Original Transcript

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO

MARSHALLE PATTERSON,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No. 571601

OHIO PERMANENTE, et al.,

Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF

RAYMOND D. MAGORIEN, M.D.

October 18, 2004 5:48 p.m.

450 West Tenth Avenue Columbus, Ohio

Monica K. Hissong Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio





Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

Nationwide Scheduling

Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www.setdepo.com

Γ	2
1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
3	FRIEDMAN, DOMIANO & SMITH
4	DONNA J. TAYLOR-KOLIS
5	ATTORNEY AT LAW
6	600 Standard Building
7	Cleveland, Ohio 44113
8	
9	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS, RANDOLPH HEINLE, D.O.
10	AND LAKELAND EMERGENCY ASSOCIATION:
11	REMINGER & REMINGER
12	STEPHEN E. WALTERS
13	ATTORNEY AT LAW
14	1400 Midland Building
15	101 Prospect Avenue West
16	Cleveland, Ohio 44115
17	
18	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, CHARLES M. CELESTINA,
19	M.D.:
20	BONEZZI, SWITZER, MURPHY & POLITO
21	ANDREW P. BUCKNER
22	ATTORNEY AT LAW
23	1400 Leader Building
24	Cleveland, Ohio 44114
25	



Setdepo Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	3
1	Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D.
2	October 18, 2004
3	RAYMOND D. MAGORIEN, M.D. of lawful
 4	age, Witness herein, having been first duly
5	cautioned and sworn, as hereinafter certified,
6	was examined and said as follows:
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY-MS.TAYLOR-KOLIS:
9	Q. Doctor, for the record, could you
10	please state your name, spell it, and give us
11	your professional address?
12	A. Raymond Daniel Magorien, my
13	professional address is Heart/Lung Research
14	Building, University Hospital, Columbus, Ohio.
15	My profession is medicine. The specialty I
16	practice is cardiology.
17	Q. Okay. Dr. Magorien, it's my
18	understanding that you are, as we shall say,
19	ready, willing, and able to give testimony in
20	support of or on behalf of Mr. Walters'
21	client, Dr. Heinle; is that a correct
22	understanding?
23	A. That's correct.
24	Q. Okay. Let's just go through some
25	basic background. I have access to some



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized

The Evolution of Deposition Management

	4
1	information about you, but I just want to
2	clarify it for myself. Doctor, how many
3	years have you been doing medical-legal
4	reviews?
5	A. I suspect it's ten, fifteen years,
6	probably closer to fifteen years.
7	Q. Okay. And your testimony over the
8	past five years is broken down in what
9	percentages between plaintiffs and physicians?
10	A. I suspect it's probably sixty,
11	sixty-five percent defense, the remainder
12	plaintiff.
13	Q. And at present how many cases are
14	you actively participating in?
15	A. It would be a guess, I would say
16	probably ten, twelve cases.
17	Q. Okay. You have worked for the law
18	firm of Reminger and Reminger on prior
19	occasions, correct?
20	A. I have, yes.
21	Q. And on how many occasions have you
22	worked specifically for Mr. Walters?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	Q. What other Cleveland defense firms
25	that represent physicians do you work for or



Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	5
1	have you worked for?
2	A. I will have to apologize. I
3	really don't keep firm names stored very long
4	in my frontal lobes, so I can't tell you
5	what law firms in Cleveland I have worked
6	for.
7	Q. Can you recall the attorneys' names
8	if you can't remember their firm names?
9	A. No.
10	Q. You are currently involved in some
11	plaintiffs' cases; is that correct?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. And in those cases, Doctor, if my
14	understanding that those are emergency at
15	least one is an emergency room case where
16	there was a failure to diagnose a heart
17	attack?
18	A. There may be a case out there like
19	that. Without being more specific, I I
20	couldn't go be address it any further.
21	Q. Dr. Magorien, under oath today, did
22	you
23	A. I am sorry, just so we don't keep
24	going, it's a hard G, Magorien.
25	Q. I am sorry.



Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	6
Y	A. It's just Magorien, with a hard G.
2	MR. WALTERS: As opposed to
3	Magorien.
4	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Okay. Magorien?
5	THE WITNESS: Magorien.
6	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Okay. Well, I
7	just won't use his name anymore.
8	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
9	Q. Doctor, did you give a plaintiff
10	deposition in the last two to four weeks on
11	a plaintiff's case in Cleveland, a case that
12	is filed here in Cleveland?
13	A. It may be Cleveland. The
14	deposition, of course, was down here in
15	Columbus. But there was a firm, and I can't
16	remember whether it was Youngstown or
17	Cleveland, but it was I did do a
18	deposition on a plaintiff's case, and it was
19	about three to four weeks ago; that's
20	correct.
21	Q. And in that case, the issue is the
22	issue of medical negligence in the context of
23	an emergency room department failing to
24	diagnose a heart attack? I am asking you if
25	that's what the case was about.



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

Γ	7
1	A. The case that I am thinking of was
2	chest pain in-hospital, not emergency room,
3	but there may be another case out there. I
4	really can't tell you. The case that I am
5	thinking of was was more of a a
6	failure to make the diagnosis about a patient
7	that had already been admitted and was having
8	some recurrent chest pain in-hospital.
9	Q. Okay. What are you billing me per
10	hour for your deposition time?
11	A. Four hundred dollars an hour.
12	Q. Okay. In the past, have you
13	offered opinions as to the standard of care
14	of an emergency room physician in the failure
15	to diagnose a heart attack case?
16	A. From a cardiologist's perspective,
17	yes, I have.
18	Q. Okay. I would gather, and perhaps
19	I am completely in error, but I would suspect
20	that in your capacity at the university that
21	you are called into the emergency room
22	setting on occasion to help make decisions
23	about whether to admit a patient for acute
24	coronary syndrome or myocardial infarction?
25	A. That's correct.



Setdepo Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www.setdepo.com

٦

Γ	8
1	Q. Doctor, are you going to be
2	rendering standard of care opinions in this
3	matter?
4	A. No, I am not.
5	Q. Were you asked to render standard
6	of care opinions?
7	A. No, I wasn't.
8	Q. When were you initially contacted
9	by Mr. Walters relative to this case?
10	A. You know, I have got five volumes
11	in front of me, and I don't have any face
12	sheets, so I can't tell you when I initially
13	took a look at this case. I wish I could.
14	Maybe Mr. Walters has that, but I don't know.
15	Q. Do you have a correspondence file?
16	A. No, I don't. I just have the
17	actual five volumes that he sent me and one
18	deposition.
19	Q. What deposition did you happen to
20	have read or happen to read?
21	A. Dr. Celestina.
22	Q. Did you ask to see the deposition
23	of Dr. Heinle?
24	A. No, I did not.
25	Q. What additional information that



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

ſ	9
1	would be helpful to you in sorting out the
2	issues and I guess we will get to the
3	issues in a minute was gained by reading
4	the deposition of Dr. Celestina?
5	A. There wasn't any really additional
6	information above and beyond the medical
7	records.
8	Q. When you initially reviewed this
9	report, I mean this case, you had the medical
10	records and the deposition transcript of Dr.
11	Celestina, I think it so states, in the first
12	paragraph, correct?
13.	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Okay. Since that time have you
15	seen any additional materials?
16	A. There may have been, and I don't
17	have it with me, and it may be at home.
18	There may have been a statement from a Dr.
19	Friedlander that I took a look at, but I
20	have not read his deposition. And there may
21	have been a an initial statement from him
22	that was sent my way, but I don't have it
23	here, and I don't have any really firm
24	recollection of what he said.
25	Q. Doctor, do you understand,



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	10
1	obviously because you have experience in doing
2	this, that today is my only opportunity to
3	speak with you about the opinions that you
4	will be rendering at trial?
5	A. I do understand that.
6	Q. And do you feel that you have
7	completely and adequately reviewed all the
8	material that you wish to review to formulate
9	the opinions that you are going to tell me
10	about shortly?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. Okay. Have you seen any expert
13	reports, other than perhaps your recollection
14	is maybe you have seen Dr. Friedlander's?
15	A. That would be it.
16	Q. Okay. Let's ask the question this
17	way. What opinions do you plan on rendering
18	at the trial of this lawsuit?
19	A. This patient obviously sustained a
20	massive myocardial infarction. We can be
21	more specific about it. I will render
22	opinions as to when that infarction probably
23	commenced. I will render opinions in regards
24	to the likely extent of injury and damage
25	that occurred following the onset of the



Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management



11 infarction. 1 mvocardial I will render opinions with regards 2 to how much myocardium was likely salvageable 3 during the patient's progression through the 4 And then if asked, Ι heart attack process. 5 can render opinions with regards to -in 6 some ways with regards to her status 7 following stabilization and treatment 8 post-heart attack. 9 I think you made that clear Okav. 10 Q. am just going to go back and go 11 enough, so I through them. When, Doctor, to a reasonable 12 degree of medical probability do you believe 13 that Ms. Patterson's myocardial infarction 14 commenced? 15 The afternoon of November 22. 16 Α. Tell me, Doctor, with as much 17 Ο. precision as you can the basis of that 18 19 opinion. If you will give me a moment, ī 20 Α. going to pull up the patient's 21 am just enzyme report, and then I will 22 cardiac address that issue specifically. I have got 23 too many volumes in front of me, but I am 24 25 going to find it, if you will just give me а

> Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management



12 1 moment. That's okay. It's volume four, 2 · O . page thirty-two. Doctor, 3 Yeah, I have got it paper clipped Α. 4 It's the last place I looked, -5 here. obviously. If you -- I guess it's marked 6 Bates page thirty-two. The patient, Mrs. 7 Patterson, peaked on her cardiac enzymes at 8 12:46 on 11/23. 9 And I think this is the -- the 10 most objective way to try to understand when 11 her heart attack likely commenced. We know 12 from a lot of information in the medical 13 literature that people, individuals, peak their 14 cardiac enzymes roughly eighteen to twenty 15 hours, twenty-four hours, following the onset 16 of a heart attack. 17 So if you go back roughly twenty 18 hours prior to her peak cardiac enzyme at 19 12:46, you are in the early afternoon of 20 So I think that is probably as 21 11/22. accurate as we can get in terms of trying to 22 backtrack and understand when her heart attack 23 started. Now, when I said heart attack 24 started, when her cardiac enzymes would have 25

depo

Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management



Г	13
1	been initially released.
2	The heart attack actually can start
3	two, three, four hours prior to release of
4	cardiac enzymes. So that would place her
5	again, her heart attack starting sometime in
6	the early afternoon of the 23rd I am
7	sorry, 22nd.
8	Q. Okay. And with that and you
9	just can't pinpoint the hour for obvious
10	reasons, correct?
11	A. Right. There is variability from
12	patient to patient. If you wouldn't mind
13	giving me just a moment, I am going to
14	answer this page, if you don't mind?
15	Q. Not at all.
16	(Thereupon, an off-the-record
17	discussion was had.)
18	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
19	Q. Okay. Having said that you
20	believe that Mrs. Patterson's myocardial
21	infarction I don't know that you used the
22	word, but I am going to use the simple word
23	began sometime in the afternoon, I guess
24	that's where we are putting it, the afternoon
25	of the 22nd?



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	14
1	A. Early afternoon, late morning,
2	early afternoon, correct.
3	Q. Okay. Let's just ask a couple of
4	basic questions. Since you believe that,
5	Doctor, do you also hold the opinion to a
6	reasonable degree of medical probability that
7	had cardiac enzymes been drawn at Huron
8	Hospital at any point between 6 p.m. and
9	midnight on the 22nd, would those enzymes
10	have been positive?
11	A. Likely.
12	Q. Do you need to take that?
13	A. I do.
14	(Thereupon, an off-the-record
15	discussion was had.)
16	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
17	Q. To loop back where we were, I
18	believe the last question I asked before you
19	were called upon was whether or not you had
20	an opinion as to whether or not the enzymes
21	would have registered as positive at Huron
22	Hospital between 6 and midnight, and I
23	believe that you said yes?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Is that to a reasonable degree of



-

	15
1	medical too late in the day is that to
2	a reasonable degree of medical probability?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. All right. Doctor, do you
5	likewise believe that at that period of time
6	at Huron Hospital between 6 p.m. and
7	approximately midnight that an EKG would have
8	been diagnostic for myocardial infarction?
9	A. That's uncertain. It's likely that
10	EKG would have shown abnormalities; whether it
11	would have been diagnostic or not, that's
12	speculative.
13	Q. Okay. I just want to know if you
14	are going to have an opinion, since we
15	obviously have to talk about it.
16	A. Sure.
17	Q. Let's go, I guess, to the next
18	thing that you indicated that you would want
19	to talk about or would be likely to talk
20	about at trial, and you phrased it as the
21	likely extent of damage. Tell me what you
22	are going to testify to and what the basis
23	of that testimony will be.
24	A. I am going to testify, if asked,
25	that the patient had on the 23rd had



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

16 occlusion, a hundred evidence of LAD total percent blockage of the left anterior descending coronary artery without collateral bloodflow, without appreciable collaterals, and had a major amount of injury that, given the fact that the infarct likely started in the early afternoon of the 22nd that in patients that have total occlusion of the left anterior descending coronary artery, without collaterals. Usually, by the time they are three, four hours into the infarction, there 12 is an appreciable amount of irreversible 13 So if you asked about myocardial damage. 14 what degree of salvage, what degree of 15 benefit earlier intervention would have had, 16 then we can discuss that -- that issue 17 specifically with regards to the evening of 18 the 22nd, if intervention had been attained 19 at that time. 20 And I guess that's Okay. 21 Ο. precisely what I would like to discuss, and 22 let's see if I understand your combination. 23 As I wrote it out in my lovely purple pen, 24 are you indicating that the factors that 25

depo

Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

set



1

2

З

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11



 would be considered in making substantial heart damage evident in three to four hours are A, the LID, B, it's totally occluded, and C, she has issues, appreciable collateral flow? A. That's what I understand. Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. All I can say is that an EKG, and 		17
 are A, the LID, B, it's totally occluded, and C, she has issues, appreciable collateral flow? A. That's what I understand. Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. Ali I can say is that an EKG, and 	1	would be considered in making substantial
 C, she has issues, appreciable collateral flow? A. That's what I understand. Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. Ali I can say is that an EKG, and 	2	heart damage evident in three to four hours
 flow? A. That's what I understand. Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. All I can say is that an EKG, and 	3	are A, the LID, B, it's totally occluded, and
 A. That's what I understand. Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. All I can say is that an EKG, and 	4	C, she has issues, appreciable collateral
 Q. Is that the combination? A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. All I can say is that an EKG, and 	5	flow?
 A. That is the basis of my observations, correct. Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if the jury were to accept your testimony that the heart attack began somewhere in the early afternoon, okay, given factors that you have come to learn through your investigation of the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at the time of her presentation to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation but before the actual infarction occurred? A. All I can say is that an EKG, and 	6	A. That's what I understand.
9 observations, correct. 10 Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if 11 the jury were to accept your testimony that 12 the heart attack began somewhere in the early 13 afternoon, okay, given factors that you have 14 come to learn through your investigation of 15 the medical records, what do you believe 16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All- I can say is that an EKG, and	7	Q. Is that the combination?
10Q.Okay. Working that backwards, if11the jury were to accept your testimony that12the heart attack began somewhere in the early13afternoon, okay, given factors that you have14come to learn through your investigation of15the medical records, what do you believe16would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity17for a less extensive heart attack had she18been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at19the time of her presentation to the Cleveland20Clinic Foundation but before the actual21infarction occurred?22A.	8	A. That is the basis of my
11 the jury were to accept your testimony that 12 the heart attack began somewhere in the early 13 afternoon, okay, given factors that you have 14 come to learn through your investigation of 15 the medical records, what do you believe 16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	9	observations, correct.
12 the heart attack began somewhere in the early 13 afternoon, okay, given factors that you have 14 come to learn through your investigation of 15 the medical records, what do you believe 16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	10	Q. Okay. Working that backwards, if
13 afternoon, okay, given factors that you have 14 come to learn through your investigation of 15 the medical records, what do you believe 16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	11	the jury were to accept your testimony that
14 come to learn through your investigation of 15 the medical records, what do you believe would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	12	the heart attack began somewhere in the early
15 the medical records, what do you believe 16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	13	afternoon, okay, given factors that you have
16 would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity 17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	14	come to learn through your investigation of
17 for a less extensive heart attack had she 18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	15	the medical records, what do you believe
18 been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at 19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	16	would have been Mrs. Patterson's opportunity
19 the time of her presentation to the Cleveland 20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. Alle I can say is that an EKG, and	17	for a less extensive heart attack had she
20 Clinic Foundation but before the actual 21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	18	been diagnosed in the morning, beginning at
21 infarction occurred? 22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	19	the time of her presentation to the Cleveland
22 A. All I can say is that an EKG, and	20	Clinic Foundation but before the actual
	21	infarction occurred?
	22	A. All I can say is that an EKG, and
23] I don't have the exact time in my mental	23	I don't have the exact time in my mental
24 grasp, but an EKG obtained that morning of	24	grasp, but an EKG obtained that morning of
25 the 22nd showed a normal pattern with no	25	the 22nd showed a normal pattern with no



Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management



Γ	18
1	injury. So I think we can say with a
2	reasonable degree of certainty that there was
3	no ongoing injury, appreciable EKG injury, the
4	morning of the 22nd.
5	Q. And you would concur with that
6	reading, that at that time that was a normal
7	EKG?
8	A. That's correct.
9	Q. I think that's what you just said,
10	actually. Doctor, what therapy is available
11	to treat a person to prevent them from going
12	into a myocardial infarction such as this
13	when what they are suffering is the
14	precursor, the ischemia?
15	A. If you have got clinical evidence
16	of what we call an acute coronary syndrome,
17	there are various treatments that can be
18	utilized. They do you want me to
19	specifically note what can be utilized; is
20	that your question?
21	Q. Yes, I would appreciate that.
22	A. The mainstay of therapy for an
23	acute coronary syndrome is aspirin, aspirin
24	and/or Heparin, oftentimes a beta blocker.
25	Depending on other factors, you might use



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

me of
te
ly to
u
als,
elieve
of
arction
ter
m
1
you
Joing



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

[20
1	Q. Okay. Do you have any statistical
2	basis let me retract that question.
3	Doctor, you are pretty well-written and
4	published, I can see from your curriculum
5	vitae. Do any of your publications do you
6	feel deal directly with this issue on
7	predictive value outcomes, so to speak, in
8	terms of treating acute coronary syndromes?
9	A. A lot of them involve treatment in
10	acute coronary syndromes, but I don't recall
11	any of them talking about predictive value of
12	any given specific therapy.
13	Q. All right. Let's do this a
14	different way. Getting back, I guess, to
15	where you were asked to look at this matter
16	for Mr. Walters on behalf of Dr. Heinle, if
17	after Mrs. Patterson presented to Huron Road,
18	if an EKG had been taken, and you said you
19	are not entirely sure what it would have
20	shown, but you are to a reasonable degree of
21	medical certainty certain that our cardiac
22	enzymes would have been positive, given what
23	you saw of Mrs. Patterson's clinical picture
24	at Huron Road Hospital that night, would
25	therapy have lessened the effects of the



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

myocardial infarction?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. What kind of therapy would you be referring to?

Q. Well, if the physician -- if the physician at Huron Road Hospital had recognized that she had this MI that you think started in the afternoon, what therapy should they have initiated?

A. Assuming that she was having an anterior myocardial infarction, which I think is more likely than not, the therapy would be aspirin, Heparin, probably a beta blocker. And then if the EKG pattern showed a major injury pattern, a potential consideration of transfer to a tertiary center to intervene and open up the blockage. That would have probably been the sequence of events.

Q. Okay. And, once again, having given me that sequence of events, if those things had occurred in a sequential pattern between 6 p.m. and midnight, do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of medical probability whether or not the extent of the damage from the myocardial infarction would have been less?

set**depo**

Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized

The Evolution of Deposition Management



Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo.com

21

Ţ	22
1	A. My opinion is that it would have
2	likely been somewhat less, but not
3	appreciably. And I can explain why if you
4	would like, but I will just stop at that
5	point.
6	Q. This is your day to get to explain
7	so that I can learn or consider, I guess.
8	So tell me what the basis is that you would
9	think would be somewhat less but still
10	considerable.
11	A. We know from her enzyme release
12	that she likely started the event in the
13	early afternoon of the 22nd. And if you
14	walk through sort of the sequence of events,
15	she arrives at Huron, I believe, at around 6
16	p.m.
17	By the time she would have made
18	the diagnosis, got an EKG, gotten blood
19	tests, started her on medications, evaluated
20	the EKG, and then made a decision to
21	potentially transfer her to another hospital
22	where they would have to have a team, a cath
23	lab team, in place to perform a procedure, we
24	are probably talking at the very best 10 or
25	11:00 o'clock on the 22nd as the opportunity



Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	23
1	to be in the cath lab and potentially opening
2	up a blood vessel.
3	Assuming that she started to
4	infarct, and it's an LAD hundred percent
5	occluded like we know it was the next day,
6	it's likely that that is the case that
7	evening. By the time they get her to a
8	tertiary center, open up, do the angiogram,
9	see the blockage, mechanically open up the
10	blockage, she has likely had a very extensive
11	amount of irreversible myocardial necrosis.
12	And I can tell you the basis of
13	that, but it's my clinical experience and
14	it's evidenced in the literature that if you
15	have total occlusion of a left anterior
16	descending coronary artery, most damage occurs
17	in the first four hours. That is the window
18	where you salvage muscle.
19	She is well out of that window by
20	the time she gets in and gets intervened on.
21	So, in quick summary, that's my explanation
22	of the rationale that she may have had some
23	benefit, but not appreciable in terms of
24	improving heart muscle function, unfortunately.
25	Q. Okay. I am trying to process what



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	24
1	you are saying. What leads you your
2	contention that there was a total occlusion
3	in the afternoon is working your CPKs
4	backwards. That is probably too simplistic
5	of a way to say it, but am I correct in
6	that assumption?
7	A. Well, to have necrosis, and that's
8	irreversible damage to heart tissue, that's
9	what you have to have before the enzymes
10	start leaking. We know from a voluminous
11	amount of literature that enzymes peak
12	eighteen to twenty hours after the infarction.
13	So we can go back with a
14	reasonable degree of certainty and ballpark
15	when the heart attack started. You are not
16	going to start necrosing heart muscle with a
17	fifty, sixty percent blockage. You have to
18	have very severe interruption of blood flow
19	before you start necrosing heart tissue.
20	So I think it's more likely than
21	not that early in the afternoon of the 22nd,
22	she either had total occlusion or effectively
23	total occlusion of her left anterior
24	descending coronary artery. And it's likely
25	that in the first four hours of that event,



she had an appreciable amount of irreversible 1 myocardial injury. 2 I think I am thinking, sorry. З Ο. very quietly. If -- I may have asked this 4 Patterson had been in If Mrs. 5 already. say, at 12:00 o'clock in the let's 6 telemetry, let's just say she never left afternoon, and 7 Kaiser between 12 and 6, do you have an 8 opinion whether it was more likely or not 9 that she could have, if they observed her, 10 caught this before she actually infarcted? 11 That would be totally speculative 12 Α. Sometimes, people will show an on my part. 13 More often than intermediate EKG pattern. 14 she had a flat-out normal EKG pattern 15 not. in the morning of the 22nd. Whether 16 late she would have had an intermediate pattern 17 that would have allowed them to intervene to 18 interrupt the infarction, that would be 19 totally speculative on my part. Ι just don't 20 21 know. of guess I am going to ask one Τ 22 Ο. those questions that I hadn't really thought 23 prior to having the opportunity to meet 24 about Mrs. Patterson has a total occlusion 25 Ιf vou.

> Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

25



	26
1	early in the afternoon, pinpointing the time,
2	November 22, how did how was it she
3	didn't die from this heart attack? It's
4	curiosity, I would love to hear the answer.
5	A. Certainly. Mortality rates now
6	with heart attack in the United States are
7	down in the under ten percent. It
8	depends a little bit on the age and the
9	gender. This is a relatively young female.
10	So her mortality rates are less than ten
11	percent.
12	So most people don't die of heart
13	attack. Most survive. The people that do
14	expire usually expire of a lethal arrhythmia
15	in the early stages of a heart attack. They
16	don't die of shock. They don't die of
17	cardiac rupture. They can, but their
18	greatest risk is sudden death due to an
19	arrhythmia.
20	And some people don't have lethal
21	arrhythmias. So the majority of the people
22	in the United States do survive their heart
23	attacks.
24	Q. So she simply had this massive
25	occlusion and then ended up in surgery the



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

Γ	27
1	next morning and cardiogenic shock?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Is that essentially how you stand?
4	A. That's correct. And can I back up
5	to that last statement? When I said ten
6	percent
7	Q. Oh, absolutely.
- 8	A. When I said ten percent, that's
9	ten percent of the patients that make it into
10	the hospital. That doesn't include the
11	people that die in the field. Slightly less
12	than half will die suddenly before they get
13	into the system. The ten percent survival or
14	better is people that survive to get into the
15	medical system. Just wanted to clarify that
16	for you.
17	Q. All right. I appreciate that. I
18	am going to ask you a couple more medical
19	questions, but I always get nervous that I
20	haven't asked something in an appropriate way.
21	You have no opinion as to whether Dr.
22	Celestina or Dr. Heinle complied with the
23	standard of care in this case?
24	A. That's correct.
25	Q. Okay. All right. What do you



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

28 think Marshalle Patterson's prognosis is? 1 My records, and I would have to qo 2 Α. look and see when the most back and 3 contemporary records I have, but my 4 recollection without going specifically is that 5 her ejection fraction is markedly depressed. 6 She has had some bouts of heart failure. So 7 her survival has been substantially impacted 8 adversely. 9 Can you quantify that, Doctor? 10 Q. Are you talking about a reduction in life 11 12 expectancy? Survival, yes, ma'am. Correct. 13 Α. Okay. Are you going to be opining 14 Q. she would Ms. Marshalle is now -a number? 15 be mad at me for not knowing -- I think she 16 forty-four right now or thereabouts. Τo 17 is what extent do you believe this initial 18 myocardial infarction and the extensive damage 19 that was caused by it reduced her life 20 21 expectancy? Well, without having an actuarial 22 Α. curve to know what a forty-four year old 23 female would normally live to, but let's 24 assume that if she had never had the 25



set**depo**

Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized

The Evolution of Deposition Management



	. 29
1	infarction that she is going to live
2	potentially into her late seventies, early
3	eighties, I would suspect with this degree of
4	myocardial dysfunction and with evidence of
5	overt heart failure following the event that
6	her her five year survival five to
7	seven year survival is probably in the fifty
8	percent range, and that's excluding the
9	potential for transplantation.
10	Q. In a in a way that would allow
11	you to answer the question, you have been
12	able to evaluate the care rendered to
13	Marshalle by the Cleveland Clinic Foundation
14	and Kaiser after her heart attack?
15	A. That's correct.
16	MR. WALTERS: I don't know if you
17	have the most recent records.
18	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I don't know
19	that I do.
20	MR. WALTERS: In fairness, Doctor,
21	I don't know that you have because I
22	don't know that we do have the most recent
23	records.
24	THE WITNESS: If you want me to
25	be specific about that, I have records that



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	30
1	clearly are in '03. I don't recall whether
2	I have any records that go to '04.
3	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I know that she
4	has been well, let me try to clear this
5	up. I know that she has been seen in '04.
6	The records could be sitting on my desk, for
7	all I know, in a packet. All I have got to
8	do is find them and make copies for
9	everybody, and I will do that tomorrow. I
10	know I asked for them.
11	MR. WALTERS: I will provide you
12	those records. And if we are going to
13	supplement that opinion, we will do that
14	immediately.
15	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: That's perfectly
16	acceptable to me. I just write one or two
17	lines I have looked at these records.
18	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
19	Q. Because the question I want to ask
20	is it seems to me that the physicians both
21	at the Cleveland Clinic and Kaiser are
22	concerned for her health and are rendering
23	good medical care to help her sustain cardiac
24	function. I was wondering if you agreed with
25	that.



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

ļ	31
1	A. Yes, I would agree with that,
2	based on what I have seen.
3	Q. Should Mrs. Patterson I don't
4	even know how to ask this question because
5	you have never met Marshalle, so this would
6	be a hard question for me to ask. When you
7	say her five to seven year survival is
8	approximately fifty percent, excluding
9	transplant, what would be the most likely
10	cause of death for her in that five to seven
11	year period of time?
12	A. It's about fifty-fifty. One is
13	arrhythmia. The second arrhythmia, and
14	that is lessened by placement of an
15	implantable defibrillator. The second is
16	heart failure.
17	Q. You know she has she got a
18	device?
19	A. That's correct.
20	Q. I don't know if you knew that,
21	okay. In your opinion, should Mrs.
22	Patterson, with what you know right at this
23	moment, should she be on a transplant list?
24	A. I couldn't answer that. I would
25	say if



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

	32
1	Q. Okay.
2	A she has got a device, if she
3	does have an implantable defibrillator, that
4	if she has a defibrillator, that does improve
5	her survival somewhat because it decreases the
6	risk to die of a lethal arrhythmia.
7	Q. Doctor, based upon your review of
8	the records, if you haven't answered this,
9	for how many days do you believe before Mrs.
10	Patterson presented to Kaiser that she was
11	experiencing cardiac ischemia?
12	A. That would be very speculative on
13	my part. From looking at the records going
14	back to 19, I believe, '96, she had similar
15	chest pain syndromes, back pain that was felt
16	to be due to fibromyalgia. So to try to
17	speculate whether her symptoms prior to coming
18	into the Kaiser system or the other emergency
19	department were ischemic would just be
20	speculative on my part.
21	Q. When Mrs. Patterson presented to
22	Kaiser's emergency room on November 22, you
23	might have the records handy, what clinical
24	presentation did she have?
25	A. If you will give me a moment, I



Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management



[33				
r	will pull up that tab and take a look.				
2	Q. Okay.				
3	A. From my review of the records, it				
4	sounds as if she was complaining of what was				
5	referred to as a typical chest pain, upper				
6	back pain radiating to both arms.				
7	Q. With that set of symptoms and the				
8	history that's available, what would have been				
9	within the differential diagnosis or what				
10	should have been within the differential				
11	diagnosis?				
12	MR. WALTERS: I am going to				
13	object. He has indicated on three separate				
14	occasions that he is not rendering standard				
15	of care opinions, and I think we are now in				
16	the region of standard of care.				
17	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: I am just				
18	testing				
19	MR. WALTERS: You are just				
20	testing, if I am paying attention.				
21	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Well, we know				
22	you are not.				
23	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:				
24	Q. No, Doctor, I withdraw the question				
25	because now I have got Mr. Walters on the				



record saying	he is	never	going	to	go there.	
Have you been	made	aware	of Dr.	Fri	edlander'	S
deposition tes	timony	?				

34

A. I have not read his deposition testimony. Mr. Walters and I had a brief conversation about it prior to the -- this deposition this evening.

just going to paraphrase Ο. I am а little bit, and Steve will hold me accountable for paraphrasing wrong, I am sure. Friedlander's deposition, he But at Dr. indicated some of the same kinds of information you did about when CPKs peak, еt cetera, and a concern that he had was that there was a laboratory value reported at 2 a.m. on November 24 where the CPK was still 1951. Do you recall seeing that laboratory value?

A. I did, yes.

set**depo**

Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized

The Evolution of Deposition Management

Q. Dr. Friedlander indicated in his testimony that he couldn't be sure that the reason that the number still was that high was because there had been a successful angioplasty and, thus, a pouring out of CPK into the system. What do you think of that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



35 1 convention? 2 Α. Could you repeat that? 3 MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Yeah, maybe the court reporter can read it back to you. 4 5 (Ouestion read.) THE WITNESS: That's not usually 6 7 the way it works. When you have a 8 successful angioplasty and you get markedly 9 improved profusion into the heart muscle and 10 the actual CK curve narrows, not widens. So it's the actual opposite of that, 11 if I am 12 understanding your paraphrasing of what he 13 said correctly. So, basically, what you do 14 is you 15 narrow the curve, not widen it if you have 16 successful angioplasty. And by successful 17 angioplasty, it's not just opening up the 18 blood vessel. It's also getting blood flow 19 into the heart muscle. 20 And if you look closely at the 21 angioplasty report, they did not get brisk 22 They had low flow, almost no reflow, flow. 23 and they had to dilate several times to get 24 some improvement in flow. So the fact that 25 the CK curve hung out into the next day, I

Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized

The Evolution of Deposition Management



	36
1	think, is a function of this massive
2	infarction with a low reflow phenomenon and
3	not good effective reperfusion.
4	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Doctor, I know
5	you are going to be in absolute shock, but I
6	don't have any other questions for you.
7	THE WITNESS: I am in shock.
8	MR. WALTERS: We are going to get
9	it typed up, and we will send it to you
10	right away. And I will be in touch in the
11	next week or so, okay?
12	THE WITNESS: That's fine.
13	(Thereupon, the deposition was
14	concluded at 6:35 o'clock p.m.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	




37) OHIO ΟF STATE 1 SS: CERTIFICATE COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY 2 a Notary Monica K. Hissong, I, 3 Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly 4 commissioned and qualified, 5 DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the 6 above-named RAYMOND D. MAGORIEN, M.D., was by 7 me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the 8 whole truth and nothing but the truth; that 9 said testimony was reduced to writing by me 10 stenographically in the presence of the 11 witness and thereafter reduced to typewriting. 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not а 13 relative or Attorney of either party nor in 14 any manner interested in the event of this 15 action. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto 17 set my hand and seal of office at Dayton, 18 October, 2004. 28th day of Ohio, on this 19 MICÏ 20 MONICA K. HISSONG 21 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO 22 My commission expires 4-18-2005 23 24 25



Set depo Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo-com

Γ	38
1	CAPTION
2	The Deposition of Raymond D.
3	Magorien, M.D., taken in the matter, on the
4	date, and at the time and place set out on
5	the title page hereof.
6	It was requested that the deposition
7	be taken by the reporter and that same be
8	reduced to typewritten form.
9	It was agreed by and between counsel
10	and the parties that the Deponent will read
11	and sign the transcript of said deposition.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	· ·
24	
25	



set**depo**

Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

.

Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo-com

39						
CERTIFICATE						
STATE OF:						
COUNTY/CITY OF:						
Before me, this day, personally						
appeared, Raymond D. Magorien, M.D., who,						
being duly sworn, states that the foregoing						
transcript of his/her Deposition, taken in						
the matter, on the date, and at the time and						
place set out on the title page hereof,						
constitutes a true and accurate transcript of						
said deposition.						
Raymond D. Magorien, M.D.						
SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this						
day of, 2004 in the						
jurisdiction aforesaid.						
My Commission Expires Notary Public						



set**depo**

Streamlined • Centralized • Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo-com

DEPO	STTI	ON	ERRATA	SHEET

File No.	SetDepo, Inc. 5308 Marshalle Patterson vs. Ohio Permanente, et al.
Deponent: Deposition Date:	Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. October 18, 2004
Deposition taken the same has bee that the followir the record for t have signed my n the appropriate (entire transcript of my in the captioned matter or n read to me. I request ng changes be entered upon he reasons indicated. I ame to the Errata Sheet and Certificate and authorize you to the original transcript.
Page No Line N	o Change to:
Reason for change: Page No Line N	0 Change to:
Reason for change: Page No Line N	lo Change to:
Reason for change:	
	No Change to:
Page No Line 1	No Change to:
Reason for change:	



set**depo**

Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo-com

40

Page No	Line No Change :	to:
Reason for	change:	
Page No	Line No Change	to:
	change:	
Page No	Line No Change	
Reason for	change:	
Page No.	Line No Change	to:
Reason for	change:	
Page No	Line No Change	to:
	change:	
Page No	Line No Change	το:
Reason for	change:	
SIGNATUR	G:	DATE:
	Raymond D. Magorie	





Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www.setdepo.com

1	AMENDED CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF GEORGIA:
3	COUNTY OF FULTON:
4	I Hereby certify that in addition to the
5	certification made on the Reporter's
6	Certificate Pages, this Original Depostion
7	has been sealed pending the witness' right to
8	review said deposition within 30 days, which
9	time has not elapsed.
10	SetDepo, Inc.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	





Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www.setdepo.com

.

·

. _ _

Deposition of Raymons - CUYAHOGA 1:1 device 31.1
133/1 Liomoseu -
$\mathcal{D}^{\text{referred}}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\text{referred}}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\text{referred}}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\text{referred}}$ $\mathcal{D}^{\text{referred}}$
$1 = 1 = 120 \cdot 22$ $1 = 120 \cdot 22$ $1 = 120 \cdot 22 \cdot 12$
CERTIFY $37:6$, 19 concerned $36:14$ damage $10:24$ diag.
cetera 34.21.23 $cetera 34.21.23$ $die 26:312.32:6$
41:5,7,8,10,10 consider 28:19 differential 33.5
$\begin{bmatrix} 41.101 22.24.23 \\ considerate \\ bate 38:4 39:0 \\ 33:10 25.23 \end{bmatrix}$
121:14 $17:1$ $10:9$ $42:0$ 1112 $1120:0$
changes $40:10$ [constitutes $39:10$] day $15:10:5$ $35:25$ [discuss $16:10:17$]
CHARLES 2.15 contacted 8:0 $22:0 23:4,16$ discussion 1
$\begin{array}{c} \text{contempolar}_{24:2} \\ 33:5 \\ \text{contempolar}_{24:2} \\ \text{days } 32:9 \\ \text{days } 32:9 \\ \text{contor } 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 1 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 \\ 3:9 \\ 3:9 \\ 4:2 $
CR33.11.2 27:13 -ontext 0.2 35.1 [Dat 20.6 10] 5:13 1.12.17
clarily = 0.30:4 convention 34:6 deal 26:18 31:10 9:25 14:5 15:4
decision 22.22 12:10 20:3 decision 27:22 18:10 20:3
Cleveland 24 5:5 [coppary 7:24] decision 32:5 [28:10 29:24 36:4]
2:24 12,13,17 16:3,9 10.1 deci 0.2:18 32:7 33 10:1
17:19 29:10 1 10:16 24:24 Defendant
30:21 2:3 21 2:3 2:3 A:11/24 DOMIANO 2.3
4:19 $7:25$ $9:12$ $17:20$ $0.17:21$ $5:21$
$29:13 \ 30.11 \ 9:13 \ 10:11 \ 31:13 \ 14:0 \ 8:21,23 \ 9.14 \ 9:13 \ 10:14 \ 9:13 \ 10:14 \ 9:14 $
$\left(\begin{array}{c} 111111111111111111111111111111111111$
$32:2^{5}$
clipped 12: 27:2, 4, 24 20.11 20:20 29:3 cliphed 14: 32:16
29:15 $29:13$ department 0.1 due 20: 4 37:4,0
collateral compondence 32:10 39:6 29:4
17:4 17:4 3:15
Columbus 15 COUNTY/CITI 57:18 40:0 1:14
$-\text{bination} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{couple} \\ \text{c.14} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3:1 & 6:10 & 19, 22 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 16:10 \\ \text{c.14} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3:1 & 6:10 \\ \text{c.14} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3:1 &$
$course _{1,35:4}$ $(7.108:1072.3)$ $(ear_{1,2:20})$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{CPK} 34:10, 234:13 \\ \text{CPK} 34:13 \\ \text{CPK}$
1 $10+19$ 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000
$coming_{32.1}$ (non- coming_{32.1} 3:7 26:4 39:11 29:2 29:2 29:2 29:2
commence 12:12 curiosity 5:10 41 messed 28:0 g effectively
currence 20:4 descending 10 error
39:19 sectioned 37:5 curve 28:23 55. 23:10
Comman 1:1
COMMON 1:1 complaining 33:4 35.10, Nationwide Schedulin Nationwide Schedulin

Nationwide Scheduint Toll Free: 1.800.451.337 Facsimile: 1.888.451.337 www.setdepo.co



Setdepo Streamlined · Centralized · Standardized The Evolution of Deposition Management

Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. - October 18, 2004

			0.0.10
effects 20:25	expert10:12	form 38:8	gotten 22:18
eighteen 12:15	expire 26:14,14	formulate 10:8	grasp17:24
24:12	expires 37:23	forty-four 28:17	greatest 26:18
eighties 29:3	39:19	28:23	guess 4:15 9:2
either 24:22	explain 22:3,6	Foundation 17:20	12:6 13:23
37:14	explanation 23:21	29:13	15:17 16:21
ejection 28:6	extensive 17:17	four 6:10,19 7:11	20:14 22:7
EKG15:7,10 17:22	23:10 28:19	12:2 13:3 16:12	25:22
17:24 18:3,7	extent10:24	17:2 23:17	
20:18 21:13	15:21 21:23	24:25	<u> </u>
22:18,20 25:14	- 28:18	fraction 28:6	half 27:12
25:15	···	Friedlander 9:19	hand 37:18
emergency 2:10	F	34:20	handy 32:23
5:14,15 6:23	face 8:11	Friedlander's	happen 8:19,20
7:2,14,21 32:18	fact16:6 35:24	10:14 34:2,11	hard 5:24 6:1
32:22	factors 16:25	FRIEDMAN 2:3	31:6
ended 26:25	17:13 18:25	front 8:11 11:24	health 30:22
entered 40:15	failing 6:23	frontal 5:4	hear 26:4
entire 40:12	failure 5:16 7:6	function 23:24	heart 5:16 6:24
entirely 20:19	7:14 28:7 29:5	30:24 36:1	7:15 11:5 12:12
enzyme 11:22	31:16	further 5:20	12:17,23,24
12:19 22:11	fairness 29:20	37:13	13:2,5 17:2,12
enzymes 12:8,15	feel 10:6 20:6		17:17 23:24
12:25 13:4 14:7	felt 32:15	G	24:8,15,16,19
14:9,20 20:22	female 26:9 28:24	G 5:24 6:1	26:3,6,12,15,22
24:9,11	fibromyalgia	gained 9:3	28:7 29:5,14
Errata 40:1,17	32:16	gather 7:18	31:16 35:9,19
error 7:19	field 27:11	gender 26:9	Heart/Lung 3:13
essentially 27:3	fifteen 4:5,6	getting 20:14	Heinle 2:9 3:21
et1:8 34:13 40:6	fifty 24:17 29:7	35:18	8:23 20:16
evaluate 29:12	31:8	give 3:10,19 6:9	27:22
evaluated 22:19	fifty-fifty 31:12	11:20,25 32:25	help7:22 30:23
evening 16:18	file 8:15 40:4	given 16:5 17:13	helpful 9:1
23:7 34:7	filed 6:12	20:12,22 21:19	Heparin 18:24
event 22:12 24:25		giving 13:13	21:12
29:5 37:15	fine 36:12	go 3:24 5:20	hereinafter 3:5
events 21:17,19	firm 4:18 5:3,8	11:11,11 12:18	hereof 38:5 39:9
22:14	6:15 9:23	15:17 24:13	hereunto 37:17
everybody 30:9	firms 4:24 5:5	28:2 30:2 34:1	high 34:22
evidence 16:1	first 3:4 9:11	goal 19:2,5	Hissong1:24 37:3
18:15 29:4	23:17 24:25	goals 19:10	37:21
evidenced 23:14	37:8	going 5:24 8:1	history 33:8
evident17:2	five 4:8 8:10,17	10:9 11:11,21	his/her39:7
exact 17:23	29:6,6 31:7,10	11:25 13:13,22	hold14:5 34:9
examined 3:6	flat-out 25:15	15:14,22,24	home 9:17
excluding 29:8	flow17:5 24:18	18:11 19:20,22	hospital 3:14
31:8	35:18,22,22,24	24:16 25:22	14:8,22 15:6
expectancy 28:12	following 10:25	27:18 28:5,14	20:24 21:5
28:21	11:8 12:16 29:5	4	22:21 27:10
experience 10:1	40:15	32:13 33:12	hour 7:10,11 13:9
23:13	follows 3:6	34:1,8 36:5,8	hours 12:16,16,19
experiencing	foregoing 39:6	good 30:23 36:3	13:3 16:12 17:2
32:11		-	23:17 24:12,25
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			





Nationwide Scheduling

Page 45

## Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. - October 18, 2004

Dep	osition of Raymond D. Mag		
hundred 7:11 16:1	intervened 23:20	LAKELAND 2:10	37:7 38:3 39:5
	intervention	late 14:1 15:1	39:13 40:8
hung 35:25	16:16,19	25:16 29:2	41:11 42:9
Huron 14:7,21	intravenously	law 2:5,13,22	mainstay 18:22
15:6 20:17,24	19:1	4:17 5:5	major16:5 21:13
21:5 22:15	investigation	lawful 3:3	majority 26:21
21.) 22.10	17:14	lawsuit 10:18	making 17:1
I	involve 20:9	Leader 2:23	<b>manner</b> 37:15
immediately 30:14	involved 5:10	leads 24:1	marked 12:6
impacted 28:8	in-hospital 7:2,8	leaking 24:10	markedly 28:6
implantable 31:15	irreversible	<b>learn</b> 17:14 22:7	35:8
32:3	16:13 23:11	left16:2,8 23:15	Marshalle 1:2
improve 32:4	24:8 25:1	24:23 25:7	28:1,15 29:13
improved 35:9	ischemia 18:14	lessened 20:25	31:5 40:5
improvement 35:24	32:11	31:14	massive10:20
improvement 33.24	ischemic 32:19	lethal 26:14,20	26:24 36:1
include 27:10	issue 6:21,22	32:6	material 10:8
indicated 15:18	11:23 16:17	let's 3:24 10:16	materials 9:15
33:13 34:12,20	20:6	14:3 15:17	matter 8:3 20:15
	issues 9:2,3 17:4	16:23 20:13	38:3 39:8 40:13
40:16	133465 5.270 270	25:6,7 28:24	ma'am28:13
indicating 16:25	J	LID 17:3	mean 9:9
individuals 12:14	J2:4	life 28:11,20	mechanically 23:9
infarct 16:6 23:4	jurisdiction	likewise 15:5	medical 6:22 9:6
infarcted 25:11	39:17	Line 40:21,24	9:9 11:13 12:13
infarction 7:24	jury 17:11	41:2,5,8,13,16	14:6 15:1,2
10:20,22 11:1		41:19,22,25	17:15 20:21
11:14 13:21	K	42:3	21:22 27:15,18
15:8 16:12	K1:24 37:3,21	lines 30:17	30:23
17:21 18:12	Kaiser 25:8 29:14	list 31:23	medical-legal 4:3
19:15,20,23	30:21 32:10,18	literature 12:14	medications 22:19
21:1,10,24	Kaiser's 32:22	23:14 24:11	medicine 3:15
24:12 25:19	keep 5:3,23	little 26:8 34:9	meet 25:24
28:19 29:1 36:2	keep 5:3,23 kind 21:2	live 28:24 29:1	mental 17:23
information 4:1	kinds 34:12	<b>lobes</b> 5:4	<b>met</b> 31:5
8:25 9:6 12:13	knew 31:20	long 5:3	MI 19:7,8 21:6
34:13		look 8:13 9:19	Midland 2:14
initial 9:21	know 8:10,14 12:12 13:21	20:15 28:3 33:1	midnight14:9,22
28:18	12:12 13:21	35:20	15:7 21:21
initially 8:8,12	23:5 24:10	looked 12:5 30:17	3
9:8 13:1	23:5 24:10 25:21 28:23	looking 32:13	minute 9:3
initiated 19:14	29:16,18,21,22		moment 11:20 12:1
21:8		lot12:13 20:9	13:13 31:23
injury 10:24 16:5		love 26:4	32:25
18:1,3,3 21:14	31:4,17,20,22	lovely 16:24	Monica 1:24 37:3
25:2	33;21 36:4	low 35:22 36:2	37:21
interested 37:15	knowing 28:16		MONTGOMERY 37:2
intermediate	L	M	morning 14:1
25:14,17	lab 22:23 23:1	- <u>M2:18</u>	17:18,24 18:4
interrupt 25:19		mad 28:16	25:16 27:1
interruption	laboratory 34:15	Magorien 1:16 3:1	
24:18	34:17	3:3,12,17 5:21	MURPHY 2:20
intervene 21:15	LAD 16:1 23:4	5:24 6:1,3,4,5	
25:18		0.24 0.1707470	·





Nationwide Scheduling

20:23 28:1 opinions 7:13 8:2 obvious 13:9 muscle 23:18,24 paying 33:20 8:6 10:3,9,17 obviously 10:1,19 24:16 35:9,19 peak 12:14,19 10:22,23 11:2,6 12:6 15:15 myocardial 7:24 24:11 34:13 33:15 occasion 7:22 10:20 11:1,14 peaked 12:8 opportunity 10:2 occasions 4:19,21 13:20 15:8 pen 16:24 17:16 22:25 16:14 18:12 33:14 people 12:14 25:24 21:1,10,24 occluded 17:3 25:13 26:12,13 opposed 6:2 23:5 23:11 25:2 26:20,21 27:11 opposite 35:11 occlusion 16:1,8 28:19 29:4 27:14 original 40:19 23:15 24:2,22 myocardium 11:3 percent 4:11 16:2 outcome 19:17 24:23 25:25 M.D1:16 2:19 3:1 23:4 24:17 26:7 outcomes 20:7 26:25 3:3 37:7 38:3 26:11 27:6,8,9 overt 29:5 occurred 10:25 39:5,13 40:8 27:13 29:8 31:8 o'clock 22:25 17:21 21:20 41:11 42:9 percentages 4:9 25:6 36:14 occurring19:8 perfectly 30:15 occurs 23:16 Ν perform 22:23 Ρ October 1:18 3:2 name 3:10 6:7 period 15:5 31:11 P2:21 37:19 40:9 40:17 Permanente 1:8 packet 30:7 offered 7:13 names 5:3,7,8 40:6 page 12:3,7 13:14 office 37:18 **narrow** 35:15 person 18:11 38:5 39:9 40:21 off-the-record narrows 35:10 personally 39:4 40:24 41:2,5,8 13:16 14:14 necrosing 24:16 perspective 7:16 41:13,16,19,22 oftentimes 18:24 24:19 phenomenon 36:2 41:25 42:3 Oh 27:7 necrosis 23:11 phrased 15:20 pain 7:2,8 32:15 Ohio1:1,8,22,25 24:7 physician 7:14 32:15 33:5,6 2:7,16,24 3:14 need14:12. 21:4,5 37:1,4,19,22 paper 12:4 negligence 6:22 physicians 4:9,25 paragraph 9:12 40:5 nervous 27:19 paraphrase 34:8 30:20 okay 3:17,24 4:7 never19:4 25:7 paraphrasing picture 20:23 4:17 6:4,6 7:9 28:25 31:5 34:1 pinpoint 13:9 34:10 35:12 7:12,18 9:14 night 20:24 pinpointing 26:1 10:12,16 11:10 part 25:13,20 nitroglycerin place 12:5 13:4 12:2 13:8,19 32:13,20 19:122:23 38:4 39:9 participating 14:3 15:13 normal 17:25 18:6 placement 31:14 4:14 16:21 17:10,13 25:15 plaintiff 1:4 2:2 parties 38:10 20:1 21:18 normally 28:24 4:12 6:9 party 37:14 23:25 27:25 Notary 1:25 37:3 plaintiffs 4:9 patient 7:6,23 37:22 39:19 28:14 31:21 10:19 12:7 5:11 32:1 33:2 36:11 note 18:19 plaintiff's 6:11 13:12,12 15:25 old 28:23 November 11:16 patients 16:7 6:18 once 21:18 26:2 32:22 plan 10:17 27:9 ongoing 18:3 34:16 PLEAS 1:1 patient's 11:4,21 onset 10:25 12:16 number 28:15 please 3:10 open 21:16 23:8,9 pattern 17:25 34:22 point14:8 22:5 opening 23:1 21:13,14,20 POLITO 2:20 25:14,15,17 35:17 0 positive 14:10,21 Patterson 1:2 opining 28:14 oath 5:21 20:22 12:8 19:15 opinion 11:19 object 33:13 post-heart 11:9 20:17 25:5,25 14:5,20 15:14 objective 12:11 potential 21:14 31:3,22 32:10 21:22 22:1 25:9 observations 17:9 29:9 32:21 40:5 27:21 30:13 observed 25:10 potentially 22:21 Patterson's 11:14 31:21 obtained 17:24 23:1 29:2 13:20 17:16







Nationwide Scheduling

Page 47

Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. - October 18, 2004

	iostion of Raymond 2.1		
	<u> </u>	29:17,23,25	Road 20:17,24
pouring 34:24	<u>Q</u>	30:2,6,12,17	21:5
practice 3:16	qualified 37:5	32:8,13,23 33:3	room 5:15 6:23
precisely 16:22	quantify 28:10	recurrent 7:8	7:2,14,21 32:22
precision 11:18	question 10:16	reduced 28:20	roughly 12:15,18
precursor 18:14	14:18 18:20	37:10,12 38:8	rupture 26:17
predictive 20:7	20:2 29:11	reduction 28:11	L
20:11	30:19 31:4,6	referred 33:5	S
presence 37:11	33:24 35:5	referring 21:3	salvage 16:15
present 4:13	questions 14:4	reflow 35:22 36:2	23:18
presentation	25:23 27:19	regards 10:23	salvageable 11:3
17:19 32:24	36:6		saw 20:23
presented 20:17	quick 23:21	11:2,6,7 16:18	saw20.20 saying24:1 34:1
32:10,21	quietly 25:4	region 33:16	seal 37:18
pretty 20:3		registered14:21	second 31:13,15
prevent 18:11	R	relative 8:9	see 8:22 16:23
19:8	radiating 33:6	37:14	20:4 23:9 28:3
prevented 19:19	RANDOLPH 2:9	relatively 26:9	
primary 19:10	range 29:8	release 13:3	seeing 34:17
prior 4:18 12:19	rates 26:5,10	22:11	seen 9:15 10:12
13:3 19:15	rationale 23:22	released 13:1	10:14 30:5 31:2
25:24 32:17	Raymond 1:16 3:1	remainder 4:11	send 36:9
34:6	3:3,12 37:7	<b>remember</b> 5:8 6:16	sent 8:17 9:22
probability 11:13	38:2 39:5,13	Reminger 2:11,11	separate 33:13
14:6 15:2 21:23		4:18,18	sequence 21:17,19
probably 4:6,10	40:8 41:11 42:9	render 8:5 10:21	22:14
4:16 10:22	read 8:20,20 9:20	10:23 11:2,6	sequential 21:20
	34:4 35:4,5	rendered 29:12	set 33:7 37:18
12:21 21:12,17	38:10 40:12,14	rendering 8:2	38:4 39:9
22:24 24:4 29:7	reading 9:3 18:6	10:4,17 30:22	SetDepo 40:3
procedure 22:23	ready 3:19	33:14	setting 7:22
process 11:5	really 5:3 7:4	<b>repeat</b> 35:2	seven 29:7 31:7
23:25	9:5,23 25:23	reperfusion 36:3	31:10
profession 3:15	reason 19:12	report 9:9 11:22	seventies 29:2
professional 3:11	34:22 40:23	35:21	severe 24:18
3:13	41:1,4,7,10,15	reported 34:15	Sheet 40:1,17
profusion 35:9	41:18,21,24	reporter 35:4	sheets 8:12
prognosis 28:1	42:2,5	38:7 40:11	shock 26:16 27:1
progression 11:4	reasonable 11:12	reports 10:13	36:5,7
prophylactically	14:6,25 15:2	represent 4:25	shortly 10:10
19:7	18:2 20:20		show 25:13
Prospect 2:15	21:22 24:14	request 40:14	showed 17:25
proven 19:7	reasons 13:10	requested 38:6	21:13
provide 30:11	40:16	Research 3:13	shown 15:10 20:20
Public 1:25 37:4	recall 4:23 5:7	retract 20:2	sign 38:11
37:22 39:19	20:10 30:1	review 10:8 32:7	
publications 20:5	34:17	33:3	SIGNATURE 42:8
published 20:4	recognized 21:6	reviewed 9:8 10:5	7 signed 40:17
pull 11:21 33:1	recollection 9:24	reviews 4:4	similar 32:14
purple 16:24	10:13 28:5	LETGUETO'IT TO'A	simple 13:22
putting 13:24	record 3:9 34:1	20:13 27:17,25	simplistic 24:4
p.m1:19 14:8		28:17 31:22	simply 26:24
15:6 21:21	40:16	36:10	sitting 30:6
22:16 36:14	records 9:7,10	risk26:18 32:6	sixty 4:10 24:17
22:10 DD.14	17:15 28:2,4		
			·····





Nationwide Scheduling

Page 48

Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. - October 18, 2004

1	-		
sixty-five 4:11	SUBSCRIBED 39:15	telemetry 25:6	totally17:3
Slightly 27:11	substantial 17:1	tell 5:4 7:4 8:12	19:18 25:12,20
SMITH 2:3	substantially	10:9 11:17	touch 36:10
somewhat 22:2,9	28:8	15:21 22:8	transcript 9:10
32:5	successful 34:23	23:12	38:11 39:7,10
sorry 5:23,25	35:8,16,16	ten 4:5,16 26:7	40:12,19
13:7 25:3	sudden 26:18	26:10 27:5,8,9	transfer 21:15
sort 22:14	suddenly 27:12	27:13	22:21
sorting 9:1	suffering 18:13	<b>Tenth</b> 1:21	transplant 31:9
sounds 33:4	summary 23:21	terms 12:22 20:8	31:23
speak 10:3 20:7	supplement 30:13	23:23	transplantation
specialty 3:15	support 3:20	tertiary 21:15	29:9
specific 5:19	sure 15:16 19:3	23:8	treat18:11 19:7
10:21 20:12	20:19 34:10,21	testify 15:22,24	treating 19:5
29:25	surgery 26:25	37:8	20:8
specifically 4:22	survival 27:13	testimony 3:19	treatment 11:8
11:23 16:18	28:8,13 29:6,7	4:7 15:23 17:11	19:14 20:9
18:19 28:5	31:7 32:5	34:3,5,21 37:10	treatments 18:17
speculate 32:17	survive 26:13,22	testing 33:18,20	trial 10:4,18
speculative 15:12	27:14	tests 22:19	15:20
25:12,20 32:12	suspect 4:5,10	therapy 18:10,22	true 39:10
32:20	7:19 29:3	19:21 20:12,25	truth 37:8,9,9
spel1 3:10	sustain 30:23	21:2,7,11	try 12:11 30:4
<b>SS</b> 37:2	sustained 10:19	thereabouts 28:17	32:16
stabilization	SWITZER 2:20	thing 15:18	trying 12:22
11:8	sworn 3:5 37:8	things 21:20	23:25
stages 26:15	39:6,15	think 9:11 11:10	twelve 4:16
stand27:3	symptom 19:6	12:10,21 18:1,9	twenty 12:15,18
standard 2:6 7:13	symptoms 32:17	19:24 21:7,10	24:12
8:2,5 27:23	33:7	22:9 24:20 25:3	twenty-four 12:16
33:14,16	syndrome 7:24	28:1,16 33:15	two 6:10 13:3
start13:2 24:10	18:16,23	34:25 36:1	30:16
24:16,19	syndromes 20:8,10	thinking 7:1,5	typed 36:9
started 12:24,25	32:15	25:3	typewriting 37:12
16:6 21:7 22:12	system 27:13,15	thirty-two12:3,7	typewritten 38:8
22:19 23:3	32:18 34:25	thought 25:23	typical 33:5
24:15		three 6:19 13:3	
starting 13:5	T	16:12 17:2	<u> </u>
state 1:25 3:10	tab 33:1	33:13	uncertain 15:9
37:1,4,22 39:2	take 14:12 33:1	time 7:10 9:14	19:18
statement 9:18,21	taken 20:18 38:3	15:5 16:11,20	understand 9:25
27:5	38:7 39:7 40:13	17:19,23 18:6	10:5 12:11,23
states 9:11 26:6	talk 15:15,19,19	19:4,15 22:17	16:23 17:6 19:4
26:22 39:6	talking 20:11	23:7,20 26:1	understanding
statistical 20:1	22:24 28:11	31:11 38:4 39:8	i
status 11:7	TAYLOR-KOLIS 2:4	times 35:23	35:12
stenographically	6:4,6,8 13:18	tissue 24:8,19	unfortunately
37:11	14:16 29:18	title 38:5 39:9	23:24
STEPHEN 2:12	30:3,15,18	today 5:21 10:2	United 26:6,22
<b>Steve</b> 34:9	33:17,21,23	tomorrow 30:9	university 3:14
stop 22:4	35:3 36:4	total 16:1,8	7:20
stored 5:3	team 22:22,23	23:15 24:2,22	<b>upper</b> 33:5
		24:23 25:25	
	]	1	





Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376

Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www.setdepo.com

## Deposition of Raymond D. Magorien, M.D. - October 18, 2004

use 6:713:22 18:25work 4:25 worked 4:17,22 $4$ 18:25worked 4:17,22 $4$ 18:25sorked 4:17,22usually 16:115:1,5 $4$ 26:1435:6working 17:10utilized 18:18,1924:3 $4$ works 35:7wouldn't 13:12value 20:7,11write 30:1634:15,18writing 37:10variability 13:11wrote 16:24vessel 23:235:18vitae 20:5Yvolume 12:2Yeah 12:4volume 12:2Yeah 28:23voluminous 24:10years 4:3,5,6,8vs 1:640:5walk 22:140walk 22:1403 30:1walters 2:123:200330:1	
usually16:11   5:1,5   441132:7     26:14 35:6   working17:10   441142:24     utilized18:18,19   24:3   441152:16     works 35:7   works 35:7   441152:16     value 20:7,11   write 30:16   4501:21     34:15,18   writing 37:10   5:481:19     variability 13:11   wrote 16:24   5:308 40:4     various 18:17   wrote 16:24   5716011:6     vitae 20:5   Y   6     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   9ears 4:3,5,6,8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:3536:14     vs 1:6 40:5   Youngstown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   96 32:14	
usually16:11   5:1,5   441132:7     26:14 35:6   working17:10   24:3     utilized18:18,19   24:3   441132:7     works 35:7   works 35:7   441142:24     value 20:7,11   works 35:7   441132:7     value 20:7,11   works 35:7   441152:16     34:15,18   working 37:10   5:481:19     variability 13:11   wrote 16:24   5:308 40:4     various 18:17   wore 16:24   5:16011:6     vessel 23:2 35:18   Y   6     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   9ear 28:23 29:6,7     volume 12:2   Years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   Youngstown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   03 30:1   96 32:14	
utilized 18:18,19   24:3   441152:16     v   works 35:7   works 35:7     value 20:7,11   write 30:16   4501:21     34:15,18   writing 37:10   5:481:19     variability 13:11   wrong 34:10   wrote 16:24     vessel 23:2 35:18   wrote 16:24   5308 40:4     vitae 20:5   Y   9     volume 12:2   year 28:23 29:6,7   25:8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   Youngstown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   9   96 32:14	
v   works 35:7   450 1:21     value 20:7,11   works 35:7   450 1:21     34:15,18   writing 37:10   5:48 1:19     variability 13:11   wrong 34:10   5308 40:4     variability 13:11   wrote 16:24   571601 1:6     vessel 23:2 35:18   Y   6     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   6     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   21:21 22:15     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   25:8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3, 5, 6, 8   6:35 36:14     young 26:9   Young stown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   9   96 32:14	
V   wouldn't13:12     value 20:7,11   write 30:16     34:15,18   writing 37:10     variability 13:11   wrong 34:10     various 18:17   wrong 34:10     vessel 23:2 35:18   wrote 16:24     vitae 20:5   Y     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3     volumes 8:10,17   11:24     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8     volums to 40:5   Youngstown 6:16     W   0     walk 22:14   03 30:1	
value 20:7,11   write 30:16   5     34:15,18   writing 37:10   5:48 1:19     variability 13:11   wrong 34:10   5308 40:4     variability 13:11   wrote 16:24   571601 1:6     vessel 23:2 35:18   Y   6     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   940:4     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   92:121 22:15     volumes 8:10,17   31:7,11   25:8     11:24   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     walk 22:14   0   9     walk 22:14   0   9     walters 2:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
34:15,18   writing 37:10   sting 37:10     variability 13:11   wrong 34:10   sting 37:10     variability 13:11   wrote 16:24   sting 37:10     vessel 23:2 35:18   wrote 16:24   sting 37:10     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   sting 37:10     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   year 28:23 29:6,7   sting 31:7,11     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   sting 35:36:14   sting 35:36:14     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   sting 35:36:14   sting 35:36:14     walk 22:14   0   0   9   96:32:14	
variability 13:11   wrong 34:10   5308 40:4     various 18:17   wrote 16:24   571601 1:6     vessel 23:2 35:18   Y   6     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   614:8,22 15:6     volume 12:2   Year 28:23 29:6,7   21:21 22:15     volumes 8:10,17   31:7,11   25:8     11:24   Years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     voluminous 24:10   Years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     volugstown 6:16   9   9     walk 22:14   0   9   96 32:14	
various 18:17   wrote 16:24   5716011:6     vessel 23:2 35:18   Y   6     vitae 20:5   Yeah 12:4 35:3   614:8,22 15:6     volume 12:2   Year 28:23 29:6,7   21:21 22:15     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   Youngstown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   9     walk 22:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
vessel 23:2 35:18   vitae 20:5   vitae 20:5   vessel 23:2 35:18   formula 20:5     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   96 32:14   6     volumes 8:10,17   11:24   31:7,11   25:8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   youngstown 6:16   9     walk 22:14   0   03 30:1   96 32:14	
vitae 20:5   Y   6     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3   6 14:8,22 15:6     volumes 8:10,17   31:7,11   25:8     11:24   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     volumes 8:10,17   young 26:9   6     walk 22:14   0   9     walk 22:14   0   9     walters 2:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
vitae 20:3   Yeah 12:4 35:3     volume 12:2   Yeah 12:4 35:3     volumes 8:10,17   year 28:23 29:6,7     11:24   31:7,11     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8     vs 1:6 40:5   young 26:9     W   Youngstown 6:16     0   9     96 32:14   96 32:14	
volumes 8:10,17   year 28:23 29:6,7   21:21 22:15     11:24   31:7,11   25:8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   young 26:9   6:00 2:6     walk 22:14   0   9     walters 2:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
11:24   31:7,11   25:8     voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs 1:6 40:5   young 26:9   6:00 2:6     walk 22:14   0   9     Walters 2:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
voluminous 24:10   years 4:3,5,6,8   6:35 36:14     vs1:6 40:5   young 26:9   6:00 2:6     walk 22:14   0   9     Walters 2:12 3:20   03 30:1   96 32:14	
vs1:6 40:5 young26:9   w Youngstown 6:16 600 2:6   walk 22:14 0 9   Walters 2:12 3:20 03 30:1	
W     Youngstown 6:16     9       walk 22:14     0     96 32:14       Walters 2:12 3:20     03 30:1     96 32:14	
W     0     9       walk 22:14     0     96 32:14       Walters 2:12 3:20     03 30:1     96 32:14	
walk 22:14     0     96 32:14       Walters 2:12 3:20     03 30:1     96 32:14	
Walters 2:12 3:20 03 30:1	
4:22 6:2 8:9,14 0430:2,5	
20:16 29:16,20	
30:11 33:12,19	
33:25 34:5 36:8 1022:24	
want 4:1 15:13,18 1012:15	
18:18 19:3 <b>11/22</b> 12:21	
29:24 30:19 <b>11/23</b> 12:9	
wanted 27:15 11:00 22:25	
wasn't8:7 9:5 1225:8	
way 9:22 10:17 12:00 25:6	
12:11 20:14 <b>12:46</b> 12:9,20	
24:5 27:20 1400 2:14,23	
29:10 35:7 <b>18</b> 1:18 3:2 40:9	
ways 11:7 19 32:14	
week 36:11 1951 34:17	
weeks 6:10,19 2	
West1:21 2:15 2 34:15	
WEST1:21 2:15 2:34.15 WHEREOF 37:17 2004 1:18 3:2	
widen 35:15 37:19 39:16	
widen 35:10 40:9	
willing 3:19 22 11:16 26:2	
window 23:17,19 32:22	
wish 8:13 10:8 22nd 13:7,25 14:9	
withdraw 33:24 16:7,19 17:25	
witness 3:4 6:5 18:4 22:13,25	
29:24 35:6 36:7 24:21 25:16	
36:12 37:12,17 <b>23rd</b> 13:6 15:25	
wondering 30:24 24 34:16	
word 13:22,22 28th 37:19	





Nationwide Scheduling Toll Free: 1.800.451.3376 Facsimile: 1.888.451.3376

acsimile: 1.888.451.3376 www-setdepo.com