1 The State of Ohio,) 2 County of Cuyahoga.) SS: 3 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 4 Pamela Nagy, et al.,) Plaintiffs,)Case No. 5) 3575146 - vs -7 Cassens Transport Company, et al., 8 9 Defendants. 10 - - 000 - -11 Deposition of SHELDON KAFFEN, M.D., a 12 witness herein, called by the Plaintiffs as 13 if upon cross-examination under the statute, and taken before Luanne Stone, a Notary 14 Public within and for the State of Ohio, 15 pursuant to the agreement of counsel, and 16 17 pursuant to the further stipulations of counsel herein contained, on Tuesday, the 18 19 1st day of June, 1999 at 4:00 P.M., at the 20 offices of Sheldon Kaffen, M.D., 26900 Cedar 21 Road, the City of Beachwood, the County of 22 Cuyahoga and the State of Ohio. 23 - 000 - -24 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiffs: Schulman, Schulman & Meros, by: John Meros, Esq. On behalf of the Defendants: Quandt, Giffels & Buck, by: Jeffrey A. Schenk, E s q. - 000 - - -2 c 2 5

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	SHELDON KAFFEN, M.D., being of
3	lawful age, having been first duly sworn
4	according to law, deposes and says as
5	follows:
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF SHELDON KAFFEN, M.D.
7	BY MR. MEROS:
8	Q May we have your full name for the
9	record?
10	A Sheldon Kaffen.
11	Q Dr. Kaffen, I'm going to try to move
12	along quickly here. I have a copy of the CV
13	that was given to me. I'm going to have it
14	marked as an exhibit. I just want to ask
15	you a few questions about it.
16	MR. MEROS: We'll mark this as
17	Raffen Depo Exhibit A.
18	(At this time Plaintiff's Exhibit
19	A was marked for identification purposes.)
20	BY MR, MEROS:
21	Ç Dr. Kaffen, that was given to me by the
22	attorney who retained you in this case, Jeff
23	Schenk. Is that a current CV?
24	A Yes.
2 5	Q Okay. May I ask you, in addition to

North American

1.00

1.444.0

÷.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

the memberships that are noted on there, do 1 you have any other memberships in any 2 professional medical associations? 3 The medical staff societies of the 4 А 5 hospitals: Meridia South Pointe, Mt. Sinai Medical Center and Meridia Hillcrest 6 Hospital. 7 Are you not a current member of the AMA? 8 0 No, I'm not. 9 А 10 0 All right. Are you a member of any other orthopedic associations outside of the 11 two that are indicated there? 12 13 A The American Academy of Orthopedic 14 Surgeons. 15 Q Okay. You are Board certified currently? 16 17 Yes, I am. Α Q 18 And you were originally Board certified 19 in? 20 Α 1966. Okay. Tell me the area hospitals where 21 0 you have staff privileges. 22 Mt. Sinai Medical Center and Meridia 23 А South Pointe Hospital and Meridia Hillcrest 24 25 Hospital.

3

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

Q Okay. Can you tell me in the past year 1 2 how many medical examinations you have done for attorneys that are in the category of 3 medical/legal matters? 4 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: Would you rephrase 6 7 that, please? BY MR. MEROS: 8 а 9 Sure. 10 Α Or just repeat it. In the past year, and I'll rephrase it 11 a for you, how many independent medical 12 examinations or defense medical examinations 13 have you performed? 14 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know 16 17 exactly. Depending upon the category, for 18 attorneys, I would say ten, 15 at the most. BY MR. MEROS: 19 20 a Okay. 21 Independent medical exams for the Α 22 Industrial Commission, maybe 50; independent medical exams for the Bureau of Workers" 23 24 Compensation for matters of determination of 25 impairment, maybe 100. Then, there's an

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	occasional independent medical exam for
2	companies that provide independent medical
3	exams for their clients.
4	Q Okay. So, there are different
5	categories of medical examinations that you
6	perform?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And let me see if I can categorize
9	these. These are medical examinations of
10	people that you have no intention of
11	treating or where there's no expectation
12	that you will render treatment?
13	A Yes.
14	${\it l}$ Okay. All right. Let's talk about
15	that. In the category of medical exams
16	where there's no expectation that you will
17	render any treatment, we have a category of
18	Workers' Compensation exams where you are
19	retained by the state as a state examiner or
20	a state doctor?
21	A Yeah, I guess so.
22	Q Is there a better way to describe that?
23	A Just independent medical examination.
24	Q Okay.
2 5	A They have a requirement of the
l	

I

ani lan

the second

ł,

 ${\cal T}_{\rm eff}$

, i

j.

7

ł

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 examiners to be certified by the Bureau of Workers' Comp., requiring specialization in 2 the specific field, and also having CME 3 credits, and taking a yearly course with the 4 bureau and with the Industrial Commission. 5 6 0 In terms of the categories of parties who retain you for medical exams in which 7 there's no expectation that you will treat 8 the patient, at least I'm correct in saying 9 10 that one category is that the state Bureau of Workers' Comp. --11 Α Yes. 12 13 0 ._ retains you for medical examinations? 14 А Yes. And those are situations in which 15 0 there's no expectation that you will treat 16 17 the person being examined. 18 А Yes. A second category, then, would be 19 0 attorneys who would confer or consult with 20 you in medical/legal matters? 21 They would -- well, I don't know if we 22 Α should use the word "confer" and "consult." 23 24 It would be a referral for purposes of independent medical evaluation of these 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 patients.

1

10.00

;

-	pactenes.						
2	Q Okay, and in that particular category						
3	you would put the examination of Pamela						
4	Nagy. She was examined by you for the						
5	purposes of giving an opinion or giving						
6	information to an attorney that referred her						
7	or retained you for that purpose.						
8	A Yes.						
9	Q Is there a third category of a party or						
10	an entity that would retain you for a						
11	medical examination without the expectation						
12	of you rendering treatment?						
13	A Yes.						
14	Q And what is that?						
15	A There are companies whose business it						
16	is to obtain medical examinations for						
17	clients. Usually these are the U.S.						
18	Department of Labor, Office of Workers'						
19	Compensation programs, and they have certain						
2 0	medical questions that need answering in						
21	terms of allowance of the claim,						
22	appropriateness of treatment, and						
23	disability, and they contract with the						
24	company. The company contacts the physician						
25	and makes the appointment and gives the						

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	appropriate medical records and asks
2	specific questions.
3	Q Okay. Is there a fourth category of
4	entity or party that retains your services
5	to examine a person without the expectation
6	of any treatment being rendered?
7	A The Industrial Commission of the state
8	of Ohio.
9	Q Is that separate from the Workers'
10	Compensation Bureau?
11	A It's a separate thing, yes.
12	Q Okay. Is there a fifth category of
13	entity that retains you for medical exams in
14	which no treatment is expected?
15	A No.
16	Q Okay. Are you still seeing patients on
17	a weekly basis to whom you render treatment?
18	A Yes, I do.
19	Q Okay. What percentage of your
20	professional time is spent on a weekly basis
21	seeing persons or patients for whom you will
2 2	not render treatment?
23	A Not including the time it takes to go
24	through the medical records and provide a
25	report

-34

ł

ار همانه وساله و پارلو

100.000

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Q Right. -- the actual patient load is, maybe, 2 Α 65 percent my own patients that I treat, and 3 4 the balance are these independent medical 5 exams. Okay. During the past calendar year, 6 0 7 during the past 12 months, how many times have you either testified at trial or 8 9 testified at a deposition? 10 Again, I can't be specific. I would Α say that I have done, maybe, six depositions. 11 12 Q In the past year? Yes. 13 Α 14 Q Can you give me a sampling of some of the law firms in Cleveland that have 15 16 retained you or retained your services for independent medical examinations? 17 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 18 THE WITNESS: I can't remember 19 20 the names. BY MR. MEROS: 21 22 0 Okay. We have one here in this case. 23 I think it's Quandt, Giffels & Buck; is that 24 correct? 25 A Yes.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 0 Have you done any work in the past year on an independent medical examination basis 2 3 for the firm of Reminger & Reminger? 4 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. BY MR. MEROS: 6 7 Q All right. 8 I don't think so, but I can't be А specific. 9 Have you done any work in the past year 10 0 11 conducting independent medical examinations for the firm of Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton & 12 13 Norman? 14 MR. SCHENK: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't think so. 15 16 BY MR. MEROS: 17 Q Or the firm of Davis & Young? 18 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: Again, I don't think so. 20 BY MR. MEROS: 2 1 Okay. For the firm of Meyers, 22 0 23 Hentemann, Schneider & Rea? 24 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 25 THE WITNESS: Not to my

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 recollection. 2 BY MR. MEROS: 3 a Okay. In the past year, have you performed any of the following surgical 4 procedures: discectomy or microdiscectomy? 5 Α 6 No. 7 0 Laminectomy? 8 Α No. 9 Q Spinal fusion? 10 Α No. 11 a When was the last time you performed any back surgery on any patient? 12 13 I stopped doing back surgery А 14 approximately five or six years ago. a 15 Okay. Give me a sampling of the 16 surgeries that you have performed in the past 12 months. 17 18 Multiple knee arthroscopies for torn Α cartilage. I do reconstructions for 19 anterior cruciate ligament ruptures; total 20 21 knee replacements; total hip replacements; 22 trauma fractures involving the extremities; 23 arthroscopy of the ankle; foot surgery, 24 bunions, hammer toes; shoulder surgery, 25 arthroscopy, repair of rotator cuff; a few

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

elbow arthroscopies as I recall in the past 1 year. Total hip replacements, did I mention 2 3 that? 4 0 Okay. That's about it. 5 Α Q 6 What was the predominant reason for your discontinuance of back surgeries five 7 or six years ago? 8 I discontinued doing back surgery 9 Α 10 because of the malpractice law, and the 11 number of patients that I was doing was diminishing anyway. So, it wasn't worth 12 keeping up the high malpractice fee or extra 13 14 charges for the few patients that I felt 15 needed surgery that I would do. 16 Were you ever considered a specialist Q 17 in back surgery? 18 Α No. 19 Were you ever considered a specialist 0 20 in any form of surgery? 21 Α Orthopedic surgery in general. 22 0 Okay, any subspecialty within 23 orthopedic surgery? 24 Α No. 25 0 Have you ever been sued for malpractice?

. 1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 A Yes. 2 MR. SCHENK: Objection. BY MR. MEROS: 3 4 Q May I ask when the last time was that you were sued for malpractice? 5 6 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: There's a current 8 suit now. I can't remember exactly when; 9 about a year ago. 10 BY MR. MEROS: 11 **a** Okay, and that was not in connection 12 with any back operations, I take it. 13 MR. SCHENK: Objection. THE WITNESS: That was not in 14 15 connection with a back operation. 16 BY MR. MEROS: 17 **a** Have you ever had any judgments or verdicts against you for medical 18 malpractice? 19 20 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: There was one, God, maybe 20, 25 years ago. It was a 22 23 settlement. I don't know if you'd call that 24 a judgment. BY MR. MEROS: 25

. 1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	a		No,	no.	Can	you	reca	all	at	any	time,	as
2	а	res	ult	of	a malr	pract	ice	suj	Lt,	whet	cher o	r
3												
4												
5												
6												
7												
8												
9												
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15 16												
17												
18												
19												
20	A		Yes.									
21	Q		Did	you	know	at t	that	tin	ne t	that	you	
22	wo	ould	pro	bab	ly be	test	cifyi	lng	at	some	e poin	t
23	i	n th	nis d	case	?							
2 4	A		I di	.dn't	knov	w for	r sui	ce,	but	: I 1	though	tΙ
25	pı	roba	bly	wou	ld.							

1

and the street

6. Luch in

00.00

1.00

. Ŧ

1 Q Do you have your working file with you 2 here --3 A Yes . 4 Q __ concerning Pamela Nagy? А 5 Yes. MR. MEROS: Is there any 6 7 objection to my taking a look at his working 8 file? 9 MR. SCHENK: No. 10 MR, MEROS: Okay. BY MR. MEROS: 11 Q Without belaboring this, let me see if 12 I can quickly go through the information. 13 14 First of all, Dr. Kaffen, it appears that there's a stack of medical records, or is 15 this not that? 16 A These are medical records, yes. 17 Q Okay. So, you had, apparently, some 18 19 medical records that would involve, and tell me if I'm incorrect about this, therapy 20 21 records. 22 Yes. А Q 23 Dr. Ortega's records? 24 А Yes. 0 Dr. Hoffman's records? 25

1

7

16

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Yes. Α Reports of radiology? 2 0 А Yes. 3 Did you have any original radiologic 4 0 films on Pamela Nagy? 5 6 Yes. Well, I don't know if they're Δ 7 originals or copies, but I had films. 8 0 You saw the films? Yes. 9 А 10 Okay. Can you recall for me whether 0 you saw both MRI films that were taken on 11 12 Pamela Nagy, both in 1998? 13 Α I just saw the ones in December. Of '98, okay. You have the 14 0 15 radiologist's reports, I see. Yes. 16 а 17 Q Can you think of any other medical records that you had on Pamela Nagy that I 18 19 haven't mentioned? 20 Α No. Some of these are duplicates. 21 Q Okay. 22 But --Α 23 Q Did you have a copy of Dr. Hoffman's office chart as her long-time family doctor? 24 25 Α Yes.

Palanena

- Provide State

ŝ

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 0 Okay. Did you confer at any time with any radiologist that rendered a report in 2 3 this case? A Rendered a report? 4 0 5 Yes. 6 Α No. 7 There was a Dr. Lanzieri, I believe, 0 that was the radiologist from University 8 Hospitals that read the Magnatech report of 9 December of '98. Did you confer with him at 10 11 all at any time? That's it there. 12 А No. 13 0 Did you note an apparent discrepancy in 14 his description of the size of the thoracic 15 herniated disk? 16 А Yes. 17 0 How did you resolve that discrepancy as an orthopedic surgeon? 18 I didn't. 19 Α 20 Q Did you assume that he meant that it 21 was a two-millimeter bulge? 22 А Yes. 23 Q I'm sorry, that it was a twomillimeter herniation. 24 Α 25 Yes.

18

1

Ĵ.

÷,

· . 3

As opposed to his statement that it was 1 0 2 a three-millimeter? A Yes, yes, I did. 3 So, you adopted the conservative, 4 0 smaller size of the herniation? 5 6 А Yes 0 Do you know whether or not he intended 7 to describe the size of the herniation at 8 T8/9 as is stated in his final impression 9 and final statement in his report? 10 A Do I know what? 11 12 Q Do you know whether he intended to describe it as a three-millimeter 13 herniation? 14 15 А I don't know what his intentions were, 16 no. **a** Do you feel that you should have 17 conferred with him to have a definitive 18 19 explanation for his discrepancy? 20 No. А Are you comfortable that it's a 21 0 22 two-millimeter herniation? 23 Yes. А **Q** You have immunity from suit by Pamela 24 Nagy for any incorrect opinions that you may 25

i ter

1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

have given regarding her. Are you willing 1 2 to waive immunity from suit in your examination of Pamela Nagy? 3 4 Α No. 5 Q Did you make any notes of your exam? б А Yes, I did. 7 Q May I see those? Yes. 8 А 9 Q I'd like to get a copy of these before 10 I leave. MR. MEROS: Is there a problem 11 12 doing that? 13 MR. SCHENK: Do you have a 14 copying machine so that we could make copies 15 of those? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. 17 MR, MEROS: Thank you very much. BY MR. MEROS: 18 19 Q Did you confer with anybody concerning Pamela Nagy's condition? 20 21 А No. 22 In your report that you wrote in this 0 case, I think on the final page you've 23 24 indicated that, in your opinion, the 25 prognosis is satisfactory for a complete

1.5

10.00

ł.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 recovery. 2 А Yes. 3 0 Do you have an opinion that Pamela can go out and do any activity that she did 4 before the motor vehicle accident? 5 Α Yes. 6 And would it be safe for her to be an 7 0 aerobics instructor once again and do 8 aerobics as she had in the past? 9 10 А Yes. Would it be safe for her to ride 11 0 12 amusement park rides? 13 I think so, yes. Α 14 0 And as a physician who examined her and wrote opinions, you are not willing to waive 15 16 your immunity from suit in the event that 17 Pamela does some of those activities and has 18 a medical condition resulting; is that correct? 19 20 MR. SCHENK: Objection. 21 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not willing 22 to waive -- I'm not her treating physician. I don't advise her to do anything. 23 BY MR. MEROS: 24 25 But you feel that it would be okay if 0

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

she went and did the activities that she did 1 2 prior to the motor vehicle accident? 3 Α Yes. 4 Q Okay. On May 17th, you examined Pamela Nagy according to the information I've been 5 6 given. 7 Α Yes. d Did you have any contact on that day 8 9 with the referring attorney, either before 10 the exam or after the exam? 11 A The only contact I had was the letters 12 that were sent to me and the phone call 13 setting up the appointment. 14 a Okay. 15 A Not on the same day. 16 0 Okay. Do you have those letters with 17 you? 18 A Yes. 19 MR. MEROS: Is there an 20 objection to my seeing those referral 21 letters? 22 MR. SCHENK: No. 23 THE WITNESS: This is about the 24 discovery deposition. 25 MR. MEROS: Okay.

1

-1

j.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

-
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2 0
21
22
2 3
24
25

ł

1000

` +<u>s</u>

-

1

Ĵ

1 opinions in this case? 2 No, just on one point. Α 3 0 And what was that? 4 That was the discrepancy between what А 5 she told me regarding wearing a seat belt and the fact that she had said in her 6 7 deposition that she did not have the shoulder harness part of the seat belt on, 8 9 just the lap part. 10 Right, and did she not explain to you 0 11 that she doesn't wear the harness part 12 because it cuts into her neck? 13 А It doesn't make any difference. 14 Q Okay. 15 She didn't have it on. А 16 Q Right. She had the lap part on? 17 А Yes. 18 0 Okay. Was it significant to you that 19 the passengers in her car were injured but 20 did not seek any treatment? 21 Α No. Was it significant to you in any way 22 0 23 that there were other people in the car 24 injured in some way, albeit minor? 25 Would you repeat that, please? Α

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 a Was it of significance to you that 2 other people in that car were also injured, albeit in a minor way? 3 In a negative way, yes. I was 4 Α 5 surprised that they weren't in the same 6 position that she is as a claimant in a 7 suit. 0 All right. 8 А To tell you the truth. 9 Do you know whether the husband and son 10 0 struck anything in the car with their --11 12 No, I don't. А 13 0 __ head or face? 14 Α No, I don't. 15 Okay. Let me give you this back. 0 This is the letter of May 12th. Then, the next 16 letter that you received from Mr. Schenk is 17 dated May 17th, just confirming the 18 scheduling of your videotaped trial 19 2.0 deposition in connection with your exam of 21 Pam Nagy, and that is scheduled for June 9th 22 at 3:30; is that correct? 23 А Yes. 24 0 Okay, and on May 21st, he sent you a letter indicating that I'd be taking your 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
o 9	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1

.

1

5

-]

Mailed. 1 А 2 Okay. Do you know a Dr. Ben Ortega? 0 3 А I know of him. I don't know him 4 personally. Have you ever met him? 5 0 6 Α Not to my knowledge. 7 Do you know whether or not in the 0 orthopedic surgeon community he is 8 9 considered a competent surgeon? 10 А I think he's a neurosurgeon. 11 0 Okay. 12 А Not an orthopedic surgeon. 13 Okay. 0 14 А And I have not -- to answer it the 15 other way, I've never heard anything that he 16 was not competent. 17 a Can you recall having any patients that 18 you were treating at the same time that he was also treating them? 19 20 No. А 21 0 I have a copy of his report with me, 22 and I think you've also been provided with a 23 copy. It's dated April the 15th of '99. Do 24 you have one handy or not? 25 Well, I had it here somewhere. А

्य

i,

If you could take - · I've got an 1 0 2 original. 3 А Here it is. I've got it. You've got it? Okay. 4 0 Α April --5 6 April. 15th of '99. First of all, 0 before I ask you about the report of Dr. 7 8 Ortega, in your examination of Pamela Nagy and in your study of her radiology, did you 9 find any evidence of any degenerative 10 11 changes that she has going on in her spine? No, I did not. 12 Α Q Did you find any evidence of any 13 degenerative arthritis? 14 Not that I can recall. 15 А 16 a Did you find anything that would indicate to you that she has disk disease of 17 18 any kind? A In physical findings -- in the physical 19 exam? 20 Either that or looking at the radiology 21 0 22 films or looking at the radiologist's 23 report. 24 А There was a report of the disk herniation, thoracic at **T8/9**, and a bulging 25

3

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 disk in the cervical region at C5/6 and 2 C6/C7. 3 0 Do you have an opinion as to whether or not that results from trauma or from disk 4 disease? 5 6 Yes, I have an opinion. Α 7 What is that? 0 8 Α Neither. 9 Q Okay. 10 Because the bulging disks are not due Α 11 to degenerative change or -- it's a very common finding. It is not related to 12 13 symptoms. 14 Okay, and as to the herniation at T8/9, 0 do you have an opinion as to whether that is 15 16 the result of trauma or disk disease or other degenerative change? 17 18 A On the basis of the finding of the MRI alone, you can't answer that question. 19 20 0 Okay. 21 Because a herniated disk can be present Α in the neck, the low back and thoracic areas 22 23 and be asymptomatic just as an incidental 24 finding. 25 Q It can be?

Ş.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 А It can be. 2 It can be, in some cases. 0 You aren't indicating that all herniated disks are 3 asymptomatic where all people suffering from 4 5 those are pain-free? 6 А No. I'm saying that there's a significant number of so-called herniated 7 8 disks found on MRI which are asymptomatic. 9 а Okay. 10 And that's more common in the lumbar Α 11 and cervical than in the thoracic regions, but still you can find it as an incidental 12 finding. 13 14 And in those situations, the 0 orthopedist would be informing the patient 15 16 for the first time that they have a 17 herniated disk because the patient is 18 asymptomatic; is that what you're saying? You know, "would be informing," no. 19 Α Α 20 doctor may or may not inform the patient of 21 the finding on the MRI depending on what the 22 symptoms are and whether he thinks that what 23 he finds is related to the symptoms. The 24 significance becomes important when there 25 are symptoms to correlate with the MRI. The

4

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	MRI alone does not make a diagnosis.
2	Q I see.
3	A It's a finding on an X-ray, on an
4	imaging study.
5	Q Getting back to Pamela Nagy
6	specifically, did you find any evidence of
7	stenosis in her spinal canal?
8	A Clinically?
9	Q Yea.
10	A No.
11	Q In Dr. Ortega's report, which I started
12	to ask you about a moment ago, on the second
13	page, he makes four points.
14	A Yes.
15	Q Let's go one by one. Tell me whether
16	or not you agree with the first point. The
17	first point is, "She has significant medical
18	symptoms of pain in the neck, mid-thoracic
19	and lower back ongoing since her motor
20	vehicle accident of March 12, 1998. She had
21	no history of these symptoms prior to the
22	accident."
23	Do you agree or disagree with that
24	point of Dr. Ortega's report?
25	A Well, there's more than one point in
	TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

10

3,611

3

ا موجود مردم مرد

www.w

. 1

that statement. 1 2 0 Okay. I would agree that, as far as I know, 3 Α 4 she had no history -- well, I don't agree with this last line that she had no history 5 of symptoms prior to this accident. 6 I don't believe the medical 7 symptoms in the neck and low back are 8 significant. 9 10 0 Okay. As a matter of fact, the word, 11 Α "symptoms," I'm interpreting that as meaning 12 her complaints, subjective complaints. 13 So, I really didn't feel at the time that the 14 15 complaints, the subjective complaints were significant of her pain in the neck, 16 mid-thoracic and low back. 17 Is there anything in point one of Dr. 18 0 19 Ortega's four points that you agree with? 20 No, I didn't agree with point one. Α In point two, it reads, "It appears 21 0 that she needs to cope with her symptoms as 22 there is presence of disk herniation at 23 T8/9. A repeat study of the MRI showed that 24 25 the disk herniation had increased from two

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	to three millimeters. This finding does not
2	call for surgical intervention, at least at
3	this time. I also do not know of any other
4	treatment that would give her relief of
5	symptoms."
6	Is there anything in point two
7	that you agree with?
8	A Agree with? I agree completely with
9	the finding that it does not call for
10	surgical intervention at this time, and
11	about any other treatment that would give
12	her relief of symptoms.
13	Q You agree with that part?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What else in there, if anything, do you
16	agree with?
17	A I can't completely agree about the
18	herniated disk at T8/T9.
19	Q And what would you
2 0	A Also, there's some question about the
21	change in the size of the disk herniation
2 2	between the two MRIs, between the two and
23	three millimeters as we discussed before.
24	${f Q}$ That I understand. What do you not
2 5	agree with in terms of Dr. Ortega saying,

41-

.

ي. في مالغانيني في

and the second

- 11A W

2

1.12

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

	"There is presence of disk herniation at
	T8/9"?
	A I don't think that the question of the
	relationship of her thoracic symptom, pain,
	to the presence of the findings on the MRI
	has been resolved.
	Q Okay. Do you think she does have a
	herniated disk at T8/9?
	A Clinically, I cannot tell. By MRI,
10	it's an objective finding.
11	Q Objectively, there's a finding from the
12	radiology that there's a herniation at T8/9.
13	Have I got that right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Clinically upon your examination, she
16	appears to not have a herniated disk at
17	Т8/9?
18	A I don't think there's enough symptoms
19	to make a specific diagnosis of a thoracic
2 0	herniated disk on the basis of her
21	subjective complaints
22	a Okay.
23	A and lack of objective physical
24	findings.
25	${\tt Q}$ When you looked at the MRI film of

··· 7

۰.

1

44.0

. *1*

٩,

-

ni) ma

4

33

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 December of 1998, did you see herniation at T8/9? 2 A Yes, I did. 3 4 0 So, you know there's a herniation 5 there. 6 Α Yes. 7 There's no question about that 0 medically; you actually saw it. 8 Yes, yes. 9 Α Under item three in Dr. Ortega's report 10 0 11 it says, "If the thoracic disk continues to increase in size and starts to develop 12 13 spinal cord compromise, then it is conceivable that surgical intervention would 14 15 be warranted. 16 Is there anything in item three 17 that you agree with? 18 Α Yes. I agree with item three. Okay. Item four, "Because of absence 19 0 of medical difficulties prior to the 1998 20 accident, it is more probable than not that 21 22 the acute incident of March 12, 1998 was the 23 proximate cause of her immediate medical 24 problems." 25 Is there anything in item four

÷.

÷.

1000

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

that you agree with? 1 2 No, on the basis of his statement of Α absence of medical difficulties prior to the 3 1998 accident. 4 In your review of records, she had 5 a medical difficulties prior to this accident? 6 7 А There were some references to thoracic and low back pain in her past history. 8 9 а We'll get to that in a moment. Let me have you summarize for me what problems Pam 10 11 Nagy was having prior to this motor vehicle 12 accident. 13 There was a report of complaints of low А back pain and thoracic back pain to her 14 15 attending physician on two occasions in the 16 past. 0 How close in time to the motor vehicle 17 accident? 18 19 A I think they were in 1990 and 1994. 20 Q Do you find any significance that one complaint of pain was eight years prior to 21 22 this accident? 23 A Not necessarily, no. 24 0 Would it be important to you as an 25 orthopedic surgeon to find out the

1

1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES
1 precipitating factors involving those instances of back pain? 2 3 One was, from the notes, an amusement А 4 park ride, a bumper car collision. Q 5 That was the one from 1990, eight years prior to the accident? 6 7 А Yes, yes. 0 Would that give you, as an orthopedic 8 surgeon, a history of back pain? 9 10 It is a history, a history of back pain. Α Did she have treatment for the 1990 11 0 12 incident involving a collision on an amusement park ride? 13 I can't tell from these notes, but I 14 А can't read them all. I think medication was 15 prescribed, Nalfon. Maybe not. 16 17 a From your medical history of Pamela Nagy, did she say whether or not she had 18 19 ongoing treatment for her back after 1990? I don't think she did, no. 20 А 21 0 Then, the incident in 1994, your report says February. 22 23 Yes, yes. Α But the medical chart of Dr. Hoffman 24 Q indicates December of '94. Would that be 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	more accurate, if I were to represent to you	
2	that Dr. Hoffman's chart note indicates	
3	December of '94?	
4	A Well	
5	Q Or did you actually find something in	
6	February of '94?	
7	A No, the date on the copy was cut off,	
8	and I thought it was a 12. I mean, I	
9	thought it was February. It could be	
10	December.	
11	Q Fair enough.	
12	A I won't argue with that.	
13	Q Did she receive ongoing treatment of	
14	any kind for the complaint of pain in her	
15	back in 19941	
16	A Not to my knowledge.	
17	a Okay. Would that be significant for	
18	you that she had no back treatment or	
19	medical treatment for her back between the	
2 0	onset of pain in 1994 and this car accident?	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q And how would that be significant to	
23	you?	
24	A That she didn't have complaints of pain	
25	as far as we can tell from the records.	
·		
	ΤΛΟΚΙΛ & ΛΟΘΟΟΙΑΤΕΟ	

Т

*,

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 0 Okay. Would you agree with me, if she had ongoing pain and difficulty in her back 2 after 1990 or after 1994, that her family 3 4 doctor would have been informed of it? MR. SCHENK: Objection. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. 7 BY MR. MEROS: Okay. It isn't anything that she 8 0 9 sought medical treatment for after 1994; are 10 you comfortable with that? 11 Α Yes. 12 What was her physical condition prior 0 to this motor vehicle accident? Do you 13 know? 14 Her physical condition was good. 15 А Was she overweight? 16 0 17 No, I don't think so. Α 18 Was she physically active? 0 19 Α She stated she was, yes. Was she injured in this motor vehicle 20 0 21 accident of March 12, '98? Did she have an injury resulting from 22 Α it? I thought so, yes. 23 24 What did you find her injury to be? Q Well, I thought the neck and back 25 Α

tada santa

ł

- 3

- ;

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 injury consisted of just muscle and ligament 2 sprains, cervical and lumbar sprains, 3 strains. I thought that the thoracic back 4 injury consisted of a sprain and strain The relationship between the finding 5 also. on the MRI and her complaints, absent 6 7 positive neurological findings, remains unresolved. 8

9 Q Okay. What would it mean to you as an 10 orthopedic surgeon if a patient came to you for treatment after being rear-ended by a 11 truck, and they had complaints of dizziness, 12 nausea, tingling of arms and legs, itching 13 14 and burning in the mid-back region? What 15 would that indicate to you in taking a medical history? 16

17 A Well, they're very nonspecific
18 complaints. Some of them could be from
19 nerves and excitement and being upset. They
20 weren't really specific enough to go any
21 further than that.

22 *d* If you had a patient like that, that
23 had just been involved in a motor vehicle
24 accident, would you have a tendency to
25 believe the subjective complaints of pain?

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Α Well, after the -- well, by the time 2 you see the patient, the doctor sees the patient, there's something more specific 3 4 than the things that you mentioned to begin with. 5 All right. 6 Q 7 If there's a more concrete complaint, I Α would tend to believe them. 8 If she was physically active and 9 0 10 pain-free prior to this motor vehicle accident, do you have an opinion as to what 11 would have been the cause of her complaints 12 after the accident? 13 14 Would you restate that, please? Δ 15 0 If she was pain-free and active prior 16 to the accident, physically active and not 17 having any limitation in range of motion or any difficulties for which she was being 18 treated, what, in your opinion, would be the 19 20 cause of her problems after this motor vehicle accident? 21 22 Probably the accident. Α 23 Okay. Have you, yourself, in your own Q 24 medical practice had patients with bulging disks? 25

З.

13

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	How have you treated those?
3	A	I treat it as if it doesn't exist.
4	Q	Okay. Go ahead.
5	A	Bulging is a description. It is not a
6	symp	tomatic condition.
7	Q	Have you treated patients that have had
8	MRIS	showing bulging cervical disks in which
9	the p	patient came in to you with a complaint
10	of n	eck pain?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Are bulging disks at times associated
13	with	neck pain, if they're bulging cervical
14	disk	s?
15	А	No.
16	Q	Are you saying that bulging disks do
17	not	cause pain?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. Have you treated people and
20	pres	cribed medication for your own patients
21	when	they subjectively complain of pain and
22	MRI	findings show bulging disks?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	You have?
2 5	А	Yes.
ļ		

Т

5

j.

. .

.....

100

3

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Is that unusual for a physician to be 0 2 doing that? To treat their pain? Α 3 0 Yes --4 А No. 5 6 0 .. when there's no objective finding of 7 pain. Well, I mean, there's no objective А 8 9 finding of pain. Pain is not objective. 10 Q All right. So, there never would be an objective 11 А finding of pain. Do you understand what I'm 12 13 saying? 14 I understand that. 0 15 Α The question doesn't make sense. Okay. In a situation where **you** have a 16 0 ruptured disk, and it's confirmed on MRI, 17 and the patient comes to you complaining of 18 pain, is the objective finding of a ruptured 19 20 disk consistent with a complaint of pain? 21 Α Yes. 22 0 Okay. But not bulging disks. 23 Α 24 0 I understand. To back up a bit, you've 25 had patients that you've treated that have

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	come	to you with complaints of pain in the	
2	cervi	cal region for which you have then	
3	found	on an MRI that there are bulging disks?	
4	А	Yes.	
5	a	And you have treated them and given	
6	them	medication to ease their pain; is that	
7	corre	correct?	
8	А	Yes, I've treated them for their neck	
9	complaints.		
10	Q	Okay.	
11	А	But not for the bulging disk.	
12	Q	But have you prescribed medication to	
13	patie	patients like those	
14	А	Yes.	
15	а	who complain of pain in their neck	
16			
17	Α	Yes.	
18	a	and you find on MRI bulging disks?	
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	What do you typically prescribe for	
21	that	condition?	
22	А	Well, I usually give analgesic	
23	medic	medication and muscle relaxant medication,	
24	somet	sometimes nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory	
25	medication, and depending upon their initial		
	1		

T

100

2

. 5

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

response, we may refer them to physical 1 2 therapy. What is the explanation that you give 3 0 to those patients in terms of what is 4 5 causing the pain? It's a soft tissue injury. It's an 6 А 7 injury to the ligaments and muscles of the neck. 8 Q Okay, and how do the injuries to the 9 10 soft tissue organs or organisms cause a 11 bulging disk? They don't cause a bulging disk. 12 Α What causes a bulging disk? 13 0 14 It's a normal finding, and if you take Α 15 asymptomatic individuals and submit them to a -- subject them to an MRI, you're going to 16 17 find up to 50, 60 percent of the patients will have at least one bulging disk. 18 0 Okay. 19 And a significant portion of them will 20 А 2 1 have a herniated disk, more than just the 22 bulge. 23 Q Is a herniated disk capable of causing 24 pain? 25 A A herniated disk is capable of causing

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

pain. 1 Q 2 Okay. But, see, the problem is, the 3 Α 4 terminology is so screwed up in that there are different degrees of herniation. 5 Q 6 Okav. 7 А Okay? And a protrusion, extrusion and free fragment would be three categories of 8 increasing severity. 9 10 0 All right. A free fragment is a 11 rupture; is that correct, or not? 12 А Well, I guess you would call it a rupture, yes. 13 Let me have you explain it to me, then. 14 Q. 15 What are the categories of the nucleus pulposus of the disk that gets out of its 16 17 space? Bulging, which is a very common finding 18 Α and not necessarily -- and not at all 19 20 related to symptoms, and there's -- it's a 21 symmetrical type of bulge. 22 0 All right. 23 There's a protrusion which is a more Α localized prominence of the disk that 24 usually is in one -- it's not symmetrical. 25

4

the second

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 It's toward either central, right or left. 2 0 Okay. And an extrusion or a rupture would be 3 Α 4 where the piece has gone through the outer 5 ligaments supporting the center of the nucleus of the disk and sticks further out 6 7 and can cause nerve irritation and symptoms. 8 0 All right. Then, the free fragment is where that 9 А piece has broken loose, and the fragment is 10 11 loose within the spinal canal. That's the third and most extreme 12 0 13 stage. Right. 14 А 15 Okay, and is it fair for me to Q categorize that as a ruptured disk? 16 17 Α Yes, yes. 18 0 Okay. А I guess you can. 19 In terms of potential for causing pain, 20 0 ruptured disks do cause pain? 2 1 22 А Yes. 23 Q Is that fair to say? 24 А Yes. 25 Q Herniated disks are capable of causing

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 pain; is that correct?

2 A Some categories, and I guess it's a
3 problem with terminology again. The
4 protruded -- the protrusions, significant
5 protrusions, extrusions and free fragments
6 can cause pain.

7 Okay. Do you have a degree of a 0 8 herniated disk that you differentiate between causing pain and not causing pain? 9 10 A degree? Well, you know, it depends Α on the findings on the MRI and the physical 11 findings for every herniation, whatever 12 13 category it is, except for bulging disks 14 which do not cause pain.

15 Q All right. So, I'm understanding 16 right, and you've stated this before, and I 17 just want to restate it so that I'm 18 understanding it and it's on the record 19 clearly: you have categorically stated that 20 bulging disks are not painful.

21 A Yes.

22 Q And are not capable of causing pain.23 A Yes.

24 Q Yet, you treat your own patients for
25 bulging disk symptoms by giving them pain

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

medication. Have I got that right? 1 2 No, you don't. А Okay. 3 0 4 Α The patients who come in with neck pain 5 and who have a bulging disk are treated for their neck pain and not for their bulging 6 7 disk. 8 0 Okay. That's number one. Number two, a 9 Α 10 person who comes in with neck pain without symptoms or physical findings to indicate 11 12 that it's more than a neck sprain/strain does not get an MRI. 13 14 0 Okay. Because it's not going to change the 15 Δ type of treatment, and it's a clinical 16 17 diagnosis, not an MRI diagnosis, on which treatment is based. 18 19 Regarding the patients that you see in 0 20 whom you find a bulging disk in the presence of a complaint of pain cervically, do you 21 22 always not equate the bulging disk with the 23 pain? 24 Right. If that patient has come to me Α in consultation from somebody else who's 25

. !

1.00

ż

10.00

4

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 already got an MRI, I would say I do not 2 equate the bulging disk with the symptoms. 3 Rather, the symptoms are secondary to the soft tissues of the neck, yes. 4 5 Are you aware that Dr. Larry Hoffman 0 ordered the first MRI for Pamela Nagy upon 6 7 the complaints that she made to him when she 8 first saw him? Are you aware of that? The MRI of the --9 А May, '98, the one from Regional 10 0 11 Diagnostics. 12 Yes, that was of the lumbar spine --Α 13 0 Uh-huh. 14 Α -- and the thoracic spine --15 Uh-huh. 0 16 -- and the cervical spine. Α 17 Right. That was ordered by Dr. 0 18 Hoffman. 19 Yes. А 20 Are you saying that it would have been 0 inappropriate for him to order that exam? 21 22 А Yes. 23 0 Okay. 24 Yes, on the basis -- yes, and every Α back pain and every neck pain does not 25

Ζ

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

require an MRI. That's my philosophy and my 1 2 opinion., In hindsight, the finding was 3 0 4 herniation at T8/9. Do you still say that 5 it would have been inappropriate for him to order the MRI exam? 6 7 It was inappropriate to order the MRI, Α 8 in hindsight, of the lumbosacral spine and 9 the cervical spine. I don't think there were any indications for that, just back 10 11 pain. 12 0 But it was - sorry. 13 In hindsight, the finding from the Δ 14 ordering of an MRI was serendipitous in that 15 there was something to be found. Again, the relationship of her pain to the finding is 16 17 still up for grabs. I'm not sure that her 18 physical -- her complaints are due to the 19 presence of the herniated disk on the MRI. 20 Would it have been appropriate or was 0 21 it appropriate for him to not order an MRI 22 back in 1994 when she had a complaint of 23 mid-thoracic pain? 24 Yes, yes. A 25 0 Because there was no trauma associated

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

with that? 1 2 Well, there was a history of trauma, Α but, you know, I guess, from the little 3 notes that I saw, there was no indication 4 for ordering it. 5 6 0 She experienced mid-thoracic pain in 7 1994 from lifting something. 8 Α Yes. 9 So, it was appropriate for him not to 0 order one at that time. 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Was it appropriate for him back in 1990 0 to not order one when she had a collision on 13 14 an amusement park ride which was on a ride 15 where you expect a collision? 16 Δ Yes. 17 All right, but you are now saying that 0 18 it was inappropriate for him to order an MRI 19 with her complaints of pain following a rear-end collision with a truck? 20 21 Α Yes. 22 0 **Is** that what you're saying? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q And you would not have done that? 25 No, I would not have done it, no. Α

ġ

52

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 0 Have you treated patients with ongoing 2 neck problems? 3 Α Yes. 4 0 Have you treated patients with ongoing back problems? 5 Yes. 6 Α 7 0 Have any of those patients had herniated disks? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 Have any of those patients had surgical 0 11 procedures? 12 MR. SCHENK: Objection. THE WITNESS: I think so, yes. 13 14 BY MR. MEROS: 15 Q Does herniation that impinges upon the 16 spinal cord call for surgery? 17 Not all the time, but it can. Α Does it more often than not, or is it 18 0 19 merely in the category of it's possible? 20 For? Α Surgery when the herniated disk 21 Q impinges on the spinal cord. 22 23 You know, it's a difficult question to А answer, because in each individual case, it 24 depends upon the response of the patient to 25

12,000

1

Å

1 m

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

nonoperative treatment. Some of them end up 1 2 not responding, thus requiring the surgery in the face of neurological finding, and 3 others do respond. There are a few 4 5 instances where surgery becomes an emergency, like a sudden, massive extrusion 6 7 of a disk causing pressure on the nerves such that there's bowel and bladder 8 9 problems. 10 9 Have you had patients of your own that have had herniated disks that have required 11 12 long-term care with you but did not result 13 in surgery? 14 А Yes. 15 What long-term care did you render? Q The treatment is the same as it would 16 Α 17 be for a patient with a back sprain/strain or arthritis of the back: medication, a 18 19 course of physical therapy, wearing a back 20 support, more supportive care. Change of lifestyle? 21 Q 22 Α Change of lifestyle, change of 23 activities, yes. 24 Q Have you had patients with herniated 25 disks that have had years of care with you

- 1

1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 short of surgery? 2 I can't recall. I don't think so, not Δ 3 years. **a** Okay. Have you had patients with 4 herniated disks that you treated over a 5 period of time that have then resolved and 6 7 gone away? 8 Α I've had some like that, yes. 9 Some. Is that in the minority? Q 10 Α I can't answer that. 11 Q Have you had some patients who have had long-term care with you for herniated disks 12 that have then gone on to surgical 13 14 procedures? 15 Α Yes. 16 The opinions that you expressed in this 0 17 case before today are contained in the 18 report that you authored on May 22 of 1999. 19 The questions I have for you now involve 20 whether or not you have any additional 2 1 opinions beyond what's in your report and 22 beyond what you've already stated today. 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Do you have any opinions about Q 25 whether or not the shoulder portion of her

ş

Sec. A sec.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 seat belt -- strike that. I'll ask it 2 another way. Do you have any opinions about the 3 4 shoulder portion of Pam Nagy's seat belt and its effectiveness in preventing injury? 5 Yes, I have an opinion. 6 А 7 0 And what is that opinion? It's my opinion that the shoulder 8 А portion of a seat belt is effective in 9 preventing injuries. 10 Have you rendered expert testimony as 11 Q. an expert in biomedical aspects of how a 12 seat belt prevents injury to an occupant of 13 14 a car? Have you ever done that? 15 Have I given testimony? Α 16 0 Yes. 17 А No, no. 18 Q But have you --19 А Not on that point alone, no. 20 0 Is it your opinion that the shoulder 21 harness would have prevented all injuries to Pamela Nagy in this accident? 22 23 Not all injuries, no. А 0 And do you have support for that 24 opinion? Do you have expertise for your 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

opinion that the shoulder harness would have 1 been effective in reducing her injuries? 2 The expertise is, as an orthopedic 3 Α surgeon and, you know, having over 30 years 4 5 of practice, the more an occupant of a motor 6 vehicle is thrown about inside the car, the 7 worse the injuries. 8 Q Do seat belts at times cause injuries? 9 Do you have expertise in that area? 10 Α Seat belts can cause some injuries, 11 yes. 12 0 Do you have expertise in that area? 13 Α Expertise as a --As an orthopedic surgeon. 14 Q 15 Α Just in my experience as an orthopedic 16 surgeon. Have you ever rendered testimony in any 17 0 court about the effectiveness of seat belts? 18 Not that I recall, no. 19 Α Q 20 Do you have any biomedical training? 21 Α No. 22 0 Have you ever had any engineering courses in seat belt effectiveness and their 23 proper use? 24 25 Α No.

4.52

13,

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Q You've already stated an opinion, I think, that she was injured in the 2 collision, and you explained the extent of 3 the injuries that you felt she had. 4 5 Α Yes. Q Am I correct? 6 7 Yes. Α 8 Do you have an opinion whether the 0 9 herniated disk at T8/9 causes her any pain or discomfort? 10 11 Yes, I have an opinion. А 12 0 And what is that opinion? 13 That it may or may not be the cause of А 14 her back pain. I don't think there's a way 15 to know. 16 Okay. So that I understand, you do not 0 know whether or not the herniation at T8/9 17 is causing her any back pain. 18 I do not know whether **it's** causing her 19 Α 20 back pain, yes. Do you have an opinion or not as to 21 а 22 whether Pam Nagy is faking any of her symptoms? 23 24 Faking? А 25 Q Yes.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 Α I have an opinion. 2 Q What is that? I don't know whether she's faking or 3 Α 4 exaggerating her symptoms. Q 5 Okay. So, you don't know whether she 6 is faking or exaggerating her symptoms. 7 Α Yes. Okay. Do you have an opinion whether 8 0 or not she had the herniated disk prior to 9 this motor vehicle accident? 10 11 Α I have no way of knowing whether she 12 did or not since she had no MRI prior to the date of this automobile accident. 13 14 Q Can you state an opinion just based upon a review of the records and your 15 clinical examination of Pamela Nagy as to 16 whether or not, to a medical probability, 17 she had a herniated disk at T8/9 before this 18 19 accident? 20 I can state that, based on the history Α 21 and the physical examination, she had no 22 symptoms to indicate the presence of a herniated disk. 23 24 Q Okay. A But as I said before, the MRI findings, 25

Ż

.

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	we don't have a previous MRI to determine		
2	whether there was an asymptomatic herniated		
3	disk prior to the accident, which is		
4	possible.		
5	Q Possible.		
6	A Yes.		
7	Q Is it more probable than not, or is it		
8	more likely than not that she did not have a		
9	herniated disk prior to the rear-end		
10	collision with the truck in March of '98?		
11	A Well, here we get into some semantics		
12	again. On a clinical basis, it's more		
13	likely than not that she did not have the		
14	herniated disk prior to this.		
15	a Okay.		
16	A But my quandary is that a patient may		
17	have an asymptomatic finding on an MRI		
18	diagnosed as a herniated disk by a		
19	radiologist and not be related to symptoms,		
20	and a patient may have the same symptoms as		
21	she has with a negative MRI, and that's why		
22	I'm unable to say whether what we see on the		
23	MRI is causing her symptoms.		
24	Q I see. When, if at all, would you		
25	recommend surgery for this type of disk		

T

10.00

Э

ţ

7

4

í.

1

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

problem in this type of a patient? 1 2 MR, SCHENK: Objection. THE WITNESS: If she develops 3 neurologic findings, changes, objective 4 5 neurologic changes. BY MR. MEROS: 6 7 Would you, as an orthopedic doctor, 0 8 require a certain extension of her bulge on MRI to then qualify her as a candidate for 9 10 surgery? I didn't hear what you said. 11 А I'm sorry. Would you as an orthopedic 12 0 13 surgeon require a certain increase in the 14 size of her herniated disk before you would 15 say --16 А No. Q 17 .. she should have surgery? 18 А No. 19 MR. SCHENK: Objection. BY MR. MEROS: 20 2 1 You would not? 0 22 Α Not size. 23 Q What would you look for then? 24 А Symptoms. 25 Q Symptoms, and an example of those would

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

be what? 1 2 Α A weakness in her lower extremities, loss of bowel and bladder control. 3 4 0 I see. 5 Α Things like that. 6 0 Neurologic deficits. 7 А Exactly. Q Something like a Babinski sign? 8 9 Α That might, 10 Q Do patients with this kind of a back problem typically get referred to a 11 neurologist? 12 13 A neurologist? Α 14 Yes. 0 15 А A neurosurgeon. Is it the neurologist who would make 16 0 17 the finding of neurological symptoms, or is that done by an orthopedic doctor and/or a 18 19 neurosurgeon? 20 Yes, it goes both ways. The primary Α care physician who saw the patient first may 21 22 send them to a neurologist first, and then 23 the patient goes to a neurosurgeon or directly to a neurosurgeon. 24 The 25 neurosurgeon is competent to make the

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1 determination as to whether there's 2 neurologic deficit --3 0 Okay. 4 -- and indications for surgery. Α 5 Q Do you have any other opinions in this 6 case that you have not either expressed in 7 your report or during this deposition? 8 A No, I don't think so. 9 MR. MEROS: That's all I have. Thank you very much. We'd ask for a waiver. 10 11 He may not want to waive. Maybe he does; I don't know. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I'll waive the 14 signature. 15 MR. MEROS: We have a waiver. 16 Thank you very much. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

194

1 CERTIFICATE 2 The State of Ohio,) 3 County of Cuyahoga.) I, Luanne Stone, a Notary Public within 4 and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned 5 6 and qualified, do hereby certify that the above-named witness, SHELDON KAFFEN, M.D., 7 8 was by me first duly sworn to testify to the 9 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid; that the 10 testimony then given by the above-referenced 11 12 witness was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness; afterwards 13 14 transcribed; and that the foregoing is a 15 true and correct transcription of the 16 testimony so given by the above-referenced witness. 17 I do further certify that this 18 deposition was taken at the time and place 19 20 in the foregoing caption specified and was completed without adjournment. 21 22 I do further certify that I am not a 23 relative, counsel or attorney for either party, or otherwise interested in the 24 event of this action. 25

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES

1	
2	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
3	my hand and seal of office at Cleveland,
4	Ohio this 10th day of - Jure
5	A.D., 1998.
6	
7	l ot
8	ana_e Olone
9	Luanne Stone, f.k.a.,Protz-
10	Notary Public
11	Within and for the State of Ohio
12	My commission expires 4/6/03.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

· 3

b- vw

. . . .

ł

.7

н. н<u>.</u>

: 1

ļ

.;

TACKLA & ASSOCIATES