× 2

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

JUNE 28,2001

	Page 1	Page	33
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO LESLIE WALTER, ADMIN, etc., Plaintiff, vs Case No. 393899 METROHEALTH MEDICAL CENTER, et al, Defendants.	1 APPEARANCES: 2 On behalf of the Plaintlff 3 Becker & Mishkind Co., L.P.A. 4 JEANNE M. TOSTI, ESQ. 5 1660 West Second Street 6 660 Skylight Office Tower 7 Cleveland, Ohio 441 13 8 216-241-2600 9 10 10 Nb behalf of the Defendant 12 Reminger & RemInger Co., L.P.A. 13 THOMAS A. KILBANE, ESQ. 14 The 1 13 St. Clair Building 15 Cleveland, Ohio 441 14 16 216-687-1311 17 18 19 21 22 23	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Page 2 ² the Plaintiff for examination under the statute, taken before me, Vivian L Gordon, a Registered Diplomate Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, pursuant to agreement of counsel, at the offices of Huron Hospital, 1 3951 Terrace Road, Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at 7:00 o'clock p.m. on the day and date above set forth.	 Page RICHARD FRIRES, M.D., a witness herein, called for examination, as provided by the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, being by me first duly swom, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION OF RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. BY MS. TOSTI: Q. Doctor, would you please state your full name for us. A. Dr. Richard Frires. Q. What is your business address? A. Emergency department, Huron Hospital, 13951 Terrace Road, East Cleveland, Ohio, 441 12 Q. Have you ever had your deposition taken before? A. I do not track that precisely. I could give you a ballpark estimate. Q. If you would, please. A. I would say ten to 15 times. Ten to 20, perhaps. Q. I'm going to go over some of the ground rules. I am sure you have had a chance to talk with defense counsel. 	

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

.

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et ai.

	Page 5		Page 7
13 14 15	This is a question and answer session. It's under oath and it's Important that you understand the questions that I æk you. If you don't understand the questions, lust let me know and I'll be happy to repeat the question or to rephrase it; otherwise, I'm going to assume that you understood my question and that you are able to answer it. it's important that you give ail of your answers verbally because our court reporter can't take down head nods or hand motions, and if at any point in time you would like to refer to the medical records, please feel free to do so. At some point defense counsel also may chose to enter an objection. You are still required to answer my question unless for some reason he Instructs you not to do so. Do you understand those instructions? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, the materials that you have before you on the table, is that your complete file on this case?	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 period. I would estimate roughly that it has varied between five and 15 cases per year. Q. How many files do you currently have that you are reviewing? A. I am really not tracking that. I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24 25	Page 6 A. Yes. Q. Has anything been removed from your file? A. No. Q. Is there anything that you've reviewed that you didn't bring with you today? A. No. Q. Have you been provided any fact summaries or depo summaries or anything like that? A. No. Q. Have you provided Mr. Kllbane or his law firm with any bills for your services to date? A. Yes. Q. Are those contained in your materials in front of you? A. No. Q. Where are those? A. I believe I have a copy in my file at home. MS. TOSTI: I would make a request for those. Q. Doctor, I would like to talk to you a little bit about your experience In	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 2 3 14 15 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 2 3 14 5 5 6 7 8 9 0 10 11 2 3 14 5 15 16 7 10 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 10 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 10 10 11 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Page 8 been for plaintiff and approximately what proportion for defendant? A. Approximately, It's about a 50-50 mix. Q. And of the cases in which you were consulted by plaintiff, how many times have you found substandard care? A. I have not tracked that result. I can't answer that. Q. Now, doctor, you said you had your deposition taken anywhere from about ten to 20 times. Were all of those as an expert? A, Yes. Q. Have you ever testified at trial as an expert? A. Yes. Q. Approximately how many times have you done that? A. I have testified at trial before a jury twice in the past, and had one videotaped deposition, testimony, that was utilized at the trial. Q. The two times that you testified live at trial, was that for plaintiff or for defendant?

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

JUNE 28,2001

Page 9	Page 11
1 A. One of each.	I A. I believe so.
2 Q. What is your charge for consultation	2 Q. You have to answer verbally so that
3 on legal matters? 4 A. My fee is \$350 per hour for reviewing	 3 she can take it down. 4 I take it none of those cases are
5 cases and reading depositions.	5 currently pending; is that correct?
6 Q. And what is your charge for	6 A. Correct. I was dismissed in each of
7 deposition testimony?	7 those cases prior to any depositions.
8 A. The same.	8 Q. Could you tell me what the allegation
9 Q. And how about for trial?	9 of negilgence was in those cases?
10 A. For trial I charge the same hourly	10 A. i don't remember a single one of 11 them, I'm sorry. They were the type of cases
11 rate, but for a minimum of a four hour day. 12 0. Have you ever provided your name to a	11 them, I'm sorry. They were the type of cases12 that involved blanket naming of a series of
13 professional service or medical/legal consulting	13 physicians that at some point in time of care
14 firm indicating that you were available to do	I 4 was involved with these patients and I really
15 medical/legal consultations?	15 don't remember the details.
16 A. No.	16 Q. Doctor, have you been asked by
17 Q. Other than this case, have you ever	17 Mr. Kllbane to arrange your schedule to testify
18 consulted on a medical/legal matter for	18 in the trial of this matter? 19 A. Yes.
19 Mr. Kilbane's law firm? 20 A. Yes.	19 A. Yes.20 Q. Do you have a copy of your curriculum
20 A. Tes. 21 Q. How many times have you done that?	21 vitae with you?
22 A. I cannot even give you an estimate.	22 A. No, I do not.
23 I would say six to 12 times over the years.	23 Q. Doctor, what is your date of birth?
24 Q. Have you ever worked with Mr. Klibane	24 A. July 7th, 1949.
25 before?	25 Q. Where did you go to medical school?
Page 10	Page 12
1 A. I belleve so , yes, on a peripheral	A. I graduated from Rush Medical
2 basis. He was a co-attorney on one case that I	2 College.
3 was involved with.	3 Q. And what year was that?
4 Q. Do you know how it is that he or his	4 A. 1977.
5 law firm came to consult you in regard to this	5 Q. What was your undergraduate degree
6 case?	6 in?
 7 A. No. 8 Q. When were you first contacted? 	 7 A. I had a BA in psychology from the 8 University of Wisconsin, Madison.
9 A. I believe I was originally contacted	9 Q. Did you do a residency after you
10 about this case in June of the year 2000, about	10 completed medical school?
11 a year ago.	11 Å. Yes.
12 Q. Have you ever been named as a	12 Q. Where did you do a residency?
13 defendant in a medical negligence case?	13 A. At Cook County Hospital, Chicago,
14 A. Yes.	14 Illinois.
 15 Q. How many times? 16 A. I believe three times in the past. 	15 Q. And what area of medicine was your16 residency in?
17 Q. When were those cases filed,	17 A. Specialty of family practice.
18 approximately?	18 Q. How long was that residency?
19 A; I would say the first two cases were	19 A. Three years.
20 filed ten to 13 years ago, and the most recent	20 Q. And would that be from 1977 until
21 case was in 1995.	21 1980?
22 Q. Were those cases filed here in	22 A. Correct.

23 Cleveland? 24 A. I belleveso.

25 Q. Cuyahoga County?

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

PATTERSON-GORDON REPORTING, INC. 216.771.0717

Q.

Α. Yes.

24 period?

23

25

And you completed the full residency

•

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et ai.

JUNE 28,2001

Page 13	Page 15
 Q. Following that residency, did you do any other residencies or fellowships? A. No. Q. Do you have any particular training in emergency medicine? A. Via clinical practice and experience, Yes, but no formalized residency program. Q. You are licensed in the State of Ohio to practice medicine; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. When did you become licensed in Ohio? A. In 1983. Q. Do you currently hold a license in any other state? A. Yes. Q. What other states? A. The state of Oregon. Q. Have you ever held a license in any other states? A. Just in Illinois, during my time there. Q. Has your license in Ohio or any other state ever been suspended, revoked or called into question? A. No. 	 exam, it required 5,000 clinical hours in the emergency department, or seven years of experience. Q. And did you pass board certifications on your first attempt? A. Yes. Q. Now, doctor, could you just give me an overview of your work history after completing your family practice residency? A. Yes. After completing residency, I began to work as an emergency physician immediately within the Chicago area. For the following three years I worked as an emergency department physician at several hospitals within the Chicago land area. In 1983, 1 moved back to Cleveland, Ohio, which was my original home, and began to practice here at Huron Hospital, and I have been here since. Q. Since you came back to Cleveland, has all of your clinical work been In the field of emergency medicine? A. Yes. Q. Do you do any family practice medicine now?
Page 14	Page 16
 Q. Now, doctor, you are not board certified In any area of medicine; is that correct? A. No, that's not correct. Q. Let me reask it. Are you board certified in any area of medicine? A. Yes. Q. What area? A. I'm double boarded. I have board certification in the specialty of family practice, and I also have board certification in emergency medicine. Q. When did you obtain your board certification in family practice board certification was obtained In 1980. Q. And In emergency medicine? A. In 1986. Q. And I take it the board certification for emergency requirement in emergency medicine? A. No. Q. What type of requirement does it require as far as clinical practice? A. At the time that I qualified for that 	 A. No. Q. Do you hold any administrative positions currently? A. Yes. Q. What are your administrative positions? A. I'm the chairman of the department of emergency medicine here at Huron Hospital. I also have some administrative responsibility in terms of medicai staff affairs. Q. Any particular titles that you hold in regard to that? A. I'm the treasurer for the medical staff, and past chief of staff at this institution. I also am chairman of the continuing medical education program here. Q. Doctor, I do not have a copy of your curriculum vitae. Do you have any type of professional publications that you have authored or co-authored? A. Lakeland Emergency Associates. Q. Do you provide professional services for any other entity besides Lakeland Emergency

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

. .

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

JUNE 28, 2001

	Page 17		Page 19
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 5 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 Associates? A. No. Q. How long have you been an employee of Lakeland Emergency Associates? A. Since 1988. Q. Are all of your emergency services provided here at Huron Hospital's main campus? A. No. Q. Where else do you provide emergency services? A. I have staff privileges for emergency medicine at Hillcrest Hospital and at South Pointe Hospital. I periodically provide emergency services at those institutions, as well. Q. How often are you going to Hillcrest and South Pointe, just on average? A. In the past, it was with minor frequency. Lately, in the last year or two, or three, I don't believe I have even pulled a shift there, so it's very rarely. Q. Now, I would like you to describe for me your professional responsibilities and how you divide your professional time. What percentage of your professional time is spent in 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24 25	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 18 the clinical practice of emergency medicine? A. I'm in the clinical practice of emergency medicine about 85 percent of my time. The rest of the time is spent with various administrative functions. Q. Have you ever been involved in any research dealing with the subject matter of bacterial endocarditis, prosthetic heart valves, echocardiography? A. No. Q. Doctor, you do not hold yourself out as an expert in the area of cardiology, do you? A. No. Q. Vascular surgery or vascular medicine? A. No. Q. Or neurology? A. No. Q: Has your medical license ever been suspended, revoked or called into question? A. No. Q. Have you ever lectured or taught on the subject matter of bacterial endocarditis or prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I don't recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 20 finalized? A. No. Q. Does your March 27th report summarize ail of the opinions that you currently hold in this case? A. To the best of my knowledge, yes. Q. And the opinions that you have stated in your March 27th report, do you still maintain all of those opinions? A. Yes. Q. Do you at this dime intend to do any additional work or review any additional materials in this case before trial? A. I do not intend to do so. If I'm asked by counsel to review something else prior to trial, I would do as he requests. Q. Now, doctor, you have referenced in your report a number of materials that you reviewed prior to offering your report; correct? A. Correct. Q. I'm not going to go through those, but I note that there are a couple things that are available in this case that I don't see here. I don't see a reference to the

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 21 Southwest General Hospital March 10th, '98 records, and I'm wondering if you reviewed those and that they just aren't mentioned here in your report? A. Southwest General Hospital emergency room visit report is listed as the fifth item. Q. For March 10th of '98 J don't see that. MR. KILBANE: To clear up some confusion, there is a copy of that chart as part of the outpatient chart from Metro. I know he has seen it. MS. TOSTI: So obviously, it's in his Metro records then. THE WITNESS: Right. Q. And I don't see a deposition of Dr. Alexander, who is the vascular surgeon in this case. Did you review that deposition? A. I have not received that deposition to review. Q. And I also do not see Cleveland Clinic records or records from Broadview Muiticare, which Is the nursing home. Have you reviewed any records from those? A. This volume contains some records	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 23 any textbooks? A. Repeat that, please. Q. in formulating your opinions, did you refer to any medical literature, journal articles, textbooks, that type of thing? A. I believe a year ago, some time after I Initially received the records to review, I did review a few case reports regarding endocarditis and reviewed some of the topics in some general medical textbooks. Q. Can you tell me what those materials were that you reviewed? A. I really can't. I did not maintain those or I don't even recall which sources they were. Q. As you sit here today, are there any particular publications that you believe have particular significance to the issues in this case? A. No. Q. in the preparation of your report, did you consult with any physiclans at any time? A. No. Q. Doctor, prior to accepting this case for review, did you have any contact with any of
I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 22 from the Broadview Multicare facility, as well as some Cleveland Clinic records. Q. Did you have those before you authored your report? A. No. Q. So you have seen those since the time of your report? A. Yes. Q. Have you reviewed the reports of defense experts, Dr. Arrnitage and Dr. Lesnefsky? A. No. Q. Have you since the time of your report reviewed the depositions of Dr. Rozman , Dr. Brachfeld, or Dr. Balrd? A. Yes, all three. Q. Now , at any time when you are reviewing the materials in this case, did you ask defense counsel to provide you with anything additional? A. No. Q. Have you read all the depositions that you have been provided? A. Yes. Q. In formulating your opinions on this case, did you refer to any medicai literature ,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 24 the medical providers named in Earline Miwy's records? A. No. Q. Have you ever had any contact with any of the experts that have been identified in this case? And those would Include Dr. Claude Brachfeld, Dr. Ian Baird, Dr. Raymond Rozman, Dr. Edward Lefnesky and Dr. Keith Arrnitage. A. Yes. Q. And which of those have you had some contact with? A. I have had minimal contact with Dr. Keith Armitage. Q. Any of the others? A. No. Q. And can you lust tell me in what manner you had contact with Dr. Armitage? A. Yes. I am currently a member of the Northeast Ohio Society for Emergency Medicine, which is a society of emergency physicians in the area, and, periodically, we recruit prominent physicians in the area to give talk, to our group on various subjects. And I believe that on at least one, perhaps two, occasions he was one of the

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

JUNE 28,2001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 in Instruction of that course. Q. When is the last time that you provided those types of services at Metro? A. I would say maybe six months ago. Q. Are you actually providing 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 27 you are going to be rendering opinions on that met the standard of care, are you referring to the emergency room physicians that provided care on those dates that I have lust referenced? A. Yes. Q. And those, from what I understand, would be Dr. PennIngton, Dr. Poponick, and Dr. Maxine Gilles? A. Yes. Q. Are you going to be offering opinions on any other physiclans in regard to the care that they rendered to Earline Mizsey? A. No. Q. Now, doctor, your report does not reference any opinions as to the cause of her multipie strokes or the cause of death. Are you going to be offering any opinions on those subject matters? A. No. Q. I believe your report does have some opinions in reference to her right leg symptomatology, and I take it you will be
23 24 25	instructional services then where you actually teach a class? A. Yes.	23 24 25	offering some opinions on her right leg symptoms and cause of that? A. Yes.
	Page 26 Q. How much time is Involved when you do that? Is this a one day or several days? A. It's typically a one to two-day course. Q. Doctor, when you were reviewing this case, did you prepare any personal notes on the case? A. No. Q. Have you ever generated any notes In regard to this case? A. No. Q. Now, doctor, in regard to your report in the first paragraph of it, you state that you were writing an answer to your meaning Mr. Kilbane's request that you provide him with an expert opinion on the care rendered to Ms. Earline MIzsey by the emergency room physicians in the emergency department at MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio, on April 2 Ist, '98, April 26th, of '98 and May 6th of '98. Was that the assignment that you were given In this case? A. Yes. Q. And in regard to the physicians that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 Page 28 Q. How often In your practice do you see patients with bacterial endocarditis? A. I have been practicing emergency medicine for 21 years, and in that time period, I've seen probably six cases or <i>so</i>. Q. Of those six cases, how many of them have been prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. One or two that I recall. Q. Have you personally diagnosed a patient with bacterial endocarditis? A. Yes. Q. How many times have you done that? A. Twice. Q. Have either of those cases been prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I believe <i>so</i>. Q. One of them, two of them? A. Yes, one of them. Q. Doctor, in the cases that you diagnosed endocarditis, was that on the basis of blood cultures or echocardlogram? A. No, it wasn't. Q. Could you tell me the basis for your diagnosed?

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

		_	
Ì			
ļ	Page 29		Page 31
1	A. Yes. One case was a drug addicted	1	overlooking the diagnosis of infectious
2	patient who utilized intravenous drugs on a	2	endocarditis?
3		3	A. I believe for a patient with a
	recreational basis, and this particular patient	4	
4	had a history of bacterial endocarditis in the	-	prosthetic heart valve chat one would need to be
5	past for which he had had a prosthetic valve	5	concerned about the possibility of that clinical
6	replacement. After being fully treated and	6	entity occurring, depending upon the
7	cured of that illness, he went back out into the	7	presentation and circumstances that revolve
8	community and once again abused intravenous	8	around the patient's condition.
9	drugs on a recreational basis and returned to	9	Q. Doctor, isn't it true that when
10	our emergency department in a very ill state.	10	endocarditis has been present for a period of
11	And I don't recail the details, but I	I 1	time, it's not unusual to see weight loss and
12	do know that there was a strong suspicion that	12	anemia and Increased white blood cell count?
13	the endocarditis had recurred in the exact same	13	 An increased white blood cell count,
14	place. He had some stigmata bacterial	14	weight loss did you mention anemia?
15	endocarditis and had a very high fever, and that	15	Q. Yes, I did.
16	prompted us to eventually order an echo, which	16	A. It would be considered part of the
17	later proved to be a recurrence of the bacterial	17	symptom complex that can occur in endocarditis.
18	endocardItIs in the tricuspid valve.	18	Q. Isn't it also true that the type of
19	Q. Any other case that you recall the	19	fever that Is usually seen with subacute
20	circumstances?	20	endocarditis is usually a low grade fever that
21	A. I don't recall the details.	21	can be intermittent at times?
22	Q. Would you agree that the presence of	22	A. I believe the fever can be
23	a bioprosthetic valve would place a patient at	23	
24	increased risk for developing bacterial	24	
25	endocarditis as compared to a patient that has a	25	what type of virulent bacterium is Involved,
	Page 30		Page 32
	Page 30	Ţ	Page 32
1	native valve?	I	could present either a higher degree or a lower
2	native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be	2	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature.
2 3	native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a	2 3	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients
2 3 4	A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve.	2 3 4	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased
2 3	A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in	2 3 4 5	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased rlsk for endocarditis, that the presence of
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve.	2 3 4 5 6	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in	2 3 4 5	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased rlsk for endocarditis, that the presence of
2 3 4 5 6	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? 	2 3 4 5 6	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic 	2 3 4 5 6 7	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased rlsk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 a	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9	could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased rlsk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved.
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 1 1 2 13 14 15 16 17	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex.
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the seventh decade of life, does that make any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, If you are the emergency room physician and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex. Q. If the symptom complex includes fever
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the seventh decade of life, does that make any difference? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex. Q. If the symptom complex includes fever in conjunction with stroke in the presence of
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the seventh decade of life, does that make any difference? A. It could be a risk factor for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physician and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex. Q. If the symptom complex includes fever in conjunction with stroke in the presence of prosthetic heart valve, would prosthetic valve
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the seventh decade of life, does that make any difference? A. It could be a risk factor for endocarditis. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex. Q. If the symptom complex includes fever in conjunction with stroke in the presence of prosthetic heart valve, would prosthetic valve endocarditis be within a differential diagnosis
2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 native valve? A. Yes. I would say that there would be a slight increase in risk over someone with a normal native heart valve. Q. Would you agree that diabetes in advanced age would also increase the risk for Infection in a patient with a bloprosthetic heart valve? A. I believe that diabetes mellitus would give one an Increased risk of Infection in any part of the body. Typically, it's not a specifically mentioned risk factor for endocarditis, but for any type of infection. And in terms of older age, I think that would depend on the definition of the age of the person and also the type of condition that person was in for their particular age, Q. Okay. Well, if we confine it to the seventh decade of life, does that make any difference? A. It could be a risk factor for endocarditis. Q. Would you agree that in patients that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 a 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 could present either a higher degree or a lower degree of temperature. Q. Would you agree that because patients with prosthetic heart valve are at Increased risk for endocarditis, that the presence of fever at any time warrants considering the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis? A. I would think that that would be dependent upon the situation involved and the symptom complex involved. Q. Doctor, if you are the emergency room physiclan and a patient presents with prosthetic heart valve and fever, doesn't that have to be one of the things that you consider when you see a patient in the emergency room situation? A. The priority of that consideration would be fully dependent upon the symptom complex includes fever in conjunction with stroke in the presence of prosthetic heart valve, would prosthetic valve endocarditis be within a differential diagnosis for the patient?

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Page 33	Page 35
 believe that you reference a number of skin manifestations that are sometimes seen in endocarditis; correct? A. Yes. Q. Isn't it true that skin manifestations that you referenced are seen only in a minority of patients with endocarditis? A. I know that they are seen with some frequency. When you define the term minority as being less than SO percent, that statement probably is true. Q. Isn't it true that systemic emboli are among the most common clinical sequelae of infectious endocarditis? A. They are among some of the more common sequelae, yes. Q. Isn't it also true that systemic emboli are seen more often in endocarditis patients than skin manifestations that you mention in your report? A. I'm not really sure about that fact. Q. Doctor, what diagnostic studies do you consider to be helpful in diagnosing bacterial endocarditis? 	 endocarditis cannot be ruled out on the basis of a single blood culture? A. Not completely, no. Q. Does a patient have to have a positive blood culture before a presumptive diagnosis of bacterial endocarditis can be made? A. Could you please define what you mean by presumptive diagnosis? Q. A point when the physician feels that that's the ilkeiy diagnosis but does not have the diagnostic tests in hand to confirm it. A. Okay. Now, repeat the original question. Q. Does a patient have to have a positive blood culture before a presumptive diagnosis of bacterial endocarditis can be made? A. Not necessarily. If there are enough symptom complex manifestations of the illness to make you suspicious or beileve that that entity is golng on because there is an entity known as culture negative endocarditis positive blood cultures don't necessarily have to always be present. Q. You had recently referenced a case In
Page 34 be utilized that would be helpful in making the diagnosis would be a clinical blood count or CBC that would Include a white blood cell count, a red blood cell count, a sed rate. In addition, renal function tests would be useful, blood cultures, and echocardlogram. Chest x-ray, EKC would also be useful. Q. Doctor, you would agree that when you are arriving at a diagnosis, even if some of these tests are not what would be considered diagnostic, they still may be helpful in you coming to a final conclusion for a diagnosis; adding weight or support to a diagnosis; correct? A. Yes. Q. For example, a complete blood count Isn't dlaghostic of endocarditis, but it may be helpful to a physician in arriving at a final diagnosis; correct? A. It could be one piece of the puzzle. Q. And It's Important to look at the whole picture when you are attempting to arrive at a diagnosis; correct? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that bacterial	Page 36 endocarditis in an IV drug user. Was that an instance where you had arrived at a presumptive diagnosis before you had confirming tests of either a blood culture or an echocardlogram? A. Yes, it was a clinical suspicion. I didn't realize that the diagnosis had been made until the results were confirmed by the blood culture at a later date. Q. Doctor, would you agree that with endocardItis infecting a bioprosthetic valve, one of the pathological changes that usually occurs is destruction of the valve leaflets? A. That can be one of the manifestations of the illness. Q. What are vegetations? A. Vegetations are a tissue complex, if you will, or a congiomerate of substances primarily composed of fibrin and platelets and the infecting organism that would be occurring on the surface of an aspect of the inner lining of the heart or valve leaflets. Q. You would agree that when infective vegetations grow on a heart valve, they can In some Instances break off, travel through the bloodstream, and can result In a stroke, in some

9 (Pages **33** to **36**)

I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 11 22 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 200 21 22 23 24 25	department, our protocol is to order two sets of blood cultures, 30 minutes apart, in different sites. We do that for any infection that we may be suspecting in the bloodstream. Q . In order to determine what patients you are going to do a blood culture on and which	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 39 that would be a valid explanation for the leukocytosis. It really would depend on the clinical situation. Q . If a patient has a bloprosthetic valve, elevated white blood count with a differential suggestive of an infectious process, is that a patient that would normally have blood cultures? A. When you say the term normally have, I probably would order a set of blood cultures on that patient. Q. Would most emergency room physicians do that? A. Possibly. Q. Would the standard of care require that emergency physicians do that? A. Again, possibly It would. Q. Well, doctor, you are here as an expert to speak to the standard of care for patients, and this patient had endocarditis, <i>so</i> I'm trying to elicit what your opinions are. I need you to tell me whether or not that would be the standard of care. A. If the symptom complex required ruling out bacterial endocarditis, then
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 38 Indications. I mean, we see many, many patients with elevated blood counts that we do not do blood cultures on all the time every day, so it would depend on the symptom complex. Q. In a patient with a bloprosthetic valve, if you had a CBC that showed an elevation of white blood cells with a differential that allow as suggestive of an Infectious process, would that be a patient that might fall Into the category of having these sets of blood cultures that we do not do blood cultures process, would that be a patient that might fall Into the category of having these sets of blood cultures that we do not do blood cultures on every one that would tell you that you would be looking for that would tell you that you should or shouldn't do blood cultures in that type of situation? A. Well, we don't routinely do blood cultures on everyone that comes in that has a ing white count. Q. In restricted It to bloprosthetic table. A. It would depend on the clinical presentation and what we suspect was causing the evation of white blood cells. For example, if the patient was leukemic or something like that,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 40 definitely those two sets of blood cultures would be performed. Q. Just tell me if this is something that you would do as an emergency room physician or not. Once blood cultures are drawn, because of the time involved for the cultures to grow out, as a physician in the emergency, in the field of emergency medicine, do you ever deal with the administration of empiric antibiotics for patients where there is a presumptive diagnosis for endocarditis? A. That usually occurs as a decision that's made in conjunction with the other providers that will be taking care of the patient. Q. Do you know how prosthetic valve endocardia is usually treated? A. I believe it's treated primarily with intravenous antibiotic therapy, and/or surgery. Q. And by surgery, you are referring to usually the replacement of the infected valve? A. Correct. Q. Would you agree that one of the main goals of treatment in prosthetic endocardia is

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

JUNE 28,2001

Page 41	Page 43
 to eradicate the infecting organism as soon as possible? A. Yes. Q. Would you agree that the sooner prosthetic valve endocarditis is treated with antibiotics, if it's a bacterial infection, the more likely the outcome will be positive? A. Yes. Q. Doctor, do you know if the incident of embolic events as a result of bacterial endocarditis decreases promptly during the administration of appropriate antibiotics? A. I'm familiar with the concept that overall the embolic phenomenon can decrease. I'm not sure how promptly that really takes place. Q. Would you agree that when a patlent with a bioprosthetic valve presents with fever, elevated white blood cell count, suggestive of Infection, and symptoms of transient ischemic attack or stroke, that endocarditis should be Included in the differential diagnosis? A. Yes. Q. Have you ordered echocardiograms for patients that you have suspected of having 	 Q. Do you know whether a transthoracic or a transesophageal echo is more sensitive for picking up evidence of vegetations? A. It's my understanding that a transesophageal echo is more sensitive. Q. Do valvular vegetations have to be present before the diagnosis of prosthetic valve endocarditis can be made? A. I don't believe so. Q. Would you agree that prosthetic valve endocarditis can cause life-threatening complications! A. Yes. Q. Doctor, what is capillary retill? A. Capillary refill is a phenomena observed on clinical exam that is used to examined. Q. How is it assessed? A. It's a clinical exam that's assessed by applying a pressure of some sort to the area In question, and observing for color change to the area caused by pressure and observing the area in terms of color response to the pressure. Q. Generally, when capillary refill is
 Page 42 endocarditis? A. In consultation with the primary care physicians or consulting specialists, yes. Q. Have you in conjunction with those other consultants ordered both transesophageal as well as transthoracic echoes? A. I have not had the opportunity to do that. Q. Mostly just transthoracic echoes? A. Correct. Q. So, doctor, in most cases, if you were considering ordering an echo, you would probably have a consultant that would be assisting with that decision? A. Yes. That's the protocol at our institution. Q. If you know, is that typical of most emergency rooms? A. I think it varies from institution to institution. Q. Doctor, if there is a reasonable suspicion for endocarditis, do you have an oplnion within what time frame an echo should be done on a patient? A. I really can't comment on that. 	 Page 44 1 assessed in the emergency room on the extremities, how is it done? A. Typically, the examiner would place his own, his or her own digit on the area, apply pressure enough to cause a blanching to the epidermis and dermal area, observing for the blanching color to be In existence, and then with release of the pressure to the area observing for the Occurrence of a redness, if you will, indicating perfusion to that area to recur after the pressure stimulus has been removed. Q. Typically, Isn't that done by compressing one of the nail beds, like on the toes, to check circulation in the feet? You would compress the nail bed of the toe and then watch for the return of the color after It blanches? A. It can be. Q, And when you are checking the nail beds on the feet, what is considered to be a normal capillary refill time? A. Traditionally, over the years, two seconds or less has been considered as somewhat of a normal capillary refill, but there has been

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

JUNE 28,2001

Page 45 1 a lot of controversy about the inadequacy of the 2 estimation of a peripheral flow from capillary 3 refill. It's a very gross technique that has 4 not been really scientifically proven to be 5 accurate. 6 Q. B checking capillary refill and 7 checking peripheral pulses a skill that an 8 emergency physician should be able to 9 competently perform? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Is that something that's done 12 frequently, that's an everyday occurrence for 13 most emergency room physicians, something that 14 they do on a regular basis when they assess 15 patients? 16 A. I would say more palpating for pulses 17 than capillary refill. 18 Q. But it's a skill that all emergency 19 room physicians will utilize at some point; 20 correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Doctor, in your review of the 23 records, did you find that Earline MIzsey's 24 diabetes was under reasonably good control? 25 A. Yes.	Page 471You don't think that those set of2facts would cause an emergency room physician to3include prosthetic valve endocarditis within the4differential?5A.It could have been included in the6differential. I'm not sure how much priority.7She did have an explanation for a8fever in that her history Included upper9respiratory symptoms, cold symptoms In the10previous days prior to the admission, and also11included the imaging evidence on CAT scan for12sinusitis, which could explain her symptoms.13 Q_{-} Now, when a patient with a prosthetic14heart valve presents with a febrile illness to15the emergency room, and symptoms or history of16what is considered to be possibly a transient17ischemic attack, shouldn't endocarditis always18be suspected until proven otherwise?19A.1would say that would be fully20dependent upon the symptom compfex.21 Q_{-} Would you agree that after that22emergency room visit, Earline Mizsey should have23been followed up by her cardiologist at Metro,24specifically to determine if her symptoms were25due to endocarditis?
Page 46 Q. Now, you did have an opportunity to see the Southwest General Hospital emergency room records from March loth, which is the visit when she had her first stroke; correct? A. Yes. Iwouldn't say that was her first stroke, but It was a stroke that was described In the course of the case that we are reviewing. Q. When she presented to the emergency room on March loth, she had a history of bloprosthetic heart valve, and the emergency room physician felt that she had a possible transient ischemic attack. She had a white blood cell count of 15.4, with an elevation of her segs, and her temperature was 100.9. They also noted that she had labored respirations and that she had complained of a fever for several days. In that scenario, shouid prosthetic valve endocarditis have been included In the differential diagnosis? A. It could have possibly been considered at that point	 Page 48 A. I believe that that attempt was made; that adequate follow-up was arranged by Dr. Craber at Southwest. Q. Now, the emergency room physician at Southwest did contact Metro and spoke with Dr. Vrobel, the cardiologist, who was covering for Dr. Rakita. Dr. Rakita was Earline Miwy's cardiologist at Metro. In the record that you had an opportunity to review, Dr. Craber indicated that he discussed his findings from the emergency room visit in detail. Was that an appropriate course of action on the part of the Southwest General Hospital emergency room physician to contact the cardiology people at Metro to discuss his findings from the emergency room visit? A. Yes. Q. Why do you think that's appropriate? A. Well, that's the usual practice. if there is any question regarding symptomatology, and follow-up needs to be arranged, one possible

23 considered at that point.

24 Q. Now, you have a lot of qualifiers
25 there, "could have possibly".

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

23 way of assuring that the patient will be seen

24 would be to contact a physician and arrange

PATTERSON-GORDON REPORTING, INC. 216.771.0717

25 follow-up.

JUNE 28,2001

Page 40	I	Page 51
 Page 49 Q. Is that something that emergency room physicians do fairly regularly, is contact either a primary care or a treating cardiologist to make sure that there is some follow-up and care after an emergency room visit? A. it's done on an as-needed basis. It's certainly not done on every patient that presents to the department. There is a clinical judgment made, and depending upon the presenting symptom complex, we may or may not arrange follow-up. But In general, the majority of the cases that we do see, we do not contact any physician for follow-up, but we refer the patients for follow-up, but we refer the patients for follow-up, but we refer the patients for follow-up with adequate instructions in their going home sheets. Q. In this case, as you reviewed this particular emergency room visit, anything that you felt warranted the call that was made to Metro? Anything particular that as an emergency room physician would key you into, this is the type of a situation where arrangements should be made with the orimary care or cardiologist to 	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 51 opinions on. And you are not going to be rendering opinions on whether Dr. Vrobel or Dr. Rakita or Dr. Einstadter met the standard of care; is that correct? A. I would prefer to focus my opinions on the emergency department visits. Q. I won't go into those if that's your intentions. Now, did you have an opportunity to see the echocardiogram report of the April 9th, '98 transthoracic echo that was done on Earline Mizsey? A. Yes. Q. Did you also see the carotid ultrasound report that was done on that same date for her? A. Yes. Q. Now, do you have It? A. Yes. Q. Do you have the carotid ultrasound report there? A. Yes. Q. Did you find anything of concern on
		, , ,
		A. The impression of the carotid
A. This type of case is kind of like a	20	
Page 50		Page 52
borderline presentation in that the patient presented with some symptomatology, but really it was found to have been a completely normal neurological exam, so it was appropriate to go ahead and contact someone in that if, indeed, the symptom complex proved to be a transient ischemic attack and I don't believe it was absolutely clear, but It was a very vague possibility. There is controversy in terms of whether a patient with TIAs require admission or not and therefore, he would seek the advice of a follow-up physician as to what they wanted to do with this patient. Q. And once Dr. Craber, who was the emergency room physician, spoke to the cardiologist, Dr. Vrobel, at Metro and explained his findings, would It be proper then for Dr. Graber to feel that follow-up would then be arranged by Metro Hospital for Mn. Mizsey? A. It depends on the circumstances that he left with regarding discharging the patient and how the arrangements were made for the follow-up. Q. Now, doctor, I don't want to get into areas that you are not going to be expressing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 6 17 18 19 20 1 22 3 24 25	ultrasound, that there was arterial plaque which did not appear to be hemodynamically significant, so although there was evidence of atherosclerosis, It did not at that point seem to be causing any significant stenosis of the carotid artery. Q. The fInding was she had a mild arterial plaque? A. That's what is described in the report. Q. That type of finding would not be at all unusual in a 73-year-old patient; correct? A. Correct. Q. Now, you also had a chance to see the report of the transthoracic echo that was done on April 9th of '98 ; correct? A. Yes. Q. Did you find anything of concern in that report? A. This report describes some aortic regurgitation present, and apparently there was some difficulty in assessing that adequately, and the echocardiologist suggested that the bioprosthetic valve could be deteriorating and also offered that it could be a potential
	 Q. Is that something that emergency room physicians do fairly regularly, is contact either a primary care or a treating cardiologist to make sure that there is some follow-up and care after an emergency room visit? A. it's done on an as-needed basis. It's certainly not done on every patient that presents to the department. There is a clinical judgment made, and depending upon the presenting symptom complex, we may or may not arrange follow-up. But In general, the majority of the cases that we do see, we do not contact any physician for follow-up, but we refer the patients for follow-up, but we refer the patients for follow-up with adequate instructions in their going home sheets. Q. In this case, as you reviewed this particular emergency room visit, anything that you felt warranted the call that was made to Metro? Anything particular that as an emergency room physician would key you into, this is the type of a situation where arrangements should be made with the primary care or cardiologist to continue follow-up care? A. This type of case is kind of like a Page 50 borderline presentation in that the patient presented with some symptomatology, but really it was found to have been a completely normal neurological exam, so it was appropriate to go ahead and contact someone in that if, indeed, the symptom complex proved to be a transient ischemic attack and I don't believe it was absolutely clear, but It was a very vague possibility. There is controversy in terms of whether a patient with TIAs require admission or not and therefore, he would seek the advice of a follow-up physician as to what they wanted to do with this patient. Q. And once Dr. Craber, who was the emergency room physician so to what they wanted to do with the patient. Q. And once Dr. Craber, who was the emergency room physician so to the advice of a follow-up hysician to the circumstances that he left with regarding discharging	Q. Is that something that emergency room I physicians do fairly regularly, is contact 2 either a primary care or a treating cardiologist 3 to make sure that there is some follow-up and 4 care after an emergency room visit? 5 A. it's done on an as-needed basis. 6 It's certainly not done on every patient that 7 presents to the department. There is a clinical 8 judgment made, and depending upon the presenting 9 symptom complex, we may or may not arrange 10 follow-up. But In general, the majority of the cases that we do see, we do not contact any 14 physician for follow-up, but we refer the 14 patients for follow-up with adequate 15 instructions in their going home sheets. 16 Q. In this case, as you reviewed this 17 particular emergency room visit, anything that 18 you felt warranted the call that was made to 19 Metro? Anything particular that as an emergency 20 continue follow-up care? 24 A. This type of case is kind of like a 12 Page 50 25

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

	Page 53		Page 55
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 4 25	embolic source. Q. Did you find any of those particular results of concern in this patient? A. Well, to me it indicates that it's not a totally normal study and that follow-up to this would probably be warranted to better adequately assess the area. Whether or not the aortic regurgitation was present for years or was a recent event is not suggested, and this report could be consistent with some deterioration of the valve over time. Q. Aortic regurgitation is the type of damage that is seen in a heart valve from endocarditis, Isn't it, doctor? A. It can be. Q. Isn't that typically the type of when you hear a murmur, It's a regurgitation murmur? A. It can be. Q. That's seen with endocarditis? A. It can be. Q. Doctor, would you agree that given Earline Mizsey's bioprosthetic heart valve, and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 Page 55 clarify. Do you have an opinion as to whether it was appropriate to do a follow-up TEE, in this instance? A. This is the type of ongoing outpatient care that emergency physicians don't typically get involved with, so again, I would prefer to reserve judgment to the expertise of the cardiologist. Q. Earline Mizsey was then seen in the emergency department at Metro, I believe, on Aprii 21st of '98, and at that time, I believe, she was complaining of right hand tremors. Doctor, can hand tremors be a neurological result of a stroke? A. Tremors have a multitude of etiologies that can include anything from electrolyte imbalance, to hypoglycemia, to seizure activity, to muscle weakness, and hangover. And as one of the myriad of possibilities that hand tremor could represent, including anxiety and nervousness, could include also the possibility of a stroke. Q. And In this instance, do you have an opinion as to what was causing her hand tremors?
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
15	hypertensive, has atherosclerosis, coronary artery disease and peripheral valvular disease. From his impression from the initial visits that the stroke was more likely caused by a small cortical infarct due to atherosclerosis and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 56 A. I would tend to agree with the assessment by the emergency physicians \ln that department. The clinical exam did not find any acute neurological deficits other than the more chronic neuro deficits that occurred on the left side as a result to the prior incident. Q. Was there anything in the emergency room visit records that would allow you to rule out stroke as a cause for her tremors In her hand? A. I would say that the fact that the neuro exam on the right side that included the upper extremities and lower extremities were all within normal limits, and, therefore, there is no evidence there is an acute neurological event going on.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 valvular disease and the cerebral vasculature than it would be embolus. Q. Do you know if most patients with porcine heart valves have deterioration of the valve only four years after placement? A. That's somewhat out of my expertise. I would prefer to defer to the cardiologist in that regard. Q. Now, this particular report suggests a TEE or transesophageal echo to further 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 going on. Q. Did you flnd anything or any evidence in this April 2 1st ER visit to support a flnding that she was complaining of any pain in her lower extremities or was having any type of symptomatology that was of concern in her lower extremities? A. Not on this particular visit, that I can glean from reviewing the chart. Q. Doctor, I would like to move to the

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

JUNE 28,2001

Page 59
 A. Before I answer that hypothetical question, I would just like to say that in Dr. Einstadter's note of March I 3th, his pian included increasing aspirin, and I belleve it says to 650 milligrams QD, not BID. And then on the visit subsequent to April 26th, It notes in the medical record that the patient should be taking aspirin, but is not, because she was on another prescription. And she wasn't taking the aspirin, therefore, because of that. She did not list aspirin as her medication on her visit on April 26th. So it is not clear to me at all that she is taking aspirin at that point in time during her visit on the 26th. Now, if she was, and if she was taking aspirin at 650 milligrams twice a day, depending upon when she took the aspirin in relation to when the temperature was taken, it may or may not affect the result of the temp. Q. And I believe the last order was 650 milligrams QD, and I believe you are correct when you stated that. A. I don't know if she took that at
25 night or took it at noon or took it at 4:00
Page 60
 1 o'clock in the morning. So It really would 2 depend on the timing. The aspirin is a short 3 acting drug, as you know, and may or may not 4 affect the temperature, depending on the dosage 5 and frequency. Q. And depending on whether she took 7 aspirin on this particular date would impact 8 whether or not that 37.6 was or was not 9 significant and also as to when she took it in 10 relationship to this particular temperature 11 reading; correct? 12 A. That is possible. 13 Q. Doctor, in a patient with a 14 prosthetic heart valve that has a history of 15 recent stroke, has evidence of valve 16 deterioration on the echo, who presents to the 17 emergency room with the sudden onset of pain in 18 her leg, has a temperature of 37.6, shouldn't 19 that have raised a level of concern for emboli 20 from the prosthetic heart valve for 21 endocarditis? Shouldn't there have been at 22 least a concern that endocarditis may be the 23 cause? 24 A. In my opinion, I do not think so. I

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

JUNE 28,2001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 61 we know, fever Is the most prominent symptom and sign for a patient presenting with endocarditis. Her pain was quite classic and typical of a radicular type pain, and that it came on with movement of the leg as she was oming out of the shower. It kind of radiated up and down the leg, and It occurred In a woman who was known to have osteoarthritic changes. To me, by gleaning the records and reading some of the depos, it really seems like thIs was very compatible with a radicular type pain. Q. Well, doctor, wouldn't you agree that when there is a sudden onset of pain in one leg and pulses are not palpable or audible with dopler, that arterial occlusions should be within the differential diagnosis? A. I would say perhaps if that was the titings described here in this record that would lead me to indicate that there was an acute embolus to that extremity. For example, there was no description that the extremity was pale, which is often the case if there is a large embolus, large enough	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 63 some later point there is documentation that the circulation is now improved? A. I don't think that's unusual at all. I can tell you from my own experience that examinations are very variable and practitioner dependent, and it can go either way. But one physician will go in and find one particular finding and three minutes later another doctor will go in and repeat the exam and find entirely different findings. It's not unusual; it's a common occurrence. And in most cases, the emergency physician, master of many illnesses, but expert of none, so to speak, would defer to the service with the more expertise and feel confident that their exam will guide them in the right circcton. Q. Now, In this particular instance the consultant from the vascular service was Dr. Storoe. Are you aware Dr. Storoe was a general surgery resident and not a vascular surgery resident? A. I'm fully aware of that. I also understand that Dr. Limsrichamrem was also involved in the evaluation of this case, and I
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 62 extremity. In addition, It was not described that the extremity was mottled in any way. And I believe the emergency physiclans did the right thing in suspecting that, okay, we need to be sure that there is no vascular deficit going on here, let's get the vascular service team involved in this and get the experts to take a look and see what they consider is going on here. The fact that there was a dorsalis pedis pulse, palpable by the vascular service to me indicates there was no large embolus going on. And Indeed, several days later, when the patient reappeared to the primary care physician, according to Dr. Einstadter's note, there are good pulses bilaterally, there is no mottling, no pallor to the extremity and no suspicion at that point in time that there was an embolus or clot going on. Q. Don't you find that unusual that the emergency room physician on the 26th Is Indicating that the pulses were not palpable and that the capillary refill was delayed approximately three to five seconds, and then at	I 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 64 also believe that there was contact with the attending physicians that were covering the service, as well, so that a group decision was made on how to proceed and what advice to give regardingthis patient's condition. Q. What is your understanding of Dr. limsrichamrern's background and experience? A. I don't know the full details, but I believe that he was a more senior resident with a lot of experience in the area. Q. Do you know what his area of specialty was? A. Not at this point, no. Q. And why is it that you believe that they were in consultation with the overseeing attending vascular surgery person? A. Well, it's my belief that in a teaching Institution and I am part of a teaching Institution here, as well that It's protocol and routine to consult and discuss the findings with the attending physician. Q. So you have made an assumption here that the appropriate procedure was followed; correct? You are assuming that Dr. Limsrichamrern or Dr. Storce contacted the

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

Page 65 Page 67 Q. Now, doctor, you had an opportunity attending vascular surgeon? 1 2 A. That's usually how It's done in 2 to read Dr. PennIngton's deposition testimony; teaching facilities. 3 3 correct? Q. Well, doctor, I'm asking, is that an 4 4 Α. Yes. 5 5 assumption on your part or are you basing it on Q. Do you recall him in his deposition some facts that you have reviewed? testimony indicating that both he, as well as 6 6 A. We can put it this way. I don't see his resident, checked pulses and also attempted 7 7 that fact described in the chart, but charts dopplen and was unable to palpate or to find 8 8 9 often don't fully reflect what goes on in a 9 pulses with the doppler. Do you recall reading 10 10 that? patient encounter. Q. Doctor, would you agree that the pain 11 11 Α. I believe so, yes. From the perspective of an emergency from diabetic neuropathy in most cases is not 12 12 Q. sudden in onset? 13 physician, would those findings raise a high 13 A. Well, let's investigate that for a 14 level of concern for vascular compromise? 14 15 15 minute. If you are without pain for a period of A. Yes. 16 time and now you do have pain, okay, one moment 16 Q. Is the assessment of pulse with a 17 you didn't, and another moment you start to 17 doppler something that emergency room physicians 18 experience pain, so that by definition is a 18 are familiar with and utilize in their practice? 19 19 sudden onset of pain. So it's very difficult to Α. Yes. 20 dissect that out exactly. 20 Q. Do you have any reason to believe Q. All right. Let me clarify my that Dr. Pennington was unskilled in the use or 21 21 22 guestion then. Would you agree that the pain 22 the technique of assessing pulses using a 23 from diabetic neuropathy in most cases is not 23 doppler? sudden onset of severe pain? 24 A. No, But as I stated earlier, these 24 25 A. I can't agree with that elther, 25 types of exams are extremely practitioner Page 66 Page 68 because I think pain is a subjective phenomena 1 1 dependent and Rnding a doppler pulse is not so 2 and to someone could be very severe and to 2 easy. others very mild. so -3 3 If you are off by a millimeter or two Q. in your experience of patients that 4 4 from where the strong sensation of the pulse is, you have seen with dlabetlc neuropathy, have the it would be very easy to miss, and depending on 5 5 majority of the patients come in complaining of 6 6 how much time was spent, meticulously going 7 the sudden onset of severe pain? 7 millimeter by millimeter across the dorsum or 8 A. I would have to say yes, because 8 the unilateral of the foot, it is very easy to 9 9 that's what makes them present to an emergency miss a doppler of a pulse. 10 department rather than seeing their own private 10 Q. Based on what you reviewed as I physician at an appointment two week, later or Dr. Pennington's assessment, was it reasonable 11 12 something like that. 12 for him to call for a vascular service consult 13 Q. Now, doctor, you had a chance to 13 to rule out an acute vaso-occlusive event? 14 review Dr. PennIngton's emergency room note in A. I think it was reasonable. 14 which he mentions that she had right leg pain, Q. And Dr. Pennington described it as 15 15 16 which she described as sudden onset. Was there 16 acute vaso-occlusive event. That would be 17 anything in Dr. Pennington's Initial exam of consistent with emboli or thrombus; correct? 17 18 Earline MI wy that would raise a concern for 18 Yes. Α. 19 vascular compromise in her right leg that you 19 When an emergency room physician Ο. 20 saw? 20 calls a specialist for consultation on a 21 A. Well, In his exam, he said the pulses 21 patient, is it reasonable for the emergency room 22 were not palpable on Initial exam. The patient 22 physiclan to rely on the consultant's

23 was able to ambulate with a limp, so that one

- 24 statement would Indicate that some pulses were
- 25 not palpable.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

We discussed that the assessment was

PATTERSON-GORDON REPORTING, INC. 216.771.0717

23

24

25

conclusions?

A. Yes.

Q.

JUNE 28,2001

 Page 69 done by Dr. Storoe, a general surgery resident. In your opinion, would a general surgery resident be more skilled in assessing peripheral circulation than an experienced emergency room physician? A. That could be practitioner dependent, but in general, surgical residents deal with vascular surgery. It's part of their training, and I would say, yes, in general. Q. What is radiculopathy? A. Radiculopathy is a term that describes a pathological process which Involves the nerve root as it comes out of the spinal cord and travels down the extremity. Q. Is it characterized by pain, pain syndrome? A. It can be. Q. From what we previously discussed, you agree with the diagnosis of radiculopathy that was made at this April 26, 1998 emergency room visit, correct? A. Yes. Q. Earline Mizsey described to Dr. Pennington that the pain was most prominent over the lateral aspect of her thigh. 	 Q. Now, would that be indicative of a problem with her arterial circulation? A, I suppose there Is a possibility that there could be. Capillary refills are very subjective, somewhat inaccurate, and there is no study that adequately correlates capillary refill with what Is actually going on in an extremity in terms of blood flow. Q. So do you have any explanation as to why Dr. Pennington's assessment of capillary refill is different than what Dr. Storoe found? A. You know, actually both practitioners had overlap of the capillary refill time count in that Dr. Pennington found that it varied between three to five seconds and Dr. Storoe said that it was two to three seconds in part of the area, so that both practitioners were roughly around the same time period in terms of the refill. Again, it would depend on whether this was a rough ballpark estimate, dld they actually look at a watch while they were compressing and time It scientifically, or, you know, was It just a rough ballpark estimate. So it would be very dependent, very practitioner
 Page 70 Is this area of described pain of any significance in arriving at the diagnosis of radlculopathy? A. Yes, I think the pain typically In a radlculopathy can radiate from the back and around the hip area and then either down the lateral aspect of the thigh, which is very typical, I belleve for the L4-L5 dermatome or anterior aspect or posterior aspect. It would depend on which nerve root was irritated, but It would be quite typical to experience that type of pain on the lateral aspect. Q. In your review of the records, dld you find any place that Earline MI w y had an arthritic condition in her back or at any time prior to this had complaints related to her back in anything that you reviewed? A. No. Q. Now, she also described tendemess to palpation I'm sorry. Dr. Pennington also found that she had a capillary refill of three to five seconds in her right foot. Do you recall seeing that? A. Yes. 	 Page 72 dependent. Q. Doctor, isn't it true that with peripheral embolisms, the embolism can break up after a period of time and the blood flow through the vessel can reestablish itself? A. I suppose that's possible. I don't think it happens too commonly. Usually if there is a clot large enough to Involve the entire leg, that would be a sufficient size clot that would then promulgate more clot and thrombosis distal to the linitial destruction. It would be somewhat unusual, but it can occur. Q. Well, doctor, you can have emboli that lodges In various arteries in the leg. It doesn't have to be In the main artery feeding the leg; correct? A. Correct. But If the pain was prominent In the proximal area of the extremity, I would think that the clot therefore would be near the bifurcation of the femoral artery if it was going to cause pain down the lateral side of the thigh, not down in the popliteal area or ankle or plantar arch. Q. Would you agree that the pain that

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

At this point In time, there was 25 comment made that the patient's feet -- and it

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

Page 73 1 thigh could be consistent with an ischemic 2 problem in the vasculature of her right leg? 3 A. The answer to that would be yes. I 4 mean, any kind of pain could represent any 5 condition. 6 Q. Okay. Could an occlusion in the 7 popliteal trifurcation cause that type of pain? 8 A. I doubt that. Usually the pain would 9 be distal. 10 MS. TOSTI: Give me a minute. 11 (Recess had.) 12 Q. In the records you reviewed, there 13 was a call where Earline Mizsey's daughter 14 called Dr. Einstadter, I believe, on May 6th. 15 And according to his progress note, in the 16 chart, she told him that Earline Mizsey was 17 having so much pain in her leg that she was 18 crying and unable to get out of bed. 19 Dr. Einstadter's note says the pain 20 could be due to vascular compromise and that 21 given the presence of the porcine valve in the 22 aortic position and the history of recent CVA, 23 arterial embolism is certainly a possibility. 24 Now, doctor, when a patient is in 25 extreme pain and there is a question about the	Page 75 was bilateral at that poInt •• were cold to the touch, and that once again the vascular service was appropriately consulted to evaluate the patient. They were able at this time to extract from this patient a history that seemed to indicate that the patient's symptomatology was really a chronic problem that seemed to be getting worse. The patient admitted that this foot pain had been there for a significant length of time. I believe that It was written in the chart twice, both on the emergency department's history that this leg pain had been there for four months, and per the vascular surgeons, the pain was in the area of the calf and seemed to improve after the walking, which exacerbated the paln, stopped. These symptoms are entirely compatible with the history of peripheral vascular disease and claudication. Q. Doctor, did you notice that there was a difference in the history that the emergency room physician took as opposed to what the vascular surgery consultant had in regard to the length of time that Earline Mizsey was having
 Page 74 disruption of the blood supply to a limb by an emboli, doesn't that call for immediate medical evaluation? A. If there is any doubt, yes. And I think Dr. Einstadter appropriately advised them that if there was any doubt at all whatsoever about the possibility of this being from a serious cause, to go directly to the emergency room. Q. In fact, Earline Mizsey was taken by her daughter to Metro's ER on, I believe, May 6th because of her severe leg pain. The diagnosis of claudication was made, I believe. A. Yes. Q. I would like to ask if you would agree with that diagnosis on that visit? A. Yes. Q. Tell me why you believe that that was an appropriate dlagnosis. A. At this point in time, the presentation was somewhat different. It seemed like the symptoms were more consistent with a peripheral vascular disease process. At this point In time, there was comment made that the patient's feet and it 	 Page 76 symptoms? Did you think there was an error? Dr. Gilles says that she was having a bilateral foot pain over the last four weeks, and in the vascular surgery notes it says four months. Do you think maybe an error was made there? A. I see in the chart where it's written four months twice, both In the personal handwriting of the physician that filled out the emergency department history. Q. Do you see the typewritten note of Dr. Gilles? A. Yes, I see that. Q. In her history? A. Yes. There may be a typographical error, I don't know. And I can't explain the discrepancy exactly. But I do know that, you know, the chronic symptomatology was worse on walking, better with rest, is quite classic of peripheral vascular disease secondary to thrombosls and claudication. Q. Doctor, the description of the pain that she had being so severe, that she was unable to get out of bed, Is not a typical description of claudication pain, is it doctor?

PATTERSON-GORDON REPORTING, INC. 216.771.0717

25 description of claudication pain, is it, doctor?

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

JUNE 28, 2001

 Page 77 A. Well, it seemed like the claudlcation was progressing. And although pulses were dopplerable all the way down, there was concern that this might be approaching a stage where an Intervention may have to be done. I think It was appropriate to order a more definitive Imaging study to be done the very next day. I don't think that it was absolutely necessary to do that on an emergency stat basis, because there was pulsation ail the way through to the foot. Q. And her complaints that brought her to the emergency room were her right leg symptoms; correct? A. Right. She dld also state that she had pain in the left leg as well, but that the pain was worse on the right than the left. Q. In her leg or her foot? A. Probably foot. Q. Doctor, you would agree that with underlying peripheral vascular disease in the legs, you can still have an embolic event that cuts off the arterial blood supply and causes acute lschemia in the leg; correct? 	 Page 79 than claudication; correct? That would still have to be a possibility; correct? A. Iguess It's a remote possibility. Putting the whole history together, in the fact that this pain upon presentation on the 6th per Ms. MIzsey herself is of a chronic long duration, and whether it was four weeks or four months, which we haven't determined, that does not go along with an acute embolic process that occurred on that day or the day before. In addition, just, you know, a short period of time before, the patient, looking at it globally, was seen in Dr. Einstadter's office and was found to have a condition that did not Indicate that there was a continuous embolus going on or recurrence. Q. Well, In the vascular surgeon's note it says complaining of worsening right foot pain and burning sensation since this morning. That's an indication that there was a change In the pain; correct? A. Correct. Q. And so would there A. But this is a continuum of a process going on for four months.
Page 78 Q. Was It reasonable for Dr. Cilles to call for a vascular consult at this visit? A. I believe so. Q. And is it your understanding that that vascular consultant was Dr. Alexander? A. I believe that the official consultation went to him, and I believe the resident aim examined the patient on Dr. Alexander's behalf. Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether it was appropriate to discharge Earline MI w y from this emergency room visit on May 6th? A. I believe I answered that question before and said that because pulses were dopplerable all the way Into the foot area that there was no indication that there was an abrupt obstruction. And although it seemed like this was claudication with questionable pain, worsening at rest, that it was appropriate to order the Imaging study for the very next day. Q. Doctor, from the assessment that was done in the emergency room, It would not be possible to rule out that her compromise of the arterial circulation was due to emboli rather	 Q. Earline Mizsey underwent a vascular study on May 7th of '98 and she was found to have a right popliteal trifurcation occlusion with severe distal ischemia, Justa day after this emergency room visit. Do you have an opinion as to whether the arterial occlusion in her right leg was caused by emboli originating from her heart? A. No, I don't. That's an ultrasound study. That point of view I can't really comment on whether It was an embolus or thrombosis. Q. Would you be able to tell the difference even on an angiogram If it was an embolism or thrombosis? A. I think that would be a question you best ask the vascular surgical experts. Q. Do you have an opinion based on that arterial study whether it should have raised a concern for emboll from the heart caused by bacterial endocardItis? A. I think we are getting out of my area of expertise here and I don't have an opinion In

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

JUNE 28,2001

		ſ	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24 25	 Page 81 Q. If you don't have an opinion, tell me that, doctor, and we will go on to something else. Doctor, in retrospect, on the basis of that ultrasound, do you have an opinion as to whether the pain that she was having in the emergency room on April 26th was due to arterlal occlusion in her popliteal trifurcation? A. The ultrasound doesn't help me decide what happened four days before. Q. I have just a couple questions in regard to your report, if you would like to look at that for just a second. Do page two of your report, at the beginning part of the paragraphon that page, you refer to her carotid artery disease as part of her past medical history. And we had looked at her carotid ultrasound that showed very mild place. B. that the carotid artery disease that you are referring to? A. Yes. O. Now, you have also mentioned in your report on page, I think it's three, at the top paragraph, when you are talking about 	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7 18 19 20 21 22 3 24 25	Page 83 opinions that you Intend to offer in the trial of this matter? A. I believe so. Ms. TOSTI: Then I don't believe that I have any further questions for you and I thank you for your time this evening. (Deposition concluded at 9:10 p.m.) (Signature not waived.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Page 82 Dr. Elnstadter's visit, and you mention he notes on an exam that her extremities were warm with good capillary refill, and both popliteal I'm sorry, both posterior tibial and dorsalis pedis pulses were intact. Would you expect that type of finding in a patient that had peripheral vascular disease and claudication to the extent that It was seen at that emergency room visit on May 6th? A. This exam, I belleve, was on April 30th , which is roughly a week before. So there comes a point in the continuum of the disease process where there is good peripheral flow on rest, pulses can be palpable, and claudication, meaning that once there is an exercise stress test, if you will, to the muscles of the extremities that is what causes the pain. Now the pain has progressed to a point where there seems to be interference and with the blood flow and worsening of the condition at that point, so I think this is entirely consistent with continuum of the disease process. Q. Doctor, have we covered all of your	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	AFFIDAVIT I have read the foregoing transcript from page 1 through 83 and note the following corrections: PAGE LINE REQUESTED CHANGE Richard Frires, M.D. Subscribed and swom to before me this day of , 2001. Notary Public My commission expires

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

.

Page 85	
I CERTIFICATE	
3 State of Ohio, 4 SS:	
5 County of Cuyahoga.	
6 7	
8 I. Vivian L. Gordon, a Notary Publik within and for the State of Ohlo, duly commissioned and	
9 qualified, do hereby cenify that the within named RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. was by me first duly	
10 swom to testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth In the cause	
11 aforesaid; that the testimony as above set forth was by me reduced to stenotypy, afterwards	
12 transcribed, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the testimony.	
13 I do further cenify that this deposition	
14 was taken at the time and place specified and was completed without adjournment; that I am not	
IS a relative or attorney for either party or otherwise interested in the event of this	
16 action.	
17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland,	
18 Ohlo, on the 3rd day of July, 2001. 19 20 Ninin L. Stran	1
Vivian L Gordon, Notary Public 22 Within and for the State of Ohko	
23 My commission expires June 8, 2004. 24	
25	
· ·	

22 (Page 85)

SOUL -SDEX

Page 1

				-
A	affect 58:3 59:20	41:6,12	arrive 34:22	19:22 22:4
able 5:9 45:8 66:23	60:4	anxiety 55:22	arrived 36:2	available 9:14
75:5 80:14	AFFIDAVIT 84:1	anyone 19:24	arriving 34:9,18	20:23
about 6:25 8:3,11	affiliation 25:6	anything 6:2,5,9	70:2	average 17:17
9:9 10:10,10 18:3	affixed 85:17	22:18 49:18,20	arterial 52:1,8	avoid 30:25
31:5 33:21 45:1	aforesaid 85:11	51:23 52:18 55:17	61:15 71:2 73:23	aware 63:20,23
73:25 74:7 81:25	after 12:9 15:8,10	56:7, 17 66: 17	77:23 78:25 80:7	awarc 05.20,25
above 2:7 57:20	23:6 29:6 44:11	70:18	80:20 81:7	В
85:11	44: 17 47:21 49:5	anywhere 8:11	arteries 72: I4	BA 12:7
abrupt 78:16	54:20 57:7 72:4	aortic 52:20 53:8	artery 37:5 52:6	back 15:16,20 29:7
absolutely 50:8	75: 17 80:4	53:1373:22	54:12 72:15,20	70:5,15,16,17
77:8	afterwards 85:11	apart 37:15	81:16,20	background 64:7
abused 29:8	again 29:8 39:17	apparently 52:21	arthritic 70:15	bacterial 18:8,23
accepting 23:24	55:7 71:20 75:2	appear 52:2	articles 23:5	28:2,10 29:4,14
according 62:16	age 30:6,14,15,17	APPEARANCES	asked 7:17 11:16	29:17,24 33:24
73:15	ago 10:11,20 23:6	3:1	20:15	34:25 35:6,16
accurate 45:5	25:21	apply 44:4	asking 58:8,13 65:4	39:25 41:6,10
aching 57:5	agree 29:22 30:5,23	applying 43:21	aspect 36:20 69:25	80:22
ACLS 25:14	32:3 34:8,25 36:9	appointment 66:11	70:7,9,9,12 72:25	bacterium 31:25
across 68:7	36:22 40:24 41:4	approaching 77:4	aspirin 58:1,9,11,13	Baird 22: 14 24:7
acting 60:3	41:17 43:10 47:21	appropriate 41:12	58:15,18,21 59:4	ballpark 4:19 71:21
action 48: 13 85:16	53:22 56:1 61:12	48:12,19 50:4	59:8,10,11,14,17	71:24
activity 55:19	65:11,22,25 69:19	55:3 64:23 74:19	59:18 60:2,7	based 68:10 80:19
actually 25:22,23	72:24 74:16 77:20	77:6 78:11,20	assess 45:14 53:7	basing 65:5
71:7,12,22	agreement 2:4	appropriately 74:5	assessed 43:19,20	basis 10:2 19:6
acute 56:4,15 61:21	ihead 50:5	75:3	44:1	28:20,23 29:3,9
68:13,16 77:24	al 1:14	approximately 8:1	assessing 52:22	35:1 45: 1449:6
79:9	Alexander 21:17	8:3,17 10:18	57:1867:22 69:3	77:981:4
addicted 29:1	78:5	62:25	assessment 56:2	Becker 3:3
adding 34:13	Alexander's 78:9	April 26:20,20	67:16 68:11,25	become 13:11
addition 34:4 62:2	allegation 11:8	51:10 52:16 55:12	71:10 78:22	bed 44:16 73:18
79:11	allow 56:8	56:18 57:1,3 59:7	assignment 26:22	76:24
additional 20:12,12	along 79:9	59:12 69:20 81:7	assisting 42:14	beds 44:14,21
22:19	although 52:3 77:2	82:11	Associates 16:23	before 2:2 4:15
address 4:11	78:18	arch 72:23	17:1,4	5:24 8:19 9:25
addressed 19:12	always 35:22 47:17	irea 12:15 14:2,6,8	assume 5:858;8,14	19:25 20:13 22:3
adequate 48:2	ambulate 66:23	15:12,15 18:12	58:20	35:5,1536:343:7
49:15	among 33:13,15	24:21,22 25:11	assuming 64:24	59:1 78:14 79:10
adequately 52:22	and/or 40:20	43:17,21,23,24	assumption 64:22	79:12 81:10 82:12
53:7 71:6	anemia 31:12,14	44:4,6,8,10 53:7	65:5	84:18
adjournment 85:14	anemic 54:10	64:10,11 70:1,6	assuring 48:23	began 15:11,17
ADMIN 1:7	angiogram 80:10	71:17 72:18,22	as-needed 49:6	beginning 81:15
administration	80:15	75:16 78:15 80:23	itherosclerosis	behalf 1:253:2,11
40:10 41:12	ankle 72:23	areas 50:25	52:4 54:11,15	78:9
administrative 16:2	another 59:9 63:8	Armitage 22:10	attack 41:21 46:13	being 4:3 29:6
16:5,9 18:5	65:17	24:8,13,17	47: 17 50:7	33:10 74:7 76:23
admission 47:10	answer 5:1,10,19	around 31:8 70:6	attempt 15:5 48:1	belief 64:17
50:10	8:9 11:2 26:14	71:18	ittempted 67:7	believe 6:20 I0:1,9
admitted 75:10	59:1 73:3	arrange 11:17	attempting 34:22	10:16,24 11:1
advanced 25:11	answered 78:13	48:24 49:10	ittending 64:2,16	17:20 23:6,17
30:6	answers 5:12	arranged 48:2,22	64:21 65:1	24:24 27:20 28:16
advice 50:11 64:4	anterior 70:9	50:19	attorney 85:15	30:9 31:3,22 33:1
advised 74:5	antibiotic 40:20	arrangements	audible 61:14	35: 1940:19 43:9
affairs 16:10	antibiotics 40:11	49:22 50:22	iuthored 16:19	48:I 50:7 53:24
				10.1 2011 22,47

Page 2

		<u>1</u>		
54:7 55:11,12	22:1	43:11 44:5 47:2	circumstances	complaints 70:17
58:10,16 59:4,21	brought 77:12	56:9 60:23 72:21	29:20 31:7 50:20	77:12
59:22 62:4 64:1,9	Building 3:14	73:7 74:8 85:10	Civil 4:3	complete 5:24
64: 14 67:11,20	burning 79:19	caused 43:23 54:5	Clair 3:14	34:16 37:21
70:8 73:14 74:11	business 4:11	54:14 80:8,21	clarify 55:1 65:21	completed 12:10,23
74:13,18 75:12		causes 77:23 82:18	class 25:24	85:14
78:3,6,7,13 82:11	<u> </u>	causing 38:23 52:5	classic 61:3 76:19	completely 35:3
83:3,4	calf 75:16	55:25	Claude 24:6	50:3
besides 16:25	call 49:19 68:12	CBC 34:2 38:6	claudication 74:13	completing 15:9,10
best 19:2 20:6	73:1374:278:2	cell 31:12,13 34:3,4	75:20 76:21,25	complex 31:17
80:18	called 1:25 4:2	41:19 46:14	77:1 78:19 79:1	32:10,18,19 35:18
better 53:6 76:19	13:23 18:20 73:14	cells 37:22 38:7,24	82:8,15	36:16 38:4 39:24
between 7:19,23	calls 68:20	center 1:13 25:6,12	clear 21:9 50:8	47:20 49: 10 50:6
71:15	came 10:5 15:20	25: 13 26: 19	59:13	complications
BID 59:5	61:5	Centigrade 57:9	Cleveland 2:6 3:7	43: 12
bifurcation 72:20	campus 17:7	cerebral 54:16	3:15 4:13 10:23	composed 36:18
bilateral 75:176:3	capillary 43:14,15	certainly 49:7	15:16,20 21:21	compress 44: I6
bilaterally 62:17	43:25 44:22,25	73:23	22:2 26:19 85:17	compressing 44:I4
bills 6: 13	45:2,6,17 62:24	CERTIFICATE	Clinic 21:22 22:2	71:23
bioprosthetic 29:23	70:23 71:4,6,10	85:1	clinical 13:6 14:24	compromise 66:19
30:7 36: 1038:5	71:13 82:3	certification 14:10	15:1,21 18:1,2	67:14 73:20 78:24
38:20 39:4 41:18	cardiologist 47:23	14:11,14,16,19	31:533:1334:2	concept 41:13
46:11 52:24 53:23	48:6,8 49:3,23	certifications 15:4	36:5 38:22 39:3	concern 51:23
birth 11:23	50:16 54:22 55:9	certified 4:4 14:2,6	43:16,20 49:8	52:1853:354:4
bit 6:25	cardiology 18:12	25:10	56:3	56:21 60:19,22
blanches 44:18	48:15	certify 85:9,13	clot 62:20 72:8,9,10	66:18 67:14 77:3
blanching 44:5,7	care 8:7 11:13	chairman 16:7,15	72:19	80:21
blanket 11:12	25:14 26:16 27:2	chance 4:24 52:14	Co 3:3,12	concerned 31:5
blood 28:21 31:12	27:3,11 39:15,19	66:13	cold 47:9 75:1	concluded 83:8
31:13 34:2,3,4,5	39:23 40:15 42:2	change 43:22 79:20	College 12:2	conclusion 34:12
34:16 35:2,5,15	49:3,5,23,24 51:4	84:5	color 43:22,24 44:7	conclusions 68:23
35:22 36:4,7 37:5	55:6 62:15	changes 36:11 61:8	44:17	condition 30:16
37:9,11,15,19,21	carotid 51:14,20,24	characterized	come 66:6	31:8 64:5 70:15
37:22,24 38:2,3,7	51:25 52:6 81:16	69:15	comes 38:18 69:13	73:5 79:14 82:22
38:10,15,17,24	81:18,20	charge 9:2,6,10	82:13	confident 63:15
39:5,8,10 40:1,6	case 1:11 5:25 7:8	chart 21:10,11	coming 34:12 58:5	confine 30:18
41:19 43:17 46:14	9:17 10:2,6,10,13	56:24 65:8 73:16	61:6	confirm 35:11
71:8 72:4 74:1	10:21 19:15,22	75: 1376:7	commencing 2:6	confirmed 36:7
77:23 82:21	20:5,13,23 21:18	charts 65:8	comment 42:25	54:1
bloodstream 36:25	22:17,25 23:8,19	check 44:15	74:25 80:12	confirming 36:3
37:4,17	23:24 24:6 26:6,7	checked 67:7	commission 84:23	confusion 21:10
board 14:1,5,9,11	26:10,23 29:1,19	checking 44:20	85:23	conglomerate 36: I7
14:13,15,19 15:4	35:24 46:7 49: 17	45:6,7	commissioned 85:8	conjunction 32:20
boarded 14:9	49:25 57:11 58:3	Chest 34:6	common 1:1 33:13	40:14 42:4
body 30:11 borderline 50:1	58:25 61:24 63:25	Chicago 12:13	33:16 63:11	consider 19:2 32:14
borderline 50:1	cases 7:17,19,23 8:5	15:12,15	commonly 72:7	33:23 57:10,13,19
both 42:5 67:6	9:5 10:17,19,22	chief 16:14	community 29:8	60:25 62:9
71:12,17 75:13	11:4,7,9,11 28:5,6	cholesterol 54:10	compared 29:25	consideration 32:16
76:8 82:3,4 Brachfeld 22:14	28:14,19,24 42:11	chose 5:18	compatible 61:11	considered 31:16
24:7	49:13 63:12 65:12	chronic 56:5 75:8	75:19	34:10 44:21,24
break 36:24 72:3	65:23 CAT 47:11	76:18 79:6	competently 45:9 complained 46:17	46:23 47:16
bring 6:6	category 38:10	circulation 44: 15 63:2 69:4 71:2	complaining 55:13	considering 32:6 42:12
Broadview 21:22	e	78:25	56:19 66:6 79:18	42:12 consistent 53:11
DI UAU VIEW 21,22	cause 27:15,16,24	10.20	50.17 00.0 /9.18	CONSISTENT 33.11

Page 3

54:2 68:17 73:1	cortical 54:15	deal 40:10 69:7	dermatome 70:8	discharge 78:11
74:22 82:23	counsel 1:25 2:5	dealing 18:7	describe 17:22	discharging 50:21
consult 10:5 23:22	4:25 5:17 19:17	death 27:16	described 46:7 52:9	discrepancy 76:17
64:20 68:1278:2	20:15 22:18	decade 30: 19	61:19 62:2 65:8	discuss 19:24 48:16
consultant 42:13	count 31:12,13 34:2	decide 81:9	66:16 68:15 69:23	64:20
63:1975:24 78:5	34:3,4,16 37:21	decision 40:13	70:1,20 72:25	discussed 48:11
consultants 42:5	38:19 39:5 41:19	42: 14 64:3		
			describes 52:20	68:25 69:18
consultant's 68:22	46:14 71:13	decrease 41:14	69:12	disease 54:12,12,16
consultation 9:2	counts 38:2	decreases 41:11	description 61:22	74:23 75:20 76:20
42:2 64:15 68:20	county 1:310:25	defendant 3:11 8:2	76:22,25	77:21 81:16,20
78:7	12:13 85:5	8:25 10:13	destruction 36:12	82:8,13,24
consultations 9:15	couple 20:22 81:11	Defendants 1:16	72:11	dismissed 11:6
consultative 19:12	course 25:15,18	defense 4:25 5:17	detail 48: 12	disrupt 37:5
consulted 7:25 8:6	26:4 46:7 48:13	22:10,18	details 11:15 29:11	disruption 74:1
9:1875:3	court 1:1 5:12	defer 54:22 63:14	29:21 64:8	dissect 65:20
consulting 9:13	covered 82:25	deficit 62:6	deteriorating 52:24	distal 72:11 73:9
42:3	covering 48:6 64:2	deficits 56:4,5	deterioration 53:11	80:4
contact 23:25 24:4	co-attorney 10:2	define 25:8 33:9	54:19 60:16	diurnal 57:21,24
24:11,12,17 48:5	co-authored 16:20	35:7	determine 37:18	divide 17:24
48:15,24 49:2,13	crying 73:18	definitely 40:1	47:24	doctor 4:8 5:23
50:5 64:1	culture 35:2,5,15	definition 30:15	determined 79:8	6:24 8:10 11:16
contacted 10:8,9	35:21 36:4,8	65:18	developing 29:24	11:23 14:1 15:7
64:25	37:11,19	definitive 77:6	diabetes 30:5,9	16:17 18:11 19:8
contained 6:16	cultures 28:21 34:6	degree 12:5 30:25	45:24	20:1723:24 26:5
contains 21:25	35:22 37:10,15,24	32: 1,2	diabetic 54:10	26:12 27:14 28:19
content 19:25	38:3,10,15,18	degrees 57:20	65:12,23 66:5	31:9 32:11,25
continue 49:24	39:8,10 40:1,6,7	delayed 62:24		
continuing 16:16	cured 29:7		diagnosed 28:9,20 28:25 35:25	33:22 34:8 36:9
		department 4: 12		37:8 39:18 41:9
continuous 31:23 79:15	currently 7:20 1 1:5	15:2,14 16:7	diagnosing 33:23	42:11,21 43:14
	13:13 16:3 20:4	26:18 29:10 37:14	diagnosis 28:24	45:22 50:24 53:15
continuum 79:24	24:18	49:8 51:6 55:11	31:1 32:7,22 34:2	53:22 55:14 56:25
82:13,23	curriculum 11:20	56:3 57:18 66:10	34:9,12,13,19,23	58:1,20 60:13
control 45:24	16:18	76:10	35:6,8,10,16 36:3	61:12 63:8 65:4
controversy 45:1	cuts 77:23	department's 75:13	36:6 40:12 41:22	65:11 66:13 67:1
50:9	uyahoga 1:3 10:25	depend 30: 1538:4	43:7 46:21 61:16	72:2,13 73:24
Cook 12:13	85:5	38:22 39:2 60:2	69:19 70:2 74:13	75:21 76:22,25
copy 6:20 11:20	CVA 73:22	70:10 71:20	74:16,19	77:20 78:22 81:2
16:17 21:10		dependent 32:9,17	diagnostic 33:22	81:4 82:25
cord 69: 14	D	47:20 63:6 68:1	34:11,17 35:11	documentation
coronary 54:11	damage 53:14	69:671:2572:1	difference 30:20	63:1
correct 11:5,6	iate 2:7 6:14 11:23	depending 31:6,24	75:22 80:15	doing 7:15 37:21
12:22 13:9 14:3,4	36:8 51:16 60:7	49:9 59:1860:4,6	different 37:15	done 8:18 9:21
19:15,16 20:19,20	fated 19:13	68:5	57:17 63:10 71:11	28:12 42:24 44:2
33:3 34:14,19,23	dates 27:4	depends 50:20	74:21	44:13 45:11 49:6
37:1,6 40:23	laughter 73:13	depo 6:9	differential 32:22	49:7 51:11,15
42:10 45:20 46:4	74:11	depos 61:10	38:7 39:6 41:22	52: 15 65:2 69:1
51:4 52:12,13,16	lay 2:7 9:11 26:2	deposed 4:4	46:21 47:4,6	77:5,7 78:23
58:20 59:22 60:11	38:3 58:2,9,13,16	deposition 1:20,24	61:16	doppler 61:15 67:9
64:24 67:3 68:17	58:18,21 59:17	4:14 7:5 8:11,21	difficult 65:19	67:17,23 68:1,9
69:21 72:16,17	77:7 78:21 79:10	9:7 21:16,18,19	difficulty 52:22	dopplerable 77:3
77:14,24 79:1,2	79: 1080:4 84: 19	67:2,5 83:8 85:13	ligit 44:4	78:15
79:21,22 85:12	85:18	depositions 9:5	Diplomate 2:3	
corrections 84:4	lays 26:2 46:18	11:7 22:13,21	iirection 63: 17	dopplers 67:8 dorsalis 62:11 82:4
correlates 71:6				
correlates /1.0	47:10 62:14 81:10	dermal 44:6	iirectly 74:8	dorsum 68:7

Page 4

<u></u>	7		T	
dosage 60:4	18:9	60:1762:4,22	event 53:10 56:15	47:771:9
double 14:9	echocardiologist	63:12 66:9,14	68:13,16 77:22	expressing 50:25
doubt 73:8 74:4,6	52:23	67:12,17 68:19,21	85:15	extent 82:8
down 5:13 11:3	echoes 42:6,9	69:4,20 74:8	events 41:10	extract 75:6
61:7 69:14 70:6	education 16:16	75:13,22 76:10	eventually 29:16	extreme 73:25
72:21,22 77:3	Edward 24:8	77:9,13 78:12,23	ever 4:14 8:14 9:12	extremely 67:25
Dr 4:10 21:17 22:10	eight 7:23	80:5 81:7 82:9	9:17,24 10:12	extremities 44:2
22:10,13,14,14	Einstadter 51:3	empiric 40:10	13:18,23 18:6,19	56:13,13,20,22
24:6,7,7,8,8,13,17	54:1 58:15 73:14	employee 17:3	18:22 24:4 25:5	82:2,18
27:7,7,8 48:3,6,7	74:5	employer 16:22	26:9 37:9 40:9	extremity 61:21,23
48:7,10 50:14,16	Einstadter's 54:7	encounter 65:10	53:25	62:1,3,18 69:14
50:17 51:2,3,3	59:3 62:16 73:19	endocarditis 18:8	every 38:3 49:7	71:8 72:18
54:1,7 58:15 59:3 62:16 63:19,20,24	79:13 82:1	18:23,24 23:9	everyday 45:12	F
64:7,25,25 66:14	either 28:14 32:1 36:4 49:3 63:6	28:2,7,10,15,20	everyone 38:18 57:22	facilities 65:3
66:17 67:2,21	65:25 70:6 85:15	29:4,13,15,18,25 30:13,22 31:2,10	evidence 43:3 47:11	facility 22:1
68:11,15 69:1,24	EKG 34:6	31:17,20,24 32:5	52:3 56:15,17	fact 6:8 33:21 56:11
70:22 71:10,11,14	electrolyte 55: 18	32:7,22 33:3,7,14	60:15	62:11 65:8 74:10
71:15 73:14,19	elevated 38:2 39:5	33:18,24 34:17	exacerbated 75:17	79:4
74:5 76:2,12 78:1	41:19	35:1,6,16,21 36:1	exact 29:13	factor 30:12,21
78:5,9 79:13 82:1	elevation 38:6,24	36:10 37:12 39:20	exactly 65:20 76:17	factors 54:9
drafts 19:18	46: 14 58:24	39:25 40:12,18,25	exam 15:1 43:16,20	facts 47:2 65:6
drawn 38:11 40:6	elevations 37:22	41:5,11,21 42:1	50:4 56:3,12 63:9	faculty 25:16
drug 29:136:160:3	elicit 39:21	42:22 43:8,11	63:16 66:17,21,22	Fahrenheit 57:10
drugs 29:2,9	emboli 33:12,18	46:20 47:3,17,25	82:2,11	57:20
due 47:25 54:15	54:5 60:19 68:17	53:15,20 60:21,22	examination 2:1	fairly 49:2
73:20 78:25 81:7	72:1374:278:25	61:2 80:22	4:2,6	fall 38:9
duly 4:3 85:8,9	80:8,21	enough 35: 17 44:5	examinations 63:5	familiar 41:13
duration 79:7	embolic 41:10, 14	61:24 72:8	examined 43:18	67:18
during 7:17 13:20	53:1 77:22 79:9	enter 5:18	78:8	family 12:17 14:10
41:11 59:14	embolism 72:3	entire 61:25 72:8	examiner 44:3	14:14,15 15:9,24
	73:23 80:16	entirely 63:9 75:18	example 34:16	far 14:24
<u> </u>	embolisms 72:3	82:23	38:24 61:22	featured 25:1
each 9:1 11:6	embolus 54:17	entity 16:25 31:6	exams 67:25	febrile 47:14
earlier 67:24	61:21,24 62:13,20	35:19,20	exercise 82:16	fee 9:4
Earline 24:1 26:17	79:15 80:12	epidermis 44:6	Exhibit 19:9	feeding 72:15
27:12 45:23 47:22 48:7 51:11 53:23	emergency 4: 12	ER 56:18 57:3 74:11	existence 44:7	feel 5:15 50:18
48:751:1153:25 55:10 57:11 58:1	13:5 14:12,17,20		expect 82:6	63:15
66: 1869:23 70: 14	14:21 15:2,11,14 15:22 16:8,23,25	zradicate 41:1	experience 6:25 13:6 15:3 57:17	feels 35:9
73:13,16 74:10	17:4,6,9,11,14	e rror 76:1,5,16 ESQ 3:4,13	63:4 64:7,10	feet 44:15,2174:25 fellowships 13:2
75:25 78:11 80:1	18:1,3 19:3 21:5	zstimate 4: 197:13	65:18 66:4 70:11	felt 46: 1249:19
East 4: 13	24:19,20 26:17,18	7:18 9:22 43:17	experienced 69:4	femoral 72:20
easy 68:2,5,8	27:3 28:3 29:10	71:21,24	expert 7:8,15 8:12	fever 29:15 31:19
echo 29: 1642:12,23	32:11,15 37:8,13	estimation 45:2	8:15 18:12 26:16	31:20,22 32:6,13
43:2,5 51:11	39:12,16 40:4,8,9	et 1:14	39:19 63:13	32:19 41:18 46:17
52:15 54:3,25	42:18 44:1 45:8	:tc 1:7	expertise 54:21	47:8 57:11,14,15
60:16	45:13,18 46:2,9	tiologies 55:17	55:8 63:15 80:24	57:19 58:25 60:25
echocardiogram	46:11 47:2,15,22	:valuate 37:11 75:3	experts 22: 1024:5	61:1
28:21 34:6 36:4	48:4,11,14,16	:valuation 63:25	62:8 80:18	ffew 23:8
51:10	49:1,5,18,20	74:3	expires 84:23 85:23	(Rbrin 36:18
echocardiograms	50:15 51:6 55:6	even 9:22 17:20	explain 47:12 76:16	field 15:21 40:9
41:24	55:11 56:2,7 57:1	23:1434:9 80:15	explained 50:16	(Rfth 21:6
echocardiography	57:18 58:2,22	evening 83:6	explanation 39:1	f Rle 5:25 6:3,20

Page 5

filed 10:17,20,22	free 5:15	27:10,17 35:20	56:21 57:4,5,6	illness 29:7 35:18
files 7:20	frequency 17:19	37: 19 49: 16 50:25	58:15,25 59:12,12	36:14 47:14
	33:9 60:5	1		
filled 76:9		51:156:1662:6,9	59:14 60:18 61:3	illnesses 63:13
final 19:18 34:12,18	frequently 45: I2	62:13,20 68:6	66:19 69:25 70: I5	imaging 47:11 77:7
finalized 20:1	frires 1:20,24 4: 1,6	71:7 72:21 79:16	70:16,17,24 71:2	78:21 80:10
find 45:23 51:23	4:10 84:17 85:9	79:25	72:25 73:2,17	imbalance 55:18
52:18 53:2 56:3	from 6:27:28:11	good 45:24 62:17	74:11,12 76:14	immediate 74:2
56:17 58:18 62:21	12:1,7,20 21:11	82:3,14	77:12,12,13,18,18	immediately 15:I2
63:7,9 67:8 70:14	21:22,24 22:1	Gordon 2:2 85:8,21	78:24 80:7,8 81:8	impact 58:23 60:7
finding 52:7,11	25:16 27:6 42:19	Graber 48:3,10	81:16,17,18 82:2	important 5:2,11
56:18 61:18 63:8	45:2 46:3 48:11	50:14,18	hereinafter 4:4	34:21
68:1 82:6	48:16 53:14 54:6	grade 31:20 57:11	hereunto 85:17	impression 51:25
findings 48: 11,16	54:13,13 55:17	57:1458:25	herself 79:6	54:8,13
50:17 61:19 63:10	56:24 57:5,17	graduated 12:1	high 29:15 30:25	improve 75:17
64:21 67:13	60:20 63:4,19	greater 57:24	38:19 54:10 67:13	improved 63:2
	-	gross 45:3	higher 32:1	inaccurate 71:5
firm 6:13 9:14,19	65:12,23 67:12			
10:5	68:4 69:1870:5	ground 4:24	Hillcrest 17:12,16	inadequacy 45:1
first 4:3 10:8,19	74:7 75:6 78:12	group 24:23 64:3	him 25:2 26:15 58:8	incident 41:9 56:6
15:5 26:13 46:4,6	78:22 80:8,21	grow 36:23 40:8	58:14 67:5 68:12	include 24:6 34:3
85:9	84:2	guess 79:3	73:16 78:7	47:3 55:17,22
five 7:19,23 62:25	front 6:17	guide 63: 16	hip 57:6 70:6	included 41:22
70:23 71:15	full 4:9 12:23 64:8		history 15:8 29:4	46:20 47:5,8,11
flow 37:5 43:17	fully 29:6 32:17	<u> </u>	46:10 47:8,15	56:12 59:4
45:2 61:25 71:8	47: 1963:23 65:9	hand 5: 13 35:11	60:14 73:22 75:6	includes 32:19
72:4 82:14,21	function 34:5	55:13,14,21,25	75:14,19,22 76:10	including 55:22
focus 51:5	functions 18:5	56:10 85:17	76:14 79:4 81:17	increase 30:3,6
followed 47:23	further 54:25 83:5	handwriting 76:9	hold 13:13 16:2,11	increased 29:24
64:23	85:13	hangover 55:20	18:11 20:4	30:10 31:12,13
following 13:1	000	happened 81:10	home 6:21 15:17	32:4
15:13 84:3	G	happens 72:7	21:23 49:16	increasing 59:4
follows 4:5	general 21:1,5	happy 5:6	Hospital 2:5 4:12	indeed 50:5 62:14
follow-up 48:2,22	23: 1046:2 48:14	having 38:10 41:25	12:13 15:18 16:8	indicate 61:20
48:25 49:4,11,14	49:12 63:20 69:1	56:20 73:17 75:25	17:12,13 21:1,5	66:24 75:7 79:15
			-	1
49:15,24 50:12,18	69:2,7,9	76:2 81:6	46:2 48:14 50:19	indicated 48:10
50:23 53:5 55:3	Generally 43:25	head 5:13	hospitals 15:15	indicates 53:4
foot 57:6 68:8 70:24	generated 26:9	hear 53:18	Hospital's 17:7	62:13
75:10 76:3 77:11	getting 75:9 80:23	heart 18:8 30:4,8	hour 9:4,11	indicating 9:14
77:18,19 78:15	Gilles 27:8 76:2,12	30:24 31:4 32:4	hourly 9:10	44:10 62:23 67:6
79:18	78:1	32:13,21 36:21,23	hours 15:1	indication 78:16
foregoing 84:2	give 4: 195:117:13	46:11 47:14 53:14	housekeeping 7:3	79:20
85:12	9:22 15:7 24:22	53:23 54:6,19	human 57:25	indications 38:1
forgotten 7:5	30:10 64:4 73:10	58:4 60:14,20	Huron 2:5 4:12	indicative 71:1
formal 25:13	given 26:23 53:22	80:8,21	15:18 16:8 17:7	indicators 37:20
formalized 13:7	54:3 73:21	held 13:18	hypertensive 54:11	infarct 54:15
formulating 22:24	glean 56:24	help 25:17 81:9	hypoglycemia	infected 40:22
23:3	gleaning 61:9	helpful 33:23 34:1	55:18	infecting 36:10,19
forth 2:8 85:11	globally 79:13	34:11,18	hypothetical 59:1	41:1
found 8:7 50:3	go 4:23 11:25 20:21	hemodynamically	ngpomenear 57.1	infection 30:7,10,13
70:22 71:11,14	50:4 51:7 63:6,7,9	52:2	Ι	37:16,23 41:6,20
			-	infectious 31:1
79:1480:2	74:8 79:9 81:2	her 27:15,21,23	Ian 24:7	
four 9:11 54:20	goals 40:25	44:4 46:4,5,15,15	identified 24:5	33:14 38:8 39:6
75: 1576:3,4,8	1goes 65:9	47:8,12,23,24	identify 19:10	infective 36:22
79:7,7,25 81:10	going 4:23 5:8	51:1654:1,2,3,5,6	ill 29:10	initial 54:8, I3 66:17
frame 42:23	17:16 20:21 27:1	55:25 56:9,9,19	Illinois 12:14 13:20	66:22 72:11

.

•

· · ·

Page 6

1				Ξ
initially 23:7	15:7 17:17 19:10	lectured 18:22	57:23	83:2
¹ injury 70:16	21:3 24:16 27:4	Lefnesky 24:8	live 8:23	matters 7:1.25 9.3
inner 36:20	40:3 42:9 58:19	left 50:21 56:5	lodge 37:4	27:18
instance 36:2 55:4	59:2 71:24 79:11	77:16,17	lodges 72:14	Maxine 27:8
55:24 63:18	80:4 81:11,13	leg 27:21,23 37:5,6	long 12:18 17:3	
	80:4 81:11,15			may 5:1826:20
instances 36:24	K	57:4 60:18 61:5,7	79:6	34:11,17 37:16,24
37:1,3		61:13 66:15,19	look 34:2162:9	49:10,10 54:5
institution 16:15	Keith 24:8,13	72:9,14,16 73:2	71:22 81:12	59:20,20 60:3,3
42:16,19,20 64:18	key 49:21	73: 17 74:12 75: 14	looked 81:17	60:22 73:14 74:11
64:19	kilbane 3:13 6:12	77:13,16,18,24	looking 38: 14 79: 12	76:15 77:5 78:12
institutions 17:14	7:2 9:24 11:17	80:7	loss 31:11,14	80:2 82:9
instruction 25:18	19:13 21:9 58:7	legal 9:3	lot 45:1 46:24 64:10	maybe 25:21 76:5
instructional 25:23	58:12	legs 77:22	low 31:20 57:10,14	mean 35:7 38:1
instructions 5:21	Kilbane's 9:19	length 61:25 75:11	58:25	73:4
49:16	26:15	75:25	lower 32:1 56:13,20	meaning 26:14
instructor 25:10	kind 49:25 61:6	LESLIE 1:7	56:21	82:16
instructs 5:20	73:4	Lesnefsky 22:10	L.P.A 3:3,12	medical 1:13 5:15
intact 82:5	know 5:6 10:4	less 33:10 44:24	L4-L5 70:8	7:15 10:13 11:25
intend 20:11,14	21:11 29:12 33:8	let 5:6 14:5 65:21	N	12:1,10 16:10,13
83:1	40:17 41:9 42:17	letter 7:4	<u> </u>	16:16 18:19 19:11
intentions 51:8	43:1 53:25 54:18	let's 62:7 65:14	M 3:4	22:25 23:4,10
interested 85:15	58:12 59:24 60:3	leukemic 38:25	made 35:6,16 36:6	24:1 25:6,12,13
interference 82:20	61:1 64:8,11	leukocytosis 39:2	40: 14 43:8 48:1	26: 1959:774:2
intermittent 31:21	71:12,24 76:16,17	level 57:13 60:19	49:9,19,23 50:22	81:17
31:23	76: 18 79:11	67:14	64:4,22 69:20	medical/legal 7:1,8
intervention 77:5	knowing 58:4	levels 57:17	74:13,25 76:5	7:11,24 9:13,15
intravenous 29:2,8	knowledge 20:6	license 13:13,18,22	Madison 12:8	9:18
40:20	known 35:20 57:21	18:19	main 17:7 40:24	medication 59:12
investigate 65:14	61:8	licensed 13:8,11	72:15	medicine 12:15
	01.8			
involve 72:8	L	life 25:11 30:19	maintain 20:8	13:5,9 14:2,6,12
involved 10:3 11:12		life-threatening	23:13	14:17,20,21 15:22
11:14 18:6 26:1	L 2:2 85:8,21	43:11	majority 49: 12 66:6	15:25 16:8 17:12
31:25 32:9,10	laboratory 33:25	like 5: 14 6:9,24	make 6:22 30:19	18:1,3,15 19:3
40:7 55:7 62:8	labored 46:16	17:22 38:25 44:14	35: 1949:4	24: 1928:4 40:9
63:25	lady 58:11	49:25 56:25 59:2	makes 66:9	meet 19:24
involves 69:12	Lakeland 16:23,25	61:10 66:12 74:15	making 34:1	meeting 25:3
irritated 70:10	17:4	74:22 77:1 78:18	manifestations 33:2	meetings 25:1
ischemia 77:24 80:4	land 15:15	81:12	33:6,19 35:18	mellitus 30:9
ischemic 41:20	large 61:24,24	likely 35:10 41:7	36:13	member 24: 18
46:13 47:17 50:7	62: 13 72:8	54:14	manner 24:17	25:16
73:1	last 17:19 25:19	limb 74:1	many 4:17 7:10,20	mention 31:14
issues 23:18		limits 56: 14		33:20 82:1
	59:21 76:3		8:6,17 9:21 10:15	
item 21:6	Lately 17:19	limp 66:23	28:6,12 38:1,1	mentioned 21:3
IV 36:1	later 29: 17 36:8	Limsrichamrern	63:13	30:12 81:23
⊢	62:14 63:1,8	63:24 64:25	March 19:13 20:3,8	mentions 66:15
t	66:11	Limsrichamrern's	21:1,7 46:3,10	met 27:2 51:3
JEANNE 3:4	lateral 69:25 70:7	64:7	58:17 59:3	meticulously 68:6
journal 23:4	70:12 72:21,25	LINE 84:5	marked 19:8	Metro 21:11,14
judgment 49:9 55:8	law 6:13 9:19 10:5	lining 36:20	master 63: 13	25:17,20 47:23
July 11:24 85:18	lead 61:20	ist 59:11	materials 5:23 6:16	48:5,8,15 49:20
june 1:21 10:10	leading 19:2	isted 21:6	20:13,18 22:17	50:16,19 55:11
85:23	leaflets 36:12,21	iteratute 22:25	23:11	metrohealth 1:13
jury 8:20	least 7:16 24:24	23:4	matter 7: 11 9: 18	25:6,12 26:19
just 5:6 7:2 13:20	60:22	ittle 6:25 25:9 57:9	11:18 18:7.23	Metro's 57:3 74:11
Just 5.0 1.2 15.20	00.22	1110 0.20 20.7 01.7	11.10 10.7.20	Micho 35/15 /4.11
L				

Page 7

might 37:23 38:9	muscles 82:17	nursing 21:23	32: 14 34:20 36:11	outpatient 21:11
77:4	myriad 55:20		36:13 37:11,20,25	55:6
mild 52:7 66:3	M.D 1:20,24 4:1,6	0	40:24 44:14 48:22	over 4:23 9:23 30:3
81:18	84:17 85:9	oath 5:2	55:20 61:13 63:6	44:23 53:12 57:9
milligrams 58:16		objection 5:1858:7	63:7 65:16 66:23	69:25 76:3
59:5,17,22	N	observed 43: 16	ones 37:20	overall 41:14
millimeter 68:3,7,7	nail 44:14,16,20	57:25	ongoing 55:5	overfap 7 1:13
minimal 24:12	name 4:9 9: 12	observing 43:22,23	oniy 19:21 25:13	overlooking 31:1
minimum 9:11	named 10:12 24:1	44:6,9	33:6 54:20	overseeing 64:15
minor 17:18	85:9	obstruction 78:17	onset 57:5 60:17	overview 15:8
minority 33:7,9	naming 11:12	obtain 14:13	61:13 65:13,19,24	own 19:6 44:4,4
minute 65:15 73:10	native 30:1,4	obtained 14:16	66:7,16	63:4 66:10
minutes 37:15 63:8	near 72:20	obviously 21:13	opinion 26: 1642:23	o'clock 2:7 60:1
Mishkind 3:3	necessarily 35:17	occasions 24:25	55:2,25 58:3,24	
miss 68:5,9	35:22	occlude 61:25	60:24 69:2 78:10	P
mix 8:4	necessary 77:8	occlusion 73:6 80:3	80:6,19,24 81:1,5	page 81:14,15,24
Mizsey 26:17 27:12	need 31:4 39:22	80:7 81:8	opinions 19:1520:4	84:3,5
47:22 50:19 51:12	62:5	occlusions 61:15	20:7,9 22:24 23:3	pain 56:19 57:4
55:10 58:1 66:18	needs 48:22	occur 31:17 72:12	27:1,10,15,17,21	60:17 61:3,4,11
69:23 70:14 73:16	negative 35:21	occurred 56:5 57:6	27:23 39:21 51:1	61:13 65:11,15,16
74:10 75:25 78:11	negligence 10:13	61:7 79:10	51:2,5 83:I	65:18,19,22,24
79:6 80:I	11:9	occurrence 44:9	opportunity 42:7	66:1,7,15 69:15
Mizsey's 24:1 45:23	nerve 69:13 70:10	45:12 63:11	46:1 48:10 51:9	69:15,24 70:1,4
48:7 53:23 57:1 I	nervousness 55:22	occurring 31:6	67:1	70:12 72:17,21,24
73:13	neuro 56:5,12	36:19	opposed 75:23	73:4,7,8,17,19,25
moment 65:16,17	neurological 50:4	occurs 36:12 40:13	order 29:16 37:11	74:12 75:11,14,16
months 25:21 75:15	55:15 56:4,15	off 36:24 68:3 77:23	37:14,18 39:10	75:18 76:3,22,25
76:5,8 79:8,25	neurology 18:17	offer 83:1	59:21 77:6 78:20	77:16,17 78:19
more 25:9 33:15,18	neuropathy 65:12	offered 7:7,10	ordered 37:9 41:24	79:5,18,21 81:6
37:10 41:7 43:2,5	65:23 66:5	52:25	42:5	82:18,19
45:16 54:14 56:4	next 77:7 78:21	offering 20:19	ordering 42:12	pale 61:23
63:15 64:9 69:3	night 59:25	27:10,17,23	Oregon 13:17	pallor 62:18
72:10 74:22 77:6	nods 5:13	office 3:6 79:13	organism 36:19	palpable 61:14
morning 60: 1 79:19	none 11:4 63:14	85:17	41:1	62:12,23 66:22,25
most 10:20 33:13	noon 59:25	offices 2:5	original 15:17	82:15
39:12 42:11,17	normal 30:4 44:22	official 78:6	35:12	palpate 67:8
45:13 54:18 61:1	44:25 50:3 53:5	often 17:16 28:1	originally 10:9	palpating 45:16
63: 12 65:12,23 69:24	56:14 57:24	33:18 61:23 65:9	originating 80:8	palpation 70:21
Mostly 42:9	normally 39:7,9 Northeast 24: 19	ohio 1:3 2:4,6 3:7 3:15 4:2,13 13:8	osteoarthritic 61:8 other 9:17 13:2,14	paragraph 26:13 81:15,25
motions 5:13	25:12	13:11,22 15:17	13:16,19,22 16:25	part 21:10 25:14
mottled 62:3	Notary 2:3 84:21	24:19 25:12 26:19	27:11 29:19 38:13	30:11 31:16 48:13
mottling 62:18	85:8,21	85:3,8,18,22	40: 1442:5 56:4	641 8 65:5 69:8
move 37:24 56:25	note 20:22 59:3	okay 7:14 30:18	61:18	71:16 81:15,16
moved 15:16	62:16 66:14 73:15	35:12 57:2 62:5	others 24:14 66:3	participate 25:17
movement 61:5	73:19 76:11 79:17	65:16 73:6	otherwise 5:8 47:18	particular 13:4
much 26: 147:6	84:3	older 30: 14	85:15	16:11 19:1 23:17
68:6 73:17	noted 46: 16	once 29:8 40:6	out 7:4 18:11 29:7	23:18 29:3 30:17
Multicare 21:23	notes 26:6,9 59:7	50:14 58:18 75:2	35:1 39:25 40:8	49:18,20 53:2
22:1	76:4 82:1	82:16	54:21 56:9 57:7	54:24 56:23 58:19
multiple 27:16	mothing 85:10	one 8:20 9:1 10:2	61:6 65:20 68:13	60:7,10 63:7,18
multitude 55:16	motice 75:21	11:10 24:24,25	69:13 73:18 76:9	party 85:15
murmur 53:18,18	number 7:13 20:18	26:2,3 28:8,17,18	76:24 78:24 80:23	party 85.15 pass 15:4
muscle 55:19	33:I	29:1 30:10 31:4	outcome 4 1:7	past 8:20 10:16
	55.1			Pape 0.20 10.10

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D.

Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

Page 8

		1	-	1
16:14 17:18 29:5	82:14	porcine 54:19 73:21	previously 69:18	9:1217:722:22
81:17	person 25:2 30:16	position 73:22	primarily 36: 18	25:20 27:3
pathological 36:11	30:17 64:16	positions 16:3,6	40:19	providers 24:1
69:12	personal 26:6 76:8	positive 35:5,15,21	primary 42:2 49:3	40:15
patient 28:10 29:2,3	personally 28:9,24	41:7	49:23 62:15	providing 25:22
29:23,25 30:7	perspective 67:12	possibilities 55:21	prior 7:8 11:7	proximal 72:18
31:3 32:12,15,23	phenomena 43:15	possibility 31:5	19:18 20:15,19	psychology 12:7
35:4,14 37:10,12	66:1	50:9 55:23 71:3	23:24 47:10 56:6	Public 2:384:21
37:23 38:5,9,25	phenomenon 41:14	73:23 74:7 79:2,3	70:17	85:8,21
39:4,7,11,20	physician 15:11,14	possible 41:2 46:12	priority 32: 1647:6	publications 16:19
40:16 41: 17 42:24	32:12 34:18 35:9	48:22 60:12 72:6	private 66:10	23:17
47:1348:23 49:7	37:9 40:4,8 45:8	78:24	privileges 17:11	pulled 17:20
50:1,10,13,21	46:12 47:2 48:4	possibly 37:25	probably 28:5	pulsation 77:10
52:1253:3 54:9	48:14,24 49:14,21	39:14,17 46:22,25	33:11 39:10 42:13	pulse 62:12 67:16
58:8 59:8 60:13	50:12,15 58:3	47:16	53:6 77:19	68:1,4,9
61:2 62:15 65:10	62:16,22 63:7,13	posterior 70:9 82:4	problem 71:2 73:2	pulses 45:7,16
66:22 68:21 73:24	64:21 66:11 67:13	potential 52:25	75:8	61:14 62:17,23
75:4,6,10 78:8	68:19,22 69:5	practice 12:17 13:6	procedure 4:3	66:21,24 67:7,9
79:12 82:7	75:23 76:9	13:9 14:11,14,15	64:23	67:22 77:2 78:14
patients 11:14 28:2	physicians 11:13	14:24 15:9,18,24	proceed 64:4	82:5,15
30:23 32:3 33:7	23:22 24:20,22	18:1,2 19:6 28:1	process 38:8 39:7	pursuant 2:4
33: 19 37: 18 38:1	26:18,25 27:3,11	48:20 67:18	69: 1274:23 79:9	put 58: 1565:7
39:20 40:11 41:25	39:12,16 42:3	practicing 28:3	79:24 82:14,24	puts 25:13
45:15 49:15 54:18	45:13,19 49:2	practitioner 63:5	professional 9:13	Putting 79:4
66:4,6	55:6 56:2 62:4	67:25 69:6 71:25	16:19,24 17:23,24	puzzle 34:20
patient's 31:8 64:5	64:2 67:17	practitioners 71:12	17:25 25:5	p.m 2:7 83:8
74:25 75:7	picking 43:3	71:17	program 13:7	
pedis 62: I2 82:4	picture 34:22	precise 7:12	16:16	Q.
pending 11:5	piece 34:20	precisely 4:18	progress 73:15	QD 59:5,22
Pennington 27:7	place 29:14,23	prefer 51:5 54:22	progressed 82:19	qualified 14:25
67:21 68:15 69:24	41:16 44:3 70:14	55:8	progressing 77:2	85:9
70:22 71:14	85:14	preparation 19:19	prominent 24:22	qualifiers 46:24
Pennington's 66:14	placement 54:20	23:21	61:1 69:24 72:18	question 5:1,7,9,19
66:17 67:2 68:11	plaintiff 1:9 2:1 3:2	prepare 26:6	prompted 29:16	13:24 18:20 35:13
71:10	8:1,6,24	prescription 59:9	promptly 41:11,15	43:22 48:21 59:2
people 48:15	Plaintiff's 19:9	presence 29:22 32:5	promulgate 72:10	65:22 73:25 78:13
per 7:19 9:4 75:15	plan 59:3	32:20 73:21	proper 50: 17	80:17
79:5	plantar 72:23	present 16:22 31:10	proportion 7:24 8:2	questionable 78:19
percent 18:3 33:10	plaque 52: 1,8 81 :19	32:1 35:23 43:7	prosthetic 18:8,24	questions 5:3,6
percentage 17:25	platelets 36:18	52:21 53:9 66:9	28:7,15 29:5	81:11 83:5
perform 45:9	PLEAS 1:1	presentation 31:7	30:24 31:4 32:4,7	quite 61:3 70:11
performed 40:2	please 4:8,20 5:15	38:23 50:1 74:21	32:12,21,21 35:25	76:19
perfusion 44:10	19:10 23:2 25:8,9	79:5	40:17,25 41:5	quoted 57:16
perhaps 4:22 24:25	35:7	presented 46:9 50:2	43:7,10 46:19	-
25:7 38:12 61:17	point 5:14,17 11:13	presenting 49:9	47:3,13 58:4	<u> </u>
period 7:1812:24	35:9 45:19 46:23	58:22 61:2	60:14,20	radiate 70:5
28:4 31:10 65:15	52:4 58:12 59:14	presents 32:12	protocol 37:14	radiated 61:6
71:18 72:4 79:12	62:19 63:1 64:13	41:18 47:14 49:8	42:15 64:20	radicular 61:4,11
periodically 17:13	74:20,24 75:1	60:16	proved 29:17 50:6	radiculopathy
24:21	80:11 82:13,20,22	pressure 43:21,23	proven 45:4 47:18	69:10,11,19 70:3
peripheral IO:1	Pointe 17:13,17	43:24 44:5,8,11	provide 16:24 17:9	70:5
45:2,7 54:12 69:3	popliteal 72:22 73:7	presumptive 35:5,8	17:13 19:17 22:18	raise 66: 18 67: 13
72:3 74:23 75:19	80:3 81:8 82:3	35:15 36:2 40:12	26:15	raised 54:4 60:19
76:20 77:21 82:7	Poponick 27:7	previous 47:10	provided 4:2 6:8,12	80:20

Page 9

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Rakita 48:7,7 51:3	33:1 58:19	73:4	4:6,10 84:17 85:9	71:23
rarely 17:21	referenced 20:17	request 6:22 26:15	right 21:1527:21	seal 85:17
rate 9:11 34:4	27:4 33:6 35:24	requested 7:5 25:17	27:23 55:13 56:12	second 3:581:13
rather 66: 1078:25	referring 27:2	84:5	57:4 62:4 63:16	second 3.3 81.13 secondary 76:20
Raymond 24:7	40:21 81:21	requests 20:16		seconds 44:24
read 22:21 67:2	refill 43:14,15,25	require 14:20,24	65:21 66:15,19	
84:2		39:15 50:10	70:24 72:25 73:2	62:25 70:23 71:15
11	44:22,25 45:3,6		77:13,15,17 79:18	71:16
reading 9:5 60:11	45:17 62:24 70:23	required 5:19 15:1	80:3,7	sed 34:4
61:9 67:9	71:7,11,13,19	39:24	risk 29:24 30:3,6,10	see 20:23,25 21:7
realize 36:6		requirement 14:21	30:12,21 32:5	21:16,21 28:1
really 7:12,22 11:14	refills 71:4	14:23	54:8	31:11 32:14 37:21
23:13 33:21 39:2	reflect 65:9	research 18:7	Road 2:6 4:13	38:1 46:2 49:13
41:15 42:25 45:4	regard 10:5 16:12	reserve 55:8	room 21:6 26:17	51:10,14 52:14
50:2 60:1 61:10	26:10,12,25 27:11	residencies 13:2	27:3 32:11,15	57: 1662:9 65:7
75:8 80:11	54:23 75:24 80:25	residency 12:9,12	37:8 39:12 40:4	76:7,11,13
reappeared 62:15	81:12	12:16,18,23 13:1	44:1 45:13,19	seeing 66:10 70:24
reask 14:5	regarding 23:8	13:7 14:21 15:9	46:3,10,12 47:2	seek 50:11
reason 5:20 67:20	48:21 50:21 64:5	15:10	47:15,22 48:4,12	seem 52:4
reasonable 42:21	Registered 2:2	resident 63:21,22	48:14,16 49:1,5	seemed 74:21 75:6
68:11,14,21 78:1	regular 19:6 45:14	64:9 67:7 69:1,3	49:18,21 50:15	75:8,16 77:1
reasonably 45:24	regularly 49:2	78:8	56:8 57:1 58:2,22	78:18
recall 18:25 23:14	regurgitation 52:21	residents 69:7	60:17 62:22 66:14	seems 61:10 82:20
28:8 29:11,19,21	53:9,13,18	respirations 46:16	67:17 68:19,21	seen 21:12 22:6
67:5,9 70:24	related 70:17	respiratory 47:9	69:4,21 74:9	28:5 31:19 33:2,6
received 21:19 23:7	relation 59:19	response 43:24	75:23 77:13 78:12	33:8,18 48:23
recent 10:20 53:10	relationship 60:10	responsibilities	78:23 80:5 81:7	53:14,20 55:10
53:24 54:3 60:15	relative 85:15	17:23	82:9	57:3 66:5 79:13
73:22	release 44:8	responsibility 16:9	rooms 42:18	82:9
recently 35:24	rely 68:22	rest 18:4 76: 19	root 69: 13 70:10	segs 46:15
Recess 73:11	remember 11:10,15	78:20 82:15	rough 71:21,24	seizure 55: 19
record 48:9 58:11	Reminger 3:12,12	restricted 38:20	roughly 7:18,23	send 7:3
59:7 61:19	remote 79:3	result 8:8 36:25	71:18 82:12	senior 64:9
records 5:15 21:2	removed 6:2 44:12	41:10 54:4 55:15	routine 64:20	sensation 68:4
21:14,22,22,24,25	irenal 34:5	56:6 59:20	routinely 37:13	79:19
22:2 23:7 24:2	irendered 26:16	results 36:7 53:3	38:17	sensitive 43:2,5
45:23 46:3 53:25	27:12	retrospect 81:4	Rozman 22: 13 24:7	sequelae 33:13,16
56:8 61:9 70:13	rendering 27:1 51:2	return 44:17	rule 56:8 68:13	serial 37:9
73:12	repeat 5:7 23:2	returned 29:9	78:24	series 11:12
recreational 29:3,9	35: 12 63:9	review 7:17 20:12	ruled 35:1	serious 74:8
recruit 24:21	rephrase 5:7	20:15 21:18,20	rules 4:3,24	service 9:13 62:7,12
recruited 25:2	ireplacement29.6	23:7,8,25 45:22	ruling 39:25	63:14,19 64:3
recur 44:11	40:22	48:10 66:14 70:13	Rush [2:1	68:1275:2
recurred 29:13	report 19:11, 12,18	reviewed 6:6 20:19		services 6:13 7:7,11
recurrence 29:17	19:21,25 20:3,8	21:2,24 22:9,13	S	16:24 17:6,10,14
79:16	20:18,19 21:4,6	23:9,12 49:17	same 9:8,10 29:13	25:20,23
red 34:4	22:4,7,13 23:21	53:25 65:6 68:10	51:15 71:18	session 5:2
redness 44:9	26:12 27:14,20	70:18 73:12	saw 54:2 66:20	set 2:7 39:10 47:1
reduced 85:11	32:25 33:20 51:10	reviewing 7:21 9:4	says 59:5 73:19	85:11,17
reestablish 72:5	51:15,21,24 52:10	22:17 26:5 46:8	76:2,4 79:18	sets 37:14 38:10
refer 5:14 19:5	52:15,19,20 53:10	56:24	scan 47:11	40: I
22:25 23:4 49:14	54:24 81:12,14,24	revoked 13:23	icenario 46:19	seven 15:2
81:16	reporter 2:3 5:12	18:20	schedule 11:17	seventh 30:19
reference 19:14	reports 22:9 23:8	revolve 31:7	school 11:25 12:10	several 15:15 26:2
20:25 27:15,21	represent 55:21	richard 1:20,24 4:1	scientifically 45:4	46: 17 62:14
	······································	a long a 1 a vy 4 1 T. 1	secondineally Tort	10.17 02.17

Page 10

(1	THE DAY	1	
severe 65:24 66:2,7	sooner 41:4	Street 3:5	47:18	60:25
74: I2 76:23 80:4	sorry 11:11 70:21	stress 82:16	suspecting 37:17	temperature 32:2
sheets 49: 16	82:4	stroke 32:20 36:25	62:5	46:15 57:8,22
shift 17:21	sort 43:21	41:21 46:4,6,6	suspended 13:23	58:5,23 59:19
short 60:2 79:11	source 53:1	53:24 54:2,3,5,9	18:20	60:4,10,18
showed 38:6 81:18	sources 23:14 57:16	54:14 55:15,23	suspicion 29.12	ten 4:21,21 7:16
shower 57:7 61:6	South 17:12,17	56:9 60:15	36:5 42:22 62:19	8:11 10:20
side 56:6,12 72:21	Southwest 21:1,5	strokes 27: 16	suspicious 35:19	tend 56:1
sign 57:15 61:2	46:2 48:3,5,13	strong 29:12 68:4	sworn 4:4 84:18	tenderness 70:20
Signature 83:9	speak 25:3 39:19	studies 33:22	85:10	term 33:9 39:9
significance 23:18	63:14	study 53:5 71:6	symptom 31:17	69:11
70:2	speakers 25:1	77:778:21 80:2	32:10,17,19 35:18	terms 16:10 30:14
significant 52:3,5	specialist 68:20	80:10,11,20	38:4 39:24 47:20	43:24 50:9 71:8
60:9 75:11	specialists 42:3	subacute 31:19	49:10 50:6 57:15	71:18
since 15:19,20 17:5	specialty 12:17	subject 18:7,23	61:1	Terrace 2:64:13
22:6,12 79:19	14:10 64:12	27:18	symptomatology	test 82:17
single 11:10 35:2	specifically 30:12	subjective 66:1	27:22 48:21 50:2	testified 8:14,19,23
sinusitis 47: 12	47:24	71:5	56:21 58:6 75:7	testify 11:17 85:10
sit 23:16	specified 85:14	subjects 24:23	76:18	testimony 8:21 9:7
sites 37:16	spent 17:25 18:4	Subscribed 84:18	symptoms 27:23	67:2,6 85:11,12
situation 32:9,15	68:6	subsequent 59:6	41:2047:9,9,12	tests 33:25 34:5,10
38:16 39:3 49:22	spinal 69:13	substances 36:17	47:15,24 54:1	35:11 36:3
six 9:23 25:21 28:5	spoke 48:5 50:15	substandard 8:7	74:22 75: 18 76:1	textbook 19:3
28:6	SS 85:4	sudden 57:5 60:17	77:14	textbooks 19:1 23:1
sue 72:9	St 3:14	61:13 65:13,19,24	syndrome 69:16	23:5,10
skill 45:7,18	staff 16:10, 14,14	66:7,16	systemic 33:12,17	thank 83:5
skilled 69:3	17:11	suddenly 57:7	systemic 55.12,17	their 30:17 49:16
skin 33:1,5,19	stage 77:4	suffered 70: 15	T	63:16 66:10 67:18
Skylight 3:6	standard 27:2	sufficient 72:9	table 5:24	69:8
slight 30:3	39:15,19,23 5 1:3	suggested 52:23	take 5:13 11:3,4	therapy 40:20
small 54:14	standpoint 7:3	53:10	14:19 27:22 62:8	thigh 57:4 69:25
society 24:19,20	start 65:17	suggestive 37:23	taken 2:2 4:15 8:11	70:7 72:22 73:1
some 4:23 5:17,19	stat 77:9	38:8 39:6 41:19	37:11 59:19 74:10	thing 23:5 62:5
11:13 16:9 21:9	state 2:4 4:8 13:8	ruggests 54:24	85:14	things 20:22 32:14
21:25 22:2 23:6,9	13:14,17,23 26:13	summaries 6:9,9	takes 41:15	38:13
23:10 24:10 27:20	29:1077:1585:3	summarize 20:3	taking 40:15 58:17	think 30: 1432:8
27:23 29:14 33:8	85:8,22	rupply 74:1 77:23		42:19 47:1 48:19
33:15 34:9 36:24	stated 20:7 59:23	support 25:11	58:21 59:8,10,13 59:17	57:22 60:24 63:3
36:25 37:3 43:21	67:24	34:13 56:18	talk 4:25 6:24	66:1 68:14 70:4
45:19 49:4 50:2	statement 33:10		1	1
43:19 49:4 30:2 52:20,22 53:11	66:24	suppose 71:3 72:6	talking 81:25	72:7,19 74:5 76:1
58:12 61:9 63:1	states 13:16,19	sure 4:24 25:10	talks 24:22	
65:666:24	statute 2: 1	33:21 41:15 47:6 49:4 62:6	taught 18:22	80:23 81:24 82:22
someone 30:3 50:5			teach 25:24	thomas 3:13 19:13
someone 30:3 50:5 66:2	stenosis 52:5	surface 36:20	teaching 64:18,19	thoroughly 25:9
	stenotypy 85:11	surgeon 21:17 65:1	65:3 toom 62:7	three 10:16 12:19
something 20: 15	stepping 57:7	surgeons 75:15	team 62:7	15:13 17:20 22:15
38:25 40:3 45:11	stigmata 29:14	surgeon's 79:17	technique 45:3	62:25 63:8 70:23
45:13 49:1 66:12	still 5:1820:8 34:11	surgery 18:14	67:22	71:15,16 81:24
67:17 81:2	77:22 79:1	40:20,21 63:21,21	TEE 54:25 55:3	thrombosis 72:10
sometimes 33:2	stimulus 44:1 1	64:16 69:1,2,8	tell 11:8 23:11	76:21 80:13,16
somewhat 44:24	stopped 75:18	75:24 76:4	24:16 28:23 38:14	thrombus 68: I7
54:21 71:5 72:12	Storoe 63:20,20	surgical 69:7 80:18	39:22 40:3 63:4	through 20:21
74:21	64:25 69:1 71:11	suspect 38:23	74:18 80:14 81:1	36:24 37:4 72:5
soon 41:1	71:15	siuspected 41:25	temp 57:23 59:20	77:10 84:3

``

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

Page 11

[[1	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
THURSDAY 1:21	trauma 25:11,14	ultrasounds 51:24	54:16	walk 7:4
TIAs 50:10	travel 36:24 37:4	unable 67:8 73:18	variable 63:5	walking 75:17
tibial 82:4	travels 69:14	76:24	variation 57:21.25	76: 19
time 5:14 7:4,17	treasurer 16:13	under 2:1 5:2 45:24	varied 7:19 71:14	WALTER 1:7
11:13 13:20 14:25	treated 29:6 40:18	undergraduate	varies 42: 19	want 50:24
17:24,25 18:3,4	40:19 41:5	12:5	variety 57:16	wanted 50:12
20:11 22:6,12,16	treating 49:3	underlying 77:21	various 18:4 24:23	warm 82:2
23:6,22 25:16,17	treatment 40:25	understand 5:3,5	72:14	warranted 49:19
25:19 26:1 28:4	tremor 55:21	5:21 27:6 63:24	vascular 18:14,14	53:6
31:11 32:6 38:3	tremors 55:13,14	understanding 43:4	21:17 62:6,7,12	warrants 32:6
40:7 42:23 44:22	55:16,25 56:9	64:6 78:4	63:19,21 64:16	wasn't 28:22 59:10
53:12 55:12 58:14	trial 8:14,19,22,24	understood 5:9	65:1 66:19 67:14	watch 44:17 71:22
59:14 62:19 65:16	9:9,10 11:18	underwent 80:1	68:12 69:8 73:20	way 48:23 57:6
68:6 70:16 71:13	20:13,16 83:1	unilateral 68:8	74:23 75:2,15,20	62:3 63:6 65:7
71:18,23 72:4	tricuspid 29:18	University 12:8	75:24 76:4,20	77:3,10 78:15
74:20,24 75:5,12	trifurcation 73:7	unless 5: 19	77:21 78:2,5	weakness 55:19
75:25 79:12 83:6	80:3 81:8	unskilled 67:21	79:17 80:1,18	week 82: 12
85:14	true 31:9,18 33:5	until 12:20 36:7	82:7	weeks 66:11 76:3
times 4:17,217:10	33:11,12,17 72:2	47:18	vasculature 54:16	79:7
8:6,12,17,23 9:21	85:12	unusual 31:11	73:2	weight 31:11.14
9:23 10:15,16	truth 85:10,10,10	52:12 62:21 63:3	vaso-occlusive	34:13
28:12 31:21	trying 39:21	63:10 72:12	68:13,16	well 17:15 22:1
timing 60:2	twice 8:20 28:13	upper 47:8 56:13	vegetations 36:15	25:8 30: 1833:25
tissue 36:16	58:2,9,13,16,21	upper 47.8 50.15 use 67:21	36:16,23 43:3,6	38: 17 39:18 42:6
titles 16:11	59:17 75:13 76:8	used 43:16	verbally 5: 12 1 1:2	48:20 53:4 57:20
today 6:623:16	two 8:23 10:19	useful 34:5,7	very 17:21 29:10,15	61:12 64:3,17,19
toe 44: 16	17:19 24:25 28:8	user 36:1	45:3 50:8 57:16	65:4,14 66:21
toes 44: 15	28: 17 37:14 40:1	using 67:22	61:10 63:5 65:19	67:6 72:13 77:1
together 79:4	44:23 66:11 68:3	usual 48:20	66:2,3 68:5,8 70:7	77:16 79:17
told 73:16	71:16 81:14	usually 31:19,20	71:4,25,25 77:7	went 29:7 78:7
top 81:24	two-day 26:3	36:1140:13,18,22	78:21 81:18	were 8:5,12 9:14
topics 23:9	type 11:1114:20,23	65:2 72:7 73:8	vessel 72:5	10:8,17,19,22
TOSTI 3:4 4:7 6:22	16:18 23:5 30:13	utilize 45:19 67:18	Via 13:6	11:11 23:12,15
21:13 58:10 73:10	30:1631:18,24,25	utilized 8:21 29:2	videotaped 8:20	26:5,14,22 36:7
83:4	38:16 49:22,25	30:25 34:1	view 80:11	42: 1247:24 50:22
totally 53:5	52:11 53:13,17	JU. 40 JT. 1	vigilance 30:25	54:1 56:13 61:18
touch 75:2	55:5 56:20 61:4	V	virulent 31:25	62:23 64:2,15
Tower 3:6	61:11 70:11,16	wague 50:8	visit 21:6 46:3	66:22,24 71:17,22
track 4: 18 7: 12	73:7 82:6	walid 39:1	47:22 48:12,17	74:22 75:1,5 77:2
tracked 8:8	types 25:20 67:25	walve 18:24 28:7,15	49:5,18 56:8,18	77:13 78: 1482:2
tracking 7:22	typewritten 76:11	29:5,18,23 30:1,4	56:23 57:1 58:17	82:5
Traditionally 44:23	typical 42: 17 61:4	30:8 31:4 32:4,7	59:6,12,14 69:21	West 3:5
training 13:4 25:14	70:8,11 76:24	32:13,21,21 35:25	74:16 78:2,12	whatsoever 74:6
69:8	typically 26:3 30:11	36:10,12,21,23	80:5 82:1,9	WHEREOF 85: 17
transcribed 85:12	44:3,13 53:17	38:6,21 39:5	visits 51:6 54:13	while 71:22
transcript 84:2	55:7 70:4	40:17,22 41:5,18	vitae 11:21 16:18	white 31:12,13 34:3
transcription 85:12	typographical	43:7,10 46:11,20	Vivian 2:2 85:8,21	37:22 38:7,19,24
transesophageal	76:15	47:3,14 52:24	volume 21:25	39:5 41:19 46:13
42:5 43:2,5 54:25		53:12,14,23 54:20	Vrobel 48:6 50:16	whole 34:22 79:4
transient 41:20	U	58:4 60:14,15,20	51:2	85:10
46:13 47:1650:6	ultimate 61:18	73:21	vs 1:11	Wisconsin 12:8
transthoracic 42:6	ultrasound 51:15	valves 18:8 30:24	·	witness 1:25 4:1
42:9 43:1 5 1:11	5 1:20 52:1 80:9	54:19	W	7:16 21:15 85:17
52:15	81:5,9,18	valvular 43:6 54:12	waived 83:9	woman 61:7
				L

.

RICHARD FRIRES, M.D. Walter, etc. v. Metrohealth Medical Center, et al.

Page 12

			1 490 1
wondering 21:2 work 7:16 15:8,11 15:21 20:12 worked 9:24 15:14 working 57:17 worse 75:9 76:18 77:17 worsening 78:19 79:18 82:21 wouldn't 46:5 61:12 writing 26:14 written 75:12 76:7 wrote 19:12 X x-ray 34:6 Y year 7:19 10:10,11 12:3 17:19 23:6 years 7: 16 9:23 10:20 12:19 15:2 15:13 28:4 44:23 53:9 54:20 S \$350 9:4 119:9 84:3 10th 21:1,7 46:3,10 100 57:20 100.9 46: 15 1133:14 129:23 1310:20 13th 54:3 58: 17 59:3 139512:5 4:13 15 4:21 7:19 15.4 46:14 1660 3:5 194911:24 1977 12:4,20 1980 12:21 14:16 1986 14:18 1988 17:5 1995 10:21 1998 69:20 2 20 4:22 8:11	$\begin{array}{r} 2000 \ 10:10\\ 2001 \ 1:21 \ 19:13\\ 84:19 \ 85:18\\ 2004 \ 85:23\\ 21 \ 28:4\\ 21 \ 85:23 \ 21 \ 28:4\\ 21 \ 85:23 \ 56:18\\ 216-241-2600 \ 3:8\\ 216-687-1311 \ 3:16\\ 26 \ 69:20\\ 26 \ th \ 26:20 \ 57:1,4\\ 59:7,12,15 \ 62:22\\ 81:7\\ 27 \ th \ 19:13 \ 20:3,8\\ 28 \ 1:21\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ 3\\ 3rd \ 85:18\\ :30 \ 37:15\\ :30 \ th \ 82:12\\ :37.5 \ 57:8,23 \ 58:5\\ 58:23 \ 60:8,18,25\\ :393899 \ 1:11\\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ 4\\ 4:00 \ 59:25\\ 44112 \ 4:13\\ :14113 \ 3:7\\ 44114 \ 3:15\\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ 5\\ 5,000 \ 15:1\\ :50 \ 33:10\\ :50-50 \ 8:3\\ \hline \hline \\ 6\\ 6t \ th \ 26:20 \ 73:14\\ 74:12 \ 78:12 \ 79:5\\ 82:10\\ 650 \ 58:15 \ 59:5,17\\ 59:21\\ 660 \ 3:6\\ \hline \hline \\ 7\\ 7 \ th \ 11:24 \ 80:2\\ 7:00 \ 2:7\\ 73-year-old \ 52:12\\ \hline \hline \\ 8\\ 8 \ 85:23\\ 83 \ 84:3\\ 85 \ 18:3\\ \hline \hline \end{array}$	9th 51:10 52:16 9:10 83:8 98 21:1,7 26:20,20 26:21 51:11 52:16 55:12 58:17 80:2 99.6 57:9,23	



2 2	EXI	IB	Т	
		/		-

Department of Emergency Medicine Richard F. Frires, M.D. Chairman. FACEP, PAAFP

March 27,2001

Mr. Thomas Kilbane, Esq. Reminger and Reminger Attorneys at Law 113 St. Clair Avenue, NE Cleveland, Chio 44114-1273

RE: E/O Earline Mizsey vs. MetroHealth Medical Center, et al File No.: 393899

Dear Mr. Kilbane:

I am writing to you inanswer to your request that J provide you with an expert opinion on the care rendered to Ms. Earline Mizsey, by the emergency physicians in the Emergency Department at MetroHealth Medical Center, Cleveland, Ohio on April 21,1998, April 26, 1998, and May 6,1998.

I have had *the* opportunity to review the following materials sent to me regarding *the* above captioned netter:

- 1) MetroHealth Medical Center: Out-Patient Care 1/9/95 to 8/5/98
- 2) MetroHealth Medical Center: **Emergency Room** Visit **4/21/98**
- 3) Metro Health Medical Center: Emergency Room Visit 4/26/98
- 4) MetroHealth Medical Center: **Emergency Room Visit** 5/6/98
- 5) Southwest General Hospital: Emergency Room Visit 5/8/98
- 6) MetroHealth Medical Center: Admission 5/8/98to 5/15/98
- 7) ,Deposition: Douglas Einstadter, MD
- 8) Deposition: Jeffrey Pennington, MD
- 9) Deposition: Elizabeth Dorr McKinley, MD
- 10) Deposition: Thomas W. Graber, MD
- 11) Deposition: Louis Rakita, MD
- 12) Deposition; Raymond Vrobel, MD

13957 Terrace Road East Cleveland, Ohio 44112 (216) 761-3300 Ext. 1242

900 🕅

BEWINCES & BEWINCES

- 13) Deposition: Leslie Walter
- 14) Deposition: Catherine Visnic
- 15) Expert Report: Raymond W. Rozman, Jr, MD
- 16) Expert Report: Ian Baird, MD
- 17) Expert Report Claude Brachfeld, MDs

Ms. Mizsey was a 73 year old female with a past medical *history* of osteoarthritis, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease, carotid artery disease, *status* post coronary artery bypass graft, and was status post aortic valve replacement in 1994 for severe aortic stenosis. On March 10, 1998 she was seen at the Southwest General Hospital for malaise, low-grade fever and dropping things. Her neurological exam was within normal limits and after a work up she was diagnosed with probable TLA and sinusitis. She was seen by Dr. Einstadter on March 13, 1998 and complained of some clumsiness in *the* left hand. Dr. Einstadter noted 3 left pronator drift in Ms. Mizsey and slight decrease strength in the left leg. His diagnosis was acute CVA now three days old and he ordered a carotid ultrasound and echo to rule out embolic source.

Dr. Einstadter **again saw** her in the **outpatient** clinic on March 18, 1998. At that visit Ms. Mizsey presented with **sensory** and proprioceptive deficits of the **left** upper extremity. The carotid ultrasound and echo were pending. On April 9, 1998, an echocardiogram was performed which suggested bioprosthetic deterioration, which could be a potential embolic source. A transesophageal echocardiogram was suggested to further clarify the status of the aortic valve. Also on April 9, a carotid ultrasound was performed which showed mild calcified plaque bilaterally.

On April 21, 1998, Ms. *Mizsey* presented to the MetroHealth Emergency Department and was evaluated by Dr. Janet Poponik for the complaint of **right** hand tremor. Her neurological exam revealed old sensory deficits in the left upper extremity and old left facial droop. There were no new neurological deficits. Her symptoms were attributed to anxiety state and she was encouraged to follow up with Dr. Einstadter as scheduled.

On April 26, 1998, MS. Mizsey presented to the MetroHealth Emergency Department again. Ms. Mizsey complained of pain throughout her right leg since the previous night. This pain came on suddenly after stepping out of the shower. The pain radiated from the foot to the hip. Dr. Jeffrey Pennington evaluated Ms. Mizsey and noted that he suspected delayed capillary refill and that the pedal pulses were difficult to palpate. The vascular surgery service was consulted and it was determined that she had adequate pulses in both legs. The diagnosis of radiculopathy was made and Ms. Mizsey was discharged on Motrin for pain and advised follow up with Dr. Einstadter within 48 hours. She was sent home with careful warnings to return to the ED if her leg pain worsened or she developed numbness, weakness, or any other problems.

On April 30, 1998, she saw Dr. Einstadter for follow up in the Outpatient Department. It was noted that Ms. Mizsey appeared to be depressed, noting that her daughter recently

died after a long bout with pancreatic cancer. Ms. Mizsey complained of a burning type pain in the right leg and foot, which she admitted, had been there over the past one to two months. On exam the extremities were noted to be warm with good capillary refill and both PT and DP pulses were intact. Because of the duration and nature of the pain, Dr. Einstadter's impression was that Ms. Mizsey's pain was consistent with a peripheral neuropathy secondary to her diabetes.

On May 6,1998, Ms. Mizsey returned to the MetroHealth Medical Center Emergency Department. Ms. Mizsey saw Dr. Maxime Gilles with the complaint of persistent right leg and foot pain as well as pain in the left foot. The pain *again* was described as burning pain. Her vital signs were Temperature 36.1, Pulse 100, RR 20 and Blood Pressure 144/77. Dr. Gilles consulted vascular surgery to see Ms. Mizsey because she had difficulty palpating the pulses in her lower extremities and her feet were cool. Ms. Mizsey was evaluated by the vascular surgery service in the emergency department. Ms. Mizsey admitted to having calf pain with walking for four months. She complained of right foot pain and burning sensation since the morning. Her history also included bilateral foot surgery for bunions in 1991. On exampler feet were cold. Right toes appeared cyanotic and capillary refill was greater than two seconds. Ail pulses were either palpable or dopplerable. Vascular surgery diagnosed peripheral vascular disease with history of claudication and questionable pain on rest. They scheduled an angiogram for the next day to determine the vascular status of her legs.

On May 8th, 1998Ms. Mizsey was transported by ambulance to the Southwest General Health Center with difficulty speaking and right-sided weakness. She was diagnosed as having a stroke and was transferred to MetroHealth Medical Center. Ms. Miwey was admitted to MetroHealth from May 8 to May 15,1998. Her evaluation eventually revealed the presence of bioprosthetic valve endocarditis with blood cultures positive for the presence of peptostreptococcus bacteremia. On May 13, 1998Ms. Mizsey suffered a further deterioration in her neurological status and was transferred to the Cleveland Clinic Foundation for possible aortic valve surgery. The decision was made to not proceed with surgery, as there was general agreement that they were able to control her infection well with antibiotics. She was eventually transferred to the Broadview Multicare Center for home IV antibiotics. Eventually, Ms. Mizsey expired while in the nursing home.

After review of the above records and depositions, it is my opinion that the medical care provided to MS. Mizsey in the emergency department on all visits was reasonable and met the standard of care for emergency medicine. It is widely recognized that bacterial endocarditis is a challenging and elusive diagnosis to make. A definitive diagnosis is only made on autopsy or on direct visualization of the heart valve involved at the time of surgery. Fever is *the* nost prevalent clinical finding in prosthetic valve endocarditis. Evidence of a new or changing heart murmur, signs of valvular dysfunction such as congestive heart failure, conduction abnormalities and chest pain are further signs and symptoms suggestive of endocarditis. *This* patient did not exhibit any of these signs or symptoms upon presentation to the emergency department at Metrohealth. On the latter two MetroHealth emergency department visits, Ms. Mizsey's pain was very consistent with neuropathic pain either from diabetic peripheral neuropathy or radiculopathy. The emergency physicians involved prudently sought consultation with the vascular surgery service on both these visits and because of the chronic nature of Ms, Mizsey's symptoms, the most likely cause of her persistent pain was peripheral vascular disease as was suggested by the vascular service on May 6,1998.

Ms. Mizsey did not have any stigmata often seen in bacterial endocarditis such as Osler nodes, Janeway lesions, petechiae, clubbing, splenomegaly, or splinter hemorrhages. With no signs of fever, heart failure, or new or changing murmur there would be no reason to suspect endocarditis on any of these emergency department visits. There was not sufficient clinical evidence to make the diagnosis of endocarditis on any of these emergency department visits and I believe MetroHealth's emergency department physicians appropriately evaluated Ms. Mizsey, treated her immediate needs, and appropriately referred her for further vascular studies in a timely fashion. Ms. Mizsey was well managed in the emergency department on all visits.

MetroHealth's emergency physician staff displayed the skill and diligence expected of emergency physicians and clearly met the standard of care in their evaluation of Ms. Mizsey. I hold these opinions Within a reasonable degree of medical certainty. Please do not hesitate to contact me if there is any additional information or input that I can provide.

BENINCES & BENINCES

Sincerely yours,

Richard F. Frires, MD FACEP