	1
1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
2	LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO
3	JAMES J. ARMSTRONG, etc.,
4	Plaintiff, JUDGE ZALESKI
5	-vs- <u>CASE NO. 00 CV</u> 126180
6	EMH REGIONAL HEALTHCARE SYSTEM, dba, AMHERST
7	HOSPITAL, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	Deposition of <u>ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D.</u> , taken
11	as if upon cross-examination before Pamela S.
12	Greenfield, a Registered Diplomate Reporter,
13	Certified Realtime Reporter and Notary Public
14	within and for the State of Ohio, at the offices
15	of Alan E. Kravitz, M.D., 29001 Cedar Road,
16	Lyndhurst, Ohio, at 4:03 p.m. on Friday, May 31,
17	2002, pursuant to notice and/or stipulations of
18	counsel, on behalf of the Plaintiff in this
19	cause.
20	
21	MEHLER & HAGESTROM Court Reporters
22	CLEVELAND AKRON
23	1750 Midland Building 1015 Key Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115 Akron, Ohio 44308
24	216.621.4984 330.535.7300 FAX 621.0050 FAX 535.0050
25	FAX 021.0050 FAX 535.0050 800.822.0650 800.562.7100

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :
2	Donna Taylor-Kolis, Esq. Thomas Conway, Esq.
3	Friedman, Domiano & Smith 600 Standard Building
4	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 621-0070,
5	On behalf of the Plaintiff;
6	Ronald A. Rispo, Esq.
7	Weston, Hurd, Fallon, Paisley & Howley 2500 Terminal Tower
8	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 241-6602,
9	On behalf of the Defendant
10	Briccio Celerio, M.D.;
11	Ronald Wilt, Esq. Buckingham, Doolittle & Burroughs
12	1375 East Ninth Street Suite 1700
13	Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 621-5300,
14	On behalf of the Defendants
15	Paul Bartulica, M.D., et al.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

ſ

1	<u>WITNESS INDEX</u>
2	PAGE
3	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D.
5	BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS
б	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D. BY MR. WILT
8	
9	<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>
10	EXHIBIT MARKED
11	EXHIBIT MARKED
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, 2/13/01 Papalardo letter to Kravitz
13	
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, handwritten notes
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 3, 5/21/02 Kravitz letter to Rispo 29
16	_
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 4, 5/20/02 Rispo letter to Kravitz
18	Plaintiff's Exhibit 5, 5/16/02
19	Rispo letter to Kravitz
20	Plaintiff's Exhibit 6, 5/21/02 Rispo fax to Kravitz
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 7, 5/21/02
22	Kravitz fax to Rispo
23	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8, 5/21/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo
24	
25	

1	<u>EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED</u>
2	EXHIBIT MARKED
3	
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 9, handwritten notes
5	
6	Plaintiff's Exhibit 10, 5/30/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11, 5/30/02
8	Kravitz fax to Rispo
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, graphic
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, graphic
11	Plaintiff's Exhibit 14, 10/19/01
12	Kravitz letter to Rispo
13	Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, 11/17/01 Shumate letter to Kravitz
14	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16, 1/3/02
15	Kravitz letter to Rispo
16	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, 1/23/02 Papalardo letter to Kravitz
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, 9/4/01
18	Rispo letter to Kravitz
19	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19, 11/6/01 Rispo letter to Kravitz
20	
21	Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, 11/8/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo
22	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21, 12/15/01 Kravitz letter to Papalardo
23	- Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, 10/19/01
24	Kravitz letter to Rispo
25	

Ŋ

О Ы 5	ARKED	ი ო	9 6	3 g	4 0	4 0	4 0	41	4 1	4 2	(7 (7	4 2	44	44	44	
N	MA		•			•	•	• • •	•	•		• • •		• • •	• • •	
E N		10,				•	/01	/ 0 2	•	,02 1		• • •	10,	• • •		
		11/2/	11/2/	10/16		• • • •	9/21/	5/24/	• • •	8/29/ itiona		• • •	6/13/ .z	• • •	• • •	
DEX		tispo	23,	: 24, Ivitz.	t 36, erpto hm,nt	27, ript.	: 28, lispo.	: 29, Vispo.	30,	: 31, ro-Na	. 32. Jort	33.	: 34, Cravit	. 35,	: 36, 	
Z H		kx⊅ibit r to R	chibit Risp	xhibit to Kra	с т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т т	xhibit n-U-Sc	xhibit r to R	xhibit r to R	xhibit 	xhibit r to P	tz røp	xhibit otes	xhibit r to K	chibit 	xhibit -U-Scr	
E H		- a , て ひ し 日 の 日	ax to	ר - המ ר	0 W ل ت ت ت 	H N N H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	e r C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	е - С С С С С С	- S Memo	f's Ex. letter	f' m &x Kre£it	- 0 8 2 9 2	f-s E> letter	い い て 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、 、、、、、 、 、、、、、、	S Min H	
н Н	ВП В	ntiff itz l	ntiff itz f	ntiff o let	ntiff /01 R itz w	ntiff lardso	ntif itz	ntif itz	ntiff file	htif itz	ntif /01	.ntiff writt	ntif ate	ntiff laint	ntif ulic	
Х Ш	THX ĭ	Plai Kra t	РІаі Ктаv	Plai Risp	Plai 9∕19 Krav	Plai Rich	Plai Krav	Plai Krav	Plai 9∕8	РІаі Ктаv	Plai 6/21	Plai hand	Plai Shum	Plai Comp	Plai Bart	
MMS M Management and a second second second	ere ar esterna anna air air air air air															
Н	M M	4 I	o o	L 0				1 T 7 4		17		0 A 10 H		3 7 5 7	ひ ひ 4 に	

1	<u>EXHIBIT INDEX CONTINUED</u>
2	
3	<u>EXHIBIT</u> <u>MARKED</u>
4	Plaintiff's Exhibit 37, death certificate/coroner's report 45
5	Plaintiff's Exhibit 38, 1/15/01
6	Mendelsohn letter to Farchione 45
7	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39, Celerio Min-U-Script
8	
9	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40, 5/31/01 Smithson letter to Taylor-Kolis 49
10	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41, 5/29/01 London letter to Kolis
11	
12	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42, 10/4/01 Dublikar letter with Richardson's office chart
13	Plaintiff's Exhibit 43, 8/24/01
14	Burkons letter to Farchione 51
15	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, 8/26/01 Watts letter to Farchione
16	
17	Plaintiff's Exhibit 45, indexed Richardson records
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
1	

	Γ	7
1		ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D., of lawful age,
2		called by the Plaintiff for the purpose of
3		cross-examination, as provided by the Rules of
4		Civil Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as
5		hereinafter certified, deposed and said as
б		follows:
7		<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D.</u>
8		BY MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS:
9	Q.	Doctor, for the record would you state your name
10		and your business address, please?
11	Α.	I'm sorry? Say that again, please.
12	Q.	Sure. Your name and your business address?
13	Α.	Sure. My name is Alan E. Kravitz and my business
14		address is 29001 Cedar Road, Lyndhurst, Ohio,
15		44124, Suite 615.
16	Q.	Doctor, we were briefly introduced but for
17		identification purposes on the record, my name is
18		Donna Kolis and along with Thomas Conway, we
19		represent the estate of Nancy Armstrong. It is
20		my understanding that you have been retained by
21		Mr. Rispo for purposes of testifying in this
22		case. Is that a correct statement?
23	A.	I was retained by Mr. Rispo to review certain
24		materials and act as a consultant to him and to
25		subject myself to depositions or testify if

	Γ	8
1		needed.
2	Q.	All right. Fair enough, doctor.
3		We'll get started while Tom's looking through
4		there.
5		Doctor, to the best of your recollection,
б		have you ever testified for Mr. Rispo on any
7		prior occasions?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	What is the frequency with which you testify in
10		northeast Ohio on behalf of physicians?
11	Α.	I'm sorry. What is the?
12	Q.	The frequency with do you have
13	Α.	You'll have to speak up little bit. I'm sorry.
14	Q.	You're hard of hearing?
15	A.	You'll have to speak up a little bit.
16	Q.	Okay. What is the frequency with which you
17		testify for physicians in northeast Ohio?
18	Α.	In the last 12 months, I haven't testified for
19		any physicians.
20	Q.	In the last 12 months have you testified by way
21		of deposition or trial in any medical malpractice
22		case?
23	Α.	I believe I have for one of Mr. Rispo's
24		colleagues or partners.
25	Q.	I didn't understand your answer. You think

			9
1		you've	
2	Α.	The answer is yes.	
3	Q.	And was that for	
4	Α.	To my knowledge.	
5	Q.	someone at Weston Hurd, doctor?	
б	A.	To my knowledge, yes.	
7	Q.	Do you recall what attorney that was?	
8	Α.	No.	
9	Q.	And was that on behalf of a patient or a	
10		physician?	
11	Α.	It was on behalf of a physician.	
12	Q.	Doctor, you became a physician in what year? I	
13		do have your CV but	
14	Α.	1969.	
15	Q.	Since 1969 through the present, that being 2002	,
16		what would you say the volume of medical/legal	
17		reviews is that you have done?	
18	Α.	I don't know.	
19	Q.	Well, with what frequency, doctor first of	
20		all, when did you start doing medical/legal	
21		reviews?	
22	A.	Probably in the mid '80s.	
23	Q.	And when you began how is it that you came to	
24		start doing testifying or reviewing?	
25	A.	A golfing partner, attorney represented a major	

		10
1		carrier at the time in Ohio and wanted me to meet
2		with one of their adjusters, which I did, and
3		they had a need for someone to review a volume of
4		cases which they insured the defendant hospital
5		or doctors.
6	Q.	So that's how you began and I know you're saying
7		you don't know the numbers but you must have some
8		idea of how frequently you've given depositions
9		or testified in that period of time.
10	A.	20 years ago, I don't have any idea. I would
11		tell you that at least 75 percent of my work with
12		that insurance company involved simply reviewing
13		cases and communicating to the adjuster or to the
14		attorney.
15	Q.	${\tt I}$ discovered by doing some Internet research that
16		in the past I would say seven, we'll call it
17		seven years or so that it seems that you've done
18		some testifying for patients but outside of Ohio,
19		specifically Michigan. Does that refresh your
20		recollection as to maybe cases that you've done
21		for patients?
22	A.	No. If you can tell me the cases, I'd be glad to
23		listen to it. I'll tell you what would you
24		repeat the attorney's question?
25	Q.	Is it your testimony under oath that in the past

		11
1		five to seven years you haven't testified for
2		patients in the State of Michigan in medical
3		malpractice cases?
4	A.	I'm sorry, I misunderstood your question.
5		The answer is yes, I have.
6	Q.	And how is it that you came to begin testifying
7		for patients in the State of Michigan?
8	A.	I was asked by a law firm or attorney many years
9		ago. I haven't, for reasons that are not clear
10		to me, I haven't done any record reviewing or
11		testifying, to my knowledge, for anybody in
12		Michigan for the last three or four years with
13		the exception of one case which is, I believe,
14		still dragging along.
15	Q.	Doctor, have you ever had your name listed with a
16		medical/legal service that provides expert names
17		to attorneys?
18	A.	No, or at least not with my permission.
19	Q.	Doctor, you are board certified in what
20		subspecialties?
21	A.	I'm board certified in internal medicine. I'm
22		also board certified in cardiology.
23	Q.	And as we sit here today, this of course is your
24		business address, correct?
25	A.	Correct.

		12
1	Q.	Tell me a little bit about your practice.
2	Α.	My practice is internal medicine and cardiology,
3		hospital inpatient, office, that's a little
4		bit
5	Q.	When you say internal medicine, are you
6		indicating to me that you don't exclusively have
7		cardiac patients for your patient population?
8	A.	Yes, that's correct.
9	Q.	How does that break down between internal
10		medicine and cardiology?
11	A.	How do I do it? I do it the same way everybody
12		else does it. There are 14 specialties of
13		internal medicine. I do 13 and because of my
14		expertise in cardiology, I do a lot of cardiology
15		referrals.
16	Q.	I didn't ask the question very articulately.
17		What is the percentage breakdown in your patient
18		population? What percentage of your patients are
19		here because you're a cardiologist versus the
20		percentage that you see for internal medicine
21		issues?
22	Α.	Probably about 50/50.
23	Q.	Do you consider yourself a family practice
24		physician?
25	A.	No. I told you what I am. Please don't ask the

		13
1		question again. I'm an internist and a
2		cardiologist. That's all I am.
3	Q.	Doctor, I'm not entirely sure why you would be so
4		hostile about the question.
5	Α.	I'm not hostile. I'm just asking you not to ask
6		the question again. That's all.
7	Q.	Well, guess what? This is my deposition and I
8		get to ask the questions.
9	Α.	That's fine. This is my office and I get to
10		decide whether you stay here or not. Ask your
11		question.
12	Q.	Is that a threat on your part to terminate this
13		deposition?
14	A.	Not at all. It's a statement of fact.
15	Q.	Now that we're done with the colloquy. The
16		question was directed at the issue if you are an
17		internal medicine physician, are you handling
18	:	family practice issues such as people come to you
19		for complaints of colds, flus, fevers, general
20		medical inquiries?
21	A.	People come to me for problems with general
22		internal medicine with the however many
23		subspecialties of internal medicine there are
24		that exclude cardiology. That's what I see here.
25		Things like endocrinology. I mean, I'm sure you

		14
1		know what they are. If you'd like, I'll go
2		through as many as I can remember, as many of the
3		subspecialties.
4	Q.	You currently have admitting privileges at
5		Hillcrest and South Pointe; is that correct?
б	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Any other hospitals?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Have you had your hospital privileges revoked at
10		any hospital during your career?
11	Α.	No.
12	Q.	Have you been sued for medical negligence at any
13		time in your career?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	How many occasions?
16	Α.	One.
17	Q.	How did that case resolve?
18	Α.	It was dropped.
19	Q.	It was dismissed without settlement? Is that it?
20	Α.	The, I guess that's what you'd say. The way I
21		understand it, the plaintiff did not want to
22		pursue the case.
23	Q.	You have no partners in your practice with you,
24		is that correct, Dr. Kravitz?
25	A.	Yes.

		15
1	Q.	Has that been so since you opened this cardiology
2		practice?
3	A.	Can you be a little more clear on this cardiology
4		practice.
5	Q.	Sure. Your CV indicates that you are in private
6		practice since 1976 as Alan E. Kravitz M.D., Inc.
7		through the present and I'm asking you if at any
8		time from 1976 through the present you've had
9		partners or
10	A.	Okay. I'm sorry. I understand your question.
11		No.
12	Q.	And the answer to the question?
13	A.	The answer is no. I'm sorry.
14	Q.	Doctor, when were you initially contacted to
15		consult with Mr. Rispo in this matter?
16	A.	I think it was about a year ago. It's in the
17		records that your colleague is reviewing.
18	Q.	Okay. Well, we'll probably go through those so
19		I'm going to ask some general questions that
20		don't have to do with me going through the file
21		at this point but suffice it to say, I gather
22		this is your complete file?
23	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
24	Q.	I gather you've removed no pieces of paper from
25		the same?

	r	
		16
1	A.	Right.
2	Q.	I have been provided with a report that is dated
3		June 21st, 2001 and I need to inquire, doctor,
4		whether that's the only report you have written
5		in this matter?
6	A.	I don't recall, but I'll be glad to look through,
7		you know, all this material and tell you.
8		This is everything I gave you; is that
9		correct?
10		MR. CONWAY: Everything is right
11		here and you're holding it.
12		THE WITNESS: Is that the only
13		report I wrote?
14		MR. RISPO: That's the only
15		report I'm aware of.
16	A.	Yeah. To my knowledge, that's the only report
17		that I wrote to Mr. Rispo.
18		MR. WILT: Here's this. I don't
19		know if you gave that to Mr. Rispo or not.
20		Do you have another question?
21	Q.	Are you going to look while I'm asking questions?
22	A.	No. To my knowledge, that's the only report that
23		I provided.
24	Q.	Doctor, let me ask you another question just so
25		it's clear for the record because transcripts

		17
1		sometimes are helpful in court.
2		Do you understand that you are being paid
3		\$400 an hour today by court order?
4	A.	I understand that you're paying me \$400 an hour
5		today by court order, yes, or your firm.
6	Q.	All right. What
7	A.	I also want to make it clear that irrespective of
8		what time the deposition in fact started, that at
9		the appointed hour, specifically, 4:00, I was
10		ready, willing and able to begin.
11		MR. WILT: It was my fault we were
12		ten minutes late.
13	A.	Whosever fault it is. We were ready to begin
14		without you.
15	Q.	We certainly won't attempt to reduce your fee
16		based upon Ron's not being able to be here on
17		time.
18		All right. In any event, doctor, you begin
19		your report or at least the one that I have says,
20		"At your request I have reviewed certain
21		documents related to Nancy Armstrong."
22		Doctor, I would like to know what documents
23		specifically you reviewed that were forwarded to
24		you from Mr. Rispo before you authored this
25		report dated June 21st, 2001?

		18
1	A.	I reviewed all of the documents that Mr. Rispo
2		forwarded to me that are lying in front of us. I
3		also reviewed some slides that Dr. Mendelsohn had
4		prepared and I reviewed certain medical texts.
5	Q.	All right. Well, let's begin at the beginning.
6		You're saying you reviewed certain documents
7		supplied to you by Mr. Rispo. Of course by the
8		way you've written this report, I have absolutely
9		no way of knowing what you reviewed. So I
10		need
11	A.	Well, everything that I have in my lap and that's
12		sitting in front of you and I'll be glad to, you
13		know, to go ahead and
14	Q.	Enumerate them?
15	Α.	catalog them for you.
16	Q.	Sure.
17		MR. RISPO: Do you have the June
18		13th letter?
19		MR. CONWAY: Doctor, why don't
20		you take everything here.
21	Α.	The documents that Mr. Rispo provided me were: A
22		copy of the complaint, records of Dr. Richardson,
23		the records of Dr. Bartulica, the records from
24		Amherst Hospital regarding Mrs. Armstrong, the
25		deposition transcript of Dr. Bartulica, the
	1	

		19
1		deposition transcript of Dr. Celerio, the autopsy
2		report and the coroner's report, the report of
3		Dr. Mendelsohn, the report of your expert,
4		Dr. London, the report of your expert,
5		Dr. Smithson.
6	Q.	And those were the documents which you had
7		available to you at that time, correct?
8	A.	Those, yes, those were the documents that
9		Mr. Rispo provided me on or before June 21st.
10	Q.	You also indicated that you had some slides.
11		Do you recall today whether they were stained
12		for amyloidosis at the time you saw them?
13	Α.	My recollection is they were.
14	Q.	Doctor, do you consider yourself because of your
15		specialty in cardiology able to look at slides
16		and interpret or diagnose amyloidosis from those
17		slides?
18	Α.	No. I consider myself, based on my training in
19	- *	internal medicine, able to do that but not to
20		the, excuse me, to the degree of skill that a
21		pathologist could.
22		
23		(Telephone interruption.)
24		
25		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Let the record

		20
1		reflect that it's 4:20 and the doctor
2		walked out of the room and I don't owe him
3		for his phone call.
4	Α.	I'm sorry.
5	Q.	Doctor, where we were is the question was
б		regarding your ability to look at stained slides
7		and determine whether or not there was
8		amyloidosis and you indicated you had that
9		ability, correct?
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	And were you able to in looking at the slides,
12		confirm the information contained in
13		Dr. Mendelsohn's report relative to his findings
14		about the slides?
15	A.	No. I thought about whether I should get
16		involved in looking at the slides considering
17		that I'm not a pathologist and I decided not to
18		and I returned the slides to Mr. Rispo.
19	Q.	So you didn't come to any definitive conclusions
20		on your own?
21	A.	No. I've known Dr. Mendelsohn for more than 20
22		years and I feel comfortable with his
23		interpretation and I feel uncomfortable with
24		considering myself an expert in pathology since
25		I've already qualified myself as an expert in 15

		21
1		other things.
2	Q.	You also indicated, I believe, that you had some
3		medical literature
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	to review?
6	А.	Yes.
7	Q.	Could you please tell me what medical literature
8		you reviewed and is it in fact still part of your
9		file?
10	Α.	I reviewed the editions of Hurst, The Heart and
11		Braunwald's Heart Disease.
12	Q.	Braunwald
13	Α.	B-R-A-U-N-W-A-L-D.
14	Q.	fifth or sixth edition?
15	Α.	Let me finish answering your question.
16		Braunwald's Heart Disease that were available to
17		the doctors in 1999.
18	Q.	Are you done with your answer?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	The Braunwald's that you looked at, do you know
21		what edition it was?
22	A.	It was the edition that was available in January
23		of 1999.
24	Q.	Did Mr. Rispo supply this literature to you or
25		did you look it up yourself?

r

		22
1	Α.	No. It's in my library.
2	Q.	Okay. And did you photocopy it and make it part
3		of your file?
4	Α.	No.
5	Q.	I would request that immediately following
6		today's deposition, you do the same and it be
7		provided to me through Mr. Rispo as soon as
8		practical.
9		Doctor, why did you review medical literature
10		in this matter?
11	Α.	I reviewed the editions of Hurst and Braunwald
12		that were available in 1999. That's all I
13		reviewed.
14	Q.	That wasn't my question. My question is why did
15		you review medical literature?
16	A.	Oh, it's my custom in doing a so-called
17		medical/legal case to confirm my opinions before
18		submitting them and that's why I did it.
19	Q.	Okay. Prior to becoming involved in the Nancy
20		Armstrong case, doctor, have you ever treated a
21		patient who had primary cardiac amyloid disease?
22	A.	Just solely amyloid is, cardiac amyloid, no other
23		amyloid?
24	Q.	Yes. Primary cardiac amyloid.
25	A.	I'm not aware that there is such an entity

		23
1	Q.	Well, that's the phrase that's been traded
2		around. What I'm saying is, first of all, have
3		you treated a person who has primary amyloidosis?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	How many patients?
б	Α.	Three.
7	Q.	And were you involved in their care and treatment
8		because the amyloid had infiltrated their heart
9		and they had cardiac issues?
10	A.	These occurred during the time that I spent at
11		Cornell and at Emery and I think one of them
12		occurred because it was an interesting case that
13		they wanted residents to see and I think the
14		other two occurred during my fellowship, again,
15		at Cornell and at Emery where I, you know, played
16		some part in their, in their, in the treatment.
17	Q.	All right. So if I understood what you just
18		testified to, your exposure to patients who have
19		amyloid disease with cardiac issues occurred
20		during your residency and fellowship and not
21		since you have commenced your career as an
22		attending physician in 1976?
23	A.	Just so the record is clear, I commenced my
24		career, I went into private practice in 1973 but
25		since 1976 or 1973 I have not treated a patient

		24
1		with primary amyloidosis.
2	Q.	To the best of your recollection, doctor, since
3		you reviewed the medical literature in
4		anticipation of writing this report, would you
5		say that treatment modalities for diagnosed
6		cardiac amyloidosis changed between 1973 and
7		2001?
8	A.	No.
9	Q.	So it's your testimony that no advances have been
10		made in the treatment of cardiac amyloidosis in
11		that period of time?
12	Α.	None that I'm aware of, no.
13	Q.	Let's deal a little bit with your report first.
14		Should I do the file first?
15		MR. CONWAY: Mark all the
16		documents.
17	Q.	Guess what we're going to do. Tom's had an
18		opportunity previously to look through this and
19		I'm going to have to go through this material
20		myself and have it marked by the court reporter.
21		And before I do that, doctor, subsequent to
22		the time of you writing that report on June 21st,
23		2001, can I assume that you received additional
24		materials from Mr. Rispo?
25	Α.	I don't yes, actually, I did.

	25
Q.	Just by way of a brief question at this point,
	have any of the subsequent materials, and we'll
	find out when we go through this file what they
	are that you've received, changed or amended any
	of the opinions that you originally wrote in your
	first report?
Α.	Yes. Actually, a combination of the materials
	and rereading the sections in Hurst and in
	Braunwald, I determined that my statement in the
	fourth paragraph of my June 21, '01 report was
	incorrect with respect to the life expectancy and
	that the correct life expectancy or a more
	correct life expectancy would be between a
	year-and-a-half and two years absent the, absent
	sudden cardiac death.
Q.	Can I inquire, doctor, in candor what made you
	change your opinion?
A.	In candor, the materials that I, the subsequent
	materials I reviewed, the relooking at the
	material that I had and the material in, about
	primary amyloidosis involving the heart in Hurst
	and Braunwald.
Q.	Outside of Hurst and Braunwald, the textbooks
	that you looked at, did you avail yourself of any
	Internet research from any primary centers in
	Q. A.

		26
1		this country that are publishing, researching and
2		treating cardiac amyloidosis?
3	A.	No.
4	Q.	So you wouldn't have looked at anything from the
5		Mayo Clinic?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	You wouldn't have looked at anything from
8		Columbia University?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	You wouldn't have looked at anything from The
11		Cleveland Clinic Foundation?
12	A.	No, not about amyloidosis, no.
13	Q.	Doctor, when did you come to this revised opinion
14		about Mrs. Armstrong's life expectancy?
15	Α.	Sometime in the last 11 months.
16	Q.	Well, doctor, it's rather important to me for a
17		number of reasons to know with as much
18		specificity as possible at what juncture you
19		changed your opinion.
20	A.	I didn't change my opinion. I have revised it.
21		I would say it was no later than January 15th of
22		2002.
23	Q.	And did you pick up the phone and communicate
24		that amendment of your opinions to Mr. Rispo?
25	A.	I think I communicated it to Mr. Rispo or to his

			27
	1		nurse paralegal.
	2	Q.	Did you put that opinion in writing? Will I find
	3		that anywhere in this material?
	4	A.	I don't believe so, no.
	5	Q.	Were you aware, doctor, that at or around the
	6		very time frame that you are talking about,
	7		Mr. Rispo and I entered into a stipulation that
	8		Mrs. Armstrong had a four to five-year life
	9		expectancy?
	10		MR. RISPO: Objection. We'll
	11		talk about that later.
	12	Α.	No, I'm not aware.
	13		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Mr. Rispo, that
4e	14		letter says what it says.
	15	Α.	I'm not aware of any communications you've had
	16		with Mr. Rispo.
	17		MR. RISPO: Do you have my copy
	18		of that June 13th letter?
	19		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Is one of these
	20		a copy and one's yours and one's his? Is
	21		that it?
	22		MR. RISPO: Yes. He'd have the
	23		original. I'd have my file copy.
	24		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: These are in no
	25		particular order obviously but we're going

		28
1		to have all of these documents marked and
2		unfortunately the poor court reporter will
3		have to copy them but let's go and they're
4		not as I said in any particular order. I
5		guess this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 1.
б		
7		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1,
8		2/13/01 Papalardo letter to Kravitz was marked
9		for purposes of identification.)
10		
11	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 1, which I'm putting the
12		sticker on, apparently, Dr. Kravitz, is a letter
13		to you from Ross Papalardo, Litigation Visuals,
14		and in it or attached to it are some, what I'm
15		going to go color proofs. Am I correctly
16		identifying that document?
17	Α.	You are.
18	Q.	You want to just turn that over face down on the
19		floor?
20		
21		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2,
22		handwritten notes was marked for purposes of
23		identification.)
24		
25	Q.	All right. Plaintiff's Exhibit 2, you're going

		29
1		to have to identify what it is because it's
2		handwriting on a piece of notebook paper.
3	Α.	It's a series of notes that I made either last
4		night or this afternoon when I reviewed the case
5		in preparation for this exercise.
6	Q.	And what name is across the top? Is that a name?
7		It seems to be a heading of some sort.
8	A.	Yeah. It's, I think it's Dr. Richardson, who is
9		the internist that took care of Mrs. Armstrong.
10	Q.	And what do the notes say? If you could read
11		them into the record, please.
12	Α.	Sure. It says Richardson and that's underlined.
13		It says Maxide 75/50. Fastin, F-A-S-T-I-N, 30.
14		There's a, I suppose it was a letter that I can't
15		interpret. The next series of letters are C-A-D
16		and echo. Thyroid disease. No diagnosis.
17		Amyloid. Carpal tunnel. Normal, that would be
18		echo. I'm not certain about that. Cath
19		negative. Normal LV function. SJWS,
20		5/99-5/6/99. Anxiety, right frontal lobe brain
21		lesion and there's another, the last notation
22		appears to be lumbo LS spine.
23	Q.	Thank you. You want to put that one down?
24		
25		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3,

		30
1	5/21/02 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marke	d for
2	purposes of identification.)	
3		
4). Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 is evidently a lett	er from.
5	yourself to Mr. Rispo. Briefly stated, w	e won't
6	have to go through the whole letter, this	; is a
7	letter you sent him indicating that you w	ould
8	need \$6500 prepaid global nonrefundable f	ee for
9	your appearance at trial. Am I stating t	hat
10	accurately?	
11	. Yes. This is in response to an inquiry r	egarding
12	depositions and trials.	
13		
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Ex	hibit 4,
15	5/20/02 Rispo letter to Kravitz was marke	d for
16	purposes of identification.)	
17		
18	. Next document is a letter to you apparent	ly from
19	Mr. Rispo. I'm going to mark that Exhibi	t 4 and
20	that correspondence is dated May 20, 2002	and it
21	is four pages long.	
22	Am I correctly identifying the date a	nd the
23	author of the letter and the number of page	ges?
24	. Yes.	
25		

		31
1		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 5,
2		5/16/02 Rispo letter to Kravitz was marked for
3		purposes of identification.)
4		
5	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 5 is a letter to you
б		from Mr. Rispo dated May 16th, it is a two-page
7		letter; is that correct?
8	Α.	Yes.
9		
10		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 6,
11		5/21/02 Rispo fax to Kravitz was marked for
12		purposes of identification.)
13		
14	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 6 is a fax copy, I
15		think, well, it's a fax letter from Mr. Rispo
16		dated May 21st. It's three pages, correct?
17	A.	Exhibit 6, Plaintiff's Exhibit 6 is a three-page
18		fax from Mr. Rispo.
19		
20		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 7,
21		5/21/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo was marked for
22		purposes of identification.)
23		
24	Q.	All right. Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 7 is a fax
25		communication from yourself to Mr. Rispo dated

		32
1		May 21st, 2002. Content apparently appears to be
2		a discussion about Judge Zaleski's order
3		regarding your hourly rate?
4	A.	Yeah. Again, this is material with respect to,
5		this is material with respect to arrangements for
6		today and subsequent arrangements for my
7		testimony.
8	Q.	Put it on the stack. Thank you.
9		
10		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 8,
11		5/21/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo was marked for
12		purposes of identification.)
13		
14	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 8 is a facsimile from
15		yourself to Mr. Rispo dated May 21st, 2002. Am I
16		stating that correctly?
17	Α.	Yes, sure.
18		<u>-</u> -
19		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 9,
20		handwritten notes was marked for purposes of
21		identification.)
22		
23	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9, can you please
24		identify, doctor, what is on this don't read
25		it, just tell me what this piece of paper

		33
1		represents.
2	A.	It represents some notes that I took last night
3		and this afternoon to prepare for this exercise.
4		
5		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
6		10, 5/30/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo was marked for
7		purposes of identification.)
8		
9	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 10 from you to
10		Mr. Rispo dated May 30th, 2002; is that correct?
11	A.	Yes, this is, again, a fax arranging for this
12		exercise.
13	Q.	Doctor, I just want to make sure I get the date
14		and the to and from correct.
15		
16		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
17		11, 5/30/02 Kravitz fax to Rispo was marked for
18		purposes of identification.)
19		
20	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 11, a facsimile cover sheet
21		dated May 30th to Ron Rispo from Dr. Kravitz; is
22		that correct?
23	А.	No, it's not correct.
24	Q.	What's incorrect about it?
25	A.	Well, there's a message in addition to a cover

		34
1		sheet.
2	Q.	Well, that piece of paper is the fax cover sheet,
3		isn't it, and there may be a message on it but
4		I'm just trying to get tos, froms and dates.
5		
6		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
7		12, graphic, was marked for purposes of
8		identification.)
9		
10	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 12, if you can just identify
11		briefly what that is?
12	A.	This is some of the graphics that I worked on
13		with Mr. Papalardo that might be needed for, to
14		clarify certain issues with the jury.
15		
16		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
17		13, graphic was marked for purposes of
18		identification.)
19		
20	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 13, I assume is the same?
21	Α.	Yeah. These are all, I guess I should have
22		supplied you with some paperclips. These are all
23		part of the same piece of work.
24	Q.	This is paper-clipped together. I might want to
25		keep it that way.

		35
1		
2		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
3		14, 10/19/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
4		for purposes of identification.)
5		
б	Q.	Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 is a letter dated
7		October 19th, 2001 to Mr. Rispo from Dr. Kravitz,
8		the letter with enclosures, it appeared to be
9		one, two, three, four pages. Doctor, is that a
10		correct statement?
11	A.	Yes.
12		
13		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
14		15, 11/17/01 Shumate letter to Kravitz was marked
15		for purposes of identification.)
16		
17	Q.	Okay. A letter dated October 17th, 2001 to you
18		from Mary Lou Shumate, nurse paralegal. I think
19		it's two pages.
20	Α.	Yes, your description is correct.
21		
22		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
23		16, 1/3/02 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked for
24		purposes of identification.)
25		
	1	

		36
1	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 16 dated January 3rd, 2002, a
2		letter to Ron Rispo from Alan E. Kravitz,
3		correct?
4	Α.	Yes. It's a copy of a letter.
5		
6		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
7		17, 1/23/02 Papalardo letter to Kravitz was
8		marked for purposes of identification.)
9		
10	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, a letter dated January
11		23rd, 2002, to yourself from Ross Papalardo?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	I think this is, doctor, I'm not going to mark
14		that. That's a copy of a letter we just marked.
15	Α.	This is, you acknowledge that this is something
16		you already have?
17	Q.	Right.
18	A.	Do you want it?
19	Q.	No.
20		
21		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
22		18, 9/4/01 Rispo letter to Kravitz was marked for
23		purposes of identification.)
24		
25	Q.	Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 18, a letter to Alan
		37
----	----	---
1		Kravitz from Ron Rispo dated September 4th, 2001?
2	A.	It's two pages, if it hasn't been indicated.
3		
4		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
5		19, 11/6/01 Rispo letter to Kravitz was marked
6		for purposes of identification.)
7		
8	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 19 is a letter dated November
9		6th, 2001 to Alan Kravitz from Ronald Rispo and
10		attached to it is three pages of attachments?
11	A.	Yes. Just for the record some of this is
12		redundant regarding the graphics that were
13		provided for this case.
14		
15		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
16		20, 11/8/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
17		for purposes of identification.)
18		
19	Q.	Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 20, a letter dated
20		November 8th, 2001 to Mr. Rispo from Alan
21		Kravitz?
22	Α.	It's not a letter. It's a copy of a letter.
23	Q.	Well, doctor, you would have copies because
24		Mr. Rispo would have the originals, right?
25	A.	I'm describing what you gave me. It's a copy of

		38
1		a letter. I don't know what Mr. Rispo has.
2		
3		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
4		21, 12/15/01 Kravitz letter to Papalardo was
5		marked for purposes of identification.)
6		
7	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 21, a copy of a letter to
8		Ross Papalardo dated December 15th, 2001 from
9		Alan E. Kravitz?
10	A.	Yes, that's correct.
11		
12		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
13		22, 10/19/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
14		for purposes of identification.)
15		
16	Q.	I think we've already marked this but,
17		Plaintiff's Exhibit 22, a letter dated, a copy of
18		a letter dated October 19th, 2001, to Ron Rispo
19		from Alan Kravitz, the document has a two-page
20		attachment to it?
21	Α.	This is a fax. Otherwise you've described it
22		accurately.
23	Q.	And you can take that, doctor, because that's
24		just the same cover, I think.
25		

		39
1		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
2		25, 11/2/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
3		for purposes of identification.)
4		
5	Q.	25 is
6	A.	Wait a second. Do you mind if I destroy this?
7	Q.	That's fine.
8		Plaintiff's Exhibit 25, original letter dated
9		November 2nd, 2001 from Alan E. Kravitz to Ron
10		Rispo?
11	A.	That's correct.
12		
13		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
14		23, 11/2/01 Kravitz fax to Rispo was marked for
15		purposes of identification.)
16		
17	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 23, facsimile cover sheet
18		dated November 2nd, 2001 to Ron Rispo from Alan
19		Kravitz with a message contained therein?
20	A.	That's correct.
21		
22		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
23		24, 10/16/01 Rispo letter to Kravitz was marked
24		for purposes of identification.)
25		

		40
1	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 24, original letter dated
2		October 16th, 2001 to Alan Kravitz from Ron
3		Rispo?
4	Α.	That's correct.
5		
б		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
7		26, 9/19/01 Rispo letter to Kravitz with
8		attachment was marked for purposes of
9		identification.)
10		
11	Q.	Exhibit 26, original cover letter dated September
12		19th, 2001 to Alan Kravitz from Ron Rispo.
13		Attached thereto is a Min-U-Script copy of the
14		deposition of Dr. Kenneth Smithson?
15	A.	That's correct.
16		
17		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
18		27, Richardson Min-U-Script was marked for
19		purposes of identification.)
20		
21	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 27 is a Min-U-Script copy of
22		the deposition of Dr. William Richardson?
23	Α.	That's also correct.
24		
25		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit

	r	
		41
1		28, 9/21/01 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
2		for purposes of identification.)
3		
4	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 28 is a copy of a letter
5		dated September 21st, 2001 to Ron Rispo from Alan
6		Kravitz?
7	A.	Correct.
8		
9		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
10		29, 5/24/02 Kravitz letter to Rispo was marked
11		for purposes of identification.)
12		
13	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 29 is a letter dated May 24,
14		2002 to Alan Kravitz from Ron Rispo, do you have
15		the documents that were with it?
16		MR. CONWAY: Yes. Those are it
17		right there.
18		
19		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
20		30, 9/8 file memo was marked for purposes of
21		identification.)
22		
23	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 30 is a I don't know what
24		it is. It's a piece of paper that says memo to
25		the file dated September 8th, Re: Nancy

42 1 Armstrong versus Celerio; is that right? 2 Doctor, I'm assuming you authored that memo? 3 Α. Yes. 4 - - -(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 5 31, 8/29/02 Kravitz letter to Pro-National was б marked for purposes of identification.) 7 8 9 Q. Plaintiff's Deposition Exhibit 31, a copy of a 10 letter dated August 29, 2001 to Pro-National from 11 Alan Kravitz, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 14 15 32, 6/21/01 Kravitz report was marked for purposes of identification.) 16 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit 32, doctor, is a copy, I 18 Q. 19 believe, of your June 21st report? 20 Yeah. With, so it's clear, with three or four Α. lines written on it after the copy was made. 21 22 23 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 24 33, handwritten notes was marked for purposes of 25 identification.)

		43
1		
2	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 33, doctor, you're going to
3		have to identify what it is. It looks like it's
4		your handwriting but I don't know what the
5		document is.
6	A.	It's some notes that I took not recently
7		regarding would you care how do you want me
8		to read them?
9	Q.	No. I just generally want to know what it is.
10	Α.	They're notes I took, I can't tell you what the
11		date is, but it was not recent not recent.
12		Probably late 2001.
13	Q.	And they were notes regarding what were you
14		reviewing at the time you were taking those
15		notes?
16	Α.	It was the whole, this whole matter. Part of it
17		relates to who cleared the patient for surgery.
18		Part of it relates to Dr. Richardson's role.
19		Part of it relates to amyloidosis and the meaning
20		of monoclonal antibodies. Part of it relates to
21		Dr. Celerio. Some more of the amyloid and what's
22		returned, what's termed restrictive disease and
23		sudden cardiac death and part of it is certain
24		comments I made about the wet reading of the EKG.
25		
1		

Γ

		44
1		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
2		34, 6/13/01 Shumate letter to Kravitz was marked
3		for purposes of identification.)
4		
5	Q.	Doctor, Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 is an original
6		letter dated June 13th, 2001 from, to yourself,
7		Alan Kravitz, from Ron Rispo?
8	Α.	Actually, it's not from Ron Rispo. It's from
9		Mary Lou Shumate.
10	Q.	Oh, who's not an attorney, she's the paralegal;
11		is that correct?
12	Α.	That's my understanding. She's a nurse.
13		— — — —
14		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
15		35, Complaint was marked for purposes of
16		identification.)
17		
18	Q.	Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 apparently is a
19		photostatic copy of the lawsuit which was filed
20		in this matter?
21	Α.	Yes.
22		
23		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
24		36, Bartulica Min-U-Script was marked for
25		purposes of identification.)

45 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 36, doctor, is a Min-U-Script 2 0. of Dr. Paul Bartulica's deposition, correct? 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit б 7 37, death certificate/coroner's report was marked for purposes of identification.) 8 9 10 Q. Okay. Plaintiff's Exhibit 37 is a photostatic 11 copy of the death certificate of Nancy Armstrong 12 along with the coroner's report and verdict? 13 correct. Α. 14 15 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 38, 1/15/01 Mendelsohn letter to Farchione was 16 marked for purposes of identification.) 17 18 19 Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 38, a copy of a letter dated January 15 to Joe Farchione from Geoffrey 20 Mendelsohn? 21 22 A. Correct. 23 24 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 39, Celerio Min-U-Script was marked for purposes 25

		46
1		of identification.)
2		
3	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 39, Min-U-Script copy of the
4		deposition testimony of Briccio Celerio?
5	Α.	Correct.
6	Q.	Doctor, are you ACLS certified?
7	А.	No.
8	Q.	Have you ever been ACLS certified?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Can you comment upon the resuscitation that
11		occurred in this case of Nancy Armstrong given
12		that you lack that certification?
13	Α.	Yeah. I think it was, it was suitable
14		resuscitation, however, the, had all the facts
15		been known, resuscitation would have been
16		unnecessary because it was doomed to failure.
17	Q.	And had all the facts been known, the surgery
18		wouldn't have occurred, would you be in agreement
19		with that?
20	Α.	Pardon me?
21	Q.	I said had all the facts been known, the surgery
22		wouldn't have occurred and therefore no
23		resuscitation would have been necessary. Would
24		you agree with that?
25	A.	No.

		47
1	Q.	Why not?
2	Α.	The issues that I've been asked to review and
3		comment upon really have nothing to do with the
4		surgery and I don't think the I don't think
5		the surgery has anything to do with the
6		plaintiff's case, at least with the death of the
7		plaintiff.
8	Q.	That wasn't the question I asked.
9	Α.	Well, that was the question you asked.
10	Q.	Well, what I asked you was first of all had all
11		the facts been known, this surgery would not have
12		gone forward. Would you agree with that?
13	Α.	No. I think the surgery would have gone forward.
14	Q.	If you were the cardiologist called in to consult
15		on this patient, you would have cleared this
16		patient for surgery on August 7th, 1999?
17	A.	There was no cardiologist called in, number one.
18		Number two, there was a, there were certain
19		medical facts that were never addressed including
20		the nature of the patient's heart disease at
21	-	catheterization and the monoclonal antibody issue
22		and a couple of other issues.
23		I didn't see the patient at that time and
24		based on the description of what I've read, I
25		just can't comment what I would do or wouldn't do

	r	
		48
1		had I seen the patient just before the surgery.
2	Q.	So if I understand you clearly, based on what
3		you've just said, that you can't comment on what
4		you would or wouldn't have done, you're going to
5		render no testimony at this trial regarding
6		whether or not she should have gone to surgery
7		that day?
8	A.	I'm going to, I believe, and, Mr. Rispo, you
9		correct me if I'm wrong, I believe that I'm only
10		going to render testimony regarding internal
11		medicine and cardiology issues and not about
12		OB/GYN issues or anesthesia issues or other
13		issues.
14	Q.	Well, let me ask it more simply since you're
15		saying it medically and I want to say it legally.
16		Are you going to be testifying as to the
17		appropriate standard of care in this case?
18	A.	For what?
19	Q.	For Dr. Celerio, first of all?
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	Dr. Bartulica?
22	Α.	No.
23	Q.	Are you going to be opining at the trial of this
24		lawsuit that any physician involved in the care
25		and treatment of Nancy Armstrong up to the point

		-	
			49
	1		of her surgery on August 7th, 1999 deviated from
	2		the standard of care?
	3	A.	Based on the material that I have as of 5:00 May
	4		31st, 2002, no, unless I receive additional
	5		material or I review additional literature.
	6	Q.	Doctor, at this point in time, as you've just
	7		stated what the day and time is, I won't have to
	8		repeat it and waste more of my time and money,
	9		are you going to be rendering any criticisms
	10		against Dr. Richardson?
	11	Α.	I'm not sure.
	12	Q.	Well, when will you be sure since this is
	13		supposed to be my discovery deposition before
Array A	14		trial?
	15	А.	I understand that I'm under oath and you're not.
	16		My answer is I'm not sure. If you want to know
	17		when I'll be sure, I'll be sure before the trial
	18		and I'll let you know. I'll let you know through
	19		my, through Mr. Rispo.
	20	Q.	Your comments are so noted and we'll certainly
	21		bring them to the attention of the court.
	22		
	23		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
	24		40, 5/31/01 Smithson letter to Taylor-Kolis was
	25		marked for purposes of identification.)

		50
1		
2	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 is a letter, a copy of a
3		letter addressed to myself from Dr. Kenneth
4		Smithson?
5	Α.	That's correct.
6		
7		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
8		41, 5/29/01 London letter to Kolis was marked for
9		purposes of identification.)
10		
11	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 is a copy of a letter
12		dated May 29, 2001 addressed to myself from
13		Dr. Andrew London?
14	A.	That's correct also.
15		
16		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
17		42, 10/4/01 Dublikar letter with Richardson's
18		office chart was marked for purposes of
19		identification.)
20		
21	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 42 is a letter, a copy of a
22		letter addressed to myself, Mr. Farchione who's
23		no longer on this case, and Mr. Rispo from Ralph
24		Dublikar enclosing the office notes of
25		Dr. Richardson?

		51
1	А.	That's correct.
2		
3		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
4		43, 8/24/01 Burkons letter to Farchione was
5		marked for purposes of identification.)
6		
7	Q.	43, a copy of a letter from David Burkons
8		addressed to Joe Farchione dated August 24, 2001?
9	A.	That's correct.
10		
11		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
12		44, 8/26/01 Watts letter to Farchione was marked
13		for purposes of identification.)
14		
15	Q.	Plaintiff's Exhibit 44, a copy of a letter dated
16		August 26th, 2001 to Joseph Farchione from
17		Richard Watts and one last page, apparently they
18		sent somebody a copy, they sent me a copy, but,
19		whatever?
20	A.	That's correct also.
21	Q.	Doctor, I'm just going to note for the record
22		that you have bound copies of the records of the
23		following physicians and I'm not going to mark
24		them: William Richardson. Paul Bartulica and
25		Amherst Hospital. Let me just go through them

		52
1		real quick because I don't think any of these
2		have markings in them. That would be correct, so
3		you can keep those. Pam does not have to copy
4		these.
5	A.	Excuse me, did you ask me if any of these had
6		markings in them?
7	Q.	I went through them and this set does not have
8		markings in them.
9		However; now, I have a set of records,
10		doctor, well, they're office notes from
11		Dr. Richardson is one set, this may be nothing
12		but Dr. Richardson. Let's see.
13		Could you identify that these are the records
14		that you received from Dr. Richardson but somehow
15		now they're indexed and tabbed with notes on the
16		sides?
17	Α.	I'm sorry, your question was did I receive these
18		from Dr. Richardson?
19	Q.	No.
20	A.	I'm sorry, would you
21	Q.	We've already marked and identified a set of
22		records that came to you from Attorney Ralph
23		Dublikar and now these would appear to be those
24		same said records but now they're in an indexed
25		fashion with tabs, is that right?

		53
1	Α.	That's correct.
2		
3		(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit
4		45, indexed Richardson records, was marked for
5		purposes of identification.)
6		
7	Q.	We're going to mark that Plaintiff's Exhibit 45
8		and I think that's it.
9		Okay. Doctor, as we were identifying these
10		documents, I asked you if you had a criticism of
11		Dr. Richardson. It seems abundantly clear that
12		you've had a lot of time to look at documents
13		over the past year or so.
14		What is it that you don't know that you need
15		to know to determine whether or not you'll be
16		criticizing Dr. Richardson as deviating from the
17		accepted standards of medical care?
18	A.	I don't know how, I don't believe I know what
19		Dr. Richardson did, if anything, to assess the
20	-	presence of monoclonal antibodies. I don't know,
21		I don't think I know for sure whether or not
22		Dr. Richardson consulted either by phone or had
23		Mrs. Armstrong go to a university center like
24		Michigan or UH or The Cleveland Clinic or
25		Columbus or someplace like that. Those are the

things I need to know.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

I guess I really don't know what, I guess there are questions in my mind about this patient's, about what I just mentioned and about the patient's heart disease and that weren't answered. For example, everybody agrees, I think, that the patient had an abnormal EKG and everybody agrees that she had normal coronary arteries and restrictive heart disease.

10 It's very easy in retrospect to take that and 11 the monoclonal antibodies and look up monoclonal 12 antibodies in a general internal medicine 13 textbook and get a list of as many items as are 14 listed and evaluate the presence or absence of 15 each of those things. I'm just not clear that he 16 did it or didn't do it.

17Those, I don't know, five issues that I18raised.

19 Q. And whether he did or didn't do them, are you20 saying he had a duty to do them?

21 A. Do I think so?

22 Q. Yes.

23 A. Yes.

Q. But you've not written an opinion that appears ina letter prior to today that addresses those

			55
	1		issues, have you?
	2	Α.	No.
	3	Q.	And when did you have your epiphany regarding
	4		these issues? Was that recently?
	5	Α.	No.
	6	Q.	Or a long time ago?
	7	Α.	No. A long time ago.
	8	Q.	Was there a reason you didn't commit them to
	9		writing or communicate them to Mr. Rispo in an
	10		effective manner so that he could have shared
	11		those concerns with the rest of counsel in this
	12		case?
	13	Α.	I was just asked to comment on Dr. Celerio's,
	14		Mr. Rispo's client.
	15		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Mr. Rispo, I
	16		guess I need to inquire at this point so
	17		that I can file appropriate motions or
	18		whatever it is I need to do with the court,
	19		do you intend to have Dr. Kravitz testify
	20		that Dr. Richardson deviated from the
	21		standard of care?
	22		MR. RISPO: Not at this time. If
	23		we're talking about a trial next week, the
1	24		answer is no. However
	25		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Then maybe

		56
1		we'll go to trial next week.
2		MR. RISPO: However, if you're
3		going to dismiss and refile, we'll reserve
4		our rights to reconsider that at a later
5		time. Kind of depends on who you bring
6		back in on the second time around.
7	Q.	Well, Dr. Celerio's not going anywhere.
8		You had indicated very early in your
9		deposition a relationship with someone who golfed
10		that introduced you to an adjuster.
11		Have you previously known any Pro-National
12		adjusters?
13	Α.	No.
14	Q.	Who's your current insurance carrier?
15	A.	Clarindon.
16	Q.	Let's go back to your expert report so we can get
17		out of here in a reasonable amount of time.
18		Second paragraph of your report, doctor, you
19		begin she, obviously referring to Nancy
20		Armstrong, underwent gynecological surgery by
21		Dr. Bartulica following clearance by her
22		internist, Dr. Richardson.
23		Doctor, you do understand that it is disputed
24		that Dr. Richardson cleared her for surgery?
25	Α.	My understanding is that Dr. Richardson had a

		57
1		communication to Bartulica and that, clearing her
2		for surgery and Dr. Bartulica spoke to
3		Dr. Celerio and that understanding is from
4		Mr. Rispo.
5		There is nothing that I could find in the
6		Amherst Hospital records that could confirm that
7		but that's the genesis of my, of what you just
8		read.
9	Q.	So your understanding is from Mr. Rispo?
10	A.	Yes. I, after I read the records a couple times
11		and was looking for certain materials, I couldn't
12		find them and in a telephone discussion we, I was
13		told that Dr. Richardson had spoken to
14		Dr. Bartulica and Dr. Bartulica told Dr. Celerio
15		that the patient had been cleared for surgery.
16	Q.	Did you read Dr. Richardson's deposition?
17	Α.	I did.
18	Q.	So as you sit here today, you're saying you read
19		it but you're unacquainted with the fact that he
20		claims that he did not clear her for surgery?
21	A.	I told you my understanding of this. Okay? And
22		what's in the deposition speaks for itself.
23	Q.	Well, doctor, don't you think that in formulating
24		expert opinions and then giving discovery
25		depositions that you have an obligation to

		58
1		independently find the evidence in a record or a
2		deposition that would support the point of view
3		that you're supporting, not to talk to the
4		attorney and get the attorney's impression?
5	A.	That was the only documentation that I could find
б		apart from the, apart from the, Mr
7		Dr. Richardson's deposition and I couldn't
8		understand how anybody would go ahead with the,
9		with any surgery in somebody that was even in
10		very good health without having the individual,
11		quote, cleared for surgery by an internist or a
12		family practitioner.
13	Q.	So what you were looking for was a letter from
14		the internist or the family practitioner
15		indicating to the surgeon that the patient had
16		been cleared medically for surgery. Is that why
17		you needed to call Mr. Rispo because you couldn't
18		find that document?
19	A.	I couldn't, I, you know, I told you under oath
20		that I couldn't find any preoperative notes
21		clearing Mrs. Armstrong for surgery and in a
22		communication, in a verbal communication I had
23		with Mr. Rispo, he indicated that the series of
24		events was what I just mentioned.
25	Q.	Okay. So, doctor, as a prudent physician who's

I

		59
1		been involved in patients getting cleared for
2		surgery, you were expecting to find some, as we
3		call it, positive information or an indication
4		from Dr. Richardson, either in writing either in
5		his chart, Dr. Bartulica's chart or something at
6		a minimum in the Amherst Hospital chart
7		indicating she had been cleared for surgery by
8		Dr. Richardson?
9		MR. WILT: Objection. He's
10		already stated he's not going to comment on
11		standards of care.
12	Α.	I specifically looked and I thought that if there
13		were such a clearance, that the best place for it
14		to be would be in the Amherst Hospital chart.
15	Q.	And you didn't find one in the Amherst Hospital
16		chart, correct?
17	Α.	No, I didn't, no.
18	Q.	In fact, doctor, I take it that you familiarized
19		yourself with the PAT testing in this case?
20	Α.	The laboratory testing, yeah. I mean, I haven't
21		committed it to memory but I'm familiar with PAT,
22		with PAT testing, by the way, is not a correct
23		statement.
24	Q.	Well, I call it PAT testing. Preadmission
25		testing?

		60
1	Α.	Well, you're saying testing twice. Well, anyway,
2		with that testing, yeah.
3	Q.	And is it clear from your recollection, first of
4		all, when have you most recently reviewed all of
5		the documents that I've just labeled?
6	Α.	In toto maybe four to five months ago.
7	Q.	In anticipation of today's deposition was there
8		any material that you chose to focus on more
9		carefully?
10	Α.	Yes. I looked at Dr. Richardson's office work
11		product and his deposition and Dr. Mendelsohn's
12		letter and the EKGs and the cardiology
13		evaluation.
14	Q.	Doctor, let me ask this question: You were
15		retained to advocate, I suppose is a good word,
16		or review and consult on behalf of Dr. Celerio,
17		correct?
18	A.	I really object to you saying advocate.
19	Q.	Well, I'll withdraw that word. You
20	A.	Well, I just think it's absolutely inappropriate.
21		I was retained to review certain medical records
22		and generate a report.
23	Q.	On behalf of Dr. Celerio, correct?
24	Α.	No. With respect to Dr. Celerio. Not on behalf
25		of Dr. Celerio's counsel and Dr. Celerio's

		6	1
1		insurance carrier.	
2	Q.	What has caused you to become so focused on	
3		Dr. Richardson and not what Dr. Celerio knew at	
4		the time?	
5	Α.	Because Dr. Richardson's expertise and my	
6		expertise are more closely related than	
7		Dr. Celerio's expertise and my expertise and I	
8		thought the answer to this matter was in the	
9		preoperative workup over a period of years, not	
10		the specific preoperative clearance and that's	
11		why my focus was the way it was.	
12	Q.	Doctor, are you sitting here today saying there	
13		was insufficient information available in the	
14		Amherst Hospital chart that began being generated	d
15		on August 5th, 1999 through the date of the	
16		surgery that wouldn't have alerted someone to the	е
17		fact that Nancy Armstrong might have had a	
18		cardiac problem?	
19		MR. RISPO: I don't know if I	
20		understand the question, but	
21	A.	I think that the doctors knew that she had a	
22		cardiac problem. I don't think anybody knew	
23		exactly what it was. I think I previously said,	
24		maybe I'll say it another way, that the records	
25		from Amherst Hospital are inadequate and are, the	e

		62
1		internal medicine/cardiology records are
2		inadequate or absent and are not the usual way
3		that, the usual sort of note that I would write
4		to clear or not to clear a patient. I mean, I
5		guess another way to say it is there are no
б		records.
7	Q.	You feel that Dr. Celerio should have been aware
8		that she had cardiac issues based upon what was
9		in the chart?
10	Α.	I believe, I am not familiar enough with exactly
11		what's in the chart to make a comment other than
12		I think that Dr. Celerio should have known that
13		she had cardiac issues to the extent that
14		everyone else knew it.
15	Q.	Have you ever seen the chest films of Nancy
16		Armstrong?
17	Α.	No.
18	Q.	Have you asked to see the chest films?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Why wouldn't you want to see what the chest films
21		looked like just before her surgery?
22	A.	Because I am so convinced that the reason that
23		Nancy Armstrong died had nothing to do with her
24		chest films at all since I had known that her
25		heart was enlarged and actually the actual weight
	1	

		63
1	0	f her heart and since I know retrospectively
2	t	hat she had restrictive cardiac disease, normal
3	C	oronary arteries, an abnormal EKG and monoclonal
4	a	ntibody.
5	Q. I	guess I'm going to go to the end and then we'll
6	g	o back and work on the middle.
7		Nancy Armstrong died on August 7th, 1999
8	be	ecause she had underwent general anesthesia in
9	t]	he face of an unknown heart disease. Would you
10	ag	gree with that statement?
11		MR. RISPO: Objection. The
12		question is a trick question that you have.
13		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: It's not a
14		trick question, Mr. Rispo.
15		MR. RISPO: Yes, it is, Donna.
16		You have not asked a question. You have
17		made a statement and it is a double
18		ambiguous statement to which I have every
19		right to object for the record.
20	Q. We	ell, your objection is noted; but, doctor,
21	at	ttempt to answer the question.
22		MR. RISPO: And I will continue
23		to object unless you break it down and make
24		sense of it.
25		I had the same problem with you

	64
1	and Tom on the same issue and I'll take the
2	same stand as I did before.
3	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: No, we are
4	having the same problem with you.
5	MR. RISPO: You're asking double
6	questions.
7	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: We're not
8	asking double questions.
9	MR. RISPO: Well, then, break it
10	down. Try again.
11	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Ron, I'd really
12	appreciate you not telling me to try again.
13	You know there is a prohibition against
14	these kinds of speaking objections so we'll
15	start with that. I'm asking the doctor if
16	he can agree with me that the reason that
17	Nancy Armstrong died on August 7th is that
18	she underwent general anesthesia in the
19	face of an unknown heart disease; that
20	being her amyloidosis.
21	MR. RISPO: You're asking whether
22	he can agree with the statement?
23	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Yes, I'm asking
24	him if he can agree with that statement.
25	A. No, I can't.

		65
1	Q.	Then why do you believe that she died on that
2		operating table?
3	A.	I believe that she died because the amount of
4		amyloid tissue infiltrating her heart,
5		infiltrated a portion of her conduction system,
б		specifically the bundle of HIS causing sudden
7		and, as Dr. Hurst I believe says unexpected or
8		expected in this disease, cardiac death. I don't
9		think her death had anything to do with the
10		anesthesia or the surgery. I think it would have
11		happened if she were at home watching TV or
12		sitting here at this deposition if we had it that
13		day or that night. That's my answer to your
14		question.
15	Q.	So you think it's coincidental that Nancy
16		Armstrong underwent the induction of general
17		anesthesia and ten minutes into the surgery
18		literally had cardiac arrest and didn't survive
19		it?
20	A.	I think she, I think she had a cardiac, I think
21		that she had a cardiac arrest and died unrelated
22		to anything but the infiltration of her
23		interventricular septum and conduction system
24		with amyloid tissue and that that is documented
25		all over in the literature and that this sudden

ſ

		66
1		death from amyloid heart disease is the natural
2		history of the disease unless the patient first
3		dies from congestive heart failure.
4	Q.	This sudden death that you're referring to, are
5		you referring to sudden death due to an
6		arrhythmia?
7	А.	An arrhythmia or a conduction system problem.
8	Q.	Well, why don't you break it out for me how
9		you're defining or differentiating those two
10		things?
11	А.	Well, I believe that Mrs. Armstrong, an
12		arrhythmia is an abnormal rhythm. A conduction
13		system problem, which I believe is more likely to
14		have caused her death, is the infiltration of the
15		conduction system, the specialized cardiac tissue
16		in the ventricles that carry the heartbeat from
17		the sinoatrial node, that carry the heartbeat
18		that normally goes from the sinoatrial node to
19		the AV node to the bundle of HIS and to the left
20		bundle and the right bundle.
21		In this case, I believe that the sinoatrial
22		node functioned appropriately and the AV node
23		functioned appropriately but the specialized,
24		quote, electrical conduction fibers did not
25		because they were crushed by amyloid infiltrate.

		67
1	Q.	How do you know that, doctor, to a reasonable
2		degree of medical probability?
3	Α.	There's, as I said previously, there is an
4		abundance of medical literature that supports it.
5	Q.	That's not my question.
б		My question is what is the physical evidence
7		in the medical chart that supports your
8		conclusion that that is what occurred?
9	Α.	As I said before, my conclusions were not, were
10		based on medical literature but there is no
11		evidence in the quote medical chart that she had
12		amyloidosis. There is certainly an
13		extraordinarily strong suggestion, as I said
14		before, because she had some sort of heart
15		disease with normal coronary arteries, heart
16		disease which is said to be restrictive in
17		nature. Her heart was enlarged to I believe it
18		was 625 grams and the monoclonal antibody issue,
19		to my knowledge, had not been addressed.
20	Q.	In your report, you indicate the review of the
21		autopsy performed by Geoffrey Mendelsohn, M.D.
22		revealed amyloid heart disease, particularly of
23		the inferior wall. This is consistent with the
24		records generated by Dr. Richardson including the
25		cardiac imaging studies.

		68
1		Can you please tell me specifically which
2		cardiac imaging studies you're referring to?
3	A.	Yeah. I'm referring to the, I think it's
4		persantine, the nuclear studies which showed a
5		dead zone in the septum and that that is not an
б		uncommon finding but it is usually associated
7		with advanced coronary artery disease and not a
8		person with normal coronary arteries, so there is
9		some sort of heart disease, not coronary
10		atherosclerosis that this woman had that had the
11		features that I previously mentioned plus this
12		issue with the monoclonal antibody, which I
13		believe is very significant.
14	Q.	And explain to me the significance of that in
15		your point of view.
16	A.	There are six adult people sitting in this room.
17		Let's just say if there were 10 or 20, one might
18		have a monoclonal antibody which is a spike in a
19		plasma electrophoresis.
20		Sometimes that is just a normal finding. The
21		only way to determine that it is a normal finding
22		is to look up all the causes of monoclonal
23		antibodies, one of which is amyloidosis.
24		Actually it's the A, so if you go alphabetically,
25		that might be the first one you'd look and there

		69
1		are ways of determining in life whether this
2		woman had amyloidosis or not and if she didn't,
3		then you could look through the rest of the
4		things and if you could
5	Q.	Whose
6	A.	Excuse me.
7	Q.	Sorry.
8	Α.	if you couldn't find a reason, you would say
9		to your patient you have monoclonal spike and
10		we'll check you once a year and we'll do this
11		plasma electrophoresis but we don't think you
12		have any of the things that are, any of the bad
13		entities that are associated with the monoclonal
14		spikes.
15	Q.	When was the evidence of the monoclonal antibody
16		found in the chart, under what circumstances?
17	A.	It was in Richardson's chart and I'm not sure
18		what, where he
19	Q.	Feel free to look because I know you have those
20		nicely tabbed.
21	A.	Pardon me?
22	Q.	You have those tabbed in the black binder?
23	A.	Okay. Oh, yeah, right here.
24		In my mind I'll tell you when he found it.
25		In my mind, if you do that test, if you ask

		70
1		the question, then you have to deal with the
2		answer and I'm not sure that that happened.
3		I don't believe that the, either
4		contemporaneously or that the order that this
5		happened I don't believe that the spike was
6		found the day before the surgery or the week
7		before the surgery. I believe it was found quite
8		a bit
9	Q.	No. I'm asking you when it was found and under
10		what circumstances.
11	Α.	Oh, okay. Well, as soon as I find it, I'll tell
12		you. In looking at other tests. I haven't found
13		the monoclonal antibody issue yet. I'm sorry, I
14		have found it.
15		At least one time was March 24th, 1999. That
16		was the electrophoresis and there was a
17	-	suggestion that an Immunofix electrophoresis be
18		done and my understanding is that it was done and
19		that was done on, looks like 3/26/99.
20	Q.	Under whose supervision or direction was that
21		test performed?
22	A.	That test was performed by a company in Salt Lake
23		City called ARUP.
24	Q.	For what physician?
25	A.	There's no physician's name on the report; but

ſ

		71
1		based on previous reports suggesting, and I'm
2		just assuming that it was for Dr. Richardson.
3	Q.	I don't mean to get in your personal space but
4		just to make this easier for myself
5	Α.	You can be wherever you want.
6	Q.	see this tab right here? Can you tell me
7		whose notes these are? These are labs, right?
8	A.	Right.
9	Q.	Do you know why Dr. Richardson sent Nancy
10		Armstrong to Dr. Cunningham? First of all, do
11		you know who Dr. James Cunningham is?
12	Α.	No. Unless he was the predecessor of
13		Dr. Richardson in her care.
14	Q.	He is not. I would ask that you take me at my
15		word that he is an oncologist at Saint John's
16		West Shore.
17		Did you in your review of Nancy's chart
18		discover records from Dr. Cunningham?
19	Α.	No. The record that specifically suggests that
20		the monoclonal antibody be sent out and refers to
21		an abnormally high sed rate and doing an ANA and
22		other tests like that, the physician name that's
23		on the record is Dr. Richardson.
24	Q.	Correct, but my question is you've got his chart
25		there and I thought on your side tab I saw that

			72			
1		you had sorted out the consult letters and				
2		reports from Dr. Cunningham. Are you saying				
3		today you don't recall doing that?				
4	Α.	Wait a second. Is it your, are you making a				
5		statement that I prepared this chart in this				
6		fashion?				
7	Q.	I don't know who prepared it. That's, you had				
8		one set that wasn't prepared and one set that				
9		was.				
10	A.	Okay.				
11	Q.	Did you not index those records? Someone else				
12		did for you?				
13	A.	I did not index anything.				
14	Q.	Did you, however, since I'm sure you carefully				
15		went through Dr. Richardson's chart, find his				
16		consult letters and reports from Dr. Cunningham	1?			
17	Α.	No.				
18	Q.	Can I see that, please? That document?				
19	A.	Sure.				
20	Q.	You see in the back where it's marked				
21		Dr. Cunningham excerpts? Do you see that? Hav	e			
22		you ever looked at those?				
23	A.	I'm sure I looked at the whole record. I don't				
24		recall specifically reading this one page, no.				
25	Q.	So you don't know what Dr. Cunningham evaluated	_			
		73				
----	----	---	--	--	--	--
1		in this patient?				
2	Α.	Without reading this, no.				
3	Q.	Fair enough. And how long have you had those				
4		records?				
5	Α.	About 10 or 11 months.				
6	Q.	And were you asked specifically, well,				
7		Mr. Rispo's office sent them to you, correct?				
8	Α.	Yes.				
9	Q.	And I assume that you would have interpreted that				
10		the sending of those records would mean they want				
11		you to evaluate them, correct?				
12	A.	Yes.				
13	Q.	And as of today you have not evaluated the				
14		records of Dr. Cunningham contained in				
15		Dr. Richardson's chart?				
16	A.	I have not evaluated the one-page, 5/14/99 letter				
17		from Dr. Cunningham.				
18	Q.	Well, it's labeled on the side again, you				
19		didn't prepare it as a Dr. Cunningham excerpt,				
20		right?				
21	A.	It's labeled yes, it says Dr. Cunningham excerpt.				
22	Q.	Would that lead you to believe as a person that's				
23		done medical/legal evaluations that it is an				
24		excerpt only in that other records exist for				
25		Dr. Cunningham?				

Г

		74
1	A.	I don't know. I don't have an opinion.
2	Q.	Fair enough.
3		Doctor, what are the signs that would lead
4		you as a cardiologist to suspect that someone had
5		amyloid heart disease?
6	Α.	To suspect, the presence of a monoclonal
7		antibody, the presence of recurrent episodes of
8		congestive heart failure with an enlarged heart,
9		normal coronary arteries, an abnormal, as
10		previously described, imaging test indicating a,
11		quote, dead zone, a zone that was not active
12		muscularly. That would put amyloid heart disease
13		pretty high on my list.
14		Also the other, there actually were a bunch
15		of other tests like a highly elevated sed rate
16		and, that were not to my knowledge generated by
17		Dr. Cunningham but were generated by
18		Dr. Richardson. That would raise a suspicion.
19	Q.	Let's just sort of break these out because I
20		don't want to say you wear two hats but you are
21		an internal medicine physician and you are a
22		cardiologist.
23		In terms of basic information available, if
24		you were doing a let's say you were called in
25		to Nancy Armstrong's case on the morning of

		75
1		August 7th, 1999. Okay?
2	А.	Yes.
3	Q.	You are aware there was an abnormal chest x-ray,
4		correct?
5	Α.	I'm aware that the wet reading was said to be
6		normal and that the, that the, the final reading
7		was either a pulmonary infiltrate or congestive
8		heart failure; but that the, my understanding is
9		that the information that was communicated to the
10		doctors was that a wet reading was a normal chest
11		x-ray.
12	Q.	Did you ever read the final read in this matter
13		for the August 5th chest film?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Do you know what the final read says?
16	A.	I believe it says that there's an infiltrate.
17	Q.	Does it not also say there's cardiomegaly?
18	A.	Well, I'm, we're assuming that 625 milligrams is
19		cardiomegaly, so I'm just not going to repeat the
20		things I think are black and white unless you ask
21		me to.
22	Q.	No, I'm not asking you to.
23	A.	Okay.
24	Q.	I'm asking you what was on the final read.
25		Obviously you

		76
1	А.	Well, this woman's had cardiomegaly for three or
2		four years and that's not something that
3		generally goes away, so, yeah, I said, my
4		recollection is that it said infiltrate and
5		cardiomegaly.
6	Q.	How do you know she's had cardiomegaly for three
7		to four years?
8	A.	Oh, I guess I go back to the initial testing
9		which was '97, maybe before '97, '96. Go ahead,
10		ask your next question.
11	Q.	No, I'm still on my first one.
12	Α.	Okay.
13	Q.	Tell me where you have seen a chest film result
14		three to four years I'm always game to know if
15		I've missed something.
16		Tell me where there's a chest film result
17		three to four years prior to this time that says
18		she has cardiomegaly.
19	A.	Is that what you require? I know you're not a
20		physician, or at least I don't think you are.
21		Do you understand that there are other ways
22		to determine there's cardiomegaly other than a
23		chest film?
24	Q.	Sure, but I'm asking you first of all if there's
25		a chest film.

		77				
1	А.	Okay. That was not your initial question.				
2	Q.	Correct.				
3	Α.	And, you know, maybe I could get some relief from				
4		these switch questions here.				
5		MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Doctor, while				
б		you're looking for that, if the court				
7		reporter would record this, it's about 20				
8		of 6:00 and the doctor is looking through				
9		his documents, I'm going to take a step out				
10		in the hallway.				
11						
12		(Thereupon, a recess was had.)				
13						
14	Q.	Still looking through your chart, doctor?				
15	A.	No. I can tell you, since you seem to be focused				
16		on the x-rays, that the, under the tab noted				
17		x-rays, there is not a single chest x-ray here.				
18		I'm looking for I guess the only thing that I				
19		can tell you is that amyloid heart disease is not				
20		like a motor vehicle accident. It's something				
21		that develops over a period of years and that a				
22		625 gram heart also is something that is not like				
23		a motor vehicle accident and occurs over a period				
24		of years, but I can't find, to be clear with you,				
25		I can't find in this report a single chest x-ray				

		
		78
1		or a single chest x-ray report under the tab
2		labeled radiology.
3		I can find cardiac echos that show concentric
4		hypertrophy and things of that nature.
5	Q.	Okay. A couple things, doctor, quickly.
6		Have you been made aware of the testimony of
7		Dr. Lyons and Dr. Brandon, two anesthesiologists
8		who have been retained to testify on behalf of
9		Dr. Celerio?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	So you don't
12	A.	I'm unaware of their presence in this matter.
13	Q.	So you don't know what their opinions are
14		regarding the cause of death in Mrs. Armstrong;
15		is that correct?
16	A.	I don't know anything about what they've said or
17		haven't said.
18	Q.	And once again, doctor, prior to the issuance of
19		your report on June 21st, 2001, you had all the
20		material we've discussed and the ability to go
21		through the medical textbooks before you wrote
22		your opinion?
23	A.	That's yes, that's correct.
24	Q.	In other words I mean you wouldn't have written
		it and in that report you clearly state that

	-	
		79
1		Mrs. Armstrong's age, in a patient <i>of</i>
2		Mrs. Armstrong's age, estimated life expectancy
3		is no more than three or four years absent the
4		sudden cardiac death aspect, correct?
5	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q.	And <i>of</i> course when you wrote that opinion, your
7		three to four-year life expectancy was to a
8		reasonable degree of medical probability,
9		correct?
10	Α.	Yes, when I wrote that opinion.
11	Q.	Okay. Doctor, you spend more than 50 percent of
12		your professional time actively involved in
13		medicine; is that correct?
14	A.	Ask the question again.
15	Q.	Sure. You qualify under the expert witness
16		rules, I guess what I'm asking, you spend at
17		least 50 percent of your time in the active
18		practice of clinical medicine?
19	A.	Oh, I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry, I just didn't
20		understand what you were saying.
21	Q.	Sorry.
22	A.	You said the right thing.
23	Q.	And you're licensed in the State of Ohio, I'm
24		assuming?
25	A.	Yes.

		80
1	Q.	Your license is current?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	And your current opinion as to Mrs. Armstrong's
4		life expectancy minus a sudden cardiac death is a
5		year-and-a-half to two years?
б	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
7	Q.	And that opinion also, doctor, is to a reasonable
8		degree of medical probability?
9	Α.	Yes, ma'am.
10	Q.	Doctor, we're done.
11	Α.	Thank you.
12		
13		<u>CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D.</u>
14		BY MR. WILT:
15	Q.	Doctor, a couple questions. I represent
16		Dr. Bartulica. My name is Ron Wilt. We haven't
17		met before.
18		In all of the opinions you've given today,
19		just so we're clear, you are not in any way
20		criticizing the care and treatment of my client,
21		Dr. Bartulica, for Nancy Armstrong; is that
22		correct?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And you did not review the pathology slides in
25		this case?

	81
1	A. No. I had the opportunity to review them,
2	though.
3	Q. But you decided in your opinion Dr. Mendelsohn
4	was, had far more expertise to render opinions
5	regarding what those pathology slides show?
б	A. Yes, and I had a very long experience of working
7	with him. I mean, I knew of his capabilities
8	personally.
9	MR. WILT: That's all I have.
10	Thank you, doctor.
11	MS. TAYLOR-KOLIS: Before we go
12	off the record, let the record reflect that
13	it is ten minutes to 6:00. Pursuant to the
14	courts order and agreement, I would expect
15	that Dr. Kravitz would refund to be \$400 in
16	prompt fashion.
17	THE WITNESS: I'll read it.
18	
19	
20	ALAN E. KRAVITZ, M.D.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	The State of Ohio,) SS:
5	County of Cuyahoga.)
6	I, Pamela S. Greenfield, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, authorized to
7	administer oaths and to take and certify depositions, do hereby certify that the
8	above-named witness was by me, before the giving of their deposition, first duly sworn to testify
9	the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the deposition as above-set forth was
10	reduced to writing by me by means of stenotypy, and was later transcribed into typewriting under
11	my direction; that this is a true record of the testimony given by the witness; that said
12	deposition was taken at the aforementioned time, date and place, pursuant to notice or stipulation
13	of counsel; and that I am not a relative or employee or attorney of any of the parties, or a
14	relative or employee of such attorney, or financially interested in this action; that I am
15	not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in
16	Civil Rule 28(D).
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal of office, at Cleveland, Ohio, this
18	10th day of June A.D. 20 07.
19	
20	Tak SHSU
21	Pamela Greenfield, Notary Public, State of Ohio 1750 Midland Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115
22	My commission expires June 30, 2003
23	
24	
25	

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Healthcare System dba Amherst Hospital

Alan E. Kravitz, M.D. May 31,2002

Healthcare System	dba Annerst Hospital			Wlay 31,2002
_	21 25:10; 38:4, 7	5/29/01 50:8	abundantly 53:11	64:20;67:12;68:23;69:2
\$	21st 16:3; 17:25; 19:9 ;	5/30/02 33:6, 17	accepted 53:17	ANA 71:21
	24:22;31:16;32:1,15;	5/31/01 49:24	accident 77:20, 23	Andrew 50:13
\$40017:3, 4; 81:15	41:5; 42:19; 78:19	5/99-5/6/99 29:20	accurately 30:10; 38:22	anesthesia 48:12;63:8;
\$6500 30:8	22 38:13, 17	50 79:11, 17	acknowledge 36:15	64:18;65:10,17
	23 39:14, 17	5015012:22	ACLS 46:6, 8	anesthesiologists 78:7
0	23rd 36:11	5:00 49:3	across 29:6	answered 54:6
	24 39:23;40:1;41:13;	5th 61:15; 75:13	act 7:24	antibodies 43:20; 53:20;
01 25:10	51:8		active 74:11; 79:17	54:11, 12; 68:23
UI 25.10	24th 70:15	6	actively 79:12	antibody 47:21;63:4;
1	25 39:2, 5, 8	V	actual 62:25	67:18;68:12,18;69:15;
_	26 40:7, 11		actually 24:25; 25:7;	70:13;71:20;74:7
	26th 51:16	631:10, 14, 17, 17	44:8;62:25;68:24;74:14	anticipation 24:4; 60:7
1 28:5, 7, 11	27 40:18, 21	6/13/01 44:2	addition 33:25	Anxiety 29:20
1/15/01 45:16	28 41:1, 4	6/21/01 42:15	additional 24:23; 49:4, 5	apart 58:6,6
1/23/02 36:7	29 41:10, 13; 42:10; 50:12	6157:15	address 7:10, 12, 14;	apparently 28:12; 30:18; 32:1; 44:18; 51:17
1/3/0235:23	290017 :14	625 67:18;75:18;77:22	11:24	appear 52:23
1033:6,9;68:17;73:5	2nd 39:9, 18	6:00 77:8; 81:13	addressed 47:19; 50:3,	appearance 30:9
10/16/01 39:23		6th 37:9	12, 22; 51:8; 67:19	appeared 35:8
10/19/01 35:3;38:13	3		addresses 54:25	appears 29:22; 32:1;
10/4/01 50:17		7	adjuster 10:13; 56:10	54:24
11 26:15; 33:17, 20; 73:5	3 29:25; 30:4		adjusters 10:2;56:12	appointed 17:9
11/17 /01 35: <i>14</i>	3/26/99 7019	7 31:20, 24	admitting 14:4	appreciate 64:12
11/2/01 39:2, 14	30 29:13; 41:20, 23	75 10:11	adult 68:16	appropriate 48:17; 55:17
11/6/0137:5	30th 33:10, 21	75/50 29:13	advanced 68:7	appropriately 66:22, 23
11/8/01 37:16	31 42:6, 9	7th 47:16; 49:1; 63:7;	advances 24:9	Armstrong 7:19; 17:21;
12 8:18, 20; 34:7, 10	31st 49:4	64:17; 75:1	advocate 60:15, 18	18:24;22:20;27:8;29:9;
12/15/01 38:4	32 42:15, 18	0	- afternoon 29:4; 33:3	42:1;45:11;46:11;48:25;
13 12:13; 34:17, 20 13th 18:18; 27:18; 44:6	33 42:24; 43:2	8	again 7:11;13:1,6; 23:14;32:4;33:11;64:10,	53:23; 56:20; 58:21; 61:17; 62:16, 23; 63:7;
14 12:12;35:3,6	34 44:2, 5		12;73:18;78:18;79:14	64:17;65:16;66:11;
45 20:25; 35:14; 45:20	35 44:15, 18	8 32:10, 14	against 49:10;64:13	71:10; 78:14; 80:21
15th26:21;38:8	36 44:24; 45:2	8/24/01 51:4	age 7:1;79:1,2	Armstrong's 26:14;
16 35:23; 36:1	37 45:7,10	8/26/01 51:12	ago 10:10; 11:9; 15:16;	74:25;79:1,2;80:3
16th 31:6; 40:2	38 45:16, 19	8/29/02 42:6	55:6, 7; 60:6	around 23:2; 27:5; 56:6
17 36:7, 10	39 45:25; 46:3 3rd 36:1	80s 9:22	agree 46:24; 47:12;	arrangements 32:5,6
17th35:17	510 50:1	8th 37:20; 41:25	63:10;64:16, 22, 24	arranging 33:11
1836:22, 25	4	•	agreement 46:18;81:14 agrees 54:6,8	arrest 65:18, 21
1937:5,8		9	ahead 18:13; 58:8; 76:9	arrhythmia 66:6, 7, 12 arteries 54:9; 63:3;
19699:14,15	A 20.1 (10		ALAN 7:1, 7, 13; 15:6;	67:15;68:8;74:9
1973 23:24, 25; 24:6	4 30:14, 19	932:19,23	36:2, 25; 37:9, 20; 38:9,	artery 68:7
1976 15:6, 8; 23:22, 25	40 49:24; 50:2 41 50:8, 11	9/19/01 40:7	19;39:9, 18; 40:2, 12;	articulately 12:16
199921:17, 23; 22:12;	41 50:8, 11 42 50:17, 21	9/21/01 41:1	41:5, 14;42:11; 44:7;	ARUP 70:23
47:16; 49:1; 61:15; 63:7;	42 50:17, 21 43 51:4, 7	9/4/01 36:22	80:13;81:20	aspect 79:4
70:15;75:1	44 51:12, 15	9/8 41:20	alerted 61:16	assess 53:19
19th 35:7; 38:18; 40:12	44124 7:15	9676:9	along 7:18; 11:14; 45:12 alphabetically 68:24	associated 68:6; 69:13
2	45 53:4,7	9776:9,9	always 76:14	assume 24:23; 34:20;
2	4:00 17:9	7	ambiguous 63:18	73:9
• • • • • • • •	4:20 20:1	A	amended 25:4	assuming 42:2; 71:2;
2 28:21, 25	4th 37:1		amendment 26:24	75:18;79:24
2/13/01 28:8		ability 20:6, 9; 78:20	Amherst 18:24; 51:25;	atherosclerosis 68:10
20 10:10; 20:21; 30:20; 37:16, 19; 68:17; 77:7	5	able 17:10, 16; 19:15, 19;	57:6; 59:6, 14, 15; 61:14,	attached 28:14;37:10; 40:13
2001 16:3; 17:25; 24:7,		20:11	25	attachment 38:20; 40:8
<i>23</i> ;35:7, 17; 37:1, 9, 20;	531:1,5	abnormal 54:7;63:3; 66:12;74:9;75:3	amount 56:17; 65:3	attachments 37:10
38:8, 18; 39:9, 18; 40:2,	5/14/997 3:16	abnormally 71:21	amyloid 22:21, 22, 22,	attempt 17:15; 63:21
12;41:5;42:10;43:12;		absence 54:14	23, 24; 23:8, 19; 29:17;	attending 23:22
	5/16/02 31.2			
44:6; 50:12; 51:8, 16; 78:10	5/16/02 31:2 5/20/02 30:75		43:21;65:4,24;66:1,25;	attention 49:21
78:19	5/20/02 30:15	absent 25:14, 14; 62:2; 79:3	67:22;74:5, 12;77:19	attention 49:21 attorney 9:7, 25; 10:14;
		absent 25:14, 14; 62:2;		attention 49:21 attorney 9:7, 25; 10:14; 11:8; 44:10; 52:22; 58:4 attorney's 10:24; 58:4

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

James J. Arrnstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Healthcare System dba Amherst Hospital

		-	1	
attorneys 11:17	20	centers 25:25	committed 59:21	cover 33:20, 25; 34:2;
August 42:10;47:16;	Burkons 51:4, 7	certain 7:23; 17:20; 18:4,	communicate26:23;	38:24;39:17;40:11
49:1;51:8,16;61:15;63:7;	business 7:10, 12, 13;	6;29:18;34:14;43:23;	55:9	criticism 53:10
64:17; 75:1, 13	11:24	47:18;57:11;60:21	communicated26:25;	criticisms 49:9
author 30:23		certainly 17:15;49:20;	75:9	criticizing 53:16; 80:20
authored 17:24; 42:2	C	67:12	communicating 10:13	cross-examination7:3,
autopsy 19:1;67:21		certificate 45:11	communication 31:25; 57:1; 58:22, 22	7;80:13
AV 66:19, 22	C-A-D 29:15	certificate/coroner's 45:7	communications 27:15	crushed 66:25
avail 25:24	call 10:16; 20:3; 58:17;	certification 46:12	company 10:12; 70:22	Cunningham 71:10, 11,
available19:7; 21:16, 22;	59:3, 24	certified 7:5; 11:19, 21,	complaint 18:22; 44:15	18;72:2, 16, 21, 25; 73:14,
22:12;61:13;74:23	called 7:2; 47:14, 17;	22;46:6,8	complaint 13:19	17, 19, 21, 25; 74:17
aware16:15;22:25; 24:12;27:5,12,15;62:7;	70:23;74:24	change 25:17; 26:20	complete 15:22	current 56:14; 80:1, 3
75:3, 5; 78:6	came 9:23;11:6;52:22	changed 24:6; 25:4;	concentric 78:3	currently 14:4
away 76:3	can 10:22; 14:2; 15:3; 24:23; 25:16; 32:23;	26:19	concerns 55:11	custom 22:16
	34:10; 38:23; 46:10; 52:3;	chart 50:18; 59:5, 5, 6,	conclusion 67:8	CV 9:13; 15:5
B	55:17; 56:16; 64:16, 22,	14, 16; 61:14; 62:9, 11;	conclusions 20:19; 67:9	D
	24; 68:1;71:5, 6; 72: 18;	67:7, 11; 69:16, 17; 71:17,	conduction 65:5, 23;	D
B-R-A-U-N-W-A-L-D	77:15, 19; 78:3	24; 72:5, 15; 73:15; 77:14	66:7, 12, 15, 24	
21:13	candor 25:16, 18	check 69:10	confirm 20:12; 22:17;	date 30:22;33:13;43:11;
back 56:6, 16; 63:6;	capabilities 81:7	chest 62:15, 18, 20, 24;	57:6	61:15
72:20; 76:8	cardiac 12:7; 22:21, 22,	75:3, 10, 13; 76:13, 16, 23,	congestive 66:3;74:8;	dated 16:2; 17:25; 30:20;
bad 69:12	24;23:9, 19;24:6, 10; 25:15;26:2;43:23;61:18,	25;77:17, 25;78:1	75:7	31:6, 16, 25; 32:15; 33:10,
Bartulica 18:23, 25;	22; 62:8, 13; 63:2; 65:8,	chose 60:8	consider 12:23; 19.14,	21;35:6, 17; 36:1, 10; 37:1, 8, 19; 38:8, 17, 18;
44:24; 48:21; 51:24;	18, 20, 21; 66:15; 67:25;	circumstances 69:16; 70:10	18	39:8, 18; 40:1, 11; 41:5,
56:21; 57:1, 2, 14, 14;	68:2;78:3;79:4;80:4	City 70:23	considering 20:16, 24	13, 25; 42:10; 44:6; 45:19;
80:16, 21 Desta lise la 17 0 70 7	cardiologist 12:19;13:2;	Civil 7:4	consistent 67:23 consult 15:15;47:14;	50:12; 51:8, 15
Bartulica's 45:3; 59:5	47:14, 17; 74:4, 22	claims 57:20	60:16; 72:1, 16	dates 34:4
based17:16;19:18; 47:24;48:2;49:3;62:8;	cardiology 11:22; 12:2,	clarify 34:14	consultant 7:24	David 51:7
67:10;71: 1	10, 14, 14; 13:24; 15:1, 3; 19:15; 48:11; 60:12	Clarindon 56:15	consulted 53:22	day 48:7; 49:7; 65:13;
basic74:23	cardiomegaly75:17, 19;	clear 11:9; 15:3; 16:25;	contacted 15:14	70:6
became 9:12	76:1, 5, 6, 18, 22	17:7; 23:23; 42:20; 53:11;	contained 20:12; 39:19;	dead 68:5; 74:11
become61:2	care 23:7; 29:9; 43:7;	54:15; 57:20; 60:3; 62:4, 4;	73:14	deal 24:13; 70:1
becoming22:19	48:17, 24; 49:2; 53:17;	77:24; 80:19	contemporaneously	death 25:15; 43:23; 45:7, 11; 47:6; 65:8, 9; 66:1, 4,
began 9:23; 10:6; 61:14	55:21;59:11;71:13;80:20	clearance56:21,59:13;	70:4	5, 14; 78:14; 79:4; 80:4
begin 11:6; 17:10, 13, 18;	career 14:10, 13; 23:21, 24	61:10	Content 32:1	December 38:8
18:5; 56:19	carefully 60:9; 72:14	cleared 43:17; 47:15;	continue 63:22	decide 13:10
beginning 18:5	Carpal 29:17	56:24;57:15;58:11,16; 59:1,7	convinced 62:22	decided 20:17;81:3
behalf 8:10; 9:9, 11;	carrier 10:1; 56:14; 61:1	clearing 57:1;58:21	Conway 7:18;16:10;	defendant10:4
60:16, 23, 24; 78:8	carry 66:16, 17	clearly 48:2;78:25	18:19; 24:15; 41:16	defining66:9
best 8:5; 24:2; 59:13	case 7:22; 8:22; 11:13;	Cleveland 26:11; 53:24	copies 37:23; 51:22 copy 18:22; 27:17, 20,	definitive 20:19
binder 69:22	14:17, 22; 22:17, 20;	client 55:14;80:20	23;28:3;31:14;36:4, 14;	degree 19:20; 67:2; 79:8;
bit 8:13, 15; 12:1, 4; 24: 13;70:8	23:12; 29:4; 37:13; 46:11;	Clinic 26:5, 11; 53:24	37:22, 25; 38:7, 17;40:13,	80:8
black 69:22;75:20	47:6; 48:17; 50:23; 55:12;	clinical 79:18	21;41:4;42:9,18,21;	depends 56:5
board 11:19, 21, 22	59:19; 66:21; 74:25; 80:25	closely 61:6	44:19; 45:11, 19; 46:3;	deposed 7:5
bound 51:22	cases 10:4, 13, 20, 22; 11:3	coincidental 65:15	50:2, 11, 21, 51:7, 15, 18,	deposition8:21;13:7,
brain 29:20	catalog 18:15	colds 13:19	18;52:3 Cornell 23:11, 15	13 ;17:8;18:25;19:1;22:6; 40 :14,22;42:9;45:3;46:4;
Brandon 78:7	Cath 29:18	colleague15:17	coronary 54:8; 63:3;	49:13; 56:9; 57:16, 22;
Braunwald21:12;22:11;	catheterization 47:21	colleagues8:24	57:15;68:7, 8, 9;74:9	58:2,7;60:7,11;65:12
25:9, 22, 23	cause 78:14	colloquy 13:15	coroner's 19:2; 45:12	depositions 7:25; 10:8;
Braunwald's 21:11, 16,	caused 61:2;66:14	color 28:15	correctly 28:15; 30:22;	30:12;57:25
20	causes 68:22	Columbia 26:8	32:16	described38:21;74:10
break 12:9;63:23;64:9;	causing 65:6	Columbus 53:25	correspondence 30:20	describing 37:25
66:8;74:19	Cedar 7:14	combination 25:7	counsel 55: 11;60:25	description 35:20; 47:24
breakdown12:17	Celerio 19:1; 42:1; 43:21;	comfortable 20:22	country 26:1	destroy 39:6
Briccio 46:4	45:25; 46:4; 48:19; 57:3,	commenced 23:21, 23	couple 47:22; 57:10;	determine 20:7; 53:15;
brief 25:1	14;60:16, 23, 24;61:3;	comment 46:10; 47:3,	78:5; 80:15	58:21;76:22
briefly 7:16;30:5;34:11	52:7, 12; 78:9	25; 48:3; 55:13; 59:10;	course 11:23;18:7;79:6	jetermined 25:9
bring 49:21; 56:5	Celerio's 55:13;56:7;	52:11	court 17:1, 3, 5; 24:20;	determining 69:1
bunch 74:14	50:25, 25; 61:7	comments 43:24; 49:20	28:2; 49:21; 55:18; 77:6	develops 77:21
bundle 65:6; 66:19, 20,	:enter 53:23	commit 55:8	:ourts 81:14	Jeviated 49:1; 55:20

Mehler & Hagestrorn 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(2) attorneys - deviated

Alan E. Kravitz, M.D. May 31,2002

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Healthcare System dba Amherst Hospital

deviating 53:16	11, 16, 23, 24, 25, 25;	events 58:24	58:12, 14	frequently 10:8
diagnose19:16	61:3, 3, 5, 7; 62:7, 12;	everybody12:11;54:6,8	far 81:4	froms 34:4
diagnosed24:5	65:7; 67:24; 71:2, 9, 10,	everyone 62:14	Farchione45:16, 20;	front 18:2, 12
diagnosis 29:16	11, 13, 18, 23; 72:2, 15, 16, 21, 25; 73:14, 15, 17,	evidence 58:1;67:6, 11;	50:22; 51:4, 8, 12, 16	frontal 29:20
died 62:23;63:7;64:17;	19, 21, 25; 74:17, 18; 78:7,	69:15	fashion 52:25;72:6;	function 29:19
65:1, 3, 21	7, 9; 80:16, 21; 81:3, 15	evidently 30:4	81:16	functioned 66:22, 23
dies 66:3	dragging 11:14	exactly 61:23; 62:10	Fastin 29:13	
differentiating 66:9	dropped 14:18	example54:6	fault 17:11, 13	G
directed 13:16	Dublikar 50:17, 24; 52:23	exception 11:13	fax 31:11, 14, 15, 18, 21,	<u> </u>
direction 70:20	due 66:5	excerpt 73:19, 21, 24	24;32:11;33:6,11,17;	- < - <
discover 71:18	duly 7:4	excerpts 72:21	34:2; 38:21; 39:14	game 76:14
discovered 10:15	-	exclude 13:24	features 68:11	gather 15:21, 24
discovery 49:13; 57:24	during 14:10; 23:10, 14, 20	exclusively 12:6	fee 17:15;30:8	gave 16:8, 19; 37:25
discussed 78:20	duty 54:20	excuse 19:20; 52:5; 69:6	feel 20:22, 23; 62:7; 69:19	general 13:19, 21; 15:19;
discussion 32:2; 57:12	uuty 34.20	exercise 29:5; 33:3, 12	fellowship 23:14, 20	54:12; 63:8; 64:18; 65:16
Disease 21:11, 16;22:21;	13	Exhibit 28:5, 7, 11, 21,	fevers 13:19	generally43:9;76:3
23:19; 29:16; 43:22;	E	25;29:25;30:4,14,19;	fibers 66:24	generate 60:22
47:20; 54:5, 9; 63:2, 9;		31:1, 5, 10, 14, 17, 17, 20,	fifth 21:14	generated 61:14;67:24;
64:19;65:8;66:1,2;67:15,	E7:1,7,13;15:6;36:2;	24; 32:10, 14, 19, 23; 33:5,	file 15:20, 22; 21:9; 22:3;	74:16, 17
16, 22; 68:7, 9; 74:5, 12;	38:9; 39:9; 80:13; 81:20	9, 16, 20; 34:6, 10, 16, 20;	24:14; 25:3; 27:23; 41:20,	genesis 57:7
77:19	early 56:8	35:2, 6, 13, 22; 36:1, 6, 10,	25;55:17	Geoffrey 45:20;67:21
dismiss 56:3	easier 71:4	21, 25; 37:4, 8, 15, 19; 38:3, 7, 12, 17; 39:1, 8, 13,	filed 44:19	given 10:8; 46:11; 80:18
dismissed 14:19	easy 54:10	17, 22; 40:1, 6, 11, 17, 21,	film 75:13; 76:13, 16, 23,	giving 57:24
disputed 56:23	echo 29:16, 18	25;41:4, 9, 13, 19, 23;	25	glad 10:22; 16:6; 18:12
Doctor 7:9, 16; 8:2, 5; 9:5,	echos 78:3	42:5, 9, 14, 18, 23; 43:2;	films 62:15, 18, 20, 24	global 30:8
12, 19; 11:15, 19; 13:3;	edition 21:14, 21, 22	44:1, 5, 14, 18, 23; 45:2, 6,	final 75:6, 12, 15, 24	goes 66:18; 76:3
15:14; 16:3, 24; 17:18, 22;	editions 21:10; 22:11	10, 15, 19, 24; 46:3; 49:23;	find 25:3; 27:2; 57:5, 12;	golfed 56:9
18:19; 19:14; 20:1, 5; 22:9, 20; 24:2, 21; 25:16; 26:13,	effective 55:10	50:2, 7, 11, 16, 21; 51:3, 11, 15; 53:3, 7	58:1, 5, 18, 20; 59:2, 15;	golfing 9:25
16;27:5;32:24;33:13;	either 29:3; 53:22; 59:4,	exist 73:24	69:8;70:11;72:15;77:24, 25;78:3	good 58:10;60:15
35:9; 36:13; 37:23; 38:23;	4;70:3;75:7	expect 81:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	gram 77:22
42:2, 18; 43:2; 44:5; 45:2;	EKG43:24; 54:7; 63:3	expectancy 25:11, 12,	finding 68:6, 20, 21 findings 20:13	grams 67:18
46:6; 49:6; 51:21; 52:10;	EKGs 60:12	13;26:14;27:9;79:2,7;	•	graphic 34:7, 17
53:9; 56:18, 23; 57:23; 58:25; 59:18; 60:14;	electrical 66:24	80:4	fine 13:9; 39:7 finish 21:15	graphics 34:12;37:12
61:12; 63:20; 64:15; 67:1;	electrophoresis 68:19;	expected 65:8		guess 13:7; 14:20; 24:17;
74:3; 77:5, 8, 14; 78:5, 18;	69:11; 70:16, 17	expecting 59:2	firm 11:8; 17:5	28:5; 34:21; 54:2, 2; 55:16;
79:11; 80:7, 10, 15; 81:10	elevated 74:15	experience 81:6	first 7:4;9:19;23:2; 24:13, 14;25:6;47:10;	62:5;63:5;76:8;77:18;
doctors 10:5;21:17;	else 12:12; 62:14; 72:11	expert 11:16;19:3, 4;	48:19;60:3;66:2;68:25;	79:16
61:21;75:10	Emery 23:11, 15	20:24, 25; 56:16; 57:24;	71:10; 76:11, 24	gynecological 56:20
document 28:16; 30:18;	enclosing 50:24	79:15	five 11:1; 54:17; 60:6	
38:19; 43:5; 58:18; 72:18	enclosures 35:8	expertise12:14;61:5,6,	Five-year 27:8	H
documentation 58:5	end 63:5	7,7;81:4	floor 28:19	
documented65:24	endocrinology 13:25	explain 68:14	flus 13:19	hallway77:10
documents 17:21, 22;	enlarged62:25;67:17;	exposure 23:18	focus 60:8; 61:11	handling 13:17
18:1, 6, 21; 19:6, 8; 24:16; 28:1; 41:15; 53:10, 12;	74:8	extent 62:13	focused 61:2;77:15	handwriting 29:2;43:4
60:5; 77:9	enough 8:2;62:10;73:3;	extraordinarily 67:13	following 22:5; 51:23;	handwritten 28:22;
done 9:17;10:17, 20;	74:2		56:21	32:20; 42:24
11:10; 13:15; 21:18; 48:4;	entered 27:7	F	lollows 7:6	happened65:11;70:2,5
70:18, 18, 19; 73:23; 80:10	entirely 13:3		formulating 57:23	hard8:14
Donna 7:18; 63:15	entities 69:13	F-A-S-T-I-N29:13	orward 47:12, 13	hats 74:20
doomed 46:16	entity 22:25	face 28:18;63:9; 64:19	forwarded 17:23, 18:2	heading 29:7
double 63:17;64:5,8	Enumerate18:14	facsimile 32:14; 33:20;	found 69:16, 24; 70:6, 7,	health 58:10
down 12:9; 28:18; 29:23;	epiphany 55:3	39:17	9,12,14	hearing8:14
63:23;64:10	episodes 74:7	fact 13:14; 17:8; 21:8;	Foundation 26:11	Heart 21:10, 11, 16; 23:8;
Dr 14:24; 18:3, 22, 23, 25;	estate 7:19	57:19; 59:18; 61:17	'our 11:12; 27:8; 30:21;	25:21; 47:20; 54:5, 9;
19:1, 3, 4, 5; 20:13, 21;	estimated 79:2	facts 46:14, 17, 21; 47:11,	35:9; 42:20; 60:6; 76:2, 7,	62:25;63:1,9;64:19;65:4;
28:12; 29:8; 33:21; 35:7;	evaluate 54:14; 73:11	19	:14, 17;79:3	56 :1, 3 ; 67 :14, 15 , 17 , 22 ;
40:14, 22; 43:18, 21; 45:3;	evaluated72:25;73:13,	failure 46:16;66:3;74:8;	'our-year 79:7	58:9;74:5, 8, 8, 12;75:8;
48:19, 21; 49:10; 50:3, 13, 25:52:11, 12, 14, 18:	16	75:8	'ourth 25:10	77:19,22
25;52:11, 12, 14, 18;	evaluation 60:13	Fair 8:2; 73:3; 74:2	rame 27:6	heartbeat66:16,17
53:11.16.19.22·55·13	1			
53:11, 16, 19, 22; 55:13, 19, 20; 56:7, 21, 22, 24,	evaluations 73:23	familiar 59:21;62:10	ree 69:19	helpful17:1
53:11, 16, 19, 22; 55:13, 19, 20; 56:7, 21, 22, 24, 25; 57:2, 3, 13, 14, 14, 14, 16:58:7; 59:4, 5, 8; 60:10.	evaluations 73:23 even 58.9 event 17:18	familiar 59:21; 62:10 'amiliarized 59:18 'amily 12:23; 13:18;	ree 69:19 requency 8:9, 12, 16;):19	helpful 17:1 Here's 16:18 hereinafter 7:5

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(3) deviating - hereinafter

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Nealthcare System dba h h e r s t Hospital

high 71:21; 74:13	infiltration 65:22; 66:14	K	licensed 79:23	markings 52:2, 6, 8
highly 74:15	information 20:12; 59:3;		life 25:11, 12, 13; 26:14;	Mary 35:18; 44:9
Hillcrest 14:5	61:13;74:23;75:9		27:8;69:1;79:2,7;80:4	material 16:7; 24:19;
history 66:2	initial76:8;77:1	keep 34:25; 52:3	likely 66:13	25:20, 20; 27:3; 32:4, 5
holding 16:11	initially15:14	Kenneth 40:14; 50:3	lines 42:21	49:3, 5; 60:8; 78:20
home 65:11	inpatient 12:3	Kind 56:5	list 54:13;74:13	materials 7:24; 24:24
hospital 10:4; 12:3; 14:9,	inquire 16:3; 25:16;	kinds64:14	listed 11:15; 54:14	25:2, 7, 18, 19; 57:11
10;18:24;51:25;57:6;	55:16	knew 61:3, 21, 22; 62:14;	listen 10:23	matter 15:15;16:5; 22:10;43:16;44:20;6
9:6, 14, 15; 61:14, 25	inquiries 13:20	81:7	literally 65:18	75:12; 78:12
nospitals 14:7	inquiry 30:11	knowing18:9	literature 21:3, 7, 24;	Maxide 29:13
nostile 13:4, 5	insufficient61:13	knowledge9:4,6;11:11;	22:9, 15;24:3;49:5;65:25;	May 30:20; 31:6, 16;3
hour 17:3, 4, 9	insurance 10:12; 56:14;	16:16, 22; 67:19; 74:16	67:4,10	15;33:10, 21; 34:3; 41
hourly 32:3	61:1	known 20:21;46:15, 17,	Litigation 28:13	49:3; 50:12; 52:11
Hurd 9:5	insured 10:4	21;47:11;56:11;62:12,24	little 8:13, 15; 12:1, 3; 15:3; 24:13	maybe10:20;55:25;
Hurst 21:10; 22:11; 25:8, 21, 23; 65:7		Kolis 7:18; 50:8	lobe 29:20	60:6;61:24;76:9;77:3
hypertrophy 78:4	intend 55:19	KRAVITZ 7:1, 7, 13;	London 19:4; 50:8, 13	Mayo 26:5
	interesting 23:12	14:24; 15:6; 28:8, 12; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21; 32:11;	long30:21; 55:6, 7; 73:3;	mean 13:25; 59:20; 62
I	internal 11:21; 12:2, 5, 9,	33:6, 17, 21; 35:3, 7, 14,	81:6	71:3;73:10;78:24;81:
L	13, 20; 13:17, 22, 23;	23;36:2,7,22;37:1,5,9,	longer 50:23	meaning 43:19
	19:19; 48:10; 54:12; 62:1; 74:21	16, 21; 38:4, 9, 13, 19;	look 16:6, 21; 19:15; 20:6;	medical 8:21; 11:2; 13:20; 14:12; 18:4; 21:
dea 10:8, 10		39:2, 9, 14, 19, 23; 40:2, 7,	21:25; 24:18; 53:12;	22:9, 15;24:3,47:19;
dentification 7:17;28:9,	Internet 10:15; 25:25	12;41:1,6,10,14;42:6,	54:11;68:22,25;69:3,19	53:17;60:21;67:2,4,7
23;30:2,16;31:3,12,22; 52:12,21;33:7,18;34:8,	internist 13:1; 29:9;	11,15; 44:2, 7; 55:19;	looked 21:20; 25:24;	10, 11; 78:21; 79:8; 80
18;35:4, 15, 24; 36:8, 23;	56:22; 58:11, 14	80:13; 81:15, 20	26:4, 7, 10; 59:12; 60:10;	medical/legal 9:16, 2
37:6, 17; 38:5, 14; 39:3,	interpret 19:16; 29:15	T	62:21;72:22,23	11:16; 22:17; 73:23
5, 24; 40:9, 19; 41:2, 11,	interpretation 20:23	L	looking 8:3; 20:11, 16; 57:11; 58:13; 70:12; 77:6,	medically 48:15; 58:1
21;42:7, 16, 25; 44:3, 16,	interpreted 73:9		8, 14, 18	medicine 11:21; 12:2,
25;45:8, 17;46:1;49:25; 50:9, 19; 51:5, 13; 53:5	interruption 19:23	labeled 60:5; 73:18, 21;	looks 43:3;70:19	10, 13, 20; 13:17, 22, 2 19:19; 48:11; 54:12;
dentified52:21	interventricular 65:23	78:2	lot 12:14;53:12	74:21;79:13,18
dentify 29:1; 32:24;	into 23:24; 27:7; 29:11;	laboratory 59:20	Lou 35:18;44:9	medicine/cardiology
34:10; 43:3; 52:13	65:17	labs 71:7	LS 29:22	62:1
dentifying 28:16; 30:22	introduced 7:16; 56:10	lack 46:12	lumbo 29:22	meet 10:1
3:9	involved10:12;20:16;	Lake 70:22	LV 29:19	memo 41:20, 24; 42:2
maging67:25;68:2;	22:19; 23:7; 48:24; 59:1;	lap 18:11	lying 18:2	memory 59:21
4:10	79:12	last 8:18, 20; 11:12; 26:15; 29:3, 21; 33:2;	Lyndhurst7:14	Mendelsohn 18:3; 19
mmediately22:5	involving 25:21	51:17	Lyons 78:7	20:21; 45:16, 21; 67:21
mmunofix 70:17	irrespective 17:7	late 17:12; 43:12		81:3
mportant 26:16	issuance78:18	later 26.21; 27:11; 56:4	M	Mendelsohn's 20:13
mpression 58:4	issue 13:16;47:21;64:1;	law 11:8		60:11 mentioned 54:4; 58:2
	67:18;68:12;70:13	lawful7:1	M.D 7:1, 7; 15:6; 67:21;	68:11
nappropriate60:20	issues 12:21;13:18;	lawsuit 44:19; 48:24	80:13;81:20	message 33:25; 34:3;
	23:9, 19; 34:14; 47:2, 22;	lead 73:22; 74:3	ma'am15:23;79:5;80:6,	39:19
•	48:11, 12, 12, 13; 54:17;	least 10:11; 11:18; 17:19;	Э	met 80:17
	55:1, 4; 62:8, 13	47:6; 70:15; 76:20; 79:17	major 9:25	Michigan 10:19; 11:2,
	items 54:13	left 66:19	making72:4	12;53:24
ndex 72 11, 13		legally48:15	malpractice8:21;11:3	mid 9:22
ndexed 52:15, 24; 53:4	J	lesion 29:21	nanner 55:10	middle63:6
ndicate 67:20		letter 18:18; 27:14, 18;	many 11:8; 13:22; 14:2,	might 34:13, 24; 61:17
ndicated 19:10; 20:8;	James 71:11	28:8, 12; 29:14; 30:1, 4, 6,	2, 15; 23:5; 54:13	68:17, 25
1.0.27.0.5(.0.50.02		7, 15, 18, 23; 31:2, 5, 7, 15;	March 70:15	milligrams75:18
1:2, 57.2, 50.8, 58:25	January 21:22: 26:21			
ndicates15:5	January 21:22; 26:21; 36:1, 10; 45:20	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2,	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13;	
ndicates15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7;	36:1, 10; 45:20	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, <i>I</i> , 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8,	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7	21;44:24;45:2,25;46:
ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2,	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8,	21;44:24;45:2,25;46: mind 39:6;54:3;69:24
ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, <i>I</i> , 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13;	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21;	21;44:24;45:2,25;46: mind 39:6;54:3;69:24 25
ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6:30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3 ndividual 58:10	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15 Joseph 51:16	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, I, 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13; 42:6, 10; 44:2, 6; 45:16,	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21; 32:11, 20; 33:6, 17; 34:7,	21;44:24;45:2,25;46: mind 39:6;54:3;69:24 25 minimum 59:6
1.2, 57.2, 50.8, 58.25 ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3 ndividual 58:10 nduction 65:16	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15 Joseph 51:16 Judge 32:2	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, <i>I</i> , 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13; 42:6, 10; 44:2, 6; 45:16, 19; 49:24; 50:2, 3, 8, 11,	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21;	21;44:24;45:2,25;46: mind 39:6;54:3;69:24 25 minimum 59:6 minus 80:4
1.2, 57.2, 50.8, 58.25 ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3 ndividual 58:10 nduction 65:16 nferior 67:23	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15 Joseph 51:16 Judge 32:2 juncture 26:18	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, I, 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13; 42:6, 10; 44:2, 6; 45:16, 19; 49:24; 50:2, 3, 8, 11, 17, 21, 22; 51:4, 7, 12, 15;	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21; 32:11, 20; 33:6, 17; 34:7, 17; 35:3, 14, 23; 36:8, 14, 12; 37:5, 16; 38:5, 13, 16; 39:2, 14, 23; 40:8, 18;	21;44:24;45:2,25;46:: mind 39:6;54:3;69:24 25 minimum 59:6 minus 80:4 minutes 17:12;65:17;
1.2, 57.2, 50.8, 58.25 ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 8:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3 ndividual 58:10 nduction 65:16 nferior 67:23 nfiltrate 66:25; 75:7, 16;	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15 Joseph 51:16 Judge 32:2 juncture 26:18 June 16:3; 17:25; 18:17;	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, I, 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13; 42:6, 10; 44:2, 6; 45:16, 19; 49:24; 50:2, 3, 8, 11, 17, 21, 22; 51:4, 7, 12, 15; 54:25; 58:13; 60:12; 73:16	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21; 32:11, 20; 33:6, 17; 34:7, 17; 35:3, 14, 23; 36:8, 14, 12; 37:5, 16; 38:5, 13, 16; 39:2, 14, 23; 40:8, 18; (1:1, 10, 20; 42:7, 15, 24;	21;44:24;45:2,25;46:3 mind 39:6;54:3;69:24 25 minimum 59:6 minus 80:4 minutes 17:12;65:17; 31:13
ndicates 15:5 ndicating 12.6;30:7; 58:15;59:7; 74:10 ndication 59:3 ndividual 58:10 nduction 65:16 nferior 67:23 nfiltrate 66:25; 75:7, 16; 6:4	36:1, 10; 45:20 Joe 45:20; 51:8 John's 71:15 Joseph 51:16 Judge 32:2 juncture 26:18	35:3, 6, 8, 14, 17, 23; 36:2, I, 7, 10, 14, 22, 25; 37:5, 8, 16, 19, 22, 22; 38:1, 4, 7, 13, 17, 18; 39:2, 8, 23; 40:1, 7, 11; 41:1, 4, 10, 13; 42:6, 10; 44:2, 6; 45:16, 19; 49:24; 50:2, 3, 8, 11, 17, 21, 22; 51:4, 7, 12, 15;	Mark 24:15; 30:19; 36:13; 51:23; 53:7 narked 24:20; 28:1, 8, 22; 30:1, 15; 31:2, 11, 21; 32:11, 20; 33:6, 17; 34:7, 17; 35:3, 14, 23; 36:8, 14, 12; 37:5, 16; 38:5, 13, 16; 39:2, 14, 23; 40:8, 18;	minimum 59:6 minus 80:4 minutes 17:12; 65:17;

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(4) high - modalities

Alan E. Kravitz, M.D. May 31, 2002

3;44:9 6:7;24:19; 7:3;32:4,5; 8;78:20 7:24;24:24; 19; 57:11 15;16:5; 6;44:20;61:8; 2 :13 31:6, 16;32:1, 1;34:3;41:13; 52:11 20; 55:25; 76:9;77:3 5;59:20;62:4; ;78:24;81:7 3:19 21;11:2; 2;18:4;21:3,7; :3;47:19; ;67:2,4,7, 1;79:8;80:8 gal 9:16, 20; 7;73:23 48:15; 58:16 1:21; 12:2, 5, 3:17, 22, 23; l;54:12; 5, 18 ardiology 0,24;42:2):21 nn 18:3; 19:3; 6, 21; 67: 21; n's 20:13; 54:4; 58:24; 3:25;34:3; 0:19;11:2,7, 6, 24; 61:17; 75:18 pt 40:13, 18, :2, 25; 46:3 54:3;69:24, 9:6 12;65:17; 5 tood11:4 24:5

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Healthcare System dba Amherst Hospital

Alan E. Kravitz, M.D. May 31,2002

money 49:8 10, 13, 15; 50:24; 52:10, out 20:2;25:3;56:17; photostatic 44:19;45:10 primary 22:21, 24; 23:3; 15;58:20;71:7 66:8;71:20;72:1;74:19; 24:1;25:21,25 monoclonal 43:20; phrase 23:1 77:9 47:21; 53:20; 54:11, 11; November 37:8, 20; prior 8:7; 22:19; 54:25; physical 67:6 63:3;67:18;68:12, 18, 22; 39:9, 18 outside 10:18; 25:23 76:17;78:18 physician 9:10, 11, 12; 69:9, 13, 15; 70:13; 71:20; over 28:18; 53:13; 61:9; nuclear 68:4 12:24; 13:17; 23:22; private 15:5; 23:24 74:6 65:25;77:21,23 48:24; 58:25; 70:24; number 26:17; 30:23; privileges 14:4,9 months 8:18, 20; 26:15; 71:22;74:21;76:20 31:5, 14, 24; 32:23; 33:9; owe 20:2 Pro-National42:6,10; 60:6;73:5 47:17,18 physician's 70:25 own 20:20 56:11 more 15:3; 20:21; 25:12; numbers 10:7 physicians 8:10, 17, 19; probability 67:2; 79:8; 43:21;48:14;49:8;60:8; 51:23 nurse 27:1; 35:18; 44:12 P 80:8 61:6; 66:13; 79:3, 11; 81:4 pick 26:23 Probably 9:22; 12:22; morning 74:25 piece 29:2; 32:25; 34:2, Ο 15:18;43:12 most 60:4 page 51:17; 72:24 23;41:24 problem 61:18, 22; motions 55:17 pages 30:21, 23; 31:16; pieces15:24 63:25;64:4;66:7,13 oath 10:25; 49:15; 58:19 motor 77:20, 23 35:9, 19;37:2, 10 place 59:13 problems 13:21 **OB/GYN** 48:12 Mrs 18:24; 26:14; 27:8; paid 17:2 Plaintiff 7:2; 14:21; 47:7 Procedure7:4 29:9;53:23;58:21;66:11; object 60:18; 63:19, 23 Pam 52:3 Plaintiff's 28:5, 7, 11, 21, product 60:11 78:14; 79:1, 2; 80:3 Objection 27:10;59:9; Papalardo 28:8, 13; 25; 29:25; 30:4, 14; 31:1, professional 79:12 much 26:17 63:11,20 34:13; 36:7, 11; 38:4, 8 5, 10, 14, 17, 20, 24; muscularly 74:12 prohibition 64:13 objections 64:14 32:10, 14, 19, 23; 33:5, 9, paper 15:24; 29:2; 32:25; 16, 20; 34:6, 10, 16, 20; must 10:7 prompt 81:16 obligation 57:25 34:2;41:24 35:2, 6, 13, 22; 36:1, 6, 10, myself 7:25; 19:18; paper-clipped34:24 proofs 28:15 obviously 27:25; 56:19; 21, 25; 37:4, 8, 15, 19; 20:24, 25; 24:20; 50:3, 12, 75:25 paperclips 34:22 provided 7:3; 16:2, 23; 38:3, 7, 12, 17; 39:1, 8, 13, 22;71:4 occasions 8:7; 14:15 paragraph 25:10; 56:18 18:21; 19:9; 22:7; 37:13 17, 22; 40:1, 6, 17, 21, 25; occurred 23:10, 12, 14, paralegal 27:1;35:18; provides 11:16 41:4, 9, 13, 19, 23; 42:5, 9, N 19; 46:11, 18, 22; 67:8 44:1014,18,23;43:2;44:1,5, prudent 58:25 occurs 77:23 Pardon 46:20;69:21 14, 18, 23; 45:2, 6, 10, 15, publishing 26:1 19, 24; 46:3; 47:6; 49:23; October 35:7, 17; 38:18; name 7:9, 12, 13, 17; part 13:12; 21:8; 22:2; pulmonary 75:7 40:223:16; 34:23; 43:16, 18, 50:2, 7, 11, 16, 21; 51:3, 11:15; 29:6, 6; 70:25; purpose 7:2 11, 15; 53:3, 7 Off 81:12 19, 20, 23 71:22;80:16 purposes 7:17, 21; 28:9, plasma 68:19;69:11 office 12:3; 13:9; 50:18, particular 27:25; 28:4 names 11:16 22;30:2, 16; 31:3, 12, 22; played 23:15 24;52:10;60:10;73:7 particularly 67:22 Nancy 7:19; 17:21; 22:19; 32:12, 20; 33:7, 18; 34:7, 41:25;45:11;46:11; Ohio 7:14; 8:10, 17; 10:1, please7:10, 11; 12:25; partner 9:25 17;35:4, 15, 24; 36:8, 23; 21:7; 29:11; 32:23; 68:1; 48:25; 56:19; 61:17; 18;79:23 partners 8:24; 14:23; 37:6, 17; 38:5, 14; 39:3, 72:18 62:15, 23; 63:7; 64:17; once 69:10;78:18 15:9 15, 24; 40:8, 19; 41:2, 11, 65:15;71:9;74:25;80:21 plus 68:11 20;42:7,16,24;44:3,15, oncologist 71:15 past 10:16, 25; 53:13 Nancy's 71:17 point 15:21; 25:1; 48:25; 25;45:8,17,25;49:25; one 8:23; 10:2; 11:13; PAT 59:19, 21, 22, 24 50:9, 18; 51:5, 13; 53:5 natural 66:1 49:6; 55:16; 58:2; 68:15 14:16; 17:19; 23:11; sathologist 19:21; 20:17 27:19; 29:23; 35:9; 47:17; Pointe14:5 Pursuant81:13 nature 47:20; 67:17; 78:4 sathology 20:24; 80:24; 51:17; 52:11; 59:15; poor 28:2 oursue 14:22 necessary 46:23 31:5 58:17, 23, 25; 70:15; 72:8, out 27:2; 29:23; 32:8; population 12:7, 18 need10:3;16:3;18:10; **catient** 9:9; 12:7, 17; 8, 24; 76: 11 74:12 30:8; 53:14; 54:1; 55:16, portion 65:5 22:21;23:25;43:17; one's 27:20, 20 18 outting 28:11 47:15, 16, 23; 48:1; 54:7; positive 59:3 one-page 73:16 needed8:1;34:13;58:17 57:15;58:15;62:4;66:2; possible 26:18 only 16:4, 12, 14, 16, 22; 59:9;73:1;79:1 negative29:19 Q practical 22:8 48:9; 58:5; 68:21; 73:24; patient's 47:20; 54:4, 5 negligence14:12 practice 12:1, 2, 23; 77:18 next29:15;30:18;55:23; patients 10:18, 21:11:2. 13:18; 14:23; 15:2, 4, 6; qualified 20:25 opened 15:1 7; 12:7, 18; 23:5, 18; 59:1 56:1;76:10 23:24;79:18 qualify 79:15 operating 65:2 **Paul** 45:3; 51:24 nicely 69:20 practitioner 58:12, 14 quick 52:1 spining 48:23 baying 17:4 night 29:4; 33:2; 65:13 Preadmission 59:24 quickly 78:5 **pinion** 25:17; 26:13, 19, node 66:17, 18, 19, 22, 22 **beople** 13:18, 21; 68:16 predecessor 71:12 20;27:2;54:24;74:1; quite 70:7 iercent 10:11;79:11,17 None 24:12 preoperative 58:20; 78:22;79:6, 10; 80:3, 7; quote 58:11;66:24; nonrefundable30:8 percentage 12:17, 18, 20 51:9,10 31:3 57:11;74:11 Normal 29:17, 19; 54:8; performed 67:21; 70:21, prepaid 30:8 **pinions** 22:17; 25:5; 63:2;67:15;68:8, 20, 21; 22 26:24; 57:24; 78:13; ireparation 29:5 R 74:9;75:6,10 >eriod 10:9; 24:11; 61:9; 30:18;81:4 prepare 33:3; 73:19 7:21.23 normally 66:18 prepared 18:4; 72:5, 7, 8 opportunity 24:18;81:1 permission 11:18 adiology 78:2 northeast 8:10, 17 order 17:3, 5; 27:25; 28:4; presence 53:20;54:14; persantine 68:4 aise 74:18 notation 29:21 32:2; 70:4; 81:14 74:6, 7; 78:12 aised 54:18 original 27:23; 39:8;)erson 23:3;68:8;73:22 present 9:15; 15:7, 8 note 51:21;62:3 10:1, 11; 44:5 personal 71:3 Ralph 50:23; 52:22 notebook 29:2 iretty 74:13 originally 25:5 personally 81:8 ate 32:3;71:21;74:15 noted 49:20; 63:20; 77:16 irevious 71:1 iriginals 37:24 hone 20:3; 26:23; 53:22 ather 26:16 notes 28:22; 29:3, 10; ireviously 24:18; 56:11; 32:20:33:2:42:24:43:6, **Otherwise** 38:21 hotocopy 22:2 51:23; 67:3: 68:11:74:10 le 41:25

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Nealthcare System dba h h e r s t Hospital

	-			
read 29:10; 32:24; 43:8;	2, 2, 3, 4; 20:13; 24:4, 13,	rights 56:4	show 78:3; 81:5	stand64:2
47:24; 57:8, 10, 16, 18;	22;25:6, 10;42:15, 19;	Rispo 7:21, 23; 8:6;	showed68:4	standard48:17;49:2;
75:12, 12, 15, 24; 81:17	45:7, 12; 56:16, 18; 60:22; 67:20; 70:25; 77:25; 78:1,	15:15; 16:14, 17, 19;	Shumate 35:14, 18; 44:2	55:21
reading43:24;72:24; 73:2;75:5,6,10	19,25	17:24;18:1,7,17,21;	9	standards 53:17; 59:11
ready 17:10, 13	reporter 24:20; 28:2;	19:9; 20:18; 21:24; 22:7; 24:24; 26:24, 25; 27:7, 10	side 71:25; 73:18	start 9:20, 24; 64:15
real 52:1	77:7	13, 16, 17, 22; 30:1, 5, 15,	sides 52:16	started 8:3; 17:8
really 47:3; 54:2; 60:18;	reports 71:1; 72:2, 16	19; 31:2, 6, 11, 15, 18, 21,	significance 68:14	state 7:9;11:2,7;78:25;
64:11	represent7:19; 80:15	25;32:11, 15;33:6, 10, 17	significant 68:13	79:23
reason 55:8; 62:22;	represented9:25	21;35:3,7,23;36:2,22;	signs 74:3	stated30:5;49:7;59:10
64:16; 69:8	represents 33:1, 2	37:1, 5, 9, 16, 20, 24; 38:1	simply 10:12; 48:14	statement7:22;13:14;
reasonable 56:17; 67:1;	request 17:20; 22:5	<i>13</i> , 18 ;39:2, 10, 14, 18 , 23; 40:3, 7, 12; 41:1, 5, 10	single 77:17, 25; 78:1	25:9;35:10;59:23;63:10,
79:8;80:7	require 76:19	<i>14</i> ;44:7, 8;48:8;49:19;	sinoatrial 66:17, 18, 21	17, 18; 64:22, 24; 72:5
reasons11:9;26:17	rereading25:8	50:23; 55:9, 15, 22; 56:2;	sit 11:23; 57:18	stating 30:9; 32:16
recall 9:7; 16:6; 19:11;	research10:15;25:25	57:4, 9; 58:17, 23; 61:19;	sitting 18:12; 61:12;	stay 13:10 step 77:9
72:3, 24	researching26:1	63:11, 14, 15, 22; 64:5, 9,	65:12; 68:16	
receive49:4;52:17	reserve 56:3	21	six 68:16	sticker 28:12
received24:23; 25:4;	residency 23:20	Rispo's 8:23; 55:14; 73:7	sixth 21:14	still 11:14; 21:8; 76:11; 77:14
52:14	residents 23:13	Road 7:14	SJWS 29:19	stipulation 27:7
recent 43:11, 11	resolve14:17	role 43:18	skill 19:20	strong 67:13
recently 43:6; 55:4; 60:4	respect25:11;32:4,5;	Ron 33:21; 36:2; 37:1;	slides 18:3; 19:10, 15, 17	studies 67:25;68:2,4
recess 77:12	60:24	38:18;39:9,18;40:2, <i>12;</i> 41:5,14;44:7,8;64:11;	20:6, 11, 14, 16, 18; 80:24: 31:5	subject 7:25
recollection 8:5; 10:20; 19:13; 24:2; 60:3; 76:4	response 30:11	30:16	Smithson 19:5; 40:14;	submitting 22:18
reconsider 56:4	rest 55:11; 69:3	Ron's 17:16	49:24; 50:4	subsequent 24:21; 25:2,
record 7:9, <i>17</i> ;11:10;	restrictive 43:22; 54:9; 63:2; 67:16	Ronald 37:9	so-called 22:16	18;32:6
16:25; 19:25; 23:23;	result 76:13, 16	'oom 20:2;68:16	solely 22:22	subspecialties11:20;
29:11; 37:11; 51:21; 58:1;	resuscitation 46:10, 14,	Ross 28:13;36:11;38:8	somebody 51:18; 58:9	13:23;14:3
63:19;71:19, 23;72:23;	15, 23	Rules 7:3;79:16	somehow 52:14	sudden 25:15;43:23;
77:7; 81:12, 12	retained 7:20, 23;60:15,		;omeone 9:5; 10:3; 56:9;	55:6, 25; 66:4, 5; 79:4;
records 15:17; 18:22, 23, 23; 51:22; 52:9, 13, 22, 24;	21; 78:8	S	51:16;72:11;74:4	80:4 sued 14:12
53:4; 57:6, 10; 60:21;	retrospect 54:10		someplace 53:25	suffice 15:21
61:24;62:1,6;67:24;	retrospectively63:1	Saint 71:15	Sometime 26:15	suggesting 71:1
71:18; 72:11; 73:4, 10, 14,	returned20:18; 43:22	Salt 70:22	ometimes 17:1;68:20	suggestion 67:13;70:17
24	revealed67:22	ame 12:11;15:25;22:6;	ioon 22:7;70:11	suggests 71:19
recurrent 74:7	review 7:23; 10:3; 21:5;	34:20, 23; 38:24; 52:24;	;orry 7:11; 8:11, <i>13</i> ;11:4; 5:10, 13; 20:4; 52:17, 20;	suitable 46:13
reduce17:15 redundant 37:12	22:9, 15; 47:2; 49:5; 60:16, 21; 67:20; 71:17; 80:24;	3 :25;64:1, 2, 4	9:7;70:13;79:19,19,21	Suite 7:15
referrals 12:15	31:1	aw 19:12; 71:25	ort 29:7; 62:3; 67:14;	supervision 70:20
referring 56:19; 66:4, 5;	reviewed 17:20, 23; 18:1,	aying 10:6; 18:6 ; 23:2; [8:15; 54:20; 57:18; 60:1,	8:9;74:19	supplied 18:7; 34:22
68:2, 3	3, 4, 6, 9; 21:8, 10; 22:11,	8 ,61:12;72:2;79:20	orted 72:1	supply 21:24
refers71:20	13;24:3;25:19;29:4;60:4	econd 39:6; 56:6, 18;	iouth 14:5	support 58:2
refile 56:3	eviewing 9:24; 10:12;	2:4	pace71:3	supporting 58:3
reflect 20:1;81:12	11:10; 15:17; 43:14 eviews 9:17, 21	ections 25:8	peak 8:13, 15	supports 67:4, 7
refresh10:19	evised 26:13, 20	ed 71:21; 74:15	peaking64:14	suppose 29:14;60:15
refund 81:15	evised 20.15, 20	eem 77:15	peaks 57:22	supposed 49:13
regarding18:24;20:6;	'hythm 66:12	eems 10:17; 29:7; 53:11	pecialized66:15,23	Sure 7:12, 13; 13:3, 25;
30:11; 32:3; 37:12; 43:7,	Richard 51:17	ending73:10	pecialties 12:12	5:5;18:16;29:12;32:17;
<i>13</i> ;48:5, 10; 55:3; 78:14; 81:5	Richardson 18:22; 29:8,	ense 63:24	pecialty 19:15	3:13; 49:11, 12, 16, <i>17,</i> <i>7,</i> 53:21; 69:17; 70:2;
related17:21;61:6	2;40:18, 22; 49:10;	ent 30:7; 51:18, 18; 71:9,	pecific 61:10	2:14, 19, 23; 76:24; 79:15
relates 43:17, 18, 19, 20	0:25; 51:24; 52:11, 12,	<i>0;</i> 73:7	pecifically 10:19; 17:9,	urgeon 58:15
relationship 56:9	4, 18; 53:4, 11, 16, 19,	eptember 37:1;40:11; 1:5, 25	<i>3;</i> 59:12;65:6;68:1; 1:19;72:24;73:6	urgery 43:17; 46:17, 21;
relative20:13	12;55:20;56:22,24,25; 7:13:59:4,8:61:3:67:24:	eptum 65:23;68:5	pecificity 26:18	7:4, 5, 11, 13, 16; 48:1, 6;
relief 77:3	7:13;59:4,8;61:3;67:24; 1:2,9,13,23;74:18	eries 29:3, 15; 58:23	pend 79:11, 16	9:1; 56:20, 24; 57:2, 15,
relooking 25:19	lichardson's 43:18;	ervice 11:16	pent 23:10	<i>0;</i> 58:9, 11, 16, 21; 59:2, ;61:16; 62:21; 65:10, 17;
remember 14:2	0:17; 57:16; 58:7; 60:10;	et 52:7, 9, 11, 21;72:8,8	pike68:18;69:9;70:5	;01:10;02:21;05:10,17; 0:6,7
removed 15:24	1:5; 69:17; 72:15; 73:15	ettlement <i>14</i> :19	spikes 69:14	urvive65:18
render 48:5, 10; 81:4	ight 8:2; 16:1, 10; 17:6,	even 10:16, 17; 11:1	spine 29:22	uspect 74:4,6
rendering 49:9	8;18:5;23:17;28:25;	hared 55:10	spoke 57:2	uspicion 74:18
repeat 10:24; 49:8; 75:19	29:20;31:24;36:17; 37:24;41:17;42:1;52:25;	heet 33:20; 34:1, 2;	spoken 57:13	witch 77:4
report 16:2, 4, 13, 15, 16,	63:19;66:20;69:23;71:6,	9:17	stack 32:8	worn 7:4
22:17:19.25:18:8:19:2.	7, 8; 73:20; 79:22	Shore 71:16	stained19:11;20:6	ystem 65:5, 23; 66:7,

Mehler & Hagestrom 1-800-822-0650

Min-U-Script®

(6) read · system

Alan E. Kravitz, M.D. May 31, 2002

James J. Armstrong, etc. v. EMH Regional Healthcare System dba h h e r s t Hospital

today's 22:6;60:7		55:9; 59:4	
together 34:24	V	written 16:4; 18:8; 42:21;	
told 12:25; 57:13, 14, 21;			
	vehicle 77:20, 23		
	ventricles 66:16		
· · ·	verbal 58:22	/8:21;79:6,10	
took 29:9; 33:2; 43:6, 10	verdict 45:12	N/	
top 29:6	versus 12:19; 42:1	.	
tos 34:4	view 58:2;68:15		
toto 60:6	Visuals 28:13	x-ray 75:3, 11; 77:17, 25;	
traded 23:1	volume 9:16; 10:3		
training 19:18		x-rays 77:16, 17	
transcript 18:25; 19:1	W		
transcripts 16:25		Υ I	
treated 22:20; 23:3, 25	Wait 39:6; 72:4		
treating 26:2	walked 20:2	year 9:12;15:16;53:13;	
treatment 23:7, 16; 24:5,	wall 67:23		
10;48:25;80:20	waste 49:8		
trial 8:21; 30:9; 48:5, 23;	watching 65:11		
49:14, 17; 55:23; 56:1	Watts 51:12, 17		
trials 30:12	way 8:20; 12:11; 14:20;		
trick 63:12, <i>14</i>		79:3;80:5	
tunnel 29:17			
turn 28:18		Zaleski's 32:2	
TV 65:11	· ·		
	J J		
two-page 31:6; 38:19			
	\$7:22;62:11		
	wherever 71:5		
	vhite 75 :20		
-	/		
Jnaware 78:12			
	-		
	U		
inexpected65:7	8:10;73:2		
infortunately 28:2	VITNESS 16:12; 79:15;		
Jniversity 26:8; 53:23	1:17		
I nknown 63:9; 64:19	/oman 68:10; 69:2		
	roman's 76:1		
Inless 49:4;63:23;66:2;			
1:12;75:20	/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16	<i>i</i> ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21	/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork 10:11; 34:23; 60:10;		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21 p 8:13, 15; 21:25; 26:23;	/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork10:11; 34:23; 60:10; <i>3:6</i>		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21 p 8:13, 15; 21:25; 26:23; 8:25; 54:11;68:22	<pre>/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork 10:11; 34:23; 60:10; 3:6 /orked 34:12</pre>		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21 p 8:13, 15; 21:25; 26:23; 8:25; 54:11;68:22 pon 17:16; 46:10; 47:3;	<pre>/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork 10:11; 34:23; 60:10; 3:6 /orked 34:12 /orking 81:6</pre>		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21 p 8:13, 15; 21:25; 26:23; 8:25; 54:11;68:22 pon 17:16; 46:10; 47:3; 2:8	<pre>/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork 10:11; 34:23; 60:10; 3:6 'orked 34:12 'orking 81:6 'orkup 61:9</pre>		
1:12;75:20 innecessary 46:16 inrelated 65:21 p 8:13, 15; 21:25; 26:23; 8:25; 54:11;68:22 pon 17:16; 46:10; 47:3;	<pre>/ord 60:15, 19; 71:15 rords 78:24 rork 10:11; 34:23; 60:10; 3:6 /orked 34:12 /orking 81:6</pre>		
	together 34:24 told 12:25; 57:13, 14, 21; 58:19 Tom 64:1 Tom's 8:3; 24:17 took 29:9; 33:2; 43:6, 10 top 29:6 tos 34:4 toto 60:6 traded 23:1 training 19:18 transcript 18:25; 19:1 transcript 18:25; 19:1 transcript 18:25; 19:1 transcript 16:25 treated 22:20; 23:3, 25 treating 26:2 treatment 23:7, 16; 24:5, 10; 48:25; 80:20 trial 8:21; 30:9; 48:5, 23; 49:14, 17; 55:23; 56:1 trials 30:12 trick 63:12, 14 Try 64:10, 12 trying 34:4 tunnel 29:17 turn 28:18 TV 65:11 twice 60:1 two 23:14; 25:14; 35:9, 19; 37:2; 47:18; 66:9; 74:20; 78:7; 80:5 two-page 31:6; 38:19 U JH 53:24 Jnacquainted 57:19 Jnaware 78:12 incomfortable 20:23 Jncommon 68:6 Jnder 10:25; 49:15; i8:19; 69:16; 70:9, 20; '7:16; 78:1; 79:15 Jnderlined 29:12 inderstood 23:17 Inderwent 56:20; 63:8; i4:18; 65:16 inexpected 65:7 infortunately 28:2 Jniversity 26:8; 53:23	together $34:24$ tol 12:25; 57:13, 14, 21;Vehicle 77:20, 23tom $64:1$ Tom $64:1$ Tom $64:1$ tow $29:6$ tos $34:4$ toto $60:6$ transcript $18:25; 19:11$ transcript $16:25$ treated $22:20; 23:3, 25$ treated $22:20; 23:56:12$ <	together 34:24 V written 16:4; 18:8; 42:21; 54:27; 32:4 told 12:25; 57:13, 14, 21; 58:19 vehicle 77:20, 23 wrong 48:9 Tom 64:1 vehicle 77:20, 23 wrote 16:13, 17; 25:5; 78:21; 79:6, 10 tob 29:93:2; 43:6, 10 verbal 58:22 verdict 57:2 took 29:93:3; 43:6, 10 verbal 58:22 verdict 57:2 versus 12:19; 42:1 versus 12:19; 42:1 versus 12:19; 42:1 visual 28:13 volume 9:16; 10:3 X-ray 75:3, 11; 77:17, 25; 78:1 traded 23:1 training 19:18 Velice 77:20, 23 Versus 12:19; 42:1 traded 23:1 visuals 28:13 volume 9:16; 10:3 X-ray 75:3, 11; 77:17, 25; 78:1 traded 23:1 walked 20:2 walked 20:2 walked 20:2 walked 20:2 treatment 25:7, 16; 24:5; walked 20:2 walked 20:2 walked 20:2 year-and-a-half 25:14; 80:19 trial 8:0:12 trial 8:0:12 wathing 65:11 wathing 65:11 year 9:12; 15:16; 53:13; 69:10 trial 8:0:12 trial 8:0; 24:13; 59:9; 10:12; 15:16; 22:5; 59:22; 50:22;