

68-GREENHILL

WILLIAMS -
On TUBBING.

70-SNIDER &
MOYA

57-55-107 + CORD 104111
7-4-82

Doc. 238

State of Ohio,)
County of Cuyahoga.) SS:

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

ERIC HAWKINS, a minor, etc.,)
et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
vs.)
BEDFORD MUNICIPAL HOSPITAL,)
et al.,)
Defendants.)

Case No. 957,170

DEPOSITION OF STEVE?; M. KLEIN, M.D.

Saturday, September 13, 1386

The deposition of Steven M. Klein, M.D., called by the
plaintiffs pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure,
taken before me, Sidney Gantverg, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio,
by agreement of counsel and without notice or other
legal formality, at the offices of Weisman, Goldberg,
Weisman & Kaufman, 540 Leader Building, Cleveland, Ohio,
beginning at 10:20 A.M., on the day and date above set
forth.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES :

2 On behalf of the Plaintiffs:

3 Weisman, Goldberg, Weisman & Kaufman
4 Fred Weisman, Esq.
Richard J. Berris, Esq.
5 540 Leader Building
Cleveland, Ohio

6 On behalf of Defendant Bedford Municipal Hospital:

7 Kitchner, Messner & Deery
8 Steven W. Albert, Esq.
Superior Building
9 Cleveland, Ohio

10 On behalf of Defendant Dr. Reyes:

11 McNeal, Schick & Archibald
Harley J. McNeal, Esq.
12 The Illuminating Building
Cleveland, Ohio

13 On behalf of Defendant Dr. Choi:

14 Gallagher, Sharp, Pulton & Norman
George W. Stuhldreher, Esq.
15 Sixth Floor-Bulkley Building
16 Cleveland, Ohio

17 - - -

STEVEN M. KLEIN, M.D.

called by the plaintiffs pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was examined and deposed as follows:

EXAMINATION

BY MR. WEISMAN:

Q. Give us *your* name and your address, please?

A. Dr. Steven M. Klein, 21125 Shelburne, Shaker Heights, Ohio, 44122.

Q. Your specialty is?

A. Obstetrics and gynecology.

Q. And do you have a CV?

A. I *do*, but I was not asked to produce it.

MR. ALBERT: I will be most happy to get a copy and send it over to you.

MR. WEISMAN: That will be fine.

Q. Have you produced any writings, publications of any kind?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. And they are attached to your CV, are they?

A. Yes, they are.

Q. Is it complete and up to date?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. Where did you attend medical school?

A. Ohio State.

1 Q And you graduated when?

2 A 1969.

3 Q Give us your postgraduate training essentially.

4 A I did a year of internship at the Ohio State
5 University Hospital in medicine from 1969 to 1970, and I
6 did four years of obstetrics and gynecology residency at
7 the hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, in
8 Philadelphia. So I finished in 1974, and then came to
9 Cleveland in private practice.

10 Q Have you Zone some teaching?

11 A Yes, I do, of medical students and residents,
12 primarily at Mt. Sinai Hospital here in Cleveland.

13 Q You have been affiliated with Mt. Sinai since when?

14 A 1974.

15 Q The matter of obstetrics is very much a team
16 approach, correct?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q And your teaching, for example, of the nurses,
19 is in what area? What have you taught the nurses about?
20 What types of things have you touched on?

21 A Patient care, innovative things as they come along
22 in obstetrics and gynecology. I do a lot of infertility
23 work, so we discussed a lot of that in service, in seminars,
24 and so forth; discussions of fetal monitoring. Just in
25 general, the care of the patients.

1 Q. Who are the members of the team? When you speak
2 of obstetrics as being a team approach, who are in that team
3 A. I would think that the physician, the obstetrician
4 that is, and the patient, comprise the team.
5 Q. The patient?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Is that particularly during the pregnancy?
8 A. If the doctor and patient are fortunate enough
9 to have a relationship, then it may precede the pregnancy.
10 It may anticipate a pregnancy. And certainly at the end of
11 the pregnancy, and afterwards, the physician and patient
12 still comprise the team, as the patient may need additional
13 help medically, psychologically, emotionally.
14 Q. And the nurses are on that team when it comes, I
15 guess, to the labor and delivery aspect, is that correct?
16 A. If labor and delivery take place in a hospital,
17 then the nurses are part of the team, yes.
18 Q. And also on the team would be others, conceivably,
19 that are in place?
20 A. Conceivably.
21 Q. Such as an anesthesiologist, for example, sometimes?
22 A. Sometimes.
23 Q. You are knowledgeable, are you not, about the
24 matters of labor and delivery? I know it's an obvious
25 question, but your field is obstetrics, and you are

1 knowledgeable about labor and delivery matters

2 Yes.

3 Q. You are knowledgeable also about matte
4 resuscitation of a newborn baby, where indicated'.

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. An6 there might be a difference between being
7 knowledgeable about it and practicing it on a daily basis,
8 isn't that true?

9 A. That is correct.

10 Q. Is it the fact that you don't resuscitate on a
11 daily basis, yourself, or even on a weekly basis, yourself?

12 A. That is a fact. I do not do it on a daily, and
13 sometimes not even on a weekly basis.

14 Q. When is the last time that you personally
15 resuscitated a baby?

16 A. I can't give you an exact date, but it was within
17 the year.

18 Q. Sometime within the year?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Can you think of any more than one time within the
21 year that you personally were involved in resuscitation?

22 A. No, I cannot.

23 Q. Who usually does that, Doctor?

24 A. There are two people in my associations that
25 generally do it where I practice most of ny obstetrics,

1 that is, at Mt. Sinai, and that is the pediatrician and
2 anesthesiologist. Oft times a nurse will participate in
3 some way, depending on the situation.

4 Q. it's basic medicine, isn't it, that when anyone
5 assumes or undertakes a professional responsibility in your
6 field of medicine, that he is required, or she is required
7 to bring to that task reasonable and sensible or prudent
8 professional skill, knowledge and care, isn't that so?

9 A. That's so.

10 Q. And that's true whether it's any member of the
11 team, the obstetrician, the anesthesiologist, the nurse,
12 or whoever, is that correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Anything less than that you understand to be
15 substandard care, do you not?

16 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

17 A. Not necessarily.

18 Q. Well --

19 A. You are creating or painting the picture of what
20 would be ideal.

21 Q. Well, I asked you not for the ideal; what I asked
22 you for was the question of, when you assume or undertake
23 professional responsibility, you bring to it that degree
24 of care which is reasonably prudent, not ideally prudent,
25 but reasonably prudent or acceptably prudent, if you **would**?

1 A. I agree with that.

2 Q. So if you do less than that -- not the ideal -- but
3 less than what is reasonable or acceptable, that would be
4 unacceptable, would it not, medically?

5 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

6 A. I don't know if anything less would necessarily
7 medically be -- what was the term you used?

8 MR. McNEAL: Unacceptable.

9 Q. Unacceptable.

10 A. Unacceptable. It might be substandard, perhaps,
11 as far as that individual is concerned, or those individuals
12 affected by that, but I don't know that necessarily, medi-
13 cally, it would be substandard all the time.

14 Q. Let's put it this way: If it is not substandard,
15 it is less than standard, it is substandard; that you will
16 agree on?

17 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

18 A. I will agree that there are certainly many
19 degrees of standard. I don't know that, if it is not
20 standard, it has to be substandard. It could be super-
21 standard, and there could be variations in between.

22 Q. If something is less than standard, isn't it,
23 by virtue of that fact, substandard?

24 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

25 A. Yes, it is.

Q. And if something is less than acceptable, that would be unacceptable, would it not?

MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

A. You have to define "something," in my opinion.

Q. Is that right?

A. I would say that if that is true, in those terms, yes.

Q. Some of the functions that are taught to nurses relate to standard, safe and recognized care of the mother and the baby, isn't that so?

A. That's correct.

Q. And some of the things that are taught include the matter of monitoring the fetus during the time that the mother is about to deliver, isn't that so? Monitoring is one of the activities or functions of the nurse?

A. On the labor and delivery floor?

Q. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. Do you teach about that? Do you teach them about monitoring, or have you in the past?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Basically what is involved during labor? How frequently is, for example, the fetal heart rate to be checked, according to recognize standard practice in your obstetrical work?

1 A. You are talking about 1986, or are you talking
2 about 1974?

3 Q. 1974, all of 1974.

4 MR. ALBERT: Just so that I am clear,
5 everything that you are asking is in terms of
6 1974?

7 Q. Everything I have asked you about so far relates
8 to 1974. You have no problems with that here on the past
9 questions?

10 A. **KO.**

11 Q. And everything we are talking about in this case
12 specifically relates to 1974. We are concerned with the
13 standards and practices in 1974 or before.

14 A. I think that it would be a good standard of care
15 if a nurse were to take a fetal heart tone approximately every
16 thirty minutes in early labor, and would increase the
17 frequency of that as the labor progressed.

18 Q. How frequently during the second stage, according
19 to recognized standards in obstetrics?

20 A. I would think about every five to ten minutes.

21 Q. Is it reasonable to expect that if someone comes
22 into a hospital to have a baby, that the nurse who is
23 assigned the responsibility would understand the significance
24 or importance of regular monitoring of the fetal heart rate?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q That standard practice, just so that we are clear
2 on it, isn't something that is relegated to the outstanding
3 lead hospitals in a community, such as Mt. Sinai Hospital,
4 or University Hospital, that's true in any hospital where
5 a hospital has a maternity department to deliver babies,
6 isn't that so?

7 A Yes.

8 Q You reviewed various things, I take it, before
9 coming here today to testify?

10 A Yes, I did.

11 Q Tell us essentially what you reviewed up to date
12 before coming here?

13 A I reviewed the hospital chart on Baby Boy Hawkins,
14 Eric Hawkins. I reviewed the hospital charts on Bettie
15 Hawkins. One was for her labor and delivery, and the other
16 was for an admission on October 23rd for false labor.

17 In addition, I reviewed the deposition of
18 Dr. Kretchmer, Dr. Coker, Dr. Horwitz, and Dr. Edelberg.
19 And I reviewed my report that I made to Mr. Albert, dated
20 May 28th, 1986.

21 Q I assume you reviewed the various records before
22 writing your report, and some of them after, apparently,
23 writing your report?

24 A Some of the depositions weren't available to me.
25 The hospital charts were available, as well as, I think, the

1 depositions of Dr. Horwitz and Dr. Edelberg.

2 Q So the others, Dr. Coker and Dr. Kretchmer, came to
3 you after you wrote your report?

4 A Correct.

5 Q Did those depositions that came afterwards, that
6 is, Dr. Coker and Dr. Kretchmer, have any effect on changing
7 any of your opinions with respect to findings made or
8 observations made in your report?

9 A No, sir.

10 Q The first record at Bedford Hospital, the earliest
11 one that you reviewed, was of what date, please?

12 A That was October 23rd, 1974.

13 Q And what did that show, essentially, that particula
14 record?

15 A That the mother had a viable pregnancy in a breech
16 position, and that she was not in true labor.

17 Q When you speak as an obstetrician about breech
18 position, what does that mean?

19 A That the baby's presenting part in the lower
20 uterine segment was, in fact, breech, the buttocks of the
21 baby, or the buttocks and feet of the baby, but not the
22 vertex of the baby.

23 Q Have you had occasion to observe and handle breech
24 position deliveries in your practice?

25 A Yes, I have.

1 Q. And have you had a chance to observe breech
2 position on many occasions?

3 A. Define "many," please?

4 Q. How frequently is this matter of breach, how rare,
5 or how common is breech? What is the percentage, roughly,
6 if there are studies on that?

7 A. I think about five or six percent of babies present
8 as breeches.

9 Q. So five or six out of every hundred babies could
10 reasonably be expected to be breech?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And there are different kinds of breech positions,
13 as I understand it, is that correct?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. But when you speak of a percentage, statistically,
16 that five or six out of every hundred may be breech, you
17 mean breech of one kind or another?

18 A. That's true.

19 Q. When you speak here about the position of Baby
20 Eric Hawkins, he was what was described as frank breech.
21 Does that have a special meaning, frank breech?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. As opposed to some other breech, or compared to
24 some other breech?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q Tell us what frank breech means?

2 A It means that the legs are extended in front of
3 the baby, and that the breech is in the pelvis. It just
4 refers to the legs and how they are in relationship to the
5 breech itself.

6 Q And essentially what is happening there, if I
7 understand -- and tell me if I am right on this -- that the
8 buttocks present first, rather than in the more common way,
9 the head would be presented first?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q What are the dangers, if any -- is this, by the
12 way, what you would call -- withdraw the question.

13 Is this what you would call a high risk pregnancy
14 when it's in a breech position, or a frank breech position?

15 A I would call that a high risk pregnancy, yes.

16 Q There are a whole myriad of things that are high
17 risk classified in your field, are there not, Doctor?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q What are these high risk things? What are the
20 high risk features or scenarios?

21 A Situations in which I would anticipate a poten-
22 tially bad outcome for either mother or baby.

23 Q All right. Certainly it doesn't mean that there
24 is anything necessarily bad or diseased or injured about the
25 particular fetus involved, or the mother involved, when

1 you have this high risk situation, isn't that so? It
2 certainly doesn't necessarily indicate that?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. And especially in breech, in at least five or six
5 out of every hundred babies, you just have what you would
6 call a red light, and you look out for the possibility of
7 something going wrong with a breech; is that the idea,
8 essentially?

9 A. Well, in the situation of a breech there might,
10 in fact, be something wrong with the mother physically that
11 would give rise to the position on the breech.

12 But you are right, that would just make a red light
13 go off, and I would consider that a high risk situation.

14 Q. Are there any studies done, to your knowledge, in
15 your field, on the percentage of breech births that have an
16 entirely normal outcome?

17 A. I have seen various studies done on breeches, and
18 problems with breeches, and I would think that most of them,
19 at the time in 1974, I would think would be considered to
20 have good outcomes.

21 Q. Yes, in 1974, I am glad you brought that up.
22 I am talking about 1974.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. When you say most, is there any significant
25 percentage, with breech, that have complications?

1 A. I don't recall a particular percentage, I am sorry

2 Q. Now, if there were, that would be kind of a
3 headline event that you experts would be familiar with,
4 would you not?

5 In other words, if it represented significant
6 mortality or morbidity to have a breech birth, that would be
7 certainly something known well to obstetricians who are
8 specialists in obstetrics?

9 A. I think, to be perfectly frank, within the last
10 ten to twelve years that I have been in practice, it has
11 subsequently come to pass that indeed many problems have
12 been associated with breech deliveries, and we are looking
13 much more carefully than we did look in 1974 at breeches,
14 and we are delivering them by Cesarean section in a lot
15 greater instances, because of what we have learned over the
16 subsequent years.

17 But getting back to 1974, I think we weren't as
18 concerned, as we are now in 1986. I don't think we were
19 as smart in 1974, perhaps.

20 Q. When were you first called upon to review this
21 case?

22 A. I don't have with me all of the letters between
23 myself and Mr. Albert. I believe it was either March or
24 April of 1986, if I am not mistaken.

25 Q. Who contacted you?

- 1 A. Mr. Albert.
- 2 Q. How do you know Mr. Albert?
- 3 A. We play golf.
- 4 MR. ALBERT: We play at golf.
- 5 THE WITNESS: We play at golf.
- 6 Q. Where?
- 7 A. At the Oakwood Country Club.
- 8 We have mutual friends.
- 9 Q. How long have you been a member of Oakwood?
- 10 A. Nine years, I believe.
- 11 Q. And Mr. Albert has been a member of that country
- 12 club since when?
- 13 A. You would have to ask Mr. Albert.
- 14 Q. I will.
- 15 MR. WEISMAN: How long?
- 16 MR. ALBERT: I won't answer you on the
- 17 record.
- 18 MR. WEISMAN: Off the record.
- 19 (Discussion had off the record.)
- 20 Q. The word is that Mr. Albert has been a member for
- 21 nine years,
- 22 You both joined in the same year?
- 23 A. I WES not aware of that.
- 24 Q. You were not aware of that?
- 25 A. No.

1 Q Did you know each other before Oakwood Country
2 Club?

3 A No.

4 Q Did you speak with Mr. Albert about this case
5 before coming here today?

6 A Yes. We spent a few minutes discussing it
7 briefly.

8 MR. ALBERT: But not on the golf course.

9 THE WITNESS: No, in his office.

10 Q At this office?

11 A At his office.

12 Q When you say a few minutes, how many minutes is
13 that, your best estimate?

14 A Less than an hour: more than ten.

15 Q And when did that review takeplace?

16 A Just prior to walking over here, at ten o'clock
17 this morning.

18 Q Bid you ever speak with him previously to that
19 about this case?

20 A I have communicated with him. I don't remember
21 whether we had talked specifically on the phone, or just
22 through letters and reports.

23 But, yes, I have communicated with him on this
24 case prior to this morning.

25 Q Well, did you review the details of it with him

1 on the phone, or in person, before this morning?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And where did those get-togethers take place, those
4 reviews?

5 A. Either through the mails, or on the telephone.

6 Q. Are there any other writings, or any writings
7 whatever, that Mr. Albert provided You with pertaining to
8 this case?

9 A. None that I haven't already expounded upon.

10 Q. Did he write a letter to you about this case?

11 A. Oh, yes.

12 Q. 30 you have that letter?

13 A. I only have a letter, and that is concerning this
14 morning's deposition. All other correspondence with
15 Mr. Albert I have left at home.

16 MR. ALBERT: Would you like that?

17 THE WITNESS: It wasn't premeditated.
18 I just left it at home.

19 MR. WEISMAN: Yes, I would like that.

20 Q. How many letters did he write to you?

21 A. I don't know exactly.

22 Q. Approximately?

23 A. Five, seven.

24 Q. And then how many letters or notes or memoranda
25 did you write to him?

1 A. One.

2 MR. WEISMAN: Do you have those letters
3 that he is talking about?

4 MR. ALBERT: Not with me. I have them
5 at the office.

6 I will tell you this, that they are all
7 enclosure letters, and one that is merely making
8 an inquiry as to whether or not he would be
9 willing to undertake a review of the documents,
10 which were thereafter enclosed.

11 There was nothing detailing it, and as I
12 said, when we are done, if you want them today, I
13 will be glad to go and get them. But they are
14 not adding anything to this case.

15 MR. WEISMAN: Yes, I would like to have
16 copies of those letters.

17 MR. ALBERT: Sure.

18 You also understand that he is a partner
19 of your partner's father-in-law. You were trying
20 to get the background relationship.

21 BY MR. WEISMAN:

22 Q. Now, when Baby Eric Hawkins -- withdraw that.

23 You have outlined in your report what I gather
24 represents substandard and unacceptable care on the part
25 of Dr. Choi in his obstetrical care as it relates to this

1 particular delivery, right?

2 MR. STUHLBREHER: Show an objection.

3 A No, I don't agree with you. If you could point
4 out specifically where I have said that it was substandard
5 care, I would appreciate that.

6 Q You said various things that should not have been
7 done, for example?

8 A Yes.

9 Q I assume that you were providing us, or Mr. Albert,
10 in that report, with your statement as to what ought to have
11 been done, or what should have been done to comport with
12 standards and recognized practices in your profession, isn't.
13 that so?

14 A I was relating it to the way I would have handled
15 it, perhaps, as an obstetrician.

16 Q Were all of these things that are stated by you
17 the way you would have handled it as an obstetrician, or
18 the way it ought to proceed from the standpoint of accepted
19 standards and recognized standards in your profession?

20 A I was making statements, I believe, in general
21 about the way I would have handled the situation in 1974.

22 Q Then I take it you are not addressing yourself to
23 the standards or practices that you believe applied in 1974,
24 is that right?

25 A No, that is not right. I am simply saying that

1 statements that I have in my report concerning Dr. Choi's
2 obstetrical decisions, were compared with those decisions
3 that I would have made.

4 I am not refuting, denying, or anything else,
5 anything about standards of care.

6 I feel that I practice according to proper
7 standards of obstetrical care as defined by my college, and
8 my colleagues.

9 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the
10 care provided by Dr. Choi was consistent with tandards of
11 reasonable obstetrical practice back in 1974, under the
12 circumstances that you reviewed in this case?

13 A. Please repeat the question.

14 MR. WEISMAN: Sure.

15 Will you read the question back, please?

16 (Question read.)

17 A. I have an opinion, yes, I do.

18 Q. What is it?

19 A. I do not believe that the standards of care set
20 forth in 1974 were met entirely by Dr. Choi in this particula
21 case.

22 Q. Why not? Give us the particulars as to where
23 there was substandard, or what we, I think, agreed before,
24 would represent unacceptable or less than standard care?

25 A. I believe that the choice of -- can I say strike

1 that, when I say the wrong thing?

2 PIR. STUHLDTREHER: Let me say that I want to
3 strike it. I want to strike his opinion because
4 he has testified here he didn't even read
5 Dr. Choi's deposition, so I move that it all be
6 stricken.

7 MR. ALBERT: You can say whatever *you*
8 wish, as Mr. Stuhldreher will say whatever he
9 wishes, and everybody else will say whatever they
10 wish.

11 THE WITNESS: Let's go back.

12 I think that Dr. Choi should have used a
13 different hospital or location in which to induce
14 labor on Mrs. Hawkins.

15 MR. STUHLDTREHER: I move that the answer be
16 stricken.

17 Q. And on what basis do you say that?

18 A. She presented after rupturing membranes, not in
19 labor. As a matter of fact, her cervix was somewhat not
20 favorable as far as induction, as we would have considered
21 it in 1974. The baby's presenting part, which was a
22 breech, was very high in the pelvis.

23 Ey deposition of another person, there was
24 mention that she had delivered her first child vaginally
25 with some difficulty, and that baby was six pounds eleven

1 ounces.

2 One might have anticipated, therefore,
3 potentially that this was going to wind up as a Cesarean
4 section, and that perhaps the induction might fail along
5 any line and, therefore, I think that she should have been
6 in a hospital which provided those things available to the
7 obstetrician to make him manage the case with more ease.

8 Q. What hospital --

9 A Then as far as the delivery process itself, I
10 believe that I would have done it somewhat differently at
11 that point in time, myself. I would have thought that a
12 Cesarean section should have been performed prior to an at-
13 tempt at breech delivery.

14 Q. And is it reasonable for me to conclude, or for us
15 to conclude, that since you perform according to standards
16 that are recognized, as being safe and acceptable and
17 appropriate obstetricially in this country, and in this
18 community here in Cleveland, that you would regard the
19 failure to do a C-section as less than standard care?

20 A. Oh, heavens, no. I would just simply say that that
21 is a judgment, and in no way would I say that what I do
22 is, again, the standard. It is simply that there are
23 several ways of handling situations, that we learn in
24 medicine. And one way might be better for a particular
25 condition than another method, or for a particular

1 practitioner, than another method, or for a particular
2 patient, than another method.

3 And I am simply saying that my choice would have
4 been different, that's all.

5 MR. ALBERT: Why don't you finish your
6 answer to the other question, which originally
7 was, your criticisms of Dr. Choi, and you had
8 one criticism about where the delivery took place.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 MR. ALBERT: Then you digressed into the
11 C-section.

12 Why don't you just make your criticisms, and
13 then we can pursue it logically, if that's okay?

14 MR. WEISMAN: That is fine with me.

15 Q. Do you have any other opinions as to --

16 A. I think that breeches, as a group, are more subject
17 to intrauterine difficulties, and difficulties with the
18 birth process, i.e., hypoxia and asphyxia, that is a lack of
19 oxygen and/or circulation. And therefore, I would have
20 chosen a hospital where there were more expert hands and
21 people surrounding me to deliver this situation, as the
22 case may have warranted.

23 Q. Bedford Hospital, I take it, was ill equipped
24 to handle this situation appropriately, is that right?

25 A. Bedford Hospital, to the best of my knowledge -- and

1 practitioner, than another method, or for a particular
2 patient, than another method.

3 And I am simply saying that my choice would have
4 been different, that's all.

5 MR. ALBERT: Why don't you finish your
6 answer to the other question, which originally
7 was, your criticisms of Dr. Choi, and you had
8 one criticism about where the delivery took place.

9 THE WITNESS: Yes.

10 MR. ALBERT: Then you digressed into the
11 C-section.

12 Why don't you just make your criticisms, and
13 then we can pursue it logically, if that's okay?

14 MR. WEISMAN: That is fine with me.

15 Q. Do you have any other opinions as to --

16 A. I think that breeches, as a group, are more subject
17 to intr uterine difficulties, and difficulties with the
18 birth process, i.e., hypoxia and asphyxia, that is a lack of
19 oxygen and/or circulation. And therefore, I would have
20 chosen a hospital where there were more expert hands and
21 people surrounding me to deliver this situation, as the
22 case may have warranted.

23 Q. Bedford Hospital, I take it, was ill equipped
24 to handle this situation appropriately, is that right?

25 A. Bedford Hospital, to the best of my knowledge -- and

1 I have never been there -- but from the depositions, and so
2 forth, it was not equipped, and it did not purport to be
3 able to handle high risk obstetrical cases.

4 This was a high risk obstetrical case, in my
5 mind, that reverted to an obstetrical emergency.

6 I believe Bedford Hospital could handle
7 obstretical emergencies, and I believe it did handle
8 obstetrical emergency in this instance, but it would not be
9 my primary basis.

10 Q The word ill equipped is a word used by you in
11 your report, is it not?

12 A Can you point that out?

13 Q Yes. On the second page of your report, I believe
14 that you mention that no attempt at pitocin induction should
15 have been made in this situation, especially at Bedford
16 Hospital which was a low risk hospital ill equipped and
17 unprepared for potentially catastrophic obstetrical
18 occurrences.

19 Are those your words, sir?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you stand by them today?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not a
24 hospital that is ill equipped to handle high risk pregnancies
25 can properly proceed to admit a frank breech case?

1 MR. ALBERT: Excuse me, is there going
2 to be evidence that a hospital admits the patient?
3 Physicians admit patients.

4 MR. WEISMAN: I would like to get the
5 doctor's opinion on it.

6 Read the question back, please?

7 You can object, if you wish.

8 A. Yes. My opinion is that in 1974 frank breech
9 babies did not necessarily need -- I am confusing myself.

10 Would you please read the question again?

11 (Question re-read by the reporter.)

12 A. Yes, I have an opinion. Yes, I believe a frank
13 breech case can be admitted to a hospital such as
14 Bedford.

15 Q. And what is the basis for that opinion?

16 A. Frank breeches are delivered vaginally many times
17 without any problems whatsoever.

18 Q. That doesn't change your previous testimony in any
19 way that they are nonetheless high risk, is that correct?

20 A. That the red light goes off?

21 Q. Yes.

22 A. No, it does not. They are high risk.

23 Q. Therefore, on admission of such a patient who has
24 a frank breech position for the baby she is about to deliver,
25 the potential is that one reasonably could expect an

1 episode of asphyxia or hypoxia to come about with the
2 delivery of that frank breech baby, is that true?

3 A. True.

4 Q. And when that would happen, that hospital that
5 was, as you have characterized it, ill equipped to handle
6 what could have evolved into a catastrophe, that would have
7 allowed a baby like that to be delivered in their hospital,
8 when they are not equipped to deliver a baby with that
9 potential problem -- is that what you are saying?

10 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection to the
11 word "catastrophe."

12 A. No, I don't believe I am saying that.

13 What you asked me, if I am correct, is should a
14 hospital like that have admitted a frank breech, or allowed
15 the admission of a frank breech.

16 And my answer is yes, I believe that Bedford could
17 admit a frank breech.

18 I think then what happened, or what happens, could
19 have altered whether or not the patient continued to stay.

20 But admitted at, delivery at Bedford, I think
21 that in this particular instance -- babies can change
22 position from breech to vertex. How would we have known that
23 unless the patient was admitted?

24 It might very well be that the patient, being
25 admitted after rupturing her membranes was, in fact, now

1 a vertex.

2 So she needs to be admitted to the hospital to
3 find out whether that had taken place.

4 We might have also found out that when she arrives
5 at the hospital, her cervix is fully dilated. The breech
6 is now down deep into the pelvis, labor and delivery goes
7 very quickly, and there are no problems.

8 That might have happened, as well.

9 Q. Why do you say the hospital was ill equipped to
10 handle this?

11 A. To handle what?

12 Q. You said that this hospital is ill equipped and
13 unprepared for potentially catastrophic obstetrical occur-
14 rences, are the words you used in your report.

15 Why?

16 A. Primarily, because it did not have a potential for
17 resuscitation that would be necessitated by a potential
18 catastrophic obstetrical problem, as I see it.

19 Q. What is needed for that purpose? What kind of
20 resuscitative attention, care, equipment, is needed?

21 MR. ALBERT: Are you talking about
22 equipment, or people, or both, or just in general?

23 MR. WEISMAN: I think the question is
24 clear.

25 Please read the question back to the doctor

1 so that it's clear. If it is not clear to you,
2 Doctor, ask me to clarify it.

3 A. I think that what would have beer, needed would
4 be simply a person or persons who manage situations of this
5 nature frequent enough to be comfortable ir, their management.

6 Q. Was Dr. Reyes -- do you know anything about
7 Dr. Reyes, the anesthesiologist here?

8 A. I don't know much about Dr. Reyes, except from
9 the deposition, I think, of Dr. Kretchner.

10 Q. Where you, as you have told us, are knowledgeable
11 about resuscitation, but not practiced in it very much
12 because you don't do it, with rare, rare exceptions -- am
13 I right so far on that?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. You look to such as the anesthesiologist, or other
16 person who is placed in position by the hospital, do you
17 not, to handle the resuscitation?

18 MR. ALBERT: He has never said
19 anything such as "by the hospital."

20 MR. WEISMAN: I am asking. And will
21 you stop interrupting me?

22 MR. ALBERT: No, I won't stop
23 interrupting.

24 MR. WEISMAN: You stop, and you let me
25 question the witness, and if you have an objection,

1 you can assert it. You have every right to any
2 objections and all objections to the question, but
3 let the man answer the question.

4 MR. ALBERT: I will allow him to
5 answer questions which are not mischaracterizing
6 the testimony.

7 MR. WEISMAN: I did not characterize any
8 testimony, sir.

9 Read the question back to the doctor.

10 MR. ALBERT: Go ahead.

11 (Question read.)

12 A Can you rephrase it? I don't understand the
13 question.

14 Do I look to an anesthesiologist to --

15 Q Or any other individual who is assigned the
16 responsibility for the neonatal resuscitative care, newborn
17 resuscitative care?

18 A Yes, I do.

19 Q Sure. And you don't do it yourself?

20 A Generally speaking, I do not.

21 Q And it is the standard and practice that the
22 obstetrician generally does not, as a matter of custom,
23 only with unique exceptions, isn't that true?

24 A In community hospitals, and particularly in 1974,
25 I think we, as obstetricians, were involved in the

1 resuscitation, or resuscitative measures, much more
2 frequently than we are now.

3 I think we have been displaced by pediatricians
4 and neonatologists, perinatologists and anesthesiologists
5 in that role.

6 Q. Yes.

7 A. But in community hospitals, where there is just
8 a doctor, a nurse, delivering babies, be they breech or
9 by vertex, I think that, yes, indeed, the obstetrician was
10 very much a part of the resuscitative measures at that
11 point in time.

12 Q. Doctor, all you are telling us, really, is that he
13 certainly could be a part of the resuscitative pattern?

14 A. That's right.

15 Q. And he could be today, correct?

16 A. That is correct.

17 Q. You could be today, is that correct?

18 A. That is correct.

19 Q. But you are not, is that correct?

20 A. As I choose.

21 Q. Back then in 1974 and indeed, specifically for
22 Eric Hawkins, isn't the information within your knowledge,
23 based on your review, that the undertaking and assumption
24 of resuscitation was indeed by the anesthesiologist,
25 Dr. Reyes?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. Also by the nurse?

3 A. That's correct.

4 Q. And in that case -- that is, this case that we
5 are talking about, Eric Hawkins, back in 1974 -- the
6 resuscitation was not done by the obstetrician either?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Nothing unusual about that, based on your
9 everyday practice today, is what you have told us?

THAT'S
YOUR
CUSTOMER
PRACTICE

10 A. Right.

11 Q. And it is not unusual from the standpoint of the
12 custom and practice generally across the country today?

13 A. That's correct.

14 Q. And it was the same in 1974, essentially?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Now, respecting your knowledge about resuscitative
17 matters, at the same time concentrating on the idea that
18 you, as the obstetrician, don't do the resuscitation,
19 necessarily, I want to ask you some questions about
20 resuscitation and your knowledge about it.

21 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection to all
22 questions about resuscitation, unless you qualify
23 the doctor as an expert.

24 Q. You have studied the subject of resuscitation,
25 in your background, in your schooling, and in your postgraduate

1 training, have you not?

2 A Yes.

3 Q You are familiar with articles and textbooks that
4 deal with the matter of resuscitation of the newborn baby,
5 are you not?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You discuss the matter of resuscitation of the
8 newborn with your colleagues, and have done that in past
9 years, since medical school and afterwards?

10 A 'res.

11 Q What does **it** mean to resuscitate, by definition?

12 A It means to re-establish circulation and/or
13 respiration, if either or both are not present in an
14 individual.

15 Q What was the picture of Eric medically when he was
16 born?

17 A All I know from the chart is that they assigned
18 an Apgar score of one, and I don't know what that means.
19 They never specifically mentioned what the Apgar score was
20 for.

21 So you are asking me to assume something that I
22 have absolutely no idea, except that I think he was very
23 sick when he came out of the mother.

24 Q When he would be designated an Apgar score of one,
25 isn't **it** reasonable to conclude that all that he had was a

1 heart beat, and that under 100, if he had one point on
2 the Apgar score?

3 A I can't assume that. Although it is reasonable to
4 assume that, nonetheless, I really can't, to be semantic about
5 it.

6 Q If he didn't have a heart beat, Doctor, wouldn't
7 you expect that Eric would have been dead?

8 A No, I don't know that he would have been dead.
9 He would not have had a heart beat, and no breathing, perhaps
10 but I don't know that he would have been dead.

11 Q What is your definition of dead?

12 A Dead, I think, is an EEG pattern consistent with
13 flat waves.

14 I am not quite sure about that. But I know that
15 people, and babies, and the like, even though they have no
16 heart beat and no respirations, are able to be resuscitated.
17 So that doesn't mean that they are dead.

18 Dead connotes to me forever. That didn't exist
19 in this situation.

20 Q All right. Was Eric at birth what you would call
21 asphyxiated?

22 A Yes, I believe he was.

23 Q And was he what you designate -- and this is,
24 I understand, a term of art -- a severely depressed newborn
25 baby?

1 A Yes, he was.

2 Q And that's a special category of knowledge, is it
3 not, published and recognized, written about, discussed,
4 and known by all people dealing with this matter of newborn
5 resuscitation: isn't that true?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And when you have a severely depressed newborn,
8 certain steps are essentially mandatory for the appropriate
9 care of the newborn baby, generally speaking, are they not?

10 A Yes.

11 Q What are they? Outline those for us.

12 A Essentially, to assure that there is circulation,
13 i.e., a heart beat, and to assure that oxygen is getting into
14 the baby's lungs, artificial respiration of sorts. Those
15 would be the two mandatory things, as far as I know, that
16 would be necessary for proper resuscitation -- mandatory.

17 Q And if you don't get an inflation of the lungs
18 promptly -- a normal baby breathes, does he not, and does
19 she not, within a matter of seconds after birth, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q So if you don't get a proper reaction from the
22 baby to indicate that the lungs are ventilating and the
23 baby is breathing soundly, and all, what do you do if you
24 have a severely depressed newborn baby, from the standpoint
25 of the recognized practice, specifically as to intubation of

1 the baby?

2 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

3 MR. ALBERT: Do we have a time frame
4 for that?

5 MR. WEISMAN: The doctor will answer
6 the question.

7 If you have an objection, counselor, give
8 us the objection.

9 MR. ALBERT: My objection is that there
10 is no time frame.

11 MR. WEISMAN: Fine.

12 Read the question back to the doctor, if
13 you would, please?

14 (Question read.)

15 MR. McNEAL: Show my objection,.

16 A. ~~Eventually it becomes~~ necessary to intubate that
17 baby.

18 &--- If you are --

19 Q. Why -- go ahead, I didn't mean to interrupt you.

20 A. In 1974, most of the resuscitations were taking
21 place manually, in attempting to bag breathe the baby,
22 and getting good respirations in that manner, and you would
23 bag breathe the baby as long as you were aerating the lungs,
24 expanding them, and so forth, for as long as it took the
25 baby to perk up, wake up and, in fact, have been resuscitated

1 If one were not adequately expanding the lungs
2 in that fashion, then one, in 1974, would have placed a
3 tube into the trachea and breathe for the baby in that way,
4 as that would assure definitely expansion of the lungs and
5 getting oxygen to the baby.

6 Q Would it be fair to say that according to standards
7 that should have been done within about a minute or so?

8 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

9 A No, I don't think so, in 1974, I don't think that
10 standards were as specific as they are today. But I think
11 in 1974, that as long as there was good exchange, that the
12 doctor or the nurse, or whoever was the resuscitator, was
13 getting a good expansion of the lungs, they would do that
14 until the baby then was revived.

15 i don't know that within a minute or so should be
16 placed on when a tube should be put down, or if a tube ever
17 should have been put down.

18 I think that as resuscitative techniques evolved,
19 I think that most people would go with the tube very quickly.
20 But that is a matter of preference, and a matter of ability,
21 and a matter of feeling comfortable for the resuscitator.
22 And I think that either one, from my point of view, would be
23 just as satisfactory, as long as good air exchange was
24 taking place.

25 Q Do you think that good air exchange took place in

1 Baby Eric Hawkins, in this case?

2 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

3 A. I don't know, because nobody expressed in writing
4 whether or not the lungs and the chest were moving, and so
5 forth.

6 The fact that it took 15 minutes, by history,
7 for the baby to have spontaneous respirations, does not
8 necessarily mean that the baby wasn't getting good air
9 exchange. Perhaps it means that the baby suffered quite a
10 bit while still intrauterine, and perhaps that was the best
11 that resuscitation could have expected the baby to have done,
12 i.e., develop spontaneous respirations in 15 minutes.

13 So I have no way of knowing whether the baby was
14 getting adequately aerated or not during that period of time.

15 Q Does a three Apgar score at five minutes have any
16 significance, in your professional thinking?

17 A. I believe that it connotes that the baby has more
18 of, or a greater chance of having suffered central nervous
19 system damage if the Apgar score is below five, in my way
20 of thinking, at five minutes. So an Apgar score of
21 three means that the baby was still sick.

22 Q Does that indicate to you that you would reasonably
23 expect that this baby is ventilating well at five minutes?

24 A. I don't know that it would have been possible to
25 have had this baby any better at all at five minutes, or at

1 15 minutes. I don't have any way of knowing, as I say,
2 because of what may have occurred intrauterine just prior
3 to the actual delivery, and the delivery itself.

4 Q Doctor, you are speculating about what may have
5 occurred intrauterine, I take it?

6 FIR. McNEAL: Objection.

7 A I think we are all speculating here as to what
8 actually occurred. I don't know what happened intrauterine.

9 Q All right, fine.

10 So therefore, instead of determining what everybody
11 is doing, let's just talk about what you are doing.

12 You agree that you are speculating as to what
13 occurred intrauterine, is that correct?

14 A No, I think it's a little more than speculation.
15 It is my expert opinion, as an obstetrician, that that baby
16 suffered from oxygen deprivation while still intrauterine,
17 or else it wouldn't have been born with an Apgar score of
18 one.

19 Q Doctor, your opinion apparently changed from
20 speculating to one of an opinion -- withdraw that.

21 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection to the
22 argument.

23 Q Let me ask you this:

24 Where resuscitation is provided, why is it provided'
25 What is the purpose of it?

1 A. To re-establish those things that are not there,
2 that is, good circulation, potentially, and good aeration.
3 It's an attempt to metabolically recreate normalcy in a
4 situation that is abnormal metabolically.

5 Q. What is the purpose of intubation?

6 A. The purpose of intubation is to achieve aeration
7 of the lungs and deliver oxygen to the lungs.

8 Q. Any special way?

9 A. By placing an endotracheal tube into the trachea,
10 and then breathing through that, instead of the mouth and
11 nose, as would be done by mask.

12 Q. Why not do it by mouth and nose, which is done by
13 mask?

14 A. I don't know why not.

15 Q. Then what is the purpose of using a tube? Isn't
16 it true that the tube would give you direct communication
17 without a waste or falloff of the oxygen to other areas?

18 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

19 A, You have answered your own question.

20 Q. I asked you, but you didn't answer it for me,
21 Doctor, that is the point. You are not answering my
22 question. You are trying to fence.

23 A. I don't mean to fence, I am sorry.

24 I apologize.

25 MR. ALBERT: You don't have to apologize.

1 He is only trying to characterize your testimony,
2 which he is not entitled to do either.

3 THE WITNESS: I am sorry.

4 Q. What is the reason for tubing, as against ordinary
5 bag and mask?

6 A. Some resuscitators feel more comfortable with a
7 bag and mask. Some feel more comfortable by placing a
8 tube immediately. If the bag and mask, however, is not
9 doing the job adequately, then I believe the tube should be
10 introduced.

11 I don't at all fault your reasons for saying that
12 there is wasted space in the nasal passages and mouth
13 passages, and so forth, that may interfere, perhaps, but if
14 the job is being done adequately by mask, then it wouldn't
15 be necessary to do the tube, even though the tube would be
16 perhaps more -- I can't think of the word.

17 Q. Efficient?

18 A. Efficient. Thank you.

19 Q. Is a baby reasonably entitled to resuscitation
20 that is done according to reasonably skilled, prudent,
21 knowledgeable people, when a baby is born in a hospital, any
22 hospital, in 1974?

23 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Doctor, when you talk of circulation, there is a

1 matter of acidosis that's been discussed and referred to
2 in this case. Are you familiar with that term?

3 A Yes.

4 Q What does it mean?

5 A It is a situation, metabolically that exists when
6 an anerobic metabolism has occurred due to the lack of
7 oxygen. The anerobic metabolic, therefore, creates certain
8 substances that need to be buffered. These are acid
9 substances that need to be buffered by bases, These either
10 make the pH stay normal if it is adequately buffered, or go
11 down. And if the pH goes down, this, in the circulation in
12 the blood, is felt by the various organ systems in the body,
13 and they do not function properly.

14 That's what acidosis means to me.

15 Q When you have a severely depressed, asphyxiated
16 newborn like Eric Hawkins, is it that standard and practice
17 as a part of the resuscitative effort to provide sodium
18 bicarbonate or some similar product, to counteract the
19 acidosis?

20 MR.McNEAL: I object to the charac-
21 terization.

22 A You are asking me a specific, and then a general
23 question in the same question, I believe, if I am not
24 mistaken.

25 I don't mean to fence.

1 Yes, it certainly would be reasonable to
2 give bicarbonate as a help, to be able to better buffer the
3 acids that are being built up if, in fact, the baby is not
4 responding quickly enough to the other resuscitative
5 measures.

6 So if the resuscitation is taking a long time,
7 one would then anticipate that the acidosis is not being
8 corrected by the baby itself, and that the baby needs the
9 help of a buffer, such as bicarbonate.

10 Q. Is that the standard and practice to do that?

11 A. That is the standard and practice to do that,

12 Q. And that was not done for the baby in this case,
13 was it?

14 A. Not to my knowledge.

15 Q. At the same time that sodium bicarbonate should
16 have been administered to Baby Eric Hawkins, blood gases
17 likewise should have been obtained on Baby Eric Hawkins,
18 according to standards, isn't that true?

19 MR. ALBERT: Objection. He doesn't say
20 that it should have been administered to
21 Eaby Eric Hawkins, the sodium bicarbonate.

22 Q. Is it your testimony that under the circumstances
23 that were shown here, sodium bicarbonate was not necessarily
24 indicated?

25 A. ~~No. I believe that Baby Eric Hawkins should have~~

1 received sodium bicarbonate.

2 MR. WEISMAN: Then that clears up that
3 problem for you, Mr. Albert.

4 MR. ALBERT: Thank you.

5 MR. WEISMAN: Read the question that
6 I asked of the doctor, again, if you would, please?

7 (Question read.)

8 A. Again, blood gases should have been obtained if
9 the resuscitation was seeming to be inadequate and/or the
10 bicarbonate did not have the response that one was looking
11 for. Blood: gases most certainly could have helped. I
12 don't know that they have to, or should have been done
13 necessarily in this instance.

14 It would have been, perhaps, done by those who
15 deal with resuscitation in catastrophic obstetrical occurrence
16 'who that deal with it commonly, would have done that, if
17 it was available to them to do it.

18 By available, I mean the laboratory capabilities.

19 Q. And you are not aware whether or not
20 the hospital had such laboratory capability, is that the
21 idea?

22 A. That's correct. I don't know.

23 Q. Well, if indeed there were any such question
24 about the hospital having adequate laboratory facilities,
25 properly manned, properly equipped, to provide blood gases,

1 wouldn't you agree that that would be woefully substandard
2 care for a maternity hospital, or a hospital that has a
3 maternity department?

4 A No, I would not. I would think that, as I have
5 stated, that in hospitals that deal routinely with the
6 high risk patient, with the patient who would be about to
7 deliver a potentially compromised baby, those hospitals
8 would be equipped to handle, with laboratory facilities,
9 things such as blood: gases.

10 I *do* not think that every community hospital, or
11 hospital that allows maternity, that is, obstetrics to be
12 done on its premises, does necessarily have to have a blood
13 gas laboratory available, as well.

14 Q Where were you in 1974?

15 A I was in two places, in Philadelphia until
16 June, and in July here in Cleveland.

17 Q Do you have any knowledge of the standards of
18 the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Hospitals in 1974
19 and before, as to whether or not lab facilities were
20 required at any hospital that delivered babies?

21 a. No, I do not.

22 Q Do you have any knowledge of the administrative
23 regulations of the State of Ohio governing maternity
24 hospitals in 1974 and before?

25 A I do not.

1 Q Would it come as a surprise to you that it was
2 a matter of law that any maternity hospital was required
3 to have laboratory facilities?

4 A For the purposes of blood gases in 1974?

5 Q I believe so.

6 A It would come as a surprise to me.

7 Q It would.

8 Blood gases were not commonly studied, are you
9 telling us, in 1974?

10 A No, I am not telling you that at all.

11 Q You are telling us that babies, where asphyxia
12 of the newborn was present in a baby born at local
13 hospitals, or suburban hospitals, around our locality, or
14 anywhere, they couldn't reasonably be expected to give
15 appropriate laboratory care for blood gases, for example,
16 is that right?

17 A No, I think that those hospitals probably had
18 blood gas capabilities. The personnel to run them, and the
19 availability of those facilities on a 24 hour basis perhaps
20 would not have been, there.

21 Most hospitals certainly have the capability
22 of doing blood gases.

23 Q And yet babies are born,, of course, at any time
24 around the clock?

25 A Yes, they are.

1 Q. That has been going on for quite a while, right?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And if a hospital holds itself out to deliver
4 babies --

5 A. The hospital doesn't deliver babies.

6 Q. If a hospital holds itself out to accept
7 patients who are going to deliver babies, then obviously
8 the hospital must be equipped to provide 24 hour, around the
9 clock service for delivery of babies, isn't that so?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. And when the hospital does that, they know that
12 a given baby could come in, or a mother with a fetus could
13 enter the hospital, and at any given moment a baby that is
14 born through an entirely normal pregnancy, in every way
15 normal, position and everything else, could suddenly become
16 an asphyxiated baby, or a depressed baby at birth, isn't
17 that so?

18 A. Yes, that's correct;

19 Q. That could happen in any given birth, in any
20 given birth, in any given suburban hospital., on any
21 day or night, right?

22 A. That's correct.

23 Q. And if hospitals are not equipped to handle,
24 maternity hospitals, that is, are not equipped to handle
25 asphyxiated babies, wouldn't you think, Doctor, that it
would be the responsibility of the hospitals so to advise

1 every mother who comes in pregnant to deliver her baby
2 -- and like if it was going to be your wife coming in,
3 that they would say to her, "If we have asphyxiation of the
4 newborn, severe depression of the newborn, we are not
5 qualified to handle it"?

6 A. I think that would be reasonable.

7 Q. You expect them to do that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Yes. Is there any evidence, or any understanding
10 on your part that the hospital here, Bedford Hospital in
11 this case, so advised any of their patients? Do you have
12 any information that suggests to you, or indicates to you
13 that the hospital said to these people, "We are not
14 equipped to handle asphyxiated, severely depressed newborns"?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Do you have any evidence or any indication to
17 you, that the hospital here, Bedford Hospital, said to
18 Dr. Choi, the obstetrician here, that the hospital did not
19 have personnel or equipment or labs, or anything else
20 sufficient to treat or care for severely depressed or
21 asphyxiated newborn children, babies?

22 A. No.

23 Q. The nursing staff that we adverted to briefly
24 before, obstetrical nursing people, the nurse is the one
25 that has the responsibility for monitoring the fetal heart

1 rate. You pointed that out to us before, did you not?

2 A. As a general rule in a hospital setting, yes.

3 Q. In this case, in reviewing the hospital records,
4 you noticed there was a nurse, Gerhardstein, that was
5 referred to, or doesn't that name come to mind?

6 A. Yes, **it** does.

7 Q. That's right, you mentioned that **it** was she and
8 Dr. Reyes that handled the subsequent resuscitation work.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Now, according to the standards of obstetrics
11 in 1974, the monitoring by her was not done according to
12 standards, was **it**?

13 A. When was that?

14 Q. As to frequency, the 30 minute pre-birth period,
15 where there was no fetal heart rate even noted in the
16 record, is that correct?

17 A. Well --

18 Q. First, is **it** correct that there was not one
19 note of the fetal heart rate of Baby Eric Hawkins in the
20 record at Bedford Hospital?

21 A. Thirty minutes prior to birth?

22 Q. Yes, that is true, isn't **it**?

23 A. That is true.

24 Q. That duty to do that monitoring was upon
25 Nurse Gerhardstein in this case, since she was the only

1 nurse that was there?

2 A But I think this was a situation where the
3 nurse generally takes a heart beat just prior to the
4 delivery, and then delivery generally occurs.

5 I think Nurse Gerhardstein did, in fact, take a
6 fetal heart rate prior to delivery. Then Dr. Choi, I
7 believe, attempted to deliver the baby. And it took,
8 I believe, two attempts, two anesthetics. And I don't
9 know whether it was possible, or I don't know whether it
10 was done and not recorded.

11 But this was an unusual situation, and certainly
12 not a problem as far as Nurse Gerhardstein is concerned.
13 We are trying to get the baby out at this point, Dr. Choi is.
14 I don't know what it would serve to say, for example,
15 for Nurse Gerhardstein to say, "Step aside. It's five
16 minutes now. I have to take fetal heart tones. You have
17 to stop trying to deliver the baby."

18 So my point is that there was an active attempt
19 to try to get the baby out, and I don't think, as this
20 point in time, taking or not taking the fetal heart tones
21 enters into the situation.

22 So I don't know how Nurse Gerhardstein can be
23 faulted for not taking fetal heart tones after the last
24 one that she did record.

25 Q Well, if it is the responsibility of the

obstetrical nurse to check fetal heart rate at least every five minutes -- is that what you indicated, Doctor, at second stage of labor?

A. Yes.

Q. And more frequently if there appears to be a problem, isn't that the standard?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And if she didn't do it, she, at least, did not comply with that standard; we can agree with that, can't we?

I am not talking about what effect it would have. I am saying the standard is that you are expected to check fetal heart rates every five minutes, and that is the obstetrical nurse's requirement, according to standards, and she didn't do that?

A. She did not record it.

Q. She did not record it?

A. Yes.

Q. Therefore, there is no indication, if it isn't written, chances are it wasn't done; that is the presumption at least, isn't it, that you doctors engage in, and you insist on when you teach your courses to the nurses as to recording data, that if it is not recorded, you presume that it wasn't done; isn't that right?

A. I can only attest to the fact that it is not recorded.

1 Q Therefore, let's put it this way, you certainly
2 can't tell us it was done?

3 A Correct.

4 Q And what you do know is that it was not
5 recorded?

6 A Correct.

7 Q And it was supposed to be recorded, according
8 to standards?

9 A Correct.

10 Q So whether or not that failure did or did not
11 make any material difference in the ultimate brain damage
12 to this child, certainly you can't necessarily say that it
13 did or didn't, the fact that the fetal heart rate wasn't
14 taken, or wasn't recorded, isn't that true? You are not
15 going to say that made any particular difference in the brain
16 damage to the child, is that correct?

17 A Correct.

18 Q But what it does do, it does not give you the
19 basis for knowing precisely what the status of the baby
20 was during that 30 minute time, does it?

21 A No, sir.

22 Q And if you don't know that, then obviously, if
23 you don't know it from a careful, detailed review of the
24 records, assumedly the people in charge, this obstetrical
25 team over at Bedford Hospital, didn't know it either, if it

1 wasn't indeed taken?

2 MR. ALBERT: Or recorded. You have
3 been using recorded, now you switched to taken.

4 A. If indeed it wasn't recorded.

5 Q. If indeed it wasn't recorded or communicated to
6 them, let's say.

7 A. Yes. I don't disagree.

8 Q. Nurse Gerhardstein, concentrating on her for a
9 moment, apparently in her assistance, my understanding is
10 that she gave hot and cold soaks to the baby immediately
11 after the baby was handed over to her, or during this
12 resuscitative effort. Do you endorse hot and cold soaks
13 for a severely depressed, asphyxiated newborn baby?

14 A. No. Personally, I don't.

15 Q. As a matter of fact, are you familiar with the
16 literature on it, and the fact that this hot and cold soaks
17 idea went out -- maybe this is an exaggeration -- maybe
18 with the Middle Ages?

19 A. I am not familiar with the literature on it, but
20 I don't endorse hot and cold soaks.

21 Q. It is not acceptable or safe, according to stand-
22 ards and practices in obstetrics, is it?

23 A. No, sir.

24 Q. It is substandard in the practice of obstetrics,
25 isn't it, to do it?

1 A. As a sole attempt at resuscitation, yes.

2 Q. As a matter of fact, it produces, according to th
3 standards of the learned people in your obstetrical field
4 who have written on the subject, it produces hypothermia,
5 cold soaks, obviously, particularly, isn't that right?

6 A. I don't know. I am not familiar with the
7 literature.

8 Q. And this baby indeed was hypothermic, isn't that
9 true, Baby Eric Hawkins was hypothermic?

10 A. Was hypothermic, yes.

11 Q. It's fair and reasonable, isn't it, to conclude
12 that the cold soaks by Nurse Gerhardtstein probably
13 contributed to the hypothermia, isn't it?

14 A. It's reasonable to assume that.

15 Q. The reason hot and cold soaks are not endorsed
16 by you folks in the obstetrical field is because it is
17 harmful potentially to the baby?

18 A. I don't know. If it went out in the Middle Ages,
19 I was not exposed to it as a resident, and I have had no
20 dealings with hot and cold soaks.

21 Q. It has nothing to do with the body of
22 knowledge that is there **to** care for the newborn asphyxiated
23 baby, does it?

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. The last 30 minutes before Eric's birth, you

1 noted in your review, did you not, that there was
2 apparently some confusion on the matter of the administering
3 of anesthesia to the mother; did you note that?

4 A I didn't note confusion.

5 Could you point that out?

6 Q You had said that Dr. Choi, thinking delivery
7 was imminent, had Dr. Reyes give her a general anesthetic.

8 A That was my assumption,

9 Q Yes.

10 Who decides on when an anesthetic should be
11 given?

12 A The obstetrician:

13 Q Yes. And when he decides on that he has to
14 communicate that to the anesthesiologist, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And when the anesthesiologist participates as a
17 member of this team, he has certain responsibilities on his
18 part, basically, does he not?

19 A Yes, certainly.

20 Q One of them is, he is supposed to do a pre-
21 anesthesia physical of the mother?

22 A If there is time.

23 Q And here there would be time, certainly?

24 A There was time to transfer the baby and mother
25 to another hospital, as well.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Q. There was lots of time for things here, right?

A. Yes.

Q. And the anesthesiologist certainly had the time to do a pre-anesthesia physical, didn't he?

A. I don't know when the anesthesiologist was brought into the picture, when Dr. Choi advised the anesthesiologist when he might need him, so I don't know if there was adequate time.

Q. In any event, there was no pre-anesthesia evaluation of this patient, was there?

A. Not from what I could glean.

Q. In the form provided by the hospital, if my recollection is correct on this, I think there was a form that said something about pre-anesthesia evaluation or examination, and that was totally blank. That is my recollection.

Do you want to check that, Doctor?

MR. ALBERT: Is it important? I mean, the record will speak for itself.

You are asking him to find a pre-anesthesia form?

MR. WEISMAN: Yes. I think there was a form on that.

A. I only see the anesthesia record.

Q. In any event, pre-anesthesia evaluation is the

1 standard throughout the country in evaluating parturient
2 or expectant mothers?

3 MR. McNEAL: At what time?

4 Q. Prior to the delivery; isn't that right, Doctor?

5 A. Yes, sir.

6 Q. That page, pre-anesthetic evaluation, immediately
7 follows, I believe, the anesthesia chart or charts.

8 A. Yes. I found it.

9 P. And it's empty on pre-anesthetic evaluation, is
10 it not?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Not a word written in there by Dr. Reyes, is
13 that correct?

14 A. Or anyone else.

15 Q. Or anyone else, right.

16 And also you noticed before that there were
17 two charts, two anesthesia charts in the file?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. That is peculiar, is it not?

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. How many charts do you find in every file that
22 you have ever reviewed, anesthesia charts? How many are
23 there?

24 A. Generally a single one.

25 Q. Do you have any explanation, in your review of

1 this, why there are two charts in there?

2 A. No, sir.

3 Q. That is not according to the standards, obviously

4 MR. MCNEAL: Show an objection.

5 A. I don't know that it is not according to the
6 standards. There should be an anesthesia chart and, in
7 fact, there is more than one. I don't know that more than
8 one makes it substandard, or according to standard.
9 Maybe more than one is better.

10 There are two anesthetics involved, and maybe
11 one dealt with one anesthetic, and the other dealt with the
12 other anesthetic.

13 Q. Do you want to look at them?

14 A. I only see one. I am sorry. I find one here,
15 and I don't find a second one.

16 Q. All right. We will bring that to your attention
17 maybe at a later time.

18 Now, in your review of this case, did you ascer-
19 tain that there was an essentially uneventful prenatal
20 course that took place throughout the pregnancy of
21 Mrs. Hawkins?

22 A. I am sorry, I was looking at the anesthetic
23 record and not paying attention.

24 MR. WEISMAN: Will you read the
25 question back, please?

1 MR. ALBERT: Read the question back.

2 (Question read.)

3 A. With the exception of her having been admitted
4 in false labor, yes.

5 Q. Did you have the opportunity to look at the
6 prenatal records as to the growth of the fundus, and the
7 checking of her at the prenatal examinations and all?
8 Those would be records of Dr. Luczek.

9 A. Yes, ■ did. Those records that were provided
10 to the hospital by Dr. Luczek. I don't have his office
11 records.

12 Q. And in those, everything was just copacetic,
13 normal, everything going along just fine, nothing to
14 indicate any alarm whatsoever, is that correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. A good, healthy pregnancy, you would say, if
17 you were talking to another doctor about it, is that correct:

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. With respect to the breech position of the baby,
20 it can happen in a breech delivery that a cord compression
21 can take place; that is the typical situation that occurs,
22 is it not, that could prevent flowing of oxygen, for
23 example, to the fetus; isn't that true?

24 A. Yes, it is.

25 Q. That is the thing that one is concerned about

1 happening?

2 A. That is one of the things of concern in breeches,
3 yes.

4 Q. Did that happen in this case, in your opinion,
5 Doctor?

6 A. Yes, it did, in my opinion.

7 Q. It did.

8 Doctor, is there one word in the record about
9 cord compression on this baby?

10 A. I don't recollect..

11 Q. You didn't see anything that you can tell us
12 about, I take it?

13 A. Fight.

14 Q. Now, in your review of the deposition of
15 Dr. -- wait a minute, you didn't review the deposition of
16 Dr. Choi, I take it, the obstetrician here?

17 A. Correct. I have not reviewed his deposition.

18 Q. So you can't tell us what he said or did not say
19 about cord compression, obviously?

20 A. That's right.

21 MR. ALBERT: But you can.

22 Q. Yes, I can tell you that he said not one word
23 about cord compression, the obstetrician himself that was
24 in place in this case, I will tell you that.

25 MR. McNEAL: You mean that that proves

1 there was none?

2 THE WITNESS: No, he says there was
3 none.

4 MR. WEISMAN: He would be the best one
5 to know whether there was tangling of the cord,
6 or cornpression, I would think.

7 MR. ALBERT: In your opinion.

8 Q. With respect to narcotics, sometimes narcotics
9 can cause cord compression?

10 A. Is what you said about his being the best one to
11 know, did that go into the record, as well?

12 MR. ALBERT: Yes. It is just
13 Mr. Weisman talking. That is all right, he can
14 talk.

15 Q. 30 you disagree with the comment?

16 A. Unfortunately, the best one to know would be the
17 baby.

18 It's very difficult sometimes to ascertain what
19 is going on, even at the time.

20 MR. ALBERT: I would like to just
21 take a two minute recess.

22 MR. WEISMAN: Sure.

23 (Short recess had.)

24 MR. WEISMAN: Will you read back the
25 last few questions and answers, please?

(Record read by reporter.)

BY MR. WEISMAN:

Q. And that is the reason that you are not able to tell us with any degree of medical certainty just where the lack of oxygen problem occurred, is that the point?

A. No, I have an opinion, that I believe, with my relative medical certainty, that I think I know where the lack of oxygen to the baby occurred.

Q. You think you know that?

A. Yes.

Q. And where did that occur?

A. I think that occurred intrauterine, during the delivery process.

Q. Intrauterine during the delivery process, all of it?

A. I believe a significant amount of it.

Q. Can you tell us when during the delivery process this occurred?

A. No, sir, except that it occurred sometime in the thirty minutes, this severe lack of oxygen to the baby, sometime during the 30 minutes prior to the actual delivery of the baby.

Q. And whether it occurred within the last few minutes, or whether it occurred within the last 30 minutes, you have no kind of measurement device or basis for telling

1 us when the lack of oxygen occurred, is that right?

2 A. That's right.

3 Q. And how much of it occurred extrauterine,
4 secondary to inadequate resuscitative efforts, you can't
5 measure that precisely for us, either, is that right?

6 MR. McNEAL: Objection.

7 A. I don't know how much, if any, lack of oxygen
8 to the baby occurred extrauterine.

9 Q. And I added, secondary to inadequate resuscitativ
10 efforts, because that is what you wrote in your report.

11 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

12 Q. Do you agree, or do you want to withdraw those
13 words?

14 A. No, sir, I agree.

15 Q. So the resuscitative efforts obviously, in your
16 opinion, were inadequate, right?

17 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

18 A. I would like to change that from inadequate.
19 I don't know that they were inadequate. I must say, after
20 reviewing that statement that I did make in my report,
21 I now question whether inadequate is the appropriate term.

22 Q. You would like to change that now?

23 A. Yes, I would like to change that.

24 Q. Don't you think that your colleagues in the
25 profession who write about the standards and appropriate

1 resuscitative care rather agree with you that these
2 resuscitative efforts here were inadequate?

3 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

4 A. I think they were non-expert. But inadequate,
5 I think, pertains to results, rather than it pertains to
6 the sequence of the efforts being made.

7 I don't have any way of measuring as to whether
8 or not the results of the resuscitation in this instance
9 were effective or ineffective, because I am quite uncertain
10 as to the product that they had to deal with, that is, the
11 resuscitative team of Dr. Reyes and Nurse Gerhardstein.

12 Q. Your colleagues that write on the matter,
13 especially those in the obstetrical field that refer to
14 resuscitation, there are some good books and recognized
15 authorities that you certainly are conversant with as a
16 specialist in your field, are you not?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And those books would be what, for example?

19 A. On resuscitation?

20 I don't know the --

21 Q. Resuscitation, or the others that deal with
22 obstetrics and make reference to resuscitation.

23 A. Dr. Gertie Marks; Dr. Bret Gutche.

24 Q. You have to give us the titles.

25 A. I don't know. These are all articles I have read

1 by then. But they are all experts in resuscitation.

2 Q Give us those names again.

3 A Gertie Marks and Dr. Bret Gutche, these are
4 two names of anesthesiologists that do specialize in
5 obstetrical anesthesia, and who have written on the subject
6 of newborn resuscitation.

7 Q Where are they located, if you know?

8 A Dr. Gutche was located in Philadelphia at the
9 hospital of the University of Pennsylvania at one time,
10 and Dr. Gertie Narks, I don't know.

11 Q Did you know Dr. Gutche personally?

12 A Yes.

13 Q G-u-t-c-h-i-e?

14 A G-u-t-c-h-e, I think.

15 Q How many articles did you read of theirs?

16 A I don't recollect.

17 Q Do you have copies of them at home?

18 A I imagine I do.

19 Q How many?

20 A I don't know.

21 Q Are there a half dozen or so? Is it a textbook,
22 or just isolated articles?

23 A Articles.

24 Q Will you get those together for counsel so that
25 we can have a look at those, and all the articles on that?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. WEISMAN: Is it agreeable to do that, if the doctor sends them to you, we will be glad to pay for the copies.

MR. ALBERT: If they exist, we will send copies to you gratis.

MR. WEISMAN: We know! according to the doctor's testimony, that they exist.

Q Do you know the number?

A I don't know the number.

Q Is it three or four, six or eight? You must have some idea of the number.

A It has been a while since I referred to them. I don't know the number.

MR. McNEAL: I would like to have a copy also.

MR. ALBERT: Sure.

Q What textbooks do you think are sound and very good on this matter of the resuscitation of the newborn, especially the severely depressed: newborn?

A I use textbooks for reference purposes, and Williams Obstetrics is the obstetrical textbook that I refer to. But I must say that I rely more upon knowledge that I read in the literature, than upon textbooks per se.

Q You certainly have the right to disagree with any part of any article or textbook, or anything else.

1 But the question I am asking you is, these are
2 reliable, authoritative works, are they not, the things
3 you are telling us about?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Like Williams Obstetrics, for example?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And there are other books that are top flight or
8 highly regarded in your profession besides Williams; you
9 rely on Danforth from time to time, or make reference to
10 it from time to time?

11 A. Not Danforth.

12 Q. Greenhill; do you know the book, Greenhill?

13 A. I know it.

14 Q. It's a good one, highly regarded. Who was it,
15 Friedman and Greenhill; Emanuel Friedman was a recognized
16 authority in obstetrics?

17 A. Yes. He still writes.

18 Q. He is still living?

19 A. Yes. He just wrote an article in the New
20 England Journal of Medicine.

21 Q. Where is he located?

22 A. He is still in Boston, I believe. I don't
23 know what hospital. He is associated with Harvard, I
24 believe.

25 Q. That's a great book that you have had occasion

1 to make reference to yourself, to study yourself, from time
2 to time, I take it?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. What other ones? Give us other textbooks that
5 are sound, reliable, and that you refer to and rely on,
6 such as Williams and Greenhill?

7 A. There are textbooks on genetics, on infertility,
8 on gynecology, but I don't know of any others off the top
9 of my head that I rely upon for obstetrics.

10 Q. By the way, a big part of your work is dealing
11 with genetics and chromosomal disorders and that type of
12 thing?

13 A. No.

14 Q. But as an obstetrician you do allude to that
15 area from time to time, is that correct?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Did you check out Eric Hawkins to determine
18 whether there was any chromosomal or inborn or genetic
19 defect of any kind that appeared in his records?

20 A. I didn't note any.

21 Q. It would be fair, based on the evidence, if there
22 is no evidence of any, we can say that there probably is
23 no genetic defect, can we not?

24 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

25 A. I am not privy to that information. I have not

Q. Just so that I know what you are going to testify to when we get down to the courthouse. You are not going to suggest that there is any inborn defect or genetic difficulty, or chromosomal problems in Eric Hawkins that would be attributable to his impairment and disabilities today; is that correct?

Q. I don't know if you are familiar with any other anesthesia writers on obstetrical anesthesia, for example.

Q. Sol Snider. He is on the West Coast, isn't he?

Q. Then you are familiar with him; you have read

Q. And Frank Moya?

Q. Have you read an of his stuff on obstetrical anesthesia?

A. Probably in reference to his works, but I can't say that I have read a specific article.

Q. What about John Bonica's two volume work?

A. Yes.

1 Q. That is the most exhaustive study on obstetrical
2 anesthesia that was ever published, I have been told.

3 A. I think you are right.

4 Q. And for the record, you are willing to
5 acknowledge these people as authoritative writers and
6 medical experts in the field of obstetrical anesthesia,
7 the ones just mentioned?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And further it's clear, there is nothing to
10 suggest anything by way of infection of the mother or baby
11 that occurred throughout the pregnancy that was evident in
12 any of the records, or suggested, or indicated, or implied
13 in any of the records, is that correct?

14 A. That is correct.

15 Q. And that there was no chronic hypoxia, certainly,
16 suggested here by any condition, illness or injury that
17 predated the birth of this baby, isn't that so?

18 A. Not to my knowledge, that is correct.

19 Q. So that what we had was an acute episode of
20 hypoxia that occurred just before Eric was born at Bedford
21 Hospital?

22 MR. McNEAL: I object to that. I
23 don't think that is the testimony, Mr. Weisman.

24 MR. WEISMAN: Well, I am asking
25 the question of him. You can clear it up if I

1 have it wrong some way.

2 Read the question back to the doctor,
3 please.

4 (Question read.)

5 MR. McNEAL: I think his testimony
6 was that somewhere within this 30 minute period,
7 to which he could not affix any particular time.

8 MR. ALBERT: I think that is what he
9 said.

10 MR. McNEAL: I think that is what he
11 said.

12 MR. ALBERT: Off the record.

13 (Discussion had off the record.)

14 BY MR. WEISMAN:

15 Q Can you answer the question?

16 A I believe that the lack of oxygen to this baby
17 _____
18 occurred prior to the delivery, in that 30 minute time
19 _____
20 frame, somewhere in that 30 minute time frame, and that
21 _____
22 it was acute.

23 Q At least that's your contention?

24 A That is my contention.

25 Q And this is your contention notwithstanding that
there is not one note of cord compression in the file or
record on the baby, is that correct?

MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And further, that on the matter of narcotics,
3 are you aware that there was a substance called Narcan
4 that was administered here?

5 A. I did not note that.

6 Q. It was a small detail in the record, rather
7 obscurely placed, as a matter of fact, in the record, so I
8 can understand your possibly missing that.

9 Let's assume, though, that the truth is that
10 Narcan was administered.

11 A. Yes. To the baby?

12 Q. To the baby, and it had no effect in reversing
13 the situation.

14 MR. McNEAL: He is nodding his head.

15 THE WITNESS: I am sorry. Yes.

16 Q. Would it be fair and reasonable, then, to
17 conclude that with probability, it was probably not a
18 narcotic depression?

19 A. Then that would be fair to conclude, that the
20 lack, after a time -- that if the Narcan had been given,
21 it would: be proper to conclude, therefore, that when the
22 baby didn't respond, that it wasn't due to narcosis, yes.

23 That is not to say that the narcotics didn't,
24 along with the other events, didn't compound the baby's
25 problems.

1 Q. What is the physiological progression that
2 takes place medically from the point of oxygen lack to the
3 end point of brain damage? Are you aware of that?

4 MR. ALBERT: In the fetus?

5 Q. In the fetus, or the newborn?

6 A. Once oxygen fails to be delivered in adequate
7 amounts to the brain, then the brain cells are not able to
8 continue to live. They then become irreparably damaged,
9 because they can't utilize oxygen in their metabolism and
10 in their respirations and they die. And I think that's what
11 causes brain damage.

12 Q. Is this the area that you are an expert in
13 particularly?

14 A. This is not an area in which I am an expert.

15 Q. The area of expertise that should be called upon,
16 really, for careful explanation of it would more than
17 likely be the pediatric neurologist, isn't that so?

18 A. I would think that a pediatric neurologist would
19 understand the scheme of anoxic brain damage better than I.

20 Q. And that is not to diminish your knowledge about
21 the subject. I recognize you certainly have general
22 knowledge about the medicine that's involved in this
23 obstetrical phenomenon of brain damage to the newborn, do
24 you not?

25 A. Yes, I think I do.

1 Q. You are familiar, in fact, with studies that
2 indicate that 80 percent of the babies with Apgar scores
3 of one at one minute, if properly resuscitated, come out
4 with no brain damage whatever, and are entirely normal
5 children, are you not?

6 MR. McNEAL: Show an objection.

7 A. No, as a matter of fact, I think that that was
8 from the testimony of Dr. Edelberg that I obtained that
9 80 percent from. I am not familiar, myself, with the
10 literature that says that.

11 Q. Do you disagree with it?

12 A. I have no basis on which to agree to disagree.

13 Q. You, I take it, have not checked that out since
14 being asked to handle this assignment, is that correct?

15 A. I did not check that out.

16 Q. Now, if there is any disagreement on that point,
17 based upon your study or research that may take place
18 between now and November 10th, when this trial starts, will
19 you call that to the attention of counsel for the hospital,
20 Mr. Albert?

21 A. Yes.

22 MR. WEISMAN: And Mr. Albert, do I
23 have your agreement and understanding that in
24 the event there is any basis upon which that
25 statistical study is to be contradicted, that

1 you will call it to my attention and let me
2 know that before trial?

3 MR. ALBERT: Yes.

4 BY MR. WEISMAN:

5 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not
6 the resuscitative team -- withdraw that.

7 Thank you very much. That's all I have. You
8 may examine.

9 MR. ALBERT: Both of these fine
10 gentlemen have the right to ask you questions.
11 In which order, it makes no difference.

12 - - -

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. STUHLDTREHER:

15 Q. Dr. Klein, I am going to be very brief.

16 I believe you stated when you answered questions
17 of Mr. Weisman, that one criticism you had of Dr. Choi's
18 performance was that he should have used a different
19 hospital in this particular case; is that right? Is that
20 what you said?

21 A. Yes, I believe so.

22 Q. You indicated that the Bedford Community Hospital
23 was ill-equipped to handle this particular case; isn't that
24 what you testified to?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Are you aware, Doctor, that Dr. Choi never saw
2 this patient until the day of the delivery? Are you aware
3 of that, Doctor?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. She was a patient of Dr. Luczek, isn't that a
6 fact?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And Dr. Luczek, then, probably chose Bedford
9 Community Hospital as the hospital to deliver this child,
10 isn't that true?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Do the records reflect, insofar as you know,
13 that Dr. Choi was called to come to the hospital after
14 the patient arrived at the hospital, to deliver the baby?

15 A. Yes, the records reflect that Dr. Choi indeed
16 was called, and indeed came to the hospital.

17 Q. In your experience as an obstetrician, have you
18 ever seen a case where a mother was transferred to another
19 hospital after she was in labor, because it was found that
20 the particular hospital where she was, wasn't equipped to
21 handle the delivery?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Have you seen that situation?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. How many times have you seen that happen?

1 A. Several.

2 Q. Are you telling us that the mother was transferred
3 after she was in labor, stage one, by ambulance, to another
4 hospital?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Could you tell us about that, when that occurred?

7 A. I could refer you to a case that happened this
8 week at Mt. Sinai Hospital, where a lady entered the hospital
9 at 26 weeks gestation with premature rupture of the membrane
10 in early labor. She was later transferred to University
11 Hospital because of the availability of Rainbow Babies
12 and Children's. Should this woman deliver, the baby would
13 then be in the confines of an expert neonatologist.

14 Q. That was for purposes of having the baby have
15 treatment by the experts at University Hospital, rather than
16 the mother, isn't that true?

17 A. Yes. Also the mother, should the baby not
18 deliver at this point in time.

19 Q. You are not suggesting that the transfer was
20 made because University Hospitals was better equipped to
21 handle the delivery than Mt. Sinai was, are you?

22 A. That is correct, I am not assuming that at all.

23 Q. The reason for that transfer was so that the
24 Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital would be available
25 after the delivery of the baby?

1 A. That is correct.

2 Q. So that example really doesn't fit in with what
3 we are talking about. It isn't a good example of the
4 point I am trying to make, isn't that true?

5 A. I thought that Dr. Choi ought to be transferring
6 this patient for the sake of the baby. I think that is
7 the point I was trying to make.

8 Q. I thought You were saying that the hospital
9 wasn't equipped and didn't have the facilities and the
10 equipment to handle the delivery of the baby.

11 A. Oh, no. He did. Dr. Choi delivered the baby
12 there.

13 Q. It also has to do with the care of the baby after
14 the delivery?

15 A. Dr. Choi delivered the baby at Bedford Hospital.
16 That is a fact. I don't know that any other hospital would
17 have made any difference, as far as Dr. Choi's being able
18 to deliver the baby as he did, as he chose to.

19 Q. You are talking about the care of the baby after
20 Dr. Choi has delivered the baby?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. As being the significant factor?

23 A. That's right.

24 MR. STUHLBREHER: That's all I have.

25 MR. ALBERT: Mr. McNeal, who represent

1 the anesthesiologist, has a right to ask you
2 questions.

3 MR. McNEAL: I have two or three.

4 - - -

5 EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. McNEAL:

7 Q. In 1974, Doctor, what was the procedure of
8 choice, involving a newborn baby who demonstrated there
9 were problems insofar as oxygen was concerned? What would
10 be the facility of choice to be used in that delivery,
11 whether it be endotracheal intubation, or mask and bag?

12 A. By and large, I believe that *most* people who
13 were involved in resuscitation were mask bagging back in
14 1974 initially. And then did do endotracheal intubation
15 shortly thereafter if no response occurred.

16 Today I believe that they would take a baby as
17 depressed as this, and probably initially do endotracheal
18 intubation.

19 Q. What are the problems that are involved! with the
20 use of endotracheal intubation?

21 A. There can be trauma involved with attempting to
22 intubate anybody, particularly a newborn. There can be
23 hemorrhage, a pneumothorax because of attempting intubation,
24 or because of intubation.

25 So it is not necessarily a totally benign thing

1 to do.

2 Q. Can you describe what endotracheal intubation
3 concerns itself with, what are the procedures, what is used?

4 A. One takes a laryngoscope, in this case it would
5 be a pediatric laryngoscope, small, so that it could fit
6 into the baby's mouth. The vocal chords are visualized,
7 and the endotracheal tube is then passed between the
8 chords as gently as possible. And then the end of the endo-
9 tracheal tube is attached to a bag, usually with a valve,
10 so that an excess amount of oxygenated air cannot be
11 instilled, such that only a small amount that the baby can
12 handle, and the artificial breathing then occurs.

13 Q. What is the endotracheal tube composed of,
14 what type of material?

15 A. It is a rubberized or siliconized type of
16 material, soft and pliable.

17 Q. Is that inserted within the tube?

18 A. No, that is the tube that is actually inserted,

19 Q. And that is approximately what length?

20 A. Nine inches, seven inches.

21 Q. And that is --

22 A. Most of it is outside the mouth. Only a small
23 amount is into the throat and into the trachea of the
24 child.

25 Q. That is inserted past the vocal chords?

1 A. Oh, yes.

2 Q. And clown into the larynx?

3 A. Down into the trachea past the larynx.

4 Q. What happens when the larynx is penetrated?

5 Does that sometimes occur with the use of the endotracheal
6 tube?

7 A. Blood vessels can be injured, and one can see
8 hemorrhage; the wall of the air cavity can be penetrated,
9 and one can get emphysema or air passing into the passage-
10 ways. And the trauma can make things more difficult with
11 the infant.

12 Q. With the bag and mask is there anything that
13 penetrates the throat?

14 A. No. But with the bag and mask things such as
15 pneumothorax can still occur, and inadequate breathing can
16 occur perhaps more frequently with the bag and mask than
17 with the endotracheal tube. And that is perhaps why
18 anesthesiologists more often today are using the endotracheal
19 tube immediately.

20 It depends upon what the resuscitator is used
21 to, what they feel confident with.

22 Certainly expert resuscitation can be accomplished
23 I believe, in my opinion, with either method.

24 MR. MCNEAL: That is all I have.

25 Thank you very much.

1 MR. WEISMAN : I would like the record
2 to show that the doctor was appearing here pursua
3 to Rule 26(B)(4)(b). I didn't note any
4 statements, to the nature of the examination
5 at the beginning.

6 I would like to finalize the deposition,
7 if I may. Can I get a waiver of signature?

8 MR. ALBERT: No. The doctor would
9 like to read the deposition, and whatever
10 arrangements he wants to make as far as doing
11 so is satisfactory to me.

12 MR. WEISMAN : Can we waive as to
13 filing?

14 MR. ALBERT: Certainly.

15 MR. McNEAL: Yes.

16 MR. STUHLBREHER: Yes.

17 MR. WEISMAN: As to the signa ure,
18 Mr. Gantverg will promptly transcribe the deposi-
19 tion and submit it to counsel or to the witness
20 directly, if counsel wishes.

21 MR. ALBERT: I think that's best,
22 If that is okay with the doctor, you can send it
23 out to the doctor.

24 The doctor will read it, and he will make
25 any corrections which he believes were

inaccuracies in what were taken down, not re-thoughts, but inaccuracies that were taken down, in the space provided for that. And we will send **it** back to the court reporter as the original, and I would like a copy of **it**.

- - -

(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED)

(corrections noted on "lawyer notes")



Steven M. Klein, M.D.

- - -

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATE

State of Ohio,)
 §§:
 County of Cuyahoga.)

I, Sidney Gantverg, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within-named witness, STEVEN M. KLEIN, M.D., was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him was by me reduced to shorthand in the presence of said witness, afterwards transcribed upon a typewriter, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony so given by him as aforesaid.

I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified, and was completed without adjournment.

I do further certify that I am not an attorney, employee or relative of either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office in Cleveland, Ohio, on this 19th day of September, 1986.

My commission expires:
 September 12, 1988.

Sidney Gantverg
 Sidney Gantverg, Notary Public
 in and for the State of Ohio.
 Registered Professional Reporter?

MORSE, GANTVERG & HODGE
SHORTHAND AND STENOGRAPHIC REPORTERS
750 LEADER BUILDING
CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114

WILLIAM L. MORSE

SIDNEY GANNBERG

RALPH L. HODGE

PHONE 216-771-3350

September 19, 1986

DEPOSITIONS
ARBITRATIONS
COURT REFERENCES
PATENT HEARINGS
MEETINGS

MEMBERS
NSRA
OSRA

Dr. Steven M. Klein
21125 Shelburne
Shaker Heights, Ohio 40122

Re: Eric Hawkins, a minor, etc.
et al.,

vs.

Bedford Municipal Hospital,
et al.,
Case No. 957,170

Dear Mr. Klein:

Enclosed is a copy of your deposition given in the above matter on September 13, 1986. It is sent to you so that you may read and sign it in order that it may be filed with the Court.

If you find an error in a word, a name, a number, etc., please indicate the correction by page and line number on the blue "Lawyer's Notes" sheet at the end of the transcript. However, please avoid "editorial" or "second-thought" changes in the transcript, since the record should accurately reflect the actual testimony.

After you have finished, please sign at the indicated place on page 84 and return to me as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

Sidney Gantverg
Sidney Gantverg
Registered Professional
Reporter & Notary Public

cc: Fred Weisman, Esq.,
Steven W. Albert, Esq.,
Harley J. McNeal, Esq.,
George W. Stuhldreher, Esq.,

MORSE, GANTVERG & HODGE

SHORTHAND AND STENOGRAPHIC REPORTERS

750 LEADER BUILDING

CLEVELAND, OHIO 44114

PHONE 216-771-3350

WILLIAM L. MORSE

SIDNEY GANTVERG

RALPH L. HODGE

DEPOSITIONS
ARBITRATIONS
COURT REFERENCES
PATENT HEARINGS
MEETINGS

MEMBERS
NSRA
OSRA

September 26, 1936

Fred Weisman, Esq.
Weisman, Goldberg, Weisman & Kaufman
540 Leader Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Re: Eric Hawkins, a minor, etc.
et al.

vs.

Bedford Municipal Hospital,
et al.

Case No. 957,170

Dear Mr. Weisman:

Doctor Klein has signed and returned his deposition taken on September 13, 1936. He has made two corrections, which are as follows:

Page 29 Line 5 Strike hardly; Substitute full;.

Page 37 Line 21 Strike machines; Substitute manually

These corrections are being made in the transcript of the deposition.

Very truly yours,

Sidney Gantverg
Registered Professional
Reporter & Notary Public

cc: Steven W. Albert, Esq.
Harley J. McNeal, Esq.
George W. Stuhldreher, Esq.

SUMMARY OF KLEIN'S DEPOSITION

<u>PAGE</u>	<u>LINE</u>	
5	1	Members of the team (as far as pregnancy, labor and delivery) are the obstetrician, the patient, the nurse and the anesthesiologist.
6	3	I am knowledgeable about matters involving resuscitation of the new born baby.
6	20	The two people who generally are involved in resuscitation of the new born baby are the pediatrician and the anesthesiologist. Often the nurse will participate in resuscitation.
9	12	Monitoring is one of the functions of the nurse on the labor and delivery floor.
10	14	Standard care for the nurse requires taking the fetal heart rate every 30 minutes in early labor and every five to ten minutes during the second stage of labor.
11	1	That standard applies to any hospital where babies are delivered.
11	13	Dr. Klein reviewed the following in preparation for the deposition: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Bedford Hospital chart on baby boy Hawkins2. Bedford Hospital chart on Betty Hawkins (October 23rd admission for false labor as well as labor and delivery record)3. Deposition of Dr. Kretchmer4. Deposition of Dr. Coker5. Deposition of Dr. Horwitz6. Deposition of Dr. Edelberg7. My report to Mr. Albert dated May 28, 1986
13	7	Five to six percent of babies present as breeches.
14	13	Frank breech position is a high risk pregnancy.
14	23	Simply because there is a high risk situation doesn't necessarily mean that anything is bad or diseased or injured about the particular fetus involved.
15	14	Most breech births in 1974 resulted in good outcomes (as far as health of the baby).
17	2	I know Mr. Albert because we play golf together at Oakwood Country Club. We have mutual friends.
22	19	I do not believe that the care provided by Dr. Choi was consistent with acceptable standard of care in 1974.
23	12	Dr. Choi should have used a different hospital in which to induce labor on Mrs. Hawkins.
24	11	Dr. Choi should have done a Cesarean Section, but it was not less than standard care not to do a Cesarean Section. It is a question of judgement and my choice would have been to do a Cesarean Section.
25	25	Bedford Hospital was not equipped and did not purport to be able to handle high risk obstetrical cases.
26	13	No attempt at pitocin induction should have been made in this situation, especially at Bedford Hospital which was a low risk hospital ill equipped and unprepared for potentially catastrophic obstetrical occurrences.

27	23	On admission of a patient with a baby in the frank breech position there is potential that one could reasonably expect an episode of asphyxia or hypoxia to come about as a result of the delivery.
29	12	Bedford Hospital did not have a potential for resuscitation that would be necessitated by a potential catastrophic obstetrical problem.
30	3	What would be needed in this situation would be a person or persons who manage situations of this nature frequent enough to be comfortable in their management.
32	21	Dr. Reyes undertook the resuscitation of baby Eric Hawkins,
33	2	Also the nurse (undertook resuscitation of baby Eric Hawkins).
33	4	The obstetrician did not resuscitate baby Eric Hawkins.
33	8	There was nothing unusual about the resuscitation being done by Dr. Reyes and nurse Gerharstein rather than Dr. Choi,
34	11	Resuscitation means to re-establish circulation and/or respiration, if either or both are not present in an individual.
34	21	Eric Hawkins was very sick when he was born.
35	20	Eric Hawkins was asphyxiated at the time of his birth.
36	23	Eric Hawkins was severely depressed at the time of his birth.
36	7	With a severely depressed newborn, certain steps are essential and mandatory for the appropriate care of the newborn.
39	13	I have no way of knowing whether or not baby Eric Hawkins was being adequately oxygenated during the 15 minutes it took to establish respirations.
39	17	An Apgar score of three at five minutes indicates that the baby was still sick,
40	7	I think we are all speculating here as to what actually occurred. I don't know what happened intrauterine.
42	6	If a bag and mask is not doing the job adequately, then an endotracheal tube should be placed.
42	11	There is wasted space in the nasal passage and mouth that may interfere when using the bag and mask.
42	14	An endotracheal tube is more efficient than bag and mask.
44	6	If resuscitation is taking a long time, acidosis is not being corrected and the baby needs sodium bicarbonate according to standard practice.
44	12	Baby Hawkins did not receive sodium bicarbonate,
44	25	Baby Hawkins should have received sodium bicarbonate.
45	8	Blood gases should have been obtained if the resuscitation seemed inadequate or there was no response to the bicarbonate.
48	6	If the hospital holds itself out to accept patients who are going to deliver babies, then obviously the hospital must be equipped to provide 24 hours service.
48	11	A hospital knows that a given baby of an entirely normal pregnancy in every way, position and everything else, could suddenly become an asphyxiated baby or depressed baby at birth.
48	18	That could happen in any given birth, at any given suburban

hospital on any day or night.

48 23 It would be reasonable that if a hospital was not equipped to handle asphyxiated babies that it would be the responsibility of the hospital to advise the patient that if asphyxia or severe depression occurs that they are not qualified to handle it. I would expect the hospital to do that.

49 9 I have no evidence to suggest that Bedford Hospital told the Hawkin's family that they were not equipped to handle asphyxiated, severely depressed newborns.

49 16 I have no evidence that Bedford Hospital informed Dr. Choi that the hospital did not have the personnel or equipment sufficient to treat or care for a severely depressed or asphyxiated newborns.

50 18 There is not one note of a fetal heart rate taken on baby Eric Hawkins in the last 30 minutes prior to his birth.

53 1 I can't say that fetal heart rates were taken by nurse Gerhartein in the fast 30 minutes. I know that it was not recorded. It was supposed to be recorded according to standards.

53 10 I can't say that the failure to record fetal heart rates made any particular difference in the brain damage to this child.

53 18 The failure to record fetal heart rates in the last 30 minutes prevents me from knowing precisely what the status of the baby was during the last 30 minutes.

53 22 If fetal heart rates were not recorded and communicated to Dr. Choi, then he too did not know what the status of the baby was during that final 30 minutes.

54 a I do not endorse hot and cold soaks for a severely depressed and asphyxiated newborn baby.

54 21 Hot and cold soaks is not considered safe or acceptable according to standards and practices in obstetrics.

54 24 Hot and cold soaks would be substandard as a sole attempt at resuscitation.

55 a Eric Hawkins was hypothermic,

55 11 It is fair and reasonable to conclude that the cold soak given by nurse Gerhardstein probably contributed to the hypothermia.

56 10 The obstetrician decides when the anesthetic should be given by the anesthesiologist. When he decides it is to be given, he must communicate that to the anesthesiologist,

57 25 Pre-anesthesia evaluation is the standard throughout the country in evaluating expectant mothers prior to delivery.

59 18 With the exception of Mrs. Hawkins being admitted in false labor, this was an essentially uneventful prenatal course that took place throughout the pregnancy of Mrs. Hawkins.

60 19 With a breech position, cord compression can occur and in my opinion that happened here even though there is not one word in the record about cord compression.

63 3 In my opinion a significant amount of the lack of oxygen to this baby occurred intrauterin, during the delivery process.

63 23 I have no basis for saying whether or not this lack of oxygen occurred within the last few minutes or whether

		it occurred within the last 30 minutes prior to delivery.
64	7	I don't know how much lack of oxygen to this baby occurred extrauterine.
64	24	I think the resuscitated efforts here were non-expert.
65	7	I have no way of measuring as to whether or not the results of the resuscitation in this instance were effective or ineffective.
65	12	Authoritative authors on the field of resuscitation are Dr. Gertie Marks and Dr. Bret Gutche. Both Dr. Marks and Gutche are anesthesiologists who specialize in obstetrical anesthesia and have written on the subject of newborn resuscitation,
67	17	Authoritative and reliable text books on the matter of resuscitation of the newborn include Williams Obstetrics and Greenhill.
69	17	I did not note any chromosomal or inborn or genetic defect of any kind that appeared in the records with respect to Eric Hawkins.
70	4	I do not suggest that there was any inborn defect or genetic difficulty or chromosomal problem in Eric Hawkins.
70	10	Sol Snider, Frank Moya and John Bonica are authoritative writers and medical experts in the field of obstetrical anesthesia.
71	9	There is no evidence of infection of Mrs. Hawkins or baby Hawkins that occurred throughout the pregnancy.
71	15	There was no chronic hypoxia here created by any condition, illness or injury that predated the birth of Eric Hawkins.
72	16	The lack of oxygen to baby Eric Hawkins was acute.
74	1	I am not an expert in the physiology of brain damage.
74	18	A pediatric neurologist would understand the scheme of anoxic brain damage better than I.

4

SUMMARY OF KLEIN'S DEPOSITION

PAGE LINE

5 1 Members of the team (as far as pregnancy, labor and delivery) are the obstetrician, the patient, the nurse and the anesthesiologist.

KNOWS RESUSCITATION
6 3 I am knowledgeable about matters involving resuscitation of the new born baby.

6 20 The two people who generally are involved in resuscitation of the new born baby are the pediatrician and the anesthesiologist. Often the nurse will participate in resuscitation.

9 12 Monitoring is one of the functions of the nurse on the labor and delivery floor.

10 14 Standard care for the nurse requires taking the fetal heart rate every 30 minutes in early labor and every five to ten minutes during the second stage of labor.

11 1 That standard applies to any hospital where babies are delivered.

11 13 Dr. Klein reviewed the following in preparation for the deposition:

1. Bedford Hospital chart on baby boy Hawkins
2. Bedford Hospital chart on Betty Hawkins (October 23rd admission for false labor as well as labor and delivery record)
3. Deposition of Dr. Kretchmer
4. Deposition of Dr. Coker
5. Deposition of Dr. Horwitz
6. Deposition of Dr. Edelberg
7. My report to Mr. Albert dated May 28, 1986

5% - 6% are BREECHES
13 7 Five to six percent of babies present as breeches.

14 13 Frank breech position is a high risk pregnancy.

14 23 Simply because there is a high risk situation doesn't necessarily mean that anything is bad or diseased or injured about the particular fetus involved.

15 14 Most breech births in 1974 resulted in good outcomes (as far as health of the baby).

COUNTRY CLUB
17 2 I know Mr. Albert because we play golf together at Oakwood Country Club. We have mutual friends.

22 19 I do not believe that — the care provided by Dr. Choi was consistent with acceptable standard of care in 1974.

23 12 Dr. Choi should have used a different hospital in which to induce labor on Mrs. Hawkins.

24 11 Dr. Choi should have done a Cesarean Section, but it was not less than standard care not to do a Cesarean Section. It is a question of judgement and my choice would have been to do a Cesarean Section.

25 25 Bedford Hospital was not equipped and did not purport to be able to handle high risk obstetrical cases.

26 13 No attempt at pitocin induction should have been made in this situation, especially at Bedford Hospital which was a low risk hospital ill equipped and unprepared for potentially catastrophic obstetrical occurrences.

27	23	On admission of a patient with a baby in the frank breech position there is potential that one could reasonably expect an episode of asphyxia or hypoxia to come about as a result of the delivery.
29	12	Bedford Hospital did not have a potential for resuscitation that would be necessitated by a potential catastrophic obstetrical problem.
30	3	What would be needed in this situation would be a person or persons who manage situations of this nature frequent enough to be comfortable in their management.
32	21	Dr. Reyes undertook the resuscitation of baby Eric Hawkins.
33	2	Also the nurse (undertook resuscitation of baby Eric Hawkins).
33	4	The obstetrician did not resuscitate baby Eric Hawkins.
33	8	There was nothing unusual about the resuscitation being done by Dr. Reyes and nurse Gerharstein rather than Dr. Choi.
34	11	Resuscitation means to re-establish circulation and/or respiration, if either or both are not present in an individual.
34	21	Eric Hawkins was <u>very sick</u> when he was born.
35	20	Eric Hawkins was <u>asphyxiated</u> at the time of his birth.
	23	Eric Hawkins was <u>severely depressed</u> —at the time of his birth.
	36	With a severely depressed newborn, certain steps are <u>essential</u> and <u>mandatory</u> for the <u>appropriate care</u> of the newborn.
	39	I have no way of knowing whether or not baby Eric Hawkins was being adequately oxygenated during the 15 minutes it took to establish respirations.
	39	An Apgar score of three at five minutes indicates that the baby was still sick.
	40	I think we are all speculating here as to what actually occurred. <u>I don't know what happened intrauterine.</u>
	42	If a bag and mask is not doing the job adequately, then an endotracheal tube should be <u>placed</u> .
	42	"There is wasted space in the nasal passage and mouth that may interfere when using the bag and mask."
	42	An endotracheal tube is more efficient than bag and mask.
	44	If resuscitation is taking a long time, <u>acidosis is not</u> being corrected and the baby needs sodium bicarbonate according to standard practice.
	44	Baby Hawkins <u>did not receive sodium bicarbonate.</u>
	44	Baby Hawkins <u>should have received sodium bicarbonate.</u>
	45	Blood gases should have been obtained if the resuscitation seemed inadequate or there was no response to the <u>bicarbonate</u> .
	48	If the hospital holds itself out to accept patients who are going to deliver babies, then obviously the hospital must be equipped to provide 24 hours service.
	48	A hospital knows that a given baby of an entirely normal pregnancy in every way, position and everything else, could suddenly become an asphyxiated baby or depressed baby at birth.
	48	That could happen in any given birth, at any given suburban

SEVERELY DEPRESSED

ESSENTIAL & MANDATORY STEPS FOR APPROPRIATE CARE.

ENDO. TUBE

DEAD SPACE

SODIUM BICARBONATE

BLOOD GAS STUDIES.

24 HR. CARE.

LETTER NEW-EXPO

48

23

*FILL EQUIPPED?
HOSP. MUST GIVE
NOTICE.*

hospital on any day or night.

It would be reasonable that if a hospital was not equipped to handle asphyxiated babies that it would be the responsibility of the hospital to advise the patient that if asphyxia or severe depression occurs that they are not qualified to handle it. I would expect the hospital to do that.

49

9

I have no evidence to suggest that Bedford Hospital told the Hawkin's family that they were not equipped to handle asphyxiated, severely depressed newborns.

49

16

I have no evidence that Bedford Hospital informed Dr. Choi that the hospital did not have the personnel or equipment sufficient to treat or care for a severely depressed or asphyxiated newborns.

50

18

*FHR RECORDING WAS
SUB-STANDARD.*

There is not one note of a fetal heart rate taken on baby Eric Hawkins in the last 30 minutes prior to his birth.

I can't say that fetal heart rates were taken by nurse Gerhartein in the last 30 minutes. I know that it was not recorded. It was supposed to be recorded according to standards.

53

10

I can't say that the failure to record fetal heart rates made any particular difference in the brain damage to this child.

*PREVENTS KLEIN FROM
KNOWING.*

The failure to record fetal heart rates in the last 30 minutes prevents me from knowing precisely what the status of the baby was during the last 30 minutes.

53

22

If fetal heart rates were not recorded and communicated to Dr. Choi, then he too did not know what the status of the baby was during that final 30 minutes.

HOT + COLD BATHS.

54

8

I do not endorse hot and cold soaks for a severely depressed and asphyxiated newborn baby.

54

21

Hot and cold soaks is not considered safe or acceptable according to standards and practices in obstetrics.

54

24

Hot and cold soaks would be substandard as a sole attempt at resuscitation.

55

8

Eric Hawkins was hypothermic.

55

11

It is fair and reasonable to conclude that the cold soak, given by nurse Gerhardtstein probably contributed to the hypothermia.

56

10

The obstetrician decides when the anesthetic should be given by the anesthesiologist. When he decides it is to be given, he must communicate that to the anesthesiologist.

57

25

Pre-anesthesia evaluation is the standard throughout the country in evaluating expectant mothers prior to delivery.

59

18

With the exception of Mrs. Hawkins being admitted in false labor, this was an essentially uneventful prenatal course that took place throughout the pregnancy of Mrs. Hawkins.

60

19

With a breech position, cord compression can occur and in my opinion that happened here even though there is not one word in the record about cord compression.

63

3

In my opinion a significant amount of the lack of oxygen to this baby occurred intrauterin, during the delivery process. I have no basis for saying whether or not this lack of oxygen occurred within the last few minutes or whether

*UNKNOWN AS TO
WHEN HYPOXIA
OCCURRED.*

it occurred within the last 30 minutes prior to delivery.
I don't know how much lack of oxygen to this baby occurred
extrauterine.

I think the resuscitated efforts here were non-expert.

I have no way of measuring as to whether or not the results of the resuscitation in this instance were effective or ineffective.

Authoritative authors on the field of resuscitation are Dr. Gertie Marks and Dr. Bret Gutche. Both Dr. Marks and Gutche are anesthesiologists who specialize in obstetrical anesthesia and have written on the subject of newborn resuscitation.

Authoritative and reliable text books on the matter of resuscitation of the newborn include Williams Obstetrics and

I did not note any chromosomal or inborn or genetic defect of any kind that appeared in the records with respect to Eric Hawkins.

I do not suggest that there was any inborn defect or genetic difficulty or chromosomal problem in Eric Hawkins.

Sol Snider, Frank Moya and John Bonica are authoritative writers and medical experts in the field of obstetrical anesthesia.

There is no evidence of infection of Mrs. Hawkins or baby Hawkins that occurred throughout the pregnancy.

There was no chronic hypoxia here created by any condition, illness or injury that predated the birth of Eric Hawkins.

The lack of oxygen to baby Eric Hawkins was acute.

I am not an expert in the physiology of brain damage,

A pediatric neurologist would understand the scheme of anoxic brain damage better than I.

GENETIC, CHROMOSOMAL
OR INBORN DEFECT

NO EVID. of INFECTION
OF BABY

NO ILG-71 NO CHRONIC HYPOXIA

&E

BRAIN DAMAGE
EXPERT

No letterhead?
Why out of home?
Member of Oakwood? - Assoc with L

Steven M. Klein, M.D.
21125 Shelburne Road
Shaker Hts., Ohio 44122

May 28, 1986

Mr. Steven W. Albert
Kitchen, Messner & Deery
1305 The Superior Building
815 Superior Avenue, N.E.
Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Re: Your File # 3480 A 2345
Eric Hawkins, ecc., et al, v. Bedford Municipal
Hospital, et al.

Dear Mr. Albert:

RECORDS &
REPORTS
viewed.

In accordance with your letter of May 22, 1986 I have reviewed the testimony of Dr. Edelberg, Dr. Horwitz and the hospital records of Bedford Municipal Hospital. The following are my report and opinions.

2 wks.
before
BREACH.

Bettye Hawkins presented to Bedford Municipal Hospital at 2:30 P.M. on November 8, 1974 having spontaneously ruptured membranes 3-½ hours before at 11:00 A.M.. She and the fetus were doing well. She was not in labor. Pelvic exam revealed 1 cm. cervical dilatation and a floating presenting part that was a breech. Two weeks prior, she had presented in false labor and a pelvic x-ray revealed a breech position. According to testimony, she had delivered vaginally, with difficulty, her first baby. Dr. Choi obtained pelvimetry and found her midpelvis and outlet to be "borderline normal." According to the chart, Dr. Choi ordered Pitocin induction which was begun around 4:00 P.M. to avoid infection. The patient apparently agreed to this and to an anesthetic, when it became necessary, with the single exception of spinal.

7 hrs Normal

Stage I of labor (until she became completely dilated) lasted approximately 9 hours during which she received Demerol analgesia. Monitoring of the fetus and mother was normal during this time and no mention of meconium was made despite the breech presentation. Dr. Choi arrived according to the hospital records at approximately 1:20 A.M. on November 9, 1974.

HOI
SUB-
STANDARD

Stage II of labor (the time from complete cervical dilatation to delivery) lasted 1 hour and 50 minutes which is extremely long for a secundagravida and particularly dangerous for a breech. This most certainly connoted fetal-pelvic disproportion and the pregnancy should have been terminated before this point by Cesarean section.

During her second stage of labor, she was taken to the delivery room and at approximately 3:20 A.M. was given a general anesthesia after having been premedicated with more Demerol and Vistaril. According to the chart, she was put to sleep a little early, so she was awakened so she could push. No mention was made whether Dr. Choi attempted delivery with this first anesthetic nor what the descent of the breech was at this point. At approximately 3:47 A.M. a second anesthetic was administered and the baby was delivered with forceps for the after coming head. No mention was made as to the method of the breech delivery except that it was difficult.

Up to the time of the first anesthetic, fetal heart tones were noted to have been 130 or greater and were obtained frequently. From 3:20 A.M. to 3:50 A.M., the actual time of birth, no fetal heart tones were recorded. The baby was born approximately 16 hours and 50 minutes after spontaneous rupture of membranes occurred. It was a male, 7 Pbs. 12 oz. with Apgar scores of 1 at 1 minute and 3 at 5 minutes but no breakdown was given as to what the scores were for. The baby was resuscitated by Dr. Reyes, the anesthesiologist and nurse Gerhardstein. According to testimony, no intubation was done, no bicarbonate was given, no blood gases were obtained yet the baby did begin to spontaneously support its own respirations at 15 minutes. In addition the baby was hypothermic and had ecchymoses secondary to trauma from the delivery? Dr. Shapiro, the pediatrician, was apparently not notified for sometime after the birth. When he saw that the baby was still having difficulties he arranged transfer to Rainbows Babies & Children's Hospital.

Because of the breech, the patient was high risk. Because she was not in labor, had an undilated cervix and a very high breech presenting part and borderline pelvimetry she and the fetus were even greater risk. No attempt at Pitocin induction should have been made in this situation, especially at Bedford Hospital which was a low risk hospital ill equipped and unprepared for potentially catastrophic obstetrical occurrences. At best the patient should have been transferred to a high level institution where facilities and equipment can handle obstetrical emergencies or at least neonatal pediatricians should have been alerted and should have been in attendance if needed for resuscitative measures and evaluation of any trauma. This was the direct responsibility of the obstetrician, Dr. Choi.

There was at least a 30 minute period in the delivery room where the mother was given narcotics and a general anesthetic, then was awakened, asked to push and then given a second general anesthetic until a breech delivery was finally accomplished with difficulty. During this 30 minute episode, no fetal heart rate was recorded. It was entirely possible that with the breech deep in the pelvis (for Dr. Choi, thinking delivery was imminent, had Dr. Reyes give her a general anesthetic) cord compression occurred. This, in addition to narcotic and anesthetic depression x 2,

who decides on when anesthetic should be given?
Assume Choi did not ask for the anesthetic.

could have caused asphyxia in the fetus lasting from 1 to 30 minutes. That is, circulation and oxygen may not have reached the fetus for an undetermined yet significant period of time. Certainly long enough to cause irreparable brain damage. Hence, it is entirely possible that even with expert resuscitation at a high risk institution, brain damage would have been present before the moment of birth.

One cannot say with any medical certainty whether the lack of oxygen that caused the brain damage occurred intrauterine (secondary to cord compression, narcotics and anesthesia) or extrauterine (secondary to inadequate resuscitative efforts).

The anesthesiologist and nurse did not utilize what today many would consider the best of resuscitative techniques, yet, the baby did not die and in fact within 15 minutes began to breathe spontaneously. Therefore, the resuscitative efforts were not all that bad. Moreover, in a community hospital where only low risk, normal obstetrics is done, the anesthesiologist should not be expected to perform expert neonatal resuscitation when only one or two babies out of a thousand might require it. In this case, the obstetrician should have recognized and diagnosed the high risk situation and ensured either a transfer of the mother or the presence of a skilled resuscitative team. With over 9 hours of labor, there was certainly time.

As was stated in testimony, 80% of babies with Apgar scores of 1 at 1 minute are fully resuscitated without residual brain damage. If 20% of babies with Apgar scores of 1 at 1 minute go on to have permanent brain damage, even with expert resuscitation, why cannot Eric Hawkins have sustained his brain damage prior to delivery? I contend he did secondary to the prolonged second stage, cord compression, narcotic and anesthetic depression, and delayed, difficult, traumatic breech delivery. Because he did not suffer from chronic hypoxia due to intrauterine problems prior to the second stage of this labor is no reason to believe that the problems outlined above were not enough to cause asphyxia and brain damage during the 30 minutes prior to delivery.

Sincerely yours,

Steven M. Klein, M.D.

SMK:cb