CLEMELAND ACADEM	Web
Last Name	hevitan
. First Name	nathan.
Specialty	medical on caligist
Party .	
Date (format =99/99/9999)	8/23/03
Type of Document	Articles Depo
Type of Injury	Kieng cance
Type of Case	Snedmal.
eDocument Name	(d/doe011501.pdf)

Sumple Pres

NOT OTHER

Hosted by <u>The Nurenberg</u>. <u>Plevin Law Firm</u> Copyright © 1999-2001 CATA

S.

## August 22, 2003

1		1		
		Page 1		Page 3
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS		.1	
2	OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO		2	(Thereupon, LEVITAN Deposition
3			3	Exhibits 1 and 2 were was marked for
4	WILLIAM J. GILL, III, Executor		4	
	of the Estate of			purposes of identification.)
5	DANIEL P. GILL, deceased,		5	****
6	Plaintiff,		6	
7	vs Case No. 457639		7	NATHAN LEVITAN, M.D., a witness herein,
	Judge Russo		8	called for examination, as provided by the Ohio
8		1	9	Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly
	ROGER A. MANSNERUS, M.D.,	1	10	sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and
9	et al.,	1	11	said as follows:
10	Defendants.		12	
11				EXAMINATION OF NATHAN LEVITAN, M.D.
12			13	BY MR. MISHKIND:
13	DEPOSITION OF NATHAN LEVITAN, M.D.		14	Q. Would you state your name for the
14	FRIDAY, AUGUST 22, 2003		15	record, please.
15			. 16	A. Dr. Nathan Levitan.
16	Deposition of NATHAN LEVITAN, M.D., a		17	Q. Doctor, my name is Howard Mishkind,
17			18	and as you know, I represent the estate of Dan
18	the Plaintiff for examination under the statute,		19	Gill in connection with this lawsuit. Correct?
19	taken before me, Vivian L. Gordon, a Registered		20	A. Correct.
	Diplomate Reporter and Notary Public in and for			
21	the State of Ohio, pursuant to agreement of	1	21	Q. You and I have never met before, have
22	counsel, at the Glidden House, 1901 Ford,			we?
23	Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at 7:00 o'clock a.m.		23	A. I don't believe so.
24	on the day and date above set forth.		24	Q. I understand you have a time
25			25	constraint this morning. We are starting at
1 2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiff Becker & Mishkind HOWARD D. MISHKIND, ESQ. Skylight Office Tower Suite 660	Page 2	1 2 3 4 5	Page 4 about 7:15 and you need to be out preferably at or before 9:30 this morning; is that correct? A. That's right. Q. I will do what I can to finish, but I will just on the record indicate that to the
	1220 W. 2nd Street			
		l	6	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning
7	Cleveland, Ohio 44113		6 7	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to
8	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 241-2600		6 7 8	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's
8 9	241-2600		6 7 8 9	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to
8 9 10	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant		6 7 8	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's
8 9 10 11	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger		6 7 8 9	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely.
8 9 10	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger		6 7 8 9 10	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up
8 9 10 11 12	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ.		6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was
8 9 10 11 12 13	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top. What I'm going to ask you to do is to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top. What I'm going to ask you to do is to glance at the CV and if it is so terribly
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top. What I'm going to ask you to do is to glance at the CV and if it is so terribly outdated, then we will try to come at it at a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top. What I'm going to ask you to do is to glance at the CV and if it is so terribly outdated, then we will try to come at it at a different way. If it's relatively accurate and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	241-2600 On behalf of the Defendant Reminger & Reminger ROBERT D. WARNER, ESQ. 1400 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115		6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	extent that I am unable to finish my questioning this morning, I will reserve the right to complete the deposition at some time that's convenient for everyone. Is that fair, as well? A. Absolutely. Q. Thank you. To try to speed things up a bit, at least with regard to background, I was hoping that you had a current CV with you, but apparently you don't. I grabbed from my file an old CV that I have. I may have a more recent one in my material here, but this one happens to be dated May of '99. It happened to have been provided to an attorney from the Reminger firm. There is a fax across the top. What I'm going to ask you to do is to glance at the CV and if it is so terribly outdated, then we will try to come at it at a

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

		I	
	Page 5		Page 7
	-		
1	we will do that and then I will ask you to		the treatment or both of nonsmall cell lung
23	provide me with a current CV at a later point. A. I can actually be very quick and	2	cancer?
4	efficient time-wise. Since '99, the last four	4	A. I have written quite a number of articles pertaining to largely the treatment and
5	years I'm still at University Hospitals. I have	5	natural history of lung cancer, and I would say,
6	published several articles since that time. The	6	I don't know what percentage, but a good number
7	topics I'm not sure I can recite the topics	7	of those have been pertaining to nonsmall cell
8	to you.	8	and some others to small cell.
9	I think they have been largely	9	Q. What I would like you to do, since
10	pertaining to lung cancer and involving perhaps	10	you know your publications far better than
11	some additional clinical trials, but basically	11	anybody else, if you would just look at the
12	those are the important changes since that time.	12	numbers and tell me which articles would have
13	Q. Do this for me, if you would. Take	13	information that would at least touch on the
14	Exhibit 1, recognizing what I just said a moment	14	topic of nonsmall cell lung cancer, the
15	ago, and glance through it. For example,	15	evolution, and things of that nature. In fact,
16	doctor, there may be some things on that	16	as you are reading it through, if you could just
17	particular CV that are no longer applicable or	17	take this yellow hi-liter and perhaps just
18	relevant to you. But we will start with having	18	highlight the number for me, that would be
19	you tell me whether there are things that should	19	great.
20 21	be deleted or eliminated from the CV.	20	A. As I look here, it is my newer
21	A. Well, I am no longer married. Not	21	papers, which, of course, I would be happy to
22	that's that pertinent to this discussion. And I	22	provide to you in CV form, that pertain to
24	published some additional articles, and those are really the only changes.	23	nonsmall cell lung cancer. So these pertain to
25	Q. In terms of your affiliations, they	24 25	general oncology, colorectal cancer, small cell
2.5	Q. In terms of your anniations, they	25	lung cancer and esophageal cancer, and it is my
	Page 6		Page 8
1	Page 6 are all the same as reflected?	1	-
2	are all the same as reflected?	1	newer articles since the date of this CV which
2 3	are all the same as reflected? A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly, but my place of employment has not changed.		-
2 3 4	are all the same as reflected? A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly, but my place of employment has not changed. That's right.	2	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second.</li> <li>Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true?
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> <li>had nonsmall cell cancer; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better prognosis than limited stage small cell, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> <li>had nonsmall cell cancer; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better prognosis than limited stage small cell, the answer is yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> <li>had nonsmall cell cancer; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications that you</li> <li>have written on that relate to the topic of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better prognosis than limited stage small cell, the answer is yes. Q. So that if you had your druthers and I know this is somewhat of a silly question but if you had your druthers in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> <li>had nonsmall cell cancer; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications that you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better prognosis than limited stage small cell, the answer is yes. Q. So that if you had your druthers and I know this is somewhat of a silly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>are all the same as reflected?</li> <li>A. Yes. I am just looking very quickly,</li> <li>but my place of employment has not changed.</li> <li>That's right.</li> <li>Q. Hold on to that for just one second. Exhibit 1 has how many publications</li> <li>on that CV?</li> <li>A. There are 19.</li> <li>Q. As best as you can recall, how many</li> <li>publications do you have on your most recent CV?</li> <li>A. You know, I don't know. It's not a</li> <li>number that is important to me, so I don't</li> <li>really have that. I have published several</li> <li>since then, but I can't tell you. You know, you</li> <li>can say is it three, five, I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications on the CV</li> <li>that you have in front of you that you believe</li> <li>to be relevant to the topic in Mr. Gill's case?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a broad question.</li> <li>Q. Let me rephrase it then. Mr. Gill</li> <li>had nonsmall cell cancer; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Are there any publications that you</li> <li>have written on that relate to the topic of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	newer articles since the date of this CV which are several which pertain to nonsmall cell lung cancer. Q. The prognosis for nonsmall cell lung cancer is different than small cell lung cancer; true? A. Well, it depends on the stage. But one can't broadly say that the prognosis is better or worse. You really have to look stage for stage to determine the comparative. Q. Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer doesn't have the same prognosis five year survival as a small cell lung cancer same stage; true? A. Well, we stage again, I am not trying to obfuscate nonsmall as limited versus extensive rather than one, two, three, four. To answer your question, if you are asking me whether a nonsmall cell has a better prognosis than limited stage small cell, the answer is yes. Q. So that if you had your druthers and I know this is somewhat of a silly question but if you had your druthers in

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

## August 22, 2003

Page 9	Page 11
<ol> <li>choose, God gave you the power to do so, to have</li> <li>either small cell or nonsmall cell and have it</li> <li>diagnosed at an early stage, Stage 1 in the</li> <li>spectrum of nonsmall cell or early stage in the</li> <li>spectrum of small cell, you would much prefer to</li> <li>have nonsmall cell lung cancer; true?</li> <li>A. Except that a small peripheral nodule</li> <li>from a small cell lung cancer is also very</li> <li>highly curable. But the garden variety limited</li> <li>stage small cell has a worse prognosis than most</li> <li>Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancers.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. So to complete the</li> <li>circuit with regard to your CV, there would not</li> <li>be anything that one would find in the articles</li> <li>that you have written in this older version of</li> <li>your CV that would relate to the staging or</li> <li>prognostic factors as it relates to nonsmall</li> <li>cell lung cancer; true?</li> <li>A. As I glance over these, I think</li> <li>that's right.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. And if you would make a</li> <li>mental note for yourself to get a current CV,</li> <li>I'll try to follow up with Rob, as well, but I'm</li> <li>not the best note taker in terms of following up</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>the computer. So if I said to you, go to your</li> <li>office and get me the Gill report, you would be</li> <li>able to print it out?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. The one that I have is signed and</li> <li>it's dated October 22nd, 2002. Is that the same</li> <li>date of your report?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is this the only report that you have</li> <li>written in this case?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you sent any other letters to</li> <li>Mr. Warner with regard to any additional</li> <li>opinions since October 22nd, 2002?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall, because they</li> <li>presumably would be in my computer file and</li> <li>there is nothing there of that sort.</li> <li>Q. Just for housekeeping matters, I'm</li> <li>going to identify the information you have, both</li> <li>with regard to that which you have reviewed</li> <li>your report and that which you have reviewed</li> <li>since, but in looking through your file, I don't</li> </ul>
	24 since, but in looking through your file, I don't
25 on things, but I would appreciate if you would	25 see any letters from Mr. Warner or from
<ul> <li>Page 10</li> <li>1 do that.</li> <li>A. I would be glad to do that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. You mentioned that you</li> <li>are not married any longer. You were at one</li> <li>time married to Laura Rocker, M.D?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. How long have you been divorced from</li> <li>Dr. Rocker?</li> <li>A. Officially, within the last year.</li> <li>Q. That would have been here in Cuyahoga</li> <li>County; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Somewhere in your stack I think is a</li> <li>copy of your report that I had marked as an</li> <li>exhibit.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you have your computer printout.</li> <li>I presume you just printed another copy of the</li> <li>CV to have it available for today's deposition;</li> <li>is that correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. You prepare your own reports;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And then you maintain the original on</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Page 12</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger. Is that true?</li> <li>A. That's correct. I did not bring</li> <li>those with me.</li> <li>Q. Where are those?</li> <li>A. Those are on my desk at home.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you didn't bring</li> <li>them with you today?</li> <li>A. Mr. Warner suggested that I should</li> <li>not bring those with me.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I'll be happy to get</li> <li>them for you, counsel.</li> <li>Q. I understand that. But do you know</li> <li>why you were told not to bring them?</li> <li>A. No. I simply follow the</li> <li>recommendation of the attorney with whom I'm</li> <li>working.</li> <li>Q. How many letters are there?</li> <li>A. They are merely cover letters.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, that wasn't my question. How</li> <li>many letters are there? I didn't vant you to</li> <li>tell me the substance of them.</li> <li>A. A couple pages. I don't remember</li> <li>whether there are two, three, one, I'm not sure.</li> <li>Q. And when did you look at those</li> </ul>

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 13	Page 15
<ul> <li>A. Well, I certainly didn't reread them</li> <li>in preparation for today. And so I'm going to</li> <li>guess that I probably read them when I received</li> <li>them, and to tell you when that is, we have to</li> <li>get them and look at the dates.</li> <li>Q. So you have several letters from</li> <li>Mr. Warner that you didn't bring with you today</li> <li>because he suggested that you not bring them;</li> <li>true?</li> <li>A. One or more.</li> <li>Q. One or more. And is there anything</li> <li>else relative to this case that you did not</li> <li>bring with you today, either because you chose</li> <li>to or you were told not to?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Have you been provided with any</li> <li>summaries, any deposition summaries relative to</li> <li>any testimony in this case?</li> <li>A. Nos summary information, no. Just</li> <li>primary source documents.</li> <li>Q. Now, I notice and I presume when</li> <li>you received the information from Mr. Warner, it</li> <li>came on Reminger &amp; Reminger stationery?</li> <li>A. I assume so, but I didn't</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>you prepared your October 22nd, 2002 letter?</li> <li>A. You mean did we discuss the case in any way by phone?</li> <li>Q. By phone, in person.</li> <li>A. What I assume is, though I don't have</li> <li>a specific memory of this, is that after I</li> <li>reviewed the records that were initially sent to</li> <li>me, that we had a discussion by phone, but I</li> <li>don't know the date nor do I have specific notes</li> <li>of that discussion.</li> <li>Q. And it's after having that discussion</li> <li>with Mr. Warner that you then prepared this</li> <li>report; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, again, I don't have specific</li> <li>recollection, but I can tell you in terms of</li> <li>general patterns of my behavior, in general, I</li> <li>would review records, have some discussions with</li> <li>the attorney. We would have to have a</li> <li>discussion for me to be asked to write a report.</li> <li>Q. Well, doctor, you have been doing</li> <li>this a long time. You have done this on more</li> <li>than several occasions, so you know that a lot</li> <li>of the questions that I'm going to be asking you</li> <li>today are not going to be necessarily unique in</li> <li>their format or their content. So if we can</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Page 14</li> <li>Q. Obviously you recognize</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger stationery, because as we</li> <li>well know, you have worked for a number of</li> <li>attorneys in that firm besides Mr. Warner; true?</li> <li>A. Well, is that a question or a</li> <li>statement?</li> <li>Q. Well, it's a question because I ended</li> <li>it with true, but I'll rephrase it if you are</li> <li>uncomfortable with it. In fact, served as an</li> <li>expert defending doctors represented by other</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger attorneys; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. And at least when you see a</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger letterhead, you are familiar</li> <li>with what the Reminger &amp; Reminger letterhead</li> <li>looks like, are you not?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't think that I could</li> <li>recreate it for you today, but I I don't</li> <li>really understand the question. If a letter has</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger on top of it, then I'll</li> <li>understand that that's their letterhead, but I</li> <li>really don't think I have memorized their</li> <li>graphic design.</li> <li>Q. All right. Can you tell me whether</li> <li>you had any communication with Mr. Warner before</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Page 16</li> <li>sort of just stay with answering my questions, I</li> <li>can give you a greater guarantee or greater</li> <li>likelihood that I will be able to finish within</li> <li>the confines of the time constraints that you</li> <li>have today.</li> <li>In this case, the estate of Daniel</li> <li>Gill, is it likely that you had a telephone</li> <li>conversation with Mr. Warner after receiving the</li> <li>material and reviewing it and prior to preparing</li> <li>your report that is set forth in your opinions?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. As you sit here right now, can you</li> <li>tell me how long before October 22nd your</li> <li>recollection is that you were consulted by</li> <li>Mr. Warner on this case?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Now, did you have any e-mail</li> <li>communication with Mr. Warner at all relative to</li> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. The reason I ask you that, doctor, is</li> <li>because Mr. Warner's AOL e-mail is on the</li> <li>letter, not his office e-mail. His office</li> <li>e-mail is rwarner@reminger.com, although you</li> </ul>

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

## August 22, 2003

		T	
	Page 17		Page 19
2 3 recolle 4 Mr. W 5 Interne 6 deposi 7 8 record 9 10 interjee 11 12 have n 13 was rw 14 life eve 15 rwarne 16 That's 17 not rec 18 19 are noi 20 these s 21 22 23 Dr. Le 24	ce account. Having told you that, do you have a ction of having any communication with arner in this case at any time by way of etc? Even if it's just to set up times for tions. MR. WARNER: Let me interject for the MR. MISHKIND: I don't need you to ct. MR. WARNER: I am unaware of AOL. I ever used it. The only e-mail I ever had arner@reminger.com and I have never in my er told anybody to communicate with me at r@aol.com. I will put that on the record. all. I wanted to clarify that. That's tognizable to me. MR. MISHKIND: Well, hopefully you t going to continue to jump in and make tatements. MR. WARNER: I apologize. MR. MISHKIND: An error was made by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li>Mr. Warner's secretary that you have not had any communication with Mr. Warner about this case by way of e-mail?</li> <li>A. I have no recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. When you have e-mail communication on a case, you retain that e-mail on your computer; true?</li> <li>A. No, I don't save e-mails.</li> <li>Q. You delete the e-mails?</li> <li>A. Yes. I don't tend to save e-mails.</li> <li>Q. What else on the computer do you have on the Daniel Gill case other than your report?</li> <li>A. The other page that I provided to you here.</li> <li>Q. And that's Exhibit 2; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In summary form, what is Exhibit 2?</li> <li>We will talk about it in greater detail.</li> <li>A. Exhibit 2 is the running list of records that I have reviewed on this case and various notes that I might have made as I was reading those records.</li> <li>Q. When was Exhibit 2 prepared?</li> <li>A. I don't remember. At some point when I was reading the records, and probably it was a</li> </ul>
2 as accu 3 A. 4 Q. 5 you wa 6 correct 7 A. 8 verify v 9 Q. 10 Mr. W. 11 Remini 12 certain 13 commu 14 A. 15 secreta 16 setting 17 happen 18 back ar 19 anybod 20 e-mail 21 secreta 22 Q.	I'm not a great typist, so I can't where I got that. Frankly, I don't know. In any event, you have an e-mail for arner which does not say Reminger & ger on it. Are you able to say to a ty that you did not have any mication with Mr. Warner by way of e-mail? I remember this week Mr. Warner's ry sent me a couple of e-mails regarding up today. And I think what actually ed, though, is my e-mail was down and went ad forth and I'm not sure it even reached by, but I do remember attempting some correspondence with Mr. Warner's ry regarding this week.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 20 work over time as I made notes reading the records. But I can't tell you, I don't have it dated. Q. When was the last time that you made an entry on Exhibit 2? A. Well, we can deduce that because the report that you have was dated October 22nd, because there are a couple of additional entries of things that I have read, they have been entered since October 22nd, 2002. But I can't say. The last ten months, but I can't tell you when. Q. Looking at your report, there are a number of items that you have identified that you reviewed at the time that you wrote your report; true? A. True. Q. And does that constitute all of the information that you reviewed at the time that you had prepared your report? A. Yes. Barring any oversight on my part, it was an attempt to list everything that I had reviewed in preparing that report.
24 from h	is secretary, can you state to a certainty ner than those couple e-mails from	23 24 25	Q. So the only error that we have been able to identify thus far on your report is

5 (Pages 17 to 20) **PATTERSON-GORDON REPORTING, INC.** 

216.771.0717

## August 22, 2003

Page 21	Page 23
1 putting rwarner@aol.com?	1 Q. Do you know whether Dan was married
2 A. Correct.	2 or single?
3 Q. Is it your testimony that you did not	3 A. I don't remember.
4 e-mail Rob Warner, Mr. Warner, with regard to	4 Q. Do you know whether Dan's parents
5 any substantive discussion about opinions on	5 were living?
6 this case at any time?	6 A. I don't remember.
7 A. I don't believe that was my testimony 8 from five or six minutes ago.	7 Q. Do you know what the medical history
<ul><li>8 from five or six minutes ago.</li><li>9 Q. So it's possible that you did, you</li></ul>	8 was with regard to Dan's parents? 9 A. No.
10 Just don't have any recollection one way or	9 A. No. 10 Q. Have you made any notes did you
11 another?	11 actually read the depositions of Dr. Steele and
12 A. I have no recollection either way	12 Dr. Sutherland?
13 about any e-mail communication.	13 A. Yes. Anything that I have listed
14 Q. So you can't say, Mr. Mishkind, I can	14 here I have read. I haven't admitted it to
15 state to a certainty that I never communicated	15 memory, but I have read them.
16 anything substantive to Mr. Warner, nor can I	16 Q. How long ago did you read either or
17 state to a certainty that I did? 18 A. I can tell you, when you are asking	17 both of those?
18 A. I can tell you, when you are asking 19 me about substantive, I can tell you that in	<ul><li>18 A. I don't recall the dates. I did not</li><li>19 reread those depositions in preparation for</li></ul>
20 general I wouldn't have a complicated	20 today.
21 interaction by e-mail. I don't do that in	21 Q. How much time have you spent on this
22 general, it's too time consuming, so I have no	22 case hour-wise?
23 recollection either way. But in terms of my	23 A. I don't have a record of that.
24 general habits, I doubt that there was some	24 Q. You certainly bill by the hour;
25 substantive discussion, lengthy discussion by	25 correct?
Page 22	Page 24
	1 A. Ido.
	1 A. I do. 2 Q. So that at some point in time, the 3 number of hours that you have put in on this
	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> </ol>
	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You do your own billing?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>7 Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You do your own billing?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You do your own billing?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You do your own billing?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. You do your own billing?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> <li>here.</li> <li>Q. Do you know the names of any of the</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> <li>accountant for use at the end of the year.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> <li>here.</li> <li>Q. Do you know the names of any of the</li> <li>family members?</li> <li>A. Not offhand, no.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> <li>accountant for use at the end of the year.</li> <li>Q. At the end of the year when you start</li> <li>getting into 1099's from all the various</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> <li>here.</li> <li>Q. Do you know the names of any of the</li> <li>family members?</li> <li>A. Not offhand, no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many brothers and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> <li>accountant for use at the end of the year.</li> <li>Q. At the end of the year when you start</li> <li>getting into 1099's from all the various</li> <li>companies that you are providing medical/legal</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> <li>here.</li> <li>Q. Do you know the names of any of the</li> <li>family members?</li> <li>A. Not offhand, no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many brothers and</li> <li>sisters Dan Gill had?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> <li>accountant for use at the end of the year.</li> <li>Q. At the end of the year when you start</li> <li>getting into 1099's from all the various</li> <li>companies that you are providing medical/legal</li> <li>work, you can verify that against the bills that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>e-mail. I don't generally do that.</li> <li>Q. I'm not suggesting that it</li> <li>necessarily has to be lengthy, but just</li> <li>discussing any substance of the case, you don't</li> <li>remember one way or another, true, in this case?</li> <li>A. What does substantive mean? It's a</li> <li>vague term. To be very clear, I have no</li> <li>recollection either way.</li> <li>Q. Got it. What have you reviewed in</li> <li>addition to the material identified in your</li> <li>report since your report?</li> <li>A. I have listed two additional items.</li> <li>One is the deposition of Dr. Sutherland and one</li> <li>is the deposition of Dr. Steele.</li> <li>Q. You have not read the deposition of</li> <li>any family members, have you?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. And if I had read</li> <li>them, I think that I would have listed them</li> <li>here.</li> <li>Q. Do you know the names of any of the</li> <li>family members?</li> <li>A. Not offhand, no.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how many brothers and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. So that at some point in time, the</li> <li>number of hours that you have put in on this</li> <li>case become relevant; correct?</li> <li>A. What do you mean by become relevant?</li> <li>Q. If you are going to submit a bill to</li> <li>Reminger &amp; Reminger for your time, you need to</li> <li>have some record as to how many hours you put in</li> <li>that you need to be paid for; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you generate your own bills?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Have you submitted any bills on this</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. Probably, but my pattern is that once</li> <li>I receive payment for the bill, I will simply</li> <li>file that bill in documents that go to my</li> <li>accountant for use at the end of the year.</li> <li>Q. At the end of the year when you start</li> <li>getting into 1099's from all the various</li> <li>companies that you are providing medical/legal</li> </ul>

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

## August 22, 2003

Page 25	Page 27
1 you? 2 A. Yes.	1 MR. MISHKIND: Your objection is 2 noted. Please don't make speeches.
3 Q. You practice medicine and you leave	2 noted. Please don't make speeches. 3 MR. WARNER: Counsel, don't start
4 the accounting to him?	4 waving your hand in front of me.
5 A. Her.	5 MR. MISHKIND: 1 am not waving my
6 Q. Who is your accountant, by the way?	6 hand in front of you. I said don't make
7 THE WITNESS: Do I really have to	7 speeches.
8 divulge that kind of information?	8 MR. WARNER: Doctor, you already
9 MR. WARNER: Note my objection. I	9 answered the question.
10 don't see what the relevancy of that is.	10 A. If I go to a party and I meet
11 MR. MISHKIND: Well, it may not	11 somebody and I can't remember their name and you
12 ultimately lead to discovery of admissible	12 repeatedly ask why do you not remember their
13 evidence, but as it relates to payments that the	13 name, it's an absurd question.
14 doctor has received, I'm entitled to ask him who	14 Q. As absurd as it is, I'm going to ask
15 his accountant is.	15 one more time. Your accountant who has been
16 A. Her name is Joyce Gray. 17 Q. How long has loyce Gray been your	16 your accountant for a number of years, is there
17 Q. How long has Joyce Gray been your 18 accountant?	17 a reason why you don't remember the name of the
19 A. A few years. I don't recall exactly.	18 firm that she was with until this past year? 19 MR. WARNER: Objection.
20 Q. Is she on her own or with a firm?	19 MR. WARNER: Objection. 20 A. I'm afraid I don't understand the
21 A. She has been all of the above. I	20 A. Thi all and t don't understand the 21 question.
22 think this past year she left some firm and	22 Q. She was with an accounting firm?
23 moved on her own.	23 A. I believe so, but I don't recall the
24 Q. What firm was she with?	24 details.
25 A. I don't remember the name of the	25 Q. Where was the accounting firm
Page 26	Page 28
	Page 28
1 firm.	1 located?
	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>Your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> <li>mental block on remembering the name of the firm</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it committed to memory.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> <li>mental block on remembering the name of the firm</li> <li>or is there a reason, another reason that you</li> <li>don't remember?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it with you today?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> <li>mental block on remembering the name of the firm</li> <li>or is there a reason, another reason that you</li> <li>don't remember?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it with you today?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> <li>mental block on remembering the name of the firm</li> <li>or is there a reason, another reason that you</li> <li>don't remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. I</li> <li>think he is starting to harass the doctor. He</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it with you today?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>A. Have you billed Mr. Warner since, for</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of</li> <li>the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember</li> <li>the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't</li> <li>remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are</li> <li>sorry you don't remember. I am curious as to</li> <li>why you don't remember the name of the firm that</li> <li>your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a</li> <li>mental block on remembering the name of the firm</li> <li>or is there a reason, another reason that you</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. I</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it with you today?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>A. Have you billed Mr. Warner since, for</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>firm.</li> <li>Q. It's been within the last year?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason you don't remember the name of the firm?</li> <li>A. I'm sorry, I don't remember the name</li> <li>of the firm.</li> <li>Q. Is there a reason that you don't remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you said you are sorry you don't remember the name of the firm that your accountant was with.</li> <li>A. I don't understand the question.</li> <li>Q. I am curious. Do you just have a mental block on remembering the name of the firm or is there a reason, another reason that you don't remember?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. I</li> <li>think he is starting to harass the doctor. He</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>located?</li> <li>A. Somewhere in Woodmere or Beachwood.</li> <li>Q. Was she a named partner; in other</li> <li>words, was it Gray something or something Gray?</li> <li>A. All my correspondence with her has</li> <li>always been simply by her name and her address.</li> <li>Q. Can you give me an idea in terms of</li> <li>how many hours you put in in terms of reviewing</li> <li>the initial material before you prepared your</li> <li>report?</li> <li>A. I don't have that record and I don't</li> <li>want to guess.</li> <li>Q. Where would that record be?</li> <li>A. Well, if I went through the</li> <li>information that is designated to go to my</li> <li>accountant, I could pull out copies of records,</li> <li>of bills that I have sent to Mr. Warner</li> <li>pertaining to this case, bill or bills. I don't</li> <li>recall. So that information is retrievable, but</li> <li>I don't have it with you today?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you billed Mr. Warner since, for</li> <li>this additional information that you have</li> </ul>

7 (Pages 25 to 28)



		1	
	Page 29		
1	deposition?	1	A. To be clear, I have reviewed cases
2	A. I don't recall, but I don't know why	2	before for Mr. Warner, but I cannot tell you the
3	I wouldn't have, since it looks like the last	3	number.
4 5	work that I did on this was when I reviewed	45	Q. More than two?
6	those depositions. Q. Why wouldn't you bill for the time	6	<ul><li>A. Probably, yes.</li><li>Q. More than three?</li></ul>
7	that you put in on reviewing those depositions?	7	-
8	A. I probably did.	8	A. Probably, yes. Q. More than four?
9	Q. So there would be a bill that you	9	A. I don't recall.
10	would have at your home for that time; correct?	10	Q. So more than three, but you are not
11	A. Probably. But again, I don't	11	certain how many more, if any; is that a fair
12	specifically recall. I'm guessing, but I think	12	statement?
13	that's a reasonable assumption.	13	A. I think that's a fair statement,
14	Q. I'm going to request on the record	14	though I don't recall, even regarding the three,
15	that you check your records and provide copies	15	I don't recall the specificity. I'm guessing
16	of the bills that you have submitted in	16	here.
17	connection with this case, both the most recent	17	Q. You have served as an expert witness
18	billing, as well as any other billing that you	18	on behalf of a number of attorneys from
19	have submitted on this case thus far. Will you	19	Reminger & Reminger in the past; correct?
20 21	check your records and attempt to provide that	20	A. Correct.
21	information to Mr. Warner?	21	Q. And in fact, you are serving as an
22	A. If Mr. Warner advises me to do so, I will do so.	22	expert witness currently for other attorneys
24	MR. WARNER: I don't have any problem	23	from the Reminger & Reminger firm on current
25	with copies of bill or bills related to this.	24 25	cases; correct? A. Correct.
25	with copies of bin of bins related to tins.	25	A. Collect.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	Page 30	-	Page 32
1	Q. Have you ever served as an expert at	1	Q. You have been asked this in a number
2	Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?	2	Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have
2 3	<ul><li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li><li>A. I believe so.</li></ul>	2 3	Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. On how many occasions?</li></ul>	2 3 4	Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger & Reminger firm in past depositions;
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger & Reminger firm in past depositions; true?
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> </ul>	2 3 4	Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger & Reminger firm in past depositions; true? A. Yes, I have.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a few. That's all I am trying to do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a few. That's all I am trying to do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> <li>I guess of those that I review and again for the record I'm guessing here, I'm just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a few. That's all I am trying to do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> <li>I guess of those that I review and again for the record I'm guessing here, I'm just guessing but I would say among those that I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a few. That's all I am trying to do.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. He answered the question. It could be two, five, he doesn't know. He has given an answer. But,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> <li>I guess of those that I review and again for the record I'm guessing here, I'm just guessing but I would say among those that I review for the defense, probably about half of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Have you ever served as an expert at the request of Mr. Warner before the Gill case?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. On how many occasions?</li> <li>A. A few, but I don't have those. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. What is a few to you?</li> <li>A. Again, I can't give you a number.</li> <li>And you know, you can say is it more than two, more than five, I can't tell you. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. And the word you used was a few, so I just want to find out from you when you say a few how you define that term.</li> <li>A. Again, you know, you can press me all you want.</li> <li>Q. I'm not pressing you. You used the word few, and I'm just asking you when I asked you how many cases, you said a few. And I'm asking you to tell me what you meant by a few. That's all I am trying to do.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. You have been asked this in a number of depositions as to how many cases you have reviewed and served as an expert for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm in past depositions; true?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. And can you tell me the number of cases that you have reviewed for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. I can only make a rough guess since I don't know with precision nor do I keep those numbers.</li> <li>Q. Go ahead.</li> <li>A. I started reviewing cases back around 1996, and at that point, very few cases. And in the late '90s, while this has always been a very, very small part of what I do in my week, I guess at the high point I might have reviewed, and I'm guessing, six, eight, ten, maybe 12 cases in a year.</li> <li>I guess of those that I review and again for the record I'm guessing here, I'm just guessing but I would say among those that I</li> </ul>

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

#### August 22, 2003

		[	
	Page 33		Page 26
	rage 33		Page 35
1	Q. Now, since you are asked that so	1	you will remind me what I might have said in a
2	frequently in depositions, one of the favorite	2	previous deposition, I will be glad to attest to
3	questions that plaintiff's lawyers like to ask	3	the veracity of those comments.
4	you, can you tell me why, so that you wouldn't	4	Q. I will remind you at the appropriate
5	be guessing or saying that you are guessing at	5	time. Today I'm asking you questions as to how
6	subsequent depositions, why you haven't decided	6	many times you had reviewed cases up to the
7	to be more precise and to keep records on the	7	present date for the Reminger & Reminger firm.
8	number of cases that you review?	8	A. Except for the information that I
9	MR. WARNER: Objection. You can	9	have already given you, which is a guess or an
10	answer.	10	estimate, I'm not able to give you a more
11	A. Well, with all due respect, just	11	specific number.
12	because I'm asked a question doesn't mean I	12	-
13	should necessarily change my patterns of	12	
14	practice.	1	of cases you have given deposition testimony on
15		14	behalf of any of the Reminger & Reminger
	Q. So you have decided intentionally not	15	doctors, or the doctors represented by
16	to maintain any type of an inventory basis to	16	Reminger & Reminger?
17	the number of cases that you have reviewed for	17	MR. WARNER: Note my objection.
18	Reminger?	18	A. Except to say that most cases end up
19	MR. WARNER: Note my objection. The	19	with a deposition, I can't tell you exactly.
20	whole tenor has changed away from the facts to	20	Q. More than ten?
21	more of a	21	A. Same answer. I think yes, but I
22	MR. MISHKIND: Rob, this deposition	22	don't know the numbers.
23	is going to stop in a second if you don't stop	23	Q. More than 20?
24	making speeches. I am tired of this. I want to	24	A. Well, if you are pressing me for a
25	get on with this. Note an objection but don't	25	more detailed guess, the information I have
	Page 34		David 20
	Page 34		Page 36
1	make speeches.	1	
2	-	1	already given you is that beginning in the late
	make speeches.		already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses
2	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go	2	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be
2 3	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor.	2 3	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have
2 3 4	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: 1 am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and 1	2 3 4	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year.
2 3 4 5	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell	2 3 4 5	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it
2 3 4 5 6	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to	2 3 4 5 6	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a
2 3 4 5 6 7	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and	2 3 4 5 6 7	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these speeches, so stop it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these speeches, so stop it. Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these speeches, so stop it. Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than ten cases for the Reminger & Reminger firm?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	make speeches. MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go ahead, doctor. MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact same thing I do with any other witness and I have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell me how to take the deposition. I am getting to questions about his background and his bias and you know darn well that this is appropriate and it's inappropriate for you to be making these speeches, so stop it. Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than ten cases for the Reminger & Reminger firm? A. Since 1996 you are asking? Q. Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp; Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to answer your questions, Mr. Mishkind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> <li>numbers, today, with regard to that question,</li> <li>you don't have that recollection?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to answer your questions, Mr. Mishkind. Q. In providing expert testimony in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> <li>numbers, today, with regard to that question,</li> <li>you don't have that recollection?</li> <li>A. Well, I have never kept those kinds</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to answer your questions, Mr. Mishkind. Q. In providing expert testimony in a case, you realize you shouldn't guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> <li>numbers, today, with regard to that question,</li> <li>you don't have that recollection?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to answer your questions, Mr. Mishkind. Q. In providing expert testimony in a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>make speeches.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead, doctor.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I am doing the exact</li> <li>same thing I do with any other witness and I</li> <li>have been doing this for 23 years. Don't tell</li> <li>me how to take the deposition. I am getting to</li> <li>questions about his background and his bias and</li> <li>you know darn well that this is appropriate and</li> <li>it's inappropriate for you to be making these</li> <li>speeches, so stop it.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, have you reviewed more than</li> <li>ten cases for the Reminger &amp;t Reminger firm?</li> <li>A. Since 1996 you are asking?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. More than 20?</li> <li>A. I can't give you I don't have</li> <li>those numbers, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Q. So that in previous depositions, if</li> <li>you did answer that question in terms of the</li> <li>numbers, today, with regard to that question,</li> <li>you don't have that recollection?</li> <li>A. Well, I have never kept those kinds</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	already given you is that beginning in the late 1990s, perhaps I did and these are guesses and I want to be clear, I don't want to be nailed down for a guess but perhaps I have done an average of eight to ten cases a year. And let's say, I'm going to guess, let's call it ten, and let's say, let's call it ten over a four years time, which is 40. And let's guess that 20 percent of those or 25 percent, let's call it 25 percent were for the plaintiff, which comes down to 30. And let's say that half of those or so were related to the Reminger firm, which comes down to 15. So I'm going to guess that over time I have given probably over 15 to 20 depositions for the Reminger firm, but I can't give you that's the best I can do with these guesses and this is pretty rough. Q. Doctor, in providing expert opinion testimony, you realize that you shouldn't guess; correct? A. I'm doing the very best I can to answer your questions, Mr. Mishkind. Q. In providing expert testimony in a case, you realize you shouldn't guess.

## 9 (Pages 33 to 36)

## August 22, 2003

Dava	~~
Page	37 Page 39
1 answered.	1 to be clear that even these are guesses, but 1
2 MR. MISHKIND: No, it isn't, Rob.	2 don't recall the names of other cases or
3 A. When pressured by repeated questions	3 doctors.
4 from you to answer with information that I don't	4 Q. Do you remember the counties that you
5 have any precise form, I have no other	5 testified in?
	6 A. No.
	7 Q. The cases that you have testified in
8 estimate or guess that I have.	8 in 2003, can we agree were as defense expert?
9 Q. Doctor, different question. I'm not	9 A. The Antonino case was. The others, I
10 talking about the numbers. Focus on my	10 don't specifically recall.
11 question.	11 Q. Can you state under oath that the
12 In providing an expert opinion in a	12 other cases were strike that.
13 case, whether it's this case or any other case,	13 Doctor, I am having a difficult time
14 can we agree that in providing answers to	14 understanding if there has been several cases
15 questions, your role as an expert witness is not	15 that you have testified in in 2003 in trial,
16 to provide answers where you guess in response	16 two, three, four? Again, I am not pinning you
17 to questions; true?	17 down to the numbers, but it hasn't been a lot;
18 A. I would say my role is to identify	18 true?
19 the information that I give you as certain. An	19 MR. WARNER: Objection.
20 estimate, a guess, or I have no idea at all.	20 A. Correct.
21 That I believe is the most appropriate way to	
22 respond.	
23 Q. This year, how many times have you	
. , , , ,	23 A. I remember going to West Virginia in
	24 the winter, but I can't recall whether it was
25 case?	25 December or January. And I don't know, I don't
_	
Page	
1 490	38 Page 40
1 A. I don't think any more than two, if I	1 recall the details of that case. But I think
A. I don't think any more than two, if I 2 can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>said no more than two, maybe three do you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>said no more than two, maybe three do you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>said no more than two, maybe three do you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> <li>give you the date.</li> <li>Q. Well, I can. It was May 9, 'O2. Do</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Does spring of 2003 ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. I don't recall the dates.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>said no more than two, maybe three do you</li> <li>remember the name of or the location of the</li> <li>second case in which you testified?</li> <li>A. Again, I'm guessing even with those</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> <li>give you the date.</li> <li>Q. Well, I can. It was May 9, '02. Do</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>second case in which you testified?</li> <li>A. Again, I'm guessing even with those</li> <li>numbers, because I don't have that information</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> <li>give you the date.</li> <li>Q. Well, I can. It was May 9, '02. Do</li> <li>you remember that case on behalf of the Estate</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>said no more than two, maybe three do you</li> <li>A. Again, I'm guessing even with those</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> <li>give you the date.</li> <li>Q. Well, I can. It was May 9, '02. Do</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. I don't think any more than two, if I</li> <li>can recall. I don't recall exactly, but it's</li> <li>not more than two. I don't think it's even</li> <li>three. I think it's just a couple.</li> <li>Q. One case was in Akron; correct?</li> <li>A. Perhaps you can remind me of the case</li> <li>and the date.</li> <li>Q. Does the name Antonino ring a bell to</li> <li>you?</li> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the</li> <li>doctor that you were defending in that case?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember the name of the other</li> <li>at least one other case and I recognize that</li> <li>there might be a third, but I think you have</li> <li>second case in which you testified?</li> <li>A. Again, I'm guessing even with those</li> <li>numbers, because I don't have that information</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>recall the details of that case. But I think</li> <li>largely I have been in Ohio in 2003.</li> <li>Q. The West Virginia case was the Estate</li> <li>of Dennis Cowan versus Dr. Husari; correct?</li> <li>A. That sounds right.</li> <li>Q. And you were testifying on behalf of</li> <li>Dr. Husari in that case; true?</li> <li>A. Again, when these cases are done, I</li> <li>let them go. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't retain it even in your</li> <li>memory?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When is the last time you walked into</li> <li>a courtroom in the State of Ohio that you can</li> <li>state to a probability, where you can say</li> <li>something other than I'm guessing, that you were</li> <li>testifying in a medical malpractice case on</li> <li>behalf of a plaintiff or a patient?</li> <li>A. I believe I testified in Dayton some</li> <li>time ago on behalf of a plaintiff, but I can't</li> <li>give you the date.</li> <li>Q. Well, I can. It was May 9, '02. Do</li> <li>you remember that case on behalf of the Estate</li> </ol>

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 41	Doro 42
Page 41	Page 43
1 Q. Montgomery County, Ohio, that's	1 I understand that you don't, for
2 Dayton?	2 whatever reason, you don't keep the details in
3 A. If that's Dayton. I know that it was	3 your mind, but are you unable to tell me that in
4 in Dayton. 5 Q. You testified in that case on behalf	4 a case that you had your deposition taken, a
5 Q. You testified in that case on behalf 6 of the plaintiff in a nonsmall cell lung cancer	5 lengthy deposition that you then went to trial
7 case; true?	6 and testified, are you telling me and I'll 7 move on, doctor, I'll move on, I promise you
8 A. Again, I don't recall the details.	<ul> <li>7 move on, doctor, I'll move on, I promise you</li> <li>8 I'll move on are you telling me that you</li> </ul>
9 Q. Tell me any other case that you've	9 don't remember whether that case was a nonsmall
10 testified in that you recall. You haven't been	10 cell lung cancer case?
11 doing this that long; right? It's not as if you	11 A. I will tell you what I recall about
12 have been serving as an expert witness for 10 or	12 this case. I remember Mr. Riemenschneider, as
13 15 years.	13 you are jogging my memory, was the defense
14 A. Correct.	14 attorney, and I recall Mr. Eisen, I recall the
15 Q. Can you recall any cases, doctor,	15 lengthy deposition, I recall that Mr. Eisen
16 that you have testified in a courtroom on behalf	16 utilized a videotape at the trial of one of my
17 of a plaintiff in a lung cancer case, actually	17 prior testimonies, I think in trial, and
18 walked into the courtroom and testified on	18 probably this was a nonsmall cell lung cancer
19 behalf of the patient, other than in the	19 case and I know that I was working with the
20 Montgomery, Ohio case?	20 attorney representing the physician. And these
21 A. Again, I don't retain this	21 are the details that I can recall.
22 information in my memory, so not that I	22 Q. When you say probably was a nonsmall
23 specifically recall. 24 O. You testified recently in May or June	23 cell lung cancer, is that a guess or are you
24 Q. You testified recently in May or June 25 in Cuyahoga County in a nonsmall cell carcinoma	<ul> <li>24 comfortable with making that statement?</li> <li>25 A. Lam comfortable with saving it was</li> </ul>
2.5 In Cuyanoga County in a nonsinan cen carcinoma	25 A. I am comfortable with saying it was
Page 42 1 case on behalf of the defendant. Do you 2 remember that?	Page 44 1 probably a nonsmall cell lung cancer case, but I 2 don't recall the details.
3 A. Again, I don't retain that.	3 Q. How many cases do you currently have
4 Q. Richard Morris versus Azem, 5 A. The name rings a bell, but I don't	4 open back at your house that you are
5 A. The name rings a bell, but I don't 6 recall the details of the case.	5 participating as an expert at some stage or 6 another?
7 Q. Do you remember giving depositions to	6 another? 7 A. To answer that question, I would have
8 Attorney Eisen?	8 to go to where I keep the records in my house
9 A. I remember Mr. Eisen.	9 and count them up.
10 Q. And in fact, your deposition was over	10 Q. You told me that you have worked with
11 two sessions, wasn't it?	10 Q. You told me that you have worked with 11 Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active
<ul><li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li><li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li></ul>	-
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> </ul>	11 Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> <li>20 case, a lung case, as well, was it not?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> <li>What's the type of cancer in that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> <li>20 case, a lung case, as well, was it not?</li> <li>21 A. Again, I don't recall the details of</li> <li>22 that case.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> <li>Q. What's the type of cancer in that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> <li>20 case, a lung case, as well, was it not?</li> <li>21 A. Again, I don't recall the details of</li> <li>22 that case.</li> <li>23 Q. And again, it was just in June. The</li> <li>24 trial was just in June, so it's only been two</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> <li>What's the type of cancer in that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> <li>20 case, a lung case, as well, was it not?</li> <li>21 A. Again, I don't recall the details of</li> <li>22 that case.</li> <li>23 Q. And again, it was just in June. The</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> <li>Q. What's the type of cancer in that</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I haven't looked at</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>11 two sessions, wasn't it?</li> <li>12 A. I remember Mr. Eisen putting me</li> <li>13 through a particularly lengthy deposition. He</li> <li>14 is memorable.</li> <li>15 Q. And who knows, I may fall into that</li> <li>16 category as well by the time we are done,</li> <li>17 doctor.</li> <li>18 A. I would be happy to do that.</li> <li>19 Q. But that was a nonsmall cell cancer</li> <li>20 case, a lung case, as well, was it not?</li> <li>21 A. Again, I don't recall the details of</li> <li>22 that case.</li> <li>23 Q. And again, it was just in June. The</li> <li>24 trial was just in June, so it's only been two</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mr. Warner before. Do you have any other active</li> <li>cases with Mr. Warner currently?</li> <li>A. Again, I don't specifically remember.</li> <li>I would have to go look at those records to tell</li> <li>you.</li> <li>Q. So you would be able to</li> <li>A. Excuse me, the answer is yes, because</li> <li>we actually have a trial coming up in the fall.</li> <li>So I can think of one other case that I</li> <li>definitely have with Mr. Warner.</li> <li>Q. What's the type of cancer in that</li> <li>case?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. I haven't looked at</li> <li>those records in a while.</li> </ul>

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

## August 22, 2003

Page 45	Page 47
1 cases a year?	A. Well, again, I don't retain that
2 A. I have pretty much stopped in recent	2 information. I would have to look at the
3 months taking any new cases because I have been	3 records and go through them and figure that out.
4 quite busy at the hospital so I haven't taken	
	5 tell me the names of any other plaintiff's
6 Q. What is quite a while?	6 attorneys other than Mr. Krieger that you have
7 A. A few months.	7 been retained?
8 Q. What is a few months?	8 A. Not that I specifically recall.
9 A. I can't give you a specific number.	9 Q. When is the last time your deposition
10 Q. More than two or three?	10 was taken?
11 A. Over the last few months, I don't	11 A. I had a deposition taken a couple
12 believe I have taken any new cases. And you can	12 weeks ago. If you let me look at my palm, I
13 press me and I can't tell you exactly whether	13 could probably tell you.
14 that means May or whether that means June, I	14 (Pause.)
15 can't tell you.	15 A. Well, I can tell you that I had a
16 Q. So all the cases that you have	
	for the second
17 currently predate a few months ago? 18 A. Initial review, correct.	17 so sometime a few days after that I had a
	18 deposition, but I don't have the time of it in
19 Q. Are you currently serving at the	19 my palm. But I have the predeposition phone
20 request of any plaintiff's attorneys as an	20 conversation that was the week of August 5th.
21 expert?	21 Q. And who was the attorney that you
22 A. I believe so, yes.	22 were working for?
23 Q. Tell me the name of any attorneys	23 A. Conversations with a Mr. Aughenbaugh.
24 that you are currently working for.	24 Q. That case would have been with the
25 A. There is an attorney in Florida by	25 Hanna, Campbell law firm; correct?
	, i,
Page 46	Dage 40
Page 46 1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in 2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County 3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never 4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in 5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney 6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from	Page 48 1 A. I think that's right. 2 Q. Since that deposition was just taken 3 a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember 4 what type of cancer that was? 5 A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung 6 cancer case. That's my recollection.
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Who was the plaintiff's lawyer?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Who was the plaintiff's lawyer?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Who was the plaintiff's lawyer?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> <li>Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Who was the plaintiff's lawyer?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> <li>Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Who was the plaintiff's lawyer?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> <li>Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>that case?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> <li>Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>that case?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>active.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>is?</li> <li>A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>not positive of the details.</li> <li>Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>that case?</li> <li>A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> <li>20 Q. What's Mr. Kreiger's first name?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> <li>cross-examined by lawyers from Becker &amp;</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> <li>20 Q. What's Mr. Kreiger's first name?</li> <li>21 A. Ed.</li> <li>22 Q. And it's K-R-E-I-G?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> <li>cross-examined by lawyers from Becker &amp; Mishkind; correct?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> <li>20 Q. What's Mr. Kreiger's first name?</li> <li>21 A. Ed.</li> <li>22 Q. And it's K-R-E-I-G?</li> <li>23 A. I-E- or E-I, I'm not sure.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> <li>cross-examined by lawyers from Becker &amp;</li> <li>Mishkind; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, the name doesn't ring a bell</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> <li>20 Q. What's Mr. Kreiger's first name?</li> <li>21 A. Ed.</li> <li>22 Q. And it's K-R-E-I-G?</li> <li>23 A. I-E- or E-I, I'm not sure.</li> <li>24 Q. Any other plaintiff's cases that you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> <li>cross-examined by lawyers from Becker &amp;</li> <li>Mishkind; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, the name doesn't ring a bell</li> <li>for me, so I wouldn't necessarily know that.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 the name of Kreiger, and I believe that he is in</li> <li>2 South Florida. Whether it's Palm Beach County</li> <li>3 or Dade County, I can't tell you. I have never</li> <li>4 been to his office. But he is somewhere in</li> <li>5 South Florida and he is a plaintiff's attorney</li> <li>6 and I am working with him. I haven't heard from</li> <li>7 him in quite a long time, but that case is still</li> <li>8 active.</li> <li>9 Q. Do you know what type of cancer it</li> <li>10 is?</li> <li>11 A. I think it's a lung cancer case.</li> <li>12 Q. Do you know what type of lung cancer?</li> <li>13 A. I think it's nonsmall cell, but I'm</li> <li>14 not positive of the details.</li> <li>15 Q. Has your deposition been taken in</li> <li>16 that case?</li> <li>17 A. Yes, I believe so. I have a vague</li> <li>18 recollection of him coming up here in the winter</li> <li>19 and doing that deposition.</li> <li>20 Q. What's Mr. Kreiger's first name?</li> <li>21 A. Ed.</li> <li>22 Q. And it's K-R-E-I-G?</li> <li>23 A. I-E- or E-I, I'm not sure.</li> <li>24 Q. Any other plaintiff's cases that you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I think that's right.</li> <li>Q. Since that deposition was just taken</li> <li>a couple weeks ago, I presume that you remember</li> <li>what type of cancer that was?</li> <li>A. I think this was a nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer case. That's my recollection.</li> <li>Q. It was a discovery deposition?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. A plaintiff's lawyer took your</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>Q. A case out of Summit County, a doctor</li> <li>in Summit County?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have never worked for anyone at</li> <li>Becker &amp; Mishkind, have you?</li> <li>A. I don't think so.</li> <li>Q. You have certainly been</li> <li>cross-examined by lawyers from Becker &amp;</li> <li>Mishkind; correct?</li> <li>A. Well, the name doesn't ring a bell</li> </ul>

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Ĵ

## August 22, 2003

Page 49	Page 51
1 scheduled, since you have your palm with you,	1 deposition might actually have been cancelled,
2 when is the next time you are scheduled for a	2 because I think the Coon deposition was more
3 deposition?	3 recent than May 20th, because I remember that.
4 (Pause.)	4 So again, we can take the time and go
5 A. This might take me a little while.	5 through it, but I think that might have been
6 If you want me to spend the time, I would be	6 cancelled for May 20th and rescheduled more
7 happy to. 8 Well, I have a note here that either	7 recently and I missed it. Because I think
<b>1</b> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	8 that's fairly fresh in my memory.
9 on September 8th or September 30th I'm having a 10 deposition with Mr. Lenson. It looks to me like	9 Q. What type of cancer was that? 10 A. If I remember correctly. I think this
11 it's September one of those two dates. I am	10 A. If I remember correctly, I think this 11 patient had penile cancer.
12 doing a deposition with Mr. Lenson on a case	12 Q. Doctor, can we agree that as to the
13 called Zucker. But I can't tell you more in	13 cases that you have given deposition or actually
14 detail than that. It looks like it's going to	14 appeared at trial, the vast majority have been
15 be the 30th.	15 for physician medical providers; correct?
16 Q. Just one more request before you put	16 A. I think the split is around and
17 your palm away. Before August 5 with Dick	17 again this is a guess or an estimate, call it
18 Aughenbaugh, when was the last time you had your	18 what you will. I think perhaps 20 to 25 percent
19 deposition taken?	19 of the cases have been for the plaintiff and the
20 A. Well, bear with me and I'll go	20 remainder for the defense.
21 through it.	21 Q. And the only one that you are able to
22 (Pause.) 23 A. If you really want to take the	22 or the only two that you are able to tell me
23 A. If you really want to take the 24 time I'm back into June now. I am back into	23 about from memory that you are either serving or
25 May. If you really want me to take time to do	24 have served as a plaintiff's expert is the 25 Montgomery County, the Dayton case that we have
20 Hay. If you really want the to take time to to	25 Montgomery County, the Dayton case that we have
Page 50	Page 52
1 this, I will be glad to. I apologize for	1 talked about, and Mr. Krieger's case; is that
2 keeping you waiting.	2 correct?
3 (Recess had.)	3 A. Well, I believe you asked about
4 A. I found one. I can't promise that in 5 this rapid review that I didn't miss something,	4 I'm trying to think. You asked about current
6 so I don't want to be nailed if I inadvertently	<ul> <li>5 cases. Do you want me to think of any other</li> <li>6 cases I have been involved in that have been for</li> </ul>
7 flipped over one here, but basically the first	
	7 the plaintiff over the years?
8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and	<ul><li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li><li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li></ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> <li>20 Ulmer &amp; Berne. Did you know that?</li> <li>21 A. Yes.</li> <li>22 Q. And you have done other cases with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> <li>21 involved in testifying against a physician in a</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> <li>20 Ulmer &amp; Berne. Did you know that?</li> <li>21 A. Yes.</li> <li>22 Q. And you have done other cases with</li> <li>23 Mr. Lenson before, as well; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> <li>21 involved in testifying against a physician in a</li> <li>22 medical malpractice case; true?</li> <li>23 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> <li>20 Ulmer &amp; Berne. Did you know that?</li> <li>21 A. Yes.</li> <li>22 Q. And you have done other cases with</li> <li>23 Mr. Lenson before, as well; correct?</li> <li>24 A. Correct. Let me correct myself. As</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> <li>21 involved in testifying against a physician in a</li> <li>22 medical malpractice case; true?</li> <li>23 A. Correct.</li> <li>24 Q. Other than the Montgomery, Ohio,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> <li>20 Ulmer &amp; Berne. Did you know that?</li> <li>21 A. Yes.</li> <li>22 Q. And you have done other cases with</li> <li>23 Mr. Lenson before, as well; correct?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> <li>21 involved in testifying against a physician in a</li> <li>22 medical malpractice case; true?</li> <li>23 A. Correct.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>8 one I can find going backwards was May 20th, and</li> <li>9 this is with Attorney Mingus in a case called</li> <li>10 Coon.</li> <li>11 Q. C-O-O-N?</li> <li>12 A. Right.</li> <li>13 Q. And Mr. Mingus is with the</li> <li>14 Reminger &amp; Reminger firm; correct?</li> <li>15 A. Correct.</li> <li>16 Q. And you were serving as an expert</li> <li>17 defending a doctor in that case; correct?</li> <li>18 A. Correct.</li> <li>19 Q. You mentioned Mr. Lenson. He is with</li> <li>20 Ulmer &amp; Berne. Did you know that?</li> <li>21 A. Yes.</li> <li>22 Q. And you have done other cases with</li> <li>23 Mr. Lenson before, as well; correct?</li> <li>24 A. Correct. Let me correct myself. As</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7 the plaintiff over the years?</li> <li>8 Q. Well, let me make it easier. Have</li> <li>9 you served as an expert witness for a plaintiff</li> <li>10 in Cleveland, Ohio?</li> <li>11 A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>12 Q. In fact, isn't it true that you, for</li> <li>13 whatever reason, but you, in cases involving</li> <li>14 Cleveland situations, would prefer not to be</li> <li>15 involved in testifying against a Cleveland</li> <li>16 physician?</li> <li>17 A. That's correct.</li> <li>18 Q. In fact, we can expand that and take</li> <li>19 that into the Northeastern Ohio area, for</li> <li>20 whatever reason you would prefer not to be</li> <li>21 involved in testifying against a physician in a</li> <li>22 medical malpractice case; true?</li> <li>23 A. Correct.</li> <li>24 Q. Other than the Montgomery, Ohio,</li> </ul>

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

## August 22, 2003

Page 53	Page 55
1 cases that you have testified in on behalf of a	1 particular physician was not violated; true?
2 plaintiff that involved nonsmall cell lung	2 A. Well, it depends upon the case
3 cancer?	3 whether I have been asked to be involved for
4 A. I'm sure they exist, but I can't	4 purposes of standard of care or proximate cause
5 recall offhand.	5 or both. So it varies from case to case.
6 Q. And by testify, you mean deposition	6 Q. Listen to my question. Standard of
7 or trial?	7 care.
8 A. True.	8 A. So your question is have I ever been
9 Q. The cases that you have testified for	9 involved in a case where my opinions have
10 lawyers at Reminger & Reminger and obviously	10 pertained to standard of care and not proximate
11 you know that I have a great number of your	11 cause or I'm sorry, I am not following.
12 depositions but is it fair to say that over	12 Q. Where you have been asked to provide
13 the period of time that you have been testifying	13 testimony on standard of care on behalf of a
14 by way of deposition or trial at the request of	14 physician and is it fair to say that in those
15 Reminger attorneys, that you have testified that	15 cases where you provided standard of care
16 the delay in diagnosis in any cancer case did	16 testimony that your testimony has been that the
17 not affect or harm the patient; true?	17 standard of care was not violated?
18 A. Ask me that question once more. I	18 A. So you are asking if I have been
19 want to make sure I understand it.	19 retained for standard of care with an opinion
20 Q. I will put aside all of the	20 that would be adverse to the defense attorney
21 introduction about the number of depositions.	21 and have I taken the stand with that unfavorable
22 When you have been testifying in the defense of	22 opinion?
23 doctors represented by Reminger & Reminger, can 24 we agree that you have either taken the stand	23 Q. Yes.
	A. Not that I specifically recall.
25 and/or given deposition testimony that any	25 Q. And in proximate cause and you
Page 54	Dorro 56
1 alleged delay of a diagnosis of a cancer did not	Page 56
<ol> <li>alleged delay of a diagnosis of a cancer did not</li> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> </ol>	1 know what proximate cause means, don't you?
2 affect or harm the patient?	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
2 affect or harm the patient?	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> </ol>
<ul><li>2 affect or harm the patient?</li><li>3 A. My involvement would really be in one</li></ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>3 A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>4 of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>5 regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>6 regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>3 A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>4 of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>5 regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>6 regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>7 one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> <li>me would have to be favorable in terms of either</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> <li>violated but that it did not affect the outcome,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> <li>me would have to be favorable in terms of either</li> <li>standard of care or proximate cause or both.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> <li>violated but that it did not affect the outcome,</li> <li>perhaps I might have given that testimony. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> <li>me would have to be favorable in terms of either</li> <li>standard of care or proximate cause or both.</li> <li>Q. So my statement is accurate, when you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> <li>violated but that it did not affect the outcome,</li> <li>perhaps I might have given that testimony. I</li> <li>don't specifically recali.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>stand, is it fair to say that when you have been</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> <li>me would have to be favorable in terms of either</li> <li>standard of care or proximate cause or both.</li> <li>Q. So my statement is accurate, when you</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> <li>violated but that it did not affect the outcome,</li> <li>perhaps I might have given that testimony. I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>affect or harm the patient?</li> <li>A. My involvement would really be in one</li> <li>of two areas. It would be either defense</li> <li>regarding the standard of care or defense</li> <li>regarding proximate cause, and some cases are</li> <li>one, some are the other, some are both. And so</li> <li>those would be the categories in which I have</li> <li>been involved in assisting with the defense of</li> <li>attorneys with Reminger &amp; Reminger or really any</li> <li>other case where I have worked with the defense.</li> <li>Q. But in cases for the defense where</li> <li>you have given deposition testimony or taken the</li> <li>asked to provide standard of care opinions, your</li> <li>testimony has been that the standard of care was</li> <li>not violated?</li> <li>A. Well, by definition for me to be</li> <li>involved in a case for the defense attorney, my</li> <li>opinions in order for them to want to work with</li> <li>me would have to be favorable in terms of either</li> <li>standard of care or proximate cause or both.</li> <li>Q. So my statement is accurate, when you</li> <li>have taken the stand in cases, you have taken</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>know what proximate cause means, don't you?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Have you testified when you have been</li> <li>a defense expert and have been asked to provide</li> <li>proximate cause testimony, have you, to your</li> <li>knowledge, ever taken the stand and admitted</li> <li>under oath that the delay in diagnosis was the</li> <li>proximate cause of the death or harm or injury</li> <li>to the plaintiff?</li> <li>A. Let me be clear and I want to clarify</li> <li>my last statement. And that is that there are</li> <li>certainly times when I could imagine disagreeing</li> <li>with the actions, i.e., standard of care, and</li> <li>that I might have felt that that was immaterial</li> <li>because it didn't affect the outcome of the</li> <li>patient.</li> <li>So if you are asking me if I have</li> <li>ever, to answer your last question accurately,</li> <li>is it conceivable that I have testified that</li> <li>perhaps I thought the standard of care was</li> <li>violated but that it did not affect the outcome,</li> <li>perhaps I might have given that testimony. I</li> <li>don't specifically recall.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified in internal</li> </ul>

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Ĵ

## August 22, 2003

1       A. Correct.         2       Q. You are familiar with the issue of standard of care in medical matpractice cases;         4       true?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. And you are certainly qualified to ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         10       patient who is diagnostic studies to follow up a         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in this case to provide expert testimony as to whether an hysician did or did not violate the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         19       offerse atomeys in penile, kidney, breast         20       In this diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         23       diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       A. Correct.         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         Page 58         1       source, saw, thou it repeating the case without repeating the standard of care a it relates to the suce of care of other care as it relates to that you have testified in a number of care as true?         3       a. Correct.         3       Q. You have testi			1		
1       A. Correct.         2       Q. You are familiar with the issue of standard of care in medical malpractice cases;         4       true?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. And you are certainly qualified to ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         7       provide opinions as to whether an internist met of ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         10       patient who is diagnostic studies to follow up a         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in this case, but in the doctor is the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosting lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         19       to whether a physician did or did not violate the standard of care is testimony that was         20       free tanding in this case is without regreating the standard of care is the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnostic workup of that patien; true?         24       In his diagnostic workup of that patien; true?         23       Q. In tact, you have been called upon by         19       defense atomersy in penile, kidney, hreast         20       poyou understand my question and listen		n		_	
2       Q. You are familiar with the issue of 3 standard of care in medical malpractice cases; 4 true?       2       opinions that you intend to provide ar the time 4 of the trial of this case?         5       A. Yes.       Q. And you are certainly qualified to 7 provide opinions as to whether an internist met 6 or violated the standard of care in terms of 9 ordering diagnosit studies to follow up a 10 patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?       5       A. No.         1       A. Correct.       11       Q. You have been called upon in 3 anumber of cases to provide expert testimony as 14 to whether a physician did or did not violate 15 the standard of care in terms of not timely 16 diagnosing lung cancer; true?       11       Q. You have been called upon by 16 diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.       17       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by 16 defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast 26 cancer, and in other types of other cancers to 21 provide standard of care estimony that was 25       17       Q. Let's try the question and listen 19 to the question carefully.         26       Q. You have been called upon by 19 defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast 26 cancer case, not just the path doctor 23 did not violate the applicable standard of care 24       18 read to back so there is no question. and listen 19 to the question carefully.         27       Page 58       1       question. 1'm         28       Page 58       1       question. 1'm         29       A. True.       Q. Doctor, we have aready taliked about 30 the fact tha		Page 57		Page	59
2       Q. You are familiar with the issue of 3 standard of care in medical malpractice cases; 4 true?       2       opinions that you intend to provide are the 4 of the trial of this case?         5       A. Yes.       Q. And you are certainly qualified to 7 provide opinions as to whether an internist met 6 or violated the standard of care in terms of 9 ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a 10 patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?       5       A. No.         1       A. Correct.       11       Q. You are certainly qualified as an 12       11       Q. You have been called upon in 3 a number of cases to provide expert testimony as 14 to whether a physician did or did not violate 15 the standard of care interms of not timely 16 diagnosing lung cancer; true?       11       Q. You have been called upon by 16 diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.       17       Q. Let's try the question agin. Let's 17       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       18       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       14       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16       16 <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>A. Correct.</td><td>1</td><td>opinions in this case besides those four</td><td></td></td<>	1	A. Correct.	1	opinions in this case besides those four	
3       standard of care in medical malpractice cases;       3       certainty in a position to provide at the time         4       true?       3       certainty in a position to provide at the time         4       true?       5       A. Yes.         5       A. Yes.       6       Q. And you are certainly qualified to         7       provide opinions as to whether an internist met       6       Q. As I understand I, in this case, you         7       not well diagnostic with preumonia; true?       1       A. Correct.         10       patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?       10       A. Correct.         11       Q. Correct.       11       Q. You are certainly qualified as an         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by       16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         14       A. True.       17       A. True.         19       oetheen a physiciani did or did not corr accest to       17         21       provide standard of care in terms of not timely       18       the diagnosty withy post pointons is acting and the true question and and care opinions.         11       Q. In tact, you have been called upon by       18       the destina diagnost withy prevision did not did not true?         14       nh is diagnostic withy post fastand of care in terms of not timely       19<	2		1		
<ul> <li>true?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And you are certainly qualified to provide opinions as to whether an intermist met or violated the standard of care in terms of or ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a</li> <li>patient who is diagnostic studies to follow up a</li> <li>a number of cases to provide expert testimony as</li> <li>to whether a physician did or did not violate the standard of care in terms of not violate</li> <li>diagnostic burde expert opinion</li> <li>for the standard of care in the standard of care interms of a number of those cases without repeating the standard of care inters of not violate</li> <li>for the standard of care interns of a number of those cases without repeating the react the standard of care a inters to violate the standard of care inters of not name provide expert opinion</li> <li>formy, ask me again.</li> <li>Q. You have bestified in a number of in a number of those cases without repeating the reacters as on to the standard of care as it relates to violate the standard of care is the standard of cancer case, not just the standard of care spinions.</li> <li>formy, ask me again.</li> <li>Q. You have testified in a number of in a number of those cases without repeating the react ass, not just the standard of care as it relates to with regard to the standard of care creas, not just the standard of care as it relates to with regard to the standard of care as it relates to being offered in this case, but I have contains four ophions starting at the bottom of proximate cause.</li> <li>M. MARNER: Counsel, the doctor is proximate cause.</li> <li>M. M. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is proximate cause.</li> <li>M. M. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is being offered in this case on the issue of proximate cause.</li> <li>M. M. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is being offered in this case on the issue of p</li></ul>	1	•			
5       A. Yes.         6       Q. And you are certainly qualified to         7       provide opinions as to whether an internist met         8       or violated the standard of care in terms of         9       or attent who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as         14       to whether a physical did or did not violate         15       A. True.         16       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         16       defanse attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       pave attorney in penile, kidney, breast         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         26       You have testified in a number of         3       cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         2       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have         4       A. Correct.			1		
6       Q. And you are certainly qualified to         7       provide opinions as to whether an internist met         8       or violated the standard of care in terms of         9       ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         10       patient who is diagnostic studies to follow up a         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as         14       to whether a physician did or violate         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         26       Q. Mad you have testified in a number of         3       cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         2       A. Sure. Not i			1		
7       provide opinions as to whether an internist met       7       have not been asked to provide any opinions         8       or violated the standard of care in terms of       9       ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         10       patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; rure?       11       A. Correct.         11       A. Correct.       10       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as       14       A. Correct.         14       D. F. A. True.       15       in the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnostic market opinions.       17       A. True.         17       A. True.       17       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast       16       render standard of care on upestion and listen         20       provide standard of care testimony that was       17       Q. Let's try the question again. Let's         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       24       MR. WARNER: Noter my objection. He         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm       22       Q. Doctor, we have already talked about         26       Q. You have testified in a number of       3       1         3       Q. Doctor, We have already		• • • • • •	1		
8       or violated the standard of care in terms of       9       ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         9       ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a       0       Patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?         11       A. Correct.       11       Q. You are certainly qualified as an         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in       11       Q. You are certainly qualified as an         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as       14       A. Icertainly haven't focused on this         14       to whether a physician did not violate       14       A. Icertainly haven't focused on this         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely       16       16       17       A. True.         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?       17       A. True.       17       Q. Let's try the question again.       17         17       A. It's a very long question. I'm       20       Concer, we have already taiked about         21       internal medicine and that you have testified       1       question.         22       1       sorry, ask me again.       2       Q. Doctor, we have already taiked about         2       A. Correct.       2       9       A. Iguestion.         2       eretainly alover we toweleases, but I have <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1 "</td><td></td><td></td></td<>			1 "		
9       ordering diagnostic studies to follow up a         10       patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as         14       to whether a physician did or did not violate         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnostic working chare; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       A. It's a very long question. I'm         23       A. It's a very long question. I'm         24       A. Correct.         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         26       Page 58         1       guestion.         2       y. You have testified in a number of         3       canter cases, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         20       You have testified in a number of         3       canter cases, not just sestified to that     <			1		
10       patient who is diagnosed with pneumonia; true?         11       A. Correct.         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as         14       to whether a physician did or did not violate         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         20       Doy ou understand the         21       max mather of those cases without repeating the         2       categroies of cancers that the physician did not         2       A. Correct.         3       MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor         4       A. Correct.         2       A. It's a very long question. <tr< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	-		1		
11       A. Correct.       11       Q. You are certainly qualified as an         12       Q. In fact, you have been called upon in       internist to provide such opinions if you were         13       a number of cases to provide expert testimony as       internist to provide such opinions.         14       to whether a physician did or did not violate       internist to provide such opinions.         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely       ia ganosing lung cancer; true?         16       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by       if a number of case is the standard of care testimony that was         16       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by       if each taback to the each opinions.         17       A. True.       if each taback to the coctor; is, and in other types of other cancers to         11       ocancer, and in other types of other cancers to       if main sid agnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       Q. Do you understand my question?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm       20         26       Q. You have testified in a number of care as it relates to       internists as it relates to the issue of whether         2       A. Correct.       Q. In thic case, you have not been asked         2       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have       1       internists as it r					
12       Q.       In fact, you have been called upon in a number of cases to provide expert restimony as to to whether a physician did or did not violate the standard of care in terms of not timely didenosing lung cancer; true?       internist to provide such opinions if you were so asked to do so; correct?         17       A.       True.       True.         18       Q.       In fact, you have been called upon by defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast       Q.       Let's try the question again. Let's         18       read it back so there is no question and listen to the question carefully.       Q.       Oc accer, and in other types of other cancers to floor able to the doctor; i.e., hat the doctor 24       In his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       In his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       Q.       Do you understand my question?         24       In his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       Q.       Do you understand my question?         25       A.       I's a very long question. I'm       2       Q.       Doctor, we have already talked about the fact that you are board certified in intermists as it relates to the issue of whether 7 categories of cancers that the physician did not violate the standard of care as it relates to 9 his patient; rue?       1       question.         16       MR. MISHKIND: I understand that. 17       Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your 18       1       question.         16       MR. MARNEKIK: Counsel, the doctor	1				
13 a number of cases to provide expert testimony as       13 so asked to do so; correct?         14 to whether a physician did or did not violate       13 so asked to do so; correct?         15 the standard of care in terms of not timely       16 in this case, but I have not been asked to         16 diagnosing lung cancer; true?       17         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19 defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast       0. Let's try the question again. Let's         20 cancer, and in other types of other cancers to       17         21 provide standard of care testimony that was       18 dianostic workup of that patient; true?         24 in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       24. A. I's a very long question. I'm          13 soarse, and just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. You have testified in a number of         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       In a number of those cases without repeating the         7       Cateer cases, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       In a number of those cases without repeating the			11	Q. You are certainly qualified as an	
14       to whether a physician did or did not violate         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       In his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         26       Page 58         1       sourry, ask me again.         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       in a number of those cases without repeating the         7       categories of cancers that the physician did not         3       Q. Doctor, we have already talked about         4       A. Correct.         3       MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is         4       his patient; rue?         5       A. Sure. Not in all case, but I have		Q. In fact, you have been called upon in	12	internist to provide such opinions if you were	
14       A. 1 certainly haven't focused on this         15       the standard of care in terms of not timely       16         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?       16         17       A. True.       17         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by       16         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast       17         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to       17         21       provide standard of care testimony that was       20         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?       23         24       in ki diagnosto: workup of that patient; true?       24         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm       23         26       Q. Do you understand my question?         27       Q. You have testified in a number of       2         28       A. I guess I don't understand the         29       A. Art's a very long question. I'm       2         20       Courter       2         21       server, as a ready a law ext stified in a number of       2         30       Cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?       3         4       A. Correct.       2       Doctor, we have already talked about         4	13	a number of cases to provide expert testimony as	13	so asked to do so; correct?	
15       the standard of care in terms of not timely         16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         10       cancer; and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       In his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         Page 58         1       sorry, ask me again.         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       cancer case, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       in a number of those cases without repeating the categories of cancers that the physiclan did not         violate the standard of care as it relates to         9       his patient; true?         13       MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is         14       provide such opinions; correct?         15       proximate cause.         16			14		
16       diagnosing lung cancer; true?         17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care estimony that was         21       provide standard of care estimony that was         21       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         Page 58         1       sorry, ask me again.         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       cancer case, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       in a number of flose cases without repeating the         7       categories of cancers that the physician did not         violate the standard of care as in relates to         9       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have         12       effect, yes.         13       Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your         14       prov			15		
17       A. True.         18       Q. In fact, you have been called upon by         19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         26       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         Page 58         1       sorry, ask me again.         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       cancer case, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       in atthent; true?         10       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have         11       certainly at certain points testified to that         12       effect, yes.         13       MR. WARNER: Coursel, the doctor is         14       being offered in this case on the issue of         15       proximate cause.         16       MR. MISHKIND: 1 understand that.         17       Q. Doctotr, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your					
<ul> <li>18 Q. In fact, you have been called upon by 19 defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast 20 cancer, and in other types of other cancers to 21 provide standard of care testimony that was 22 favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor 23 did not violate the applicable standard of care 4 in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?</li> <li>24 in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?</li> <li>25 A. It's a very long question. I'm</li> <li>26 Page 58</li> <li>1 sorry, ask me again. 27 Q. You have testified in a number of 37 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>29 A. dryou have provided expert opinion 50 an anumber of those cases without repeating the 51 categories of cancers that the physician did not 52 violate the standard of care as it relates to 53 his patient; true?</li> <li>20 And you have provided expert opinion 54 wild regard to the standard of care as it relates to 54 his patient; true?</li> <li>25 A. Mis WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is 54 his patient; true?</li> <li>26 MR. WISHKIND: 1 understand that. 27 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your 28 report; true?</li> <li>29 A. Correct. 30 MR. WISHKIND: 1 understand that. 31 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why 32 you were not asked to provide such opinions? 34 A. Correct. 35 A. No. 36 MR. MISHKIND: 1 understand that. 37 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your 38 report; true? 39 A. Correct. 30 Q. And does it contain actually, it 31 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of 32 page two and continuing on to page three; 32 and continuing on to page three; 33 A. So 1 understand your question and listen 34 A. So 1 weterion a cancer case; true? 35 A. No. 36 Q. I take it, however, that if you were 36 as on understand your question and we 37 A. So 1 understand your question and we 38 care to a provide such opinions; true? 39 A. So 1 understand you rup training and 39 experience and knowledge in the area, to provide 30 understand your question and we 30 and the standard of the page thoe yourup training and 39 apref</li></ul>					
19       defense attorneys in penile, kidney, breast 20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to 21       provide standard of care testimony that was 25       19       to the question carefully.         20       cancer, and in other types of other cancers to 21       20       (Record read.)         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care 24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         24       A. lt's a very long question. 1'm       23       Q. Do you understand my question?         24       MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.       25       A. I guess I don't understand the         25       A. Correct.       20       Do you understand my question?       24         26       You have testified in a number of 3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?       24       MR. WARNER: Asked and answered.         26       Q. You have testified in a number of 3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?       1       question.         2       Q. And you have provided expert opinion 6 in a number of those cases without repeating the 7 categories of cancers that the physician did not 8 violate the standard of care as it relates to 9 his patient; true?       1       question.         10       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have 11 certainly at certain points testified to that 12 effect, yes.       1       question carrect.         13       MR. WARNER: counsel, the doctor is 14 being offered in this case o					
<ul> <li>20 cancer, and in other types of other cancers to</li> <li>21 provide standard of care testimony that was</li> <li>22 favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor</li> <li>23 did not violate the applicable standard of care</li> <li>24 in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?</li> <li>25 A. It's a very long question. I'm</li> <li>20 (Record read.)</li> <li>21 MR, WARNER: Note my objection. He</li> <li>22 has answered the question.</li> <li>23 Q. Do you understand my question?</li> <li>24 MR, WARNER: Asked and answered.</li> <li>25 A. I guess I don't understand the</li> </ul> Page 58 Page		defense attorneys in penile kidney breast			
21       provide standard of care testimony that was         21       provide standard of care testimony that was         22       favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor         23       did not violate the applicable standard of care         24       in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?         25       A. It's a very long question. I'm         Page 58         1       sorry, ask me again.         2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       carcer cases, not just lung cancer; true?         4       A. Correct.         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion         6       in a number of those cases without repeating the         7       categories of cancers that the physician did not         8       violate the standard of care as it relates to         9       his patient; true?         10       A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have         11       certainly at certain points testified to that         12       effect, yes.         13       mR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is         14       being offered in this case on the issue of         15       proximate cause.         16       MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is         17       Q			E		
<ul> <li>22 favorable to the doctor; i.e., that the doctor</li> <li>23 did not violate the applicable standard of care</li> <li>24 has answered the question.</li> <li>25 A. It's a very long question. I'm</li> <li>26 Page 58</li> <li>27 Page 58</li> <li>28 Page 58</li> <li>29 Page 58</li> <li>20 Page 58</li> <li>21 sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>22 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>29 A. Correct.</li> <li>30 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>41 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>42 provimate cause.</li> <li>43 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>44 A. Correct.</li> <li>45 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>46 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>47 A. Correct.</li> <li>48 A. Correct.</li> <li>49 A. Correct.</li> <li>40 A. Gorect.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>42 A. Correct.</li> <li>43 A. Correct.</li> <li>44 A. Correct.</li> <li>45 A. Correct.</li> <li>46 A. Correct.</li> <li>47 A. Correct.</li> <li>48 A. Correct.</li> <li>49 A. Correct.</li> <li>40 A. Gorect.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>41 A. Correct.</li> <li>42 A. Correct.</li> <li>43 A. Correct.</li> <li>44 A. Correct.</li> <li>45 A. Correct.</li> <li>46 A. Correct.</li> <li>47 A. Correct.</li> <li>48 A. Correct.</li> <li>49 A. Correct.</li> <li>40 A. Sure ontain - actually, it</li> <li>41 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>41 A. Sol Understand your question and we</li> <li>42 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> &lt;</ul>			•		
<ul> <li>23 did not violate the applicable standard of care</li> <li>24 in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?</li> <li>25 A. It's a very long question. I'm</li> <li>26 Page 58</li> <li>27 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>28 C. Do you understand my question?</li> <li>29 A. Iguess I don't understand the</li> <li>20 Page 58</li> <li>21 sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>22 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>3 A. Correct.</li> <li>3 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>4 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>21 effect, yes.</li> <li>31 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>32 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>31 proximate cause.</li> <li>32 m. No.</li> <li>33 MR. MISHKIND: 1 understand that.</li> <li>34 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>35 proximate cause.</li> <li>36 MR. MISHKIND: 1 understand that.</li> <li>37 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>38 report; true?</li> <li>39 A. Correct.</li> <li>30 And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>31 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>32 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>33 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>34 being offered in this contain actually, it</li> <li>34 correct.</li> <li>35 A. No.</li> <li>36 A. No.</li> <li>37 A. Correct.</li> <li>38 A. Correct.</li> <li>39 A. Correct.</li> <li>30 A. Sure contain actually, it</li> <li>31 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>34 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>34 being offered in the contain actually, it</li> <li>35 A. No.</li> <li>36 A. No.</li> <li>37 A. No.</li> <li>39 A. Correct.</li> <li>30 A. Sol un</li></ul>			1 · · ·		
24in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?25A. It's a very long question. I'm26You have testified in a number of27Q. You have testified in a number of28Q. You have testified in a number of29Correct.20You have testified in a number of21Q. You have testified in a number of22Q. You have testified in a number of23Q. And you have provided expert opinion24Internal medicine and that you are board certified in25A. Correct.26Q. And you have provided expert opinion27In a number of those cases without repeating the28Caregories of cancers that the physician did not29his patient; true?20A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have21effect, yes.23MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is24MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is25MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.26Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your27A. Correct.28Q. Latke it, however, that if you were29A. Correct.20Q. And does it contain actually, it21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of29page two and continuing on to page three;					
25       A. It's a very long question. I'm       25       A. I guess I don't understand the         Page 58       Page 58         1       sorry, ask me again.       2       Q. You have testified in a number of         3       cancer case, not just lung cancer; true?       4       A. Correct.       2       Q. Doctor, we have already talked about         4       A. Correct.       2       Q. Doctor, we have already talked about         5       Q. And you have provided expert opinion       4       in termal medicine and that you have testified         6       in a number of those cases without repeating the       4       internal medicine and that you have testified         7       categories of cancers that the physician did not       with regard to the standard of care of other         6       internal medicine and that you have testified       6         7       the fact that you are board certified in       4         8       care of those cases without repeating the       6         7       categories of cancers that the physician did not       8         8       violate the standard of care as it relates to       8         9       his patient; true?       A. True.         10       A. Mat NWARNER: Counsel, the doctor is       9         14       being offered in this		in his diamantic use applicable standard of care		Q. Do you understand my question?	
Page 58Page 581sorry, ask me again.1question.2Q. You have testified in a number of2Q. Doctor, we have already talked about3cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?3the fact that you are board certified in4A. Correct.3the fact that you are board certified in5Q. And you have provided expert opinion4internal medicine and that you have testified6internal medicine and that you have testified57Q. And you have provided expert opinion66internals as it relates to67categories of cancers that the physician did not68violate the standard of care as it relates to69his patient; true?910A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have1011correct.1112effect, yes.1113MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is1314being offered in this case on the issue of1417Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your1518report; true?15A. No.19A. Correct.1620Q. And does it contain actually, it21Contains four opinions starting at the bottom of22page two and continuing on to page three;	24	in his diagnostic workup of that patient; true?	1		
<ul> <li>1 sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>2 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physiclan did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>11 question.</li> <li>2 Q. Doctor, we have already talked about</li> <li>2 the fact that you are board certified in</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified</li> <li>5 with regard to the standard of care of other</li> <li>6 internists as it relates to the issue of whether</li> <li>7 the internist met or fell below the standard of</li> <li>8 care in a cancer case; true?</li> <li>9 A. True.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>	25	A. It's a very long question. I'm	25	A. I guess I don't understand the	
<ol> <li>sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>his patient; true?</li> <li>A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>effect, yes.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>proximate cause.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>Report; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>page two and continuing on to page three;</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>his patient; true?</li> <li>A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>effect, yes.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>proximate cause.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>page two and continuing on to page three;</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>sorry, ask me again.</li> <li>Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>his patient; true?</li> <li>A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>effect, yes.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>proximate cause.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>Report; true?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>page two and continuing on to page three;</li> </ol>				_	
<ul> <li>2 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>2 Q. Doctor, we have already talked about</li> <li>3 the fact that you are board certified in</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified</li> <li>5 with regard to the standard of care of other</li> <li>6 internists as it relates to</li> <li>9 A. Correct.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions, you are</li> <li>18 qualified, based upon your training and</li> <li>19 experience and knowledge in the area, to provide</li> <li>20 such opinions; true?</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>	İ	Page 58		Page (	50
<ul> <li>2 Q. You have testified in a number of</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>2 Q. Doctor, we have already talked about</li> <li>3 the fact that you are board certified in</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified</li> <li>5 with regard to the standard of care of other</li> <li>6 internists as it relates to</li> <li>9 A. Correct.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions, you are</li> <li>18 qualified, based upon your training and</li> <li>19 experience and knowledge in the area, to provide</li> <li>20 such opinions; true?</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>	1	sorry, ask me again.	1	question.	
<ul> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>3 cancer cases, not just lung cancer; true?</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified in the internist as it relates to the issue of other</li> <li>6 internist case on the issue of</li> <li>proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 qualified, based upon your training and</li> <li>19 experience and knowledge in the area, to provide</li> <li>20 such opinions; true?</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>			1	•	
<ul> <li>4 A. Correct.</li> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified</li> <li>5 with regard to the standard of care of other</li> <li>6 internists as it relates to the issue of</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>4 internal medicine and that you have testified</li> <li>5 with regard to the standard of care of other</li> <li>6 internists as it relates to the issue of the internist met or fell below the standard of</li> <li>8 care in a cancer case; true?</li> <li>9 A. True.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions, you are</li> <li>17 asked to provide such opinions, you are</li> <li>18 qualified, based upon your training and</li></ul>	3	cancer cases, not just lung cancer: true?	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>5 Q. And you have provided expert opinion</li> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the</li> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>31 Mathematication and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>6 in a number of those cases without repeating the 7 categories of cancers that the physician did not 8 violate the standard of care as it relates to 9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>31 Internists as it relates to the issue of whether</li> <li>32 the internist as it relates to the issue of whether</li> <li>33 the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist case, you have not been asked</li> <li>34 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>35 A. No.</li> <li>36 Q. I take it, however, that if you were</li> <li>36 the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist as it relates to the issue of the internist met or fell below the standard of the area to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>31 A. Correct.</li> <li>32 A. Correct.</li> <li>33 A. Correct.</li> <li>34 being offered in this case on the issue of the issue of the internist as it relates to provide such opinions; you are</li> <li>35 A. No.</li> <li>36 Q. I take it, however, that if you were</li> <li>37 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>38 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>7 categories of cancers that the physician did not</li> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions?</li> <li>15 A. No.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>	-		E		
<ul> <li>8 violate the standard of care as it relates to</li> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>8 care in a cancer case; true?</li> <li>9 A. True.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions?</li> <li>15 A. No.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>9 his patient; true?</li> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>9 A. True.</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions?</li> <li>15 A. No.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>10 A. Sure. Not in all cases, but I have</li> <li>11 certainly at certain points testified to that</li> <li>12 effect, yes.</li> <li>13 MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is</li> <li>14 being offered in this case on the issue of</li> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>10 Q. In this case, you have not been asked</li> <li>11 to provide such opinions; correct?</li> <li>12 A. Correct.</li> <li>13 Q. Let me ask you first, do you know why</li> <li>14 you were not asked to provide such opinions?</li> <li>15 A. No.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>	_				
11certainly at certain points testified to that12effect, yes.13MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is14being offered in this case on the issue of15proximate cause.16MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your18report; true?19A. Correct.20Q. And does it contain actually, it21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of22page two and continuing on to page three;			-		
12effect, yes.13MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is14being offered in this case on the issue of15proximate cause.16MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your18report; true?19A. Correct.20Q. And does it contain actually, it21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of22page two and continuing on to page three;					
13MR. WARNER: Counsel, the doctor is14being offered in this case on the issue of15proximate cause.16MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your18report; true?19A. Correct.20Q. And does it contain actually, it21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of22page two and continuing on to page three;					
14being offered in this case on the issue of15proximate cause.16MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your18report; true?19A. Correct.20Q. And does it contain actually, it21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of22page two and continuing on to page three;					
<ul> <li>15 proximate cause.</li> <li>16 MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.</li> <li>17 Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your</li> <li>18 report; true?</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> <li>20 Q. And does it contain actually, it</li> <li>21 contains four opinions starting at the bottom of</li> <li>22 page two and continuing on to page three;</li> <li>17 year interfection dated to provide such opinions.</li> <li>15 A. No.</li> <li>16 Q. I take it, however, that if you were</li> <li>17 asked to provide such opinions, you are</li> <li>18 qualified, based upon your training and</li> <li>19 experience and knowledge in the area, to provide</li> <li>20 such opinions; true?</li> <li>21 A. So I understand your question and we</li> <li>22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,</li> </ul>					
16MR. MISHKIND: I understand that.16Q. I take it, however, that if you were17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your16Q. I take it, however, that if you were18report; true?17asked to provide such opinions, you are19A. Correct.18qualified, based upon your training and20Q. And does it contain actually, it19experience and knowledge in the area, to provide20Q. And does it contain actually, it20such opinions; true?21Contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A. So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,					
17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your17asked to provide such opinions, you are18report; true?17asked to provide such opinions, you are19A. Correct.18qualified, based upon your training and20Q. And does it contain actually, it19experience and knowledge in the area, to provide21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A. So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,					
17Q. Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 is your17asked to provide such opinions, you are18report; true?18qualified, based upon your training and19A. Correct.19experience and knowledge in the area, to provide20Q. And does it contain actually, it20such opinions; true?21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A. So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,				Q. I take it, however, that if you were	
18report; true?18qualified, based upon your training and19A.Correct.19experience and knowledge in the area, to provide20Q.And does it contain actually, it20such opinions; true?21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A.So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,			17	asked to provide such opinions, you are	
19A.Correct.19experience and knowledge in the area, to provide20Q.And does it contain actually, it20such opinions; true?21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A.So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,			18	qualified, based upon your training and	
20Q. And does it contain actually, it20such opinions; true?21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of21A. So I understand your question and we22page two and continuing on to page three;22are not talking about all of internal medicine,				experience and knowledge in the area, to provide	
21contains four opinions starting at the bottom of page two and continuing on to page three;21A. So I understand your question and we 22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,			20		
22 page two and continuing on to page three; 22 are not talking about all of internal medicine,			21		
		page two and continuing on to page three;			
23 correct? 23 since I am not necessarily skilled in every		correct?		since I am not necessarily skilled in every	
24A.Correct.24aspect, but if you would clarify for me what				aspect, but if you would clarify for me what	
25 Q. Have you arrived at any other 25 aspect of internal medicine you are referring	25			aspect of internal medicine you are referring	
	N CONSIGNATION OF				

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

## August 22, 2003

Page 61	Page 63
1 to, then I could answer your question.	1 opinion as it relates to the standard of care
2 Q. You are certainly qualified, based	2 provided by an internist and this is a
3 upon your training, experience, if you had been	3 general question would you defer to
4 asked to provide opinions as to whether or not	4 Dr. Rozman as an internist or do you feel
5 Dr. Mansnerus met or fell below accepted	5 qualified to provide standard of care opinions
6 standards of care in terms of his follow up of	6 on internal medicine issues as it relates to
7 this patient who had a presumed diagnosis of	7 whether a particular diagnosis was timely made
8 pneumonia; true? 9 A. Yes. I would have to rereview the	8 in accordance with the standard of care?
	9 A. It would depend upon the specific
10 records to formulate those opinions, but the 11 answer is yes.	10 situation.
1 · · · ·	11 Q. You are not going to take the stand
	12 in this case, are you, and testify based upon
,	13 your review of the records and your knowledge of
14 comment on the standard of care; true? 15 A. Well, I will state that additionally	14 this case that the standard of care was complied 15 with by Dr. Mansnerus: true?
16 I was asked to focus on proximate cause.	
17 Q. And not to focus on the standard of	
18 care?	
19 A. Stated in the positive, I was	18 MR. MISHKIND: I can still ask him 19 the questions. Your objection is noted. Go
20 directed to focus on a particular area. I	20 ahead. Doctor.
21 wasn't told to avoid another area, but simply to	20 anead. Doctor. 21 A. I haven't formulated any opinions
22 focus on one area.	22 thus far regarding standard of care here, so
23 Q. With regard to standard of care,	23 therefore, I'm not prepared to answer your
24 would you defer, as it relates to the standard	24 question.
25 of care for internal medicine, would you defer	25 Q. But as you sit here right now, given
	Cr but as you sit here right how, given
Page 62	Page 64
1 to Dr. Rozman?	
	1 the fact that you have reviewed this case, you
	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>4 expert in this case?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>3 Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>4 expert in this case?</li> <li>5 A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>2 A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>3 Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>4 expert in this case?</li> <li>5 A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>6 briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Br. Rozman, don't you?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Br. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> <li>where you and he have testified on behalf of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> <li>of implications. It's a very simple question.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> <li>where you and he have testified on behalf of</li> <li>doctors defended by Reminger &amp; Reminger; true?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> <li>of implications. It's a very simple question.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> <li>where you and he have testified on behalf of</li> <li>doctors defended by Reminger &amp; Reminger; true?</li> <li>A. I don't specifically recall that, but</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> <li>of implications. It's a very simple question.</li> <li>There may be another question that could be</li> <li>asked after that, but I just want this question</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> <li>where you and he have testified on behalf of</li> <li>doctors defended by Reminger &amp; Reminger; true?</li> <li>A. I don't specifically recall that, but</li> <li>I don't dispute it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> <li>of implications. It's a very simple question.</li> <li>There may be another question that could be</li> <li>asked after that, but I just want this question</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't follow your question.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Dr. Rozman is an</li> <li>expert in this case?</li> <li>A. Before beginning this morning, I</li> <li>briefly skimmed some expert reports that I have</li> <li>not reviewed pertaining to experts working with</li> <li>Mr. Warner in the case.</li> <li>Q. Was Dr. Rozman</li> <li>A. I believe Dr. Rozman was one of them.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if Dr. Rozman has</li> <li>provided opinions on the standard of care for an</li> <li>internal medicine specialist?</li> <li>A. Well, I would feel better looking at</li> <li>the documents since I skimmed it.</li> <li>Q. I understand. Listen to my question.</li> <li>It has nothing to do with you know</li> <li>Dr. Rozman, don't you?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. You have served as an expert in cases</li> <li>where you and he have testified on behalf of</li> <li>doctors defended by Reminger &amp; Reminger; true?</li> <li>A. I don't specifically recall that, but</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>the fact that you have reviewed this case, you</li> <li>are not in a position, correct, to say that the</li> <li>care provided by Dr. Mansnerus met the</li> <li>applicable standard of care; true?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. I'm not prepared to offer an opinion</li> <li>either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, I am not asking you the</li> <li>converse. I'm asking you based upon your</li> <li>knowledge and review in this case, can we agree</li> <li>that you are not prepared to testify that</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus met the applicable standard of</li> <li>care with regard to his patient?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Objection. Asked and</li> <li>answered.</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear, because in</li> <li>answering that question it could imply that I</li> <li>have an opinion either way.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, don't read anything in terms</li> <li>of implications. It's a very simple question.</li> <li>There may be another question that could be</li> <li>asked after that, but I just want this question</li> </ul>

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 65	Page 67
1 it fair to say that based upon what you see at	1 concerning the December 1999 x-ray, do you?
2 this particular point, you are not in a position	2 A. No.
3 to take the stand and to answer questions that	3 MR. WARNER: Note my objection. That
4 would suggest that Dr. Mansnerus met the	4 x-ray is missing. We have been denied the
5 applicable standard of care in this case?	5 opportunity to review it, counsel, due to your
6 MR. WARNER: Objection. That's about	6 expert losing it.
7 the fourth time you asked the question.	7 MR. MISHKIND: Rob, make an
8 Doctor, answer the question again, if	8 objection, don't make speeches.
9 you can.	9 MR. WARNER: That's an unfair
10 MR. MISHKIND: No, no. Quit with if	10 question. I wanted all of them to look at it,
11 you can. He is going to answer the questions.	11 but your expert denied me the right to do it.
12 MR. WARNER: He answered it four	12 MR. MISHKIND: We will do it one more
13 times.	13 time because you are acting so unethically. Be
14 MR. MISHKIND: No, he hasn't.	14 quiet.
15 MR. WARNER: Have Vivian read it	15 MR. WARNER: Provide the film so we
16 back.	16 can look at it.
17 MR. MISHKIND: You continue to march	17 MR. MISHKIND: Be quiet. Stop acting
18 around and pace around, but I am going to have	18 like an absolute rookie.
19 him answer the question. 20 MR. WARNER: Since you raised it.	19 Q. Listen to my question. My question
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20 is, you don't have any basis to dispute the
	21 radiological interpretation provided by the
	22 radiologist in December of 1999, do you?
	23 MR. WARNER: Note my objection. The
	24 court has ruled on this and is not going to
25 MR. MISHKIND: You are doing a good	25 allow you to go into this area.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Page 66	Page 68
1 job. But go ahead, doctor.	1 MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> </ol>	1 MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead 2 and we will take a half hour so you can make
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>3 respect to standard of care.</li> </ol>	1 MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead 2 and we will take a half hour so you can make 3 various speeches and then we will continue with
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> </ol>	1 MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead 2 and we will take a half hour so you can make 3 various speeches and then we will continue with 4 the deposition, which we are obviously not going
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> </ol>	1 MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead 2 and we will take a half hour so you can make 3 various speeches and then we will continue with 4 the deposition, which we are obviously not going 5 to finish today because of your bantering.
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, 1</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, 1</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, 1</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, 1</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Based upon what the radiologist has</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Based upon what the radiologist has</li> <li>written in that report, do you have any basis,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Based upon what the radiologist has</li> <li>written in that report, do you have any basis,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead</li> <li>and we will take a half hour so you can make</li> <li>various speeches and then we will continue with</li> <li>the deposition, which we are obviously not going</li> <li>to finish today because of your bantering.</li> <li>Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I</li> <li>guess you can try to answer.</li> <li>A. Perhaps after that discussion, you</li> <li>could refresh my memory as to the question.</li> <li>Q. The radiological interpretation of</li> <li>this film, you have seen it; correct?</li> <li>A. I have seen the radiology report.</li> <li>Q. Right. And that's what I mean,</li> <li>radiological interpretation. The printed</li> <li>interpretation, correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Based upon what the radiologist has</li> <li>written in that report, do you have any basis,</li> <li>solely on the basis of that report, to dispute</li> <li>his interpretation?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> <li>interpretations of chest x-rays?</li> </ul>	1MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead2and we will take a half hour so you can make3various speeches and then we will continue with4the deposition, which we are obviously not going5to finish today because of your bantering.6Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I7guess you can try to answer.8A. Perhaps after that discussion, you9could refresh my memory as to the question.10Q. The radiological interpretation of11this film, you have seen it; correct?12A. I have seen the radiology report.13Q. Right. And that's what I mean,14radiological interpretation. The printed15interpretation, correct?16A. Correct.17Q. Based upon what the radiologist has18written in that report, do you have any basis,19solely on the basis of that report, to dispute20his interpretation?
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> <li>interpretations of chest x-rays?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	1MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead2and we will take a half hour so you can make3various speeches and then we will continue with4the deposition, which we are obviously not going5to finish today because of your bantering.6Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I7guess you can try to answer.8A. Perhaps after that discussion, you9could refresh my memory as to the question.10Q. The radiological interpretation of11this film, you have seen it; correct?12A. I have seen the radiology report.13Q. Right. And that's what I mean,14radiological interpretation. The printed15interpretation, correct?16A. Correct.17Q. Based upon what the radiologist has18written in that report, do you have any basis,19solely on the basis of that report, to dispute20his interpretation?21A. Can we look at that report?
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> <li>interpretations of chest x-rays?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In this case, you don't have any</li> </ul>	1MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead2and we will take a half hour so you can make3various speeches and then we will continue with4the deposition, which we are obviously not going5to finish today because of your bantering.6Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I7guess you can try to answer.8A. Perhaps after that discussion, you9could refresh my memory as to the question.10Q. The radiological interpretation of11this film, you have seen it; correct?12A. I have seen the radiology report.13Q. Right. And that's what I mean,14radiological interpretation. The printed15interpretation, correct?16A. Correct.17Q. Based upon what the radiologist has18written in that report, do you have any basis,19solely on the basis of that report, to dispute20his interpretation?21A. Can we look at that report?22Q. Sure, go right ahead. Do you have it
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> <li>interpretations of chest x-rays?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	1MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead2and we will take a half hour so you can make3various speeches and then we will continue with4the deposition, which we are obviously not going5to finish today because of your bantering.6Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I7guess you can try to answer.8A. Perhaps after that discussion, you9could refresh my memory as to the question.10Q. The radiological interpretation of11this film, you have seen it; correct?12A. I have seen the radiology report.13Q. Right. And that's what I mean,14radiological interpretation. The printed15interpretation, correct?16A. Correct.17Q. Based upon what the radiologist has18written in that report, do you have any basis,19solely on the basis of that report, to dispute20his interpretation?21A. Can we look at that report?22Q. Sure, go right ahead. Do you have it23or would you like me to make it easy for you?
<ul> <li>job. But go ahead, doctor.</li> <li>A. I have not reviewed this case with</li> <li>respect to standard of care.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: I object to your insult</li> <li>that I am trying to do something here. My</li> <li>stomach is uncomfortable now and I need to walk</li> <li>and I am trying not to interfere, but you are</li> <li>trying to bring me personally into the case and</li> <li>that's not my goal and intent. Leave me out of</li> <li>it. Quit making comments about me, counsel.</li> <li>MR. MISHKIND: Rob, be quiet and I</li> <li>won't make comments. Doctor, go ahead, please.</li> <li>A. As I said, I have not reviewed this</li> <li>case with respect to standard of care, so I will</li> <li>not be pushed into offering an opinion either</li> <li>way regarding standard of care.</li> <li>Q. All right, doctor. You are not an</li> <li>expert in radiology, are you?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. In fact, can we agree that you rely</li> <li>on radiologists in terms of their</li> <li>interpretations of chest x-rays?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In this case, you don't have any</li> </ul>	1MR. MISHKIND: Why don't you go ahead2and we will take a half hour so you can make3various speeches and then we will continue with4the deposition, which we are obviously not going5to finish today because of your bantering.6Q. There is some silence, so, doctor, I7guess you can try to answer.8A. Perhaps after that discussion, you9could refresh my memory as to the question.10Q. The radiological interpretation of11this film, you have seen it; correct?12A. I have seen the radiology report.13Q. Right. And that's what I mean,14radiological interpretation. The printed15interpretation, correct?16A. Correct.17Q. Based upon what the radiologist has18written in that report, do you have any basis,19solely on the basis of that report, to dispute20his interpretation?21A. Can we look at that report?22Q. Sure, go right ahead. Do you have it23or would you like me to make it easy for you?24A. Perhaps you could just make it easy

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 69	Page 71
<ul> <li>Q. There you go.</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection. Go</li> <li>ahead.</li> <li>A. I can't say that some other</li> <li>radiologist may not credibly disagree upon</li> <li>reviewing the film, but as an internist and an</li> <li>oncologist, I have no data with which to</li> <li>disagree with this interpretation.</li> <li>Q. And doctor, from the standpoint of</li> <li>the opinions that you have arrived at in this</li> <li>case and you have arrived at opinions on</li> <li>proximate cause; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you have been able</li> <li>to arrive at those opinions that you hold to a</li> <li>reasonable degree of medical probability?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And you have been able to arrive at</li> <li>those opinions to a reasonable degree of medical</li> <li>probability without having seen the original</li> <li>film in this case; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Could I have that back for a second,</li> <li>please. If you need to see it again, I would be</li> <li>happy to hand it back to you.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. What hospitals do you have privileges</li> <li>at besides UH?</li> <li>A. Currently just University.</li> <li>Q. Did you have privileges somewhere</li> <li>else recently?</li> <li>A. I have had privileges at other area</li> <li>hospitals, though I haven't extensively</li> <li>practiced there; Geauga Hospital, St. John West</li> <li>Shore, I think for a time Lake East and West</li> <li>Hospitals.</li> <li>Q. I take it you have never had your</li> <li>privileges suspended or revoked?</li> <li>A. No. I mean, perhaps for a day</li> <li>because of late signatures on medical records,</li> <li>but nothing, no real revocation.</li> <li>Q. How many times has that happened?</li> <li>A. Oh, it happens to all of us</li> <li>periodically, but it's not a formal censure,</li> <li>it's simply an administrative event.</li> <li>Q. But to you personally, how many times</li> <li>has that happened?</li> <li>A. Oh, maybe a couple over the years.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever applied for privileges</li> <li>at a hospital and been denied?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>Page 70</li> <li>1 Do you know Dr. Mansnerus?</li> <li>2 A. No.</li> <li>3 Q. Dr. Mansnerus also has privileges at</li> <li>4 University Hospitals, but you have never crossed</li> <li>5 paths with him?</li> <li>6 A. Not that I can recall.</li> <li>7 Q. Has he ever referred cases to you?</li> <li>8 A. Not that I can recall.</li> <li>9 Q. You and Dr. Rozman have a</li> <li>10 professional relationship; correct?</li> <li>11 A. We know one another professionally,</li> <li>12 yes.</li> <li>13 Q. He has referred patients to you;</li> <li>14 correct?</li> <li>15 A. I believe so. I can't recall</li> <li>16 specifics, but I certainly know him.</li> <li>17 Q. Well, you have been asked that</li> <li>18 question very recently and I don't necessarily</li> <li>19 need to pull out that deposition, but there was</li> <li>20 no question when you were asked recently about a</li> <li>21 working relationship with Dr. Rozman, you did</li> <li>22 indicate that Dr. Rozman refers patients to you.</li> <li>23 Do you recall that?</li> <li>24 A. I don't recall, but I don't dispute</li> <li>25 it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Page 72</li> <li>1 Q. Who is your malpractice carrier?</li> <li>2 A. I don't even know.</li> <li>3 Q. You don't know who your malpractice</li> <li>4 carrier is?</li> <li>5 A. I don't.</li> <li>6 Q. Are you a member of a group?</li> <li>7 A. I'm a member of University</li> <li>8 Physicians, Incorporated.</li> <li>9 Q. Is your malpractice insurance</li> <li>10 provided through that group?</li> <li>11 A. Yes. It's a large group and they</li> <li>12 change carriers periodically, so I don't even</li> <li>13 know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you ever been sued for</li> <li>15 malpractice?</li> <li>16 A. Yes.</li> <li>17 Q. How many times?</li> <li>18 A. Twice.</li> <li>19 Q. Any of those cases still pending?</li> <li>20 A. No.</li> <li>21 Q. How long ago was that misfortune?</li> <li>22 A. A long time ago.</li> <li>23 Q. In the '90s, '80s?</li> <li>24 A. I was once sued in the early '80s</li> <li>25 when I was in an emergency room in New</li> </ul>

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

## August 22, 2003

Page 73	Page 75
	_
1 Hampshire. And I was once sued and the case was	1 the aggregate of my experience and training and
2 dropped, so I don't know if I need to mention	2 I read scores of articles every week.
3 that, when I practiced near Boston in the late	3 Q. Since preparing this report in
4 '80s.	4 October, have you reviewed any medical
5 Q. Never been sued then in Cleveland?	
6 A. No.	6 cancer?
7 Q. Do you lecture from time to time on	7 A. Probably hundreds of articles.
8 the topic of nonsmall cell lung cancer?	8 Q. Any that you consider to be reliable
9 A. Yes.	9 sources of information that would be relevant to
10 Q. When is the last time you lectured on	10 the Gill case?
11 it?	
	12 opinions are based on the aggregate of my
13 Q. To whom?	13 experience and my reading and my general
14 A. To medical residents.	14 knowledge.
15 Q. Here at UH?	15 Q. And basically what I want to find out
16 A. Correct.	16 from you is, when you take the stand at trial,
17 Q. Was this like a grand round type of	, ,
18 lecture or was it	
	18 article or a particular reference within a
19 A. It's part of the educational program	19 textbook, whether it be Devita's or any other
20 for the medical residents rotating through the	20 textbook, is in your opinion authoritative or
21 oncology unit.	21 generally reliable as it relates to the issues
22 Q. Do you maintain in your files any	22 that you are going to be testifying to, and that
23 type of written material that you provide to the	23 is proximate cause in nonsmall cell lung cancer?
24 residents to supplement your lectures?	
	A. Well, we haven't begun to talk about
25 A. I don't distribute any material when	25 trial testimony, but as we sit here today, I
Deve 74	
Page 74	Page 76
1 I talk to them.	1 certainly have no plans to do so.
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>Iet's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>Iet's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>Iet's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>topic.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>7 A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>9 Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>13 A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>7 A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>9 Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>13 A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>16 Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>7 A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>9 Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>13 A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>16 Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>7 A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>9 Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>13 A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>16 Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>13 A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>16 Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>19 A. Correct.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>I have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> <li>22 have expressed in your report of October 22,</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> <li>have expressed in your report of October 22,</li> <li>2002?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I can't tell you in particular. I</li> <li>have been in Cleveland since 1991, so over</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> <li>have expressed in your report of October 22,</li> <li>2002?</li> <li>24 A. I haven't done any specific research,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I can't tell you in particular. I</li> <li>have been in Cleveland since 1991, so over</li> <li>those, you know, 12 years I have had</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> <li>have expressed in your report of October 22,</li> <li>2002?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I can't tell you in particular. I</li> <li>have been in Cleveland since 1991, so over</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>1 I talk to them.</li> <li>Q. Have you appeared within the last,</li> <li>3 let's say, four or five years at any type of a</li> <li>4 convention, seminar, or medical gathering where</li> <li>5 you address the issue of diagnosis, treatment</li> <li>6 and prognosis of nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. You don't mean talking to the</li> <li>8 residents, you mean some other venue?</li> <li>Q. Let's put aside the residents, but</li> <li>10 where you were an invited speaker or where you</li> <li>11 spoke to a medical society or convention on that</li> <li>12 topic.</li> <li>A. I haven't spoken to a medical society</li> <li>14 or a convention regarding lung cancer in recent</li> <li>15 memory.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any medical</li> <li>17 literature besides the AJCC staging manual,</li> <li>18 which I believe you have a page there.</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed any other medical</li> <li>21 literature in arriving at the opinions that you</li> <li>have expressed in your report of October 22,</li> <li>2002?</li> <li>24 A. I haven't done any specific research,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>certainly have no plans to do so.</li> <li>Q. And certainly for the record, if you</li> <li>determine that there is a reliable or</li> <li>authoritative text or journal or chapter that</li> <li>you, for whatever reason, deem to be that in</li> <li>your opinion, and that you intend to acknowledge</li> <li>that at the time of trial, I would ask that you</li> <li>notify Mr. Warner so that I'm aware of that</li> <li>before you take the stand. Okay?</li> <li>A. Fair enough.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. There are several other</li> <li>doctors that are experts in this case.</li> <li>Dr. Botham. Do you know Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I know Dr. Botham.</li> <li>Q. How do you know him?</li> <li>A. He used to be at Mt. Sinai and I</li> <li>believe that he was for a time at University. I</li> <li>believe he is now at the Clinic. But, yes, we</li> <li>have certainly shared patients and interacted</li> <li>over the years.</li> <li>Q. How long have you known Dr. Botham?</li> <li>A. I can't tell you in particular. I</li> <li>have been in Cleveland since 1991, so over</li> <li>those, you know, 12 years I have had</li> </ul>

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 77	Page 79
1 you any more specifics.	1 Q. Do you know anything at all about his
2 Q. I meant to ask you this at the	2 reputation as an oncologist?
3 beginning more out of curiosity than anything	3 A. No.
4 else, but at some point in time were you	4 Q. No basis for you to say that you have
5 considering becoming a rabbi?	5 an opinion that he is not qualified to provide
6 A. That was simply part of my education	6 opinions as it relates to issues of proximate
7 as I was in my undergraduate years.	7 cause? You may disagree with his opinions, but
8 Q. That was between your ungraduate and	8 do you have any basis to say that he doesn't
9 before starting in medical school?	9 have the qualifications and training to provide
10 A. It was actually my senior year in	10 opinions in this case?
11 college.	11 A. I have no opinion either way.
12 Q. You were in Massachusetts for	12 Q. So, therefore, you won't say on the
13 undergraduate?	13 stand, it's my opinion that he is not qualified
14 A. Correct.	14 to provide opinions; correct?
15 Q. At Brandeis. And then your senior	15 A. I have no information with which to
16 year you went to New York where you were at the	16 reach such a conclusion.
17 Jewish Theological Seminary of America?	17 Q. And Dr. Sutherland, any knowledge at
18 A. Correct.	18 all about his reputation?
19 Q. Was that the entire senior year?	19 A. No.
20 A. Correct.	20 Q. Did you see the report from a
21 Q. But there wasn't a plan to enter and	21 pathologist in this case? Did Mr. Warner show
22 become a rabbi?	22 that to you?
23 A. I had already been accepted to	23 A. In my very brief skimming of those
24 medical school at that point.	24 reports, there was a report from Dr. Kaisi the
25 Q. But were you also considering	25 pathologist, but I couldn't tell you what's in
1	
Page 78	Page 80
Page 78	Page 80
1 becoming a rabbi?	1 it.
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> </ol>	1 it. 2 Q. You don't hold yourself out as an
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>3 expert in pathology, do you?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>3 expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>4 A. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>JO years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>JO years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>G. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>G. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Do you know Dr. Steele?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> <li>Q. I meant to ask you before but we got</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an Interest and you had no Intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> <li>same side with you or an expert for the</li> <li>plaintiff?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> <li>Q. I meant to ask you before but we got</li> <li>off on some of our discussions. I know what you</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> <li>same side with you or an expert for the</li> <li>plaintiff?</li> <li>A. His name rings a bell, so I think</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> <li>Q. I meant to ask you before but we got</li> <li>off on some of our discussions. I know what you</li> <li>charged in the past and I want to find out what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> <li>same side with you or an expert for the</li> <li>plaintiff?</li> <li>A. His name rings a bell, so I think</li> <li>that l have read his testimony before in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> <li>Q. I meant to ask you before but we got</li> <li>off on some of our discussions. I know what you</li> <li>charged in the past and I want to find out what</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>becoming a rabbi?</li> <li>A. I had plans and followed through on</li> <li>those plans to go to medical school.</li> <li>Q. I understand you had plans to go to</li> <li>medical school, but were you considering the</li> <li>possibility of becoming a rabbi, or was it</li> <li>simply just an interest and you had no intention</li> <li>of potentially pursuing that as a career?</li> <li>MR. WARNER: Note my objection.</li> <li>A. This was so many years ago. This is</li> <li>30 years ago. I don't really recall those</li> <li>personal issues, but I had been accepted to</li> <li>medical school and my intention all along was to</li> <li>go.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Sutherland?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Do you know Dr. Steele?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever appeared as an expert</li> <li>in a case where Dr. Steele was either on the</li> <li>same side with you or an expert for the</li> <li>plaintiff?</li> <li>A. His name rings a bell, so I think</li> <li>that I have read his testimony before in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>it.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in pathology, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You don't hold yourself out as an</li> <li>expert in surgery?</li> <li>A. Well, I don't perform surgery, but</li> <li>certainly much of my expertise pertains to the</li> <li>appropriateness of surgery and the outcome of</li> <li>surgery and things like that. I know a lot</li> <li>about that, but I wouldn't want to perform</li> <li>surgery.</li> <li>Q. Certainly a patient that is diagnosed</li> <li>with nonsmall cell lung cancer at a Stage 1</li> <li>would be a surgical candidate; true?</li> <li>A. Depending upon the details of that</li> <li>patient, that answer could be complex, but to be</li> <li>simple about it, most patients with Stage 1</li> <li>small lung cancer will undergo surgery as their</li> <li>primary treatment.</li> <li>Q. I meant to ask you before but we got</li> <li>off on some of our discussions. I know what you</li> <li>charged in the past and I want to find out what</li> </ul>

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

## August 22, 2003

<ul> <li>Page 81</li> <li>A. For a deposition, \$400 per hour.</li> <li>Q. When did you increase that to \$400</li> <li>per hour?</li> <li>A. At some point, and I can't recall</li> <li>exactly, I can't recall when, but at some point</li> <li>in the past I increased that from \$350 to \$400.</li> <li>Q. What about your charge for trial</li> <li>testimony?</li> <li>A. \$500 an hour.</li> <li>Q. And when did you increase that to</li> <li>\$500?</li> <li>A. The same answer, I don't recall</li> <li>exactly.</li> <li>A. The same answer, I don't recall</li> <li>exactly.</li> <li>Q. How about review of medical records?</li> <li>A. \$300 an hour.</li> <li>Q. In 2002, which would be the last</li> <li>calendar year, can you tell me from your</li> <li>medical/legal work what your income was from</li> <li>reviewing depositions and trial testimony?</li> <li>A. I don't have that number.</li> <li>Q. You don't recall when you filed your</li> <li>tax return what that figure was?</li> <li>A. Well, my tax return is, of course, a</li> <li>family tax return, number one, and number two, I</li> </ul>	Page 83 1 or services that provide experts to attorneys? 2 A. No. 3 Q. Have you ever made your name 4 available to any such entities? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Do you know how, for example, the 7 attorney down in Florida obtained your name? 8 A. No idea. 9 Q. Besides Ohio, what other states 10 and we know West Virginia, we know Florida 11 what other states do you know you have reviewed 12 medical situations that have arisen in those 13 other states? 14 A. The only other state that I can 15 recall is Kansas and then I reviewed one case in 16 Northern California. 17 Q. The Kansas and Northern California 18 case, both were on behalf of the defendant; 19 true? 20 A. I don't specifically recall. 21 Q. Do you know how the attorneys 22 contacted you in Northern California or in 23 Kansas? 24 A. No idea.
<ul> <li>21 Q. You don't recall when you filed your</li> <li>22 tax return what that figure was?</li> <li>23 A. Well, my tax return is, of course, a</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Q. Do you know how the attorneys</li><li>contacted you in Northern California or in</li><li>Kansas?</li></ul>
<ul><li>24 family tax return, number one, and number two, 1</li><li>25 do a fair amount of lecturing on medical topics</li></ul>	<ul><li>A. No idea.</li><li>Q. Can you give me a percentage as to</li></ul>
Page 82	Page 84
<ul> <li>and am reimbursed for that, and those funds for</li> <li>quote, unquote, outside income are all grouped</li> <li>together by my accountant.</li> <li>Q. And Joyce Gray would have been the</li> <li>accountant that would have done your 2002</li> <li>return; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Have you at the present time, doctor,</li> <li>maintained any type of record to memorialize who</li> <li>the attorney is that you have worked for and</li> <li>what the name of the case is and anything about</li> <li>the subject matter of the case that you have an</li> <li>ongoing document of sorts?</li> <li>A. I don't have any such record.</li> <li>Q. So unless you maintain the file at</li> <li>the end of a case, other than perhaps good or</li> <li>bad memory, you would have no record of that</li> <li>particular past experience; true?</li> <li>A. I dispose of them after the case is over?</li> <li>A. I dispose of them when the case is</li> <li>over.</li> <li>Q. Have you ever provided your name as</li> <li>an expert witness through any of the companies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>what percentage of your income from doing</li> <li>medical/legal work or what your percentage of</li> <li>income is derived from working as an expert</li> <li>witness for lawyers?</li> <li>A. I believe it's a small percentage,</li> <li>but without knowing the specific breakdown of</li> <li>outside lecturing and so forth, the</li> <li>complications which I just described, I can't</li> <li>give you that number.</li> <li>Q. With nonsmall cell lung cancer, can</li> <li>we agree that the earlier that you diagnose</li> <li>nonsmall cell lung cancer from a stage</li> <li>standpoint, the better the prognosis?</li> <li>A. So you are saying Stage 1 generally</li> <li>has a better prognosis than Stage 2, than 3,</li> <li>than 4?</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. In general, the answer is yes, though</li> <li>there is some overlap.</li> <li>Q. If Mr. Gill had been diagnosed in a</li> <li>Stage 1 with his nonsmall cell cancer, is there</li> <li>anything about his prior medical history that</li> <li>would have made his prognosis worse or better</li> <li>than the statistics that you know to exist in a</li> <li>Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer case?</li> </ul>

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

## August 22, 2003

Page 85	Page 87
<ul> <li>A. I am looking to see what I know about</li> <li>his past medical history.</li> <li>Q. To do that, you are looking at which</li> <li>exhibit is that, doctor?</li> <li>A. I am looking at Exhibit 2, and my</li> <li>report, which I believe is Exhibit 1.</li> <li>Q. Yes, sir.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that he had any other</li> <li>serious underlying medical conditions that would</li> <li>necessarily have adversely affected his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree and if not, tell me</li> <li>why we can't agree that in general, with</li> <li>regard to all types of lung cancers, including</li> <li>nonsmall cell, as well as all others, that it's</li> <li>always best to diagnose cancer as early as</li> <li>possible?</li> <li>A. Well, not necessarily, because in</li> <li>many cases it doesn't make any difference.</li> <li>Q. So there are certain cancers that if</li> <li>diagnosed at what one would perceive to be a</li> <li>Stage 1, because of the personality or the</li> <li>characteristics of that cancer, the likelihood</li> <li>of the patient surviving isn't any different</li> <li>than if it's diagnosed at a Stage 4?</li> <li>A. Well, that's a different question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>her cancer after five years, say with Stage 1 or</li> <li>2 cancer, the likelihood is that that patient is</li> <li>cured from that particular cancer. It doesn't</li> <li>mean there may not be a risk of a second</li> <li>primary, but if someone is disease free in terms</li> <li>of their cancer at five years with lung cancer,</li> <li>they are probably cured.</li> <li>Q. And with nonsmall cell lung cancer,</li> <li>there are going to be certain patients that are</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 yet still die; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. But more likely than not, from a</li> <li>statistical standpoint, if one is diagnosed at</li> <li>Stage 1 and there are no other comorbidities or</li> <li>other factors that would make their prognosis</li> <li>worse, you would agree that from a legal</li> <li>standpoint, diagnosis of Stage 1, nonsmall cell</li> <li>lung cancer at Stage 1, the patient is likely to</li> <li>survive with appropriate treatment?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is some data that</li> <li>suggests that some patients with 1, being lung</li> <li>cancer, may have as poor as a 40 percent</li> <li>five-year survival. But in the aggregate,</li> <li>patients with Stage 1 lung cancer who undergo</li> <li>resection more likely than not will be cured.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Page 86</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. That's a different question. But in</li> <li>general, someone diagnosed with a Stage 1 would</li> <li>by statistical likelihood have a better outcome</li> <li>than somebody with a Stage 4.</li> <li>Q. And there are certain circumstances</li> <li>where a patient may strike that.</li> <li>When we talk about probability of</li> <li>survival in cancer cases and you know this</li> <li>from your prior testifying experience. You know</li> <li>that we deal with greater than 50 percent?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So that if someone has a five-year</li> <li>survival, that is statistically greater than a</li> <li>percent from a legal standpoint, you recognize</li> <li>that to a probability that patient will survive;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Why are the five-year survival</li> <li>statistics, why have they been used in lung</li> <li>cancer cases?</li> <li>A. They are a common metric across</li> <li>different types of cancer simply for reasons of</li> <li>consistency. In the case of lung cancer, if a</li> <li>patient had no evidence of recurrence of his or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Page 88</li> <li>Q. And aren't the statistics somewhere</li> <li>in the range of 60 to 80 percent on Stage 1?</li> <li>A. Overall, that's right. Stage 1B</li> <li>isn't quite that good, but certainly 1 A is.</li> <li>Q. Treatment of choice on a nonsmall</li> <li>cell lung cancer with that 60 to 80 percent</li> <li>survival statistic is surgical resection;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Based on the very latest</li> <li>information, we are beginning to consider</li> <li>adjuvant chemotherapy in those patients, but</li> <li>this is very new and very controversial.</li> <li>Q. When Mr. Gill was ultimately</li> <li>diagnosed in, was it July or August? The CT,</li> <li>was it in August, doctor? I think you are</li> <li>looking at</li> <li>A. The CAT scan, well, the first</li> <li>presentation that was concerning was June, but</li> <li>his CAT scan was on July 25th.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And that showed, was that a</li> <li>five centimeter?</li> <li>A. CT of the neck showed a 4 by 3</li> <li>centimeter mass.</li> <li>Q. And then at the time of I'm sorry.</li> </ul>

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 89	Page 91
<ul> <li>That was in July, the CT?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In August, I think there is another</li> <li>reference to the size of the mass in the lung,</li> <li>which might have been different than what the CT</li> <li>showed. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. I don't have any other measurement of</li> <li>the tumor in the lung in my notes.</li> <li>Q. So that the end of July, the tumor in</li> <li>the lung was 4.5 centimeters?</li> <li>A. Correct. By the way, Dr. Olenki, I</li> <li>believe in his note describes this perhaps</li> <li>this was just a summary or an estimate as a 4</li> <li>centimeter left upper lobe mass. So he does give</li> <li>another measurement. I don't know that he</li> <li>disagreed, but he left off the decimal point.</li> <li>Q. And 4.5 or 4, we are not going to</li> <li>quarrel over that; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And that reference by Dr. Olenki was</li> <li>in August; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>C. That's the reference that I was</li> <li>referring to without any notes in front of me.</li> <li>The CAT scan in July showed a single</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>cancer patients, a few weeks is essentially a</li> <li>blink of an eye.</li> <li>Q. And I'm not trying to quarrel with</li> <li>you, but I was referring to a month. But you</li> <li>would still stand on this 4.5, maybe slightly</li> <li>smaller?</li> <li>A. Maybe not even appreciably smaller,</li> <li>but perhaps slightly smaller.</li> <li>Q. What about in May, two months before?</li> <li>A. I would say ditto. It's a very short</li> <li>interval.</li> <li>Q. What about in April?</li> <li>A. I think we can even go all the way</li> <li>back to six months previously and the tumor</li> <li>might have been a little bit smaller, but it</li> <li>would you just be guessing as to what size the</li> <li>tumor would have been if we went back six</li> <li>months?</li> <li>A. I can't give you a measurement, but</li> <li>the important concept is that the tumor stage</li> <li>wouldn't have been dramatically different</li> <li>because these tumors are so many years old.</li> <li>It's a short interval life span of that cancer,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Page 90</li> <li>nodule in the lung; true?</li> <li>A. Well, it's my understanding that only</li> <li>one chest CT scan was done during July and</li> <li>August, and that the original report described a</li> <li>single mass, but that other observers felt that</li> <li>there were separate pulmonary nodules when they</li> <li>reviewed that scan.</li> <li>Q. If, in fact, we had a singular</li> <li>nodule, let's say 4.5 centimeters, end of July,</li> <li>are you able to tell me how large that nodule</li> <li>was in the lung in June?</li> <li>A. I would say essentially the same size</li> <li>to a little bit smaller, because these grow over</li> <li>so many years.</li> <li>Q. And are you able to tell me to a</li> <li>probability what size that nodule would have</li> <li>been if we start from the 4.5 centimeter the end</li> <li>of July, in June how large that nodule would</li> <li>have been?</li> <li>A. I think it would have been</li> <li>essentially the same.</li> <li>Q. And I think you said essentially the</li> <li>same but maybe slightly smaller?</li> <li>A. Perhaps, but it's such a short</li> <li>interval that when we think of intervals in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Page 92</li> <li>so you can press me for measurements and I can't</li> <li>give you the precise measurements except to say</li> <li>that looking at what we know about how old and</li> <li>how slowly cancers grow, how old cancers are and</li> <li>how slowly they grow, this is a very short</li> <li>interval of time.</li> <li>Q. Was there anything about Mr. Gill's</li> <li>nonsmall cell lung cancer that would cause you</li> <li>to say that with early diagnosis at what one</li> <li>would consider to be a Stage 1, that his</li> <li>prognosis would have been worse than the</li> <li>statistical percentages that we look at in the</li> <li>AJCC staging manual or in the literature for a</li> <li>Stage 1 nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. Well, I want to be clear. I don't</li> <li>really look. I don't even know what they are in</li> <li>terms of statistics in that manual. All I use</li> <li>that manual for is the matrix of characterizing</li> <li>particular stages.</li> <li>But I think I can answer your</li> <li>question by saying that if it had been</li> <li>theoretically possible to have diagnosed this</li> <li>patient's cancer in Stage 1, then I would stick</li> <li>by the predicted statistical outcomes that we</li> <li>have talked about this morning.</li> </ul>

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

5

## August 22, 2003

Page 93	Page 95
<ul> <li>Q. So in that situation, if his nonsmall</li> <li>cell cancer had been diagnosed in Stage 1, it's</li> <li>likely he would have survived?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. When Mr. Gill was diagnosed, what</li> <li>stage would you say he was? Stage 4 at the</li> <li>time?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And Stage 4 involves a distant</li> <li>metastasis?</li> <li>A. A distant metastasis and it can also</li> <li>involve nodules in the lung outside of the lobe</li> <li>in which the primary tumor is located.</li> <li>Q. The distant metastasis in this case,</li> <li>was this the femur?</li> <li>A. The femur, and also, at least per</li> <li>Dr. Olenki, since I haven't seen the CT, at</li> <li>least per Dr. Olenki's report and Dr. Dowlati's</li> <li>report, that I'm sorry, per Dr. Olenki's</li> <li>report there were separate nodules in the lung,</li> <li>so that he would render him for a nodule outside</li> <li>of the left upper lobe Stage 4 and the</li> <li>metastasis in the left femur, the area radiated</li> <li>would also have rendered him Stage 4.</li> <li>Q. There is no description as to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>growing for quite a long time from the first</li> <li>single metastatic cell that occurred.</li> <li>Q. But again, we don't have the benefit</li> <li>in the medical records of any description of the</li> <li>size of those other growths in the lungs as of</li> <li>August to correlate whether or not it was a half</li> <li>centimeter, a one centimeter?</li> <li>A. Only to know that there is a lower</li> <li>limit of resolution of what a CAT scan can pick</li> <li>up.</li> <li>Q. There was no evidence of any lymph</li> <li>node involvement prior to June of the year of</li> <li>diagnosis, was there, in June of 2000?</li> <li>A. The only other information we have is</li> <li>that in December of 1999, we know that the</li> <li>patient had pain in the chest and arm on the</li> <li>left. So in retrospect, given the fact that he</li> <li>had chest and arm pain in the same location</li> <li>where in June he had tenderness on exam, and in</li> <li>the same location where soon after that the</li> <li>cervical mass was detected, it is certainly in</li> <li>my view more likely than not that these are all</li> <li>part of one whole.</li> <li>Q. Is there any evidence of</li> <li>Dr. Mansnerus palpating any swelling in any of</li> </ul>
Page 94 1 size of the other nodules other than what we 2 might refer to as the primary nodule, which is 3 either 4 or 4.5 centimeters; correct? 4 A. Of course the disease in the neck. 5 Q. I am talking about the lungs, doctor. 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. So we don't know, do we, what size 8 any additional tumors were in the lungs as of 9 July or August when he was diagnosed; true? 10 A. Correct. I might be able to arrive 11 at an opinion if I were to review the chest CT 12 scan, but I haven't seen it. 13 Q. We don't know, do we, to the extent 14 that there were other nodules in the lungs as of 15 July or August, we don't know how long those 16 other nodules existed prior to July or August, 17 separate and apart from the 4.5 centimeter one 18 that we have been referring to; correct? 19 A. Well, we don't, but we have some 20 idea, which is to say that a CAT scan can 21 perhaps identify a nodule half a centimeter in 22 size. It's hard to pick up something much 23 smaller than that on a CAT scan. And so if, in 24 fact, there were other nodules that were half a 25 centimeter in size, even those had to have been	Page 96 1 the lymph nodes back in December when he saw 2 Mr. Gill he saw him twice in December, didn't 3 he? 4 A. Right. I don't think that a lymph 5 node examination was performed, at least as I 6 recall, during those visits. 7 Q. In the early part of December and the 8 end of December, at least no evidence from what 9 you have seen reading the deposition or seeing 10 in the medical records; true? 11 A. Well, we might have to go back and 12 look at the visit in particular, but looking at 13 my notes, I certainly didn't make any notation 14 of a lymph node examination having been 15 performed, but I can't attest to what might be 16 in there if we go back and look. 17 Q. Those records, those notes that you 18 made were made at or near the time that you 19 reviewed Dr. Mansnerus' records; correct? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. And in January, when he returned, the 22 early part of January, there is no evidence that 23 there was any type of examination of the neck or 24 the abdomen or the armpits, or to look for any 25 type of lymph node involvement; true?

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 97	Page 99
1 MR. WARNER: Objection.	1 Q. Do you know in this case from
2 A. Again, looking at my notes, I don't	2 anything that you have reviewed how the
3 see that I made a notation of that. You know,	3 remaining six months of his life, how
4 if it's a critical point to be thorough, we	4 uncomfortable or painful it was to him?
5 should probably go back and look at the record.	5 A. I don't have specific information in
6 Q. If it's not there, then we will	6 that regard.
7 accept that as a fact, but certainly from the	7 Q. Does the response, even though you
8 standpoint, and assuming that he did not examine	8 look at the same type of patient in their 40s,
9 the abdomen or the armpits or the neck area for	9 with the kind of medical history that he had,
10 any lymph node involvement, what you are saying	10 does each patient respond differently when they
11 is that more likely than not, given what you see 12 in July, that there would have been some nodal	11 are diagnosed with an advanced stage of lung
	12 cancer to chemotherapy and radiation?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	13 A. I'm not sure what you mean by that.
14 A. I'm saying that more likely than not 15 there would have been nodal involvement.	14 Q. I guess what I'm talking about, the 15 dying process, in terms of how a patient that's
16 Whether it would have been houar involvement.	16 diagnosed with advanced lung cancer, are there
17 on examination at that time, I cannot comment.	17 certain patients that experience an excruciating
18 Q. What was the treatment that Mr. Gill	18 death and a lot of pain and suffering and others
19 was subjected to once the diagnosis was made in	19 that are more comfortable and aren't impacted as
20 August?	20 much by the same course of treatment?
21 A. He received a combination of	21 A. Well, I believe that in this day and
22 chemotherapy and radiation.	22 age, no patient with lung cancer should have to
23 Q. And is there a reason why the chemo	23 be in significant pain if appropriate
24 and the radiation strike that. Was the chemo	24 medications are given to them.
25 and radiation successful to any extent?	25 Q. But you don't know what medications
D 00	
Page 98	Page 100
1 A. Well, I don't have information as to	1 he received during the course, balance of his
1 A. Well, I don't have information as to 2 whether he had a transient response, but we do	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> </ol>
1 A. Well, I don't have information as to 2 whether he had a transient response, but we do 3 know that soon thereafter disease progressed and	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
1 A. Well, I don't have information as to 2 whether he had a transient response, but we do 3 know that soon thereafter disease progressed and 4 he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> </ol>
1 A. Well, I don't have information as to 2 whether he had a transient response, but we do 3 know that soon thereafter disease progressed and 4 he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it 5 altered his outcome.	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> <li>But certainly, this treatment can cause fatigue</li> <li>and a decrease in what we call performance</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> <li>recovery period after a thoracotomy for cancer</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> <li>But certainly, this treatment can cause fatigue</li> <li>and a decrease in what we call performance</li> <li>status. It certainly has side effects, but I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> <li>recovery period after a thoracotomy for cancer</li> <li>g. Correct.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> <li>But certainly, this treatment can cause fatigue</li> <li>and a decrease in what we call performance</li> <li>status. It certainly has side effects, but I</li> <li>would hope that he certainly didn't experience</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> <li>recovery period after a thoracotomy for cancer</li> <li>removal?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. The answer is in general several</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Well, I don't have information as to</li> <li>whether he had a transient response, but we do</li> <li>know that soon thereafter disease progressed and</li> <li>he died, so it doesn't appear that ultimately it</li> <li>altered his outcome.</li> <li>Q. Can we agree that from what you have</li> <li>reviewed in this case that it's likely that when</li> <li>Mr. Gill was diagnosed first that he had</li> <li>advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer?</li> <li>A. I do believe that when he was</li> <li>diagnosed he had advanced nonsmall cell lung</li> <li>cancer.</li> <li>Q. And can we further agree based upon</li> <li>what you reviewed, and your knowledge, training</li> <li>and experience, that most likely that the</li> <li>treatment, the chemo and the radiation that he</li> <li>had from that point up to the time of his death</li> <li>that it was unpleasant and was likely painful to</li> <li>the patient?</li> <li>A. Well, I doubt that it was painful.</li> <li>But certainly, this treatment can cause fatigue</li> <li>and a decrease in what we call performance</li> <li>status. It certainly has side effects, but I</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>he received during the course, balance of his</li> <li>life, do you?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And to that extent, you don't know</li> <li>about his pain and suffering that he experienced</li> <li>between August and when he died the following</li> <li>year; correct?</li> <li>A. I don't have any information in that</li> <li>regard.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. If Mr. Gill had been</li> <li>diagnosed at Stage 1 and had surgical resection,</li> <li>even though you are not a surgeon, what is the</li> <li>usual recuperative period and adjunctive therapy</li> <li>that one receives in a Stage 1 nonsmall cell</li> <li>cancer?</li> <li>A. Those are two separate questions. I</li> <li>think we can leave out adjunctive therapy since</li> <li>we were not using adjuvant therapy in 1999.</li> <li>Q. Okay, fine.</li> <li>A. So your question is how long is the</li> <li>recovery period after a thoracotomy for cancer</li> <li>g. Correct.</li> </ol>

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

#### August 22, 2003

Page 101	Page 103
<ul> <li>Q. Are people then able to resume their</li> <li>normal activity after a thoracotomy?</li> <li>A. In general, yes. They may have some</li> <li>increased shortness of breath. Occasionally</li> <li>people have prolonged chest wall discomfort from</li> <li>the surgical wound, but generally a few months.</li> <li>Q. Putting aside the lung, the issue of</li> <li>the lung nodules, in terms of distant</li> <li>metastasis, would you consider the neck,</li> <li>swelling in the neck as a distant metastasis?</li> <li>A. Well, swelling in the neck is what we</li> <li>call an N3 node. So it places a patient in a</li> <li>very bad prognostic category; that is to say,</li> <li>B. So in the staging manual, it is not an M1,</li> <li>but an N3, so it's a matter of semantics, but it</li> <li>has a profound impact on giving the patient a</li> <li>terrible prognosis.</li> <li>Q. When you add the femur, the evidence</li> <li>of metastasis to the femur, how does that impact</li> <li>the prognosis? Does it make it worse?</li> <li>A. Well, a patient with a 3B lung cancer</li> <li>has a well over 80 percent likelihood of dying,</li> <li>and so you add in a distant metastasis in bone</li> <li>and it doesn't make a heck of a lot of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>a lot of my patients compete in marathons, but I</li> <li>just saw a woman who was vital appearing,</li> <li>healthy feeling who I diagnosed with metastatic,</li> <li>including bone, nonsmall cell lung cancer, so</li> <li>it's a very common occurrence that people come</li> <li>to us feeling fine and are found to have Stage 4</li> <li>disease.</li> <li>Q. Why is the prognosis better? You</li> <li>just referred to small cell; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why is the prognosis better from a</li> <li>histopathological standpoint in nonsmall cell</li> <li>than it is with the patient you just referred to</li> <li>that had small cell?</li> <li>A. I don't follow the question.</li> <li>Q. What is it about the growth or the</li> <li>nature of the cell as it relates to the cancer</li> <li>in small cell versus nonsmall cell?</li> <li>A. So your question is why does a</li> <li>patient with metastatic small cell cancer have a</li> <li>worse prognosis than a patient with a nonsmall</li> <li>cell lung cancer?</li> </ul>
24 and it doesn't make a heck of a lot of	
25 difference, unfortunately; that the prognosis	25 Q. That is well stated.
<ul> <li>Page 102</li> <li>for these patients is that there is an</li> <li>overwhelming likelihood that they will die.</li> <li>Q. Was there any evidence that he had</li> <li>distant metastasis to the femur prior to July?</li> <li>A. I believe the first evidence of that</li> <li>was the head scan, so I don't think that that</li> <li>area I'm sorry, it was the bone scan done in</li> <li>August, and I don't believe we have any</li> <li>information either way before that time.</li> <li>Q. You wouldn't expect someone that had</li> <li>distant metastasis to the femur to be able to</li> <li>run in a marathon, would you?</li> <li>A. Oh, sure. People can have</li> <li>asymptomatic metastases for long periods of</li> <li>time.</li> <li>Q. So his ability to run or participate</li> <li>in a marathon in April wouldn't factor into your</li> <li>opinion as to whether or not he did or did not</li> <li>have distant metastasis at that time?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. How many patients have you had that</li> <li>have had advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer,</li> <li>Stage 3, Stage 4, and have competed in a</li> <li>marathon?</li> </ul>	Page 104           A. They don't. There is no difference           in prognosis. They both will die from their           conditions.           Q. Can we agree, though           THE WITNESS: I have to go.           MR. MISHKIND: It's 9:30. What I           would ask you to do is to let Mr. Warner know           what your availability is and then we will           reschedule the deposition. What I would like to           do is           MR. WARNER: Give the exhibits to the           court reporter and mail them back to the doctor.           MR. MISHKIND: That's fine.           MR.           MR. MISHKIND: That's fine.           MR.           Image 100 at 9:30 a.m.)           Image 101 at 9:30 a.m.)

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

Page 105	
1 CERTIFICATE 2	
3 State of Ohio, 4 SS:	
5 County of Cuyahoga. 6	
<ul> <li>7</li> <li>8 I, Vivian L. Gordon, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and</li> <li>9 qualified, do hereby certify that the within named NATHAN LEVITAN, M.D. was by me first duly</li> <li>10 sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause</li> <li>11 aforesaid; that the testimony as above set forth was by me reduced to stenotypy, afterwards</li> <li>12 transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony.</li> <li>13 <ul> <li>13</li> <li>1 do further certify that this deposition</li> <li>14 was taken at the time and place specified and was adjourned; that 1 am not a relative or</li> <li>15 attorney for either party or otherwise</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Interested in the event of this action. I am I of not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in I 7 Givil Rule 28(D).	
<ol> <li>IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland,</li> <li>Ohio, on this 2nd day of September, 2003.</li> </ol>	
21 22 Vivian L. Gordon, Notary Public 23 Within and for the State of Ohio	
24 My commission expires June 8, 2004. 25	

27 (Page 105)

## August 22, 2003

Page 1

[	ſ		F	l l
A	add 101:18,23	agree 37:14 39:8	99:2	64:5,15,23 65:7
abdomen 96:24	addition 22:10	51:12 53:24 64:11	AOL 16:22,25	70:17,20
97:9	additional 5:11,23	66:20 84:11 85:11	17:11	asking 8:19 15:23
ability 102:16	11:13 20:8 22:12	85:12 87:16 98:6	apart 94:17	21:18 30:18,20
able 11:3 16:3	28:24 94:8	98:13 104:4	apologize 17:21	34:14 35:5 55:18
18:11 20:25 35:10	additionally 61:15	agreement 1:21	50:1 65:24	56:17 64:9,10
44:16 51:21,22	address 28:6 74:5	ahead 32:13 34:3	apparently 4:14	aspect 60:24,25
69:14,18 90:10,15	adjourned 104:15	63:20 66:1,12	appear 98:4	assisting 54:9
94:10 101:1	105:14	68:1,22 69:3	APPEARANCES	assume 13:24 15:5
102:11	adjunctive 100:13	AJCC 74:17 92:13	2:1	assuming 97:8
about 4:1 19:2,18	100:17	Akron 38:5	appeared 51:14	assumption 29:13
21:5,13,19 32:24	adjuvant 88:11	al 1:9	74:2 78:19	asymptomatic
34:8 37:10 43:11	100:18	alleged 54:1	appearing 103:2	102:14
50:25 51:23 52:1	administrative	allow 67:25	applicable 5:17	attempt 20:22
52:3,4 53:21 60:2	71:19	along 78:13	57:23 64:4,13	29:20
60:22 65:6 66:10	admissible 25:12	already 27:8 35:9	65:5	attempting 18:19
70:20 75:24 79:1	admitted 23:14	36:1 60:2 77:23	applied 71:23	attest 35:2 96:15
79:18 80:11,18	56:6	altered 98:5	appreciably 91:7	attorney 4:19 12:15
81:7,14 82:11	advanced 98:9,11	alternative 37:6	appreciate 9:25	15:18 42:8 43:14
84:22 85:1 86:8	99:11,16 102:22	although 16:24	26:14	43:20 45:25 46:5
91:9,12 92:3,7,25	adverse 55:20	always 28:6 32:16	appropriate 34:9	47:21 50:9 54:19
94:5 99:14 100:5	adversely 85:10	85:15	35:4 37:21 87:19	55:20 82:10 83:7
103:16	advises 29:22	America 77:17	99:23	105:15
above 1:24 25:21	affect 53:17 54:2	among 32:23	appropriateness	attorneys 14:4,11
105:11	56:15,21	amount 81:25	80:9	31:18,22 45:20,23
<b>absolute</b> 67:18	affected 85:10	and/or 53:25	April 91:12 102:17	47:6 53:15 54:10
Absolutely 4:10	affiliated 105:16	another 10:18	area 52:19 60:19	57:19 83:1,21
absurd 27:13,14	affiliations 5:25	21:11 22:5 26:21	61:20,21,22 67:25	Aughenbaugh
accept 97:7	affixed 105:18	44:6 61:21 64:22	71:6 93:23 97:9	47:23 49:18
accepted 61:5	aforesaid 105:11	70:11 89:3,15	102:7	August 1:14 47:16
77:23 78:12	afraid 27:20	answer 8:18,21	areas 54:4	47:20 49:17 88:14
accordance 63:8	after 15:6,11 16:8	30:24,25,25 33:10	arisen 83:12	88:15 89:3,21
account 16:25 17:1	47:17 64:23 68:8	34:21 35:21 36:22	arm 95:16,18	90:4 94:9,15,16
accountant 24:20	82:21 87:1 95:20	37:4 44:7,17	armpits 96:24 97:9	95:6 97:20 100:6
24:25 25:6,15,18	100:21 101:2	56:18 61:1,11	around 32:14 51:16	102:8
26:17 27:15,16	afterwards 105:11	63:23 65:3,8,11	65:18,18	authoritative 75:20
28:16 82:3,5	again 8:15 15:14	65:19 68:7 80:17	arrive 69:15,18	76:4
accounting 25:4	29:11 30:8,15,25	81:12 84:18 92:20	94:10	availability 104:8
27:22,25	32:22 38:23 39:16	100:24 102:25	arrived 58:25 69:10	available 10:19
accurate 4:24 18:2	40:8 41:8,21 42:3	answered 26:13	69:11	83:4
18:5 54:23	42:21,23 44:13	27:9 30:23 37:1	arriving 74:21	average 36:5
accurately 56:18	47:1 51:4,17 58:1	59:22,24 64:6,16	article 75:18	avoid 61:21
acknowledge 76:6	59:17 61:12 65:8	64:24 65:12	articles 5:6,23 7:4	aware 76:8 85:8
across 4:20 86:22	69:24 75:11 95:3	answering 16:1	7:12 8:1 9:14	away 33:20 49:17
acting 67:13,17	97:2	64:18	75:2,7	Azem 42:4
action 105:15	against 24:24 52:15	answers 37:14,16	aside 53:20 74:9	a.m 1:23 104:15
actions 56:13	52:21	Antonino 38:8 39:9	101:7	
active 44:11 46:8	age 99:22	anybody 7:11 17:14	asked 15:19 26:13	<u> </u>
activity 101:2	aggregate 75:1,12	18:19	30:19 32:1 33:1	back 18:18 32:14
actually 5:3 18:16	87:23	anyone 48:17	33:12 36:25 52:3	44:4 49:24,24
23:11 41:17 44:18	ago 5:15 21:8 23:16	anything 9:14	52:4 54:15 55:3	59:18 65:16 69:23
51:1,13 58:20	40:20 45:17 47:12	13:11 21:16 23:13	55:12 56:4 59:7	69:25 91:14,19
77:10	48:3 72:21,22	64:20 77:3 79:1	59:13,15,24 60:10	96:1,11,16 97:5
	78:10,11	82:11 84:22 92:7	60:14,17 61:4,16	
L		L	1	L

Page 2

97:13 104:12	bell 38:8,11 42:5	С	case 1:7 6:18 11:10	cause 54:6,22 55:4
background 4:12	48:23 78:23	calendar 81:17	13:12,18 15:2	55:11,25 56:1,5,8
34:8	belong 17:25	<b>California</b> 83:16,17	16:6,15,19 17:4	58:15 61:16 63:17
backwards 50:8	below 60:7 61:5	83:22	19:2,6,12,20 21:6	69:12 75:23 79:7
bad 82:17 101:13	benefit 95:3	call 36:6,7,10 51:17	22:4,5 23:22 24:4	92:8 98:21 105:10
balance 100:1	Berne 50:20	98:22 101:12	24:16 28:18 29:17	cell 6:21 7:1,7,8,14
bantering 68:5	besides 14:4 59:1	called 1:17 3:8	29:19 30:2 36:24	7:23,24 8:2,4,5,11
Barring 20:21	71:2 74:17 83:9	49:13 50:9 57:12	37:13,13,13,25	8:13,19,20 9:2,2,4
based 60:18 61:2	best 6:9 9:24 36:16	57:18	38:5,6,15,18,22	9:5,6,8,10,11,18
63:12 64:10,24	36:21 37:7 39:22	came 13:23	39:9 40:1,3,7,17	41:6,25 42:19
65:1 68:17 75:12	85:15	Campbell 47:25	40:23 41:5,7,9,17	43:10,18,23 44:1
88:9 98:13	better 7:10 8:9,19	cancelled 51:1,6	41:20 42:1,6,20	46:13 48:5 53:2
basically 5:11 50:7	62:14 84:13,15,23	cancer 5:10 6:21	42:20,22 43:4,9	73:8 74:6 75:5,23
75:15	86:4 103:8,11	7:2,5,14,23,24,25	43:10,12,19 44:1	80:14 84:10,12,21
basis 33:16 66:25	between 77:8 100:6	7:25 8:3,5,5,11,13	44:19,22 46:7,11	84:25 85:14 87:8
67:20 68:18,19	<b>bias</b> 34:8	8:25 9:6,8,18	46:16 47:24 48:6	87:17 88:6 92:8
79:4,8	bill 23:24 24:6,18	41:6,17 42:19	48:14 49:12 50:9	92:14 93:2 95:2
Beach 46:2	24:19 28:18 29:6	43:10,18,23 44:1	50:17,25 51:25	98:9,11 100:14
Beachwood 28:2	29:9,25	44:21 46:9,11,12	52:1,22,25 53:16	102:22 103:4,9,12
<b>bear</b> 49:20	billed 28:23	48:4,6 51:9,11	54:11,19 55:2,5,5	103:14,17,18,18
Becker 2:3 48:18	billing 24:11 29:18	53:3,16 54:1	55:9 58:14 59:1,4	103:19,20,22,24
48:21	29:18	57:16,20 58:3,3	59:6,15 60:8,10	censure 71:18
become 24:4,5	bills 24:13,15,24	60:8 73:8 74:6,14	62:4,8 63:12,14	centimeter 88:21
77:22	28:17,18 29:16,25	75:6,23 80:14,19	64:1,11,25 65:5	88:23,24 89:14
becoming 77:5 78:1	<b>bit</b> 4:12 90:13 91:15	84:10,12,21,25	66:2,8,14,24	90:17 94:17,21,25
78:6	blink 91:2	85:15,22 86:9,21	69:11,21 73:1	95:7,7
before 1:19 3:21	block 26:20	86:23,24 87:1,2,3	75:10 76:12 78:20	centimeters 89:10
4:2 14:25 16:13	<b>board</b> 56:24 60:3	87:6,6,8,18,22,24	79:10,21 82:11,12	90:9 94:3
28:9 30:2 31:2	bone 101:23 102:7	88:6 91:1,25 92:8	82:16,21,22 83:15	certain 31:11 37:19
44:11 49:16,17	103:4	92:14,23 93:2	83:18 84:25 86:24	58:11 85:19 86:6
50:23 62:5 76:9	Boston 73:3	98:9,12 99:12,16	93:14 98:7 99:1	87:9 99:17
77:9 78:24 80:21	<b>both</b> 7:1 11:21	99:22 100:15,21	cases 30:19 31:1,24	certainly 13:1
91:9 102:9	23:17 29:17 54:7	101:21 102:22	32:2,8,14,15,20	23:24 48:20 56:12
beginning 36:1	54:22 55:5 83:18	103:4,17,22,24	33:8,17 34:13	57:6 58:11 59:3
62:5 77:3 88:10	104:2	cancers 9:11 57:20	35:6,13,18 36:5	59:11,14 61:2
begun 75:24	Botham 76:13,13	58:7 85:13,19	39:2,7,12,14,21	70:16 76:1,2,19
behalf 1:17 2:2,10	76:14,21	92:4,4	40:8 41:15 44:3	80:8,13 88:4
31:18 35:14 40:6	bothering 65:23	candidate 80:15	44:12 45:1,3,5,12	95:21 96:13 97:7
40:18,20,23 41:5	bottom 58:21	carcinoma 41:25	45:16 46:24 50:22	98:21,23,24
41:16,19 42:1	Brandeis 77:15	care 54:5,15,16,22	51:13,19 52:5,6	certainty 18:12,24
53:1 55:13 62:21	breakdown 84:6	54:25 55:4,7,10	52:13 53:1,9 54:6	21:15,17
83:18	breast 57:19	55:13,15,17,19	54:12,24 55:15	CERTIFICATE
behavior 15:16	breath 101:4	56:13,20 57:3,8	57:3,13 58:3,6,10	105:1
being 3:9 58:14	brief 79:23	57:15,21,23 58:8	62:20 70:7 72:19	certified 3:10 56:24
87:21	briefly 62:6	59:8,16 60:5,8	78:25 85:18 86:9	60:3
<b>believe</b> 3:23 6:17	bring 12:2,6,9,13	61:6,14,18,23,25	86:21	certify 105:9,13
21:7 27:23 30:3	13:7,8,13 66:8	62:12 63:1,5,8,14	Castle 40:24	cervical 95:21
37:21 40:19 45:12	broad 6:19	63:22 64:3,4,14	CAT 88:17,19	change 33:13 72:12
45:22 46:1,17	broadly 8:8	65:5 66:3,14,16	89:25 94:20,23	changed 6:3 33:20
52:3,11 62:10	brothers 22:23	career 78:8	95:9	changes 5:12,24
70:15 74:18 76:17	Building 2:13	carefully 59:19	categories 54:8	chapter 76:4
76:18 84:5 85:6	<b>busy</b> 45:4	carrier 72:1,4	58:7	characteristics
89:12 98:10 99:21		carriers 72:12	category 42:16	85:22
102:5,8			101:13	

Page 3

				l
characterizing	communication	Conversations	course 7:21 81:23	Dayton 40:19 41:2
92:18	14:25 16:18 17:3	47:23	94:4 99:20 100:1	41:3,4 51:25
charge 80:24 81:7	18:13 19:2,5	converse 64:10	court 1:1 67:24	52:25
charged 80:23	21:13	Coon 50:10,25 51:2	104:12 105:16	deal 86:11
check 29:15,20	comorbidities	copies 28:16 29:15	courtroom 40:14	death 56:8 98:17
checked 11:18	87:14	29:25	41:16,18	99:18
chemo 97:23,24	companies 24:23	copy 10:14,18	cover 12:18	deceased 1:5
98:16	82:25	correct 3:19,20 4:2	Cowan 40:4	December 39:25
chemotherapy	comparative 8:10	6:21,22 10:6,12	credibly 69:5	67:1,22 95:15
88:11 97:22 99:12	compared 103:20	10:20,21,23,24	critical 97:4	96:1,2,7,8 97:13
chest 66:22 88:23	compete 103:1	12:2 14:11 15:13	crossed 70:4	decided 33:6,15
90:3 94:11 95:16	competed 102:23	18:2,3,6 19:16	cross-examined	decimal 89:16
95:18 101:5	complete 4:8 9:12	21:2 23:25 24:4,9	48:21	decrease 98:22
choice 88:5	complex 80:17	24:10,12 26:3,6	CT 88:14,22,23	deduce 20:6
choose 9:1 chose 13:13	complicated 21:20	28:22 29:10 31:19	89:1,5 90:3 93:17	deem 76:5
<b>chosen</b> 65:22	complications 84:8 complied 63:14	31:20,24,25 36:20	94:11	defendant 2:10
circuit 9:13	computer 10:17	38:5 39:20 40:4 40:12 41:14 45:18	curable 9:9 cured 87:3,7,25	42:1 83:18
circumstances 86:6	11:1,16 19:6,11	40:12 41:14 45:18	curea 87:3,7,25 curiosity 77:3	Defendants 1:10
<b>Civil</b> 3:9 105:17	conceivable 56:19	50:14,15,17,18,23	curious 26:15,19	defended 62:22
clarify 17:16 56:10	concept 91:22	50:24,24 51:15	current 4:13 5:2	defending 14:10 38:15 50:17
60:24	concerning 67:1	52:2,17,23 57:1	9:22 31:23 52:4	defense 32:24 39:8
clear 22:7 31:1 36:3	88:18	57:11 58:4,19,23	currently 31:22	43:13 51:20 53:22
39:1 56:10 64:17	conclusion 79:16	58:24 59:9,10,13	44:3,12 45:17,19	54:4,5,9,11,12,19
92:15	conditions 85:9	60:11,12 64:2	45:24 46:25 71:3	55:20 56:4 57:19
<b>Cleveland</b> 1:23 2:7	104:3	66:23 68:11,15,16	Cuyahoga 1:2	defer 61:24,25 63:3
2:14 52:10,14,15	confines 16:4	69:12,13,17,21,22	10:10 41:25 105:5	define 30:14
73:5 76:23 105:18	connection 3:19	70:10,14 73:16	CV 4:13,15,22 5:2	defined 105:16
Clinic 76:18	29:17	74:19 77:14,18,20	5:17,20 6:7,10,16	definitely 44:20
clinical 5:11	consider 75:8 88:10	79:14 82:6,7,19	7:22 8:1 9:13,16	definition 54:18
college 77:11	92:10 101:9	86:17,18 87:10,11	9:22 10:19	degree 69:16,19
colorectal 7:24	considering 77:5,25	88:8 89:2,11,18	C-O-O-N 50:11	delay 53:16 54:1
combination 97:21	78:5	89:19,21,22 93:4		56:7
come 4:23 37:7	consistency 86:24	93:8 94:3,6,10,18	D	delete 19:9
103:5	constitute 20:18	96:19,20 100:7,23	<b>D</b> 2:4,12	deleted 5:20
comes 36:11,13	constraint 3:25	105:12	<b>Dade</b> 46:3	denied 67:4,11
comfortable 43:24	constraints 16:4	correctly 51:10	Dan 3:18 22:24	71:24
43:25 99:19	consulted 16:14	correlate 95:6	23:1	Dennis 40:4
coming 44:18 46:18	consuming 21:22	correspondence	Daniel 1:5 16:6	depend 63:9
commencing 1:23	contact 76:25	18:20 28:5	19:12	Depending 80:16
comment 61:14	contacted 83:22	counsel 1:17,22	<b>Dan's</b> 23:4,8	depends 8:7 55:2
97:17	contain 58:20	12:11 27:3 58:13	darn 34:9	deposed 3:10
comments 35:3	contains 58:21	66:10 67:5	data 69:7 87:20	deposition 1:13,16
66:10,12	content 15:25	count 44:9	date 1:24 8:1 11:7	3:2 4:8 10:19
commission 105:24	continue 17:19	counties 39:4	15:9 35:7 38:7	13:17 22:13,14,15
commissioned	65:17 68:3	County 1:2 10:11	40:21	29:1 33:22 34:7
105:8	continuing 58:22	41:1,25 46:2,3	dated 4:17 11:6	35:2,13,19 42:10
committed 28:20	contract 105:16	48:14,15 51:25	20:3,7	42:13 43:4,5,15
common 1:1 86:22	controversial 88:12	105:5	dates 13:5 23:18	46:15,19 47:9,11
103:5	convenient 4:9	couple 12:22 18:15	38:13 49:11	47:18 48:2,7,10
communicate 17:14 communicated	convention 74:4,11 74:14	18:23,25 20:8	day 1:24 71:13	49:3,10,12,19
21:15		38:4 47:11 48:3	99:21 105:19	51:1,2,13 53:6,14
41.19	conversation 16:8 47:16,20	71:22	days 47:17	53:25 54:13 65:24
	47.10,20			68:4 70:19 80:24

Page 4

<b>I</b>	1	I	1	T T
81:1 96:9 104:9	difficult 39:13	74:24 82:5 90:3	94:3 102:9 105:15	examine 97:8
104:15 105:13	Diplomate 1:20	102:7	eliminated 5:20	example 5:15 83:6
depositions 17:6	directed 61:20	doubt 21:24 98:20	emergency 72:25	except 9:7 35:8,18
23:11,19 29:5,7	disagree 69:5,8	Dowlati's 93:18	employment 6:3	92:2
32:2,4 33:2,6	79:7	down 18:17 36:4,11	end 24:20,21 35:18	excruciating 99:17
34:20 36:15 42:7	disagreed 89:16	36:13 39:17 83:7	82:16 89:9 90:9	Excuse 44:17
53:12,21 81:19	disagreeing 56:12	Dr 3:16 10:8 17:23	90:17 96:8	Executor 1:4
derived 84:3	discomfort 101:5	22:13,14 23:11,12	ended 14:7	exhibit 5:14 6:6
described 84:8 90:4	discovery 25:12	28:25,25 40:4,7	enough 76:10	10:15 19:15,17,19
describes 89:12	48:7	59:9 61:5 62:1,3	enter 77:21	19:23 20:5 58:17
description 93:25	discuss 15:2	62:9,10,11,18,25	entered 20:10	
95:4	discussing 22:4	63:4,15 64:3,13	entire 77:19	85:4,5,6 exhibits 3:3 104:11
design 14:23	discussion 5:22	65:4 70:1,3,9,21	ł	
designated 28:15	15:8,10,11,19		entities 83:4	exist 53:4 84:24
desk 12:5		70:22 76:13,13,14	entitled 25:14	existed 94:16
detail 19:18 49:14	21:5,25,25 68:8	76:21 78:15,17,20	entries 20:8	expand 52:18
	discussions 15:17	79:17,24 89:11,20	entry 20:5	expect 102:10
detailed 35:25	80:22	93:17,18,18,19	error 17:22 20:24	experience 60:19
details 27:24 40:1	disease 87:5 94:4	95:25 96:19	esophageal 7:25	61:3 64:25 75:1
40:25 41:8 42:6	98:3 103:7	dramatically 91:16	<b>ESQ</b> 2:4,12	75:13 82:18 86:10
42:21 43:2,21	dispose 82:21,22	91:23	essentially 90:12,21	98:15,24 99:17
44:2 46:14 78:25	dispute 62:24 66:25	dropped 73:2	90:22 91:1	experienced 100:5
80:16	67:20 68:19 70:24	druthers 8:22,24	estate 1:4 3:18 16:6	expert 14:10 30:1
detected 95:21	distant 93:9,11,14	due 33:11 67:5	40:3,23	31:17,22 32:3
determine 8:10	101:8,10,23 102:4	duly 3:9 105:8,9	estimate 35:10 37:8	36:18,23 37:12,15
76:3	102:11,19	during 90:3 96:6	37:20 51:17 89:13	39:8 41:12 44:5
Devita's 75:19	distribute 73:25	100:1	et 1:9	45:21 50:16 51:24
diagnose 84:11	ditto 91:10	dying 99:15 101:22	even 17:5 18:4,18	52:9 56:4 57:13
85:15	divorced 10:7		31:14 38:3,23	58:5 62:4,6,20
diagnosed 9:3	divulge 25:8	<u> </u>	39:1 40:10 72:2	66:18 67:6,11
57:10 80:13 84:20	doctor 3:17 5:16	<b>each</b> 99:10	72:12 91:7,13	78:19,21 80:3,6
85:20,24 86:3	12:19 15:20 16:21	earlier 84:11	92:16 94:25 99:7	82:25 84:3
87:10,13 88:14	18:1 25:14 26:24	early 9:3,4 72:24	100:12	expertise 80:8
92:22 93:2,5 94:9	27:8 30:25 34:3	85:15 92:9 96:7	event 18:9 71:19	experts 62:7 76:12
98:8,11 99:11,16	34:12 36:18 37:9	96:22	105:15	83:1
100:11 103:3	38:15 39:13 41:15	easier 52:8	ever 17:12,14 30:1	expires 105:24
diagnosing 57:16	42:17 43:7 48:14	East 71:9	55:8 56:6,18 70:7	expressed 74:22
diagnosis 6:25,25	50:17 51:12 57:22	easy 68:23,24	71:23 72:14 78:19	extended 65:21
53:16 54:1 56:7	57:22 58:13,17	Ed 46:21	82:24 83:3	extensive 8:17
61:7 63:7 74:5	60:2 63:20 64:9	education 77:6	every 60:23 75:2	extensively 71:7
87:17 92:9 95:13	64:20 65:8 66:1	educational 73:19	everyone 4:9	extent 4:6 94:13
97:19	66:12,17 68:6	effect 58:12	everything 20:22	97:25 100:4
diagnostic 57:9,24	69:9 82:8 85:4	effects 98:23	evidence 25:13	eye 91:2
Dick 49:17	88:15 94:5 104:12	efficient 5:4	86:25 95:11,24	<b>E-I</b> 46:23
die 87:10 102:2	doctors 14:10 35:15	eight 32:19 36:5	96:8,22 101:18	e-mail 16:17,22,23
104:2	35:15 39:3 53:23	44:25	102:3,5	16:24,25 17:12
died 98:4 100:6	62:22 76:12	Eisen 42:8,9,12	evolution 7:15	18:9,13,17,20
difference 85:18	document 82:13	43:14,15	exact 34:4	19:3,5,6 21:4,13
101:25 104:1	documents 13:20	either 6:25 9:2	exactly 25:19 35:19	21:21 22:1
different 4:24 8:5	24:19 62:15	13:13 19:4 21:12	38:2 45:13 81:5	e-mails 18:15,23,25
37:9 78:25 85:23	doing 15:20 34:4,6	21:23 22:8 23:16	81:13	19:8,9,10
85:25 86:2,23	36:21 41:11 46:19	49:8 51:23 53:24	exam 95:19	
89:5 91:23	49:12 65:25 84:1	54:4,21 64:8,19	examination 1:18	F
differently 99:10	done 15:21 36:5	66:15 78:20 79:11	3:8,12 96:5,14,23	fact 7:15 14:9 31:21
	40:8 42:16 50:22		97:17	
<u>L</u>				

Page 5

<b>I</b>	T		1	
42:10 52:12,18	47:25 50:14	86:15 87:3,12,16	68:1,22 69:1,2	95:6
57:12,18 60:3	105:16	90:17 94:17 95:1	78:3,4,14 91:13	Hampshire 73:1
64:1 66:20 90:8	first 3:9 42:25	96:8 97:7 98:6,17	96:11,16 97:5	hand 27:4,6 69:25
94:24 95:17 97:7	46:20 50:7 60:13	99:1 101:5 103:11	104:5	105:18
factor 102:17	88:17 95:1 98:8	104:2	goal 66:9	Hanna 47:25
factors 9:17 87:15	102:5 105:9	front 6:17 27:4,6	God 9:1	happened 4:18
facts 33:20	five 6:15 8:12 21:8	89:24	going 4:21 11:21	18:17 71:16,21
fair 4:9 31:11,13	30:10,23 74:3	funds 82:1	13:2 15:23,24	happens 4:17 71:17
53:12 54:14 55:14	87:1,6 88:21	further 98:13	17:19 24:6 27:14	happy 7:21 12:10
65:1 69:14 76:10	five-year 86:13,19	105:13	29:14 33:23 36:6	42:18 49:7 69:25
81:25	87:23		36:13 39:23 49:14	harass 26:24
fairly 51:8	flipped 50:7	G	50:8 63:11 65:11	hard 65:21 94:22
fall 42:15 44:18	Florida 45:25 46:2	garden 9:9	65:18 67:24 68:4	harm 53:17 54:2
familiar 14:14 57:2	46:5 83:7,10	gathering 74:4	75:17,22 87:9	56:8
family 22:16,21	focus 37:10 61:16	gave 9:1	89:17	having 5:18 8:25,25
81:24	61:17,20,22	Geauga 71:8	good 7:6 65:25	15:11 17:2,3
far 7:10 20:25	focused 59:14	general 7:24 15:16	82:16 88:4	18:22 39:13 49:9
29:19 63:22	follow 9:23 12:14	15:16 21:20,22,24	Gordon 1:19 105:8	69:20 96:14
fatigue 98:21	57:9 61:6 62:2	62:25 63:3 75:13	105:22	head 102:6
favorable 54:21	103:15	84:18 85:12 86:3	grabbed 4:15	healthy 103:3
57:22	followed 78:2	100:24 101:3	grand 73:17	heard 46:6
favorite 33:2	following 9:24	generally 22:1	graphic 14:23	heck 101:24
fax 4:20	55:11 100:6	75:21 84:14 101:6	Gray 25:16,17 28:4	her 25:5,16,20,23
feel 62:14 63:4	follows 3:11	generate 24:13	28:4 82:4	28:5,6,6 87:1
feeling 103:3,6	Ford 1:22	getting 24:22 34:7	great 7:19 18:7	hereinafter 3:10
fell 60:7 61:5	foregoing 105:12	Gill 1:4,5 3:19 6:20	53:11	hereunto 105:18
felt 56:14 90:5	form 7:22 19:17	11:2 16:7 19:12	greater 16:2,2	high 32:18
femur 93:15,16,23	37:5	22:24 30:2 75:10	19:18 86:11,14	highlight 7:18
101:18,19 102:4	formal 71:18	84:20 88:13 93:5	greetings 18:4	highly 9:9
102:11	format 15:25	96:2 97:18 98:8	group 72:6,10,11	him 25:4,14 46:6,7
few 25:19 30:5,7,12	formulate 61:10	100:10	grouped 82:2	46:18 63:18 65:19
30:14,18,19,21	formulated 63:21	Gill's 6:18 92:7	grow 90:13 92:4,5	70:5,16 76:15,25
32:15 45:7,8,11	forth 1:24 16:10	give 16:2 28:7 30:8	growing 95:1	93:21,24 96:2
45:17 47:17 91:1	18:18 84:7 105:11	34:18 35:10 36:16	growth 103:16	99:4
101:6	found 50:4 103:6	37:19 40:21 45:9	growths 95:5	histopathological
figure 47:3 81:22	four 5:4 8:17 31:8	83:25 84:9 89:14	guarantee 16:2	103:12
file 4:15 11:16,24	36:8 39:16 58:21	91:21 92:2 104:11	guess 13:3 28:12	history 7:5 23:7
24:19 82:15	59:1 65:12 74:3	given 30:24 35:9,13	32:10,18,21 35:9	84:22 85:2 99:9
filed 81:21	fourth 65:7	36:1,14 51:13	35:25 36:4,6,8,13	hi-liter 7:17
files 73:22	Frankly 18:8	53:25 54:13 56:22	36:19,24 37:8,16	hold 6:5 69:15 80:2
film 67:15 68:11	free 87:5	63:25 95:17 97:11	37:20 43:23 51:17	80:5
69:6,21	frequently 33:2	99:24	59:25 68:7 99:14	home 12:5 29:10
find 9:14 30:13	fresh 51:8	giving 26:25 42:7	guesses 36:2,17	hope 98:24
50:8 75:15 80:23	FRIDAY 1:14	101:16	39:1	hopefully 17:18
fine 100:19 103:6 104:13	from 4:15,19 5:20	glad 10:2 35:2 50:1	guessing 29:12	hoping 4:13
finish 4:4,6 16:3	9:8 10:7 11:25,25	glance 4:22 5:15	31:15 32:19,22,23	hospital 45:4 71:8
·	13:6,22 18:24,25	9:19	33:5,5 38:23	71:24
42:25 68:5 firm 4:19 14:4	21:8 24:22 30:13	Glidden 1:22	40:16 91:18	hospitals 5:5 70:4
	31:18,23 33:20	<b>go</b> 11:1 24:19 27:10		71:1,7,10
25:20,22,24 26:1 26:5,8,10,16,20	37:4 46:6 48:21	28:15 32:13 34:2	H	hour 23:24 68:2
27:18,22,25 31:23	51:23 55:5 69:9	40:9 44:8,14 47:3	habits 21:24	81:1,3,9,15
32:4,9,25 34:13	73:7 75:16 79:20	49:20 51:4 63:19	half 32:24 36:11	hours 24:3,8 28:8
35:7 36:12,15	79:24 81:6,17,18	66:1,12 67:25	68:2 94:21,24	hour-wise 23:22
55.7 50.12,15	84:1,3,12 86:10			

## August 22, 2003

Page 6

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
house 1:22 44:4,8	intent 66:9	jogging 43:13	83:10,11,21 84:24	93:22,23 95:17
housekeeping	intention 78:7,13	John 71:8	85:1 86:9,10	legal 86:15 87:16
11:20	intentionally 33:15	journal 76:4	89:15 92:3,16	lengthy 21:25 22:3
Howard 2:4 3:17	interacted 76:19	<b>Joyce</b> 25:16,17 82:4	94:7,13,15 95:8	42:13 43:5,15
hundreds 75:7	interaction 21:21	Judge 1:7	95:15 97:3 98:3	Lenson 49:10,12
Husari 40:4,7	interest 78:7	July 88:14,19 89:1	99:1,25 100:4	50:19,23
	interested 105:15	89:9,25 90:3,9,18	104:7	let 6:20 17:7 40:9
I	interfere 65:23	94:9,15,16 97:12	knowing 84:6	42:25 47:12 50:24
idea 28:7 35:12	66:7	102:4	knowledge 56:6	52:8 56:10 60:13
37:20 83:8,24	interject 17:7,10	jump 17:19	60:19 63:13 64:11	104:7
94:20	intermittent 76:25	June 41:24 42:23	64:24 75:14 79:17	letter 14:19 15:1
identification 3:4	internal 56:24 60:4	42:24 45:14 49:24	98:14	16:23
identified 20:14	60:22,25 61:25			
22:10	62:13 63:6	88:18 90:11,18	known 76:21	letterhead 14:14,15
identify 11:21		95:12,13,19	knows 42:15	14:21
	Internet 17:5	105:24	Kreiger 46:1	letters 11:12,25
20:25 37:18 94:21 III 1:4	internist 57:7 59:12	<b>just</b> 4:5 5:14 6:2,5	Kreiger's 46:20	12:17,18,20,25
16	60:7 63:2,4 69:6	7:11,16,17 10:18	Krieger 47:6	13:6
imagine 56:12	internists 60:6	11:20 13:19 16:1	Krieger's 52:1	let's 36:6,6,7,7,8,9
immaterial 56:14	interpretation	17:5 21:10 22:3	<b>K-R-E-I-G</b> 46:22	36:11 59:17,17
impact 101:16,19 impacted 99:19	66:25 67:21 68:10	26:19 30:13,18	L	74:3,9 90:9
implications 64:21	68:14,15,20 69:8	32:22 33:11 38:4		Levitan 1:13,16 3:2
imply 64:18	interpretations 66:22	42:23,24 48:2	L 1:19 105:8,22	3:7,12,16 17:23
important 5:12		49:16 58:3 64:23	Lake 71:9	105:9
6:12 91:22	interval 90:25	68:24 71:3 78:7	large 72:11 90:10	life 17:14 91:25
inadvertently 50:6	91:11,25 92:6 intervals 90:25	84:8 89:13 91:18	90:18	99:3 100:2
inappropriate	introduction 53:21	103:2,9,13	largely 5:9 7:4 40:2	like 7:9 14:16 29:3
34:10	inventory 33:16	K	last 5:4 10:9 12:25	33:3 49:10,14
including 85:13	invited 74:10	Kaisi 79:24	20:4,11 26:2 29:3	67:18 68:23 73:17
103:4	involve 93:12	Kansas 83:15,17,23	40:13 45:11 47:9 49:18 56:11,18	80:10 104:9
income 81:18 82:2	involved 52:6,15,21	keep 32:11 33:7	73:10 74:2 81:16	likelihood 16:3 85:22 86:4 87:2
84:1,3	53:2 54:9,19 55:3	43:2 44:8 82:20	late 32:16 36:1	101:22 102:2
Incorporated 72:8	55:9	keeping 50:2	71:14 73:3	1
increase 81:2,10	involvement 54:3	kept 34:24	later 5:2	likely 16:7 87:12,18 87:25 93:3 95:22
increased 81:6	95:12 96:25 97:10	kidney 57:19	latest 88:9	1
101:4	97:13,15	kind 25:8 99:9	Laura 10:5	97:11,14 98:7,15 98:18
indicate 4:5 70:22	involves 93:9	kinds 34:24	law 47:25	limit 95:9
indicated 63:17	involving 5:10	know 3:18 6:11,11	lawsuit 3:19	limited 8:16,20 9:9
information 7:13	52:13	6:14 7:6,10 8:23	lawyer 48:9,12	list 19:19 20:22
11:21 13:19,22	issue 57:2 58:14	12:12 14:3 15:9	lawyers 33:3 48:21	listed 22:12,18
20:19 25:8 28:15	60:6 74:5 101:7	15:22 17:24 18:8	53:10 84:4	23:13
28:19,24 29:21	issues 63:6 75:21	22:20,23 23:1,4,7	lead 25:12	listen 55:6 59:18
35:8,25 37:4,19	78:12 79:6	29:2 30:9,15,24	least 4:12 7:13	62:16 67:19
38:24 41:22 47:2	items 20:14 22:12	32:11 34:9 35:22	14:13 18:23 38:18	literature 74:17,21
75:9 79:15 88:10	<b>I-E</b> 46:23	39:25 41:3 43:19	93:16,18 96:5,8	75:5 92:13
95:14 98:1 99:5	i.e 56:13 57:22	46:9,12 48:24	leave 25:3 66:9	little 49:5 90:13
100:8 102:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	50:20 53:11 56:1	100:17	91:15
initial 28:9 45:18	J	60:13 62:3,11,17	lecture 73:7,18	living 23:5
initially 15:7	J 1:4	70:1,11,16 72:2,3	<b>lectured</b> 73:10	lobe 89:14 93:12,22
injury 56:8	January 39:25	72:13 73:2 74:25	lectures 73:24	located 28:1 93:13
insult 66:4	96:21,22 97:13	76:13,14,15,24	lecturing 81:25	location 38:21
insurance 72:9	Jewish 77:17	78:15,17 79:1	84:7	95:18,20
intend 59:2 76:6	<b>job</b> 66:1	80:10,22 83:6,10	left 25:22 89:14,16	long 10:7 15:21
			, <del>.</del>	16:13 23:16 25:17
L				

Page 7

IT	I		1	I
41:11 46:7 57:25	maintained 82:9	maybe 32:19 38:20	102:11,19	moved 25:23
72:21,22 76:21	majority 51:14	71:22 90:23 91:5	metastatic 95:2	Mt 76:16
94:15 95:1 100:20	make 9:21 17:19	91:7	103:3,22	much 9:5 23:21
102:14	18:1,5 27:2,6	mean 15:2 22:6	metric 86:22	45:2 80:8 94:22
longer 5:17,21 10:4	32:10 34:1 52:8	24:5 33:12 53:6	Midland 2:13	98:25 99:20
look 7:11,20 8:9	53:19 66:12 67:7	68:13 71:13 74:7	might 19:21 32:18	myself 50:24
12:24 13:5,25	67:8 68:2,23,24	74:8 87:4 99:13	35:1 38:19 49:5	<b>M.D</b> 1:8,13,16 3:7
44:14 47:2,12	85:18 87:15 96:13	means 45:14,14	51:1,5 56:14,22	3:12 10:5 105:9
67:10,16 68:21	101:20,24	56:1	89:5 91:15 94:2	M1 101:14
92:12,16 96:12,16	making 33:24 34:10	meant 30:20 65:23	94:10 96:11,15	
96:24 97:5 99:8	43:24 66:10	77:2 80:21	mind 43:3	<u>N</u>
looked 44:23	malpractice 37:24	measurement 89:7	Mingus 50:9,13	nailed 36:4 50:6
looking 6:2 11:24	40:17 52:22 57:3	89:15 91:21	minutes 21:8	name 3:14,17 25:16
20:13 62:14 85:1	72:1,3,9,15	measurements 92:1	misfortune 72:21	25:25 26:4,8,9,16
85:3,5 88:16 92:3	Mansnerus 1:8	92:2	Mishkind 2:3,4	26:20 27:11,13,17
96:12 97:2	59:9 61:5 63:15	medical 23:7 37:24	3:13,17 17:9,18	28:6 38:8,14,17
looks 14:16 29:3	64:3,13 65:4 70:1	40:17 51:15 52:22	17:22 21:14 25:11	38:21 42:5 45:23
49:10,14	70:3 95:25 96:19	57:3 69:16,19	27:1,5 33:22 34:4	46:1,20 48:23
losing 67:6	manual 74:17	71:14 73:14,20	36:22 37:2 48:18	78:23 82:11,24
lot 15:22 39:17 80:10 99:18	92:13,17,18	74:4,11,13,16,20	48:22 58:16 63:18	83:3,7
11	101:14	75:4 77:9,24 78:3	65:10,14,17,25	named 28:3 105:9
101:24 103:1 lower 95:8	many 6:6,9 12:17	78:5,13 81:14,25	66:11 67:7,12,17	names 22:20 39:2
lung 5:10 7:1,5,14	12:20 22:23 24:8	83:12 84:22 85:2	68:1 104:6,13	47:5
7:23,25 8:2,4,5,11	28:8 30:4,19 31:11 32:2 35:6	85:9 95:4 96:10 99:9	miss 50:5	Nathan 1:13,16 3:7
8:13,25 9:6,8,11	37:23 44:3 71:16	medical/legal 24:23	missed 51:7 missing 67:4	3:12,16 105:9
9:18 41:6,17	71:20 72:17 78:10	81:18 84:2	moment 5:14	natural 7:5
42:20 43:10,18,23	85:18 90:14 91:24	medications 99:24	Montgomery 41:1	nature 7:15 103:17 near 73:3 96:18
44:1 46:11,12	102:21	99:25	41:20 51:25 52:24	necessarily 15:24
48:5 53:2 57:16	marathon 102:12	medicine 25:3	month 91:4	22:3 33:13 48:24
58:3 73:8 74:6,14	102:17,24	56:25 60:4,22,25	months 20:11 42:25	60:23 70:18 85:10
75:5,23 80:14,19	marathons 103:1	61:25 62:13 63:6	45:3,7,8,11,17	85:17
84:10,12,25 85:13	march 65:17	meet 27:10	91:9,14,20 99:3	neck 88:22 94:4
86:20,24 87:6,8	marked 3:3 10:14	member 72:6,7	100:25 101:6	96:23 97:9 101:9
87:18,21,24 88:6	married 5:21 10:4	members 22:16,21	more 4:16 13:10,11	101:10,11
89:4,8,10 90:1,11	10:5 23:1	memorable 42:14	15:21 27:15 30:9	need 4:1 17:9 24:7
92:8,14 93:12,20	mass 88:23,24 89:4	memorialize 82:9	30:10 31:4,6,8,10	24:9 66:6 69:24
98:9,11 99:11,16	89:14 90:5 95:21	memorized 14:22	31:11 33:7,21	70:19 73:2
99:22 101:7,8,21	Massachusetts	memory 15:6 18:22	34:12,17 35:10,20	E 1
102:22 103:4,24	77:12	23:15 28:20 40:11	35:23,25 38:1,3	21:15 34:24 46:3
lungs 94:5,8,14	material 4:17 16:9	41:22 43:13 51:8	38:20 45:10 49:13	48:17 70:4 71:11
95:5	22:10 28:9 73:23	51:23 68:9 74:15	49:16 51:2,6	73:5
lymph 95:11 96:1,4	73:25	82:17	53:18 67:12 77:1	new 45:3,5,12
96:14,25 97:10	matrix 92:18	mental 9:22 26:20	77:3 87:12,25	72:25 77:16 88:12
	matter 82:12	mention 73:2	95:22 97:11,14	newer 7:20 8:1
<u>M</u>	101:15	mentioned 10:3	99:19	next 48:25 49:2
made 17:22 19:21	matters 11:20	50:19	morning 3:25 4:2,7	nodal 97:12,15
20:1,4 23:10 63:7	may 4:16,18 5:16	merely 12:18	62:5 92:25	node 95:12 96:5,14
83:3 84:23 96:18	25:11 40:22 41:24	met 3:21 57:7 60:7	Morris 42:4	96:25 97:10
96:18 97:3,19	42:15 45:14 49:25	61:5 64:3,13 65:4	most 6:10 9:10	101:12
mail 104:12	50:8 51:3,6 64:22	metastases 102:14	29:17 35:18 37:21	nodes 96:1
maintain 10:25	69:5 79:7 86:7	metastasis 93:10,11	80:18 98:15	nodule 9:7 90:1,9
33:16 73:22 82:15	87:4,22 91:9	93:14,23 101:9,10	move 43:7,7,8	90:10,16,18 93:21
	101:3	101:19,23 102:4		

Page 8

	T	1	I.	1
94:2,21	N3 101:12,15	79:2	57:20,20 58:25	palpating 95:25
nodules 90:6 93:12		oncology 7:24	60:5 69:4 71:6	papers 7:21
93:20 94:1,14,16	0	73:21	74:8,20 75:19	parents 23:4,8
94:24 101:8	oath 39:11 56:7	one 4:16,17 6:5 8:8	76:11 82:16 83:9	part 20:22 32:17
nonsmall 6:21 7:1,7	obfuscate 8:16	8:17 9:14 10:4	83:11,13,14 85:8	73:19 77:6 95:23
7:14,23 8:2,4,11	object 66:4	11:5 12:23 13:10	87:14,15 89:7	96:7,22
8:16,19 9:2,4,6,11	objection 25:9	13:11 21:10 22:5	90:5 94:1,1,14,16	participate 102:16
9:17 41:6,25	26:23 27:1,19	22:13,13 27:15	94:24 95:5,14	participating 44:5
42:19 43:9,18,22	30:22 33:9,19,25	33:2 38:5,18	others 7:8 39:9	46:25
44:1 46:13 48:5	34:2 35:17 36:25	43:16 44:19 49:11	85:14 99:18	particular 5:17
53:2 73:8 74:6	39:19 59:21 63:16	49:16 50:4,7,8	otherwise 105:15	55:1 61:20 63:7
75:5,23 80:14	63:19 64:5,15	51:21 54:3,7	out 4:1 11:3 28:16	65:2 75:17,18
84:10,12,21,25	65:6 67:3,8,23	61:22 62:10 67:12	30:13 47:3 48:14	76:22 82:18 87:3
85:14 87:8,17	69:2 78:9 97:1	70:11 81:24 83:15	66:9 70:19 75:15	92:19 96:12
88:5 92:8,14 93:1	observers 90:5	85:20 87:13 90:3	77:3 80:2,5,23	particularly 42:13
98:9,11 100:14	obtained 83:7	92:9 94:17 95:7	100:17	partner 28:3
102:22 103:4,12	obviously 14:1	95:23 100:14	outcome 56:15,21	party 27:10 105:15
103:18,20,23	53:10 68:4	ongoing 82:13	80:9 85:10 86:4	past 25:22 27:18
normal 101:2	Occasionally 101:4	only 5:24 11:9	98:5	31:19 32:4 80:23
normally 82:20	occasions 15:22	17:12 20:24 32:10	outcomes 92:24	81:6 82:18 85:2
Northeastern 52:19	30:4	42:24 51:21,22	outdated 4:23	pathologist 79:21
Northern 83:16,17	occurred 95:2	83:14 90:2 95:8	outside 82:2 84:7	79:25
83:22	occurrence 103:5	95:14	93:12,21	pathology 80:3
Notary 1:20 105:8	<b>October</b> 11:6,14	open 44:4	over 9:19 20:1 36:7	paths 70:5
105:22	15:1 16:13 20:7	opinion 36:18	36:14,14 42:10	patient 40:18 41:19
notation 96:13 97:3	20:10 74:22 75:4	37:12 55:19,22	45:11 50:7 52:7	51:11 53:17 54:2
note 9:22,24 25:9	off 80:22 89:16	58:5 63:1 64:7,19	53:12 71:22 76:20	56:16 57:10,24
26:23 30:22 33:19	offer 64:7	66:15 75:20 76:6	76:23 82:21,23	58:9 61:7 64:14
33:25 34:2 35:17	offered 58:14	79:5,11,13 94:11	89:18 90:13	80:13,17 85:23
49:8 59:21 63:16	offering 66:15	102:18	101:22	86:7,16,25 87:2
67:3,23 69:2 78:9	offhand 22:22 53:5	opinions 11:14	Overall 88:3	87:18 95:16 98:19
89:12	office 2:5 11:2	16:10 21:5 54:15	overlap 84:19	99:8,10,15,22
noted 27:2 63:19	16:23,23 17:1	54:20 55:9 57:7	oversight 20:21	101:12,16,21
notes 15:9 19:21	46:4 105:18	58:21 59:1,2,7,12	overwhelming	103:13,22,23
20:1 23:10 89:8	Officially 10:9	59:16 60:11,14,17	102:2	patients 70:13,22
89:24 96:13,17	Oh 71:17,22 102:13	60:20 61:4,10	own 10:22 24:11,13	76:19 80:18 87:9
97:2	<b>Ohio</b> 1:2,21,23 2:7	62:12 63:5,21	25:20,23	87:21,24 88:11
nothing 11:17	2:14 3:8 39:21	69:10,11,15,19	o'clock 1:23	91:1 99:17 102:1
62:17 71:15 105:10	40:2,14 41:1,20	74:21 75:12 79:6	P	102:21 103:1
notice 13:21	52:10,19,24,25	79:7,10,14		patient's 92:23
	83:9 105:3,8,19	opportunity 67:5	P 1:5	pattern 24:17
notify 76:8	105:23 Okay 76:0 86:1	order 54:20	pace 65:18	patterns 15:16
number 6:12 7:3,6 7:18 14:3 20:14	Okay 76:9 86:1	ordering 57:9	page 19:13 58:22	33:13
24:3 27:16 30:8	88:20 100:19	original 10:25	58:22 74:18	Pause 47:14 49:4
31:3,18 32:1,7	old 4:15 91:24 92:3 92:4	69:20 90:4 other 11:12 14:10	pages 12:22	49:22
33:8,17 35:11,12	92:4 older 9:15		paid 24:9	payment 24:18
45:9 53:11,21	<b>Olenki</b> 89:11,20	18:25 19:12,13 28:3 29:18 31:22	pain 95:16,18 98:25	payments 25:13
57:13 58:2,6	93:17		99:18,23 100:5	pending 72:19
81:20,24,24 84:9	<b>Olenki's</b> 93:18,19	34:5 37:5,13	painful 98:18,20	penile 51:11 57:19
numbers 7:12	once 24:17 53:18	38:17,18 39:2,12 40:16 41:9,19	99:4	people 101:1,5
32:12 34:19,22,25	72:24 73:1 97:19	40:16 41:9,19	palm 46:2 47:12,19	102:13 103:5
35:22 37:10 38:24	oncologist 69:7	47:5,6 50:22 52:5	49:1,17	per 81:1,3 93:16,18
39:17	viscologist 07./	47.5,0 50.22 52.5 52:24,25 54:7,11	palpable 97:16	93:19
		JA. LT, 20 JT. 1, 11		

## August 22, 2003

## Page 9

			T	
perceive 85:20	plan 77:21	pretty 36:17 45:2	64:3 67:21 72:10	questioning 4:6
percent 36:9,9,10	plans 76:1 78:2,3,4	previous 34:20 35:2	82:24	37:7
51:18 86:11,15	PLEAS 1:1	previously 91:14	providers 51:15	questions 15:23
87:22 88:2,6	please 3:15 27:2	primary 13:20	providing 24:23	16:1 33:3 34:8
101:22	30:25 66:12 69:24	80:20 87:5 93:13	36:18,23 37:12,14	35:5 36:22 37:3
percentage 7:6	pneumonia 57:10	94:2	proximate 54:6,22	37:15,17 63:19
83:25 84:1,2,5	61:8	print 11:3	55:4,10,25 56:1,5	65:3,11 100:16
percentages 92:12	point 5:2 19:24	printed 10:18 68:14	56:8 58:15 61:16	quick 5:3
perform 80:7,11	24:2 32:15,18	printout 10:17	63:17 69:12 75:23	quickly 6:2
performance 98:22	65:2 77:4,24 81:4	prior 16:9 43:17	79:6	quiet 66:11 67:14
performed 96:5,15	81:5 89:16 97:4	84:22 86:10 94:16	Public 1:20 105:8	67:17
perhaps 5:10 7:17	98:17	95:12 102:4	105:22	Quit 65:10 66:10
36:2,4 38:6 51:18	points 58:11	privileges 70:3 71:1	publications 6:6,10	quite 7:3 45:4,5,6
56:20,22 68:8,24	poor 87:22	71:4,6,12,23	6:16,23 7:10	46:7 88:4 95:1
71:13 82:16 89:12	position 54:25 59:3	probability 40:15	published 5:6,23	quote 82:2
90:24 91:8 94:21	64:2 65:2	69:16,20 86:8,16	6:13	n
period 53:13	positive 46:14	90:16 91:17	pull 28:16 70:19	R
100:13,21	61:19	probably 13:3	pulmonary 90:6	<b>rabbi</b> 77:5,22 78:1
periodically 71:18 72:12	possibility 78:6	19:25 24:17 29:8	purposes 3:4 55:4	78:6
periods 102:14	<b>possible</b> 18:2,5 21:9 85:16 92:22	29:11 31:5,7	80:24	radiated 93:23
peripheral 9:7	potentially 78:8	32:24 36:14 43:18	pursuant 1:21	radiation 97:22,24
person 15:4	power 9:1	43:22 44:1 47:13	pursuing 78:8	97:25 98:16 99:12
personal 78:12	practice 25:3 33:14	73:12 75:7 87:7	pushed 66:15	radiological 66:25
personality 85:21	practiced 71:8 73:3	problem 29:24	<b>put</b> 17:15 24:3,8 28:8 29:7 49:16	67:21 68:10,14
personally 66:8	precise 33:7 37:5	Procedure 3:9	53:20 74:9	radiologist 67:22 68:17 69:5
71:20	92:2	process 99:15	putting 21:1 42:12	radiologists 66:21
pertain 7:22,23 8:2	precision 32:11	professional 70:10	101:7	radiology 66:18
pertained 55:10	predate 45:17	professionally	101.7	68:12
pertaining 5:10 7:4	predeposition	70:11	Q	raised 65:20
7:7 28:18 59:8	47:16,19	profound 101:16	qualifications 79:9	range 88:2
62:7	predicted 92:24	prognosis 8:4,8,12	qualified 57:6	rapid 50:5
pertains 80:8	prefer 9:5 52:14,20	8:20 9:10 74:6	59:11 60:18 61:2	rather 8:17
pertinent 5:22	preferably 4:1	84:13,15,23 87:15	61:12 63:5 79:5	reach 79:16
phone 15:3,4,8	preparation 13:2	92:11 101:17,20	79:13 105:9	reached 18:18
47:16,19	23:19	101:25 103:8,11	quarrel 89:18 91:3	read 13:3 20:9
physician 43:20	prepare 10:22	103:23 104:2	question 6:19 8:18	22:15,17 23:11,14
51:15 52:16,21	prepared 15:1,12	prognostic 9:17	8:24 12:19 14:5,7	23:15,16 59:18,20
55:1,14 57:14	19:23 20:20 28:9	101:13	14:19 26:18 27:9	64:20 65:15 75:2
58:7	63:23 64:7,12	program 73:19	27:13,21 30:23	78:24
Physicians 72:8	preparing 16:9	progressed 98:3	33:12 34:21,22	reading 7:16 19:22
pick 94:22 95:9	20:23 75:3	prolonged 101:5	37:9,11 42:25	19:25 20:1 75:13
pinning 39:16	present 35:7 82:8	promise 43:7 50:4	44:7 53:18 55:6,8	96:9
place 6:3 105:14	presentation 88:18	provide 5:2 7:22	56:18 57:25 59:17	real 71:15
places 101:12	press 30:15 45:13	29:15,20 37:16	59:18,19,22,23	realize 36:19,24
plaintiff 1:6,18 2:2	92:1	54:15 55:12 56:4	60:1,21 61:1 62:2	really 5:24 6:13 8:9
36:10 40:18,20	pressing 30:17	57:7,13,21 59:2,3	62:16 63:3,24	14:19,22 25:7
41:6,17 51:19	35:24	59:7,12 60:11,14	64:18,21,22,23	49:23,25 54:3,10
52:7,9 53:2 56:9 78:22	pressured 37:3	60:17,19 61:4	65:7,8,19 67:10	78:11 92:16
plaintiff's 33:3	presumably 11:16	63:5 67:15 73:23	67:19,19 68:9	reason 12:6 16:21
45:20 46:5,24	presume 10:18 13:21 48:3	79:5,9,14 83:1	70:18,20 85:25	26:7,11,21,21
47:5 48:9,12	presumed 61:7	provided 3:8 4:18 13:16 19:13 55:15	86:2 92:21 100:20	27:17 43:2 52:13
51:24 58:17	presumed 01.7	58:5 62:12 63:2	103:15,21	52:20 61:13 76:5
J1.27 J0.17		30/3 02:12 03:2		

## August 22, 2003

Page 10

			1	1
97:23	33:7 34:25 44:8	18:19 19:24 22:5	request 29:14 30:2	41:11 47:4 48:1
reasonable 29:13	44:14,24 47:3	23:3,6 25:25 26:4	45:20 49:16 53:14	50:12 63:25 66:17
69:16,19	61:10 63:13 71:14	26:7,9,12,15,16	reread 13:1 23:19	67:11 68:13,22
reasons 86:23	81:14 82:20 95:4	26:22 27:11,12,17	rereview 61:9	88:3 96:4 103:9
recall 6:9 11:15	96:10,17,19	38:14,17,21 39:4	reschedule 104:9	ring 38:8,11 48:23
22:17 23:18 25:19	recovery 100:21	39:23 40:23,25	rescheduled 51:6	<b>rings</b> 42:5 78:23
27:23 28:19 29:2	recreate 14:18	42:2,7,9,12 43:9	research 74:24	<b>risk</b> 87:4
29:12 30:6,11	recuperative	43:12 44:13 48:3	resection 87:25	Rob 9:23 21:4
31:9,14,15 34:25	100:13	48:13 51:3,10	88:7 100:11	33:22 37:2 66:11
38:2,2,13,25 39:2	recurrence 86:25	52:25	reserve 4:7	67:7
39:10,24 40:1,9	reduced 105:11	remembering	residents 73:14,20	ROBERT 2:12
41:8,10,15,23	refer 94:2	26:20	73:24 74:8,9	Rocker 10:5,8
42:6,21 43:11,14	reference 75:11,18	remind 35:1,4 38:6	resolution 95:9	ROGER 1:8
43:14,15,21 44:2	89:4,20,23	Reminger 2:11,11	respect 33:11 66:3	role 37:15,18
44:23 47:8 53:5	referred 70:7,13	4:19 12:1,1 13:23	66:14	rookie 67:18
55:24 56:23 62:23	103:9,13	13:23 14:2,2,11	respond 37:22	room 72:25
70:6,8,15,23,24	referring 60:25	14:11,14,14,15,15	99:10	rotating 73:20
78:11,25 81:4,5	89:24 91:4 94:18	14:20,20 18:10,11	response 26:25	rough 32:10 36:17
81:12,21 83:15,20	refers 70:22	24:7,7 31:19,19	37:6,16 98:2 99:7	round 73:17
89:6 96:6	reflected 6:1	31:23,23 32:4,4,9	result 74:25	<b>Rozman</b> 62:1,3,9
receive 24:18	refresh 68:9	32:9,25 33:18	resume 101:1	62:10,11,18,25
received 13:3,22	refreshed 18:22	34:13,13 35:7,7	retain 19:6 40:10	63:4 70:9,21,22
25:14 28:25 97:21 100:1	regard 4:12 9:13	35:14,14,16,16	41:21 42:3 47:1	Rule 105:17
11	11:13,22 18:23	36:12,15 50:14,14	retained 47:7 55:19	ruled 67:24
receives 100:14	21:4 23:8 34:22	53:10,10,15,23,23	retrievable 28:19	Rules 3:9
receiving 16:8 18:23	60:5 61:23 64:14	54:10,10 62:22,22	retrospect 95:17	<b>run</b> 102:12,16
recent 4:16 6:10	85:13 99:6 100:9	removal 100:22	return 81:22,23,24	running 19:19
29:17 45:2 51:3	regarding 18:15,21	render 59:16 93:21	82:6	Russo 1:7
74:14	31:14 54:5,6 63:22 66:16 74:14	rendered 93:24	returned 96:21	rwarner@aol.com
recently 41:24 51:7	Registered 1:19	repeated 37:3,6	review 15:17 32:21	17:15 21:1
70:18,20 71:5	reimbursed 82:1	repeatedly 27:12	32:24 33:8 45:18	rwarner@remin
Recess 50:3	relate 6:24 9:16	repeating 58:6	50:5 63:13 64:11	16:24 17:13
recite 5:7	related 29:25 32:25	rephrase 6:20 14:8 report 10:14 11:2,7	64:25 67:5 81:14 94:11	S
recognizable 17:17	36:12	11:9,23 15:13,19	reviewed 11:23	
recognize 14:1	relates 9:17 25:13	16:10 19:12 20:7	15:7 19:20 20:15	salutations 18:4
38:18 86:15	58:8 60:6 61:24	20:13,16,20,23,25		same 6:1 8:12,13
recognizing 5:14	63:1,6 75:21 79:6	20:13,10,20,23,23	20:19,23 22:9	11:6 34:5 35:21
recollection 15:15	103:17	58:18 68:12,18,19	29:4 31:1 32:3,8 32:18 33:17 34:12	78:21 81:12 90:12
16:14 17:3 19:4	relationship 70:10	68:21 74:22,25	35:6 62:7 64:1	90:21,23 95:18,20
21:10,12,23 22:8	70:21	75:3 79:20,24	66:2,13 74:16,20	99:8,20
34:23 39:22 46:18	relative 13:12,17	85:6 90:4 93:18	75:4 83:11,15	save 19:8,10
48:6	16:18 105:14	93:19,20	90:7 96:19 98:7	saw 96:1,2 103:2
recommendation	relatively 4:24	reporter 1:20	98:14 99:2	<b>saying</b> 33:5 43:25 84:14 92:21 97:10
12:15	relevancy 25:10	104:12	reviewing 16:9 28:8	97:14
record 3:15 4:5,25	relevant 5:18 6:18	reporting 105:16	29:7 32:14 44:25	scan 88:17,19 89:25
17:8,15 23:23	24:4,5 75:9	reports 10:22 18:1	69:6 81:19	90:3,7 94:12,20
24:8 28:11,13	reliable 75:8,21	62:6 79:24	revocation 71:15	90:3,7 94:12,20 94:23 95:9 102:6
29:14 32:22 59:20	76:3	represent 3:18	revoked 71:12	102:7
76:2 82:9,14,17	rely 66:20	represented 14:10	Richard 42:4	scheduled 49:1,2
97:5	remainder 51:20	35:15 53:23	Riemenschneider	school 77:9,24 78:3
records 15:7,17	remaining 99:3	representing 43:20	43:12	78:5,13
19:20,22,25 20:2	remember 6:15	reputation 79:2,18	<b>right</b> 4:3,7 6:4 9:20	scores 75:2
28:16 29:15,20	12:22 16:20 18:14		14:24 16:12 40:5	5757 63 / J.W

Page 11

<b>I</b>	-			Γ
seal 105:18	Sinai 76:16	someone 86:3,13	86:3,5 87:1,10,14	status 98:23
second 6:5 33:23	since 5:4,6,12 6:14	87:5 102:10	87:17,18,24 88:2	statute 1:18
38:22 69:23 87:4	7:9 8:1 11:14,24	something 28:4,4	88:3 91:22 92:10	stay 16:1
secretary 18:15,21	20:10 22:11 28:23	40:16 50:5 66:5	92:14,23 93:2,6,6	Steele 22:14 23:11
18:24 19:1	29:3 32:10 33:1	94:22	93:9,22,24 99:11	78:17,20
see 11:25 14:13	34:14 48:2 49:1	sometime 47:17	100:11,14 102:23	Steele's 28:25
25:10 65:1 69:24	60:23 62:15 65:20	73:12	102:23 103:6	stenotypy 105:11
79:20 85:1 97:3	75:3 76:23 93:17	somewhat 8:23	stages 92:19	stick 92:23
97:11	100:17	somewhere 10:13	staging 9:16 74:17	still 5:5 44:25 46:7
seeing 96:9	single 23:2 75:11	28:2 46:4 71:4	92:13 101:14	63:18 72:19 87:10
seen 68:11,12 69:20	89:25 90:5 95:2	88:1	stand 53:24 54:14	91:5
93:17 94:12 96:9	singular 90:8	soon 95:20 98:3	54:24 55:21 56:6	stomach 66:6
semantics 101:15	sir 14:12 84:17 85:7	sorry 26:9,15 34:19	63:11 65:3 75:16	stop 33:23,23 34:11
seminar 74:4	sisters 22:24	55:11 58:1 65:22	76:9 79:13 91:5	67:17
Seminary 77:17	sit 16:12 47:4 63:25	88:25 93:19 102:7	standard 54:5,15	stopped 45:2
senior 77:10,15,19	65:21 75:25	sort 11:17 16:1	54:16,22,25 55:4	Street 2:6
sent 11:12 15:7	situation 63:10	sorts 82:13	55:6,10,13,15,17	strike 39:12 86:7
18:15 28:17	93:1	sounds 40:5	55:19 56:13,20	97:24
separate 90:6 93:20	situations 52:14	source 13:20	57:3,8,15,21,23	studies 57:9
94:17 100:16	83:12	sources 75:9	58:8 59:8,16 60:5	subject 82:12
September 49:9,9	six 21:8 32:19 91:14	South 46:2,5	60:7 61:14,17,23	subjected 97:19
49:11 105:19	91:19 99:3	span 91:25	61:24 62:12 63:1	submit 24:6
serious 85:9	size 89:4 90:12,16	speaker 74:10	63:5,8,14,22 64:4	submitted 24:15,25
served 14:9 30:1	91:18 94:1,7,22	specialist 62:13	64:13 65:5 66:3	29:16,19
31:17 32:3 51:24	94:25 95:5	specific 15:6,9,14	66:14,16	subsequent 33:6
52:9 62:20	skilled 60:23	35:11 45:9 63:9	standards 61:6	substance 12:21
services 83:1	skimmed 62:6,15	74:24 84:6 99:5	standpoint 69:9	22:4
serving 31:21 41:12 45:19 50:16 51:23	skimming 79:23	specifically 13:25	84:13 86:15 87:13	substantive 21:5,16
sessions 42:11	Skylight 2:5	29:12 30:6 38:25	87:17 97:8 103:12	21:19,25 22:6
set 1:24 16:10 17:5	slightly 90:23 91:5 91:8	39:10 41:23 44:13	start 5:18 24:21	successful 97:25
105:11,18	slowly 92:4,5	47:8 55:24 56:23 62:23 83:20 97:16	27:3 90:17 started 32:14	sued 72:14,24 73:1
setting 18:16	small 7:8,24 8:5,13	specificity 31:15	•	73:5
several 5:6 6:13 8:2	8:20 9:2,5,7,8,10	specifics 70:16 77:1	starting 3:25 26:24 58:21 77:9	suffering 99:18
13:6 15:22 39:14	32:17 80:19 84:5	specified 105:14	state 1:21 3:14	100:5 suggest 65:4
76:11 100:24	103:9,14,18,19,22	spectrum 9:4,5	18:24 21:15,17	suggested 12:8 13:8
shared 76:19	smaller 90:13,23	speeches 27:2,7	39:11 40:14,15	suggesting 22:2
Shore 71:9	91:6,7,8,15,16	33:24 34:1,11	61:15 83:14 105:3	suggesting 22.2 suggests 87:21
short 90:24 91:10	94:23	67:8 68:3	105:8,23	Suggests 67.21
91:25 92:5	society 74:11,13	speed 4:11	stated 61:19 103:25	summaries 13:17
shortness 101:4	solely 68:19	spend 49:6	statement 14:6	13:17
show 79:21	some 4:8,25 5:11,16	spent 23:21	31:12,13 43:24	summary 13:19
showed 88:20,22,23	5:23 7:8 15:17	split 51:16	54:23 56:11	19:17 89:13
89:6,25	18:19 19:24 21:24	spoke 74:11	statements 17:20	Summit 48:14,15
side 78:21 98:23	24:2,8 25:22	spoken 74:13	states 83:9,11,13	supplement 73:24
signatures 71:14	40:19 44:5 54:6,7	spring 38:11 73:12	stationery 13:23	sure 5:7 12:23
signed 11:5	54:7 62:6 68:6	SS 105:4	14:2	18:18 34:15 46:23
significant 99:23	69:4 74:8 77:4	St 71:8	statistic 88:7	53:4,19 58:10
silence 68:6	80:22 81:4,5	stack 10:13	statistical 86:4	68:22 99:13
silly 8:23	84:19 87:20,21	stage 8:7,9,10,11,13	87:13 92:12,24	102:13
simple 64:21 80:18	94:19 97:12 101:3	8:15,20 9:3,3,4,10	statistically 86:14	surgeon 100:12
simply 12:14 24:18	somebody 27:11	9:11 44:5 80:14	statistics 84:24	surgery 80:6,7,9,10
28:6 61:21 71:19	86:5	80:18 84:12,14,15	86:20 88:1 92:17	80:12,19
77:6 78:7 86:23		84:21,25 85:21,24		-
L		-	L	

## August 22, 2003

Page 12

	1		1	1	I
	surgical 80:15 88:7	34:13 35:20 36:5	think 5:9 9:19	72:17	trving 8:16 30:21
	100:11 101:6	36:7,7 44:25	10:13 14:17,22	time-wise 5:4	
	survival 8:13 86:9	tend 19:10	1	tired 33:24	
	86:14,19 87:23				
	11			1	
surviving $85:23$ 9:24 $15:15 \ 21:23$ 48:16(19 50:25/2568:5 $75:25$ time $8:17 \ 12:23$ supended $71:12$ 28:7,8 $34:21$ 51:25,7,10,16,18to $8:17 \ 52:25$ to $8:16 \ 42:21$ to $11:17 \ 12:23 \ 31:6,10.4$ to $11:17 \ 12:23 \ 31:6,10.4$ to $11:19 \ 52:16 \ 52:16 \ 52:$	11			1	
				1	
Sufferland 22:13 23:12 78:15 79:17         54:21 57:8,15 61:6 64:20 66:21         52:4,5 71:9 78:23 88:15 89:3 90:20         together 82:3 101:13:14         31:4 38:1,3,20           Sufferland's 28:25         87:5 92:17 99:15         99:22,25 91:13         17:2,14 44:10         39:16 42:11,24           ioli 10:10,11         terrible 42:2         thrid 38:19         toja 6:18,24 7;14         54:10 49:11 51:           swora 3:10 105:10         terrible 42:2         thrid 38:19         toja 6:18,24 7;14         46:9,12 48:45:1           take 5:13 7:17 34:7         40:19 41:5,10,16         thorough 97:4         toja 6:18,24 7;14         46:9,12 48:45:1           52:18 60:16 63:11         53:19,15 56:3,19         31:14 71:7 84:18         tough 5:15 18:17         tower 2:5         99:8           53:16 76:9         62:21         through 5:5 7:16         7:4 74:5 80:20         transcription           45:4,12 46:15         64:12 75:17         12:23 31:6,10,14         105:12         transcription           45:4,24 24:52:1         52:15,21 53:13,22         through 5:15 7:16         7:4 74:5 80:20         transcription           54:24,24 55:21         52:15,21 53:13,22         through 5:15 7:16         7:4 74:5 80:20         transcription           54:24,24 55:21         55:16 56:5,22         51:16 56:6:5,22         taking 45:3         taking 45:14				1	E
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
swelling 95:25         101:8 103:19         92:20 96:4 100:17         61:13,21         54:4 58:22 81:2           101:10,11         terribly 4:22         tipt 33:19         top 4:20 14:20         101:40,11           sworn 3:10 105:10         terribly 4:22         tipt 33:19         top 4:20 14:20         102:6           T         38:22 39:5,7,15         100:21 101:2         top 4:20 14:20         109:6 5:18,17         46:9,12 48:4 51:           100:21 101:2         thorcough 97:4         touch 7:13         38:29 96:23,25         99:8         39:9 6:23,25           52:18 60:16 63:11         58:2,11:10         58:2,11         58:4,12 30:16         thorgh 55:20         training 60:18 61:3           75:16 76:9         62:21         though 56:20         trainscribed 105:12         types 57:20 85:13           45:4,12 46:15         11:2 75:17         12:23 31:6,10,14         105:12         types 57:20 85:13           47:19 43:4         testimonies 43:17         47:3 49:21 51:5         74:47:45 80:20         tumer 50:20           54:24,24 55:21         55:13.16         10:5 11:22 15:21         53:14 59:41         tumer 50:20         tumer 4:18 39:11           12:25         55:16 56:5,22         trais 71:24 83:5,16 17         72:24 43:5,16 17         12:23 13:6,10,11           12:24 23:1,61 0:0					
101:10,11         terrible 101:17         102:6         top 4:20 14:20         91:9 100:16           sworn 3:10 105:10         terribly 4:22         third 38:19         topic 6:18,27:14         46:91:24 84:51           T         38:22 39:5,7,15         100:21 101:2         topics 5:7,7 81:25         topics 5:7,7 81:25         73:17,23 42:3           take 5:13 7:17 34:7         40:19 41:5,10,16         though 15:5 18:17         torver 2:5         topics 5:7,7 81:25         99:8           52:18 60:16 63:11         53:1,9,15 56:3,19         31:14 71:7 84:18         75:1 79:9 98:14         type 57:20 85:13           45:4,12 46:15         64:12 75:17         12:23 31:6,10,14         105:12         transcribed 105:12           47:10,11 48:2         105:10         38:4,20 39:16         transcribed 105:12         transcribed 105:12           49:19 53:24 54:13         testifying 40:6,17         45:10 58:22         treatment 6:25 7:11         105:12         105:12           54:24,24 55:21         52:15,21 53:13,22         toring 31:6         71:7 19:89:87:17         88:13 98:4           taking 45:3         testimony 13:18         72:10 73:20 78:2         trail 37:24 39:15         trail 37:24 39:15           taking 45:3         testimony 13:18         72:10 73:20 78:2         trial 37:24 39:15         trial 37:24 39:15				• · ·	
sworn 3:10 105:10         terribly 4:22         third 38:19         topic 6:18,24 7:14         type 33:16 44:21           T         38:22 39:5,7,15         100:21 101:2         topics 5:7,78 1:25         73:17,23 74:3           take 5:13 7:17 34:7         40:19 41:5,10,16         thoracotomy         73:8 74:12 75:5         73:17,23 74:3           52:18 60:16 63:11         53:1,9,15 56:3,19         31:14 71:7 84:18         Tower 2:5         99:8           52:18 60:16 63:11         53:2,17,11         58:2,2 10:10:2         thorag 15:18:17         Tower 2:5         99:8           taken 1:19 43:4         testify 53:6 63:12         thore 6:15 8:17         transcribed 105:12         typis 18:7           49:19 53:24 54:13         testifying 40:6,17         38:4,20 39:16         transcribed 105:12         typis 18:7           49:19 53:24 54:13         testimonies 43:17         7:2 7:4 74:5 80:20         transcribed 105:12         tumer 50:20           56:6 105:14         75:2 2 86:10         11:24 28:14 42:13         87:19 88:5 97:18         unable 4:6 43:3           taken 9:24         testimonies 43:17         7:4 74:5 80:20         trails 45:1,14 53:7         14:96 6:6 99:4           75:24 86:8         36:19,23 53:25         time 3:24 4:8 5:6,12         s1:14 59:4 7:14         under 17:11         under 18:8 9:11 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>2</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			2		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			r i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		E Contraction of the second se
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	SWULII 5.10 105.10				1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	<b>T</b>				L
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
		<i>'</i>		E Contraction of the second se	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
taken 1:19 43:4testify 53:6 63:12three 6:15 8:17transcription $45:4,12 46:15$ $64:12 75:17$ $12:23 31:6,10,14$ $105:12$ $107:12 73:15$ $47:10,11 48:2$ $105:10$ $38:4,20 39:16$ $105:12$ $107:12 73:15$ $49:19 53:24 54:13$ testifying 40:6,17 $45:10 58:22$ transient 98:2 $UH 71:2 73:15$ $54:24,24 55:21$ $52:15,21 53:13,22$ through 5:15 7:16 $7:4 74:5 80:20$ ultimately 25:12 $56:6 105:14$ $75:22 86:10$ $11:24 28:14 42:13$ $87:19 88:5 97:18$ $88:13 98:4$ taking 45:3testimonies 43:17 $47:3 49:21 51:5$ $98:16,21 99:20$ unable 4:6 43:3taking 45:3testimony 13:18 $72:10 73:20 78:2$ $42:24 43:5,16,17$ unaware 17:11 $75:24 86:8$ $36:19,23 53:25$ time $3:24 4:8 5:6,12$ $44:18 51:14 53:7$ $14:9 66:6 99:4$ $92:25$ $55:16 5:5,22$ $16:4 17:4 20:1,4$ $75:25 76:7 81:7$ $56:7 105:16$ $92:25$ $55:16 5:65,22$ $16:4 17:4 20:1,4$ $75:25 76:7 81:7$ $56:7 105:16$ $92:25$ $74:7 94:5 99:14$ $78:24 80:25 81:8$ $23:21 24:2,7$ trials 19:19 $74:7 94:5 99:14$ $78:24 80:25 81:8$ $23:21 24:2,7$ trials 19:17 $22:4 32:5 37:17 39:18$ $12:12 14:19,21$ $20:11 21:13:4 14:24$ $10:3 76:11 100:10$ $47:18 48:25 49:2$ $32:5 37:17 39:18$ $12:12 14:19,21$ $20:11 21:18,19$ their 14:21,22 $49:6,18,24,25$ $40:7 41:7 52:12$ $26:18 27:20 43:1$ $30:10,20 31:2$ $15:25,25 27:11,12$ $51:4 57:13 29:5,10:16$ $9$		-			4
					typist 18:7
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				transcription	•••••
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				105:12	<u> </u>
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				transient 98:2	UH 71:2 73:15
$56:6\ 105:14$ $75:22\ 86:10$ $11:24\ 28:14\ 42:13$ $87:19\ 88:5\ 97:18$ $88:13\ 98:4$ taker $9:24$ testimonies $43:17$ $47:3\ 49:21\ 51:5$ $98:16,21\ 99:20$ unable $4:6\ 43:3$ taking $45:3$ testimony $13:18$ $72:10\ 73:20\ 78:2$ trial $37:24\ 39:15$ uncomfortabletalk $19:18\ 74:1$ $21:3,7\ 35:13$ $82:25$ $42:24\ 43:5,16,17$ uncomfortable $75:24\ 86:8$ $36:19,23\ 53:25$ time $3:24\ 4:8\ 5:6,12$ $44:18\ 51:14\ 53:7$ $14:9\ 66:6\ 99:4$ talked $52:1\ 60:2$ $54:13,16\ 55:13,16$ $10:5\ 11:22\ 15:21$ $53:14\ 59:7\ 163:7$ under $1:18\ 39:11$ $92:25$ $55:16\ 56:5,22$ $16:4\ 17:4\ 20:1,4$ $75:25\ 76:7\ 81:7$ under $1:18\ 39:11$ $74:7\ 94:5\ 99:14$ $78:24\ 80:25\ 81:8$ $23:21\ 24:2,7$ trial $5:11\$ undergo $80:19\$ $74:7\ 94:5\ 99:14$ $78:24\ 80:25\ 81:8$ $23:21\ 24:2,7$ trial $5:11\$ undergo $80:19\$ $74:7\ 94:5\ 99:14\$ $78:24\ 80:25\ 81:8\$ $23:21\ 24:2,7\$ trial $5:11\$ undergo $80:19\$ $74:7\ 94:5\ 99:14\$ $78:24\ 80:25\ 81:8\$ $23:21\ 24:2,7\$ trial $5:11\$ undergo $80:19\$ $12:21\ 13:4\ 14:24\$ Thank $4:11\ 9:12,21\$ $27:15\ 29:6,10\$ true $8:6,14\ 9:6,18\$ undergo $80:19\$ $12:21\ 13:4\ 14:24\$ Thank $4:11\ 9:12,21\$ $42:16\ 46:7\ 47:9\$ $20:16,17\ 22:5\$ understand $3:24\$ $20:11\ 21:18,19\$ their $14:21,22\$ $49:6,18,24,25\$ $40:7\ 41:7\ 52:12\$ $20:18\ 27:20\ 43:1\$ $30:10,20\ 31:2\$ $15:25,25\ 27:11,12\$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>45:10 58:22</td><td>treatment 6:25 7:1</td><td>Ulmer 50:20</td></t<>			45:10 58:22	treatment 6:25 7:1	Ulmer 50:20
taker 9:24testimonies $43:17$ $47:3 49:21 51:5$ $98:16,21 99:20$ unable $4:6 4:3:3$ taking $45:3$ testimony $13:18$ $72:10 73:20 78:2$ trial $37:24 39:15$ unable $4:6 4:3:3$ talk $19:18 74:1$ $21:3,7 35:13$ $82:25$ $42:24 4:35,16,17$ uncomfortable $75:24 86:8$ $36:19,23 53:25$ time $3:24 4:8 5:6,12$ $44:18 51:14 53:7$ $14:9 66:6 99:4$ talked $52:1 60:2$ $54:13,16 55:13,16$ $10:5 11:22 15:21$ $53:14 59:4 75:16$ under $1:18 39:11$ $92:25$ $55:16 56:5,22$ $16:4 17:4 20:1,4$ $75:25 76:7 81:7$ $56:7 105:16$ talking $37:10 60:22$ $57:13,21 75:25$ $20:15,19 21:6,22$ $81:19$ undergo $80:19$ $74:7 94:5 99:14$ $78:24 80:25 81:8$ $23:21 24:2,7$ trials $51:1$ undergo $80:19$ tax $81:22,23,24$ $81:19 105:11,12$ $27:15 29:6,10$ true $8:6,14 9:6,18$ undergo $80:19$ telephone $16:7$ text $76:4$ $35:5 36:8,14$ $10:11 12:1 13:9$ $77:7,13$ tell $5:19 6:14 7:12$ textbook $75:19,20$ $39:13 40:13,20$ $14:4,8 19:7,15$ underfying $85:9$ $12:21 13:4 14:24$ Thank $4:11 9:12,21$ $42:16 46:7 47:9$ $20:16,17 22:5$ $31:19 58:16 59:6$ $32:7 33:4 34:6$ $66:21 80:19 87:6$ $65:7 67:13 71:9$ $55:1 57:4,10,16$ $59:23,25 60:21$ $32:19 43:3$ $87:15 99:8 101:1$ $72:22 73:7,7,10$ $57:17,24 58:3,9$ $62:16 78:4$ $31:1 44:14 45:13$ $104:2$ $76:7,17 77:4 82:8$ $83:18 60:8,9,20$ $10ertically 92:22$ $47:5,13,15 49:13$				7:4 74:5 80:20	ultimately 25:12
taking 45:3testimony 13:1872:10 73:20 78:2trial 37:24 39:15unaware 17:11talk 19:18 74:121:3,7 35:1382:25trial 37:24 39:15unaware 17:1175:24 86:836:19,23 53:25time 3:24 4:8 5:6,1242:24 43:5,16,17uncomfortable19:2555:16 56:5,2216:4 17:4 20:1,475:25 76:7 81:714:9 66:6 99:492:2555:16 56:5,2216:4 17:4 20:1,475:25 76:7 81:756:7 105:1611:10 47:9 4:5 99:1478:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,711:1114:9 66:6 99:411:11 12:1 3:978:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,711:1110:11 12:1 13:912:21 13:4 14:2481:19 105:11,1227:15 29:6,1010:11 12:1 13:987:2415:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:15 41:4 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,2039:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:1539:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:1539:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:1539:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:22<		75:22 86:10	11:24 28:14 42:13	87:19 88:5 97:18	88:13 98:4
taking 45:3testimony 13:1872:10 73:20 78:2trial 37:24 39:15unaware 17:11talk 19:18 74:121:3,7 35:1382:2542:24 43:5,16,17uncomfortable75:24 86:836:19,23 53:25time 3:24 4:8 5:6,1244:18 51:14 53:714:9 66:6 99:4talked 52:1 60:254:13,16 55:13,1610:5 11:22 15:2153:14 59:4 75:1614:9 66:6 99:492:2555:16 56:5,2216:4 17:4 20:1,475:25 76:7 81:756:7 105:16talking 37:10 60:2257:13,21 75:2520:15,19 21:6,2281:19undergo 80:1974:7 94:5 99:1478:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,7trials 5:11s6:7 105:16tax 81:22,23,2481:19 105:11,1227:15 29:6,10true 8:6,14 9:6,18undergraduatetelephone 16:7text 76:435:5 36:8,1410:11 12:1 13:977:7,13tell 5:19 6:14 7:12textbook 75:19,2039:13 40:13,2014:4,8 19:7,15undergraduate15:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:957:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:445:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:6 93:761:8,14 62:2239:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:15anderstanding45:15,23 46:3Theological 77:		testimonies 43:17	47:3 49:21 51:5	98:16,21 99:20	unable 4:6 43:3
talk 19:18 74:121:3,7 35:1382:2542:24 43:5,16,17uncomfortable75:24 86:836:19,23 53:25time 3:24 4:8 5:6,1244:18 51:14 53:714:9 66:6 99:492:2555:16 56:5,2210:5 11:22 15:2153:14 59:4 75:16under 1:18 39:1192:2555:16 56:5,2216:4 17:4 20:1,475:25 76:7 81:756:7 105:16talking 37:10 60:2257:13,21 75:2520:15,19 21:6,2281:1987:2474:7 94:5 99:1478:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,7trials 5:1156:7 105:16tak 81:22,23,2481:19 105:11,1227:15 29:6,10true 8:6,14 9:6,18undergraduatetelephone 16:7text 76:435:5 36:8,1410:11 12:1 13:977:7,13tell 5:19 6:14 7:12textbook 75:19,2039:13 40:13,2014:4,8 19:7,15undertying 85:912:21 13:4 14:24Thank 4:11 9:12,2142:16 46:7 47:920:16,17 22:5undertying 85:915:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,20anderstanding45:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:	taking 45:3	testimony 13:18	72:10 73:20 78:2	trial 37:24 39:15	1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		21:3,7 35:13	82:25	42:24 43:5,16,17	
talked $52:1\ 60:2$ $54:13,16\ 55:13,16$ $10:5\ 11:22\ 15:21$ $53:14\ 59:4\ 75:16$ under $1:18\ 39:11$ $92:25$ $55:16\ 56:5,22$ $16:4\ 17:4\ 20:1,4$ $75:25\ 76:7\ 81:7$ $56:7\ 105:16$ $talking\ 37:10\ 60:22$ $57:13,21\ 75:25$ $20:15,19\ 21:6,22$ $81:19$ $trials\ 5:11$ $74:7\ 94:5\ 99:14$ $78:24\ 80:25\ 81:8$ $23:21\ 24:2,7$ $trial\ 5:11$ $87:24$ $tax\ 81:22,23,24$ $81:19\ 105:11,12$ $27:15\ 29:6,10$ $true\ 8:6,14\ 9:6,18$ $undergraduate$ $telephone\ 16:7$ $text\ 76:4$ $35:5\ 36:8,14$ $10:11\ 12:1\ 13:9$ $77:7,13$ $tell\ 5:19\ 6:14\ 7:12$ $text\ 76:4$ $35:5\ 36:8,14$ $10:11\ 12:1\ 13:9$ $12:21\ 13:4\ 14:24$ $15:15\ 16:13\ 20:2$ $10:3\ 76:11\ 100:10$ $47:18\ 48:25\ 49:2$ $32:5\ 37:17\ 39:18$ $12:12\ 14:19,21$ $20:11\ 21:18,19$ $15:25,25\ 27:11,12$ $51:4\ 53:13\ 59:3$ $52:22\ 53:8,17$ $53:19\ 58:16\ 59:6$ $32:7\ 33:4\ 34:6$ $66:21\ 80:19\ 87:6$ $65:7\ 67:13\ 71:9$ $55:1\ 57:4,10,16$ $59:23,25\ 60:21$ $32:7\ 33:4\ 43:6$ $66:21\ 80:19\ 87:6$ $65:7\ 67:13\ 71:9$ $55:1\ 57:4,10,16$ $59:23,25\ 60:21$ $35:19\ 41:9\ 43:3$ $87:15\ 99:8\ 101:1$ $72:22\ 73:7,7,10$ $57:17,24\ 58:3,9$ $62:16\ 78:4$ $45:15,23\ 46:3$ $Theological\ 77:17$ $88:25\ 92:6\ 93:7$ $61:8,14\ 62:22$ $39:14\ 90:2$ $47:5,13,15\ 49:13$ $104:2$ $76:7,17\ 77:4\ 82:8$ $58:18\ 60:8,9,20$ $39:14\ 90:2$ $47:5,13,15\ 49:13$ $100:13,17$ $98:17\ 102:9,15,19$ </td <td>75:24 86:8</td> <td>36:19,23 53:25</td> <td>time 3:24 4:8 5:6,12</td> <td></td> <td></td>	75:24 86:8	36:19,23 53:25	time 3:24 4:8 5:6,12		
92:2555:16 56:5,2216:4 17:4 20:1,475:25 76:7 81:756:7 105:16talking 37:10 60:2257:13,21 75:2520:15,19 21:6,2281:19105:1174:7 94:5 99:1478:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,781:19trials 5:11undergraduatetax 81:22,23,2481:19 105:11,1227:15 29:6,10true 8:6,14 9:6,1801:11 12:1 13:987:24tell 5:19 6:14 7:12text 76:435:5 36:8,1410:11 12:1 13:9undergraduate12:21 13:4 14:24Thank 4:11 9:12,2142:16 46:7 47:920:16,17 22:5understand 3:2415:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,2099:14 90:245:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:6 93:761:8,14 62:2299:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13100:18105:1494:9 96:10,2594:9 96:10,2594:9 96:10,2590:14 90:294:9 96:10,2594:9 96:10,2594:9 96:10,2594:9 96:10,25	talked 52:1 60:2	54:13,16 55:13,16		3	
talking 37:10 60:2257:13,21 75:2520:15,19 21:6,2281:19undergo 80:1974:7 94:5 99:1478:24 80:25 81:823:21 24:2,7trials 5:1187:24tax 81:22,23,2481:19 105:11,1227:15 29:6,10true 8:6,14 9:6,18undergraduatetelephone 16:7text 76:435:5 36:8,1410:11 12:1 13:977:7,13tell 5:19 6:14 7:12textbook 75:19,2039:13 40:13,2014:4,8 19:7,15undergraduate12:21 13:4 14:24Thank 4:11 9:12,2142:16 46:7 47:920:16,17 22:5understand 3:2415:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,2039:14 90:245:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:6 93:761:8,14 62:2239:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:1539:14 90:247:5,22,25theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:15understanding51:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:111	92:25	55:16 56:5,22			1
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	talking 37:10 60:22				
tax 81:22,23,24 telephone 16:7 tell 5:19 6:14 7:1281:19 105:11,12 text 76:4 text 5:19,2027:15 29:6,10 35:5 36:8,14true 8:6,14 9:6,18 10:11 12:1 13:9undergraduate 77:7,1312:21 13:4 14:24 15:15 16:13 20:2textbook 75:19,20 10:3 76:11 100:1039:13 40:13,20 47:18 48:25 49:214:4,8 19:7,15 20:16,17 22:5underlying 85:9 underlying 85:920:11 21:18,19 	74:7 94:5 99:14				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	tax 81:22,23,24			E Contraction of the second seco	
tell 5:19 6:14 7:12textbook 75:19,2039:13 40:13,2014:4,8 19:7,15underlying 85:912:21 13:4 14:24Thank 4:11 9:12,2142:16 46:7 47:920:16,17 22:5understand 3:2415:15 16:13 20:210:3 76:11 100:1047:18 48:25 49:232:5 37:17 39:1812:12 14:19,2120:11 21:18,19their 14:21,2249:6,18,24,2540:7 41:7 52:1226:18 27:20 43:130:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,2039:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:1539:14 90:251:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:11100:18105:1494:9 96:10,25unfair 67:9		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					, ,
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15:15 16:13 20:2				
30:10,20 31:215:25,25 27:11,1251:4 53:13 59:352:22 53:8,1753:19 58:16 59:632:7 33:4 34:666:21 80:19 87:665:7 67:13 71:955:1 57:4,10,1659:23,25 60:2135:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,20understanding45:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:6 93:761:8,14 62:2239:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:15understanding51:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:11100:18105:1494:9 96:10,25unfavorable 55:21					
32:7 33:4 34:6       66:21 80:19 87:6       65:7 67:13 71:9       55:1 57:4,10,16       59:23,25 60:21         35:19 41:9 43:3       87:15 99:8 101:1       72:22 73:7,7,10       57:17,24 58:3,9       62:16 78:4         43:11 44:14 45:13       104:2       76:7,17 77:4 82:8       58:18 60:8,9,20       understanding         45:15,23 46:3       Theological 77:17       88:25 92:6 93:7       61:8,14 62:22       39:14 90:2         47:5,13,15 49:13       theoretically 92:22       95:1 96:18 97:17       63:15 64:4 80:15       understanding         51:22 76:22,25       therapy 100:13,17       98:17 102:9,15,19       82:18 83:19 90:1       unfair 67:9         79:25 81:17 85:11       100:18       105:14       94:9 96:10,25       unfavorable 55:21					
35:19 41:9 43:387:15 99:8 101:172:22 73:7,7,1057:17,24 58:3,962:16 78:443:11 44:14 45:13104:276:7,17 77:4 82:858:18 60:8,9,20understanding45:15,23 46:3Theological 77:1788:25 92:6 93:761:8,14 62:2239:14 90:247:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:15unterstanding51:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:11100:18105:1494:9 96:10,25unfavorable 55:21					
43:1144:1445:13104:276:7,1777:482:858:1860:8,9,20understanding45:15,2346:3Theological77:1788:2592:693:761:8,1462:2239:1490:247:5,13,1549:13theoretically92:2295:196:1897:1763:1564:480:15understanding51:2276:22,25therapy100:13,1798:17102:9,15,1982:1883:1990:1unfair79:2581:1785:11100:18105:1494:996:10,25unfavorable55:21					,
45:15,23 46:3 47:5,13,15 49:13Theological 77:17 theoretically 92:2288:25 92:6 93:7 95:1 96:18 97:1761:8,14 62:22 					
47:5,13,15 49:13theoretically 92:2295:1 96:18 97:1763:15 64:4 80:15unethically 67:1351:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:11100:18105:1494:9 96:10,25unfavorable 55:21					
51:22 76:22,25therapy 100:13,1798:17 102:9,15,1982:18 83:19 90:1unfair 67:979:25 81:17 85:11100:18105:1494:9 96:10,25unfair 67:9					
79:25 81:17 85:11 100:18 105:14 94:9 96:10,25 unfavorable 55:21					
	-				
ほう フリートリント コンプロコロダン うんしつ しんじゅう しょう パンパート しょう	90:10,15 91:17	thing 34:5	timely 57:15 63:7		
the the the the transfer is th					
ungraduate //.8	VWAR DVILL JUILY				ungraduate 77:8
20:9 80:10 65:21 71:16,20 37:7 59:17 68:7		20.7 00.10	05:21 /1:10,20	37:7 39:17 68:7	

Page 13

	1	[ <sup>1</sup>	I	1
unique 15:24	waiting 50:2	25:11 28:14 29:18	47:22 62:7 70:21	80:14,18 84:14,21
unit 73:21	walk 65:22 66:6	33:11 34:9,24	84:3	84:25 85:6,21
University 5:5 70:4	walked 40:13 41:18	35:24 40:22 42:16	workup 57:24	86:3 87:1,10,14
71:3 72:7 76:17	wall 101:5	42:20 47:1,15	worse 8:9 9:10	87:17,18,21,24
unless 82:15	want 12:20 18:1,5	48:23 49:8,20	84:23 87:16 92:11	88:2 92:10,14,23
unpleasant 98:18	28:12 30:13,16	50:23 52:3,8	101:20 103:19,23	93:2 100:11,14
unquote 82:2	33:24 36:3,3	54:18 55:2 61:15	wouldn't 21:20	1A 88:4
<b>until</b> 27:18	38:25 49:6,23,25	62:2,14 64:17	29:3,6 33:4 48:24	1B 88:3
update 4:25	50:6 52:5 53:19	70:17 75:24 80:7	80:11 91:23	10 41:12
upper 89:14 93:22	54:20 56:10 64:17	81:23 85:14,17,25	102:10,17	1099's 24:22
use 24:20 92:17	64:23 75:15 80:11	88:17 90:2 92:15	wound 101:6	<b>12</b> 32:19 76:24
used 17:12 30:12,17	80:23 92:15	94:19 96:11 98:1	write 15:19	<b>1220</b> 2:6
76:16 86:20	wanted 17:16 67:10	98:20 99:21	written 6:24 7:3	1400 2:13
using 100:18	Warner 2:12 11:13	101:11,21,22	9:15 11:10 68:18	15 36:13,14 41:13
usual 100:13	11:25 12:8,10	102:25 103:25	73:23	19 6:8
utilized 43:16	13:7,22 14:4,25	went 18:17 28:14	wrote 20:15	1901 1:22
	15:12 16:8,15,18	43:5 77:16 91:19		1990s 36:2
<u> </u>	17:4,7,11,21,24	were 3:3 10:4 12:13	<u> </u>	1991 76:23
vague 22:7 46:17	18:10,13 19:2	13:14 15:7 16:14	x-ray 67:1,4	<b>1996</b> 32:15 34:14
varies 55:5	21:4,4,16 25:9	23:5 36:10,12	x-rays 66:22	<b>1999</b> 67:1,22 95:15
variety 9:9	26:13,23 27:3,8	38:15 39:8,12		100:18
various 19:21 24:22	27:19 28:17,23	40:6,16 47:22	Y	
68:3	29:21,22,24 30:2	50:16 59:12 60:14	year 8:12 10:9	2
vast 51:14	30:22 31:2 33:9	60:16 61:13 69:14	24:20,21 25:22	2 3:3 19:15,17,19
venue 74:8	33:19 34:2 35:17	70:20 74:10 77:4	26:2 27:18 32:20	19:23 20:5 84:15
veracity 35:3	36:25 39:19 44:11	77:12,16,25 78:5	36:5 37:23 45:1	85:5 87:2
verify 18:8 24:24	44:12,20 58:13	83:18 90:6 93:20	77:10,16,19 81:17	2nd 2:6 105:19
version 9:15	59:21,24 62:8	94:8,11,14,24,24	95:12 100:7	20 34:17 35:23 36:9
versus 8:16 40:4	63:16 64:5,15	96:18 100:18	years 5:5 25:19	36:15 51:18
42:4 103:18	65:6,12,15,20	West 39:23 40:3	27:16 34:6 36:8	<b>20th</b> 50:8 51:3,6
very 5:3 6:2 9:8	66:4 67:3,9,15,23	71:8,9 83:10	41:13 52:7 71:22	2000 95:13
22:7 32:15,17,17	69:2 76:8 78:9	WHEREOF 105:18	74:3 76:20,24	2002 11:6,14 15:1
36:21 57:25 64:21	79:21 97:1 104:7	while 32:16 44:24	77:7 78:10,11	20:10 74:23 81:16
70:18 79:23 88:9	104:11	45:5,6 49:5	87:1,6 90:14	82:5
88:12,12 91:10	Warner's 16:22	whole 33:20 95:23	91:24	2003 1:14 38:11
92:5 101:13 103:5	18:14,20 19:1	105:10	yellow 7:17	39:8,15 40:2
videotape 43:16	wasn't 12:19 42:11	WILLIAM 1:4	York 77:16	105:19
view 95:22	61:21 77:21	winter 39:24 46:18	I OIK / /.10	<b>2004</b> 105:24
violate 57:14,23	waving 27:4,5	witness 1:17 3:7	Z	<b>2004</b> 103.24 <b>22</b> 1:14 74:22
58:8	way 4:24 15:3 17:4	25:7 31:17,22	Zucker 49:13	<b>22</b> 1,14 74.22 <b>22nd</b> 11:6,14 15:1
violated 54:17 55:1	18:13 19:3,4	34:5 37:15 41:12	*JUNDI TJ.1.J	16:13 20:7,10
55:17 56:21 57:8	21:10,12,23 22:5	52:9 82:25 84:4	\$	<b>23</b> 34:6
Virginia 39:23 40:3	22:8 25:6 37:21	104:5 105:18	<b>\$300</b> 81:15	<b>23</b> 54.0 <b>241-2600</b> 2:8
83:10	53:14 64:8,19	woman 103:2	\$350 81:6	<b>25</b> 36:9,10 51:18
visit 96:12	66:16 79:11 89:11	Woodmere 28:2	\$400 81:1,2,6	<b>25</b> 56:9,10 51:18 <b>25th</b> 88:19
visits 96:6	91:13 102:9,25	word 30:12,18	<b>\$500</b> 81:9,11	1
vital 103:2	week 18:14,21	words 28:4	φ <b>300</b> 01.7,11	<b>28(D)</b> 105:17
Vivian 1:19 65:15	32:17 47:20 75:2	work 20:1 24:24	0	3
105:8,22	weeks 47:12 48:3	29:4 54:20 81:18	02 40:22	<u>3</u> 84:15 88:22
vs 1:7	91:1	84:2	V& 40.22	102:23
	well 4:9 5:21 6:19	worked 14:3 44:10	1	<b>3B</b> 101:14,21
W	8:7,15 9:23 13:1	48:17 54:11 82:10	1 3:3 5:14 6:6 8:11	<b>30</b> 36:11 78:11
W 2:6	14:3,5,7,17 15:14	working 12:16	9:3,11 58:17	
	15:20 17:18 20:6	43:19 45:24 46:6	7.3,11 38:17	<b>30th</b> 49:9,15

## August 22, 2003

Page 14

$ \frac{4}{484;6552486;5} \frac{4}{88:22,480;13,17} \frac{936;9,22,2494;3}{102:23103;6} \frac{4}{582;22,493;3} \frac{102:23103;6}{102:23103;6} \frac{4}{583;22} \frac{4958;7}{999;1791;594;3} \frac{999;1791;594;3}{999;1791;594;3} \frac{999;1791;594;3}{944;1152;14} \frac{4576391;7}{554;11} \frac{6}{6060;25} \frac{6}{600;25} \frac{6}{600;25} \frac{6}{600;25} \frac{6}{600;25} \frac{6}{600;25} \frac{8}{81;6} \frac{8}{1112;15} \frac{7}{7:001;223} \frac{9}{120;22} \frac{9}{130;4210;46;15} \frac{9}{993;4185;4} $	I		ſ		
4 84:16 85:24 86:5         88:22,24 89:13,17         93:6,9:22,24 94:3         102:23 103:6         4.5 88:23 89:10,17         90:9,17 91:5 94:3         94:17         40 36:8 87:22         405 99:8         44113 2:7         44113 2:7         44113 2:14         457639 1:7         5         549:17         5th 47:16,20         50 86:11         6         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         80 88:2,6 101:22         80 88:2,6 101:22         80 88:2,6 101:22         93 08:2,24 73:4         9         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         9:03 2:16 72:23	1				
88:22,24 89:13,17 93:6,9,22,24 94:3 102:23 103:6 4.5 88:23 89:10,17 90:9,17 91:5 94:3 94:17 40 36:8 87:22 405 99:8 44113 2:7 44115 2:14 457639 1:7 5 5 49:17 5 th 47:16,20 50 86:11 6 6 6 08 88:2,6 6 06 28:2,6 6 60 29:5 6 7 7 100 1:23 7:15 4:1 7 7 100 1:23 7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8 th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 805 72:23,24 73:4 9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90 332:16 72:23					
93:6;9,22:249:4:310:2:23:103:645:88:2:89:10,1790:9,17:91:5:94:394:1740:36:8:7:2240:99:844:113:2:744:115:2:14457639:1:755549:175th 47:16,2050:86:116660:88:2.66660:2:5687-1311:2:15777:00:1:237:15:4:188:105:248th 49:980:88:2,6:101:2280:57:23:24:73:499:40:229:30:4:2:104:6,159:03:21:672:23					
102:23 103:6 $4.5 88:23 89:10,17$ $90:9,17 91:5 94:3$ $94:17$ $40 36:8 87:22$ $40 36:8 87:22$ $44113 2:7$ $44115 2:14$ $457639 1:7$ $5$ $5 49:17$ $5 th 47:16,20$ $50 86:11$ $6$ $60 88:2,6$ $660 2:5$ $687-1311 2:15$ $7$ $7$ $700 1:23$ $7:15 4:1$ $8 105:24$ $8th 49:9$ $80 88:2,6 101:22$ $808 72:23,24 73:4$ $9$ $9 40:22$ $9:30 4:2 104:6,15$ $90 32:16 72:23$	88:22,24 89:13,17				
102:23 103:6 $4.5 88:23 89:10,17$ $90:9,17 91:5 94:3$ $94:17$ $40 36:8 87:22$ $40 36:8 87:22$ $44113 2:7$ $44115 2:14$ $457639 1:7$ $5$ $5 49:17$ $5 th 47:16,20$ $50 86:11$ $6$ $60 88:2,6$ $660 2:5$ $687-1311 2:15$ $7$ $7$ $700 1:23$ $7:15 4:1$ $8 105:24$ $8th 49:9$ $80 88:2,6 101:22$ $808 72:23,24 73:4$ $9$ $9 40:22$ $9:30 4:2 104:6,15$ $90 32:16 72:23$	93:6,9,22,24 94:3				
4.5 88:23 89:10,17 90:9,17 91:5 94:3 94:17 40 36:8 87:22 409 99:8 44113 2:7 44115 2:7 44115 2:14 457639 1:7 5 5 49:17 5 th 47:16,20 50 86:11 6 6 60 88:2,6 660 2:5 687-1311 2:15 7 7 700 1:23 7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8 th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 808 72:23,24 73:4 9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 900 32:16 72:23	102:23 103:6				
90:9,17 91:5 94:3 94:17 40 36:8 87:22 40s 99:8 44113 2:7 44115 2:14 457639 1:7 5 5 5 49:17 5 th 47:16,20 5 0 86:11 6 6 6 0 88:2,6 6 6 0 2:5 6 87-1311 2:15 7 7 7:00 1:23 7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
94:17 40 36:8 87:22 40s 99:8 44113 2:7 44115 2:14 457639 1:7 $55549:175549:175666688:2,6660 2:5687-1311 2:1577700 1:237:15 4:188 105:248th 49:980 88:2,6 101:2280 872:23,24 73:49999(3)2:2 104:6,1590 32:16 72:23$					
40 36:8 87:22 408 99:8 44113 2:7 44113 2:7 44115 2:14 457639 1:7 5 49:17 5 th 47:16,20 50 86:11 6 60 88:2,6 660 2:5 687-1311 2:15 7 7:00 1:23 7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8 th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
40s 99:8         44113 2:7         44115 2:14         457639 1:7					
$ \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r} \begin{array}{r}$					
44115 2:14         457639 1:7         5         549:17         5th 47:16,20         50 86:11         6         6         68:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 872:23,24 73:4         9         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23					
$ \frac{457639 1:7}{5} \\ \frac{5}{549:17} \\ 5th 47:16,20 \\ 50 86:11 \\ \hline 6 \\ 60 88:2,6 \\ 660 2:5 \\ 687-1311 2:15 \\ \hline 7 \\ 7:00 1:23 \\ 7:15 4:1 \\ \hline 8 \\ 8 105:24 \\ 8th 49:9 \\ 80 88:2,6 101:22 \\ 808 72:23,24 73:4 \\ \hline 9 \\ 9 40:22 \\ 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 \\ 90s 32:16 72:23 \\ \hline $	44113 2:7				
$ \frac{457639 1:7}{5} \\ \frac{5}{549:17} \\ 5th 47:16,20 \\ 50 86:11 \\ \hline 6 \\ 60 88:2,6 \\ 660 2:5 \\ 687-1311 2:15 \\ \hline 7 \\ 7:00 1:23 \\ 7:15 4:1 \\ \hline 8 \\ 8 105:24 \\ 8th 49:9 \\ 80 88:2,6 101:22 \\ 808 72:23,24 73:4 \\ \hline 9 \\ 9 40:22 \\ 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 \\ 90s 32:16 72:23 \\ \hline $	<b>44115</b> 2:14				
$ \frac{5}{549:17} \\ 5th 47:16,20 \\ 50 86:11 \\ \hline 6}{60 88:2,6} \\ 660 2:5 \\ 687-1311 2:15 \\ \hline 7 \\ 7:00 1:23 \\ 7:15 4:1 \\ \hline 8 \\ 8 105:24 \\ 8th 49:9 \\ 80 88:2,6 101:22 \\ 80s 72:23,24 73:4 \\ \hline 9 \\ 9 40:22 \\ 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 \\ 90s 32:16 72:23 \\ \hline $					
5 49:17         5th 47:16,20         50 86:11         6         60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23	10,009 11,				
5 49:17         5th 47:16,20         50 86:11         6         60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23	5				
<b>5th</b> 47:16,20 <b>50</b> 86:11 <b>6 60</b> 88:2,6 <b>60</b> 2:5 <b>687-1311</b> 2:15 <b>7 7:00</b> 1:23 <b>7:15</b> 4:1 <b>8 8</b> 105:24 <b>8th</b> 49:9 <b>80</b> 88:2,6 101:22 <b>80</b> 88:2,6 101:22 <b>80</b> 88:2,6 101:22 <b>90</b> 940:22 <b>9:30</b> 4:2 104:6,15 <b>90 9</b> 32:16 72:23					
50 86:11         6         60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         700 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23					
6         60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23	<b>5th</b> 47:16,20				
6         60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23	50 86:11				
60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23					
60 88:2,6         660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23	6				
660 2:5         687-1311 2:15         7         7:00 1:23         7:15 4:1         8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23					
$     \begin{array}{r}             687-1311 2:15 \\             \hline             7 \\           $	660 2:5				
$     \frac{7}{7:00 1:23} \\     7:15 4:1 \\     \hline         8         8 105:24 \\         8th 49:9 \\         80 88:2,6 101:22 \\         80s 72:23,24 73:4 \\     \hline         9 \\         9 40:22 \\         9:30 4:2 104:6,15 \\         90s 32:16 72:23     $					
7:00 1:23 7:15 4:1 $ $	08/-1311 2:15				
7:00 1:23 7:15 4:1 $ $					
7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
7:15 4:1 8 8 105:24 8th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23	7:00 1:23				
8         8 105:24         8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4         9         9 40:22         9:30 4:2 104:6,15         90s 32:16 72:23					
8 105:24 8th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
8 105:24 8th 49:9 80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23	8			ł	
8th 49:9         80 88:2,6 101:22         80s 72:23,24 73:4					
80 88:2,6 101:22 80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
80s 72:23,24 73:4 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
9 9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23	80s 72:23,24 73:4				
9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23					
9 40:22 9:30 4:2 104:6,15 90s 32:16 72:23	9				
<b>9:30</b> 4:2 104:6,15 <b>90s</b> 32:16 72:23					
<b>90s</b> 32:16 72:23					
	<b>7:30</b> 4:2 104:6,15				
99 4:18 5:4					
	<b>99</b> 4:18 5:4				