Doc. 146

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

MYRTLE M. THOMAS, et al.,: Plaintiffs, :

vs. :Case No. 93-0621 Hon. Robert G. Christiansen SHERYLE L. BORATYN, : et al., : Defendants. :

Deposition of NABIL A. EBRAHEIM, M.D., a witness herein, called by the Plaintiffs as upon Cross Examination, pursuant to the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before Nicole D. Blaker, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, pursuant to Notice and agreement of Counsel, at the offices of Nabil A. Ebraheim, M.D., Medical College of Ohio, 3000 Arlington Avenue, Toledo, Ohio, on Monday, July 11, 1994, commencing at 4:10 p.m.

> SEAGATE REPORTING SERVICE 405 Madison Avenue, Suite 900 Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 241-2070

10/11/162

• .

ro tene

Charles are an ender a second second

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO MYRTLE M. THOMAS, et al.,: Plaintiffs, : vs. :Case No. 93-0621 Hon. Robert G. Christiansen SHERYLE L. BORATYN, : et al., : Defendants. : Defendants. : Deposition of NABIL A. EBRAHEIM, M.D., a witness herein, called by the Plaintiffs as upon Cross Examination, pursuant to the Chio Rules of Civil Procedure, taken before Nicole D. Blaker, Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, pursuant to Notice and agreement of Counsel, at the offices of Nabil A. Ebraheim, M.D., Medical College of Ohio, 3000 Arlington Avenue, Toledo, Ohio, on Monday, July 11, 1994, commencing at 4:10 p.m. SEAGATE REPORTING SERVICE 405 Madison Avenue, Suite 900 Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 241-2070 I N D E X DEPOSITION OF MABIL A. EBRAHEIM, M.D. PAGE Cross Examination By Mr. Bolotin	APPEARANCES: On behalf of the Plaintiffs: THE BOLOTIN LAW OFFICES: Samuel G. Bolotin 4 4349 Talmadge Road Toledo, Ohio 43623 (419) 472-1900 5 On behalf of the Defendants: 6 MANAHAN, PIETRYKOWSKI, BAMMAN & DELANEY: 7 Mark L. Pietrykowski 414 North Erie Street 8 Toledo, Ohio 43603 (419) 243-6148 9 10 MR. BOLOTIN: Swear the doctor in, 11 please. 13 NABIL A. EBRAHEIM, M.D., 14 was first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, 15 testified and said as follows: 16 17 18 Sam BOLOTIN: Doctor, my name is 18 Sam BOLOTIN: Doctor, my name is 19 with us today is Mr. Mark Pietrykowski, 20 with us today is Mr. Mark Pietrykowski, 21 Doctor, I'm going to be asking you a series of questions. If at any time you 23 don't understand a question that I ask 24 you, let me know and I'll be happy to 25 rephrase the question. I need to ask you
ου διατικό το μεταγραφικό το το μεταγραφικό το το το μεταγραφικό το το το μεταγραφικό το το το το το το το το το το το τ	TES X
496. 12	
	•

Sheet 1 of 10 Sheets

دهم و میکنوم بر همچ برهمه برس میم در میکنوم میکنوم و کم رو را میکنوم و میکنوم و میکنوم. مربو

					5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 8 9 10 11 12 9 9 9 10 11 12 13 14 5 16 9 10 11 12 14 15 16 17 10 10 11 11 12 10 10 11 11 12 10 10 11 11 12 10 11 11 12 10 11 11 12 10 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	3 though, Doctor, before we start if you wish to reserve signature or waive signature. THE WITNESS: Waive signature. CROSS EXAMINATION MR. BOLOTIN: Doctor, if you would, would you generally tell me your education, experience and your up to your current position, just briefly? I came to Toledo about nine years ago. 1'm a professor at the Medical College of Ohio. That's where we're at today, correct? Yes. And I have two years of specialization prior to that, two years on injuries, accidents. One of those two years is in Europe and the other year in Baltimore, Maryland, in the shock-trauma unit. I had training in Brooklyn, New York, in orthopedics. I have written a lot of papers. Are you the chief of orthopedics here at Medical College? Chief of orthopedic trauma. I'm the vice-chairman of the department. Okay. And how long have you held that position? For nine years.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9		<pre>insurance records and some Interrogatories in here. Things related to medicine and things are not related to medicine. Right. But generally, is this the complete medical file that you had on Niss Complete file? Yes.</pre>	2
1 Q 2 A 3 A 5 A 7 8 9 A 10 9 A 10 9 A 11 A 13 Q 14 15 16 17 A 19 Q 20 A 21 Q 22 A 24 Q 25	4 Okay, nine years. You are Board certified obviously? Board certified and also recertified. When did you first become Board certified? 1987. Now, you were hired by Mr. Pietrykowski on behalf of his client to do an examination of my client, Myrtle Thomas; is that correct? Yes. And you knew that when you did that examination you would be called upon perhaps to testify? Sure. And before we get into the results of your report, a copy of which I have here, I need to ask you what records did you review in preparation of your report and seeing Myrtle Thomas back on January 24th of 1994? The records that May I see them? were sent to me. So am I correct in that this file of records is what Mr. Pietrykowski sent you? Yes. Essentially these are the medical records or hospital records I see there's also some	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	records? The legal pleadings and the accident reports, those wouldn't be relevant for you? I don't look at them.	6

NOTES

5

Sheet 2 of 10 Sheets

۰.

• .

a

~-

·····

				9	ł
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	7 I don't recall if I seen x-rays when she was in the clinic. You have some x-ray reports there that you pulled out? I got x-ray reports. May I see what you have here? I actually requested the x-rays of her accident, and I haven't gotten them. I see you have two x-ray reports here, and basically these are the emergency room x-ray reports of Flower Memorial Hospital dated December 4th, 1991, which is the day after the accident? No, which is you know, I got that because I really didn't get the initial x-rays. I wanted to see the x-rays of the accident, but I couldn't get them. We'll get into that later. At this point I just wanted to find out exactly what records you had reviewed. So you're not sure or clear whether you reviewed the actual x-rays from Flower Hospital? No, I never seen the actual x-rays. I may have seen x-rays after that. Did you take any x-rays of your own here at Medical College? No. Usually when you go for evaluation, unless	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24		<pre>9 cases like that? No. But I mean as part of your practice, how often do you do defense examinations? Defense? Yes. How often are you requested by a defendant to do an examination? I'm not sure what a defendant means here. Well By the insurance company? Yeah, by an insurance company or by defense counsel. Very, very rare. Okay. But how often would that be, once a month? I would say not even once a month. Okay. You have no ongoing relationship with Mr. Pietrykowski's firm then No. is that fair? Have you met Mr. Pietrykowski before today? Yes, on another case. On other cases? Another case, okay. In nine years. Now, when you</pre>	
5 A 6 7 Q 8 A 10 Q 11 12 A	history, and the examination. Okay. Now, this was a one-time examination that you performed? Absolutely correct. You've never had an occasion to see her before or after? Never seen her before or after. And so that the record is clear, you have no doctor/patient relationship with Myrtle Thomas; is that correct? You're absolutely correct. And you're not responsible in any way for her present or future treatment; isn't that true? You're correct. Now, how often do you do independent or defense examinations? I guess it's your point of view. We refer to them as defense examinations, they refer to them as independent, but let me ask it. How often do you do defense examinations?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 134 15 6 7 8 9 20 21	A Q A Q A	10 A couple of cases in nine years. The same number I seen you in nine years. But those couple cases would have been in the last year or two, or would it have been over nine years? Over a few years. I can't recall. When you saw Mrs. Thomas, was she polite and cooperative? Very polite. And I take it at that time you took a history from her, you asked her what happened? Sure. And you were also supplied with a history by Mr. Pietrykowski, I assume? Sure. And you had the medical records that you have in front of you, which include emergency records and actually all her medical records; is that fair? Yes. Did you examine any medical records or any x-rays of her taken before the accident, dated before December 3rd of 1991? No. This, again, was a I wanted to get these x-rays. I couldn't get them. I want x-rays of the accident. I don't even care what's before. Now, let's take a look at your report. You have a	
Get Manufacture (and a second s	талия и политические и политические и политические политические политические и политические и политические и по Колитические и политические и политические политические политические и политические и политические и политически	rec	0/010		

NOTES

1 2 A 3 Q 4 5 A 6 Q 7	1	copy of it in front of you? Sure. Now, when you examined her, she gave a history of being involved in a motor vehicle accident? Yes. And that accident, did that occur on December 3rd, 1991, do you know? Yes.	11	2 3 4 5 6	A Q A Q A	Yes. As a professor you teach other doctors orthopedics? Yes. And as part of your teachings, do you not tell them to listen and pay attention to history given by a patient to them? Not To listen to it?	13
8 A Q 9 10 A 9 11 12 Q 14 15 Q 17 18 Q 21 A 22 23		And at that time she told you she was bruised up in different areas of her body? Right shoulder, she got her back, and her left knee. Now, the conclusions in your report are contained under diagnosis; is that fair? It's conclusions and diagnosis both. All right. I was just trying to simplify to make more more distinction to it so it would be noticeable. Isn't it true, Doctor, that you found she had some mechanical right shoulder impingement with a decreased range of motion when you examined her? Yeah, I did have that in the report, right shoulder rotator cuff tendinitis, which is some shoulder		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	Q Q A	Absolutely, always listen, you know, always look for things. Things may be simple you know, back pain that's simple in a 17-year-old patient may turn out to be cancer in her spine. You have to be very Cautious. alert and cautious? Yes. Now, your examination of the knee, you found no interarticular pathology. What did you mean by that? There was no internal derangement. There is no meniscus or no cruciate ligament disruption or the collateral ligament, the medial collateral ligament.	
24 25	104050040	mechanical impingement, and decreased range of motion. I did not see any rotator cuff tear as seen in surgery.	12	24 25 1		You did this through range of motion basically? Yeah, an examination. She has pain exactly at the same area that she said she was hit, was swollen,	14
2 9 3 4 5 4 5 4 6 7 8 9 10 12 9 10 13 14 15 4 16 17 9 20 A 10 21 A 22 A 23 24 23 24 25 4 24 25 4 24 24 25 24 24 25 24 24 24 25 24 24 24 25 24 24 24 24 25 26 26 26 26 27 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26		Now, you found also for Number 2 diagnosis no objective findings related to the low back; is that right? Yeah. Objective findings is range of motion and also neurological examination. That was normal. Those were normal? Yeah. She had subjective Subjectively she was complaining of back pain? She was complaining, which is not, you know, objective. But subjective complaints are complaints that a physician takes into account when he's treating and examining a patient? Yes. Almost 20 percent of the population have subjective criteria. It's very common thing. Very common. Though isn't it fair, Doctor, that most complaints of low back pain are related to a history of some sort of trauma? Or a sprain, yes. You agree with that then? Yeah, I agree. Isn't it true you're a professor here at the Medical College of Ohio?		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q A	which is beyond any joint. It's distant to her knee joint itself, so obviously there was nothing inside the knee joint. But she had a bruise below to the knee joint itself. So we know that we do not have any problem inside the the knee joint. But you did not look inside the knee, you just based it upon your physical examination?	

NOTES

The transmission of the second statement of the second statement of the second second second statement of the second second

Sheet 4 of 10 Shee'

···· •

۵ ۵۰ ۰

11. 11. N. 1. 11

1 Q 3 A 5 Q 7 8 9 10 111 12 Q 13 A 9 10 111 12 Q 13 A 14 15 A 19 A 21 Q 21 Q 21 Q 22 A 22 A 22 A 22 A 22 A	11 knee joint. Now, to be safe, would it be best to do an arthroscopic procedure to verify that? No, no. It's diagnostic arthro there is no indication nowadays for diagnostic arthroscopy. As long as it's stable, you wouldn't do that? Yeah, and as long as the physical exam does not point to anything inside the knee. She had a bruise, you know, at the knee area in the past, and the bruise was giving her some discomfort. It's in the medial side and below the knee joint level, which is good for her. Now, you conclude your report by saying that, "I believe that the arthritis of the distal clavicle that required excision was not a result of the accident, but was age related." Yes. I did base that on the operative report. Does anybody have the operative report handy? You do have it there in the records. The operative report to my recollection, he did mention this spur in the acromion. The operative report refers to a right A-C joint large spur at the inferior distal clavicle. Yes. That was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A	Oh, yeah. I'm saying that she had an arthritis in the A-C joint and the arthritis in the acromion prior to prior to the accident. Do you know if she has it in the other shoulder? She didn't complain about the other shoulder. Is it common, though, if a 70-year-old woman has arthritis in one shoulder, she usually has it in the other shoulder too? It can migrate, but usually you have one, you have it in one shoulder. Usually it's like knees, you have it in one worse than the other. I was always told, Doctor, through other depositions that arthritis tends to be symmetrical; is that No, it's not true. Not true? No. There's a lot of patients have only one total knee replacement from arthritis, only bad in one knee. So I I made my assumption that the arthritis of the distal clavicle was not the result of the accident. Let me ask you another question. If people with arthritis can be pain-free and in Myrtle's case the history indicates she was pain-free before the accident?	17
1 A 2 G A 3 4 A 5 6 7 G A 9 10 1 11 12 13 4 15 16 G 17 0 A 20 21 A 22 A 22 A 22 A 22 A 22 Q A	And also spur in the acromion. He did mention there was a spur in the acromion. Right, spur in acromion. So you have a spur and arthritis in the clavicle and spur in the acromion. Now, the time frame between the accident and the occurrence of the surgery was about six months. Six months. That's not long. I mean, you if you get a doctor, orthopedic surgeon, and you give them any topic, they will never agree on anything, you get a 50 percent split. But if you give them that question, six months, do you have the spurs in the acromion, the spurs in the A-C joint and arthritis, they will tell you that is not from the accident because the time is short, very short. Let me ask you this, Doctor: Can a person have arthritic spurs and be pain-free? Oh, yeah. In fact, you would expect how old is she? How old is Mrs. Thomas? She is about 70. You would expect that she would have arthritis Oh, yeah. in her body?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8 9 20	Q A A X,	Yes. De you agree with that history? According to what she told me, she said she was pain-free, but looking at what Dr. Williams said in his report, June 16, 1993, he said she have was a history of mild bursitis of the right shoulder for which she was successfully treated with Walfon, so I couldn't I could not get You couldn't tell? Yeah. Let me ask you this: Can a person have arthritis in the shoulder or some other joint and then have trauma to that joint and then that joint which was asymptomatic becomes symptomatic? Yeah, it will aggravate it slightly. It will not be the cause of the problem. It will cause a little. Don't people go through lives with arthritis that doesn't bother them at all until they have some sort of trauma? It's possible, but it's not probable. Trauma will well, of course will make something like she has some symptoms, would make it a little bit worse, but it will not be that major contributory factor for that.	

NOTES

Sheet 5 of 10 Sheets

northy without many many processing summerical advertises of the case —

n . .

на правита с сладо с малека на преза

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	Well, can you have trauma that causes an arthritic condition to be symptomatic and therefore require some sort of surgical intervention, whereas without the trauma there wouldn't be a need for the surgical intervention? Yeah, if the trauma caused the arthritis. No. I mean suppose you have the arthritis already, but you're symptom-free. I'm sure you've seen cases like that. People have arthritis, they're	19	12345678910	A Q A Q A	Yes, or have minimal symptoms. Right. Now, at the same time, if you have someone with degenerative arthritis, can that arthritis be made worse; that is, aggravated to accelerate the degenerative process? It's possible, but it's not probable. I want to What is traumatic arthritis, Doctor? Traumatic arthritis is an injury to a joint, either from repeated stimulus or repeated load to that joint, or you have an injury that fractures the	2
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ø	symptom-free, they have a car accident, and then suddenly they've got pain and problems. And I'm sure you've treated patients like that, have you not? I've treated patients like that. And you've seen over the years that it's a common sequence of events, especially in elderly people, that when they have arthritis and then they have trauma, they develop symptoms which require treatment by a specialist such as yourself? A single isolated incident of trauma usually has		11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Ċ.	cartilage, causes fracture of the cartilage itself, and the fracture of the cartilage has to be more than 50 percent of the thickness to cause arthritis. So it would invade here and cause more cracks and fissures. Arthritis is cracks and fissures. So if you already have cracks and fissures, while the process is coming, it's possible that a very severe injury may cause acceleration of the arthritis, but it's not very common. You don't see that common. Well, have you treated young patients with	
21 22 23 24 25		some symptoms to somebody that have arthritis. It contribute to the symptoms, but it's not the major cause. Can aggravate the arthritis? It contribute to aggravation of			A	Traumatic arthritis? Yes, from injury to the joints where it involve fractures and the fracture was going to the joint. How long does it take for arthritis to develop	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		No. Arthritis is a time procedure that can go by itself. Isn't it fair to characterize arthritis as a degeneration of the joint, of the bony joint?	20	1	A Q A Q A Q	after trauma? I would say between it's very hard, but it can show up after 20 years, and, for example, in the arthritis of the socket, 20 years later you get arthritis. Have you seen examples where it showed up in six months to a year following trauma? If you have an injury to the joint where the contact stresses change, these contact stresses like this is an ankle fracture, for example, and you fix the fracture and A is narrowed and A is wide, you get more stress, that ankle can deteriorate in six months. What's the shortest time period you have experienced and seen arthritis to develop in? When it involved fractures? Well, without fracture, when it was just trauma. Without fracture, I would say five years. And the longest would be 20 years? It's about 20 years. Now, the medical records that you reviewed, some of those medical records would be the emergency room record. Do you have that there? Yes. And you have as part of that record the report and	22
6							

NOTES

Sheet 6 of 10 Sheets

							~
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 33 4 15 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 33 4 15 6 7 8 9 20 11 12 33 4 15 6 7 8 9 20 21	the x-ray report of the right shoulder taken on December 4th of 1991? Yes. Isn't it true, Doctor, that there's no indication in the x-ray or the radiologist's report of any arthritis or any spurs in the A-C joint area? Two things about that. Number one, the A-C joint was not center of attention of the physician, it was the shoulder region, so he did not get the proper views for the A-C joint. The second one about that, arthritis of the A-C joint most of the time is a finding that the physician finds in surgery and not necessarily on the x-ray. He have to feel for it. Right. But you would agree, though, that the report says there's no mention of any arthritic changes found on the right shoulder x-ray? Yeah. But he said that the glenoid region, which is the shoulder area, have mild narrowing of medial compartment no, the glenoid Which I have the page here. The glenoid region is noted which may represent a simple cyst or be secondary to the degenerative change. Do you have that, Flower Memorial Hospital?	23	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A Q A	<pre>simple. The radiology report does not make any definitive findings of any spurs in the shoulder region in the A-C joint either at the distal clavicle or at the acromion? He did not. The records reflect that she injured that shoulder in the accident, do they not? Yes. In fact, the records show at the emergency room that she that shoulder was swollen and bruised? And she complained about it, and the doctor got an x-ray for the shoulder that she complained about. You also have the physical therapy records, do you not? I had somewhere here. She was given extensive therapy for the shoulder. These would be Dr. Williams' records. Uh-huh. Do you have Dr. Williams' records from March 12th of '92? March 12, '92. I don't have what happened, but you can give it to me. Let me show you a copy. Yeah, he said she has no prior shoulder pain. Okay. My question was going to be, based upon the</pre>	25	
4 5 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22 23 24	He's talking about the right glenoid region. What region is that now? It's the shoulder itself. But we're talking about the surgery that was performed was performed on the A-C joint? But, again, I was trying to draw I will explain it to you. This is the glenoid. This is the shoulder region, one shoulder joint. This is the A-C joint. This is the acromion here, and this is the shoulder. This is the acromion here, and this is 3, so she has some arthritis here (indicating). It's an arc. It crosses an arc. If you get arthritis, you get arthritis in the second, you get arthritis in the third one. Doesn't it say it may be a simple cyst or may be secondary to degenerative changes, correct? Yes. And, of course, the degenerative changes could be from wear and tear? Yeah. Or they could be from the accident? From old no. You're saying it would not be from the accident? It's an age-related process. But the radiology report my question is real	24	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q	she has on June 16, 193, he's saying she has mild bursitis. But the June 16, 193, is a year and a half after the accident and after this March 12th, 192 entry; is that correct? No. 3 He said there was a history of mild bursitis. He's referring in this letter he's referring to prior to the accident.	26	
		NO	TES				wales of a billing

an na state to a la complete de la m

Server a

Sheet 7 of 10 Sheets

¢.

******	227	I			29
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<pre>27 before. And she has a little narrowing. She's an old woman. The accident is a contributory, contribute some to her discomfort in the shoulder. And because of that we don't know how much contribution there is, you know, maybe five percent. Well, she had the operation, and he removed that piece of acromion to give her more room so the shoulder so eliminate her prior bursitis that she has, the contributory factor of the accident is gone. So now her shoulder is able to move. So when they are there, you know, he feels the A-C joint and he removes that because that part was arthritic, which if he doesn't if he doesn't, he would be negligent for not doing that. He has to do that. So the removal the surgery was necessary to remove the arthritic changes In the acromion. that were in the acromion which was causing the pain that she was complaining about Yes. after the accident? Yeah, because there's some contributory effect, maybe 5 percent, 10 percent. I can't determine</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 13 4 15 16 17 18 19 20 1 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	<pre>It was necessary and reasonable. And you also agree, if I understand your explanation, that the accident was a contributing factor for the necessity for that procedure? Some contribution, you know. How much we can't tell without checking the record from Dr. Williams prior to her accident. You know Dr. Assenmacher, do you not? Yes. Do you respect his professional opinion and his expertise? Yes. He's a respected, well-known orthopedic surgeon in the Toledo metropolitan area? Can I answer the page? (Discussion held off the record.) I take it the answer is yes? Yes, the answer is yes. There's no doubt in your mind, is there, Doctor, that Myrtle Thomas suffered trauma as a result of this motor vehicle accident on December 3rd, 1991? According to the record from the emergency room, she suffered and she complained from trauma. And she injured her low back, her right shoulder, and her knee?</pre>	
8	24 without seeing the record from before when she was treated by Dr. Williams. Now, didn't the history also show that she had developed a limited range of motion following the accident? Yes. And before the accident she had full range of motion of the shoulder? Yes, it's very very common sometimes to have decreased range of motion in people in that age after a simple trauma. You don't have to break the bones, you just have to touch the shoulder and you will get limitation of the range of motion. And to be fair, you know, to everybody, I did not see the documented range of motion before the accident, which is going to be on Dr. Williams' notes. But as part of the operative report of Dr. Assermacher and part of the records of Dr. Williams, it indicates that her range of motion decreased after the trauma from the accident? Yeah, according to my evaluation also that the range of motion was affected. Now, you agree that the surgical procedure that Dr. Assermacher performed was a reasonable and necessary procedure?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 4 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A	the muscle and they need some therapy to be able to cope with that. Can low back sprains and strains be severe and disabling? Well, yeah, it can be. Can they be of long term and of long duration and very troublesome for a person, especially an older person? Actually, older person handle it better than younger ones, but the answer is yes, it can be disabling. And doesn't the Medical College of Ohio have a low back clinic that specializes in treating people with low back problems? Yes, and 1'm in charge, actually, of that spine disorder clinic or program.	30

Sheet 8 of 10 Sheets

مىقدىك. ب

			and the second second	in a construction of the second s	1000000			
ĩ		many times in various patients	31	1	A	You know, how would I know?	33	
2	A	Yeah. A lot of it's psychological.		2	Q	Well, would you let me ask it another way.		
Š	â	A lot of it is weakness as well though, is it not?		3		Would you agree that the medical bills appear to be		
4	Å	Some weakness of the muscles and some sprain of the		4		reasonably related to the medical procedures that		
5	~	muscles.		5		were performed by the doctors that treated her?		
6	Q	Is it also true, Doctor, that people with low back		6	A	If you tell me this is related to the procedure, I		
7	E	strains can have good days and bad days?		7		will tell you it's probably, you know, necessary		
8	A	That's what they say.		8		because the operation was necessary, but I I		ł
9	Q	Well, in your experience being involved with the		ĝ		can't tell you this is not my hospital. The		
-	ы	low back clinic, do you find that the patients go	Ī	10		charges is a little bit high, but that's okay. If		
10		through periods of exacerbations and remissions?		11		it's related to the operation, it's necessary.		
11		They go through, you know, through cycles, yes.		12	Q	They appear to be reasonable, do they not?		
	A Q	Do the medical records also reflect that Myrtle		13	Ā	A little high, but reasonable.		
13 14		Thomas had difficulty performing her occupation and		14	Q	Well, when you say		l
		her work after the trauma from the accident?			Ā	I don't see too many \$5,000.		
15		That's what she said.			Q	What does your hospital charge for the procedure?		l
	A	The records confirm that though?		17	_	Medicare, I don't charge \$2,900 for acromioplasty.		
17		Uh-huh.		18	a	Do these bills also include physical therapy		
18		Yes?		19		records and a hospital stay?		
19		Test Yes.			Å	I'm talking about the physician fee, \$2,900.		
20		You have performed this procedure on other		21		Isn't that his charge for treating her as well as		No.
21		patients, have you not, the procedure that Dr.		22	-	the surgery, from March 12 to October of '92?		
22		Assenmacher performed, the A-C surgery?		23	A	Medicare cover three months of charges.		
23				24	â	Doctor, I don't need you to mention Medicare		
	A Q	Yes. Have you ever had to perform it on patients that		25		because that will be a collateral benefit which		
20	ų.	Nave you ever had to bellotte it of partents that		the attr				ĝ
							34	1-
			32			t to that the one to the second and	94	٢
1		had developed symptoms after accidents?		1		creates an admissibility problem for us lawyers.		
2		I don't recall. I perform it mostly in people that		2		But just looking at the charges generally for		
3		have an occupational repeated stress in the		3		performing this surgery		
-4 5 6		shoulder.		4	A	They're reasonable, a little high, but reasonable.		į.,
3	Q ·	Usually older people?		5	Q ·	Reasonable, okay. The physical therapy records and		
. 6	A	No, younger people, usually around 45 to 50 years		6		the hospital stay, do those bills appear to be		
- 7		old, repeated stress on the shoulder. Younger		7	521	reasonable as well?		
	·~ (44)		1	. 8	A	Yes.	÷ .	2
9	ି ହ ୍	Would that be work-related injuries?	1.12	9		Are there any bills here that you find to be		Ľ
i 10	-A	Mostly work-related. Like carpal tunnel is stress		10		unreasonabler	1.1	I
11	ૺ૽૽૽	on the shoulder. It's almost repeated trauma.		11		No, I don't.		
12	100 ° 100	And, of course, bursitis, inflammation.		12	Q	And the last thing I want to do, Doctor, do you		
13	Q	Let me show you what has been marked for		13		have two copies of your report there or just one?		
14		identification purposes, Doctor, as Plaintiffs'		14		No, just one copy. Do you want to have it?		
15		Exhibits 1 through 13 with a summary of Exhibit 14,		8	Q	I just want to mark it, have it identified so we		ACCORD NO.
16		and I'm going to move the summary exhibit to the		16		know what we've been referring to.		I
17	r	front, if I may, to make it easier for you to		17		(Plaintiffs' Exhibits 15-16 marked.)		
18		review.		6	Q	I hand you, Doctor, what has been marked for		WOLDHARD .
19		Are these the medical do these appear to be		19		identification purposes as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 15.		Contraction of the
20		the medical bills that are associated with the		20		Is this the medical report that you prepared?		ALC: NO.
21		medical records that you were given by Mr.			A	Yes.		
22		Pietrykowski?			Q	And I also hand you what has been marked as		-
23		Yeah, these are bills.		23		Plaintiffs' Exhibit 16. Is this the shoulder x-ray		alessant,
~ /	Q	Now, are there any medical bills there that you		24		from the emergency room that we've been referring		to party
24	1							
44 25		feel were totally unnecessary?		25		to during your deposition?		Tion in
				25		to during your deposition?		Wood and the second second

NOTES

Sheet 9 of 10 Sheets

Thomas v. Boratyn; Depo. of Dr. Ebraheim; 7/11/94



Sheet 10 of 10 Sheets