 degrees of
19	straightening and probably 15 to 25
20	degrees of bend.
21	Q All right. And the plan?
22	A She was just to continue her exercises
23	at that point and to see me again in six
2 4	weeks.
25	Q And did you see her, approximately,

1

- -

......

ż

ş

1 six weeks later?

Yes, on June the 6th, 1991. 2 Α And what was her condition then? 3 0 She states she was feeling better, but Α 4 she's still having episodes that her knee 5 was buckling, or giving out on her. 6 Ι felt on exam, at that time, she was 7 improved, but her quadriceps strength, 8 which is the muscle in the front of the 9 thigh, was still weak and that's one of 10 the main reasons why she was -- that's one 11 of the main reasons why her knee was 1.2 giving out. She was able to walk with a 13 14 little to no limp at that time. And due to her continued buckling, which was in, 15 again, going back to her medial collateral 16 sprain, her knee tended to have more 17 valgus deformity. I felt that some 18 support of her medial collateral ligament 19 might help her and we got for her a -- a 20 brace to help support her ligament. 21 Now, Doctor, with respect to that knee 22 Q 23 buckling, did Rosemary Wank ever relate to you whether this had caused her to -- to 24 fall? 25

HERN

24

1 А Yes 2 0 Doctor, do you have an opinion, with a 3 reasonable degree of medical certainty, as to whether the buckling of the knee was a 4 5 direct and proximate result of her fall at restaurant and the injuries that she 6 7 sustained there, that you've already 8 testified --Well, it was subsequent to her fall, 9 Α so it would be directly related. 10 11 Q And I think you said that the .. it 12 was related to the sprain of the medial 13 collateral ligament? 14 А Yes. 15 Now, did she continue to come to the 0 office after this? 16 17 Yes, she did. А And after June of 1991, when did you 18 Q see her next? 19 20 А The •• on the 25th of July, 1991. 21 All right. And how was she doing? 0 She was still having problems with her 22 А 23 knee still swelling, some continued pain on the inside of the knee. She still 24 continued to have her weakness of her 25

25

. i

1	quadriceps. She had full extension, at
2	that time, down to a hundred degrees of
3	of bend, so she had just about complete
4	return of her motion. She still had pain
5	when her medial collateral ligament was
6	stressed and still had tenderness on the
7	other undersurface of the kneecap on
8	the inside.
9	Q Was there any new diagnosis, or
10	additional diagnosis at that time?
11	A Not really. I think that she was
12	still just a continuation of her
13	previous problems.
14	Q All right. And was there any
15	additional treatment?
16	A: We did order her a brace to help her
17	kneecap track, to take some of the
18	symptoms away from her kneecap, which is
19	called a horseshoe brace, which gives
2 0	support to the kneecap and the tendon
21	below it, and she was, again, to continue
22	doing her exercises.
23	Q All right. Did she return to the
24	office after?
2 5	A Yes, on October 31st of 1991.

.

-

1.4

. 1

j,

26

HERMAN, STAHL & TACRLA

.

I.	
1	Q Okay. And what was her condition on
2	Halloween of 1991?
3	A She states that her knee continued to
4	bother her, especially at the insertion of
5	her patellar tendon, which is the tendon
6	just below the kneecap, which attaches the
7	kneecap to the tibia, or the bone below
8	the knee.
9	Q And physical on physical
10	examination?
11	A She did have tenderness in the same
1 2	area, just below the kneecap. I also
13	felt, at that time, she had slightly more
14	valgus deformity of her left knee as
15	compared to the right and her weakness
16	continued of her quadriceps mechanism.
17	And I gave her a diagnosis at that time of
18	of a patellofemoral syndrome, which is
19	pretty much just an overall catchall term
2 0	for pathology in the patellofemoral joint,
21	which is the joint between the kneecap and
22	the femur below.
23	Q And do you have an opinion, Doctor,
24	with a reasonable degree of medical
2 5	certainty, as to whether this

••••

;

_

2.2

....J

j

*

27

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

-TOT 12

1	patellofemoral syndrome was a direct and
2	proximate result of her fall at Chester's,
3	and the injuries that you said she
4	suffered in that fall?
5	A Well, this is you know, this was a
6	continuing scenario of symptoms since the
7	fall, so I do believe that it was a result
8	of it.
9	Q All right. And did you have any
10	additional orders in October of '91?
11	A Yes, we were we obtained an MRI
1 2	exam, which is a x-ray-type exam, even
13	though there's no radiation involved, but
14	it gives cross-sectional-type images of
15	the knee. We did that, at that time.
16	Actually, I did it at that time to make
17	sure that she did not have a partial tear
18	of her patellar ligament, or patellar
19	tendon, which she did not have.
20	${f Q}$ Did she come back to the office after
21	the MRI?
22	A Yes, she did.
23	Q And I assume you discussed the results
24	with her?
25	A Yes, on November the 14th, 1991.

ş

÷

1

.

ا ز .

.

- ---

2.8

ومعرابين المتنابين التنفات

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

1 All right. Did she have any 0 2 particular problems with her knee on that 3 day? 4 Yeah, she was -- yes, she was Α 5 continuing to have pain and problems with 6 her knee, but at that time we felt we'd 7 still continue with a conservative means of treatment and that meant a continued 8 exercise program. 9 10 Q All right. 11 А I -- I did, also -- excuse me, I did also order her another brace for her 1.2 13 patellar tendon that was less cumbersome 14 from the first, which is called a Chopat 15 strap, which is just a small strap that 16 goes around the tendon itself to help give 17 support to the tendon. 18 0 Now, Doctor, did the MRI show something with respect to the patellar 19 20 tendon? 21 A No, it did not. It -- it showed that 22 it was not -- there was not a partial 23 tear. Q All right. Now, In your office note 24 25 of November 14th it -- it states that:

29

1

1	
1	Although she has a little bit of altered
2	density in her proximal patellar tendon,
3	which I attribute to some sprain of the
4	tendon. And I was wondering to what
5	you're referring there.
6	A Oh, okay. Well, actually, I think
7	that would go back to that she may have
8	had a partial, you know, stretch or just a
9	partial tear of the fibers of the tendon,
10	that it's since healed.
11	Q All right. And do you have an
12	opinion, with a reasonable degree of
13	medical certainty, again, as to whether
14	there this was a direct and proximate
15	result of her fall and the injuries
16	sustained therein?
17	A Again, this is the continuing symptoms
18	from from her fall, in the first place.
19	a All right. Now, I think we move into
2 0	1992 at this point. Rosemary is
21	continuing to see you in the office?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And the next time was February of
24	1992?
2 5	A The 27th of 1992.
	30 HERMAN, STAHL & TAC

٨

w⁴

----*

ļ 1

- ;

j

· 1

5.4

ĺ

j

...)

.....

. . .

ممتد يريون يعربنا بالتا المار الم

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

Q And how was she doing then? 1 A She continued to have pain over her 2 patellar tendon and that was her main 3 complaint, at that time. 4 Q All right. Did the pain seem to 5 depend upon any kinds of activities? 6 7 Α Yes, because she was doing a lot of bending and squatting in her day-care 8 9 center and those aggravated her pain. Q All right. Did you physically examine 10 her? 11 1.2 Α Yes. Again, she was tender -- she was 13 positive to tenderness over her patellar 14 tendon. Q Okay. Did you have any additional 15 16 treatment -- prescriptions for her? 17 A Just of her to continuing using ice and continuing doing her rehabilitation 18 19 exercises. 20 Q All right. And these were exercises to be done at home? 21 22 A Yes. 23 All right. Did she come back to the 0 24 office after February? 25 A Yes, on the 11th of June, 1992.

And how was she doing then? 1 Q 2 She was continuing to have pain in her Α 3 knee, more so along the -- now on the 4 outside aspect of her knee, or the lateral 5 aspect of her knee. She still had weakness of her quad, or her thigh muscle, 6 once again. And the tenderness or the 7 outside was over a structure called her 8 iliotibial band, which is a supportive 9 10 tendinous structure on the outside of the knee, which I believe she had a 11 12 tendonitis. I did inject her; at that time, she got pretty good relief from the 13 14 injection. Injection of what? 15 0 Of a combination of Novocain and 16 А Marcaine, which is a long-acting 17 anesthetic, and cortisone. 18 19 Q Doctor, do **you** have an opinion, with a reasonable degree of medical certainty, 20 21 once more, as to whether this tendonitis 22 that you've just talked about was a direct and proximate result of her fall and the 23 injuries that she sustained in that fall? 24 Yes. 25

32

This deposition was delivered to C.A.T.A. with the following pages missing

Pages 33 to 34 (as one sheet) Pages _____ to _____ Pages _____ to _____

that is? , it - · it was a continuation of ptoms -y plan for right. from the fall. as it . right. Her next visit, Doctor, ed upon uary of '93 now? ١. the 28th of January, 1993. At .me, she was having increasing Eort and -- and pain, especially her kneecap. She continued to have t Richmond enderness along her iliotibial band, was not as bad as it had been marked as us. My impression, at that time, r, are re along the lines of Heights omalacia; however, increased ms of her kneecap, also, with the ness on the inside, I was concerned he same her cartilage, once again. sne back 1 right. Now, in your note, Doctor, .is is just to clear up the record, **s** a reference here to follow-up on .ght knee; is that accurate? :tic this), it was her left. l right. So that's just a

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

STAHL & TACKLA

1	A No, she had a general anesthetic this
2	time.
3	Q All right. And what did you find when
4	you did the arthroscope?
5	A At at this time, she was found to
6	have a full thickness injury to her
7	chondral surface, which is the
8	cartilaginous surface of the undersurface
9	of the kneecap. It had a full thickness
10	crack on the inner, or the medial facet,
11	which is the inside facet of her kneecap,
12	where she'd had been having her symptoms
13	previously.
14	Q All right. And did you undertake any
15	repair of that condition?
16	A Yes, we did.
17	Q How did you do that?
18	A We cleaned out the area of the crack
19	and actually took away not only is
20	there a crack there, but there's roughened
21	cartilage. We took those roughened areas
22	away, down to bone, which is the
23	supporting structure underneath the
24	cartilage, and then we accomplished
2 5	that by having the bone bleed; you'll
	I

٨

- -

• •

5

__**i**

I,

35 HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

_ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _ _

hopefully get what's called a secondary pseudo cartilage, or fiber cartilage to fill in and fill the crack in, to thereby give them less symptoms. It's kind of a second-best cartilage.

All right. Now, Doctor, based upon 6 U 7 the history, all of the complaints that you've -- that you've told us about up 8 until now, the physical examination 9 findings that you had, as time went on, 10 and your arthroscopic findings, do you 11 have an opinion, with a reasonable degree 1.2 of medical certainty, as to whether the 13 crack in this cartilage under the kneecap 14 was, also, a direct and proximate result 15 of the fall at the restaurant, the injures 16 17 -- injuries that she sustained there and -- and the -- as you've -- as you have 18 said, the course of complaints and -- and 19 problems that she's had -- had since? 20 21 Yes, I believe it's a direct result. Α 22 Q. And can you explain your reasoning a 23 little bit? Because it -- the fact that she had, 24 Α 25 really, not had any problems with her knee

i

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

36
prior to this; she sustained the injury, 1 2 and this is just a continuing scenario of 3 -- of symptoms from the time of the 4 injury. Did she follow up at your office after 5 0 6 that arthroscope? 7 Yes, she did. Α 8 Q And when was the next time? 9 А That was on the 18th of February, 1993. 10 11 Now, did she have any kind of 0 12 postoperative complications following that 13 second arthroscopy? 14 A Yes, I believe she did have symptoms down into her calf, where she had some 15 16 tenderness and some ecchymosis of blood 17 into her calf. At that time, I would question whether she, again, had a 18 phlebitis. Fortunately, she did not, 19 because she -- we obtained what's you 20 21 called a venogram, which is a test that is 22 used to make a diagnosis of a phlebitis, 23 to see if there are any blood clots or 24 blockages of the veins in the leg. This 25 was, fortunately, negative. I believe

1	that she, therefore, had a superficial
2	phlebitis. She we placed her on Advil
3	for treatment of that.
4	${f Q}$ All right. And when was that the next
5	time you saw her?
6	A On the 11th of February •• or, excuse
7	me, of March, 1993.
8	Q And how was she doing then?
9	A She felt a lot better at that time.
10	She was not having the cracking and
11	crepitus in her knee that she'd had
12	previously and that she was going to
13	continue doing her exercise and I would
14	see her back, then, as necessary.
15	a So, at that point in time you released
16	her just to come back if she needed to?
17	A Correct.
18	Q And did she come back?
19	A Yes.
20	${f Q}$ And when was the next time?
21	A She came back on the 10th of June,
22	1993. Again, stating that her knee had
23	given out and complaining of pain along
24	her iliotibial band, once again, and also
25	in the back of her knee. She states, when

ľ

• !

Ĵ

National States and American

! [____]

¥

38

1	it did give out, she did have some
2	swelling at that time. On my exam, at
3	that time, I found that she did have
4	tenderness, once again, along her
5	iliotibial band and along her calf with
6	some tenderness in her calf when she did a
7	push-off with the sole of her foot, I did
8	not find any swelling within her knee. I
9	did find a small click excuse me. When
10	straining her knee, once again, in a
11	valgus-type strain, where I was pushing
1 2	her foot to the outside, her knee to the
13	inside, but I did not find any instability
14	at that time. My impression, at that
15	time, was a sprain of her leg and a muscle
16	pull and a continued iliotibial band
17	tendonitis.
18	Q Now, your record says it's strain of
19	the right leg. Is that a typographical
2 0	error?
21	A Yes.
22	Q All right. It was actually the left?
23	A Yes.
24	\tilde{Q} And Doctor, you said that at the time
2 5	of her knee giving way, that it had

* : :

à

ł

J

39

1	swelled up. Did Rosemary give you a
2	history of actually having fallen?
3	A Yes.
4	Q All right. And handing you what's
5	been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 9,
6	these are records from Richmond Heights
7	General Hospital Emergency Department.
8	Are those the records of concerning
9	treatment she had with respect to a \in all,
10	I think, two days before she came to your
11	office?
12	A Yes, they are.
13	Q All right. Doctor, do you have an
14	opinion, with a reasonable degree of
15	medical certainty, as to whether the
16	muscle strain'and the iliotibial band
17	tendonitis, this fall, and emergency
18	treatment that she had were a direct and
19	proximate result of her fall at Chester's
2 0	and the injuries she sustained and the
21	course of treatment that she underwent?
22	A I believe it is; although, this is
23	quite a a long time after the you
24	know, her surgery her arthroscopy, but
2 5	she $\cdot \cdot$ but she continued to have weakness

.....

A

----__

ź

1	of her quadriceps mechanism and that,
2	certainly, attributed to her to her
3	fall.
4	Q All right. That's the basis for your
5	opinion?
6	A Yes.
7	Q I think she came back to your office
8	one more time?
9	A Yes, on the 23rd of June, 1994. It
10	states that her knee continues to give her
11	some pain and achiness. She has problems
1.2	with weather •• she can tell weather
13	changes, but she is pretty much able to
14	get around and do most of her daily
15	activities. Her pain, once again, is
16	mostly in and about her kneecap. On exam,
17	at that time, again, her tenderness was on
18	the inner aspect of her kneecap and on the
19	outside of her kneecap, She did have a
2 0	full range of movement, her quad strength
21	was improved, but it was still decreased
22	from previous or decreased from normal.
23	Her ligaments, at that time, were normal,
24	Q All right. And your impression?
25	A My impression was still the same; I

,

¥

¥ . ~ ~ ~

.

41

mean, it was -- she was still having 1 problems, you know, mostly from her 2 patellofemoral joint. 3 All right. Now, Doctor, you mentioned 4 Q quad strength a number of times today. 5 Have you actually ever sent her for 6 7 testing of her strength? Yes, with did quadriceps testing of 8 Α her left knee --9 And what kind of test .. 10 0 11 -- on a machine called a Cybex Α 12 machine. 13 0 All right. Doctor, handing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 10, 14 are those the records of that testing? 15 Yes, they are. 16 Α 17 0 What are the results of that testing? 18 It showed that she still had quite a А 19 significant weakness of her left thigh in both extension and flexion. 20 21 Q Now, Doctor, are those tests given in such a way as to allow the persons 22 23 administering the tests to determine if the patient's giving best effort? 24 25 A Yes, they are.

42

L, 44 0 Ø Ø ≯ а, ц О S W Ψ н Ø μ ťЪ LL ŋ 7 Ψ ч Ø ർ ۰rl b . Ծ 0 p ъ Þ L, ወ а Ц ц 0 ъ P R Φ q S đ þ ч -----Ø -**~**•• Α r-I й о д, ወ ៧ -1 p 0 ٠e 0 Φ Ψ ⊳ Ч b ៧ Ħ д, Þ -1 4 ď LL > ф р OWJ Ъ ٠H -1 н 3 0 -1 . £, שי З ц ų, ₿ Ø ß 0 ٠H ۰H υ שי σ Ø ц 0 eΥ e D ъ σ • • • • ൻ Ø Ø Ъ . Кn þ 3 μ ъ ർ д Ð Φ Ø υ Ŋ , do t t ц р нн о 0 ወ μ Φ g υ д Ψ 4 Ø Ø Q 44 Ę p Ø ų, ៧ 0 ٠H þ ⊳ Ч Ø ٠rł • Ч ດ ບ • ជន 0 N ЧW Ħ ¥ Φ đ чυо Ъ q ц, þ Ч, Ø ----1 ٠H ŝ . Φ Ц 3 ÷ ർ Φ 1 Ð q -н Ø Ŋ ൧ \geq ц 0 > Φ Φ μ н ٠H μ Ŋ Ø 1 Ъ д н ٠H L р. Нъ Ъ ф о ወ р Я Ŋ Я Ø Ø ----٠H ÷. Я -• ⋗ e B B ወ ц Ц ц, ц -н 0 Ø μ р ЪЧ 0 гH μ p ۰H Ŋ ർ Ø 0 n ъ R Н N μ L 44 σ ۰H t h **≯**0 Ø 0 đ Я ወ υ ρ g Ø n чн ъ Φ Ŋ чV σ Я Ψ Д ч • t Þ Ŵ ർ н. ы С ש a o μ μ Ŋ μ σ Φ Φ 4 Q цγ 0 W J е д С Ц ц. 0 any д е Н Ψ 0 ч Φ ъ Ψ ≿ ٠H ю Д Ø ъ ћа ц Р ወ ц the LL μ Φ υ 0 н е р ซ н ወ F ρ ŋ Ø Ø Ŋ đ ⊳ > Д r-1 ٠ tþ к ц An **M** 0 Ø Ψ Þ ų, μ • ~ Φ Я Ø g Ч ል ---whether 0 1 Φ ወ н Ð чн ወ μ д Α Ъ н Ч Ŋ Ø Кп p а С 44 t b е Ц ש н Ф t 8 4 υ יט t h Φ н ц Ц нон р С μΥ medi ٠rf ч ·H Ħ ሲ ß Ø Ч ъ ų, ц н 0 t P bγ þ 0 д -H esul . • ank ò μ ß μ -н > ч ወ 44 Ŋ ated >дþ Чb с р, ч н 44 þ μ 44 0 н 0 • 11 • X ũ, Φ μ Ø ų, n 0 н μ Ø ----ъ 0 ц 0 н Ø Ŋ 0 Μ Φ ъ Φ д Φ ρ Н Н 1 ຫ -1 -н 0 Φ ø L, Ø Ø 0 3 H н μ ٠ R LL L Ŧ 1 μ Н Ч 44 p μ чγ ab Φ R ъ υ чн ≯ - F Ø •rt д . . þ Ø ч Φ Ъ Н Я д Ø 0 н чн Ø Ψ ർ ч r-I ermi Ø ш An Al son д Ø ίĻ, 0 ൻ t t Φ Ø 0 Ŋ еща 0 Ø ൻ σ σ Ø Ч ٠H Ø 3 н С ťЪ с р μ μ Z н ወ 4 H -4 • **%** 0 g н ٠rl Ч Ļ m Ø ΰ ρ þ ъ ų, rH Ø ൻ ი თ ы ൻ ወ whi ¢ Ч Φ ЧŅ р Ц Ħ 0 а Ц Φ Ħ Φ υ Ħ д Ч 0 ወ чн ർ 4 0 44 đ Ħ Ø ď 44 Ø Ψ R 0 R 4 **O** Й Д 0 Н υ a Ч 2 m 4 S φ 5 ω σ 0 r-I 2 4 Ы ø ω σ 0 2 e 4 S ŝ 5 ч Ч H rH. Ч н гĦ н 2 2 N 2 2 2 r-i Ч Ч

۸.

RMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

ы

н

43

knee? 1 2 We've discussed this and, as far as А I'm concerned, she's probably going to 3 4 have problems with prolonged stair climbing, any activities down on her knees 5 6 in a squat position. I believe those will be her biggest problems. 7 а 0 Okay. How about extended walking or kneeling? 9 If she develops arthritic changes in 10 А 11 her knee, then -- then those certainly may give her problems, also. $1\cdot 2$ Doctor, are these limitations that 13 0 14 you've just talked about, in your opinion, 15 to a reasonable degree of medical 16 certainty, a direct and proximate result 17 of her fall in January of 1991 and the injuries that she sustained? 18 Yes, I believe they are, 19 Α 20 0 All right. Doctor, given the fact that Rosemary has had the problems which 21 you've described since January of 1991, 22 23 and based, of course, on her history and your knowledge gained in treating her, do 24 you have an opinion, with reasonable 25

44

medical certainty, as to whether Rosemary 1 2 is likely to have problems with her left knee permanently as a direct and proximate 3 4 result of her fall and the injuries? A I think that there is a probability 5 that she will have continued symptoms in 6 her knee and problems and that's mainly 7 due to the fact that this has gone on now 8 for, approximately, three years and she's, 9 you know, continued to have a scenario of 10 11 pain and symptoms all related to her knee from the time of the injury. So I think 1.2 that that is a probability. 13 Q Now, Doctor, let's turn for a moment 14 to the question of this -- the knock 15 16 knees, or this valgus posture that we talked about very briefly. Are Rosemary's 17 problems caused by her knock knees? 18 I don't believe they're caused by 19 Α 20 them. I think that they, certainly, 21 contribute to the problem. In what way? 22 Q In the fact that she has this, you 23 А know, basic genu valgus, which many women 24 25 have. I think, though, that hers was

45

1	exacerbated by her fall, because she
2	sprained her medial collateral ligament
3	and and that has, certainly, continued
4	to be a a source or a cause of her
5	symptoms.
6	Q Does that posture, Doctor, make a
7	person such as Rosemary more susceptible
8	to the type of injury that she sustained?
9	A Do her valgus knees make her more
10	susceptible to the injury?
11	Q Yes, or to
1.2	A Yes, I do.
13	Q symptoms •• I would say symptoms of
14	the
15	A Actually, it does make her more
16	susceptible to the injury, because she's
17	in that position to begin with and if she
18	is a significant twisting stress come down
19	on it, she's going to be more susceptible
20	to, certainly, somebody who has a
21	straight knee or, actually, a varus knee,
22	or a a knee that's buckled, bowlegged.
23	Q Now, Doctor, prior to the deposition,
24	have you had a chance to review
25	Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 11, which is an

I

:

. .

i

-_ 1

j

4 6

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

and a subscription of the second

1	index of the medical billing on Rosemary?
2	A Yes, I have.
3	Q All right. Doctor, based upon her
4	history, complaints, physical examination,
5	findings, obviously, your knowledge of
6	her, do you have an opinion, with a
7	reasonable degree of medical certainty, as
8	to whether the charges reflected on
9	Exhibit 11 were all necessary as a direct
10	and proximate result of Rosemary Wank's
11	fall at the restaurant and the injuries
12	she sustained?
13	A Yes, they were.
14	MR, SCHARON: I don't have
15	anything else for you now. Thank
16	you.
17	MR. GREER: Doctor, my
18	name is Mark Greer, we had an
19	opportunity to meet a few minutes
2 0	ago. I'm going to, basically,
21	trying to go through certain .
2 2	documents that you have, primarily
23	your office notes, and a report
24	that you wrote.
2 5	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF

}

I

Į

1

]

I

ł

ł

₽

I

- -----

.47 HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

1	RICHARD R. MASIN, M.D.
2	BY MR. GREER:
3	Q Going back to the $\cdot \cdot$ the original fall
4	that the Plaintiff sustained, am I correct
5	that she was seen at Marymount Hospital
6	that evening?
7	A Yes.
8	Q At that time, all x-rays of her knees
9	and neck were normal?
10	A Correct.
11	Q No fracture or any other abnormality
1.2	was noted; correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q She was discharged that evening?
15	A I believe so.
16	Q Okay. The first time that you saw the
17	Plaintiff was on January 31st of 1991?
18	A Correct.
19	\tilde{Q} At that time, she had some swelling of
2 0	her left knee?
21	A Yes, she did.
22	Q And you felt that she had,
2 3	essentially, sprained her knee?
24	A Correct.
2 5	\tilde{Q} Is that similar to a sprained ankle,

ź

-

,]

j

A

from -- from a mechanism standpoint --1 2 Yes. Α ... a stretching of the ligaments? 3 Q Α Stretch · · yeah, stretching, tearing 4 of the ligament, correct. 5 You saw the Plaintiff again on 0 6 February 21st of 1991? 7 Correct. Α 8 At that time, she was feeling better? 9 Q Α Yes. 10 11 Q She had some swelling, though? 1.2 A Yes, she did. You discontinued the use of her 13 0 14 immobilizer? 15 A That's correct. Q You continued her on medication? 16 17 Α Yes. 18 0 And you wanted to $\cdot \cdot$ her to start on a physical therapy program for her range of 19 20 motion and strengthening? 21 A Correct. The next time you saw the Plaintiff, 22 Q or the third time, was on February 28th of 23 24 1991? 2 5 A Yes.

ŝ

17

-

i

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

*

1	Q	That was about six weeks following her
2	fall	L ?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	At that visit you decided to do an
5	artł	nroscopic surgery in order to look at
6	her	knee?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	At that time of that visit she had had
9	two	physical therapy sessions?
10	A	That may be.
11	Q	Okay. If the records reflect that, do
1.2	you	agree with that?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And you had seen her a total of three
15	tim	es when you made that decision?
16	A	Yes.
17		
18		
19		
20		
2 1		
22		
23		
24		
2 5		

•••

- 1

٦

J

ز ـ

1

ş

50

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

۰.

looking inside the knee. 1 And during using the scope you found a 2 0 minor tear of the cartilage? 3 А Correct. 4 5 0 And you also noted some chondromalacia of the patella? 6 7 That's correct. А 8 Q That's, essentially, some softening of the cartilage? 9 A Yes, it was. It was determined to be 10 a grade one chondromalacia, which is 11 12 softening of the undersurface of the cartilage. 13 14 Now, grade one is -- is the least 0 severe; correct? 15 16 A Correct. Essentially, Doctor, the procedure you 17 0 18 performed on March 8th of 1991 consisted of looking at her knee and then flushing 19 out her knee with saline solution? 20 A Correct. 21 22 0 You did not perform any actual surgical repairs on her? 23 That's correct. 24 А 25 Q During the course of that examination,

1 the surfaces of her patella, the femoral and tibial regions were essentially 2 3 normal? Except for the softening. 4 А She went home that same day? 5 0 А Correct. 6 7 Now, Doctor, the chondromalacia that 0 you noted on the Plaintiff's knee at that 8 time, would you agree that that's sort of 9 a degenerative-type process? 10 A A degenerative-type process; a wear 11 and tear type process? 12 Q 13 Yes. 14 A Yes, it can be. 15 0 Would you agree that degenerative changes generally occur over time? 16 Yes, they do. 17 А 18 0 Would you agree that patellar chondromalacia is very common? 19 A Yes, it is. 20 Would you agree that the 21 Q patellofemoral joint is usually the first 22 joint to develop this type of condition? 23 24 A I think maybe. Q 25 Would you agree that chondromalacia

1 may develop as early as the teens? 2 А Yes. 3 Q Would you also agree that a malalignment, or a congenital condition, 4 5 such as the Plaintiff's genu valgus, or knock knees, may cause chondromalacia? 6 7 Yes, it may. Α Would you agree that the Plaintiff's 8 0 9 condition is such that, when her knees are together, the bottom portion of her ankles 10 are, approximately, six inches apart? 11 12 That very well could be. Α Okay. Would you agree that this is a 13 0 rather marked condition? 14 15 А Yes. Q Would you also agree that an 16 overweight condition may cause 17 18 chondromalacia, due -- due to the excessive stress and strain on the knee 19 joint? 20 Yes, I do. 21 A 22 Q Doctor, would you agree that it's possible the chondromalacia you noted in 23 24 the Plaintiff's knee six weeks after the fall may have been caused by her 25

1	congenital condition, or her weight, or a	
2	combination of both?	
3	A Yes, it may have.	
4	Q Following the surgery, Doctor, on	
5	March 8th of 1991, you followed up with	
6	the Plaintiff on March 14th; correct?	
7	A Yes.	
8	Q At that time, she felt rather well?	
9	A Yes.	
10	Q And she was to start physical therapy?	
11	A Yes.	
12	Q She started physical therapy on March	
13	26th?	
14	A Yeah.	
15	Q If $\cdot \cdot$ if the physical therapy notes	
16	reflect that?	
17	A Yes.	
18	${\tt Q}$ It appears, Doctor, that she had one	
19	more session after March 26th of 1991, and	
20	then cancelled or failed to appear for	
21	several other appointments; were you aware	
22	of that?	
23	A No, I was not.	
24	Q Were you aware, Doctor, that, in fact,	
25	the Plaintiff had only four physical	

]

. 1

. .1

A

i.	
1	therapy sessions where she actually
2	appeared, the last being May 1st of 1991?
3	A If that's what the record reflects, I
4	would certainly believe that.
5	Q Were you aware of that before today,
6	though, Doctor?
7	A I may that does ring a bell.
8	Q Okay. Would you agree, Doctor, that
9	the physical therapy was important in
10	terms of the Plaintiff's recovery?
11	A Very.
12	Q Would you agree that, when you saw the
13	Plaintiff on April 25th, 1991, you told
14	her to continue with the physical therapy
15	and return in six weeks?
16	A Yes.
17	${f Q}$ Are you aware that the Plaintiff only
18	had one physical therapy session after you
19	saw her on April 25th of 1991?
20	A No, I was not aware of that, but it's
21	very possible.
22	Q Okay. And you next saw the Plaintiff
23	on June 6th of 1991?
24	A Yes.
25	${f Q}$ At that time, her condition had

1

е

i

55

improved somewhat? 1 2 Yes. А Q You told her that it was important to 3 exercise and lose weight? 4 Yes, I did. 5 А At that time, she had minimal 6 0 7 swelling? 8 А (Witness nodding affirmatively.) Her range of motion was good? 9 0 I believe it was. 10 А 8 She was walking with little to no 11 limp? 1.2 A Correct. 13 0 And this was, approximately, five 14 15 months after her fall? Α Yes. 16 17 Q The next visit was on July 25th, and then on October 31st of 1991? 18 19 А Yes. Doctor, in those visits the Plaintiff 20 0 21 indicated that if she was on her leg for a long time, such as going to a Brown's 22 23 game, she would have some swelling and 24 pain. 25 A Yes.

1

1

	Q During your exam of October 31st of
	1991, there is no swelling?
	A That's correct.
	Q And she had a full range of motion?
	A Yes.
	Q She stated that she had no locking or
	giving away of her knee?
	A That's correct.
9	Q And she also stated that she was
10	having enter intermittent pain, such as
11	if she would go to an activity like the
12	Brown's game?
13	A Correct.
14	
15	the results were, essentially, normal?
16	A Correct.
17	Q And you next saw the Plaintiff again
18	on November 14th of 1991?
19	A I'll go back to that. They were read
2 0	as normal and my interpretation of it,
21	once again, goes back to my note, where I
22	thought that she did have some thickening
23	of the tendon, indicating, you know, that
24	she had had some injury to her patellar
25	tendon.

į

-

لمستعم

A

57

1 0 The radiologists interpreted them as 2 normal; correct? 3 А Right. But that's why you have more than one person look at an MRI. 4 Q When you saw the Plaintiff on November 5 14th of 1991, you again stressed that she 6 needed to lose weight? 7 Correct. 8 А 9 Q The next appointment was February 27th of 1992? 10 Yes. 11 А **Q** At that time, the Plaintiff indicated 12 13 that she was only have occasional discomfort? 14 15 A Correct. And that was dependent upon how factive 16 0 she was at work? 17 18 A Right. At that time, you noted that the 19 0 20 Plaintiff had put on some weight and that she needed to work on that? 21 22 A Yes. Okay, Doctor, you then had an 23 Q 24 opportunity to write a medical report concerning the Plaintiff, I believe, dated 25

58

1	March 22nd, 1992?
2	A That is correct, I assume. Yes.
3	Q That was a little bit over a year
4	after her fall?
5	A Correct.
6	Q In your report you say that the
7	Plaintiff's prognosis was good.
8	A Yes.
9	${f Q}$ And I $\cdot\cdot$ I believe you indicated that
10	the reason for that was because there was
11	very minimal intra-articular problems from
12	the injury?
13	A That's correct.
14	${\tt Q}$ Okay. You also noted in your report
15	that, if the Plaintiff's weight continue ${oldsymbol{d}}$
16	to be elevated, she would most likely
17	continue to have problems with her knee?'
18	A That's correct.
19	Q Now, you saw the the Plaintiff for
20	an exam on January 28th of of 1993 🕯
21	A Yes.
22	${f Q}$ At that time, she stated that she was
23	having still having some problems and
24	wanted another arthroscopic procedure and
2 5	you agreed and scheduled the procedure?

Þ

. •

j

s. .

ز__

._f

59

_ __ __ __ __ __ __ __

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

1 А Correct. 2 That procedure was scheduled for 0 February 10th of 1993? 3 А 4 Yes. In your operative notes you found some 5 0 6 chondromalacia at that time? 7 Α Correct. You also noted a small crack in the 8 0 patella? 9 A Well, that's --10 The cartilage of the patella? 11 0 12 A Yes. Q The strain in the ligament that you 13 14 had seen during the first procedure had healed; correct? 15 :16 A That's correct. And the tear of the cartilage that you 17 0 18 had seen during the first procedure had also healed? 19 20 А That's correct. During the first procedure in 1991 21 Q there was no crack that you noted in 1993? 22 23 А That's correct. So that it's something that had 24 0 occurred subsequent to that first 25

60

procedure? 1 2 Α Correct. 3 Q Would you agree, Doctor, that the crack could have been caused by a number 4 of things? 5 That's correct. Α 6 MR, SCHARON: Objection. 7 BY MR. GREER: 8 Would you agree that it could have 9 0 been caused by her congenital genu valgus 10 condition and the stress and strain that 11 that places upon the knee? 12 It's possible. 13 Α Would you agree that it could have 14 Q been caused by her weight? 15 Yes. 16 Α Would you agree that it could have 17 0 been caused by chondromalacia, or the 18 continuation of that? 19 20 А The continue -- continuing progress of her chondromalacia, yes. 21 22 Q Would you agree, Doctor, that you do not know for a fact what actually caused 23 24 that crack to develop? MR. SCHARON: Objection. 25

61

Did I --А 1 Do not know for a fact? 2 Q 3 MR. SCHARON: Objection. 4 А Well, I guess you could say that, pretty much, about anything that 5 progresses. 6 7 0 Okay. Well, Doctor, would you agree that the pathology report of the cartilage 8 9 that you removed from the kneecap stated that it -- that it demonstrated 10 degenerative changes? 11 12 Right. That's what all cartilage is, A 13 as seen on pathology, that comes out of a 14 knee. 15 Q Okay. You had a follow-up - you had three follow-up visits with the Plaintiff 16 in 1993 after the surgery, the first being 17 February 18th? 18 19 А Yes. At that time, she was doing well? 20 0 Yes. 21 А 22 She had minimal swelling? Q Correct. Well, she -- she was doing 23 А 24 well, except she was having a lot of calf 25 pain.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
1.2	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	-
24	of motion?
2 5	A Yes.

يواملوواوالمعمالة مراجح الماداة

A

¥

;

gift in Lighted and

]

1. Bouristerected

]

Q Did you advise the Plaintiff to 1 2 return, as needed, after that examination? I believe so. 3 А 4 Q Have you seen her since then? 5 A No, I have not. 6 Q Are any appointments presently 7 scheduled to see her in the future? 8 A No, they are not. Q So, essentially, you've seen the 9 Plaintiff one time in the last 13 to 14 10 months? 11 1.2 А Yes. 13 Thank you, MR. GREER: 14 Doctor. 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF 16 17 RICHARD R. MASIN, M.D. BY MR. SCHARON: 18 Doctor, "return as needed," means that 19 Q the patient can come back and make an 20 21 appointment at any time? 22 A Yes. Back up for a moment. Is it fair to 23 Q say, Doctor, that Rosemary Wank's 24 sustained an injury that's like a simple 25

1

64

1 | sprained uncle?

2 A No. I believe he was using the3 mechanism of injury.

4 And what does that mean, exactly? Q. Of how you sprain an ankle, and that's 5 А by joint surfaces coming apart and the 6 7 joint surfaces -- the joint itself is protected by the ligament, that's what 8 gives it its stability, and when those --9 or when the bones are strained apart, 10 11 something has to give; therefore, the 12 ligament gives. So, yes, it -- it is a mechanism of injury. Is a sprained ankle 13 14 like a sprained knee? No.

15 Q Doctor, you were talking about chondromalacia and whether chondromalacia 16 can be a wear and tear problem, and can be 17 one that -- that develops over to time, 18 19 and I think you said that can occur. In 20 your opinion, Doctor, again, with a 21 reasonable degree of medical certainty, 22 was Rosemary's Wank's chondromalacia a 23 problem which was a wear and tear that 24 took place over time, or was it a result -- a direct and proximate result of her 25

HERMAN, STAHL & TACKLA

65

1 injury at the restaurant?

2 A I think it was both.

Okay. Would you explain that, please? 3 0 4 Α Well, I mean, if you want to get back to the basics of it, her symptoms began 5 when she had a fall. So therefore, the 6 7 scenario that ensues afterwards, I think 8 you can say is a result of that. But I mean, she also had other circumstances 9 10 that would certainly put extra stresses on 11 her knee, such as, you know, her weight, her valgus knee, her lack of *physical* 12 13 rehab all contributed. Doctor, if she had chondromalacia 14 0 before she fell at the restaurant, was it 15 causing any symptoms? 16 17 Not to my knowledge. Α All right. Was she overweight before 18 0 she fell? 19 Yes, she was. 20 А Q Did she have the valgus deformity 21 22 before she fell? 23 Yes, she was -- she did,, Α 24 Was she having any problem -- and was 0 she having any problems in her knees 25

before she fell? 1 Not to my knowledge. 2 А 3 Ö If Rosemary Wank had chondromalacia 4 before she fell at the restaurant, do you have an opinion, with a reasonable degree 5 6 of medical certainty, as to whether the fall aggravated the chondromalacia and 7 8 made it become painful? Yes, I believe that it did. 9 Α 10 You were asked a question about whether you, in fact, know what caused the 11 12 crack on the underside of her patella. Do you have an opinion about what caused it? 13 14 I think it was her continuing А 15 disability from the time of her injury. All right. 16 0 Because it was not seen in her first 17 А 18 surgery, it was seen in her second, so it 19 did occur in between those and, again, it 20 goes back to what caused her 21 chondromalacia, as we've already 22 discussed; I mean, it was a combination of 23 factors. 24 Now, is that an opinion that you hold 0 25 with a reasonable degree of medical

67

1 certainty? 2 А Yes. And is that as sure as a physician can 3 0 be about something like this? 4 5 А I believe so. 6 MR. SCHARON: I don't have 7 any other questions for you. 8 Thanks. 9 MR. GREER: No follow-up. 10 Thank you, Doctor. 11 MR. SCHARON: Do you want 12 to read and sign, or waive? 13 THE WITNESS: I'll waive 14 it. 15 16 (Whereupon, deposition was 17 concluded at 10:35 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

ʻ !

. 1

3

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 The State of Ohio,)) 4 SS: 5 County of Cuyahoga.) 6 7 I, Luann Zadell, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly 8 9 commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within-named witness, 10 RICHARD R. MASIN, M.D., was by me first 11 duly sworn to testify to the truth, the 12 13 whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony 14 then given by the above-referenced witness 15 16 was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards 17 transcribed, and that the foregoing is a 18 true and correct transcription of the 19 20 testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. 21 I do further certify that this 22 deposition was taken at the time and place 23 in the foregoing caption specified and was 24 completed without adjournment. 25

69

1	I do further certify that I am not a
2	relative, counsel or attorney for either
3	party, or otherwise interested in the
4	event of this action.
5	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
6	set my hand and affixed my seal of office
7	at Cleveland, Ohio, this 8th day of
8	August, A.D., 1994.
9	
10	$\beta \gamma 1 \Omega \gamma$
11	Jun Hail
1.2	Luann Zadell, Notary Public
13	Within and for the State of Ohio
14	My Commission Expires 8-8-95
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	•
24	
2 5	

e!

.

.

.]

ŧ