This deposition was delivered to C.A.T.A. with the following pages missing

Pages	34	_to	39
Pages		_ to	
Pages		_ to _	

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 1 2 OF LORAIN COUNTY, OHIO 3 ----X **JASMINE MERRIWEATHER by and :** 4 through her next Friend and : 5 6 Parent RHONDA MERRIWEATHER : 7 and RHONDA MERRIWEATHER, : 8 Individually and ORLANDO MERRIWEATHER, Individually, : 9 10 Plaintiffs. : Case No. 98CV120349 11 VS. 12 ELYRIA MEMORIAL HOSPITAL : and LIENGKONG SIEW, M.D., : 13 Defendants. 14 1 ----. Х 15 16 Baltimore, Maryland 17 Saturday, September 18, 1999 18 Deposition of MICHAEL V. JOHNSTON, M.D., a witness herein, called for examination by counsel for 19 20 Plaintiffs, in the above-entitled matter, pursuant to 21 notice, the witness being duly sworn by ANN L. BLAZEJEWSKI, a Notary Public in and for the State of 22 Maryland, taken at the offices of Salomon Reporting 23 24 Service, 200 East Lexington, Baltimore, Maryland at 25 1:10 p.m., Saturday, September 18, 1999, and the

2	
1	proceedings being taken down by Stenotype by ANN L.
2	BLAZEJEWSKI and transcribed under her direction.
3	
4	APPEARANCES:
5	
_ 6	On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
7 8, ^{~~}	WILLIAM J. NOVAK, ESQ.
U.	Rubenstein Novak, Einbund & Pavlik
9	1660 West Second
10	Cleveland, Ohio 441 13
11	(216) 781-8700
12	
13	On behalf of the Defendant Elyria Memorial
14	Hospital:
15	JOHN W. JEFFERS, ESQ.
16	Weston Hurd Fallon Paisley & Howley
17	2500 Terminal Tower
18	50 Public Square
19	Cleveland, Ohio 441 13-2241
20	(21 6)687-3214
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

. .

R. Y.

kersterne

Print

		3
1	APPEARANCES (Continued):	
2		
3	On behalf of the Defendant Dr. Siew:	
4	LYNNE K. SCHOENLING, ESQ.	
5	Mazanec, Raskin & Ryder Co., L.P.A.	
6	250 Civic Center Drive, Suite 400	
7	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
8	(614) 228-5931	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17	······································	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

4	
1	CONTENTS
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
3	MICHAEL V. JOHNSTON, M.D. PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS
4	By Mr. Novak 5
5	By Mr. Jeffers 62
6	
7	
8	
9	JOHNSTON EXHIBIT NO. PAGE NO.
10	Letter, 5/18/99 6
11	2 Letter, 6/23/99 6
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	and the second
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	analizantin — φ. 3∯Aujin τε
23	
24	
25	

हर छ स्टब

li anto sugar Recenterada

June 199

States and

.

		5
1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	Whereupon,	
3	MICHAEL V. JOHNSTON, M.D.,	
4	business address at 707 N. Broadway, Baltimore,	
5	Maryland, was called as a witness by counsel for	
6	Plaintiffs, and having been duly sworn by the Notary	
7	Public, was examined and testified as follows:	
8	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	
9	BY MR. NOVAK:	
10	Q. For the record, Doctor, can we have your	
11	name, please.	
12	A. It's Dr. Michael V. Johnston.	
13	Q. Dr. Johnston, before I start, could I see	
14	materials that you received from Ms. Schoenling	
15	regarding this case. This way it will be a little	
16	quicker, save a lot of time.	
17	MS. SCHOENLING: Just to interrupt	
18	briefly, on the bottom of those records you will see	
19	University Hospital records. Those are my copies of	
20	the University Hospital records. The doctor does	
21	have UH records. He didn't bring them today, but he	
22	has them in his file and he has seen them prior to	
23	today.	
24	MR. JEFFERS: Is this the 18th?	
25	MS. SCHOENLING: Yes.	

6		
1		THE WITNESS: Time flies.
2		(Johnston Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2 were
3		marked for identification.)
4		BYMR. NOVAK:
5	Q.	Doctor, we received your CV before today,
6	so l'm	not going to waste any time going over any of
7	your ci	edentials. You do have a letter in your file
8	which	we've marked as Exhibit 1, and it's a letter
9	dated	which I believe is May 18, 1999?
10	Α.	Yeah.
11	Q.	From Lynn Schoenling. In the first
12	paragr	aph she indicates that you had worked with
13	Beverl	y Harris who is a partner of hers in the law
14	firm of	Mazanec, Raskin & Ryder. Could you tell me
15	what k	inds of cases you've worked on with Beverly
16	Harris	in the past?
lf	Α.	I don't remember.
18	Q.	Do you have a recollection as to how many
19	cases	2
20	Α.	No.
21	Q.	Would it be more than one?
22	Α.	I have no memory.
23	Q.	Do you remember who Beverly Harris is?
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Have you ever testified in the Cleveland,

بر میں د بر

Section &

新約38

第三人

10100 A

الله . الله .

	Ohio I'm going to call it the greater Cleveland
2	area?
3	A. I've given a couple depositions, yeah.
4	Q. Have you actually ever gone to court in
5	the greater Cleveland area?
6	A. No.
а	Q. On the cases that you've given depositions
8	on in the greater Cleveland area, do you have a
9	recollection as to what kinds of cases those were?
10	A. I think they were infants, cerebral palsy.
11	I think I worked with a firm, Remington.
12	Q. Reminger & Reminger?
13	A. Yeah, Reminger.
14	Q. Gary Goldwasser, does that ring a bell?
15	A. Could be, yeah.
16	Q. Do you remember the other firm that you
17	worked with there?
18	A. No. I remember the guy I worked with, his
19	wife worked for she may have worked at the
20	Cleveland Clinic or something. There was some
21	connection with somebody ${\tt I}$ knew at Hopkins with ${\tt I}$
22	guess the dean of Ohio State used to is married to
23	the guy
24	Q. Bernadine Healey is married to
25	A. Yeah, so she knew Bernadine.

8	0	
1	Q.	Floyd Lupe.
2	Α.	That's the only way I remember.
3	Q.	Have you ever testified for the plaintiff
4	in any	cases?
5	Α.	In Cleveland?
6	Q.	Anywhere.
7	Α.	Yeah. I think overall it's 10 to 15
8	percer	nt of the cases.
9	Q.	10 to 15 percent plaintiff versus about 90
10	to 85 p	percent defense?
11	Α.	Yeah, around 80 to 90 percent defense.
12	Q.	And I also noticed you're buddies with
13	Dr. Bo	nn; is that right?
14	Α.	Well, we were on the faculty at Michigan
15	for eig	ht years.
16	Q.	You've written some articles with him?
17	Α.	Yeah.
18	Q.	As you sit here today, do you have any
19	patien	ts in the hospital right now?
20	Α.	Yeah, we have about I forgot to check
21	the ce	nsus, but I think we have about 45.
22	Q.	Did you make-rounds this morning?
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	Did you make rounds yesterday?
25	Α.	No.

 $v \rightarrow$

2012

UN ST

關於自然

No. of Street, Street,

Rec. State

, s.....

Did you make rounds Thursday? 1 Q. 2 Α. Thursday? I think I made rounds 3 Wednesday. 4 Now, when you make rounds, would it be Q. 5 fair to state that your conduct during rounds is as a teaching neurologist; is that right? 6 7 A. Well, I'm the chief medical officer, so I'm in charge of everything and hopefully there are 8 9 no crises. 10 But I guess what I'm saying is that your Q. 11 practice doesn't require you to be there on a daily 12 basis, but you're there more in the position of a teacher for residents under you; is that right? 13 14 Α. Well, it's sort of **24** hour responsibility, 15 so sometimes I'm actually there, sometimes I sleep there, but usually that's confined to several months 16 17 a year. Out of the course of a year, how much of 18 Q. 19 your time is spent actively treating patients, 20 percentage wise? 21 Percentagewise, about 60 percent. Α. 22 And the other percentage, 40 percent, Q. 23 would be what? 24 5 percent classroom teaching, 15 percent Α. 25 pushing papers, and 20 percent research.

10	
1	Q. And you've come up with 100 percent. Out
2	of the 100 percent, is there any percentage
3	attributable to doing any medical/legal consultation?
4	A. No. That's sort of off time, nights.
5	Q. How much of your income on an average from
6	a percentage basis is based on medical/legal
7	consultation?
8	A. Probably 15 percent.
9	Q. And the Kennedy Krieger Institute, could
10	you tell me what that is?
11	A. It's a children's hospital. We also have
12	a school and a research institute focused on brain
13	disorders in children.
14	Q. And by the way, the cases that you had in
15	the Cleveland area, were you ever deposed by a lawyer
16	named Chuck Kampinski, a plaintiff's lawyer?
17	A. I don't remember, but my memory is
18	terrible for lawyers' names.
19	MR. JEFFERS: Nobody remembers plaintiffs
20	lawyers.
21	BYMR. NOVAK:
22	Q. In looking at the May 18, 1999 letter, in
23	addition to your prior relationship with Beverly
24	Harris, there's also a paragraph outlining what
25	materials Lynn Schoenling sent you, and could you

Record Street

		11
1	tell me what materials were sent.	
2	MS. SCHOENLING: Note my objection. I'm	
3	not sure that there was a prior relationship with	
4	Beverly Harris, but go ahead.	
5	THE WITNESS: Sent me the Elyria Memorial	
6	Hospital records on the infant, the records from	
7	Elyria on the mother, fetal monitoring strips,	
8 -	deposition transcript of Dr. Siew, S-i-e-w, Dr.	ta 119 million a garan ann an 1986 an 1
9	Howard Tucker, and Dr. John Engel.	
10	BYMR. NOVAK:	
11	Q. At the time that letter was sent, would it	
12	be fair to state that you did not have available to	
13	you the University Hospital records?	
14	A. Yeah.	
15	Q. Is that a fair statement?	
16	A. Right.	
17	Q. And at the time you wrote your report,	
18	which is dated June 23, 1999, in the first paragraph	
19	of your report there is no mention of the University	
20	Hospital records. Would it be fair to state, then,	
21	that you did not have those available at that time?	
22	A. I'm not sure. I don't I mean, the key	
23	part of the University records are, you know, the MR	
24	scans, and so I didn't include that in the letter.	
25	Q. So you're telling me, then, that the	

12		
1	clinical picture that this newborn presented at	
2	University Hospital commencing on November 12th was	
3	not important to you?	- malleri
4	A. No well, I think the letter speaks for	
5	itself. The neonatal picture is incompatible with	
6	asphyxia.	
7	Q. But my question for you is, do you feel as	
8	You sit here today that the clinical picture of	Alleline installing and the second
9	November 12th and thereafter while this newborn was	
10	at University Hospital was totally irrelevant as far	
11	as you were concerned in terms of your evaluation of	
12	the cause in this case?	
13	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection. He's answered	
14	that. Go ahead.	
15	THE WITNESS: No. I think well, I'm	
16	not sure how to answer that. You say totally	
1 [*] 7	irrelevant. Obviously I mean, I think the later	
18	records, the January '93 and the August '93 records	
19	are of more importance than the 11-92 records because	
20	they give a better idea of what was actually going	-
21	on.	
22	BY MR. NOVAK:	nugantati os. uudenee
23	Q. Let me ask you a couple of well, just	
24	so I understand, though, when you wrote this report,	
25	the first paragraph, there's no mention of the MRI,	

с у Э

1.1

no mention of the CT scan, fair statement? 2 Α. Right. 3 Q. Would it be fair to state, then, that you 4 didn't even have those when you wrote this report? 5 Α. You mean the reports? 6 Q. Yes. 7 I'm not sure. I mean, I had -- Dr. A. Tucker, I think, recited a lot of this in his report, 8 9 but I don't think at that point I actually had seen 10 the MR reports from January; from November, January. I knew the MR and CT from 11-92 were normal, but I 11 12 didn't know that the one in August of '93 was very 13 abnormal because I would have probably put that in 14 because that's, you know, absolutely critical to 15 showing that this obviously had nothing to do with 16 asphyxia, so I think I probably would have put that 17 in if I had it. 18 Q. You don't have any opinion that there's a 19 congenital microcephaly in this case, do you? 20 Α. You mean the -- well, I saw the plot that 21 Dr. Reiley did, and I think that -- I mean, the head 22 was on the small side. I'm not sure if I would call 23 it microcephaly, but to me the most telling part of 24 that was that the head growth grew normally for a 25 while and then fell off after about six months.

14	
1	Q. Did you have a chance to read Dr. Reiley's
2	deposition?
3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Did you see where he said that his
5	plotting was out of whack?
6	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
7	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.
8	MR. NOVAK: Are you going to start again?
9	MR. JEFFERS: Absolutely, Bill, when you
10	keep mischaracterizing testimony, which is your norm,
11	of course.
12	MR. NOVAK: Do you want a piece of me, old
13	man?
14	MR. JEFFERS: Right now.
15	MR. NOVAK: Do you want to go out there?
16	l'vejust about had it.
17	MR. JEFFERS: I'm here. Stand-up, and
18	we'll go. Okay.
19	MR. NOVAK: Let's go.
20	THE WITNESS: Come on, guys, I haven't got
21	all day. I'm a pediatric neurologist.
22	MR. NOVAK: You're going to have to
23	examine his head injury.
24	MR. JEFFERS: I've had a lot of head
25	injuries.

ki - sk kirie w

6.1

i.J

15 THE WITNESS: We can deal with facts. 1 2 BY MR. NOVAK: 3 Would it be fair to state that you do not Q. 4 share the opinion of Dr. Reiley in this case, that this is a case of congenital microcephaly? 5 6 A. Well, I thought his statements were pretty 7 reasonable. It's just a question of -- I think he 8 was guite right in pointing out that the head was on 9 the small side at birth. 10 Q. But my question for you is, was this a 11 case of congenital microcephaly? I guess I would not guite have used that 12 Α. word, but I thought the growth was -- the growth 13 14 pattern was certainly consistent with what the MRI showed, that the brain was really moving along pretty 45 well until about six months, and then fell off, 16 indicating that it's probably genetic metabolic 17 18 disorder of brain growth. Dr. Reiley based his notion of congenital 19 Q. 20 microcephaly based on the head circumference being in 21 the third percentile or lower at the time of birth. 22 You didn't see that, did you? 23 Α. Well, I thought it was around the third percentile. I thought he had a good point. I think 24 25 it's maybejust a terminology issue.

Sand and the

16	
	Q. Well, did you have a chance to look at the
2	Elyria Memorial Hospital records and note that as a
3	matter of fact the head circumference was noted to be
4	appropriate for gestational age?
5	A. You mean that they put that down?
6	Q. Yes, they did.
7	MR. JEFFERS: Someone wrote it.
8	MR. NOVAK: One of your employees, John.
9	MR. JEFFERS: It doesn't matter. You
10	already said they were nincompoops two depositions
11	ago.
12	MR. NOVAK: Which is an accurate
13	statement.
14	THE WITNESS: But the measurements he put
15	down were around the third percentile. I thought
16	that was
17	BY MR. NOVAK:
18	Q. The ones he put down were around the third
19	percentile, but would it be fair to state that based
20	on your review of the Elyria Memorial Hospital
21	records there is no indication of congenital
22	microcephaly, is there?
23	A. You mean the measurements he put down were
24	wrong? I mean, there is sort of two different
25	things. I guess one is appropriate for gestational

....

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i$

Second Second

No. of the second s

1000 C

X. S.

, . .

с.....á

age. 2 Do you know what the measurement was at Q. 3 Elyria Memorial Hospital? No. 4 Α. 5 MR. JEFFERS: 1 think there were multiple 6 measurements. 7 MR. NOVAK: At Elyria Memorial Hospital. MR. JEFFERS: Go ahead. 8 9 MR. NOVAK: The measurement is 32.5 10 centimeters, and according to that chart, that places 11 it in the 25th percentile. That would be an 12 appropriate for gestational age head circumference, 13 wouldn't it? THE WITNESS: Yeah, if that's what it was. 14 15 BY MR. NOVAK: 16 Do you have a recollection as to what the Q. charts at University Hospital had this head 17 18 circumference at? 19 Α. No, I didn't go into that. 20 Q. Now, if I told you that the head circumference measured at 4:15 p.m. on November 12th, 21 22 was 33.2 centimeters, you would agree with me that that measurement is not consistent with congenital 23 24 microcephaly, is it? 25 MR. JEFFERS: I want to object.

18	
	MS. SCHOENLING: I'll object, too.
2	MR. JEFFERS: You're not telling him that
3	there were two others at 32.
4	MR. NOVAK: John, you can ask him what you
5	want. I'm asking him my questions.
6	MS. SCHOENLING: I'm objecting to the
7	question;
8	MR. JEFFERS: It's going to give an
9	improper inference.
10	MR. NOVAK: Whatever.
11	THE WITNESS: I think my position is that
12	the head size was \mathbf{on} the small side, and that \mathbf{its}
13	been characterized as microcephaly, which is probably
14	not a word that I would use, but I think it's a
15	semantic issue in terms of whether you call the head
16	size my point is that it looked like the head was
17	accelerating pretty normally for the first four to
18	six months, and then kind of plateaued after that,
19	which would be inconsistent with perinatal asphyxia
20	as the cause.
21	BY MR. NOVAK:
22	Q. But would you agree with me that I want
23	you to assume 33.2 centimeters is recorded on a chart
24	at University Hospital at the time that this infant
25	is there during the first admission. Would you agree

...

ы.

Received

No. AN

с a

		19
I	with me that that is not consistent with congenital	
2	microcephaly?	
3	MR. JEFFERS: Same objection.	
4	MS. SCHOENLING: Same objection here as	
5	well.	
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, but I didn't say it	
7	wasconsistent in the first place, so	
8	BY MR. NOVAK:	
9	Q. And there is also a chart for the infant	
10	in January of 1993 at University Hospital, and that	
11	chart has the recording at the 50th percentile.	
12	Would that be consistent with congenital	
13	microcephaly?	
14	A. No, not that measurement.	
15	Q. Then there is a recording at	
16	MR. JEFFERS: Objection to the	
17	consistency. I'm not sure that's what that says.	
18	BYMR. NOVAK:	
19	Q. At nine months there is a 44 centimeter	
20	recording which places it just below the 50th	
21	percentile. Would that be consistent with congenital	
22	microcephaly'?	
23	A. No.	
24	Q. Okay. Now, you have some basic knowledge,	
25	do you not, even though you're not an ob/gyn, of	

20		
1	fetal he	eart monitoring, don't you?
2	Α.	A little bit, yeah.
3	Q.	Would you agree with me that an elevated
4	fetal he	eart baseline is consistent with tachycardia
5	of the f	fetus?
6	Α.	Oh, okay, sure.
7	Q.	IS that a fair statement?
8	Α.	Sure.
9		MS. SCHOENLING: You're asking him
10	genera	ally?
11		BY MR. NOVAK:
12	Q.	Would you agree with the concept that cord
13	compre	ession can manifest itself as elevated fetal
14	heart r	ate?
15		MS. SCHOENLING: Generally?
16		MR. NOVAK: Generally.
17		MS. SCHOENLING: Let me just interject an
18	objecti	on as to this line of questioning, only on the
19	basis t	hat Dr. Johnston was not retained as an oblgyn
20	expert,	he does not hold himself out to be an ob/gyn
21	expert,	, and for that we will maintain an objection to
22	any OE	B type questions.
23		MR. NOVAK: Right. Right. And the reason
24	l'm ask	king these questions is much of Dr. Johnston's
25	writing	does contain references to Apgar scores, pH

£Ξ

18-1-1-1-1-1 18-1-1-1-1-1

Harroweges

2010-11-12 2010-1-12

norrado e

Barren - S

Survive C.

e i s

1 levels, and also fetal heart monitoring, which is within the realm of ob/gyn, so I'm assuming you have 2 3 some general knowledge of fetal heart monitoring. MS. SCHOENLING: He has already told you 4 5 that. BY MR. NOVAK: 6 7 0. Based on fetal heart monitoring, my question to you is, can tachycardia as manifested in 8 9 a fetal heart strip be consistent with cord 10 compression? 11 Α. Yeah, sure. And if you have a true knot in a nuchal 12 Q. 13 cord and when the contractions cause the knot to tighten and loosen, can that cause the fetal heart 14 15 rate to elevate? 16 Well, there are a huge number of things. A 17 Most of the changes in fetal heart rate are false 18 positives, so there must be -- so that must be one of 19 probably 50 different things. 20 But I guess my question to you is, would Q. 21 you agree with me that a nuchal cord that has a knot 22 in it that compresses on and off can cause the fetal 23 heart rate to elevate? 24 Α. It might, sure. Do you have an impression as you sit here 25 Q.

22	
	today, having reviewed Dr. Siew's deposition and
2	defending his position in this case, as to his skill
3	level, just based on the review of his deposition?
4	MR. JEFFERS: Skill levels, you mean in
5	speech or practice?
6	MR. NOVAK: No, skill as a practitioner.
7	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
8	MS. SCHOENLING: I'm going to object as
9	well, not only on the basis that this witness is not
10	an OB, but you're asking him to base it only on a
1∎	review of a deposition transcript?
12	MR. NOVAK: Right.
13	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.
14	BY MR. NOVAK:
15	Q. Do you have any opinion of Dr. Siew as a
16	practitioner, just based on the deposition?
17	A. No, I couldn't get any idea about that.
18	Q. By the way, having practiced in a hospital
19	setting, you're aware that hospitals have various
20	guidelines for nurseries; isn't that right?
21	A. Yeah.
22	Q. Have you seen the guidelines for the
23	Elyria Memorial Hospital with respect to the nursery?
24	A. No, I didn't do anything related to
25	standard of care.

構成でが

驚然だり的

開けた法

際にいう経

教室での対

Survey and

的公路

\$ 3

أسميه

-

1	Q. But with respect to the standard of care,
2	did Miss Schoenling show you any guidelines that
3	Elyria Memorial Hospital has for its nurses?
4	A. I would just be talking about causation,
5	not standard of care.
6	Q. In reviewing the Elyria Memorial chart,
7	were you aware of the standing orders that were in
8	place for the nurses who were taking care of this
9	newborn on the nursery floor?
10	A. No. And it's really irrelevant to my
11	opinion, which is really about what happened to the
12	baby. It has nothing to do with the environment of
13	standard of care.
14	Q. Would you agree with me that if a nursing
15	care plan requires a nurse to record and report
16	significant findings as respects breathing patterns
17	and' a nurse doesn't do that, that that would be a
18	violation of the standard of care?
19	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
20	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.
21	MR. JEFFERS: This is outside the realm he
22	said the scope of what his testimony was, which is
23	causation. It has nothing whatsoever to do with it,
24	and he has not been presented as an expert in that
25	realm and should not be offering testimony in that.

24	
1	I object to your asking about it, and I would object
2	to any answer. Let me have a continuing objection,
3	and then I will attempt not to interrupt you on this
4	same subject.
5	MR. NOVAK: Uh-huh.
6	MR. JEFFERS: Say yes.
7	MR. NOVAK: I'm going to be a perfect
8	gentleman.
9	THE WITNESS: That's good.
10	BY MR. NOVAK:
11	Q. Once again, I'll ask you, if the standing
12	orders require the nursing staff to report
13	significant findings with respect to breathing
14	pattern and it is not done, would that be a violation
15	of the standard of care as far as you're concerned?
16	A. I don't have any opinion on that.
17	Q. If a nurse doesn't follow your standing
18	orders at Johns Hopkins University Medical Center,
19	you wouldn't be a happy camper, would you?
20	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: It would depend on the
22	Circumstances.
23	BYMR. NOVAK:
24	Q. So if the circumstances would be that a
25	newborn that's under your care stops breathing and

		25
1	there's a standing order to report any findings	
2	relating to breathing patterns and the nurse doesn't	
3	tell you that the newborn stops breathing, you	
4	wouldn't be real happy about it, would you?	
5	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.	
6	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.	
7	THE WITNESS: First I would gather data to	
8	find out what actually happened.	Second in Million Parameter Second Second Second
9	BYMR. NOVAK:	
10	Q. Now, do you know how long the infant	
11	stopped breathing in this case?	
12	A. I couldn't really be precise about it, no.	
13	Q. Doctor, the fact of the matter is, that as	
14	we sit here today, we really don't know how long this	
15	infant stopped breathing, do we?	
16	A. Yeah, I think that's what I said.	
17	Q. Let me ask you, there was testimony from	 A second state of the second stat
18	Dr. Reiley, and he said that if this infant had an	
19	apneac episode for three hours, it could result in	
20	cerebral palsy. ${f k}$ that a fair statement?	
21	MR. JEFFERS: Objection. That's out of	
22	context.	N . 1
23	MS. SCHOENLING: I'm going to object as	
24	well.	
25	MR. JEFFERS: It is not dealing with HIE	

ιĒ

 versus metabolic injuries. MS. SCHOENLING: Same objection. MR. JEFFERS: I object to you questioning, him anyway, since you've already defined what his realm is in this. MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to that MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is dead. 	26	
 MR. JEFFERS: I object to you questioning, him anyway, since you've already defined what his realm is in this. MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to that. *- MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 	1	versus metabolic injuries.
 him anyway, since you've already defined what his realm is in this. MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to that. ¹- MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 	2	MS. SCHOENLING: Same objection.
 5 realm is in this. 6 MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to 7 that 8 MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find 9 something. 10 BY MR. NOVAK: 11 Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where 12 this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would 13 you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that 14 could result in cerebral palsy? 15 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	3	MR. JEFFERS: I object to you questioning,
 MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to that. ¹- MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 	4	him anyway, since you've already defined what his
 that MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 	5	realm is in this.
 MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find something. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 	6	MR. NOVAK: All right, can we go back to
 9 something. 10 BY MR. NOVAK: 11 Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where 12 this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would 13 you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that 14 could result in cerebral palsy? 15 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	7	that. 🐂
 BY MR. NOVAK: Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is dead. 	8	MR. JEFFERS: Sure. I'm sure you'll find
 Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that could result in cerebral palsy? A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is dead. 	9	something.
 12 this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would 13 you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that 14 could result in cerebral palsy? 15 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	10	BY MR. NOVAK:
 13 you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that 14 could result in cerebral palsy? 15 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	11	Q. If you have a situation, Doctor, where
 14 could result in cerebral palsy? 15 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	12	this infant stopped breathing for three hours, would
 A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are really prevented from having cerebral palsy because they don't survive. Ithink that would be sort of a nonsurvivable question. MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is dead. 	13	you agree with me and agree with Dr. Reiley that that
 16 really prevented from having cerebral palsy because 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	14	could result in cerebral palsy?
 17 they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	15	A. Well, usually a lot of yeah, they are
 18 nonsurvivable question. 19 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	16	really prevented from having cerebral palsy because
 MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three hours? MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is dead. 	17	they don't survive. I think that would be sort of a
 20 hours? 21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead. 	18	nonsurvivable question.
21 MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is 22 dead.	19	MS. SCHOENLING: Was the question three
22 dead.	20	hours?
	21	MR. JEFFERS: Yeah. Obviously the baby is
23 MS. SCHOENLING: He would be dead by that	22	dead.
	23	MS. SCHOENLING: He would be dead by that
24 time.	24	time.
25 BY MR. NOVAK:	25	BY MR. NOVAK:

=,

1	Q. Let me ask you how many hours of an	
2	apneac episode could you have that could result in a	
3	living infant that had cerebral palsy?	
4	MR. JEFFERS: Would you read that back to	
5	me, please.	
6	THE REPORTER: "Question: Let me ask you	
7	how many hours of an apneac episode could you have	
8	that could result in a living infant that had	and a construction of the second s
9	cerebral palsy?"	
10	THE WITNESS: The Perinatal Collaborative	
11	Study published data on that and found that an Apgar	
12	score of 3 or less that was prolonged for 15 minutes,	· .
13	was where you began to get the inflection in the	
14	curve, and that I think about 15 percent of babies	
15	who had Apgars of 3 for 20 minutes ended up with	
16	cerebral palsy, so it was a risk, although the	
17	majority still survive without impairment.	
18	BYMR. NOVAK:	
19	Q. If this episode of apnea at 1:30 lasted	
20	for more than 20 minutes, could it result in cerebral	
21	palsy?	
22	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: The intermediary would have	
24	to be significant metabolic acidosis. In other	
25	words, you would have to have some evidence that	

28	
1	there was a large base excess, usually in the minus
2	20s, very low bicarbonates, that sort of thing. So I
3	think really that's the critical issue is how much
4	metabolic acidosis was there.
5	BY MR. NOVAK:
6	Q. But we're talking about the newborn being
7	on the nursery floor at 1:30 in the morning, 12 hours
8	post-delivery, and the infant has this apneac episode
9	which we don't know how long it lasted because the
10	nurse's notes don't reflect how long it lasted.
11	Would it be fair to state that if the episode lasted
12	20 minutes, it could result in cerebral palsy?
13	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
14	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: Well, you really have to
16	have other signs of systemic illness. That's why the
17	Apgar is so relevant. You're really talking about
18	not just apnea, you're talking about poor perfusion,
19	you're talking about low blood pressure and slow
20	heart rate.
21	BYMR. NOVAK:
22	Q. Doctor, I'm not on the Apgar score
23	delivery, I'm talking 12 hours post-delivery. The
24	question I have is, in the period of time 12 hours
25	post-delivery, we have an infant that has an apneac

第二十二 第

観察についき

Section 2

2 8 4

°i ⊊e

....

1	episode at 1:30 in the morning, we don't know how
2	long it lasts, and I want you to assume that it lasts
3	20 minutes. Can that result in cerebral palsy?
4	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
5	MS. SCHOENLING: He has already answered
6	the question, now, Bill, twice.
7	MR. NOVAK: No, I'm assuming that up to
8	that time everything is fine. Let's assume that
9	there were no prior problems at the time of labor and
10	delivery with this child, but we have an apneac
11	episode that occurs at 1:30 in the morning and lasts
12	for 20 minutes.
13	MS. SCHOENLING: Are you also assuming 6
14	and 8 Apgars in your scenario?
15	MR. NOVAK: Yeah, yeah, everything else.
16	Let's assume the following. You have a normal labor
17	and delivery, infant goes to the nursery, and a4 1:30
18	in the morning, approximately 12 hours post-delivery,
19	the infant has an apneac episode that lasts 20
20	minutes.
21	MR. JEFFERS: In this baby or in general?
22	MR. NOVAK: In general. Can that result
23	in cerebral palsy?
24	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
25	THE WITNESS: Ithink you're saying just

30	
1	apnea, though, not other
2	MR. NOVAK: Right, just apnea, just stops
3	breathing for 20 minutes, can it result in cerebral
4	palsy?
5	THE WITNESS: I think that's where the
6	Apgar or data from the Perinatal Collaborative
7	Study is important because whether it was at birth or
8	whether it was at 12 hours, you would have to get
9	into a cardiac arrest situation.
10	BYMR. NOVAK:
11	Q. And we don't know if there was a cardiac
12	arrest because the nurses never called anybody, did
13	they?
14	MR. JEFFERS: Oh, objection. The record's
15	clear there is no cardiac arrest in this.
16	MR. NOVAK: Doctor, is there any
17	indication in this chart as to the time, the length
18	${ m of}$ time that this apneac episode lasted?
19	MR. JEFFERS: Other than inferential?
20	BYMR. NOVAK:
21	Q. Is there anything in the chart? Nothing,
22	is there?
23	A. No, I couldn't see exact but it would
24	have to be severe enough to get to the point of
25	needing resuscitation, full resuscitation. It has to

		31
1	be in that 3 or less Apgars.	
2	Q. But I'm assuming, for instance, that we	
3	have a normal child, Apgar scores are normal, no	
4	fetal distress, we have a child who suddenly has an	
5	apneac episode that lasts for 20 minutes. Can that	
6	result in cerebral palsy?	
7	MR. JEFFERS: Objection. He has just	
8	responded to that.	and an appropriate (
9	THE WITNESS: Not absent the kind of	
10	physiologic depression that would be represented by	
11	an Apgar of 3.	
12	BY MR. NOVAK:	
13	Q. Have you ever seen a child who is being	
14	fed begin to choke and stop breathing?	
15	MR. JEFFERS: Begin to what? Choke?	
16	MS. SCHOENLING: Being fed and begins to	
17	choke?	
18	MR. NOVAK: And stop breathing. Have you	
19	ever seen that?	
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah.	
21	BYMR. NOVAK:	
22	Q. Is it possible that an infant can begin to	
23	choke while being fed the first time and suffer an	
24	apneac episode?	
25	A. Sure.	

		<u> </u>
32		
1	Q. Depending on the skill of the nurse	
2	available, can that apneac episode take some time	
3	before the infant recovers?	પ્લય ગામ સાહે જેવા પ્લાસ્ટ કરે કે
4	A. Yeah.	
5	Q. And I guess my question for you, just this	
6	question, can you have an apneac episode that lasts	
7	20 minutes that can result in cerebral palsy?	
8	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection. He's answered	and a second
9	it four or five times now.	
10	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.	
11	THE WITNESS: Not absent the kind of	-
12	physiologic depression that would be represented by	
13	an Apgar of 3.	
14	BYMR. NOVAK:	
15	Q. But if the infant is being fed, begins to	
16	choke, and stops breathing, apart from the Apgar	
17	scores, we're talking about someone who is normal on	
18	the nursery floor , being fed, starts choking on the	
19	formula, and stops breathing, and it lasts for 20	
20	minutes. Can that result in cerebral palsy?	7
21	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.	
22	THE WITNESS: No, because, see, there's	
23	kind of a blur where you stop using the Apgar and you	
24	start using the advanced cardiac life support, but	
25	basically the same guidelines in terms of heart rate,	

観日の多

いまたの変

Sector Sector

Biologia Biologia

North States

Reserved A

Contra Contra

breathing, tissue perfusion, and blood pressure, and 1 2 what we're saying is that you can be apnead for long periods of time, but as long as it doesn't get to the 3 4 point where your heart rate is very low, you're not 5 perfusing your tissues, the kind of thing that would 6 trigger a resuscitation, then there is really no risk 7 that your brain is going to be injured. 8 BY MR. NOVAK: Q. 9 Did you find it somewhat interesting that 10 both times when they attempted to feed this newborn, 11 on both occasions the apneac episode followed an 12 attempt to feed it? Did you find that interesting at all? 13 14 A. Yeah, I was interested in that. Q. And did you notice that the newborn was 15 gagging during both of those episodes? 16 17 Α. Yeah. 18 Q. Are you aware that nurse Polly Kapronica 19 was a relatively new registered nurse who was on an 20 orientation program on the floor at that time? Were you aware of that? 21 2.2 No. Α. 23 Were you aware that the nurse who fed this Q. 24 newborn the first time was an LPN, and the RN wasn't even around? Did you know that? 25

40	
1	MR. JEFFERS: Not his total opinion.
2	MR. NOVAK: Junderstand. But one of them
3	was written by John Freeman and Karen Nelson, who
4	work under you at Johns Hopkins, don't they?
5	THE WITNESS: No, Freeman was Freeman's
6	still there. He was one of my teachers.
7	BYMR. NOVAK:
8	Q. How about Karen Nelson?
9	A. She's a colleague down at NIH. They're
10	both
11	Q. You know both of them?
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. Let me ask you if you agree or disagree
14	with this statement.
15	MS. SCHOENLING: Referring to the interim
16	part of asphyxia and cerebral palsy article of
17	Freeman and Nelson?
18	MR. NOVAK: Yes.
19	MS. SCHOENLING: What page?
20	MR. NOVAK: Page 244 at the bottom.
21	Although nonpreventable factors can cause neonatal
22	seizures, such seizures during the first 48 hours of
23	life are now suggested as possible indicators in
24	groups of patients of a quality of intrapartum
25	obstetrical care and evidence of intrapartum

(Commencer and		
		41
1	asphyxia.	
2	MR. JEFFERS: This is the 1988 article,	
3	right?	
4	MR. NOVAK: '87.	
5	MR. JEFFERS: '88.	
6	BY MR. NOVAK:	
7	Q. Do you agree with that statement?	
8	A. Yeah, I agree with that, sure.	
9	Q. And would you agree with this statement on	
10	the same page, it says infants who have suffered	
11	sufficient intrapartum asphyxia to result in	
12	irreversible brain damage and subsequent cerebral	
13	palsy often have seizures during the first 48 hours	
14	of life?	
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
16	MS. SCHOENLING: This is the paragraph on	
17	245?	
18	MR. NOVAK: The second full paragraph.	
19	Would you agree with that statement?	
20	THE WITNESS: Right.	
21	BY MR. NOVAK:	
22	Q. Okay.	
23	A. Of course the next sentence says, however,	
24	it does not follow that all infants who have such	
25	seizures and later neurologic deficit had these	
11		
۰Ē

	42	
	1	seizures because of asphyxia.
	2	MR. NOVAK: Right, right.
	3	BYMR. NOVAK:
	4	Q. And then on page
	5	MR. JEFFERS: This is the article about
	6	asphyxia?
	7	MR. NOVAK: Right.
erente - 2. alterneten anter her her her her her her her her her h	8	BYMR. NOVAK:
	9	Q. Page 247, second column, second I'm
	10	sorry, first column, second full paragraph, second
	11	sentence from the end, it says however, if a child
	12	has cerebral palsy and had evidence of perinatal
	13	encephalopathy, then the encephalopathy may have been
	14	due to intrapartum asphyxia. Do you agree with that
	15	statement?
	16	MS. SCHOENLING: Where are you, Bill?
t saiyindan i	17	MR. NOVAK: First column, second full
	18	paragraph, second sentence from the bottom.
	19	MS. SCHOENLING: Starting with the word,
	20	"however?"
	21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay. However, if a
	22	child has cerebral palsy and had evidence of
	23	perinatal encephalopathy, then the encephalopathy may
	24	have been due to intrapartum asphyxia.
	25	BYMR. NOVAK:

....

☴.

		43
1	Q. Do you agree with that statement?	
2	A. Yeah, if that infant had sufficient	
3	asphyxia to result in permanent deficit, then signs	
4	and symptoms are likely to have been present in the	
5	delivery room as well.	
6	Q. Okay.	
7	A. Which they weren't here.	
8	Q. Let's kind of hold on to that thought for	ಕ್ಷೇಮ್. ಸ.ಕ
9	a minute. Then in the very top of the second column	
10	on that same page it says, acidosis (cord or scalp pH	
11	of less than 7.1), is probably the best evidence of	
12	intrauterine asphyxia and of its duration/severity,	
13	but unfortunately in this case we don't have the cord	
14	or scalp pH; am I right?	
15	A. Right.	
16	MR. JEFFERS: Wait, wait. There's two	
17	questions there.	
18	BYMR. NOVAK:	
19	Q. You're not going to render an opinion in	
20	this case as to what you think the cord or scalp pH	
21	would have been had it been analyzed?	
22	A. I think your earlier question was exactly	
23	what it was. I mean, I think an hour later there	
24	really was no metabolic acidosis. I think the bicarb	
25	was 26, the base excess was minus 4.	
11		

 44 1 Q. But the pH was 7.21? 2 A. Right, which is normal for the average. 3 It would certainly be normal for a cord pH, but you 4 couldn't have had a pH in the asphyxia range in the 5 cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26. 6 Q. Except an hour later this infant was on 7 oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the 9 bicarb. 10 Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that r appens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, Ithink the publication that supersedes this is 			
 A. Right, which is normal for the average. It would certainly be normal for a cord pH, but you couldn't have had a pH in the asphyxia range in the cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26. Q. Except an hour later this infant was on oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the bicarb. Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that I appens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 	44		
 It would certainly be normal for a cord pH, but you couldn't have had a pH in the asphyxia range in the cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26. Q. Except an hour later this infant was on oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the bicarb. Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 	1	Q. But the pH was 7.21?	
 4 couldn't have had a pH in the asphyxia range in the 5 cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26. 6 Q. Except an hour later this infant was on 7 oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the 9 bicarb. 10 Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	2	A. Right, which is normal for the average.	
 5 cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26. 6 Q. Except an hour later this infant was on 7 oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the 9 bicarb. 10 Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that h appens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, Ithink the publication that supersedes this is 	3	It would certainly be normal for a cord pH, but you	9 ⁴ v
 Q. Except an hour later this infant was on oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the bicarb. Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 	4	couldn't have had a pH in the asphyxia range in the	
 7 oxygen, was it not? A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the 9 bicarb. 0 Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	5	cord when an hour later you have a bicarb of 26.	
 A. Yeah, but öxygen doesn't affect the 9 bicarb. Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	6	Q. Except an hour later this infant was on	
 9 bicarb. Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley 11 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? 12 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that h appens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	7	oxygen, was it not?	
 Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that h appens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 		A. Yeah, but oxygen doesn't affect the	unggika
 testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic? A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	9	bicarb.	
 A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	10	Q. Now, are you aware that Dr. Reiley	
 13 thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	11	testified that at 1359 that blood study was acidotic?	
 14 excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the 15 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	12	A. Oh, yeah, it was acidotic, but the key	
 old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that bicarb unless somebody infuses it. Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	13	thing for the hypoxia is not the pH, it's the base	
 16 the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	14	excess and the bicarb because it's sort of like the	
 17 into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	15	old baking soda and vinegar experiment that you add	
 18 baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	16	the vinegar, and then the baking soda gets turned	
 19 bicarb unless somebody infuses it. 20 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any 21 of these articles. They always talk about the cord 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	17	into CO 2, and once that happens to a point where the	s 38 244
 Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	18	baby is asphyxiated, there's no way to replenish that	
 of these articles. They always talk about the cord or scalp pH, don't they? A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	19	bicarb unless somebody infuses it.	
 22 or scalp pH, don't they? 23 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. 24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	20	Q. Except the HCO 3 is never mentioned in any	
 A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article. Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is 	21	of these articles. They always talk about the cord	
24 Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is	22	or scalp pH, don't they?	- 4 ₁
	23	A. Well, it's not mentioned in this article.	
25 the NIH consensus meeting on the definition of acute	24	Now, I think the publication that supersedes this is	
	25	the NIH consensus meeting on the definition of acute	

an sanadara

aanany S

「「「「「「」」」」

1000 COM

Sec. 1

т. Вестика Стала

1.1

intrapartum asphyxia that was published in 1997, I 2 think, and there they talk about base excess, and 3 that's what -- I think a lot of articles talk about 4 base excess and sort of link pH to a severe metabolic 5 acidosis 6 Ο. In your article on hypoxic and ischemic 7 nervous system disorders, you make reference to the 8 NIH consensus group on perinatal asphyxia, and you 9 make no mention, do you, of the bicarb, but in fact 10 speak in terms of a pH of less than 7 at five 11 minutes; isn't that correct? 12 Α. I don't know. It's been a while since 13 I've read that. Yeah, I guess I didn't put it in. But that's what the NIH consensus group on perinatal 14 15 asphyxia indicated. 16 Q. Would you agree with me there's nothing in your article about the HCO 3; your article limits the 17 18 blood study to a pH of less than 7 at five minutes; is that right? 19 20 MS. SCHOENLING: In this article that 21 we're referring to, hypoxic and ischemic nervous system disorders. 22 23 THE WITNESS: Let me read that again. 24 MR. NOVAK: Right. Right. 25 MS. SCHOENLING: And the year of that

 publication? MR. NOVAK: 1995, and it makes reference to the NIH consensus, which he made reference to before I asked him about this question. THE WITNESS: That's interesting, that 	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
3 to the NIH consensus, which he made reference to4 before I asked him about this question.	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
4 before I asked him about this question.	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
5 THE WITNESS: That's interesting, that	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
	ີ່ ສີ່ຮັບເ ອີ້ອາຫານເຮັດສະຫັນແຮງ
6 sentence should read Apgar score of 3 or less at fiv	
7 minutes combined it doesn't really change it, but	
8 it just got switched around, combined metabolic and	
9 acidosis. It says pH of less than 7.	
10 MS. SCHOENLING: What page is that, Bill?	
11 MR. NOVAK: Page 14.	
12 MS. SCHOENLING: Thank you.	
13 BY MR. NOVAK:	
14 Q. I have a question for you, Doctor. In	
15 that same article you make the following comment:	
16 You say the term HIE, and we're talking about hypot	ciC
17 ischemic encephalopathy, describes the syndrome)f
18 brain dysfunction that can follow a substantial	
19 period of severe hypoxemia combined with brain	
20 ischemia. The neonatal neurologic manifestations of	of
21 HIE include coma, severe lethargy, hypotonia, poor	
22 feeding, seizures, and respiratory distress.	
23 Let me ask you, in this case on November	
24 the 12th when this infant was taken into the SAR	
25 admit note at University Hospital, there is mention	

新2000 第2000 第

1	of the following: Lethargy, hypotonia, and you would
2	agree with me that up until that time there was
3	seizure activity, was there not?
4	A. Right.
5	Q. There was also poor feeding, wasn't there?
6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. And there was also some respiratory
8	depression, was there not?
9	A. Well, just during the seizures.
10	Q. And as I followed reading that article it
11	says, these clinical features typically develop over
12	a period of 12 to 24 hours after an asphyxial insult,
13	probably corresponding to the time needed for the
14	neurotoxic cascade to produce neuronal dysfunction.
15	Would you agree with me that the seizure
16	activity in this case developed between 12 and 24
17	hours after labor and delivery?
18	A. Yeah.
19	Q. Would you agree with me that the note
20	that's recorded here on November the 13th was
21	somewhere in a period of 24 hours after labor and
22	delivery?
23	A. Say that again.
24	Q. The note that demonstrates the hypotonia
25	is sometime at around 12 to 24 hours after labor and

G

48		
1	delivery?	
2	A. Yeah.	
5	Q. Would you agree with me that the episode	
4	of poor feeding occurred sometime between 12 and 24	
5	hours after labor and delivery?	
6	A. Sure.	
7	Q. Would you are you going to offer any	
8	testimony on issues relating to the necessity for	n anokastainikkondisteisilleottain
9	multi-organ compromise as being a necessity in a case	
10	of hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy?	
11	A. Well, generally you like to see a pretty	
12	good bump in the creatinine.	
13	Q. But would you agree with me that you don't	
14	have to have multi-organ failure in all cases of	
15	hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy, do you?	
16	A. Well, that's a different question. I	
17	think the question is more what, you know, what makes	
18	a diagnosis that you can be comfortable with, and I	
19	think that to really be comfortable with that	
20	well, asphyxia as you know, in order to say that	
21	asphyxia caused it, number one, you've got to have	
22	evidence of asphyxia, which you don't in this case,	ه بي مو
23	so that's a problem. Then you've got to have	
24	encephalopathy, which you do have, but we know that	
25	most of the time encephalopathy and seizures are not	

的问题

WEAR

ないのでも

数にはあ

1710 - 114 1860-11-0

and the second se

A Contractor

produced by asphyxia. In fact, the Australian study, 2 the western Australian study showed that two-thirds of the time it was not due to hypoxia, and then I 3 think the proper place of the creatinine is once 4 5 you've documented asphyxia and once you've documented that the encephalopathy probably is related to 6 7 asphyxia, then I think the creatinine is kind of the 8 icing on the cake, that you really want to nail it, and I think you've got to see a pretty good bump, a 9 10 rise in the creatinine. Q. Let me ask you this: Are you familiar 11 12 with Pearlman's studies that indicated that in 67 13 percent of cases that he studied of HIE, 60 percent of those had multi-organ dysfunction, 33 percent did 14 15 not? Are you familiar with that study? 16 A. Well, it's the old chicken and egg 17 problem. In other words, what were the criteria that 18 this was really HIE. I think if you take a careful 19 look at HIE, this was the British Medical Journal 20 study that was published in December of '98, you 21 know, intrauterine growth, retardation, congenital 22 anomalies, maternal thyroid disorders or infection 23 were far more common causes than hypoxia. Without 24 the imaging, how do you really know that this actually damaged the brain? 25

50	
1	Q. Well, you mentioned about the bump in the
2	serum creatinine. In this particular case, the serum
3	creatinine was elevated at .9 at University Hospital;
4	isn't that correct?
5	A. That's not really elevated for a baby,
6	though. The baby generally reflects the mother,
7	which usually often babies are born with 1.5, 1.6,
8	and then
9	MS. SCHOENLING: Serum creatinine, Doctor,
10	the 1.5?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, the serum creatinine.
12	BYMR. NOVAK:
13	Q. According to the University Hospital
14	laboratory ranges, .9 for that hospital, for that
15	children's hospital, is an elevated serum creatinine.
16	Do you agree or disagree with .9 as being elevated?
17	A. No, it's not elevated.
18	Q. Are you aware that this newborn had
19	grossly bloody stools on the 14th of November?
20	A. Yeah, I think they were.
21	Q. Would you agree with me that grossly
22	bloody stools can be a result of enteritis?
23	A. Yeah, sure.
24	Q. And would you agree with me that an
25	enteritis can be the what's the matter, John? Is

際には認

のないです。

教会設

States and States

A.

Second and Second

51 something wrong? 1 2 MR. JEFFERS: I'mjust watching your 3 inference on an inference on an inference ad nauseam. 4 MR. NOVAK: You don't like this? 5 MR. JEFFERS: You asked me what I was 6 thinking about. 7 **THE WITNESS:** Maybe I'll save you some 8 time. As far as multi-organ dysfunction to me, it 9 means congestive heart failure and renal failure, and 10 the rest of it doesn't mean a whole lot to me. It 1 probably should, but it doesn't. 12 BYMR. NOVAK: 13 Q. Now, lasked Dr. Reiley, who's a 14 neonatologist, the question, and I asked him the 15 following: I asked him, now, to have multi organ 16 injury doesn't mean permanent injury to each of the 17 organs necessarily, but it can mean some compromise 18 to those organs; isn't that right? And he answered 19 yes. Do you agree with that guestion and the answer? 20 Α. Yeah, lagree. 21 Q. Okay. 22 But just so it's clear, there was no Α. 23 kidney dysfunction, no heart dysfunction in this 24 case. To me that means it's case closed. 25 Well, I asked him this question: 1 asked Q.

· Torna and the second second second

 him, a child who has had an hypoxic ischemic event can have enteritis manifested by grossly bloody stools; isn't that correct? And his answer is, that's correct. Do you agree with that? A. Not really. I mean, I don't MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill? Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time of delivery. You're aware that there was fetal 	52	
 stools; isn't that correct? And his answer is, that's correct. Do you agree with that? A. Not really. I mean, I don't MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill? Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	1	him, a child who has had an hypoxic ischemic event
 4 that's correct. Do you agree with that? 5 A. Not really. I mean, I don't 6 MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill? 7 Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody 9 stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my 10 experience it's more related to some bleeding 11 disorder or something like that rather than 12 BY MR. NOVAK: 13 Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the 14 Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that 15 that's correct, the kidney with or without 16 involvement of the lung. 17 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? 18 MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	2	can have enteritis manifested by grossly bloody
 A. Not really. I mean, I don't MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill? Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	3	stools; isn't that correct? And his answer is,
 MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill? Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Let's talk about the picture at the time 	4	that's correct. Do you agree with that?
 Mk. NOVAK: Page 63. THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Let's talk about the picture at the time 	5	A. Not really. I mean, I don't
 8 THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody 9 stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my 10 experience it's more related to some bleeding 11 disorder or something like that rather than 12 BY MR. NOVAK: 13 Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the 14 Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that 15 that's correct, the kidney with or without 16 involvement of the lung. 17 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? 18 MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 BY MR. NOVAK: 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	6	MR. JEFFERS: What page is this, Bill?
 stools as evidence of anything. Iguess in my experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart BY MR. NOVAK: BY MR. NOVAK: Let's talk about the picture at the time 	7	Mk. NOVAK: Page 63.
 experience it's more related to some bleeding disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Let's talk about the picture at the time 	8	THE WITNESS: I don't really see bloody
 disorder or something like that rather than BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Let's talk about the picture at the time 	9	stools as evidence of anything. I guess in my
 BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	10	experience it's more related to some bleeding
 Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	11	disorder or something like that rather than
 Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that that's correct, the kidney with or without involvement of the lung. MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	12	BYMR. NOVAK:
 15 that's correct, the kidney with or without 16 involvement of the lung. 17 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? 18 MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	13	Q. Now, Dr. Reiley with respect to the
 16 involvement of the lung. 17 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? 18 MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	14	Pearlman question on the 67 percent indicated that
 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	15	that's correct, the kidney with or without
 MS. SCHOENLING: What page? MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with that? THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	16	•
 19 that? 20 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	17	
 THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart failure or nothing. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	18	MR. NOVAK: Page 60. Would you agree with
 21 not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	19	that?
 22 failure or nothing. 23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time 	20	THE WITNESS: No, my opinion is, which I'm
23 BY MR. NOVAK: 24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time	21	not going to change, that it's kidney failure, heart
24 Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time	22	failure or nothing.
	23	BYMR. NOVAK:
25 of delivery. You're aware that there was fetal	24	Q. Let's talk about the picture at the time
<u> </u>	25	of delivery. You're aware that there was fetal

• •

E.

i U

distress, aren't you? 1 2 Α. Well, they wrote it down. I mean, to me fetal distress is a lot like that car alarm we just 3 heard go off. They go off all the time, but how many 4 5 of them actually represent somebody breaking into 6 your car I think is another matter. I think fetal distress is one of those things. It's like an alarm 7 8 bell that rings. In the Perinatal Collaborative 9 Study, for every baby that was actually truly in 10 harm's way, there were 20 that were totally normal, 11 so fetal distress just means to me that somebody sort of pulled an alarm bell. It doesn't mean much. 12 13 And the fact that there was thick meconium Q. 14 in this, case does that concern you at all? 15 As far as I know neurologically it's Α. 16 irrelevant. 17 Would you tell me what your understanding Q. is as respects the difference between light meconium 18 staining and thick meconium? 19 20 Α. It has no meaning. Q. 21 So you don't think there's a difference at all? 22 23 Zero. Α. And what about the fact that the thick 24 Q. 25 meconium in this cord was suctioned four times below

[_____

54	the corde?	
1	the cords?	
2	A. It has no meaning to me.	
3	Q. Okay.	
4	A. I'm sure to the person sucking, it was	
5	important.	
6	Q. So from a standpoint of fetal distress, at	
7	the time of delivery when we looked at the sheet	
8	which has been called the initial newborn profile at	
9	Elyria Memorial Hospital Medical Center, the only	
10	recording that's important for you is the Apgar	
11	score; is that right?	
12	MS. SCHOENLING: You're asking him on the	
13	initial newborn profile, which is page 4 of the	
14	infant's Elyria Memorial records, you're asking him,	
15	is the only thing that he deems relevant on this	
16	entire sheet, would that be the Apgars, is that the	
17	question?	
18	MR. NOVAK: Yes.	
19	MS. SCHOENLING: Okay.	
20	MR. NOVAK: From his standpoint.	
21	THE WITNESS: Well, I think it's all	
22	relevant. I think that the established criteria for	a te briten
23	trying to link asphyxia to a neurologic problem	
24	include, number one, whether there was asphyxia,	
25	which there wasn't; two, whether there was	

encephalopathy, which in this case I think is 1 2 debatable, although certainly you have to say there 3 was some encephalopathy, and then the third leg of 4 the stool is whether there was a plausible connection 5 with asphyxia to the brain damage as visualized by MR 6 scanning, which there wasn't. So having said that, I think every bit of information here is relevant. The 7 8 fetal distress happens to be particularly less 9 relevant than the rest because that is so commonly 10 seen, and as we know, if we use fetal heart rate 11 monitors, that the false positive rate for positive 12 fetal heart rate tracings is, I think, generally 13 accepted to be in the range of 95 to 99 percent. 14 BY MR. NOVAK: 15 Q. I'm not trying to be argumentative, 16 Doctor, but somebody obviously thought it was 17 important enough to write down fetal distress under 18 the newborn data; is that right? 19 Α. It was important at the time it was 20 written just as the person whose car has their alarm 21 ringing right now is very concerned about going down 22 and checking it. Now, once they go down and find out 23 that nothing's happened, that maybe it was just a 24 little bump, it rapidly loses significance, and 25 that's the state I'm in. I'm not in the driver's

56	
1	seat here, I'm not caring for this baby. Years later
2	we're looking now, and I'm saying from my standpoint
3	in terms of the causation, it's not very relevant.
4	It obviously was very relevant for the person who
5	wrote it down.
6	Q. Would you agree with me that a knot in the
7	cord can cause asphyxia?
8	A. The latest data I heard was Thursday when
9	Karen Nelson and I were both lecturing side by side
10	to the American Academy of Cerebral Palsy in
11	Washington, and as far as I know the only
12	cord-related problem that has been associated with
13	cerebral palsy is a tight nuchal cord. I've never
14	heard that a knotted cord is associated, but
15	that's I'm sort of giving you the entire database
16	I have on that.
17	Q. Can you have a knotted cord which is
18	tight?
19	A. See, there I don't know. I couldn't
20	comment.
21	Q. But that's not within your realm of
22	expertise?
23	A. Yeah. Sort of perinatologist or somebody
24	like that.
25	Q. Okay. I have a question for you, and it

教会になる

語のの意思

AN CONTRACT

and a second

1 relates to something you wrote in 1989 in a volume 2 called Contemporary Issues in Fetal and Neonatal 3 Medicine, and it's called Birth Asphyxia: Issues in 4 Neurologic Management, and on page 106 of that 5 article you write about anticonvulsive -- convulsant prophylaxis or treatment for seizures, and it says 6 7 the following: Aside from maintenance of adequate 8 cerebral perfusion, prevention of convulsions or _ ------9 their rapid control when they occur has the strongest 10 rationale of any intervention in the asphyxiated 11 infant. As outlined above, seizures are likely to 12 add a major insult to the preexisting injury and 13 vigorous but safe anticonvulsant therapy is strongly 14 indicated. Attention needs to be given to the 15 identification of infants who should be placed on 16 prophylactic anticonvulsants after asphyxia since the 17 seizures are difficult to stop once they begin. 18 And I guess my question for you is the 19 following: It's my understanding that your opinion in this case is that whatever injury there was in 20 this case antedated labor and delivery; is that 21 22 right? 23 Α. No, that's not quite right. 24 Well, when do you then feel that the Q. 25 injury occurred in this case?

and the second state of th

. , * p

MR. JEFFERS: Objection.	
MR IEEEBS: Objection	
THE WITNESS: Well, I think let me make	
sure what I said here. Okay. Let me be because I	
think I tried to write this in very clear English.	
I'm reading from my report of June 23rd. The records	
indicate that both the child's current neurologic	
condition and the neonatal seizures with stiffening	
cyanosis in the neonatal period reflect a serious	angentettingen er fan de skriver of steller skriver.
preexisting disorder of brain development that	
antedated by a considerable period.	
MR. NOVAK: Right.	
THE WITNESS: You asked mejust now about	
damage, when did the damage occur.	- -
MR. NOVAK: I'm sorry, and I apologize.	
THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is that this	
child has a preexisting disorder of brain development	
which caused the seizures but has continued to unfold	Contraction of the second of the
with brain damage that we know even from January '93	
when the MRI was normal, so that even months later,	
so this is sort of like a time bomb waiting to unfold	-
n this child's brain, and that the damage unfolded	
rom January to August.	1000 (m. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.
BYMR. NOVAK:	
Q. Would you agree with the statement that	
ou have on page 106 of this article?	
	hink I tried to write this in very clear English. I'm reading from my report of June 23rd. The records indicate that both the child's current neurologic condition and the neonatal seizures with stiffening cyanosis in the neonatal period reflect a serious breexisting disorder of brain development that antedated by a considerable period. MR. NOVAK: Right. THE WITNESS: You asked me just now about damage, when did the damage occur. MR. NOVAK: I'm sorry, and I apologize. THE WITNESS: What I'm saying is that this child has a preexisting disorder of brain development which caused the seizures but has continued to unfold with brain damage that we know even from January '93 when the MRI was normal, so that even months later, so this is sort of like a time bomb waiting to unfold in this child's brain, and that the damage unfolded rom January to August. BY MR. NOVAK: Q. Would you agree with the statement that

1 Α. Yeah, I would because -- and I can -- I've updated it recently. I think citation 72 --2 3 MR. JEFFERS: What is that citation 72? 4 THE WITNESS: Where is that CV? This is still an area that people are looking into, the issue 5 of phenobarbital. Now, I think what I said was that 6 7 it had the most plausible rationale or the strongest 8 rationale; right? 9 MR. NOVAK: Uh-huh, right. 10 THE WITNESS: So I don't think that guite 11 says that you've got to do it. I think it says of 12 any of the things that you could do that might do 13 harm, that might have the strongest rationale. Now, 14 on page 25 of the CV there's an article that should 15 be coming out soon, number 72, that's called -- it's 16 published in Current Treatment Options in Neurology, 17 and that's a brief article, but that goes over the 18 same territory because there's a study by Hall from Kansas City that was published in '98, where they 19 20 specifically looked at a trial of phenobarbital 21 versus no phenobarbital for seizures and felt that 22 maybe there was some protection, but it's not really 23 clear. 24 BY MR. NOVAK: 25 I guess the reason I'm asking this is that Q.

60	
1	if you assume that there were seizures that occurred
2	at 1:30 and at 4:00 on the morning of the 12th, I
3	guess is the reasonable approach to treat seizures as
4	early as they occur because if you treat them
5	earlier, you would like to think that you're going to
6	have somewhat of a preventive role for seizures
7	occurring down the road?
8	MR. JEFFERS: Objection.
9	THE WITNESS: Well, that would be the
10	rationale. That's why it says the strongest
11	rationale. Now, whether that works or not, as I
12	said, is still under debate. Ten years later people
13	are still giving trials. So I think when people
14	there's this concept of equipoise in clinical
15	investigation that you don't do a trial if it's
16	already accepted that you've got to do it, so if it
17	were known, then there would be no trial.
18	BYMR. NOVAK:
19	Q. But in an ideal situation what you would
20	like to do is if you saw an infant that was having
21	seizure, you would personally like to treat it with
22	phenobarbital for seizure?
23	MR. JEFFERS: Objection. You're asking
24	what he personally would do.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Not knowing, though,

whether you're really doing any good and not knowing 1 2 in 1989, not knowing in 1998. 3 BY MR NOVAK 4 Q. But the theory is if you treat the first one, you're hoping that it's going to have a limiting 5 impact on seizure activity down the road? 6 7 MR. JEFFERS: Object. MR. NOVAK: I mean, that's the theory? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a hope. Yeah. 10 MR. NOVAK: I think I'm almost done. Let 11 me just think for a couple more seconds. You've 12 behaved yourself today. 13 MR. JEFFERS: I thought I've been very 14 calm other than things where you've provoked me. 15 MR. NQVAK: Oh, yeah, I do have one last question, two last questions. 16 17 MR. JEFFERS: Oh, my. 18 BY MR. NQVAK: Did you ever hear of a corrected pH? Do 19 Q. 20 you know what a corrected pH is? I've got to tell 21 you, as long as I've been handling these cases, I've never heard of a corrected pH. Do you know what one 22 23 is? 24 You mean like tried to back calculate or Α. 25 something?

[
62	
1	Q. Yeah, have you ever heard of anything like
2	that?
3	A. I've seen people do that.
4	Q. Have you? \square mean, what's the purpose of
5	it, do you know?
6	A. No.
7	MR. NOVAK: Okay. I'm done. Thank you.
8	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
9	BY MR. JEFFERS:
10	Q. Doctor, there's clearly no question in
11	your mind that hypoxia or anoxia had nothing to do
12	with the damage that we witness today in this
13	patient, correct?
14	A. Right. I think from I think the
15	experience with imaging, with brain imaging, that in
16	a child with such a severe disability, that if
17	asphyxia were the cause, we would definitely see it
18	on the MRI scan, and I think we would see it, you
19	know, within a couple months of the injury, so I
20	think it's not going to be silent. So the fact that
21	the brain really looked normal on imaging until
22	January '93 and then showed some white matter changes
23	and atrophy after that time indicates that this is
24	some other yet-to-be-diagnosed process.
25	Q. And as such, then as far as you're

Second Second

Section 2

数で、1-1-15 数で、1-1-15

Sector Sector

Recent Constant

1.1.1

No. 1 . . .

A

1 concerned, whatever treatment occurred during labor 2 and delivery or post-labor and delivery at Elyria 3 Memorial Hospital is irrelevant to your conclusions, 4 correct? 5 Yeah, and that's the way that I think any Α. 6 neurologist works back from what's the child's 7 condition, what could be the explanations and working 8 back, and if it looks like that that's just not in the range of possibilities, then I think you would 9 10 spend less time on the events actually in that 11 period. 12 With what's going back and forth on the Q. use of phenobarbital relative to the treatment of 13 14 seizures, you can't say based upon reasonable medical 15 certainty and probability that the use of phenobarbital will necessarily improve the status of 16 17 an infant suffering seizures, correct? 18 Α. No. I think the -- that's right. I think 19 it's debatable, and specifically those comments were 20 directed not at a child with any kind of seizure, but 21 seizures in the context of asphyxial injury, so if 22 it's established that the asphyxia has occurred and 23 the seizures are truly reflecting the expression of 24 the asphyxial injury, then the rationale would be, 25 well, maybe you can do some good by reducing the

64	
1	seizures. I think in this case where it looks like
2	the baby was expressing the beginnings of a lifelong
3	epileptic encephalopathy, in those situations I don't
4	think there is much evidence at all that control
5	really does much, so I think it depends on the
6	disorder that you're treating, and seizures are
7	pretty nonspecific expression of many brain disorders
8 "	in children.
9	MR. JEFFERS: Thank you. That's it.
10	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
11	BYMR. NOVAK:
12	Q. In light of Mr. Jeffers' questions, I
13	guess Ijust have one general question. I understand
14	that your opinion is going to be based on the CT and
15	the MRI films, and the fact that they were normal in
16	November and January, and then there was a change in
17	August, and that based on those reports you feel that
18	it's impossible that this could have been a situation
19	related to labor and delivery; is that right?
20	MS. SCHOENLING: Note my objection. His
21	opinions are not exclusively reliable on just those
22	items, but
23	MR. NOVAK: Lunderstand. But in large
24	part you're basing it on those films, the reports?
25	MS. SCHOENLING: Objection.

		65
1	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think they're	
2	very	
3	BY MR. NOVAK:	
4	Q. I guess the only thing that puzzles me is	
5	that throughout the stay of this infant at University	
6	Hospital during November of 1992, in spite of the	
7	normal CT that was recorded, physicians continued to	
8	write down HIE secondary to tight nuchal cord, HIE	
9	secondary to perinatal asphyxia, birth depression,	
10	birth related, and we see this go all the way through	
11	up until even in the Cleveland Clinic where we see	
12	cause as perinatal asphyxia recorded in this chart,	
13	and I guess my question is, why do all these	
14	physicians keep writing these kinds of notes down in	
15	the face of the CT scan and the MRIs which they all	
16	had access to?	
17	MS. SCHOENEING: Objection. They also	
18	wrote down metabolic deficiency, congenital	
19	deficiency, and you forgot to mention that in your	
20	question, so I think if we're going to address what	
21	was written down in the neonatal phase, let's address	
22	everything that was written down in the neonatal	
23	phase, not just portions of it.	
24	MR. NOVAK: Would you kindly	
25	THE WITNESS: I see what you mean. I	

66						
1	think the and I looked over that. I was just					
2	reviewing that before the deposition because the					
3	Cleveland Clinic notes, when they did that MRI scan,					
4	really focused more on genetic metabolic.					
5	BY MR. NOVAK:					
6	Q. They didn't find any, did they, genetic					
7	metabolië?					
8	A. Well; not so far. In the discharge					
9	summary on August 9th, they say that the					
10	MS. SCHOENLING: These are the UH records.					
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, University Hospital.					
12	Seizure disorder was evaluated and its etiology was					
13	searched for, so on 8-5 they were doing metabolic					
14	workup, skin biopsy for fibroblast culture for					
15	enzyme, mitochondrial assay, so they weren't looking					
16	for asphyxia there. They were looking for					
17	BYMR. NOVAK:					
18	Q. What's the date of that?					
19	A. That's on August 5th. August 4th they					
20	were looking for bloods were drawn, including					
21	metabolic screens, ammonia, creatine. Then on August					
22	4th they were talking about, they said further					
23	evaluation of etiology of seizure disorder per					
24	neurology, metabolic workup on blood.					
25	Q. But the Cleveland Clinic, there was no					

「「「「」」」

Section 200

No.

Barris and

		67				
1	mention at the Cleveland Clinic, was there, of any					
2	metabolic c isorders, was there?					
3	A. Yeah, I mean the chart's filled with					
4	discussion of pc ssible metabolic disorders.					
5	Q. At the Cleveland Clinic?					
6	A. Oh. This is University Hospital's.	y Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sala Sal				
7	C. I'm talking about the Cleveland Clinic					
8	A. Cleveland Clinic. I'm not sure about	t managed (1990) also a				
9	that. Here's another note, University Hospitals,					
10	August 3rd. The sentence says, Neuro feels possible					
11	metabolic genetic disorder.					
12	Q. But that wasn't positively ruled in or					
13	ruled out, was it?					
14	A. Right. They haven't found I don't					
15	think the child has really been diagnosed yet, but I					
16	think it's					
17	Q. I do have to ask one more question.	5. SV				
18	Nucleated red blood cells, last one. Are you					
19	familiar with the theories about nucleated red blood					
20	cells that say if you have ten or less it's an					
21	indication of an insult that occurred closer to					
22	delivery than if you have greater than ten?					
23	A. Yeah.					
24	Q. Okay. Are you aware of what the nucleated					
25	red blood cells were on this newborn at 1359?					

					,
	68				
		Α.	No, I don't remember.		
	2	Q.	They were 10.		
, ²⁰⁰ a	3	Α.	They were 10. So it was like a		
	4	cliffhar	nger.		
	5		MR. NOVAK: All done.		
	6		(Whereupon, at 2:40 p.m., the signature		
	7	of the v	withess having been duly waived, the		
n a contractivities and a second	8	taking	of the instant deposition ceased.)	rear Thinky (1930) and (1997)	and the second second
	9				
	10				
	11				
	12				
	13				
	14				
	15				
	16				
ar 1-a	17			an ta an	ne netro de la companya de la compa
	18	ne.			
	19				
	20				-
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

観念の道

國法國主義

standarden der

j

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) ss.: STATE OF MARYLAND)

I, ANN L. BLAZEJEWSKI, CM, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

. A. Blageywitci Notary Public in and for

the State of Maryland

My Commission expires: 10-26-99

Α	47:12,17,21,25	anytime 36:6	36:21 40:16 41:1	Baltimore 1:16,24
ability 36:10	48:5 57:16 62:23	anyway 26:4	41:11 42:1,6,14	5:4
abnormal13:13	again 14:8 24:11	Anywhere 8:6	42:24 43:3,12	base 22:10 28:1
about 8:9,20,21	34:6 36:25 45:23	apart 32:16	44:4 45:1,8,15	43:25 44:13 45;
9:21 13:25 14:16	47:23	Apgar 20:25 27:11	48:20,21,22 49:1	45:4
15:16 22:17 23:4	age 16:4 17:1,12	28:17,22 30:6	49:5,7 54:23,24	based 10:6 15:19
23:11 24:1 25:4	ago 16:11 35:19	31:3,11 32:13,16	55:5 56:7 57:3	15:20 16:19 21:7
25:12 27:14 28:E	35:25	32:23 37:20,20	57:16 62:17	22:3,16 35:19,20
28:17,18,19	agree 17:22 18:22	38:3 46:6 54:10	63:22 65:9,12	36:1,2,18 39:24
32:17 35:13	18:25 20:3,12	Apgars 27:15	66:16	63:14 64:14,17
36:14 37:14 39:9	21:21 23:14	29:14 31:1 54:16	asphyxial 47:12	baseline 20:4
40:8 42:5 44:21	26:13,13 34:21	apnea 27:19 28:18	63:21.24	basic 19:24
45:2,3,17 46:4	37:19 39:20	30:1,2 36:21	asphyxiated 44:18	basically 32:25
46:16 50:1 51:6	40:13 41:7,8,9	apneac 25:1927:2	57:10	basing64:24
52:24 53:24	41:19 42:14 43:1	27:7 28:8,25	assay 66:15	basis 9:12 10:6
55:21 57:5 58:12	45:16 47:2,15,19	29:10,19 30:18	assessment 35:3	20:19 22:9
66:22 67:7,8,19	48:3,13 50:16,21	31:5,24 32:2,6	35:5,12	before 5:13 6:5
above 57:11	50:24 51:19,20	33:2,11	associated 39:18	32:3 46:4 66:2
above-entitled	52:4,18 56:6	apologize58:14	56:12,14	began 27:13
1:20	58:24	APPEARANCES	assume 18:23	begin31:14,15,22
absent 31:9 32:11	ahead 11:4 12:14	2:4 3:1	29:2,8,16 60:1	57:17
absolutely 13:14	17:8	approach 60:3	assuming 21:2	beginnings64:2
14:9	alarm 53:3,7,12	appropriate16:4	29:7,13 31:2	begins31:16
\cademy 56:10	55:20	16:25 17:12	atrophy 36:22	32:15
iccelerating	allegations34:13	approximately	62:23	behalf 2 :6,13 3:3
18:17	almost 61:10	29:18 38:13	attempt 24:3	behaved 61:12
iccepted 55:13	along 15:15	area 7:2,5,8 10:15	33:12	being 1:21 2:1
60:16	already 16:10 21:4	59:5	attempted 33:10	15:20 28:6 31:13
ICCESS 65:16	26:4 29:5 37:2	areas 34:20	attending 34:19	31:16,23 32:15
iccording17:10	60:16	argumentative	attention 57:14	32:18 35:9 48:9
50:1 3	although 27:16	55:15	attributable 10:3	50:16
iccurate16:12	40:21 55:2	armed 35:10	August 12:18	pelieve 6:9
icidosis 27:24	always 44:21	wound 8:11 15:23	13:12 58:22	pell 7:14 53:8,12
28:4 43:10,24	American 56:10	16:15,18 33:25	64:17 66:9,19,19	selow 19:20 53:25
45:5 46:9	ammonia 66:21	46:8 47:25	66:21 67:10	3ernadine 7:24,25
icidotic 44:11,12	Analysis 34:11	irrest 30:9,12,15	Australian 49:1,2	pest 43:11
ictively9:19	analyzed 43:21	arterial 39:5	available 11:12,21	petter 12:20
ctivity 47:3,16	ANN 1:21 2:1	article 34:3 36:3	32:2	petween 39:21
61:6	inomalies 49:22	40:16 41:2 42:5	iverage 10:5 44:2	47:16 48:4 53:18
ctually 7:4 9:15	another 53:6 67:9	44:23 45:6,17,17	ware 22:19 23:7	3everly 6:13,15
12:20 13:9 25:8	anoxia 62:11	45:20 46:15	33:18,21,23 38:8	6:23 10:23 11:4
49:25 53:5,9	answer 12:16 24:2	47:10 57:5 58:25	38:14 44:10	bicarb 43:24 44:5
63:10	36:15 51:19 52:3	59:14,17	50:18 52:25	44:9,14,19 45:9
cute 44:25	answered 12:13	ı rticles 8:16 39:24	67:24	vicarbonates 28:2
d51:3	29:5 32:8 51:18	44:21 45:3		3ill 14:9 29:6 34:6
dd 44:15 57:12	Inswers 36:8	\side 57:7	В	42:16 46:10 52:6
ddition 10:23	intedated 57:21	isked35:5,18	3 4:8	biopsy 66:14
ddress 5:4 65:20	58:10	46:4 51:5,13,14	abies 27:14 50:7	virth 15:9,21 30:7
65:21	Inticonvulsant	51:15,25,25	aby 23:12 26:21	39:19,21 57:3
dequate 57:7	57:13	58:12	29:21 44:18 50:5	65:9,10
dmission 18:25	inticonvulsants	isking 18:5 20:9	50:6 53:9 56:1	vit 20:2 37:14 55:7
dmit 46:25	57:16	20:24 22:10 24:1	64:2	3LAZEJEWSKI
dvanced 32:24	inticonvulsive	54:12,14 59:25	aby's 36:20	1:22 2:2
dvised38:11	57:5	60:23	ack 26:6 27:4	deeding52:10
ffect 44:8	inybody 30:12	sphyxia12:6	36:25 39:1 0	lood 28:19 33:1
Rer 13:25 18:18	inything 22:24	13:16 18:19	61:2463:6,8,12	38:7,8,11 39:10
38:24 39:19,21	30:21 52:9 62:1	34:14 35:10	aking 44:15,16	39:11 44:11

• *****

Michael V. Johnston, M.D.

45:1866:24	12:12 13:19 15:4	chicken 49:16	36:2,14 46:15	convulsant 57:5
67:18,19,25	15:5,11 22:2	chief 9:7	56:20	convulsions 57:8
bloods 66:20	25:1136:437:16	child 29:10 31:3,4	comments 63:19	copies 5:19
bloody 50:19,22	38:17 39:6,12	31:13 42:11,22	common 1:1 49:23	cord20:12 21:9,13
52:2,8	43:13,20 46:23	52:1 58:16 62:16	commonly 55:9	21:21 36:21 38:6
blur 32:23	47:16 48:9,22	63:20 67:15	communicate	38:8,11 39:6,10
bomb 58:20	50:2 51:24,24	children 10:13	36:8,10	39:11 43:10,13
born50:7	53:14 55:1 57:20	64:8	communication	43:20 44:3,5,21
both33:10,11, 16	57:21,25 64:1	children's 10:11	34:17	53:25 56:7,13,14
40:10,11 56:9	cases6:15,19 7:7	50:15	complete 36:15	56:17 65:8
58:6	7:9 8:4,8 10:14	child's 35:9 58:6	completely 35:8	cords 54:1
bottom 5:18 36:17	34:12 48:14	58:21 63:6	36:23	cord-related 56:12
40:20 42:18	49:1 361:21	choke 31: 14 ,15,17	compresses	correct38:4 45:11
brain 10:12 15:15	causation 23:4,23	31:23 32:16	21:22	50:4 52:3,4,15
15:18 33:7 41:12	56:3	choking 32:18	compression	62:13 63:4,17
46:18,19 49:25	cause 12:12 18:20	Chuck 10:16	20:13 21:10	corrected 61:19
55:5 58:9,16,18	21:13,14,22	circumference	com romise 48:9	61:20,22
	40.01 56.7 60.17		COLL TOTTISE 40.9	
58:21 62:15,21	40:21 56:7 62:17	15:20 16:3 17:12	0000000000	corresponding
64:7	65:12	17:18,21	concept20:12	47:13
breaking 53:5	caused 37:16	circumstances	60:14	counsel 1:19 4:2
breathing23:16	48:21 58:17	24:22,24	concern 34:20,24	5:5,8 62:8 64:10
24:13,25 25:2,3	causes 49:23	citation 59:2,3	36:4 53:14	COUNTY 1:2
25:11,15 26:12	ceased68:8	City 59:19	concerned 12:11	couple 7:3 12:23
30:3 31:14,18	cells67:18,20,25	Civic 3:6	24:15 55:21 63:1	61:1162:19
32:16,19 33:1	census 8:21	classroom 9:24	conclusions 63:3	course 9:18 14:11
brief 59:17	Center 3:6 24:18	clear 30:15 51:22	condition 35:9	41:23
briefly 5:18	54:9	58:4 59:23	36:20 58:7 63:7	court 1:1 7:4
bring5:21	centimeter 19:19	clearly 62:10	conduct 9:5	creatine 66:21
British 49:19	centimeters 17:10	Cleveland 2:10,19	confined9:16	creatinine 48:12
Broadway 5:4	17:22 18:23	6:25 7:1,5,8,20	congenital 13:19	49:4,7,10 50:2,3
buddies8:12	cerebral7:10	8:5 10:15 65:11	15:5,11,19 16:21	50:9,11,15
bump 48:12 49:9	25:20 26:14,16	66:3,25 67:1,5,7	17:23 19:1,12,21	credentials 6:7
50:1 55:24	27:3,9,16,20	67:8	49:21 65:18	crises 9:9
business 5:4	28:12 29:3,23	cliffhanger 68:4	congestive 51:9	criteria 49:17
	30:3 31:6 32:7	Clinic 7:20 65:11	connection7:21	54:22
C	32:20 37:16	66:3,25 67:1,5,7	38:16 55:4	critical 13:14 28:3
C 4:1 5:1	40:16 41:12	67:8	consensus 44:25	CT13:1,1135:17
cake49:8	42:12,22 56:10	clinical 12:1,8	45:8.14 46:3	64:14 65:7,15
calculate 61:24	56:13 57:8	47:11 60:14	considerable	culture 66:14
call7:113:22	certainly 15:14	closed 51:24	58:10	current 58:6 59:16
18:15	44:3 55:2	closer 67:21	consistency 19:17	curve 27:14
called 1:19 5:5	certainty 63:15	co 3:5 44:17	consistent 15:14	CV6:559:4,14
30:12 54:8 57:2	chance 14:1 16:1	Collaborative	17:23 19:1,7,12	cyanosis 58:8
57:3 59:15		27:10 30:6 53:8	19:21 20:4 21:9	
	change 46:7 52:21			cyanotic 39:19
calm 61:14	64:16	colleague 40:9	consultation 10:3	D
camper 24:19	changes 21:17	Columbus 3:7	10:7 34:4	
car 53:3,6 55:20	62:22	column 42:9,10,17	contain 20:25	D5:1
cardiac 30:9,11, 1 5	characterized	43:9	Contemporary	daily 9:11
32:24	18:13	coma 46:21	57:2	damage 41:12
care 22:25 23:1,5	charge 9:8	combined46:7,8	context 25:22	55:558:13,13,18
23:8,13,15,18	chart 17:10 18:23	46 :19	63:21	58:21 62:12
24:15,25 35:1	19:9,11 23:6	come 10:1 14:20	continued3:1	damaged 49:25
36:9,11 40:25	30:17,21 65:12	comfortable 48:18	58:17 65:7	data 25:7 27:11
careful 49:18	charts17:17	48:19	continuing 24:2	30:6 55:18 56:8
caring 56:1	chart's 67:3	coming 59:15	contractions	database 56:15
cascade 47:14	check 8:20	commencing 12:2	21:13	date 66:18
case 1:115:15	checking 55:22	comment 34:5,11	control 57:9 64:4	dated 6:9 11:18
	0.100111900.44	00mmont 07.0, 11	0011101010004.4	
			l	

ſ					
	day 14:21	different 16:24	duration/severity	equipoise 60:14	53:13,24 62:20
	days 38:13	21:19 48:16	43:12	especially 34:13	64:15
	dead 26:22,23	difficult 57:17	during 9:5 18:25	ESQ2:7,15 3:4	factors 34:15
	deal 15:1	directed 63:20	33:16 34:16 35:2	established 54:22	40:21
	dealing 25:25	direction 2:2	36:6 40:22 41:13	63:22	facts 15:1
	dean7:22	disability 62:16	47:9 63:1 65:6	etiology 66:12,23	faculty 8:14
ಜಿತ	debatable 55:2	disagree 40:13	dysfunction 46:18	evaluated 66:12	failure 34:18 48:14
	63:19	50:16	47:14 49:14 51:8	evaluation 12:11	51:9,9 52:21,22
	debate 60:12	discarded 38:8,13	51:23,23	66:23	fair 9:5 11:12,15
	December 49:20		51.25,25		· · · ·
		38:15,17,19	E	even 13:4 19:25	11:20 13:1,3
	deems 54:15	discharge66:8		33:25 58:18,19	15:3 16:19 20:7
	defendant 2:13	discussion 67:4	E4:1,85:1,1	65:11	25:20 28:11
	3:3 62:8	disorder 15:18	each 51:16	event 52:1	Fallon2:16
	defendants 1:14	52:11 58:9,16	earlier 37:20 43:22	events 35:13	false 21:17 55:11
	4:3	64:6 66:12,23	60:5	63:10	familiar 49:11,15
	defending 22.2	67:11	early 60:4	ever6:25 7:4 8:3	67:19
	defense 8:10,11	disorders 10:13	East 1:24	10:15 31:13,19	far 12:10 24:15
an Bandalana ang sa	deficiency 85:18	45:7,22 49:22	egg 49:16	61:19 62:1	49:23 51:8 53:15
	65:19	64:7 67:2,4	eight 8:15	every 53:9 55:7	56:11 62:25 66:8
	deficit 41:25 43:3	distress 31:4	Einbund2:8	everything 9:8	features 37:25
	defined 26:4	34:14 46:22 53:1	element 36:11	29:8,15 65:22	47:11
	definitely 62:17	53:3,7,11 54:6	elevate 21:15,23	evidence 27:25	fed 31:14,16,23
	definition 44:25	55:8,17	elevated20:3,13	40:25 42:12,22	32:15,18 33:23
	delivery 28:23	doctor 5:10,20 6:5	50:3,5,15,16,17	43:11 48:22 52:9	feed 33:10,12
	29:10,17 38:24	25:13 26:11	elyria 1:12 2:13	64:4	feeding 46:22 47:5
	43:5 4 7:17,22	28:22 30:16	11:5,7 16:2,20	exact 30:23 39:5	48:4
	48:1,5 52:25	36:24 46:14 50:9	17:3,7 22:23	exactly 43:22	feel 12:7 37:15
	54:7 57:21 63:2	55:16 62:10	23:3,6 54:9,14	examination 1:19	57:24 64:17
	63:2 64:1 9 67:22	documentation	63:2		
				4:2 5:8 62:8	feels 67:10
	demonstrates	34:16 36:10	employees 16:8	64:10	fell 13:25 15:16
	34:12 47:24	documented 49:5	encephalopathy	examine 14:23	felt 59:21
	depend24:21 38:2	49:5	42:13,13,23,23	examined 5:7	fetal 11:7 20:1,4
	Depending32:1	doing 10:3 61:1	46:17 48:10,15	Except 35:14 44:6	20:13 21:1,3,7,9
	depends 64:5	66:13	48:24,25 49:6	44:20	21:14,17,22 31:4
	deposed 10:15	done 24:14 61:10	55:1,3 64:3	excess 28:1 43:25	34:13 52:25 53:3
	deposition 1:18	62:7 68:5	end 42:11	44:14 45:2,4	53:6,11 54:6
	11:8 14:2 22:1,3	Donn 8:13 34:2,3	ended 27:15	exclude 35:9	55:8,10,12,17
wię , ł	22 :11,16 34.23	36:3	Engel 11:9	exclusively 64:21	57:2
	35:4,15 36:2	down 2:1 16:5,15	English36:11 58:4	exhibit 4:9 6:2,8	fetus 20:5
	37:5 66:2 68:8	16:18,23 40:9	enough 30:24	Expanded34:4	fibroblast66:14
	depositions 7:3,7	53:2 55:17,21,22	55:17	experience 52:10	file 5:22 6:7
	16:10	56:560:761:6	enteritis 50:22,25	62:15	filled 67:3
	depression 31:10	65:8,14,18,21,22	52:2	experienced	films 64:15,24
	32:12 47:8 65:9	Dr 3:3 5:12,13 8:13	entire54:16 56:15	39:18	find 25:8 26:8 33:9
	describes 46:17	11:8,8,9 13:7,21	entitled 34:3	experiment 44:15	33:12 55:22 66:6
	detailed 35:12	14:1 15:4,19	environment	expert 20:20,21	findings 23:16
	details 35:12	20:19,24 22:1,15	23:12	23:24 39:23	24:13 25:1
	develop 47:11	25:18 26:13 34:2	enzyme 66:15	expertise 56:22	fine 29:8 36:24
	developed 37:23	34:3,23 35:15	epileptic 64:3	explanations 63:7	firm 6:14 7:11,16
an Sharana a	47:16	36:2,3,5 37:5,1 7	episode 25:1 9	expressing64:2	first 6:11 11:18
		39:23 44:10			
	development 58:9		27:2,7,19 28:8	expression 63:23	12:25 18:17,25
	58:16	51 :1 3 52:13	28:11 29:1,11,19	64:7	19:7 25:7 31:23
	diagnosed 67:15	drawn 66:20	30:18 31:5,24	F	33:24 35:22
	diagnosis 48:18	Drive 3:6	32:2,6 33:11		37:19 40:22
	diagnostic37:25	driver's 55:25	48:3	face 65:15	41:13 42:10,17
	difference 53:18	due 42:14,24 49:3	episodes 33:16	fact 16:3 25:13	61:4
	53:21	duly 1:21 5:6 68:7	39:19,20	36:19 45:9 49:1	five 32:9 45:10,18
ļ					

RENNILLO REPORTING SERVICES

1.888.391.DEPO

ve.

4

Michael V. Johnston, M.D.

	[
	46:6	given 7:3,7 57:14	having 5:6 22:1,18	Howard 11:9	infant 11:6 18:24
	flies6:1	givers 36:11	26:16 55:6 60:20	Howley 2:16	19:9 25:10,15,18
	floor 23:9 28:7	giving 56:15 60:13	68:7	huge 21:16	26:12 27:3,8
	32:18 33:20	go 11:4 12:14	HCO 44:20 45:17	Hurd 2:16	28:8,25 29:17,19
	Floyd 8:1	14:15,18,19 17:8	head 13:21,24	hypotonia 46:21	31:22 32:3,15
a an	focus 35:5	17:19 26:6 53:4	14:23,24 15:8,20	47:1,24	39:17 43:2 44:6
	focused 10:12	53:4 55:22 65:10	16:3 17:12,17,20	hypoxemia 46:19	46:24 57:11
	66:4	goes 29:17 59:17	18:12,15,16	hypoxia 35:10	60:20 63:17 65:5
	follow 24 :17 41:24	going 6:6,6 7:1	Healey 7:24	36:21 44:13 49:3	infants 7:10 41:10
	46:18	ŭ 12:20 14:8,22	hear 61:19	49:23 62:11	41:24 57:15
	followed 33:11	18:8 22:8 24:7	heard 38:15 53:4	hypoxic 45 :6,21	infant's 54:14
	36:22 47:10	25:23 33:7 36:3	56:8,14 61:22	46:16 48:10,15	infection 49:22
	following 29:16	36:13 39:12	62:1	52:1	inference 18:9
	46:15 47:1 51:15	43:19 48:7 52:21	heart 20:1,4,14	•	51:3,3,3
	57:7,19	55:21 60:5 61:5	21:1,3,7,9,14,17		inferential 30:19
	follows 5:7	62:20 63:12	21:23 28:20	icing 49:8	inflection 27:13
	forgot 8:20 65:19	64:14 65:20	32:25 33:4 51:9	idea 12:20 22:17	influenced 35:4
- ,	formula 32:19	Goldwasser,does	51:23 52:21	ideal60:19	information 35:11
	forth 63:12	7:14	55:10,12	identification 6:3	55:7
	found 27:11 34:15	gone 7:4	heavily 35:4	57:15	infuses 44:19
	67:14	good 15:24 24:9	her 1:5 2:2 35:2	identify 34:18	initial 54:8,13
	four 18:17 32:9				
		37:12 48:12 49:9	HIE 25:25 46:16,21	illness 28:16	injured 33:7
	53:25	61:1 63:25	49:13,18,19 65:8	imaging 49:24	injuries 14:25 26:1
	Freeman 40:3,5,17	greater 7:1,5,8	65:8	62:15,15,21	injury 14:23 34:15
	Freeman's 40:5	67:22	highly 38:1	impact 61:6	51:16,16 57:12
	Friend 1:5	arew 13:24	him 8:16 18:2,4,5	impairment 27:17	57:20,25 62:19
	from 5:14 6:11	grossly 50:19,21	20:9 22:10 26:4	importance 12:19	63:21,24
	10:5 11:6 13:10	ٽ52:2 [°]	46:4 51:14,15,25	important 12:3	instance 31:2
	13:10,11 25:17	group 45:8,14	52:1 54:12,14	30:7 54:5,10	instant68:8
	26:16 30:6 32:16	groups 40:24	himself 20:20	55:17,19	institute 10:9,12
	34:14 35:6 39:16	growth 13:24	hold 20:20 43:8	impossible 36:19	insult 47:12 57:12
	42:11,18 54:6,20	15:13,13,18	hope 36:8 61:9	36:23 64:18	67:21
	56:2 57:7 58:5	49:21	hopefully 9:8	impression 21:25	interested 33:14
	58:18,22 59:18	guess 7:22 9:10	hoping 61: 5	impressions 35:1	interesting 33:9
	62:14 63:6	15:12 16:25	Hopkins 7:21	37:8	33:12 46:5
	full 30:25 41:18	21:20 32:5 35:3	24:18 37:6 40:4	improper 18:9	interim 40:15
	42:10,17	35:1145:13 52:9	hospital 1:12 2:14	improve 63:16	interject 20:17
	further 66:22	57:18 59:25 60:3	5:19,20 8:19	inadequate 34:16	intermediary
		64:13 65:4,13	10:11 11:6,13,20	include 11:24	27:23
	G		12:2,10 16:2,20	46:21 54:24	
		guidelines 22:20			interrupt 5:17 24:3
	G 5:1	22:22 23:2 32:25	17:3,7,17 18:24	including 66:20	intervention 57:10
	gagging 33:16	guy 7:18,23	19:10 22:18,23	income 10:5	intrapartum 40:24
	Gary 7:14	guys 14:20	23:3 35:23 38:14	incompatible 12:5	40:25 41:11
	gather 25 :7	¥-	46:25 50:3,13,14	inconsistent	42:14,24 45:1
	general 21:3 29:21	Н	50:15 54:9 63:3	18:19	intrauterine 43:12
	Ž 29:22 34:21	H 4:8	65:666:11	indicate 58:6	49:21
	37:21 64:13	Hall 59:18	hospitals 22:19	indicated 45:15	investigation
	generally 20:10,15	handling 61:21	67:9	49:12 52:14	60:15
	-20:16 48:11 50:6	happened 23:11	Hospital's 67:6	57:14	involvement 52:16
	55:12	25:8 55:23	hour 9:14 43:23	indicates 6:12	irrelevant 12:10
	genetic 15:17 66:4	happens 44:17	44:5,6	62:23	12:17 23:10
	66:6 67:1 1	55:8	hours 25:19 26:12	indicating 15:17	53:16 63:3
	gentleman 24:8	happy 24:19 25:4	26:20 27:1,7	indication 16:21	irreversible 41:12
	gestational 16:4	harm 59:13	28:7,23,24 29:18	30:1767:21	ischemia 46:20
	Ŭ16:25 17:12	harm's 53:10	30:8 39:21 40:22	indicators 40:23	ischemic 45:6,21
	gets 44:16	Harris 6:13,16,23	41:13 47:12,17	individual 38:3	46:17 48:10,15
	give 12:20 18:8	10:24 11:4	47:21,25 48:5	Individually 1:8,9	52:1
	0				· · · ·
	L				

RENNILLO REPORTING SERVICES

1.888.391.DEPO

- 460

issue 15:25 18:15	K 3:4	later 12:17 38:13	look 16:1 49:19	51:7 55:23 59:22
28:3 38:6 59:5	Kampinski10:16	41:25 43:23 44:5	looked18:16	63:25
issues 37:15 48:8	Kansas 59:19	44:6 56:1 58:19	38:22,23 54:7	Mazanec 3:5 6:14
57:2,3	Kapronica 33:18	60:12	59:20 62:21 66:1	mean 11:22 12:17
items64:22	Karen 40:3,8 56:9	latest 56:8	looking10:22 56:2	13:5,7,20,21
	keep 14:10 37:12	law6:13	59:566:15,16,20	16:5,23,24 22:4
	65:14	lawyer 10:15,16	looks63:864:1	43:23 51:10,16
J 2:7	Kennedy 10:9	lawyers 10:18,20	loosen 21:14	51:17 52:5 53:2
January 12:18	key 11:22 44:12	least 37:2	LORAIN 1:2	53:12 61:8,24
13:10,10 19:10	kidney 51:23	lecturing56:9	loses 55:24	62:4 65:25 67:3
35:8 58:18,22	52:15,21	leg 55:3	lot 5:16 13:8 14:24	meaning 53:20
62:22 64:16	kind18:18 31:9	Legal 34:3	26:15 45:3 51:10	54:2
JASMINE 1:4	32:11,23 33:5	length 30:17	53:3	means 51:9,24
jeffers 2:15 4:5	36:13 43:8 49:7	less 27:12 31:1	low 28:2,19 33:4	53:11
5:24 10:19 14:6	63:20	35:11,11 43:11	lower 15:21	measured 17:21
14:9,14,17,24	kindly65:24	45:10,18 46:6,9	LPN 33:24	measurement
16:7,9 17:5,8,25	kinds6:15 7:9	55:8 63:10 67:20	lung 52:16	17:2,9,23 19:14
18:2,8 19:3,16	65:14	let 12:23 20:17	Lupe 8:1	measurements
22:4,7 23:19,21	knew7:21,25	24:2 25:17 27:1	Lynn6:11 10:25	16:14,23 17:6
24:6,20 25:6,21	13:11	27:6 34:25 40:13	LYNNE 3:4	meconium53:13
25:25 26:3,8,21	knot21:12,13,21	45:23 46:23	L.P.A 3:5	53:18,19,25
27:4,22 28:13	56:6	49:11 58:2,3		medical 9:7 24:18
29:4,21,24 30:14	knotted36:21	61:10	М	34:3 49:19 54:9
30:19 31:7,15	56:14,17	lethargy 46:21	made 9:2 46:3	63:14
32:10,21 34:6,9	know 11:23 13:12	47:1	maintain 20:21	medical/legal 10:3
37:18 39:7,9,23	13:14 17:2 25:10	letter 4:10,11 6:7,8	maintenance57:7	10:6
40:1 41:2,5 42:5	25:14 28:9 29:1	10:22 11:11,24	major 34:15,20	Medicine57:3
43:16 51:2,5	30:11 33:25	12:4	57:12	meeting 44:25
52:6 58:1 59:3	34:25 35:6 38:7	let's 14:19 29:8,16	majority 27:17	memorial 1:12
60:8,23 61:7,13	38:19,19 40:11	37:14 43:8 52:24	make 8:22,24 9:1	2:13 11:5 16:2
61:1762:964:9	45:12 48:17,20	65:21	9:4 45:7,9 46:15	16:20 17:3,7
64:12	48:24 49:21,24	level 22:3 36:12	58:2	22:23 23:3,6
job 37:11	53:15 55:10	levels 21:1 22:4	makes 34:5 46:2	54:9,14 63:3
john 2:15 11:9	56:11,19 58:18	Lexington 1:24	48:17	memory6:22
16:8 18:4 40:3	61:20,22 62:5,19	LIENGKONG 1:13	man 14:13	10:17
50:25	knowing 60:25	life 32:24 40:23	Management 57:4	mention 11:19
Johns 24:18 37:6	61:1,2	41:14	manifest20:13	12:25 13:1 45:9
40:4	knowledge49:24	lifelong 64:2	manifestations	46:25 65:19 67:1
johnston 1:18 4:3	21:3	light 53:18 64:12	46:20	mentioned 37:20
4:9 5:3,12,13 6:2	known60:17	like 18:16 37:24	manifested21:8	44:20,23 50:1
20:19	Krieger10:9	38:22 44:14	52:2	MERRIWEATHER
Johnston's 20:24	Niegei 10.8	48:1 1 51:4 52:11	many 6:18 27:1,7	1:4,6,7,9
Journal 49:19	l		34:13 53:4 64:7	
	L1:21 2:1	53:3,7 56:24		metabolic 15:17
June 11:18 58:5	labor 29:9,16 35:2	58:20 60:5,20,21	marked6:3,8	26:1 27:24 28:4
just 5:17 12:23		61:2462:163:8	married7:22,24	43:24 45:4 46:8
14:16 15:7,25	36:6 47:17,21,25	64:1 68:3	Maryland 1:16,23	65:1 8 66:4,7,13
19:20 20:17 22:3	48:5 57:21 63:1	likely 43:4 57:11	1:24 5:5	66:21,24 67:2,4
22:16 23:4 28:18	64:19	limiting61:5	materials 5:14	67:11
29:25 30:2,2	laboratory 34:20	limits 45:17	10:25 11:1	meticulous 37:7
31:7 32:5 35:18	50:14	line 20:18 36:17	maternal49:22	michael 1:18 4:3
36:17 46:8 47:9	large 28:1 64:23	link 45:4 54:23	matter 1:20 16:3,9	5:3,12
51:2,22 53:3,11	last 36:13 61:15	little 5:15 20:2	25:13 50:25 53:6	Michigan 8:14
55:20,23 58:12	61:16 67:18	37:14 55:24	62:22	microcephaly
61:11 63:8 64:13	lasted27:19 28:9	living27:3,8	may 6:9 7:19	13:19,23 15:5,11
64:21 65:23 66:1	28:10,11 30:18	long25:10,14 28:9	10:22 37:25	15:20 16:22
V	lasts29:2,2,11,19	28:10 29:2 33:2	42:13,23	17:24 18:13 19:2
K	31:5 32:6,19	33:3 61:21	maybe15:25 34:7	19:13,22

RENNILLO REPORTING SERVICES

1.888.391.DEPO

[
might 21:24 59:12	63:16	64:15 65:7	29:4,24 30:14	24:16 36:9 38:21
59:13	necessity 48:8,9	normally13:24	31:7 32:8,10,21	39:2,4,13,25
mind 62:11	needed 47:13	18:17	38:25 58:1 60:8	40:1 43:19 52:20
minus 28:1 43:25	needing 30:25	Nos 6:2	60:23 64:20,25	57:19 64:14
minute 35:19 39:7	needs 57:14	Notary 1:225:6	65:17	opinions 64:21
43:9	negligence 37:17	note 11:2 16:2	obstetrical 40:25	Options 59:16
minutes 27:12,15	37:17	36:5 46:25 47 :19	obstetrics 34:14	oral 36:11
27:20 28:12 29:3	Nelson 40:3,8,17	47:24 64:20 67:9	obviously 12:17	order 25:1 48:20
29:12,20 30:3	56:9	noted 16:3	13:15 26:21	orders 23:7 24:12
31:5 32:7,20	neonatal 12:5	notes 28:10 34:18	55:16 56:4	24:18
45:11,18 46:7	39:18 40:21	34:25 65:14 66:3	oblgyn 19:25	organ 51:15
mischaracterizing	46:20 57:2 58:7	nothing13:15	20:19,20 21:2	organs 51:17,18
14:10	58:8 65:21,22	23:12,23 30:21	occasions 33:11	orientation 33:20
Miss23:2	neonatologist	45:16 52:22	occur 57:9 58:13	ORLANDO 1:8
mitochondrial	34:551:14	62:11	60:4	other 7:16 9:22
66:15	nervous 45:7,21	nothing's 55:23	occurred 39:20	27:24 28:16 30:1
monitoring11:7	Neuro67:10	notice 1:21 33:15	48:4 57:25 60:1	30:19 36:11
20:1 21:1,3,7	neurologic 34:14	noticed 8:12	63:1,22 67:21	37:24 49:17
monitors 55:11	35:4,9 41:25	notion 15:19	occurring 60:7	61:14 62:24
months9:16 13:25	46:20 54:23 57:4	November 12:2,9	occurs 29:11	others 18:3
15:16 18:18 19:19 35:24	58:6	13:10 17:21 35:8	off 10:4 13:25	out 9:18 10:1 14:5
58:19 62:19	neurologically 53:15	46:23 47:20 50:19 64:16 65:6	15:16 21:22	14:15 15:8 20:20
more 6:21 9:12	neurologist 9:6		37:19 53:4,4	25:8,21 55:22
12:19 27:20	14:21 63:6	nuchal21:12,21	offer 48:7	59:15 67:13
48:17 49:23	neurology 35:6	56:13 65:8	offering 23:25 officer 9:7	outlined 57:11
52:10 61:11 66:4	59:16 66:24	nucleated67:18 67:19,24	offices 1:23	outlining 10:24
67:17	neuronal 47:14	number 21:16	often 34:15 41:13	outside 23:21 over 6:6 47:11
morning 8:22 28:7	neurotoxic 47:14	36:8,15 48:21	50:7	59:17 66:1
29:1,11,18 60:2	never 30:12 36:5	54:24 59:15	oh 20:6 30:14 34:2	overall 8:7
most 13:23 21:17	44:20 56:13	nurse 23:15,17	44:12 61:15,17	oxygen 44:7,8
48:25 59:7	61:22	24:17 25:2 32:1	67:6	Oxygen 44.7,0
mother 11:7 50:6	new 33:19 36:12	33:18,19,23	ohio 1:22:10,19	Р
moving 15:15	newborn 12:1,9	nurseries 22:20	3:7 7:1,22	P5:1
MRI12:25 15:14	23:9 24:25 25:3	nursery 22:23	okay 14:18 19:24	page 4:9 40:19,20
35:17 58:19	28:6 33:10,15,24	23:9 28:7 29:17	20:6 37:4 41:22	41:10 42:4,9
62:18 64:15 66:3	50:18 54:8,13	32:18	42:21 43:6 51:21	43:10 46:10,11
MRIs65:15	55:18 67:25	nurses 23:3,8	54:3,19 56:25	52:6,7,17,18
much9:18 10:5	next 1:5 41:23	30:12 37:18	58:3 62:7 67:24	54:13 57:4 58:25
20:24 28:3 53:12	nights10:4	nurse's28:10	old14:12 44:15	59:14
64:4,5	NIH40:9 44:25	nursing23:14	49:16	Paisley2:16
multi 51:15	45:8,14 46:3	24:12	once 24:11 44:17	palsy 7:10 25:20
multiple 17:5	nincompoops		49:4,5 55:22	26:14,16 27:3,9
multi-organ 48:9	16:10	0	57:17	27:16,21 28:12
48:14 49:14 51:8	nine 19:19	D 4:1 5:1	one 6:21 13:12	29:3,23 30:4
must 21:18,18	Nobody10:19	OB 20:22 22:10	16:8,25 21:18	31:6 32:7,20
M.D 1:13,18 4:3	nonpreventable	object 17:25 18:1	36:9 39:25 40:2	37:16 40:16
5:3	40:21	22:8 24:1,1	40:6 48:21 53:7	41:13 42:12,22
Ν	nonspecific64:7	25:23 26:3 61:7	54:24 61:5,15,22	56:10,13
N 4:1,1 5:1,4	nonsurvivable 26:18	objecting 18:6	64:13 67:17,18	papers9:25
nail 49:8	norm14:10	objection 11:2 12:13 14:6,7	ones 16:18	paragraph6:12
name 5:11	normal 13:11	19:3,4,16 20:18	ongoing35:1	10:24 11:18
named 10:16	29:16 31:3,3	20:21 22:7,13	only 8:2 20:18 22:9,10 54:9,15	12:25 41:16,18
names 10:18	32:17 35:7 36:22	20:21 22:7,13	56:11 65:4	42:10,18 Parent 1:6
nauseam 51:3	44:2,3 53:10	25:5,6,21 26:2	opinion13:18 15:4	part 11:23 13:23
necessarily 51:17	58:19 62:21	27:22 28:13,14	22:15 23:11	37:11 40:16
				01.1140.10

216.523.1313

Second States

č. Ševeni zateli

Section of the

1

:<u>;</u>,...'

a state and against

-

64:24	61:19,20,22	precise25:12	pursuant 1:20	33:6 36:7,13
particular 34:17	phase 65:21,23	preexisting 57:12	pushing9:25	43:24 46:7 48:19
50:2	phenobarbital	58:9,16	put13:13,16 16:5	49:8,18,24 50:5
particularly 55:8	59:6,20,21 60:22	prepared 35:14,16	16:14,18,23	52:5,8 59:22
partner 6:13	63:13,16	preparing37:7	45:1 3	61:1 62:21 64:5
past6:16	physical 37:24	preposterous	puzzles 65:4	66:4 67:15
pathologist38:23	physician34:19	36:23	p.m 1:25 17:21	realm21:223:21
patient 35:2 62:13	physicians 34:17	present 43:4	68:6	23:25 26:5 56:21
patients 8:19 9:19	65:7,14	presented 12:1		reason20:23
37:8 40:24	physiologic 31:10	23:24	Q	38:12 59:25
pattern 15:14	32:12	pressure 28:19	quality 40:24	reasonable 15:7
24:14	picture 12:1,5,8	33:1	question 12:7 15:7	60:3 63:1 4
patterns 23:16	52:24	pretty 15:6,15	15:10 18:7 21:8	received 5:14 6:5
25:2 David 1 2:0	piece 14:12	18:17 48:11 49:9	21:20 26:18,19	recently 59:2
Pavlik 2:8	place 19:7 23:8	64:7	27:6 28:24 29:6	recited 13:8
Pearlman52:14	49:4	prevented 26:16	32:5,6 35:18	recollection 6:18
Pearlman's 49:12	placed57:15	prevention 57:8	36:1,2539:8	7:9 17:16
pediatric 14:21	placenta 38:17,22 placental 38:16,23	preventive 60:6	43:22 46:4,14	record 5:10 23:15
pediatrics 34:15 people 59:5 60:12	places 17:10	prior 5:22 10:23	48:16,17 51:14	34:24
60:13 62:3	19:20	11:3 29:9	51:19,25 52:14 54:17 56:25	recorded18:23
per66:23	plaintiff 8:3,9	probability 63:15	57:18 61:16	35:7 36:5 47:20
percent 8:8,9,10	plaintiffs 1:10,20	probably 10:8 13:13,16 15:17	62:10 64:13	65:7,12
8:11 9:21,22,24	2:6 4:3 5:6,8	18:13 21:19	65:13,20 67:17	recording 19:11 19:15,20 34:18
9:24,25 10:1,2,8	10:1964:10	43:11 47:13 49:6	questioning 20:18	34:19 38:3 54:10
27:14 49:13,13	plaintiff's 10:16	51:11	26:3	records5:18,19
49:14 52:14	plan23:15	problem 48:23	questions 18:5	5:20,21 11:6,6
55:13	plateaued 18:18	49:17 54:23	20:22,24 43:17	11:13,20,23
percentage 9:20	plausible 55:4	56:12	61:16 64:12	12:18,18,19 16:2
9:21,22 10:2,6	59:7	problems 29:9	quicker 5:16	16:21 35:23
percentile 15:21	PLEAS 1:1	proceedings2:1	quite 15:8,12	36:18 37:7,12
15:24 16:15,19	please 5:11 27:5	process 62:24	57:23 59:10	39:16,17 54:14
17:11 19:11,21	plot13:20	produce 47:14		58:5 66:10
perfect24:7	plotting 14:5	produced36:20	R	record's 30:14
perfusing 33:5	point13:9 15:24	49:1	R 5:1	recovers 32:3
perfusion 28:18	18:16 30:24 33:4	profile 54:8,13	range44:4 55:13	recurring 34:13
33:1 57:8	44:17	program 33:20	63:9	red67:18,19,25
perinatal 18:19	pointing15:8	progress 34:17,25	ranges 50:14	reducing 63:25
27:10 30:6 42:12	Polly 33:18	36:5	rapid 57:9	reference 45:7
42:23 45:8,14	poor 28:18 34:16	prolonged 27:12	rapidly 55:24	46:2,3
53:8 65:9,12	46:21 47:5 48:4	proper 49:4	Raskin 3:5 6:14	references 20:25
perinatologist	portions 65:23	prophylactic	rate 20:14 21:15	referring 40:15
56:23	position 9:12	57:16	21:17,23 28:20	45:21 [°]
period 28:24 46:19	18:11 22:2	prophylaxis57:6	32:25 33:4 55:10	reflect28:1058:8
47:12,21 58:8,10	positive 55:11,11	protection 59:22	55:11,12	reflecting 63:23
63:11	positively 67:12	proven 37:23	rather52:11	reflects 50:6
periods 33:3	positives 21:18	provided 39:24	rationale 57:10	refrigerated 38:12
permanent 43:3	possibilities63:9	provoked61:14	59:7,8,13 60:10	regarding 5:15
51:16	possible 31:22	Public 1:22 2:18	60:11 63:24	registered 33:19
person 54:4 55:20	40:23 67:4,10	5:7	read 14:1 27:4	Reiley 13:21 15:4
56:4	postdelivery28:8	publication44:24	45:13,23 46:6	15:19 25:18
personally 60:21	28:23,25 29:18	46:1	reading 39:16	26:13 39:23
60:24	post-labor63:2	published27:11	47:10 58:5	44:10 51:13
pH20:25 39:5,6,13	practice 9:11 22:5	45:1 49:20 59:16	real25:4	52:13
43:10,14,20 44:1	practiced 22:18	59:19	really 15:15 23:10	Reiley's 14:1
44:3,4,13,22	practitioner 22:6	pulled 53:12	23:11 25:12,14	related 22:24
45:4,10,18 46:9	22:16	purpose 62:4	26:16 28:3,15,17	35:10 49:6 52:10

F			1	1	-
64:19 65:1 0	results 34:20	says 19:17 34:11	60:21,22 61:6	18:18	
relates 57:1	resuscitation	35:15 39:16	63:20 66:12,23	size 18:12,16	
relating 25:2 37:15	30:25,25 33:6	41:10,23 42:11	seizures 36:21	skill 22:2,4,6 32:1	
48:8	retained 20:19	43:10 46:9 47:11	39:18 40:22,22	38:2	
relationship 10:23	retardation 49:21	57:6 59:11,11	41:13,25 42:1	skin 66:14	
11:3	review 16:20 22:3	60:10 67:10	46:22 47:9 48:25	sleep 9:15	
relative63:13	22:11 34:16 36:1	scalp 43:10,14,20	57:6,11 ,17 58:7	slow 28:19	
relatively 33:19	reviewed 22:1	44:22	58:17 59:21 60:1	small 13:22 15:9	
relevant 28:17	34:12 35:15,20	scan 13:1 36:22	60:3,6 63:14,17	18:12	
54:15,22 55:7,9	reviewing 23:6	62:18 65:15 66:3	63:21,23 64:1,6	soda 44:15,16	
56:3,4	34:23 66:2	scanning 55:6	semantic 18:15	some7:208:16	
reliability 37:24	RHONDA 1:6,7	scans 11:24 35:7	sent 10:25 11:1,5	19:24 21:3 27:25	
reliable 38:1 64:21	right8:13,19 9:6	35:17 36:22 37:1	11:11	32:2 37:14 38:12	
remarkable 37:24	9:13 11:16 13:2	scenario 29:14	sentence 41:23	47:7 51:7,17	
remember 6:17,23	. 14:14 15:8 20:23	schoenling 3:4	42:11,1846:6	52:10 55:3 59:22	
7:16,18 8:2	- 20:23 22:12,20	5:14,17,256:11	67:10	62:22,24 63:25	
10:17 68:1	26:6 30:2 36:16	10:25 11:2 12:13	September 1:17	somebody 7:21	
remembers 10:19	37:12,13 38:9,10	14:7 18:1,6 19:4	1:25	44:19 53:5,11	
Reminger 7:12,12	38:1 8 41:3,20	20:9,15,17 21:4	serious 58:8	55:16 56:23	
7:13	42:2,2,7 43:14	22:8,13 23:2,20	serum 50:2,2,9,11	someone 16:7	
Remington 7:11	43:15 44:2 45:19	25:5,23 26:2,19	50:15	32:17	
renal 51:9	45:24,24 47:4	26:23 28:14 29:5	Service 1:24	something 7:20	
render 43:19	51:18 54:11	29:13 31:16 32:8	setting 22:19	26:9 51:1 52:11	
replenish44:18	55:18,21 57:22	38:25 39:8 40:15	several 9:16 35:24	57:1 61:25	
report 11:17,19	57:23 58:1159:8	40:19 41:16	severe 30:24 45:4	sometime 35:24	
12:24 13:4,8	59:9 62:14 63:18	42:16,19 45:20	46:19,21 62:16	39:21 47:25 48:4	
23:15 24:12 25:1	64:19 67:14	45:25 46:10,12	share 15:4	sometimes 9:15	
35:14,16,19 37:1	ring 7:14	50:9 52:17 54:12	sheet 54:7,16	9:15	
37:5 39:17 58:5	ringing55:21	54:19 64:20,25	shortly 38:23	somewhat 33:9	
REPORTER27:6	rings53:8	65:17 66:10	show 23:2 39:17	60:6	
Reporting 1:23	rise 49:10	school 10:12	showed 15:15	somewhere 47:21	
reports 13:5,10	risk27:16 33:6	scope 23:22	49:2 62:22	soon 39:19 59:15	
64:17,24	RN33:24	score 27:12 28:22	showing 13:15	sorry 42:10 58:14	
represent53:5	road 60:7 61:6	46:6 54:11	side 13:22 15:9	sort 9:14 10:4	
represented 31:10	role 34:4 60;6	scores 20:25 31:3	18:12 56:9,9	16:24 26:17 28:2	
32:12	room 43:5	32:17 37:20,21	siew 1:13 3:3 11:8	44:14 45:4 53:11	
require 9:11 24:12	rounds 8:22,24	38:3	22:15 35:15 36:5	56:15,23 58:20	
requires23:15	9:1,2,4,5	screens 66:21	37:17	speak 45:10	1990.
research9;25	Rubenstein2:8	searched 66:13	Siew's 22:1 34:23	speaks 12:4	
10:12	ruled 67:12,13	seat 56:1	36:2 37:5	specific 39:5,13	
residents9:13	Ryder 3:5 6:14	second 2:9 41:18	signature 68:6	specifically 59:20	
37:6		42:9,9,10,10,17	significance55:24	63:19	
respect22:23 23:1	S	42:18 43:9	significant23:16	speech 22:5	
24:13 38:6 52:13	\$4:1,85:1	secondary 65:8,9	24:13 27:24	speech 22.0 spend 63:10	
respects23:16	safe 57:13	seconds 61:11	signs 28:16 34:18	spent 9:19	
53:18	Salomon 1:23	see 5:13,18 14:4	43:3	spite 65:6	
respiratory46:22	same 19:3,4 24:4	15:22 30:23	silent 62:20	Square 2:18	
47:7	26:2 32:25 41:10	32:22 35:22	simple 36:7	staff 24:12	
responded 31:8	43:10 46:15	38:20 48:11 49:9	since 26:4 37:22	staining 53:19	
responsibility	59:18	52:8 56:19 62:17	45:12 57:16	Stand 14:17	
9:14	sample 39:11	62:18 65:10,11	sit 8:18 12:8 21:25	standard 22:25	
rest 51:10 55:9	SAR 46:24	65:25	25:14 36:16		
result 25:19 26:14	Saturday 1:17,25	seeing37:9	38:21	23:1,5,13,18 24:15 36:9	
27:2,8,20 28:12	save 5:16 51:7	seen 5:22 13:9	situation 26:11		
29:3,22 30:3	save 5.10 51.7	22:22 31:13,19	30:9 60:19 64:18	standing23:7	
31:6 32:7,20	saw 13.20 00.20			24:11,17 25:1	
41:11 43:3 50:22	33:2 56:2 58:15	55:1062:3 seizure47:3,15	situations 64:3	standpoint 35:6	
41.1145.550.22	00.2 00.2 00.10	5CIZUIC47.3,10	six 13:25 15:16	54:6,20 56:2	
	1				1

216.523.1313

RENNILLO REPORTING SERVICES

1.888.391.DEPO

8

sendities and the

A Charles and and

調査法 **HAMMAN**

SUNAN S

語ららない

1

•

.....

	1			1
start 5:13 14:8	summary 66:9	testimony 14:10	9:19 10:4 11:11	two-thirds 49:2
32:24	supersedes 44:24	23:22,25 25:17	11:17,21 15:21	type 20:22
Starting 42:19	support 32:24	48:8	18:24 26:24	typically 47:11
starts 32:18	sure 11:3,22 12:16	Thank 46:12 62:7	28:24 29:8,9	cypically 47.11
state 1:227:22 9:5	13:7,22 19:17			U
		64:9	30:17,18 31:23	
11:12,20 13:3	20:6,8 21:11,24	their 36:12 37:8	32:2 33:3,20,24	UH 5:21 66:10
15:3 16:19 28:11	26:8,8 31:25	55:20 57:9	34:24 35:6,16	Uh-huh 24:5 59:9
55:25	35:21,24,25	theories 67:19	36:25 37:4,8	under 2:2 9:13
statement 1115	37:10 41:8 48:6	theory 61:4,8	47:2,13 48:25	24:25 40:4 55:17
13:1 16:13 20:7	50:23 54:4 58:3	therapy 57:13	49:3 51:8 52:24	60:12
25:20 34:21	67:8	thick 53:13,19,24	53:4 54:7 55:19	understand 12:24
40:14 41:7,9,19	survive 26:17	thing 28:2 33:5	58:20 62:23	40:2 64:13,23
42:15 43:1 58:24	27:17	44:13 54:15 65:4	63:10	understanding
statements 15:6	switched 46:8	things 16:25 21:16	timely 34:19	53:17 57:19
status 63:16	sworn 1:21 5:6	21:19 53:7 59:12	times 32:9 33:10	unfold 58:17,20
stay 65:5	symptoms 43:4	61:14	37:3 53:25	unfolded 58:21
Stenotype 2:1	syndrome 46:17	think 7:10,11 8:7	tissue 33:1	
				unfortunately
stiffening 39:19	system 45:7,22	8:21 9:2 12:4,15	tissues 33:5	43:13
58:7	systemic 28:16	12:17 13:8,9,16	today 5:21,23 6:5	University 5:19,20
still 27:17 40:6	S-i-e-w 11:8	13:21 15:7,24	8:18 12:8 22:1	11:13,19,23 12:2
59:5 60:12,13		17:5 18:11,14	25:14 35:21	12:10 17:17
stool 55:4	Т	25:16 26:17	36:17 38:22	18:24 19:10
stools 50:19,22	T 4:1,1,8	27:14 28:3 29:25	61:12 62:12	24:18 35:23 37:6
52:3,9	tachycardia 20:4	30:5 36:7,16	told 17:20 21:4	46:25 50:3,13
stop 31:14,18	21:8	43:20,22,23,24	top 43:9	65:5 66:11 67:6
32:23 57:17	take 32:2 49:18	44:24 45:2,3	total 35:20 36:18	67:9
stopped 25:11,15	taken 1:23 2:1	48:17,19 49:4,7	40:1	unless 44:19
26:12	39:6,12 46:24	49:9,18 50:20	totally 12:10,16	until 15:16 35:21
stops 24:25 25:3	taking 23:8 68:8	53:6,6,21 54:21	53:10	47:2 62:21 65:11
30:2 32:16,19	talk37:14 44:21	54:22 55:1,7,12	Tower 2:17	updated 59:2
strip 21:9	45:2,3 52:24	58:2,4 59:2,6,10	tracings 55:12	use 18:14 55:10
strips 11:7	talking 23:4 28:6	59:1 60:5,13	train 37:6,7	63:13,15
	28:17,18,19,23			
strongest 57:9		61:10,11 62:14	training 38:2	used7:22 15:12
59:7,13 60:10	32:17 35:13 39:9	62:14,18,20 63:5	transcribed 2:2	using32:23,24
strongly 57:13	46:16 66:22 67:7	63:9,18,18 64:1	transcript 11:8	usually9:16 26:15
studied49:13	teach 37:12	64:4,5 65:1,20	22:11	28:1 50:7
studies 49:12	teacher 9:13	66:1 67:15,16	treat 60:3,4,21	
study 27:11 30:7	teachers 40.6	thinking 51:6	61:4	V
44:11 45:18 49:	teaching 9:6,24	third 15:21,23	treating 9:19 64:6	V 1:18 4:3 5:3,12
49:2,15,20 53:9	tell 6:14 10:10	16:15,18 55:3	treatment 35:1	various 22:19
59:18	11:1 25:3 53:17	though 12:24	57:6 59:16 63:1	versus 8:9 26:1
subject 24:4	61:20	19:25 30:1 35:19	63:13	59:21
subjective 37:21	telling 11:25 13:23	50:6 60:25	trial 59:20 60:15	very 13:12 28:2
37:22,25	18:2	thought 15:6,13	60:17	33:4 36:7 43:9
subsequent 41:12	ten 60:12 67:20,22	15:23,24 16:15	trials 60:13	55:21 56:3,4
substantial46:18	term 46:16	43:8 55:16 61:13	tried 58:4 61:24	58:4 61:13 65:2
	Terminal 2:17			vigorous 57:13
sucking 54:4		three 25:19 26:12	trigger 33:6	
suctioned 53:25	terminology 15:25	26:19 37:2 38:13	true 21:12	vinegar 44:15,16
suddenly 31:4	terms 12:11 18:15	39:24	truly 53:9 63:23	violation 23:18
suffer 31:23	32:25 45:10 56:3	through 1:5 65:10	trying 54:23 55:15	24:14
suffered 41:10	terrible 10:18	throughout65:5	Tucker 11:9 13:8	visualized 55:5
suffering 63:17	territory 59:18	Thursday 9:1,2	turned 44:16	vital 34:18
sufficient 41:11	Tertiary 34:4	56:8	twice 29:6	volume 57:1
43:2	testified 5:76:25	thyroid 49:22	two 16:10,24 18:3	vs 1:11
suggested 40:23	8:3 44:11	tight 56:13,18 65:8	34:15 35:7 36:7	
Suite 3:6	testify 39:12	tighten 21:14	36:15,22 43:16	W
sum 35:20 36:18	testifying 36:4	time 5:16 6:1,6	54:25 61:16	N 2:15
			~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	1	1	1	

1.888.391.DEPO

wait 39:7 43:16,16	31:23 45:12	29:15,15 31:20	1995 46:2	5th 66:19
waiting 58:20	white 62:22	32:4 33:14,17	1997 45:1	5/18/99 4:10
waived 68:7	whole 51:10	34:22 37:10 38:5	1998 61:2	50 2:18 21:19
want 14:12,15	wife 7:19	38:15 39:11,22	1999 1:17,25 6:9	
17:25 18:5,22	WILLIAM 2:7	40:12 41:8 42:21		50th 19:11,20
29:2 36:17 49:8			10:22 11:18	6
	wise 9:20,21	43:2 44:8,12		
Washington 56:11	witness 1:19,21	45 :13 47:6,18	2	6 4:10,11 29:1 3
wasn't 33:24	4:2 5:5 6:1 11:5	48:2 50:20,23	2 4:11 6:2 44:17	6/23/994:11
35:19 47:5 54:25	12:15 14:20 15:1	51:20 56:23 59:1	2:40 68:6	609:2149:13
55:6 67:12	16:14 17:14	60:25 61:9,9,15	20 9:25 27:15,20	52:18
waste 6:6	18:11 19:6 22:9	62:1 63:5 65:1	28:12 29:3,12,19	614 3:8
watching 51:2	24:9,21 25:7	66:11 67:3,23	30:3 31:5 32:7	624:5
way 5:15 8:2 10:14	27:10,23 28:15	year 9:17,18 34:6	32:19 53:10	63 52:7
22:18 34:2 44:18	29:25 30:5 31:9	45:25	20s 28:2	67 49:12 52:14
53:10 63:5 65:10	31:20 32:11,22	years 8:15 56:1	200 1:24	687-3214 2:20
Wednesday 9:3	- 39:1,14 40:5	60:12	216 2:11,20	007-52142.20
well 8:14 9:7,14	41:15,20 42:21			7
		yesterday 8:24	228-5931 3:8	
12:4,15,23 13:20	45:23 46:5 50:11	yet-to-be-diagn	23 11:18	7 45:10,18 46:9
15:6,16,23 16:1	51:7 52:8,20	62:24	23rd 58:5	7.1 43:11
19:5 21:16 22:9	54:21 58:2,12,15		24 9:14 39:21	7.21 44:1
25:24 26:15	59:4,10 60:9,25	Z	47:12,16,21,25	707 5:4
28:15 35:3,18,22	61:9 62:12 65:1	Zero 53:23	48:4	7259:2,3,15
36:24 37:22	65:25 66:11 68:7		244 40:20	781-87002:11
38:18 43:5 44:23	word 15:13 18:14	1	245 41:17	
47:9 48:11,16,20	42:19	1 4:10 6:2,8	247 42:9	8
49:16 50:1 51:25	words 27:25 49:17	1.5 50:7,10	25 59:14	829:14
53:2 54:21 57:24	work 40:4	1.6 50:7	25th 17:11	8-566:13
58:2 60:9 63:25	worked 6:12,15	1:00 35:21	250 3:6	808:11
66:8	7:11,17,18,19,19	1:10 1:25	2500 2:17	858:10
were 6:2 7:9,10	working 63:7	1:3027:1928:7		
8:14 10:15 11:1			26 43:25 4 4:5	87 34:8,9 41:4
	works 60:11 63:6	29:1,11,1760:2	3	88 41:5
12:11 13:11 15:6	workup 66:14,24	108:7,968:2,3		0
16:10,15,18,23	wouldn't 17:13	100 10:1,2	3 27:12,15 31:1,11	9
17:5 18:3 23:7,7	24:19 25:4	106 57:4 58:25	32:13 44:20	950:3,14,16
23:8 29:9 33:20	write 55:17 57:5	11-9212:19 13:11	45:17 46:6	9th 66:9
33:23 34:20 35:1	58:4 65:8	115 34:12	3rd 67:10	90 8:9,11
35:7 36:12 37:23	writing20:25	1228:7,23,24	32 18:3	93 12:18,18 13:12
38:11,14 49:17	65:14	29:18 30:8 39:21	32.5 17:9	58:18 62:22
49:23 50:20	written 8:16 36:10	47:12,16,25 48:4	33 49:14	95 55:1 3
53:10,10 56:9	40:3 55:20 65:21	12th 12:2,9 17:21	33.2 17:22 18:23	98 49:20 59:19
60:1,17 62:17	65:22	46:24 60:2		98CV120349 1:11
63:19 64:15	wrong 16:24 51:1	13th 47:20	4	99 55:13
66:13,16,20,20	wrote 11:17 12:24	I359 44:11 67:25	4 43:25 54:13	
66:22 67:25 68:2	13:4 16:7 34:2,3	14 46:11	4th 66:19,22	
68:3	36:25 37:4 53:2	14th 50:19	4:00 60:2	
iveren't 43:7 66:15				
	56:5 57:1 65:1 8	15 8:7,9 9:24 10:8	4:15 17:21	
Nest 2:9	X	27:12,14	40 9:22	
ivestern 49:2		1660 2:9	400 3:6	
Neston 2:16	K 1:3,15 4:8	18 1:17,25 6:9	43215 3:7	
we'll 14:18		10:22	44 19:19	
ve're 28:6 32:17	Y	18th 5:24	441132 :10	
33:2 45:21 46:16	/eah 6:10 7:3,13	1 950's 37:23	441 13-22412:19	
56:265:20	7:15,25 8:7,11	1986 34:7	45 8:21	
ve've 6:8	8:17,20 11:14	1 988 41:2	48 40:22 41:13	
whack 14:5	14:3 17:14 19:6	1989 57:1 61:2		
Nhatsoever23:23	20:2 21:1 1 22:21	1992 35:8 65:6	5	
while 12:9 13:25	25:16 26:15,21	1 993 19:10 35:8	5 4:4 9:24]
L	•	l	I	

10

216.523.1313

No.

North State

No. 194

Science of

. .

. J

NG 5 119199 MARCH STRAN, M.D. VP. MEDICAL & COLUMNICATIV PROGRAMS

MAZANEC, RASKIN & RYDER CO., L.P.A. ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELLORS AT LAW

THOMAS S. MAZANEC TODD M. RASKIN EDWARD M. RYDER KAREN E. LEE JOHN T. MCLANDRICH JAMES A. CLIMER BEVERLY A. HARRIS CHRISTOPHER J. RUSS DENNIS M PILAWA JOHN T. HANNA D. CHERYL ATWELL JOSEPH F. NICHOLAS, JR. ROBERT H. STOFFERS B. MICHAEL O'NEAL LESLIE W CHAVERS JOSEPH H WANTZ RICHARD G ROSS DEANNA L DIPETTA

e-mail: lschoenling@mrrlaw.com

May 18, 1999

VIA FACSIMILE AND OVERNIGHT MAIL (410) 502-9524

LI DONAL LEWIS DOUGLAS G LEAK COLLEEN H PETRELLO LYNNE K SCHOENLING KIMBERLY A BRENNAN JOHN L CULLEN SUSAN B RUSNAK PAUL J CRKTALLO ROBERT B SUTHERLAND DEBORAH W YUE DAVID A GOLDSTEIN ELISABETH D GENTILE MICHAEL E SNAVELY CYNTHIA J WORTHING JEFFREY T KAY DAVID R FENN

ROBERT D KENSEY (19601993)

Michael Johnston, M.D. John Hopkins University Hospital 707 N. Broadway, 6th Floor Baltimore, Maryland 21205

NEDWATH SeiZ AL Aregor 6,8 ABG-() Parsistert Seiz = AbNE Marit'S

Re: Jasmine Merriweather, etal. v. Elyria Memorial Hospital, etal. Lorain County Common Pleas Court Case No.: 98 CV 120349 Our File No.: 74137



Dear Dr. Johnston:

Ireceived your name from Beverly Harris, a partner in our firm, who has worked with you in the past and highly recommended you as a potential pediatric-neurologist expert in the above-captioned matter.

I represent Dr. Liengkong Siew, a OBGYN, who, along with Elyria Memorial Hospital, has been sued by Rhonda and Orlando Merriweather in a medical malpractice action arising out of the labor and delivery of their daughter, Jasmine Merriweather. Plaintiffs allege that Dr. Siew was negligent and fell below the standard of care in the prenatal care given to Rhonda Merriweather and in the labor and delivery management of the infant. In essence, it is Plaintiffs' allegation that Dr. Siew fell below the standard of care in failing to properly monitor the fetus and take appropriate steps to perform a @section to avoid injury to the infant. It is alleged that the infant suffered hypoxic ischemic encephalopathy during labor and delivery which, it is alleged, directly and proximately resulted in cerebral palsy, epilepsy and mental retardation to the child. This case is filed in the Lorain County Court of Common Pleas in Elyria, Ohio. It is set for Trial on November 1, 1999. The Court has <u>not</u> imposed a discovery cutoff date. The Court has ordered that Defendants' expert reports be exchanged by June 1, 1999; however, I am requesting a three (3) week extension which I fully expect the Court to grant, allowing us until June 22, 1999 to provide defense expert reports.

By separate overnight package, I am also forwarding you the following:

- (1) Elyria Memorial Hospital records 11/11/92 through 11/13/92 (infant's records);
- (2) Elyria Memorial Hospital records 11/11/92 through 11/13/92 (mother's records);
- (3) Fetal monitoring strips;
- (4) Deposition transcript of Dr. Liengkong Siew;
- (5) Report of Howard J. Tucker, M.D., Plaintiffs' neurological expert; and
- (6) Report of John Engel, M.D., Plaintiffs' gynecological expert.

After you have had the opportunity to review the information outlined herein, as well as the overnight package, I would appreciate it if you could contact me via telephone to discuss your initial opinions and findings.

I would also ask that your offices fax me your current curriculum vitae and fee schedule.

Your attention and cooperation in this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

MAZANEC, RASKIN & RYDER CQ., L.P.A.

I

Lynne K. Schoenling

LKS/jem Enclosures G:\LKS\74137\lks-021.johnstonltr.doc

MAZANEC, RASKIN & RYDER CO., L.P.A.



Michael ₽Johnston MD Senior Vice President Chief Medical Officer Director, Division of Neurology and Developmental Medicine	June 23, 1999	BarFr: 1		Professor of Neurology and Pediatrics Johns Hopkins University School & Medicine
Ms Lynne K Schoenling Mazanec, Raskin and Ryder 250 Civic Center Drive Suite 400 Columbus, Ohio 43215		11-92 1-93 8-93	NL CT NL MR Atrophyt Serchi	

RE: Jasmine Merriweather v. Elyria Memorial Hospital

Dear Ms. Schoenling,

1.50

I reviewed the records you sent me on this case including Elyria Hospital records from 1992, the deposition of Dr. Siew and written reports by Drs. Tucker and Engel.

The records show that the infant experienced neonatal seizures associated with cyanotic episodes and stiffening soon after birth. She has continued to have a serious neurologic disorder that includes intractable seizures, mental retardation and cerebral palsy. So far, the underlying cause of this neurologic disorder has not been diagnosed specificially. There is absolutely no evidence that the clinical picture relates to diffuse hypoxia during labor and delivery, nor is there evidence that hypoxia after birth caused it. First, this would not be a typical picture for a disorder caused by "diffuse hypoxia." Secondly, the 5 minute Apgar score of 8 and arterial blood gases showing a total absence of severe metabolic acidosis document that there was no significant hypoxia during labor and delivery.

The records indicate that both the child's current neurologic condition and the neonatal seizures with stiffening and cyanosis in the neonatal period reflect a serious preexisting disorder of brain development that antedated birth by a considerable period of time.

Sincerely.

Michael V. Johnston, M.D. Professor of Neurology and Pediatrics

