CLARK COUNTY

CONNIE EDINGTON, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF ETHAN ANDREW EDINGTON, : DECEASED,

PLAINTIFF,

VS -

CASE NO. 93-CV-0208

COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF SPRINGFIELD, INC., ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.

DEPOSITION OF

STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D.

AUGUST 22, 1995

E & A REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 915 SOUTH FRONT STREET COLUMBUS, OHIO 43206 (614) 445-6300

- - -

DEPOSITION OF STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D., AN EXPERT WITNESS CALLED BY THE PLAINTIFF AS IF UPON CROSS-EXAMINATIN, TAKEN BEFORE ME, DENISE L. SHOEMAKER, A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC WITHIN AND FOR THE STATE OF OHIO, AT THE OFFICES OF THE DEPONENT, 3555 OLENTANGY RIVER ROAD, COLUMBUS, OHIO, COMMENCING AT 4:03 P.M., SAID DEPOSITION TAKEN PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATIONS HEREINAFTER SET FORTH.

APPEARANCES :

GERALD S. LEESEBERG, ESQ., OF THE LAW

FIRM OF LEESEBERG, MALOON, SCHULMAN & VALENTINE, 175

SOUTH THIRD STREET, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215, APPEARING

ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF.

MARK L. SCHUMACHER, ESQ., OF THE LAW

FIRM OF JACOBSON, MAYNARD, TUSCHMAN & KALUR, SUITE

900, ONE CITIZENS FEDERAL CENTRE, DAYTON, OHIO

45402, APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT DR. DAVID

BILLING.

APPEARANCES (CONT'D.):

FREDERIC X. SHADLEY, ESQ., OF THE LAW FIRM OF BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF, 600 VINE STREET, CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202, APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ANN MOSS.

MICHAEL C. WEAVER, ESQ., OF THE LAW FIRM OF MARTIN, BROWNE, HULL & HARPER, ONE SOUTH LIMESTONE STREET, SPRINGFIELD, OHIO 45501, APPEARING ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT COMMUNITY HOSPITAL OF SPRINGFIELD.

- - -

STIPULATIONS

TT IS AGREED AND STIPULATED BY AND AMONG COUNSEL FOR THE RESPECTIVE PARTIES HEREIN THAT THIS DEPOSITION MAY BE TAKEN IN SHORTHAND BY DENISE L. SHOEMAKER, WHO MAY LATER, OUT OF THE PRESENCE OF THE WITNESS, TRANSCRIBE OR CAUSE SAID SHORTHAND NOTES TO BE TRANSCRIBED; THAT THE FORMALITIES AS TO THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE TAKING OF THE DEPOSITION ARE PURSUANT TO NOTICE; AND THAT THE QUALIFICATIONS OF THE OFFICER BEFORE SHALL BE EXPRESSLY WAIVED.

1	THEREUPON,
2	STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D.
3	BEING BY ME FIRST DULY SWORN,
4	AS HEREINAFTER CERTIFIED,
5	TESTIFIES AND SAYS AS FOLLOWS:
6	CROSS – EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. LEESEBERG:
8	Q WOULD YOU STATE YOUR FULL NAME FOR THE
9	RECORD, PLEASE.
10	A STEPHEN JOHN DEVOE.
11	Q DR. DEVOE, WE'VE MET PREVIOUSLY. I
12	REPRESENT THE FAMILY OF ETHAN EDINGTON IN THIS CASE.
13	I'M GOING TO BE ASKING YOU A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT
14	YOURSELF AND YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN THE CASE, ANY
15	OPINIONS THAT YOU HOLD OR HAVE FORMULATED. IF I ASK
16	YOU ANY QUESTIONS THAT AREN'T CLEAR OR DON'T MAKE
17	SENSE, JUST LET NE KNOW OR MARK AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO
18	REPHRASE OR CLARIFY THEM SO YOU DO UNDERSTAND THEM;
19	OKAY?
20	A YES.
21	$oldsymbol{\mathit{Q}}$ YOU HAVE BEEN DEPOSED BEFORE?
22	A YES.
23	Q YOU UNDERSTAND THE COURT REPORTER IS
24	TAKING DOWN BOTH MY QUESTIONS AS WELL AS YOUR

1	ANSWERS?
2	A YES.
3	Q IF'A TRANSCRIPT IS PREPARED FROM THE
4	DEPOSITION, ONE OF THE USES OR PURPOSES OF WHICH IS
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION OR IMPEACHMENT SHOULD YOU CHANGE
6	YOUR TESTIMONY AT A LATER TIME. DO YOU UNDERSTAND
7	THAT?
8	A YES.
9	Q APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY TIMES WOULD YOU
10	SAY YOU'VE BEEN DEPOSED?
11	A I DON'T KNOW. I'M NOT GOING TO GUESS.
12	Q MORE THAN TEN?
13	A YES,
14	Q MORE TBAN 20?
15	A YES.
16	Q MORE THAN 30?
17	A I HAVE NO IDEA.
18	Q WE'VE GOT AT LEAST 0 THEN; RIGHT?
19	YOU HAVE BEEN RETAINED BY JACOBSON, MAYNARD IN
20	CONNECTION WITH THIS CASE?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
22	Q WHEN WERE YOU FIRST CONTACTED?
23	A EARLIER THIS YEAR. FEBRUARY OR MARCH,
24	I WOULD GUESS.

1	Q BY WHOM ARE YOU INSURED FOR
2	PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY?
3	MR. SCHUMACHEH: OBJECTION.
4	A P.I.E.
5	Q OTHER THAN being A SHAREHOLDER IN THE
6	MUTUAL, HAVE YOU SERVED IN ANY CAPACITY WITH THE
7	INSURANCE COMPANY IN ANY ADMINISTRATIVE MANAGERIAL
8	CAPACITY?
9	A NO.
10	Q HAVE YOU SERVED ON ANY REVIEW BOARDS
11	FOR THE P.I.E. INSURANCE COMPANY OR THE JACOBSON,
12	MAYNARD LAW FIRM?
13	A I HAVE BEEN IN A COUPLE AD HOC EVENING
14	MEETINGS OVER THE YEARS. PROBABLY TWO OR THREE IN 15
15	YEARS.
16	Q THAT'S WHERE THEY GATHER A GROUP OF
17	OB/GYN'S TOGETHER DURING AN EVENING SESSION AND
18	REVIEW A NUMBER OF CASES?
19	A THAT'S CORRECT.
20	Q HAVE YOU EVER BEEN A DEFENDANT IN A
21	MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASE?
22	A YES.
2 3	
4 3	Q HOW MANY OCCASIONS?

		·
1	Q	WHEN WAS THAT?
2	A	1982. I COULD BE WRONG A YEAR.
3	Q	JUST THE ONE TIME THAT YOU'RE AWARE
4	OF?	
5	A	THAT'S RIGHT.
6	Q	WHO WERE YOU INSURED WITH AT THAT
7	TIME?	
8	A	P.I.E.
9	Q	DID JACOBSON, MAYNARD REPRESENT YOU IN
10	CONNECTION WIT	H THAT CASE?
11	A	YES.
12	Q	DO YOU RECALL WHICH LAWYER?
13	A	BILL DAVIS.
14	Q	DID THE CASE GO TO TRIAL?
15	A	NO. IT WAS DISMISSED.
16	Q	ANY OTHER CLAIMS PENDING AGAINST YOU
17	THAT YOU'RE AW	ARE OF?
18	A	NO.
19	Q	HOW MANY TIMES HAVE YOU TESTIFIED AT .
20	TRIAL?	
2 1	A	I DON'T KNOW.
22	Q	WHAT IS YOUR BEST ESTIMATE?
23	A	SIX, EIGHT.
24	Q	WERE THOSE ALL AS MEDICAL-LEGAL

1	CONSULTANTS OR EXPERTS?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND WERE YOU TESTIFYING ON THOSE
4	OCCASIONS ON BEHALF OF THE PHYSICIAN?
5	A NOT ALWAYS.
6	Q ON HOW MANY OCCASIONS HAVE YOU
7	TESTIFIED AT TRIAL ON BEHALF OF A PATIENT?
8	A AT LEAST ONCE, THAT I REMEMBER,
9	Q WHO WAS THE ATTORNEY THAT YOU WORKED
10	WITH?
11	A WALTER RECKLESS AND AN ASSOCIATE OF
12	HIS.
13	Q DO YOU RECALL WHAT THAT CASE INVOLVED?
14	A YES.
15	Q WHAT DID IT INVOLVE?
16	A ALLEGATION OF UNNECESSARY SURGERY.
17	THE PATIENT HAD A LAPAROTOMY EITHER FOR PAIN OR FOR
18	AN OVARIAN CYST, IT WAS A YOUNG PERSON, AND SHE
19	SHOULD HAVE HAD A LAPAROSCOPY. SHE HAD NO POSITIVE .
20	FINDINGS AND THE LAPAROTOMY WAS UNNECESSARY.
21	Q WHAT PERCENTAGE OF YOUR MEDICAL-LEGAL
22	CONSULTING IS ON BEHALF OF PATIENTS AS OPPOSED TO
23	DOCTORS?
24	A VERY LITTLE IS ON BEHALF OF PATIENTS.

1	Q HOW FREQUENTLY DO YOU CONSULT AS A
2	MEDXCAL-LEGAL EXPERT AT THE CURRENT TIME? IN OTHER
3	WORDS, HOW MANY CASES DO YOU LOOK AT ON A WEEKLY OR
4	MONTHLY BASIS?
5	A I PROBABLY REVIEW ONE A MONTH, TWO
6	MAYBE SOME MONTHS, BUT FEWER THAN 24 CASES A YEAR.
7	Q DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY CASES YOU'RE
8	PRESENTLY SERVING AS AN EXPERT IN?
9	A I HAVE NO CLUE BECAUSE YOU GUYS SETTLE
1 0	CASES AND I NEVER FIND OUT UNTIL I CALL. EVERY YEAR
11	I ACTUALLY CALL A BUNCH OF PEOPLE AND THROW AWAY
12	ABOUT THREE CUBIC YARDS OF FILES. SOME OF THEM ARE
13	EIGHT OR TEN YEARS OLD.
14	Q HOW DO YOU CHARGE FOR YOUR TIME IN
15	CONNECTION WITH THESE REVIEWS?
16	A BY THE HOUR.
17	Q WHAT IS YOUR HOURLY RATE?
18	A \$300. NO MINIMUMS, NOTHING LIKE THAT.
19	Q SAME RATE for DEPOSITION AND TRIAL .
20	TESTIMONY?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
22	Q so IT'S JUST A STRAIGHT \$300 AN HOUR
23	NO MATTER WHAT YOU'RE DOING?
24	A RIGHT.

1	Q WHAT PBKCENTAGE OF YOUR TIME DO YOU
2	SPEND AS A MEDICAL-LEGAL CONSULTANT, OF YOUR
3	PROFESSIONAL TIME?
4	A NOT VERY MUCH. COUPLE HOURS A WEEK.
5	SOME WEEKS EIGHT OK TEN, AND OTHER WEEKS I GO A MONTH
6	WITHOUT PICKING UP A FILE.
7	arrho what percentage of Your income would
8	YOU SAY YOU DERIVE FROM YOUR MEDICAL-LEGAL WORK?
9	A I DON'T HAVE ANY IDEA.
10	Q NO IDEA AT ALL?
11	A WELL, NO, NOT REALLY.
12	Q DOES THAT MONEY GO TO YOU PERSONALLY,
13	OR DOES IT GO TO YOUR PRACTICE?
14	A IT GOES TO ME PERSONALLY. WE KEEP
15	INDEPENDENT BOOKS.
16	Q . BUT YOU DON'T RECALL EVER LOOKING AT
17	YOUR TAX RETURN?
18	A I MAKE SURE THE NUMBERS ADD UP AND
19	THAT KIND OF STUFF.
20	Q WHO WERE YOU FIRST CONTACTED BY IN
21	THIS CASE?
22	A MR. SCHUMACHER.
23	Q BY HIM PERSONALLY?
24	A YES.

1	Q HOW WERE YOU CONTACTED?
2	A I DON'T REMEMBER. PROBABLY BY PHONE
3	WITH A FOLLOW-UP LETTER.
4	Q DO YOU KNOW HOW MR. SCHUMACHER CAME TO
5	CALL YOU IN PARTICULAR?
6	A NO.
7	Q HOW MANY HOURS HAVE YOU SPENT ON THIS
8	CASE TO DATE?
9	A FOUR, FIVE.
10	Q HOW DO YOU BREAK THAT DOWN?
11	A WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
12	Q WELL, HOW DID YOU SPEND THAT FOUR TO
13	FIVE HOURS, WHAT WERE YOU DOING?
14	A I SPENT AN HOUR-AND-A-HALF INITIALLY
15	WORKING WITH THE RECORD AND TALKING TO HIM ON THE
16	PHONE LAST WINTER. THEN I SPENT THE BALANCE OF THAT
17	TIME REVIEWING THE RECORD IN THE LAST FEW DAYS AND
18	READING SCHWARTZ'S DEPOSITION.
19	Q READING SCHWARTZ IN THE LAST FEW DAYS.
20	AS WELL?
21	A THE LATTER PART OF THAT STATEMENT
22	APPLIES TO THAT.
23	Q IS THAT THE LAST TIME YOU READ
24	SCHWARTZ'S DEPO?

1	A YES.
2	Q ANY OTHER DEPOS YOU HAVE REVIEWED IN
3	THIS CASE?
4	A I READ FROM SCHWARTZ THERE HAVE BEEN A
5	NUMBER OF OTHERS. I HAVE NOT GOT THEM. I LOOKED AT
6	VERY BRIEF PORTIONS OF, I GUESS, MRS. EDINGTON'S.
7	Q WHEN YOU SAY BRIEF PORTIONS, WERE YOU
8	SUPPLIED BRIEF PORTIONS OR DID YOU ONLY READ BRIEF
9	PORTIONS?
10	A I ONLY READ BRIEF PORTIONS. I JUST
11	GOT SUPPLIED THE DEPOS TODAY.
12	Q 1 SEE. IN YOUR PREDEPOSITION MEETING?
13	A RIGHT.
14	Q BOW LONG DID YOU MEET WITH MR.
15	SCHUMACHER BEFORE YOUR DEPOSITION?
3.6	A WE ONLY TALKED ABOUT THE CASE 20
17	MINUTES AND WE SOCIALIZED ABOUT AN HOUR. I APOLOGIZE
18	FOR LETTING YOU SIT OVER HERE. I DIDN'T REALIZE YOU
19	WERE HERE.
20	Q SO DURING THAT 20 MINUTES IS WHEN YOU
21	REVIEWED SOME BRIEF PORTXONS OF HER DEPOSITION
22	TESTIMONY AS WELL AS REVIEWED THE CASE WITH MR.
23	SCHUMACHER?
24	A THAT'S CORRECT,

1	Q DID HE DIRECT YOUR ATTENTION TO
2	SPECIEIC PORTIONS OF THE DEPO THAT HE WANTED YOU TO
3	LOOK AT?
4	A YES.
5	Q WHAT PORTIONS OF THE DEPOSITION WERE
6	THOSE OR WAS THAT OR WERE THEY?
7	A' PLAINTIFF'S ALLEGATIONS THAT SHE DID A
8	LOT OF BLEEDING AFTER SHE LEFT THE HOSPITAL. I READ
9	FOUR OR FIVE PAGES IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT AREA.
10	Q ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU READ IN HER
11	DEPO?
12	A NO.
13	Q DID MR. SCHUMACHER INDICATE TO YOU
14	THAT HE FELT THAT WAS A SIGNIFICANT FACT OR
15	STATEMENT?
16	A NO. HE DIDN'T MAKE ANY JUDGMENT ON
17	IT. HE THOUGHT I SHOULD READ IT BECAUSE I DON'T
18	KNOW. I GUESS YOU WOULD HAVE TO ASK HIM WHY HE
19	THOUGHT I OUGHT TO READ IT.
20	Q HE DIDN'T TELL YOU WHY HE THOUGHT IT
21	WAS APPROPRIATE?
22	A I THINK HIS FEELING IS A LARGE FUSS IS
23	GOING TO BE MADE ABOUT A LOT OF BLEEDING SHE DID
2 4	AFTER SHE LEFT THE HOSPITAL, WHICH I FIND

1	INTERESTING.		
2	Q	WHEN DID YOU READ SCHWARTZ'S	
3	DEPOSITION?		
4	A	LAST NIGHT AND THIS MORNING.	
5	Q	DID THAT COME TO YOU IN THE MAIL?	
6	A	GEEZ, I DON'T KNOW. I IMAGINE. YES.	
7	Q	DID IT COME TO YOU YESTERDAY OR	
8	A	NO, I'VE HAD IT. I HAD IT.	
9	Q	DID YOU READ IT IN ITS ENTIRETY?	
10	A	YES.	
11	Q	DID YOU MAKE ANY NOTATIONS IN THE	
12	DEPOSITION T	RANSCRIPT?	
13	A	IN THE TRANSCRIPT, NO.	
14	Q	HIGHLIGHTS OR TAG THE EARS OF THE	
15	PAGES		
16	A	NO.	
17	Q	WHATEVER YOU CALL IT?	
18	А	NO.	
19	Q	HAVE YOU PREPARED ANY NOTES IN	
20	CONNECTION W	ITH YOUR REVIEW OF THIS CASE?	
21	A	YES.	
22	Q	WHERE ARE THOSE?	
23	A	THEY'RE HERE IN MY FILE.	
24	Q	MAY I SEE THOSE, PLEASE.	

1	A SURE.
2	Q WHY DON'T YOU BRING YOUR WHOLE FILE U
3	HERE AND WE WILL IDENTIFY THAT.
4	A MY NOTES CONSIST OF EXTRACTING THE
5	PERTINENT FACTS FROM THE FILE SO I DON'T HAVE TO
6	REVIEW EVERYTHING AGAIN. THIS IS SCHWARTZ RIGHT
7	THERE.
8	Q OKAY. THESE ARE YOUR NOTES THAT
9	YOU'VE EXTRACTED CERTAIN FACTS?
10	A RIGHT. SO I DON'T HAVE TO REVIEW THE
11	RECORD AGAIN. I DISTILL OUT THE FACTS. NO OPINIONS
12	OR EDITORIAL COMMENTS.
13	Q I RATE TO DO THIS. I CAN'T READ YOUR
14	HANDWRITING.
15	A IT TOOK THAT LONG TO FIGURE THAT OUT?
16	Q SOMETIMES IF YOU LOOK AT IT LONG
17	ENOUGE, YOU BEGIN TO DECIPHER IT, AND I'M NOT ABLE
18	TO. IF I COULD, WHAT I WOULD LIKE YOU TO DO IS JUST
19	GO THROUGH HERE AND READ THIS INTO THE RECORD.
20	A OKAY. YOU WANT EVERY WORD?
21	Q PLEASE.
22	A MARK SCHUMACHER (513) 226-0333.
23	EDINGTON AND OTHERS VERSUS BILLING AND OTHERS.
24	ERICKA EDINGTON, DOE $11-1-75$. $1-29-92$ REGISTRATION

1 DATE. NURSE MIUWIYE CENTER. H AND P 5-91. ELECTIVE 2 AB. LMP QUESTION MARK. EDC BY SIZE 6-13-92. 3 PELVIC, QUOTATION, APPROXIMATELY 24-WEEK SIZE, END OUOTE. FOUR PRENATAL VISITS. BLOOD PRESSURE IS 5 NORMAL. WEIGHT 150 TO 161. URINE IS ALL NEGATIVE. FHT'S OKAY, 140, 140, 160, 148. EDC 4-10-92. LATE 6 7 ULTRASOUND. LAB. GESTATIONAL AGE ULTRASOUND 3-12. ONE-HOUR GLUCOSE CHALLENGE TEST 144 DASH ABNORMAL. 8 9 THREE-HOUR GLUCOSE TOLERANCE TEST NORMAL. EXAM AT 39 10 WEEKS. CERVIX ONE PLUS CENTIMETERS. 80 TO 90 11 PERCENT EFFACED. MINUS ONE. THERE'S AN ARROW DOWN, WHICH I ASSUME IS FROM THE RECORD. I'M NOT SURE WHAT 12 13 THAT MEANS. 14 PROGRESS NOTES. ROUTINE UNTIL 4-8. 15 COMPLAINS OF BABY NOT MOVING ALL TODAY. VAGINAL 16 BLEEDING, WHICH SATURATED A PAD IN ONE HOUR. WAS 17 CHECKED 4-7 IN LABOR AND DELIVERY. THIS WAS ENTERED 18 1900. 19 A, IUP AT 40 WEEKS QUESTION MARK, I 20 CAN'T READ WHAT THE CHART SAID. RULE OUT NONREACTIVE 21 FETUS. P IS PLAN, GO TO LABOR AND DELIVERY. NST AND 22 SLEEP MEDS AS NEEDED. ULTRASOUND NO SURPRISES. 50TH 23 PERCENTILE FOR 35.9 WEEKS. AMNIOTIC FLUID VOLUME

NORMAL, AND SOMETHING I COULDN'T READ.

24

т .	LABUR AND DELIVERY PHYSICAL EXAM
2	SHEET. 114/80, 120, FIVE FEET ONE INCHES TALL. TWO
3	CENTIMETERS MINUS ONE, 75 PERCENT.
4	NARRATIVE. IN WHEELCHAIR COMPLAINING
5	OF LOWER ABDOMINAL PAIN, UNABLE TO VOID. 2200, VE,
6	2/75 PERCENT, VXSTARIL 75 MILLIGRAMS I.M. MOSS. TEMP
7	96, PULSE 120, RESPIRATIONS 120, BLOOD PRESSURE
8	114/80. HFT IS 120. LOW FETAL HEART RATE, DIET POOR
9	TODAY. I.V. ABDOMEN TIGHT, LARGE BABE. DR. BILLING
10	HERE TO EXAMINE. HYDRATE MORE. 0050, WHICH WAS A
11	TIME, VAGINAL EXAM NO CHANGE.
12	PATIENT NOW COMFORTABLE. HYDRATE MORE
13	THEN DC. 0125, AGAIN THE TIME, I.V. OUT. DISCHARGED
14	IN WHEELCHAIR TO PRIVATE CAR WITH MOM, MOSS,
15	SIGNATURE.
16	4-7, MONITOR (DONE), VISTARIL (DONE),
17	BOLUS WITH 1000 CC D5 RINGER'S LACTATE (DONE),
18	DISCHARGE.
19	SUMMARY. ADMIT 4-8-92, COMPLAINING OF
20	MUCOUS BLOOD-TINGED VAGINAL DISCHARGE. GOING ON ALL
21	DAY WITH NO MOVEMENT. REMEMBERS LARGE AMOUNT OF
22	FETAL MOVEMENT AT 4:00 P.M. 4-7-92. LABOR AND
23	DELIVERY 4-7-92, COMPLAIN OF LOWER ABDOMINAL PAIN,
24	MONITORED PER EXTERNAL FETAL MONITOR. HEART TONES

I	HAD BASELINE 90 TO 100 WITH GOOD VARIABILITY. I.V.
2	HYDRATED THEN AND GOT VISTARIL. RESPONDED WELL.
3	FHT'S PER ELECTRONIC FETAL MONITOR WERE 110 TO 120
4	BASELINE WITH GOOD VARIABILITY AFTER HYDRATION.
5	NOTICED INCREASED AMOUNT OF BLOODY
6	MUCOUS DISCRARGE AFTER VAGINAL EXAM 4-7. DISCHARGE
7	CONTINUED THROUGH 4-8. PHONED AT 7:00 P.M.
8	COMPLAINED OF DISCHARGE, NO FETAL MOVEMENT. SENT TO
9	LABOR AND DELIVERY, NO CONTRACTIONS. AT LABOR AND
10	DELIVERY SOFT ABDOMEN, MILD UTERINE IRRITABILITY, NO
11	PALPABLE CONTRACTIONS. 97.3, PULSE 96, RESPIRATIONS
12	16, 138/90. FHT NEGATIVE, DOPPLER.
13	EFM 110 TO 120 WITH GOOD VARIABILITY
14	ALWAYS. COINCIDENT WITH MATERNAL HEARTBEAT. VERY
15	PALE IN LABOR AND DELIVERY PRESENTATION. H AND H 6.1
16	AND 18. P EQUALED 141,000, WHICH IS PLATELETS. NINE
17	CENTIMETER BULGING BOW (BURNS - DICTATION). CAME IN
18	FROM HOME, PATIENT COMPLETE AND BULGING. DR. WATSON
19	CAME IN, DID ULTRASOUND, NO FETAL HEART. LARGE
20	AMOUNT OF CLEAR AMNIOTIC FLUID. INTERNAL FETAL
21	MONITOR 140 TO 150 EQUALS MOM. AT 2239 OR 37
22	SEVEN-AND-A-HALF-POUND MALE MACERATED CORD REDUCED
23	EASILY TIMES ONE, THREE VISCERAL CORD.
24	PLACENTA SPONTANEOUSLY WITH

1 APPROXIMATELY 1.500 CC DARK OLD BLOOD. CLOTS. CORD AT MARGIN. PLACENTA VERY THIN. DRUG SCREEN ORDERED. 3 PATH. 655 GRAMS, 18 CENTIMETERS, 1.5 CM THICK. MATERNAL SURFACE FLATTENED, LOBULATED, BROWNISH, INTACT, COTYLEDONS. IN SOME AREAS PLACENTA 5 IS MARKEDLY THINNED AND COTYLEDONS APPEAR TO BE 7 FIBROTIC. 8 MICRO. PLACENTA REVEALING AREAS OF INFARCTION, CALCIUM AND HYALINIZED CHORIONIC VILLI 9 10 WITH AMNIONITIS. NOTE 4-9-91 FROM BILLING ABOUT HIS 11 ENCOUNTER, AGREES WITH MOSS. ALSO SAYS ABDOMEN WAS 12 13 FIRM BUT NOT TIGHT WITH NO COMPLAINTS OF POINT 14 TENDERNESS AT EXTREME PUSH. NOTE FROM MOSS REPEATING 15 EVENTS OF 4-7. 16 LABOR ROOM RECORD. FHT 120 ON ADMISSION. 2230, 118. 2300, 114. QUESTION MARK, 17 122. 0020, 130. 0050, 1235. 0125, 118 IRREGULAR. 18 SIX WEEKS POSTPARTUM, 6-5-92. ABDOMEN 19 EXTERNAL, UNABLE TO PALPATE (TIGHT ABDOMEN). SIGNED 20 21 BY A DIFFERENT PERSON. 22 DISCHARGED SUMMARY, K.A. WATSON. DOT, 23 DOT, DOT, AFTER DISCHARGE SHE DID FEEL FETAL 24 MOVEMENT. FELT FETAL MOVEMENT ON A.M. OF 4-8 THEN

1	STARTED HAVING REGULAR CONTRACTIONS AND PRESENTED.
2	NO FETAL HEART TONES, NEGATIVE DRUG SCREEN.
3	THAT'S BASICALLY THE CHART. IN THE
4	MARGIN OF THE THING, IF ABRUPTED, WHY NO CHANGE IN
5	CERVIX? WHY NO CHANGE IN CERVIX IF TETANY?
6	BURNS' HANDWRITTEN NOTE. LARGE AMOUNT
7	OF FETAL MOVEMENT AT 1600 4-7. ONE FETAL MOVEMENT AT
8	2300 ON 4-7.
9	Q JUST FOR CLARIFICATION, WHAT ARE YOU
10	READING FROM NOW?
11	A FROM THE SAME NOTES THAT I MADE THAT
12	ARE FROM THE RECORD.
13	Q OKAY.
14	A FROM REVIEW OF THE RECORD. ONE FETAL
15	MOVEMENT AT 2300 4-7, NOTHING SINCE. 3-13,
16	HEMOGLOBIN AND HEMATOCRIT 11.6 AND 34. EFM STRIPS.
17	BASELINE 110. FREQUENT VARIATION. 07'408 RISES TO
18	130, GOOD VARIABILITY AND OCCASIONAL EXCEL.
19	YOU WANT ME TO READ MY NOTE ABOUT
20	SCHWARTZ'S DEPOSITION?
21	Q PLEASE.
22	A SCHWARTZ REFUSES TO PRODUCE COPY OF
23	THE REPORT. THREE DEVIATIONS CITED. PROLONGED
24	BRADYCARDIA AT 39 WEEKS. I CAN'T READ MY OWN

- 1 WRITING. SOMETHING EARLY ABRUPTION AND NOTHING DONE.
- 2 SHOULD HAVE DELIVERED HER, C-SECTIONED HER ON 4-7.
- 3 BRADYCARDIA AT END OF STRIP 4-7-8 VISIT. MOSS AND
- 4 | BILLING DEVIATED.
- 5 29, KEEP SAYING BOW BULGING. I DON'T
- 6 THINK SO ON 4-7-8. 30, CLAIMS EFM SHOWS UTERINE
- 7 TETANY. 44, PARTIAL AP. CHILD SALVAGEABLE THEN,
- 8 OUOTATION, THAT I KNOW, UNOUOTE. 45, CLAIMS SEVERE
- 9 ABDOMINAL PAIN BUT CAN'T FIND IT IN THE RECORD. 47,
- 10 CRYING, WRITHING, ET CETERA, DURING CONTRACTIONS.
- 11 STOPPED AFTER FLUID. 51, QUOTE, NOT COMMON TO SEE
- 12 INCREASED BLEEDING AFTER EXAM, UNOUOTE. 59. READS
- 13 MUCH INTO RESTING TONE. 70, REPETITIVE CONTRACTIONS
- 14 SUPERIMPOSED, HE STATES. 75, BLOOD ON GLOVE
- 15 REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT IS ABNORMAL AFTER EXAM. 84.
- 16 ULTRASOUND REQUIRED TO DIAGNOSE ABRUPTION. 87, SAYS
- 17 | 7448 EQUALS LESS THAN 120 IS BRADYCARDIC. IT'S
- 18 ACTUALLY 118. 108, SAYS HYDRATION WOULD HAVE NO
- 19 BEARING ON HFT.
- 20 REFUSES TO PRODUCE LETTER, THEN IT
- 21 GOES TO 12-9-94. THE OTHER WAS IN OCTOBER. AGAIN
- 22 REFUSES TO PRODUCE LETTER. STATES SHE'S HIGH RISK
- 23 | SECONDARY TO ABNORMAL ONE HOUR AND A RACCOON BITE,
- 24 LATE PRENATAL CARE.

1	33, CLAIMS TETANY ONE CONTRACTION
2	AFTER ANOTHER. BE'S WRONG ON DEFINITION. 38,
æ	INDENTABLE. IF IT'S INDENTABLE, THERE'S NO TETANY.
4	47, HASN'T READ BILLING'S DEPOSITION. UNAWARE IF HE
55	WAS AWARE OF BLOODY DISCHARGE. 67, DOESN'T KNOW IF
66	WHEN BILLING WAS THERE THE PATIENT HAD A TENSE
77	ABDOMEN. 79, MARGINAL CORD INSERTION, HE'S UNAWARE
88	OF THIS. ERICKA CLAIMS ONE PAD AN HOUR BLEEDING.
99	THAT'S ABOUT IT. 1,500 CC'S OF BLOOD LOSS AT
1100	DELIVERY, ET CETERA. THAT'S BASICALLY IT.
111	Q THANKS. I HAD ASKED YOU EARLIER
12	WHETHER YOU MADE ANY NOTATIONS IN DR. SCHWARTZ'S
13	DEPOSITION. WHILE YOU WERE READING YOUR NOTES, I
14	LOOKED THROUGH THE TWO TRANSCRIPTS HERE, AND THERE
15	ARE UNDERLININGS THROUGHOUT THE TRANSCRIPTS.
16	A YOU ASKED ME ABOUT TABS AND TURNED
17	OVER BUTTON HOLES, MARKED PAGES. I ASSUMED YOU MEANT
18	WITH POST-ITS AND ALL THAT JAZZ.
19	Q NO. ARE THE NOTATIONS THAT ARE IN .
20	THESE TWO TRANSCRIPTS NOTATIONS THAT YOU'VE MADE?
21	A YES. THINGS I JUST READ TO YOU
22	BASICALLY.
23	Q YOU HAVE NOT READ DR. BILLING'S
24	DEPOSITION?

1	A THAT'S CORRECT.
2	e you've not read the deposition OF'
3	ERICKA
4	A THIS IS IT.
5	Q THAT BEING THE TWO VOLUMES OF
6	DEPOSITIONS OF SCHWARTZ?
7	A RIGHT.
8	Q THERE ARE TWO VOLUMES OF MEDICAL
9	RECORDS, VOLUME 1 OF 2 IS WELL, WITHOUT GOING INTO
10	THAT. VOLUME 2 OF 2 CONTAINS SOME RECORDS, WHICH ON
11	MY BRIEF REVIEW HERE ARE FROM PERIODS OF TIME OTHER
12	THAN THE HOSPITALIZATION WHICH IS INVOLVED IN THIS
13	CASE. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING?
14	A YES.
15	$oldsymbol{arrho}$ is there anything in volume 2 in terms
16	OF RECORDS THAT IN ANY WAY, SHAPE OR FORM ARE
17	RELEVANT TO THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE?
18	A I DON'T THINK SO.
19	Q BOTH PRIOR OR SUBSEQUENTLY?
20	A I DON'T BELIEVE SO. LET ME TAKE A
21	QUICK GLANCE. I DON'T THINK SO. I WOULD HAVE
22	WRITTEN SOMETHING DOWN ABOUT THEM.
2 3	Q IS THAT CORRECT?
2 4	A THAT'S CORRECT.

1	Q THERE IS A PATHOLOGY REPORT THAT'S
2	LOOSE FROM, WHAT WOULD APPEAR TO BE ONE OF THE
3	VOLUMES OF RECORDS, 4-9-92. I ASSUME THAT'S FROM
4	VOLUME 1.
5	A I DON'T KNOW. I THOUGHT THAT WAS
6	INTERESTING. SMALL PLACENTA FOR A 16 YEAR OLD. I
7	DON'T KNOW WHY IT'S LOOSE.
a	Q IN ADDITION TO WHAT WE'VE ALREADY
9	COVERED, THERE ARE LETTERS FROM MR. SCHUMACHER TO
10	YOURSELF DATED MARCH 16, MAY 17 AND JULY 18 OF 1995.
11	IS THAT YOUR COMPLETE FILE THEN?
12	A YES.
13	Q DID YOU REVIEW ANY MEDICAL LITERATURE
14	PRIOR TO YOUR DEPOSITION TODAY?
15	A NO.
16	Q AT ANY TIME DURING YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN
17	THIS CASE HAVE YOU REVIEWED ANY MEDICAL LITERATURE IN
18	CONNECTION WITH THIS CASE?
19	A NO.
20	Q WHAT MEDICAL TEXTS DO YOU CONSIDER TO
21	BE THE MOST RELIABLE SOURCES OF INFORMATION
2 2	PERTAINING TO OB/GYN?
23	MR. SCHUMACHER: OBJECTION.
24	GO AHEAD AND ANSWER IT, IF YOU CAN.

1	A MOST RELIABLE, THAT'S A NEW WAY OF
2	ASKING THE QUESTION. THERE AHE SOME THAT ARE BETTER
3	THAN OTHERS.
4	BY MR. LEESEBERG:
5	Q I'M $JUST$ LOOKING FOR THE TOP TWO OR
6	THREE IN YOUR MIND.
7	A I L'IKE CREASY AND RESNIK. I LIKE
8	GABE'S TEXTBOOK. THERE IS A MEDICAL BOOK THAT IS
9	UNRELATED TO THIS CASE THAT I LIKE. I DON'T REMEMBER
10	THE TITLE.
11	Q WHAT ABOUT JOURNALS, BEST TWO OR THREE
12	JOURNALS PERTAINING TO OB/GYN?
13	A I TAKE THE USUAL BUNCH. AMERICAN
14	JOURNAL OF OB/GYN
15	Q WHAT I'M ASKING, IN YOUR MIND WHAT ARE
16	THE TWO OR THREE BEST ONES PERTAINING TO OB/GYN?
17	A THAT'S WHAT I WAS TELLING YOU
18	ACTUALLY. THE GRAY JOURNAL, GREEN JOURNAL. PROBABLY
19	THE TWO BEST IN THAT ORDER. THE NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL.
20	OF MEDICINE HAS RELEVANT ARTICLES FROM TIME TO TIME
21	THAT ARE IMPORTANT. THE AMA JOURNAL HAS RELEVANT
22	ARTICLES. WHEN THEY DO, THEY'RE USUALLY PRETTY
23	IMPORTANT.
24	Q THAT'S GOOD. DO YOU HAVE A C.V. WITH

1	YOU BY CHANCE?
2	A I HAVE ONE THAT'S ACROSS THE HALL.
3	Q ANY PUBLICATIONS?
4	A YES.
5	Q WHEN WAS THE LAST TIME YOU PUBLISHED?
6	A ACTUALLY A RESIDENT WROTE A PAPER
7	UNDER MY SUPERVISION ON COCAINE USE IN PREGNANCY.
8	THAT'S IN THE JOURNAL OF DRUG REHAB, OR SOMETHING
9	LIKE THAT. BEFORE THAT IT'S BEEN ABOUT TEN YEARS
10	THAT I WROTE AN ARTICLE. LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS OF
11	HYPERTENSION IN PREGNANCY.
12	Q HAVE YOU EVER PUBLISHED ANYTHING
13	PERTAINING TO THE ISSUES IN THIS CASE, DIAGNOSIS,
14	TREATMENT, MANAGEMENT OF ABRUPTION OF PLACENTA?
15	A NO. NOT IN WHICH IT WAS THE THESIS OF
16	THE ARTICLE. I HAVE WRITTEN SOME THINGS THAT MIGHT
17	HAVE BEEN MENTIONED BUT NOTHING IMPORTANT. NOTHING
18	RELATED TO THE ISSUES HERE.
19	Q YOU HAD INDICATED EARLIER WHEN I ASKED
20	ABOUT HOW YOU SPENT YOUR TIME, THE FOUR TO FIVE HOURS
21	TO DATE IN THIS CASE, YOU INDICATED THAT YOU SPENT AN
22	HOUR AND A HALF LOOKING AT THE RECORDS AND DISCUSSING
23	THE CASE WITH MR. SCHUMACHER TO BEGIN WITH.

24

A

RIGHT.

1	Q IS IT ACCURATE THAT YOU WERE ABLE TO
2	FORMULATE YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE BASED UPON THAT
3	HOUR-AND-A-HALF REVIEW AND DISCUSSION WITH MR.
4	SCHUMACHER?
5	A YES. I FORMED MY OPTNIONS BEFORE AND
6	DISCUSSED XT WITH HIM. THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THE
7	REVIEW.
8	Q SO assuming you spent about a half
9	HOUR TALKING TO MR. SCHUMACHER ABOUT THE CASE, THEN
10	YOU SPENT ABOUT AN HOUR LOOKING AT THE CASE AND
11	REVIEWING THE RECORDS AND FORMULATING YOUR OPINIONS?
12	A GIVE OR TARE A FEW MINUTES. IT'S BEEN
13	SEVERAL MONTHS.
14	Q THE NOTES THAT YOU PREPARED IN
15	REVIEWING DR. SCHWARTZ'S DEPOSITION, I APOLOGIZE, I
16	WASN'T PAYING ATTENTION, I WAS LOOKING AT OTHER
17	THINGS TO TRY TO SHORTEN THIS UP, IS IT FAIR TO SAY
18	THAT THOSE ARE NOTATIONS OF PLACES WHERE YOU TAKE
19	ISSUE WITH WHAT DR. SCHWARTZ IS SAYING IN HIS
20	DEPOSITION?
21	A NO, THAT'S NOT ACCURATE. WHAT THEY
22	ARE ARE NOTES OF WHERE HE MAKES A COMMENT THAT'S
23	REALLY PERTINENT AS OPPOSED TO STUFF THAT'S
24	PERIPHERAL OR SOMETHING I WANT TO MAKE SURE I

REMEMBER. SOME OF THEM I TAKE ISSUE WITH THEM. MOST 1 2 OF THEM ARE THINGS THAT ARE PERTINENT. 3 O AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, IN WHAT RESPECT 4 DO YOU RECALL DISAGREEING WITH DR. SCHWARTZ? 5 A I THINK BE'S WRONG ON THE IDEA THAT 6 SHE HAD CLINICAL SIGNS OF AN ABRUPTION. THERE 7 WEREN'T FINDINGS COMPATIBLE WITH ABRUPTION ENOUGH 8 THAT WARRANTED DELIVERY WHEN SHE CAME IN. IF YOU PRACTICE LIKE HE SAID, YOU WOULD HAVE AN ENORMOUS 9 10 SECTION RATE. MAYBE HE DOES SECTION EVERYBODY WHO 11 HAS A STORY LIKE ERICKA. I TAKE ISSUE WITH THAT. 12 I TAKE ISSUE WITH HIS RELIANCE ON THE 13 ROLE OF ULTRASOUND FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF ABRUPTION. FLAT OUT WRONG. ULTRASOUND IS A SECONDARY TOOL FOR 14 15 THE DIAGNOSIS OF ABRUPTION. ALWAYS HAS BEEN. DON'T SEE ANY CHANGE IN THAT. THAT'S PRETTY MUCH 16 UNIVERSALLY FELT. 17 18 OKAY. 19 A THOSE ARE MAJOR ISSUES. HE STRESSES 20 ALL THIS BLEEDING. I READ THE RECORD, AND I'M GLAD 21 YOU HAD ME READ THIS STUFF BECAUSE THE HOSPITAL 22 RECORD DOES NOT SHOW A GREAT DEAL OF BLEEDING. 23 CONSTANTLY TALKING ABOUT BLOODY DISCHARGE, BLOODY

MUCUS. WHEN THE PATIENT RETURNS ON THE EVENING OF

24

APRIL 8TH, SHE COMPLAINS OF BLOODY DISCHARGE. 1 2 YOU'RE BLEEDING A LOT, SHE'D SAY, I'VE BEEN BLEEDING 3 ALL DAY. SHE WOULDN'T SAY. I'VE HAD BLOODY DISCHARGE ALL DAY; BECAUSE I'VE BEEN THERE. SO I DISAGREE WITH HIM ON THAT. 5 DO YOU EVER BAVE A PATIENT COME IN TO Q 7 YOU AND SAY, DOCTOR, I'VE HAD A BLOODY DISCHARGE? 8 SURE. USE THOSE WORDS? 9 0 10 Α FREQUENTLY. ALL THE TIME AFTER AN EXAM. JUST WHAT THIS PATIENT, ERICKA OR HER MOM SAID 11 AFTER SHE WAS EXAMINED, SHE HAD MORE BLOODY 12 1.3 DISCHARGE. THAT'S STEREOTYPE. HAPPENS FIVE TIMES A WEEK, TEN TIMES A WEEK. 14 I WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M VERY CLEAR. 15 I'M NOT ASKING YOU IF YOU HAVE PATIENTS REGULARLY 16 17 REPORT TO YOU THAT AFTER AN EXAMINATION THEY'VE HAD 18 SOME BLEEDING, WHAT I'M ASKING YOU IS AFTER AN 19 EXAMINATION, DO YOU FIND IT TYPICAL FOR A PATIENT WHO 20 IS EXPERIENCING BLEEDING TO SAY, DOCTOR, I'M HAVING A BLOODY DISCHARGE? 21 22 YES. OR BLOODY MUCUS. USE THOSE WORDS. IT'S SO COMMON AFTER AN EXAM THAT MY NURSE 23

AND I TELL EVERYBODY THAT'S GOING TO GET AN EXAM IN

24

1	HATE PREGNANCI, 100 SHOULD EXPERIENCE 100 WILL
2	PROBABLY EXPERIENCE SOME BLOODY DISCHARGE OR BLOODY
3	MUCUS AFTERWARDS, SO THEY DON'T CALL US AT 9:00 AT
4	NIGHT SAYING, I HAVE BLOODY MUCUS, ANS THEY WILL, IN
5	THOSE WORDS, OR BLOODY DISCHARGE.
6	Q WOULD YOU FIND IT UNUSUAL FOR A
7	16-YEAR-OLD UNMARRIED, UNSOPHISTICATED GIRL OF
a	RELATIVELY LIMITED EDUCATION TO REFER TO VAGINAL
9	BLEEDING AS A BLOODY DISCHARGE?
10	A NO. THEY DESCRIBE WHAT THEY SEE AND
11	HEAR. PARTICULARLY YOU'RE LOOKING AT THE CONVERSE.
12	IF YOU'RE BLEEDING AND THERE WAS REALLY A LOT OF
13	BLOOD, SHE WOULD SAY, I'M BLEEDING. THIS IS BLOOD.
14	SHE WOULDN'T COME BACK AND SAY, I HAVE BLOODY
15	DISCHARGE.
16	Q IF IN FACT ERICKA WAS BLEEDING IN A
17	GREATER AMOUNT THAN IS REFLECTED IN THE HOSPITAL
18	RECORDS, YOU WOULD AGREE THAT RAISES SIGNIFICANT
19	CONCERNS AND ISSUES, WOULD YOU NOT?
20	MR. SCHUMACHER: OBJECTION.
21	A YOU MEAN A HYPOTHETICAL HERE?
22	Q YES.
23	A BECAUSE THE RECORD DOESN'T SUPPORT
24	THAT AT ALL.

1	Q WHAT I'M ASKING YO IS IF WE ASSUME
2	THAT IN FACT SHE WAS EXPERIENCING BLEEDING GREATER
3	THAN IS REFLECTED IN THE HOSPITAL RECORD, YOU DON'T
4	DISAGREE THAT WOULD RAISE SERIOUS CONCERNS OR ISSUES
5	CONCERNING THE HEALTH AND WELL-BE NG OF BOTH MOTHER
6	AND BABY?
7	MR. SHADLEY: OBJECTION.
8	MR. SCHUMACHEH: OBJECTION.
9	A I DON'T DISAGREE, ASSUMING YOU AND I
LO	MEAN THE SAME THING WHEN YOU SAY BLEEDING MORE THAN
11	BLOODY DISCHARGE OR WHATEVER YOU SAID.
L 2	BY MR. LEESEBERG:
13	Q I THINK WE'RE ALL IN AGREEMENT WHAT
L 4	THE RECORD REFLECTS IN TERMS OF BLEEDING, AND YOU
15	DON'T FEEL THAT'S SIGNIFICANT?
16	A ABSOLUTELY IT'S NOT.
17	Q OR OUT OF THE ORDINARY?
18	A ABSOLUTELY IT'S NOT.
19	Q JUST TO MAKE SURE WE ARE NOT HAVING A
20	SEMANTIC DISAGREEMENT, IF IN FACT ERICKA EDINGTON WAS
21	BLEEDING MORE SIGNIFICANTLY THAN JUST A USUAL BLOODY
22	DISCHARGE, THAT IN YOUR MIND WOULD RAISE SIGNIFICANT
23	CONCERNS?
24	A YES-

1	DEPOSITION WITH THE IMPRESSION THAT PRIOR TO
2	IMMEDIATELY INDUCING HER OR TAKING HER FOR C-SECTION
3	THAT HE FELT SOME DIAGNOSTIC THINGS SHOULD BE DONE?
4	A YES, THAT'S THE ULTRASOUND, WHICH IS
5	USELESS.
6	Q IT'S USELESS FOR WHAT?
7	A DIAGNOSIS OF ABRUPTION.
8	Q YOU'RE SAYING IT HAS NO PLACE IN THE
9	DIAGNOSIS OF AN ABRUPTION?
10	A NOT NO PLACE. EXCUSE THE GRAMMAR.
11	MOST ABRUPTIONS PRESENT WITH BLEEDING. THE STANDARD
12	THING WE DO WITH A THIRD TRIMESTER BLEEDER IS
13	ULTRASOUND LOOKING FOR PLACENTA PREVIA. AS A RESULT,
14	WHENEVER YOU ULTRASOUND ENOUGH THIRD TRIMESTER
15	BLEEDERS, YOU ULTIMATELY ULTRASOUND SOMEBODY WHO HAS
16	AN ABRUPTION INSTEAD OF PREVIA OR INSTEAD OF SOME
17	INNOCUOUS CAUSE. SO MOST PEOPLE WITH ABRUPTION DO
18	END UP GETTING AN ULTRASOUND, BUT ULTRASOUND IS NOT
19	USEFUL IN MAKING THE DIAGNOSIS OF ABRUPTION.
20	Q YOU'RE TALKING TOO FAST FOR ME TO KEEP
21	UP WITH YOU, TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. ARE
22	YOU SAYING THAT ${f IN}$ ${f A}$ PATIENT ${f IN}$ ${f WHOM}$ YOU SUSPECT
23	MIGHT HAVE: AN ABRUPTIAL PLACENTA THAT AN ULTRASOUND

2 4

IS NOT WARRANTED?

1	A YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE EVERYTHING BLACK
2	AND WHITE. IT'S A JUDGMENT THING. IF A PHYSICIAN
3	FEELS BEDSIDE THE PATIENT REALLY HAS AN ABRUPTION,
4	YES, ULTRASOUND IS NOT WARRANTED.
5	Q IT'S NOT WARRANTED?
6	A IT'S NOT WARRANTED. IF HE'S CONVINCED
7	THAT $oldsymbol{A}$ PATIENT HAS AN ABRUPTION, THEN YOU DON'T DO AN
8	ULTRASOUND.
9	Q WHAT DO YOU DO?
10	A DEPENDING ON WHAT THE BABY LOOKS LIKE,
11	YOU RUPTURE MEMBRANES OR YOU TAKE THEM BACK FOR
12	SECTION. WHAT YOU DON'T DO IS SPEND 10 ON 15 MINUTES
13	ON ULTRASOUND OR CALLING IN A RADIOLOGIST FROM HOME
14	TO COME IN AND DO IT. THAT'S WHAT YOU DON'T DO.
15	Q WHEN YOU SAY YOU CHECK TO SEE HOW THE
16	BABY'S DOING, HOW WOULD YOU CHECK TO SEE HOW THE BABY
17	IS?
18	A FETAL MONITOR, EXTERNAL OR PREFERABLY
19	INTERNAL, AND DEPENDING ON THAT YOU GET HER TO
20	DELIVERY IF YOU THINK IT'S AN ABRUPTION.
21	Q IF YOU DON'T THINK IT'S AN ABRUPTION,
22	YOU'RE SAYING YOU DON'T DO AN ULTRASOUND?
23	A THAT WAS THE COMPLICATED ANSWER THAT
24	YOU DIDN'T FOLLOW, IF YOU HAVE SOMEBODY WHO PRESENTS

1	WHO IS STABLE, WHO HAS A SATISFACTORY FETAL HEART
2	HATE AND IS BLEEDING, YOU MIGHT YOU WOULD DO AN
3	ULTRASOUND. IN THAT CASE WE'RE LOOKING FOR PLACENTA
4	PREVIA.
5	Q SO LET'S TALK HYPOTHETICALLY. A WOMAN
6	COMES TO THE HOSPITAL, SAY SHE'S NEAR TERM, SHE'S
7	STABLE, SHE'S GOT SATISFACTORY FETAL HEART TONES OR
8	RATE BUT SHE PRESENTS WITH BLEEDING.
9	A RIGHT.
10	Q YOU'VE INDICATED THAT YOUR FIRST
11	SUSPICION IS PLACENTA PREVIA.
12	A NO. I THINK THAT'S ONE OF THE FIRST
13	THINGS, THAT'S AN INDICATION TO DO AN ULTRASOUND.
14	YOU ASKED WHAT ARE THE CONDITIONS I DO AN ULTRASOUND,
15	I THINK.
16	Q THE REASON YOU ARE DOING AN ULTRASOUND
17	IS FOR WHAT?
18	A FIND OUT WHERE THE PLACENTA IS. YOU
19	DON'T STICK YOUR FINGER THROUGH IT DOING A VAGINAL
20	EXAM.
21	Q FIND OUT WHERE IT'S AT?
22	A WHERE IT IS, RIGBT.
23	Q YOU DON'T USE ULTRASOUND TO DIAGNOSE
24	THE PREVIA EITHER?

1	1	A THAT'S WHAT I SAID. DO YOU KNOW WHAT
	2	PREVIA IS?
	3	Q NO.
	4	A I'M SORRY. PLACENTA PREVIA MEANS THE
	5	PLACENTA IS OVER THE CERVIX. YOU WANT TO FIND OUT
	6	WHERE THE PLACENTA IS SO YOU CAN FIND OUT IF IT'S
	7	OVER THE CERVIX AND THAT'S THE SOURCE OF THE
	a	BLEEDING. IN LATE PREGNANCY, PEOPLE BEGIN TO
	9	CONTRACT AND THEY CAN START PEELING THE PLACENTA OFF
	10	A LITTLE BIT. THAT CAN BE A SOURCE OF BLEEDING.
	11	Q SO YOU'RE GOING TO DO THE ULTRASOUND
	12	TO LOOK FOR THE UTERUS?
)	13	A LOCATION OF THE PLACENTA.
	14	Q LOCATION OF THE PLACENTA. I'M SORRY.
	15	AND IF THE PLACENTA IS PEELING AWAY, THAT'S $oldsymbol{A}$ PREVIA?
	16	A NO. IF IT'S OVER THE CERVIX, THAT'S A
	17	PREVIA. THAT'S YOUR EXPLANATION FOR THE BLEEDING.
	18	Q RIGHT.
	19	A THAT'S SERIOUS. THAT AND ABRUPTION
	20	ARE SERIOUS.
	21	Q THAT PATIENT COMES INTO THE HOSPITAL
	22	AT TERM, IS STABLE, SATISFACTORY FETAL HEART TONES
	23	YET COMPLAINING OF BLEEDING, YOU'RE GOING TO DO THE
	24	ULTRASOUND TO LOOK FOR THE LOCATION OF THE PLACENTA?

1	A YES.
2	Q TO SEE IF THERE IS A PREVIA?
3	A RIGHT.
4	Q IN THAT PATIENT, IF THERE IS AN
5	ABRUPTION RATHER THAN A PREVIA, IS THAT ABRUPTION
6	GOING TO BE DEMONSTRATED ON THE ULTRASOUND?
7	A PROBABLY NOT.
a	Q WHY NOT?
9	A BECAUSE IF YOU HAVE YOU CAN HAVE
10	THE PLACENTA PEELED OFF THE UTERINE WALL, FAIRLY
11	EXTENSIVE PORTION OF IT, BUT, YOU KNOW, MAYBE THERE'S
12	ONLY A FEW MILLIMETERS OR A CENTIMETER GAP BETWEEN
13	THE WALL AND THE PLACENTA BETWEEN THE PLACENTA AND
14	THE WALL OF THE UTERUS, THAT WON'T SHOW ON
15	ULTRASOUND.
16	SECONDLY, YOU CAN OCCASIONALLY HAVE
17	SOME BLEEDING OF OLD BLOOD BEHIND THE PLACENTA, THREE
18	OK FOUR CENTIMETER CLOT. YOU'LL FIND THOSE IN
19	PATIENTS WHO AREN'T ABRUPTING, HAVE NO CLINICAL
20	PROBLEM. SO YOU HAVE MANY FALSE NEGATIVES AND SOME
21	FALSE POSITIVES. YOU'RE STILL GOING TO ACT, YOU'RE
22	STILL GOING TO EVALUATE AND ACT ON THE ABRUPTION
23	BASED ON HOW THE BABY AND THE MOM ARE DOING AT THE

BEDSIDE, NOT WHAT THE ULTRASOUND LOOKS LIKE. SO IT

1	BECOMES LESS MATERIAL.
2	Q ERICKA HAD AN ABRUPTION; CORRECT?
3	A CORRECT.
4	Q BABY DIED BECAUSE OF THE ABRUPTION?
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q WHEN DID THE ABRUPTION OCCUR OR WHEN
7	DID THE ABRUPTION FIRST BEGIN TO DEVELOP IN YOUR
8	OPINION?
9	A AFTER SHE LEFT THE HOSPITAL ON APRIL
LO	8TH.
11	Q AND WHY DID SHE DEVELOP AN ABRUPTION
12	AFTER SHE LEFT THE HOSPITAL ON THE 8TH?
13	A IT'S UNKNOWN WHY PEOPLE ABRUPT. IT'S
14	MORE COMMON IN PEOPLE WITH HYPERTENSION. IT'S MORE
15	COMMON IN CERTAIN AREAS OF THE WORLD, DIFFERENT
16	DIETS, ALL KINDS OF THINGS RAISE A QUESTION ABOUT
17	THAT. BUT IT'S NEVER KNOWN IN THE VAST MAJORITY OF
18	ABRUPTIONS WHY THEY OCCUR.
19	Q IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE, YOU HAVE NO
20	OPINION WHY SHE DEVELOPED THE ABRUPTION?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
22	Q DO I CONCLUDE CORRECTLY FROM YOUR
23	TESTIMONY THUS FAR THAT ON THE 7TH WHEN MOTHER AND
24	CHILD PRESENTED TO THE HOSPITAL THAT THEY WEDE BOTH

Т	PERFECILY HEALTHY AND HAPPY AND NORMAL?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND DO I FURTHER CONCLUDE CORRECTLY
4	THAT WHATEVER BROUGHT ERICKA TO THE HOSPITAL ON THE
5	EVENING OF THE 7TH OR THE AFTERNOON OF THE 7TH WAS
6	COMPLETELY UNRELATED AND IN NO WAY ASSOCIATED WITH
7	THE SUBSEQUENT DEVELOPMENT OF THE ABRUPTION?
8	A I THINK IT'S MY OPINION, YES, I FEEL
9	THAT WAY. SHE HAD FALSE LABOR.
10	Q SO IN YOUR OPINION IT WAS COMPLETELY
11	COINCIDENTAL SHE WAS IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM IN THE
12	EVENING HOURS OF THE 7TH, WENT HOME AND DEVELOPED AN
13	ABRUPTION?
14	A YES.
15	Q PUTTING ASIDE ALL THE COULDS OR
16	SHOULDS FOR A MOMENT, IF ERICKA HAD BEEN ADMITTED ON
17	THE EVENING OF THE 7TH FOR MONITORING THE FETAL HEART
18	TONES AND SHE THEN WENT ON TO DEVELOP THE ABRUPTION
19	AS YOU BELIEVE SHE DID AT A LATER TIME ON THE 8TH
20	A HAD SHE BEEN KEPT ALL THIS TIME? I
21	DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR HYPOTHETICAL. SHE WAS
22	MONITORED. SHE WAS MONITORED ABOUT THREE HOURS.
23	Q LET'S JUST SAY INSTEAD OF SENDING HER
24	HOME SHE WAS ADMITTED TO THE HOSPITAL.

1	A THAT'S WHAT I SAID. UKAT.
2	Q SHE DEVELOPED THIS ABRUPTION WITHIN A
3	MATTER OF HOURS AFTER SHE WENT HOME, IS THAT YOUR
4	OPINION?
5	A YOU'RE ASKING IT'S ANOTHER
6	QUESTION, YOU CHANGED QUESTIONS IN MID-SENTENCE.
7	WITHIN HOURS, SURE.
8	Q AND LET'S GO BACK TO THE HYPOTHETICAL.
9	IF SHE HAD COME TO THE HOSPITAL ON THE EVENING OF THE
L O	7TH OR THE AFTERNOON AND BEEN ADMITTED FOR MONITORING
11	RATHER THAN BEING DISCHARGED
12	A OKAY. INSTEAD OF SENDING HER HOME.
L 3	Q RIGHT. IT'S YOUR OPINION THAT SHE
14	WOULD HAVE HAD THAT ABRUPTION WHILE SHE WAS IN THE
15	HOSPITAL?
16	A YES.
17	Q HAD SHE HAD THAT ABRUPTION WHILE IN
18	THE HOSPITAL, HOW WOULD THAT HAVE MANIFESTED ITSELF
19	TO MEDICAL PERSONNEL OBSERVING HER?
20	A IT DEPENDS. AS SCHWARTZ SAID, AN
21	ABRUPTION SHOWS UP SEVERAL WAYS. ONE IS WITH A DEAD
22	BABY WITHOUT BLEEDING.
23	Q GIVEN WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT THE HISTORY
2 4	PROVIDED BY ERICKA AS TO WHAT HAPPENED TO HER.

1	A FETAL DISTRESS WOULD BE OBVIOUSLY
2	THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN FETAL DISTRESS PRIOR TO THE
3	BABY DYING. THAT WE CAN BE SURE OF.
4	Q BLEEDING IN HER CASE?
5	A SOME BLEEDING. ALL THEY DESCRIBED
6	WHEN THEY CAME IN THE NIGHT OF THE 8TH WAS BLOODY
7	DISCHARGE, BLOODY MUCUS. SHE WOULDN'T HAD ANY MORE
8	BLEEDING IN THE HOSPITAL THAN WHAT SHE HAD WHEN SHE
9	WENT HOME. I'M FAIRLY CERTAIN SHE DIDN'T HAVE A
10	WHOLE LOT OR SHE WOULD HAVE DESCRIBED IT DIFFERENTLY
11	WHEN SHE ARRIVED THAT NIGHT.
12	Q WHAT BROUGHT HER BACK TO THE HOSPITAL
13	THE SECOND TIME?
1 4	A NO FETAL MOVEMENT.
15	Q ANYTHING ELSE?
16	A DIDN'T COMPLAIN OF BLEEDING THEN, I
17	DON'T THINK.
18	Q ANYTHING ELSE THAT BROUGHT HER BACK?
19	A I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK. I DON'T
20	REMEMBER.
21	Q WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT, IF SHE HAD
22	BEEN IN THE HOSPITAL IN THE EARLY MORNING HOURS OF
2 3	THE 8TH BEING MONITORED, DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO
2 4	WHETHER OR NOT THIS ABRUPTION WOULD HAVE BEEN PICKED

IIP	BY	HOSPITAL	PERSONNEL?
	ו ע	HUDILIAL	I LINDONNEL:

I

A IF SHE HAD BEEN ON A MONITOR

CONTINUOUSLY WITH THEM PAYING AVERAGE AMOUNT OF

ATTENTION TO IT, 12 HOURS LATER OR THEREABOUTS, IT

PROBABLY WOULD HAVE BEEN PICKED UP, PROBABLY.

WHAT ARE YOU SUPPOSED TO DO TO RESPOND
WHEN YOU'RE A NURSE OR A DOCTOR AND YOU'RE MONITORING
A PATIENT IN THE HOSPITAL AND THERE ARE SIGNS OF
FETAL DISTRESS WHICH ARE PORTENDING AN ABRUPTION,
WHAT DO YOU DO? IN OTHER WORDS, WHAT DO YOU DO TO
RESPOND TO THAT?

A IN SOMEBODY WHO IS TERM LIKE: THIS

PATIENT, YOU MAKE PREPARATIONS TO GET THEM DELIVERED

BY THE MOST EXPEDITIOUS WAY.

A HAD ERICKA BEEN IN THE HOSPITAL AND
BEEN MONITORED AND THIS ABRUPTION DETECTED AND
ADEQUATE AND PROPER RESPONSE MADE, THAT IS MAKE
PREPARATION FOR DELIVERY, DO YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO
BELIEVE THIS CHILD WOULD NOT HAVE SURVIVED?

A A LOT OF NEGATIVES. I THINK THE CHILD WOULD HAVE SURVIVED IF THEY HAD KEPT HER IN THE HOSPITAL. UNFORTUNATELY, THERE'S NO WAY OF KNOWING THAT HE WOULD HAVE.

O LET'S GO BACK TO AN EARLIER POINT IN

TIME- AS I RECALL YOUR TESTIMONY, YOU THINK THAT SHE 1 CAME TO THE HOSPITAL, IT'S YOUR OPINION THAT SHE CAME 2 3 IN THE HOSPITAL BECAUSE OF AN EPISODE OF FALSE LABOR. A IT'S MY OPINION THAT'S WHAT SHE WOULD HAVE GOING ON. SHE FELT UNCOMFORTABLE. YOU'RE 5 6 TALKING ABOUT THE 7TH? 7 Q YES. 8 Α YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT THE 8TH A 9 MINUTE AGO. a the 7TH, the first time she came to 10 THE HOSPITAL. YOU'VE REVIEWED THE FETAL MONITOR 11 STRIPS I TAKE IT. 12 13 Α YES. 14 Q DO YOU FIND EVIDENCE OF BRADYCARDIA IN 15 THE FETAL MONITOR STRIPS? VERY BRIEFLY WHEN SHE GOT THERE IT WAS 16 Α 90 TO 110. 17 18 AND FOR WHAT PERIOD OF TIME WAS THAT? 19 A I DON'T HAVE IT MEMORIZED. I CAN LOOK 20 AT IT. 21 Q MY NOTATIONS REFLECT FROM 2150 TO 2300 HOURS. THAT WOULD BE FROM 9:50 TO 11 P.M. 22 23 A LET ME LOOK AT IT. O OKAY. 24

1 A 9:50 THE KECORDING OF THE HEARTBEAT
2 ISN'T REALLY RECORDED. START GETTING SOME HEARTBEAT
3 RECORDING AT 2210.

Q I'M SORRY.

2.2

A GOT SOME HEARTBEAT RECORDING THAT'S

INTERPRETABLE BEGINNING AT 2210 RANGING BETWEEN 110

AND 120 THERE. THERE'S A BETTER TRACING PICKED UP, A

FAIRLY CONTINUOUS TRACING BY 2220 AND IT'S

FLUCTUATING AROUND 120. IT'S A NORMAL HEART RATE.

SO I WOULD TAKE ISSUE WITH YOUR DESCRIPTION OF

BRADYCARDIA FROM 9:50 TO 11:00.

Q SINCE YOU'RE THE EXPERT AND YOU GOT

THE STRIPS IN FRONT OF YOU, WHY DON'T YOU JUST

IDENTIFY FOR ME WHERE YOU SEE ANY AREAS OF CONCERN IN

THE FETAL MONITOR STRIP, WHETHER IT'S BRADYCARDIA OR

ANYTHING ELSE.

TRACING. THERE ISN'T ANY AREA WHERE THE HEARTBEAT IS SO MUCH SLOWER THAN NORMAL. BASELINE GOT AROUND 110; BETWEEN 100 AND 120, AND THAT RANGES FROM ABOUT 10:25 TO ABOUT 10:50. WITHIN THAT AREA THERE IS A PERIOD WHERE IT MIGHT BE ABOUT BETWEEN 90 AND 100. THAT LASTS ABOUT FIVE OR SIX MINUTES. THAT'S AT 2240.

AFTER SHE'S HYDRATED AND AFTER SHE'S HAD BED REST AND

1	AFTER SHE CALMS DOWN A LITTLE BIT, THE HEARTBEAT
2	GRADUALLY THE BASELINE GRADUALLY RISES. SO IT'S
3	120 AT 10:30, IT'S REASSURING.
4	Q LET ME STOP YOU THERE. I'M GOING TO
5	REWRITE WHAT YOU SAID AND YOU CORRECT ME IF I'M
6	WRONG. BETWEEN 10:25 AND 10:50 IS THE ONLY PLACE ON
7	THE STRIP YOU FIND ANYTHING OF ANY CONCERN.
8	A RIGBT.
9	Q AND THE CONCERN THAT YOU SEE THERE IS
10	THE FETAL HEART RATE DECREASED FROM 90 TO 110.
11	A NO. THE ONLY PERIOD WHERE THE HEART
12	RATE IS AT ALL EXCEPTIONAL IS THAT 25 MINUTES I
13	DESCRIBED.
14	Q ALL RIGHT,
15	A WITHIN THAT TXERE IS A BRIEF FEW
16	MINUTE SEGMENT, WHAT I WOULD GUESS PROBABLY THREE OR
17	FOUR MINUTES, AROUND 2240, WHERE THE HEARTBEAT IS
18	AROUND 100, FLUCTUATING BETWEEN 90 AND 100. MAYBE
19	FIVE MINUTES. THEN IT'S BACK TO 110 AGAIN. IT'S A
20	REASSURING TRACING.
21	Q BUT FOR THE REMAINDER OF THAT
22	25-MINUTE PERIOD OF TIME, IT'S FLUCTUATING BETWEEN
23	100 AND 110?

A LIKE SIX MINUTES IT'S 90 TO 100. FROM

1	THEN ON IT'S 110 TO 11:00, THEN IT GRADUALLY RISES
2	AFTER THAT.
3	Q AT 10:25 WHAT IS THE FETAL HEART RATE?
4	A I GUESS, WITHOUT KNOWING WHERE 10:25
5	IS, I WOULD GUESS 110 MAYBE. IF YOU PICK YOU HAVE
6	TO STAY AWAY FROM PICKING AN ISOLATED MOMENT AND
7	SAYING THIS IS WHAT THE HEART RATE IS. BECAUSE WHAT
8	YOU'RE INTERESTED IN IS PATTERNS AND TRENDS. IT'S
9	110, MAYBE A LITTLE MORE, AROUND 10:25.
10	Q DURING THIS PERIOD OF TIME OF 10:25 TO
11	11:00 THAT YOU'VE BEEN DISCUSSING, TO WHAT DO YOU
12	ATTRIBUTE THE CHANGES OR THE DECREASED FETAL HEART
13	RATE, WHAT'S CAUSING THAT?
14	A I'M SURE IT'S MEDIATED THROUGH THE
15	FETUS'S VAGAL NERVE. WHY IT'S OCCURRING, IT'S NOT
16	CLEAR. BABY COULD BE SLEEPING. THE THEORY THEY
17	HAVE, SHE COULD BE A LITTLE DEHYDRATED BECAUSE SHE
18	GAVE A HISTORY OF LITTLE OR NO FOOD INTAKE THAT DAY.
19	Q IS THAT WHY THEY HYDRATED HER?
20	A YES. THEY HYDRATED HER BECAUSE OF
21	WHAT SHE SAID.
22	Q WHAT DOES THE HYDRATION DO IN TERMS OF
23	CAUSING A CHANGE IN THE FETAL HEART RATE?
24	A I DON'T KNOW.

1	Q YOU DON'T KNOW WHY THEY DO IT?
2	A I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT DOES. I THINK I
3	AGREE WITH SCHWARTZ TO SOME EXTENT THAT SHE
4	VOLUNTARILY WAS MAKING HERSELF NPO, MAKING HERSELF
5	DEHYDRATED IN EFFECT. IT'S SOMETHING WE DO WITH
6	PEOPLE WHO COME IN AND SAY, I FEEL CRUMMY TODAY, I
7	HAVEN'T ATE OR DRUNK, YOU GIVE THEM FLUID. GO TO THE
8	E.R. FOR FLUID. GIVE THEM A COUPLE UNITS OF FLUID IF
9	THEY SAY SOMETHING ABOUT BEING DRY. IT'S
10	EMPIRICALLY.
11	a when my wife was pregnant and she
12	STARTED HAVING SOME PREMATURE CONTRACTIONS OH
13	SOMETHING, STEMPEL BOSPITALIZED HER AND GAVE HER
14	FLUIDS.
15	A DIFFERENT GAME. THAT'S KIND OF
16	SHUTDOWN TOO. WE ALL DO THAT, HYDRATE THE PREMATURE
17	LABOR PATIENT. THE THEORY IS PERHAPS SOME
18	DEHYDRATION CAUSES CONTRACTIONS. WE ALL, DO 1T.
19	Q SAME THING WITH RESPECT TO DECREASED
20	FETAL HEART RATE, THAT'S SOMETHING PEOPLE TYPICALLY
2 1	DO BUT NOT REALLY SURE IT HAS ANY EFFECT ON IT?
22	A I'M NOT SO SURE ABOUT THAT. I IMAGINE
23	SHE GOT THE FLUID BECAUSE SHE HAD SAID SHE HADN'T HAD
24	ANYTHING TO EAT OR DRINK THAT DAY. SHE WAS

1	UNCOMFORTABLE. THE POINT YOU GOT TO UNDERSTAND IS
2	THIS HEART RATE IS NOT THAT UNUSUAL FOR A NORMAL
3	PATIENT WHO HAS A NORMAL OUTCOME.
4	Q I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT, THEY'RE
5	GIVING HER THE FLUID BECAUSE OF THE DECREASED FETAL
6	HEART RATE?
7	A I DON'T KNOW THAT. I'M NOT SAYING
8	THAT. I'M NOT SURE WHY. I THINK I'M PRETTY CLEAR IN
9	MY ANSWERS. I'M NOT SURE THE TWO ARE RELATED. YOU
10	WOULD HAVE TO ASK BILLING THAT. I HAVE SEEN PEOPLE
11	DO THAT, GIVE FLUID FOR DECREASED HEART RATE.
12	CERTAINLY WHEN YOU HAVE WHAT YOU THINK IS A FETAL
13	DISTRESS SITUATION IN THE HEAT OF LABOR, ONE OF TRE
14	FIRST THINGS YOU DO IS OPEN UP THE FLUID, THE I.V.
15	LINE. MAYBE THIS IS SORT OF THE CUSTOM THAT'S
16	EVOLVED OVER THE YEARS BECAUSE OF THAT. I DON'T
17	THINK THIS BABY'S IN FETAL DISTRESS AT THIS TIME.
18	Q EKICKA HAD A 1,500 CC RETHOPLACENTAL
19	CLOT?
20	A CORRECT.
21	Q HOW DO YOU CHARACTERIZE THAT
22	QUANTITATIVELY? IS THAT A LARGE CLOT?
23	A YEAH. THAT'S THE VOLUME OF THREE
24	PINTS OF BLOOD. TWO PINTS IN A QUART, THAT'S A QUART

1	AND A HALF OF BLOOD.
2	Q DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION AS TO HOW LONG
3	THAT BLEEDING WAS OCCURRING TO ACCUMULATE A
4	RETROPLACENTAL CLOT OF THAT MAGNITUDE?
5	A BLOOD FLOW TO THE PLACENTA IS 650 CC'S
6	A MINUTE AT TERM. SO IT DOESN'T TAKE LONG. IT COULD
7	HAPPEN IN A FEW MINUTES.
8	Q LET'S TALK ABOUT THE CLOT FOR A
9	MINUTE. CLOTTING, IF I'M UNDERSTANDING THE USE OF
10	THE TERM HERE, THE BLOOD JUST COAGULATED?
11	A THEY SAY CLOT- I THINK YOU PROBABLY
12	NAD CLOT AND BLOODY FLUID, THAT IS PART OF THE BLOOD
13	THAT WAS LEFT OVER FROM THE CLOT ALTOGETHER IN THERE.
14	Q WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT A CONCEALED, A
15	CONTAINED SAC OF BLOOD, ARE WE?
16	A NO. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BLOOD THAT IS
17	IN BETWEEN THE PLACENTA AND THE WALL OF THE UTERUS.
18	a being neld there mechanically?
19	A BY THE PLACENTA, WHICH IS PROBABLY .
20	ATTACKED AT THE EDGES. KIND OF VIEW THE PLACENTA
21	WITH THE REMAINING ATTACHED AT THE EDGES TO SOME
22	EXTENT AND PUCKERING OUT FROM BEHIND WITH A BLOOD
23	CLOT.

Q ARE YOU OF THE OPINION THAT ALL OF THE

1	BLEEDING THAT WAS OCCURRING FROM THIS ABRUPTION WAS
2	BEING CONTAINED IN THERE OR ARE YOU OF THE OPINION
3	SOME OF THE BLEEDING WAS COMING OUT FROM THAT CLOT
4	AREA?
5	A I DON'T THINK THERE WAS ALL THAT MUCH
6	BLEEDING COMING OUT, DESPITE THE TESTIMONY BY
7	ERICKA'S MOTHER, BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T COMPLAIN OF
8	VAGINAL BLEEDING, THEY COMPLAINED OF BLOODY
9	DISCHARGE. so i think the bulk of it, the overlaying
10	MAJORITY OF IT WAS CONTAINED.
11	Q FROM A PHYSIOLOGICAL STANDPOINT, YOU
12	DON'T HAVE ANY TROUBLE ACCEPTING THE CONCEPT THAT SHE
13	HAD A 1,500 CC RETROPLACENTAL CLOT, THE VAST MAJORITY
14	OF WHICH WAS CONTAINED THERE?
15	A THAT'S CORRECT, I DO NOT.
16	Q IS THERE ANYTHING TO PREVENT BLEEDING
17	FROM COMING OUT OF THAT CLOT AREA?
18	A CHANCE. IF THE PLACENTA REMAINS
19	ATTACHED AT ITS CIRCUMFERENCE AND IS SEPARATED IN THE
20	CENTER, THEN THE CLOT'S ALL CONTAINED. IF IT'S
21	SEPARATED IN A LITTLE AREA , I'M TRYING TO MAKE ${f A}$
22	CIRCLE OUT OF MY HANDS, A LITTLE WILL GET OUT. IF IT
23	MANAGES TO DISSECT ITS WAY OUT, IT MIGHT COME ALL THE
24	WAY OUT TO THE OUTSIDE.

1	Q WHAT DO YOU THINK HAPPENED IN THIS
2	CASE?
3	A I THINK MOST OF IT WAS CONTAINED.
4	Q SO THERE WAS SOME DEGREE OF SEPARATION
5	WHERE THE BLEEDING CANE OUT?
6	A I DON'T KNOW. BECAUSE WHEN SHE
7	ARRIVED, SHE WAS NINE CENTIMETERS DILATED. SO SHE
a	HAD BEEN LABORING FOR A WHILE. THAT WILL CAUSE SOME
9	BLEEDING AND BLOODY DISCXARGE TOO. IT WILL CAUSE
10	WHAT THEY DESCRIBED.
11	WHEN THE OUTCOME WAS UNKNOWN, ERICKA
12	AND THE FAMILY DID NOT DESCRIBE VAGINAL BLEEDING,
13	THEY DESCRIBED VAGINAL BLEEDING DISCHARGE. SO I
14	DON'T THINK A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF BLOOD CAME OUT-
15	NOT ENOUGH FOR MOM MOM WAS WITH HER. THE KID
16	KNOWS BLOOD FROM BLOODY MUCUS BECAUSE SHE HAD A
17	PERIOD EVERY MONTH. THAT'S WHY THEY ALL KNOW,
18	THAT'S WHY THEY USE THOSE: TERMS, BY THE WAY. SO I
19	DON'T THINK ANY GREAT DEAL OF BLOOD CAME OUT.
20	Q YOU DON'T FIND ANYTHING DIFFICULT TO
21	ACCEPT IN THAT HYPOTHESIS?
22	A AS FAR AS SHE HAD ALL THIS BLOOD AND
23	IT WAS CONTAINED?
24	Q RIGHT.

1	A OR MOST OF IT WAS CONTAINED. I DO
2	NOT.
3	Q DO YOU SUBSCRIBE TO THE SCHOOL OF
4	THOUGHT THAT NURSING PERSONNEL WRITE THINGS DOWN
5	ACCURATELY ALL THE TIME?
6	MR. SCHUMACHER: OBJECTION. IT'S AN
7	OPEN-ENDED QUESTION,
8	A WE COULD BAT THAT AROUND FOR A WHILE,
9	I MEAN, YEAH, THEY DO A GOOD JOB. SOME ARE BETTER
10	THAN OTHERS. SOME DO A BETTER JOB SOME DAYS THAN
11	OTHERS, YEAH.
12	Q BUT YOU DO RECOGNIZE THAT WHAT GETS
13	WRITTEN DOWN IS NOT ALWAYS A COMPLETELY ACCURATE
14	ASSESSMENT OF WHAT'S GOING ON?
15	A 1 THINK THAT'S A MISLEADING QUESTION.
16	IT REQUIRE A MISLEADING ANSWER FROM ME THAT I DON'T
17	REALLY BELIEVE. I BELIEVE BY AND LARGE MEDICAL
18	PROFESSIONALS DO A GOOD JOB AND CERTAINLY A
19	CONSCIENTIOUS JOB WRITING DOWN WHAT THEY SEE, AND
20	ALSO PATIENTS RELAY HISTORY THE BEST THEY CAN. WE
21	SIT DOWN AND PUT THEM UNDER A MICROSCOPE LATER, WE
22	FIND OUT THINGS THAT AREN'T 100 PERCENT RIGHT.
23	Q CAN YOU READ MY QUESTION BACK?
24	AA4

1	THE QUESTION WAS READ BY THE REPORTER.
2	
3	BY MR. LEESEBERG:
4	Q IF' YOU WILL BEAR WITH ME AND TRY TO BE
5	A LITTLE MORE RESPONSIVE TO MY QUESTION, I WOULD
6	APPRECIATE IT. WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT IS YOU DO
7	CONCEDE, DO YOU 'NOT, THAT TRY AS THEY MIGHT MEDICAL
8	PROFESSIONALS, WHETHER THEY BE NURSES OR DOCTORS,
9	LIKE OTHER PEOPLE IN ALL OTHER WALKS OF LIFE, ARE NOT
10	PERFECT AND SOMETIMES THEIR ASSESSMENT OR THEIR
11	RECORDATION OF WHAT IS OCCURRING IS NOT NECESSARILY
12	ACCURATE?
13	MR. SCBUMACHER: OBJECTION.
L4	ANSWER IF YOU CAN.
L5	A YES, I WILL AGREE WITH THAT. NONE OF
L 6	US IS PERFECT ALL THE TIME. I SELDOM SAY NEVER OR
L7	ALWAYS, TRUTHFULLY.
. 8	BY MH. LEESEBERG:
L9	Q I'M SURE YOU, YOURSELF, HAVE
20	EXPERIENCED SITUATIONS WHERE WHAT A NURSE HAS WRITTEN
21	DOWN IN YOUR ESTIMATION WAS NOT AN ACCURATE
22	REFLECTION OF WHAT THE TRUE SITUATION WAS OR

A FROM TIME TO TIME, I THINK THAT'S

23

24

ACCURATE.

1	TRUE.
2	Q I THINK I ASKED YOU AT THE OUTSET OF
3	THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING WHAT THE CAUSE OF THE
4	BRADYCARDIA WAS AND I DON'T REMEMBER WHAT YOUR ANSWER
5	WAS.
6	A I SAID I DIDN'T KNOW.
7	Q DO YOU HOLD THE UNDERSTANDING OR THE
8	OPINION THAT ERICKA HAD ABDOMINAL PAIN WHEN SHE
9	PRESENTED TO THE HOSPITAL ON 4-7?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND WHAT IN YOUR OPINION WAS THE CAUSE
12	OF HER PAIN?
13	A I THINK SHE WAS HAVING SOME
14	CONTRACTIONS APPARENTLY AND THEY STOPPED.
15	Q DOES PAIN WITH CONTRACTIONS COME AND
16	GO WITK THE CONTRACTIONS?
17	A USUALLY DOES, YES.
18	Q DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING AS TO
19	WHETHER HER PAIN WAS INTERMITTENT OR WHETHER IT WAS
20	CONSTANT?
21	A I DON'T HAVE A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING HOW
22	SHE I HAVE NOT SEEN HER DEPOSITION.
23	Q DO YOU HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING HOW THE
24	RECORDS DESCRIBE IT?

1	A I	LET ME LOOK AT IT.
2	Q	WITHOUT LOOKING AT THE RECORDS FIRST,
3	DO	
4	Α	IT'S NOT A MEMORY GAME, GERRY.
5	Q 1	NO, IT'S NOT.
6	M	MR. SCHUMACHER: OBJECTION.
7	F	FEEL FREE TO LOOK AT THE RECORDS.
a	A 3	I AM GOING TO LOOK AT THE RECORD.
9	Q P	ARE YOU SAYING YOU DON'T RECALL
10	WITHOUT LOOKING	AT THE RECORDS?
11	A 3	I'M NOT SAYING ANYTHING UNTIL I LOOK
12	AT THE RECORD.	SHE HAD INTERMITTENT PAIN. THAT'S
13	WHAT T THOUGHT.	CONTRACTUAL PAIN. THAT'S 4-9. WAIT
14		RE DESCRIBING IN THE BEGINNING
14 15	A MINUTE. THEY'	RE DESCRIBING IN THE BEGINNING GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING
	A MINUTE. THEY'	
15	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG	
15 16	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE.	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING
15 16 17	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE. M BY THIS DATE, WE	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING AR. SCHUMACHER: SO YOU'RE NOT MISLED
15 16 17 18	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE. M BY THIS DATE, WE THAT DATE OF 4-9	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING AR. SCHUMACHER: SO YOU'RE NOT MISLED HICH WE'VE ALL SORT OF FLIRTED WITH,
15 16 17 18	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE. M BY THIS DATE, WH THAT DATE OF 4-9 THAT WAS WRITTEN	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING AR. SCHUMACHER: SO YOU'RE NOT MISLED HICH WE'VE ALL SORT OF FLIRTED WITH, WE THINK IS INCORRECT. WE THINK
15 16 17 18 19	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE. M BY THIS DATE, WE THAT DATE OF 4-9 THAT WAS WRITTEN CONTEMPORANEOUS	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING AR. SCHUMACHER: SO YOU'RE NOT MISLED HICH WE'VE ALL SORT OF FLIRTED WITH, WE THINK IS INCORRECT. WE THINK N 4-8 AND MISDATED. IT'S
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A MINUTE. THEY' CONTRACTIONS BEG NOTE. M BY THIS DATE, WE THAT DATE OF 4-9 THAT WAS WRITTEN CONTEMPORANEOUS A S	GINNING AT 7:30 P.M. ON THE NURSING AR. SCHUMACHER: SO YOU'RE NOT MISLED HICH WE'VE ALL SORT OF FLIRTED WITH, WE THINK IS INCORRECT. WE THINK N 4-8 AND MISDATED. IT'S WITH WHAT'S GOING ON.

1	MR. SCHUMACHER: RIGHT.
2	A WERE THOSE PAINS INTERMITTENT OR NOT,
3	THERE'S NO DETAIL. I CAN'T FIND ANY DETAIL WHETHER
4	IT'S INTERMITTENT OR NOT. HER COMPLAINT IS NOT
5	QUOTED BUT DESCRIBED AS COMPLAINING OF LOWER
6	ABDOMINAL PAIN.
7	Q DO YOU HOLD AN OPINION AS TO WHAT THE
8	CAUSE OF HER LOWER ABDOMINAL PAIN WAS? I THINK I
9	ASKED AND YOU SAID CONTRACTIONS.
10	A CONTRACTIONS. LATE PREGNANCY
11	EVERYBODY HAS ROUND LIGAMENT PAIN, LOWER ABDOMINAL
12	DISCOMFORT. AS YOU SAID EARLIER, WE HAVE A 16 YEAR
13	OLD, ENORMOUS ANXIETY FACTOR NEAR TERM IN TEENAGERS.
1 4	SO IT'S HARD TO TELL.
15	Q YOU'VE INDICATED THAT I BELIEVE HER
16	COMPLAINT OF VAGINAL BLEEDING WAS IN FACT A BLOODY
17	DISCHARGE.
18	A HER COMPLAINT, I'M SAYING THAT SHE
19	SAXD THAT?
20	Q NO. THAT WAS A BAD QUESTION. THE
2 1	OTHER PRESENTING SYMPTOM OR THE OTHER PRESENTING
22	COMPLAINT WAS OF SOME BLEEDING.
23	A LATER ON. NEXT DAY WHEN SHE CAME
24	BACK.

1.	Q I'M TALKING THE FIRST NIGHT.
2	A THE FIKST NIGHT, YOU'RE GOING BACK AND
3	FORTH. THE FIRST COMPLAINT WAS BLEEDING, THEN YOU
4	ARE ASKING ME IF SHE COMPLAINED OF BLEEDING WHEN SHE
5	CAME IN?
6	Q YES.
7	A NO, I DON'T THINK SHE DID. I THOUGHT
а	DECREASED FETAL MOVEMENT. THAT WAS THE NEXT NIGHT,
9	4-8, SHE WENT TO THE CLINIC.
10	Q I'M TALKING ABOUT THE FIRST TIME SHE
11	COME IN THE E.R.
12	A 4-7 IN THE EVENING.
13	Q YOU DESCRIBED IT AS BLOODY DISCHARGE.
14	A THAT'S THE WAY IT WAS NOTED. THAT WAS
15	HOW THAT WAS NOTED BY THE MEDICAL PEOPLE THERE.
16	Q DO YOU SEE ANY RECORDATION OF HER
17	COMPLAINT CONCERNING BLEEDING AS OPPOSED TO WHAT THEY
18	FOUND ON EXAMINATION?
19	A NO. SHE COMPLAINED OF INTERMITTENT
20	ABDOMINAL PAIN, LIGHT CONTRACTIONS FOR A COUPLE
21	HOURS, DENIED BLEEDING OR SPONTANEOUS RUPTURE OF
22	MEMBRANES. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 4-7; RIGHT?
23	Q SO THE RECORDING SAYS THE PATIENT
2 4	DENIED ANY BLEEDING?

1	A RIGHT,
2	Q DID YOU FIND THAT HER ABDOMEN WAS
3	TIGHT AND FIRM ON APRIL 7TH?
4	A ONE OF THE PEOPLE WHO ASSESSED HER
5	DESCRIBED IT AS TIGHT AND HAVING A LARGE BABY.
6	BILLING FELT IT WAS NOT. IT WAS INDENTABLE, NOT
7	TIGHT. PEOPLE WHO HAVE A LARGE BABY WILL HAVE A
8	TENSE ABDOMEN, IT WOULD BE EASILY ELICITED BY
9	MEDICAL PERSONNEL.
10	Q DID SHE HAVE A BIG BABY?
11	A SEVEN-AND-A-HALF POUNDS OR SOMETHING
12	LIKE THAT. I DON'T KNOW HOW TALL SHE IS. SHE
13	STARTED OUT WEIGHING 150, BUT I DON'T KNOW HOW TALL
14	SHE IS.
15	Q YOU TELL ME, IS THAT A BIG BABY FOR
16	HER?
17	A SHE IS 5 FOOT 1, YES.
18	Q SO ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU WOULD
19	CONCLUDE FROM THESE RECORDS THAT HER ABDOMEN WAS
20	TIGHT AND FIRM?
21	A NO, I DIDN'T SAY THAT. I SAID THAT
22	SOMEBODY WHO HAS A FAIRLY LARGE BABY, WHICH FOR A 5
23	FOOT 1 INCH TALL WOMAN SEVEN-AND-A-HALF POUNDS OR
24	WHATEVER THE BABY WAS IS ENOUGH TO MAKE HER ABDOMEN

1	FEEL TENSE. AND A MEDICAL PERSON, NOT A MEDICAL
2	EXAMINER, A MEDICAL PERSON HAS GOT TO TAKE THAT IN
3	CONSIDERATION WHEN DECIDING WHETHER OH NOT THE BELLY
4	IS TENSE.
5	Q WHAT' I'M TRYING TO GET AT IS YOUR
6	WORKING ASSUMPTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT ERICKA HAD A
7	TIGHT AND FIRM ABDOMEN ON THE EVENING OF APRIL 7TH.
8	DO YOU HAVE AN ASSUMPTION ONE WAY OR THE OTHER?
9	A I THINK SHE DIDN'T BECAUSE BILLING
10	DIDN'T FEEL IT WAS.
11	Q DID NOT?
12	A DID NOT.
13	Q SO IF THE NURSE IN FACT ASSESSED HER
14	AS BEING A TIGHT AND FIRM ABDOMEN, WHAT YOU ARE
15	SAYING IS HER ASSESSMENT IS INCORRECT?
16	A THAT'S A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION. AS
17	YOU SAID, THERE'S DIFFERENCES OF OPINION. YOU DIDN'T
18	SAY THAT; BUT, YES, LNCORKECT. THAT'S A GOOD
19	QUESTION, PHRASED THAT WAY. DIFFERENCE OF OPINION IS
20	A DIFFERENCE OF OPINION. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S
2 1	INCORRECT. MAYBE SHE FELT IT DURING CONTRACTIONS OR
22	SOMETHING.
23	Q DID YOU CONCLUDE FROM REVIEWING HER
24	RECORDS THAT SHE HAD INCREASED UTERINE RESTING TONE?

1	A NO. I DON'T THINK YOU CAN CONCLUDE
2	MUCH OF ANYTHING FROM THAT PHRASING. IT'S ALL OVER
3	THE CHART. I MEAN IT'S ALL OVER THE BOTTOM OF THE
4	FETAL HEART RATE CHART. YOU CAN'T CONCLUDE ANYTHING
5	ABOUT TONE-
6	Q SO YOU DON'T SEE ANY EVIDENCE OF
7	INCREASED UTERINE RESTING TONE?
8	A I DON'T THINK YOU CAN CONCLUDE
9	ANYTHING ABOUT IT. AND I DON'T, TO ANSWER YOUR
10	QUESTION ALSO.
11	Q WHAT ABOUT UTERINE TETANY?
12	A NO.
13	Q NO EVIDENCE OF THAT?
14	A UTERINE TETANY IS A BEDSIDE DIAGNOSIS
15	BY PUSHING WITH YOUR HANDS. EXTERNAL MONITORING
16	STUFF IS NOT VERY GOOD AT PICKING THAT UP.
17	Q HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE TO MAKE THAT
18	CLINICAL JUDGMENT?
19	A TETANY?
20	Q YES.
21	A SEVERAL MINUTES. UNLESS YOU GO IN
22	THERE AND STAND AND HOLD IT FOR 60 SECONDS, MAYBE ONE
23	MINUTE, MAKE SURE YOU'RE NOT FEELING A CONTRACTION
24	PEAK.

1	Q YOU DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING WHICH WAS
2	DESCRIPTIVE OF OR SUGGESTIVE OF UTERINE TETANY IN
3	THIS PATIENT?
4	A THAT'S CORRECT. EXCEPT SCHWARTZ'S
5	DEPOSITION.
6	Q WHY WAS THE PATIENT INJECTED WITH
7	VISTARIL?
8	A BECAUSE SHE PROBABLY APPEARED ANXIOUS
9	TO THEM. IT'S A MINOR TRANQUILIZER. WHICH WOULD NOT
0 1	BE UNLIKELY WITH A 16 YEAR OLD NXAR TERM. THEY'RE
11	TERRIFIED, ESPECIALLY WITH THIS BACKGROUND.
12	Q HOW ABOUT A 41-YEAR-OLD WHITE MALE,
13	WOULD HE BE TERRIFIED TOO?
14	A DO YOU WANT THE VISTARIL?
15	Q ANY TIME I GO NEAR A DELIVERY ROOM.
16	WHY WAS THE PATIENT HAVING A BLOODY DISCHARGE?
17	A PROBABLY BAD SOME CONTRACTIONS.
18	BLOODY SHOW. GOING TO START LABOR IN A FEW DAYS.
19	Q DID YOU FIND ANY EVIDENCE THAT HER .
20	BLEEDING WAS INCREASED FOLLOWING EXAMINATIONS?
2 1	A I THINK THAT WAS MENTIONED. I THINK
22	THE EDINGTONS OR MRS. EDLNGTON FELT THERE WAS MORE
23	BLOOD AFTER THE EXAM. THERE WAS A NOTE MADE THAT
24	Q HOW ARE YOU GETTING THAT FROM MRS.

1	EDINGTON?
2	A DEPOSITION, I READ FIVE PAGES.
3	Q I THOUGHT THAT WAS ERICKA'S
4	DEPOSITION,
5	A NO.
6	Q IT WAS THE MOTHER?
7	A I DIDN'T READ ERICKA'S.
8	Q SO IT WAS MOM'S DEPOSITION?
9	A YES. I THINK SO, SOMEWHERE IN THE
10	MIDDLE OF THAT.
11	Q APART FROM WHAT MOM SAID, DID YOU SEE
12	ANY EVIDENCE THAT ERICKA'S BLEEDING INCREASED
13	FOLLOWING VAGINAL EXAMINATSON IN THE RECORD?
14	A I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT IT. YES.
15	PATIENT HAD INCREASED BLOODY SHOW AFTER EXAMS.
16	THAT'S THE NOTE WRITTEN ON WE DON'T KNOW WHEN, I
17	GUESS.
18	MR. SCHUMACHER: 8'TH/9TH.
19	A 8TH.
20	Q LET'S LOOK AT THE RECORD MADE ON APRIL
21	7TH ITSELF. DO YOU SEE ANY RECORDATION THEN OF
22	INCREASE IN BLEEDING AFTER EXAMINATION?
23	A BASICALLY THE HEART OF THAT 4-7 IS
24	THIS ONE PAGE, UNLESS I MISSED A PAGE.

1	Q IS THAT SOMETHING WHICH IS NOT
2	UNEXPECTED FOR A PATIENT TO WAVE INCREASED BLEEDING
3	FOLLOWING AN EXAMINATION?
4	A IT'S NOT UNEXPECTED TO HAVE. WE TELL
5	EVERY PATIENT THAT'S GOING TO BE EXAMINED YOU MIGHT
6	BLEED AFTER THAT.
7	Q WHAT IS THE NATURE AND EXTENT OF THE
0	BLEEDING WHICH YOU WOULD EXPECT TO FIND?
9	A BRIGHT RED BLOOD. ENOUGH TO WEAR A
10	PAD, MAYBE CHANGE PADS ONCE. USUALLY SUBSIDES.
11	Q OVER HOW LONG A PERIOD OF TIME?
12	A A FEW HOURS. USUALLY CALL AT 10:00 AT
13	NIGHT, I'M READY TO GO TO BED, AND IT'S 10 OR 12
14	HOURS AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN EXAMINED.
15	Q BUT THE BLEEDING YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT
16	IN TERMS OF AMOUNT WOULD FILL A PAD OR TWO?
17	A YEAH.
18	Q DO YOU HAVE: AN UNDERSTANDING AS TO
19	WHETHER OR NOT ULTRASOUND WAS AVAILABLE ON 4-7, IF IT
20	WAS DETERMINED THAT IT HAS NEEDED?
21	A YES, I ASSUME IT WAS. IT WAS
22	AVAILABLE ON 4-8 WHEN THEY CALLED THE GUY IN FROM
23	HOME.
24	O DOES HYDRATING A PATIENT WITH AN

1	IMPENDING OH EXISTING AURUPTION TEND TO MASK SOME OF
2	THE EFFECTS OF AN ABRUPTION?
3	A I DON'T SEE HOW. I DON'T THINK SO.
4	THE EFFECTS OF THE ABRUPTION ARE ON THE FETUS, THAT'S
5	WHERE THE ACTION IS. SECONDARILY THEY ARE ON THE
6	MOTHER IF IT'S A BAD ENOUGH ABRUPTION. THE ACTION IS
7	ON TXE PETAL MONITOR TRACING.
a	Q so it's your understanding giving A
9	MOTHER FLUIDS IS NOT GOING TO IN ANY WAY AFFECT THE
10	MANIFESTATIONS OF AN ABRUPTION?
11	A NOT GOING TO INTERFERE WITH THE
12	DIAGNOSIS.
13	Q IT'S NOT
14	A IT'S NOT GOING TO INTERFERE WITH BEING
15	ABLE TO DIAGNOSE IT, I DON'T THINK SO. THIS LADY WAS
16	WATCHED FOR THREE HOURS.
17	Q I'M SORRY?
18	A THIS LADY WAS WATCHED FOR THREE HOURS.
19	THAT'S ADEQUATE TIME TO DIAGNOSE IT. AND A LITER OF'
20	FLUID IS NOT GOING TO MAKE A DIFFERENCE AT ALL. I
21	THINK SHE ONLY GOT ONE LITER. I DON'T THINK SHE GOT
22	TWO, DID SHE?
23	Q ARE THERE SLOW ABRUPTIONS,
24	SLOW-DEVELOPING ABRUPTIONS?

1	A YES.
2	${f Q}$ IN ${f A}$ SLOW-DEVELOPING ABRUPTION, IF I
3	UNDERSTAND, THAT RESULTS IN A DECREASE BLOOD FLOW TO
4	THE CHILD.
5	A RIGHT. CAN.
6	Q AND TO THE EXTENT THERE'S DECREASED
7	BLOOD FLOW, THAT'S CONCOMITANT WITH DECREASE IN
8	OXYGENATION?
9	A CAN BE, SURE.
10	Q IS THAT WHAT PRODUCES FETAL DISTRESS?
11	A YES.
12	Q FETAL DISTRESS DUE TO DECREASED
13	OXYGENATION CAN RESULT IN A BRADYCARDIA?
14	A YES. USUALLY NOT AT FIRST. USUALLY
15	RESULTS IN LATE DECELERATION-TYPE PATTERNS OR
16	TACHYCARDIA AND BRADYCARDIA IS AN END-STAGE EVENT.
17	SO THIS LADY DIDN'T REALLY HAVE A BRADYCARDIA THAT
18	WAS THREATENING. THAT'S THE POINT I TRIED TO MAKE
19	EARLIER.
20	Q YOU REFERRED EARLIER TO A SILENT
21	ABRUPTION. THERE ARE ABRUPTIONS THAT ARE DIFFICULT
22	TO DIAGNOSE FROM A CLINICAL STANDPOINT?
23	A CAN BE, YES.
24	Q AND BY THAT DO YOU MEAN THAT A PATIENT

1	MAY APPEAR AT THE EMERGENCY ROOM WITH WHAT APPEARED
2	TO BE NORMAL COMPLAINTS OR SYMPTOMS OF A TERM
3	PREGNANCY WHICH NEVERTHELESS MAY BE ASSOCIATED WITH
4	AN IMPENDING OR DEVELOPING ABRUPTION?
5	A YES, OR EVEN A PRETERM PREGNANCY. I
6	THINK SOME CASES OF PRETERM LABOR ARE IN FACT DEGREES
7	OF ABRUPTION.
8	Q FROM WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, I TAKE IT
9	IT'S SOMETIMES HARD TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN TBE NORMAL
10	SYMPTOMS OR COMPLAINTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE TERM
11	PREGNANCY AND DEVELOPING OR IMPENDING ABRUPTION.
12	A EXACTLY.
13	Q HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE FOR AN ABRUPTION
14	TO EFFECT THE I'M TRYING TO THINK OF A FANCY WORD
15	TO USE.
16	A DON'T. I'LL PROBABLY BE TOO STUMPED.
17	Q I CAN'T THINK OF AN EASY WORD TO USE
18	EITHER.
19	- -
20	DISCUSSION HELD OFF THE RECORD.
21	-"
22	BY MR. LEESEBERG:
23	Q AN ABRUPTION, DOES IT AFFECT THE
24	HEMOSTASIS OF THE PATIENT?

1	A THE MOTHER'S, IT CAN, YES. YOU HAVE							
2	TO HAVE A SIGNIFICANT MAJOR-LEAGUE ABRUPTION TO							
3	AFFECT THE MOTHER'S HEMOSTASIS.							
4	Q IF TT'S SIGNIFICANT ENOUGH, THAT WOULD							
5	BE REFLECTED IN THE BLOOD LABORATORY WORK?							
6	A COULD BE. OR MORE IMPORTANTLY,							
7	SPONTANEOUS BLEEDING FROM VENA PUNCTURE SITES,							
8	EYEBALLS, GUMS, BLOOD IN THE URINE, BLEEDING WHEN YOU							
9	PUT THE FOLEY IN, BLEEDING FROM AN I.V. SITE.							
10	Q WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT IS IF							
11	THERE'S AN ABRUPTION GOING ON WHICH MAY OR MAY NOT BE							
12	DIAGNOSED AND SOME BLOOD LABORATORY WORK IS DONE ON							
13	THE MOTHER, CAN THERE BE SIGNS IN THE BLOOD WORK							
14	SUGGESTING POSSIBLE ABRUPTION?							
15	A A SUBCLINICAL OR OTHERWISE SILENT							
16	ABRUPTION IS HAPPENING?							
17	$oldsymbol{arrho}$ YES.							
18	A NO. THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF TESTS							
19	LOOKED AT AS POSSIBLE INDICATORS OF THAT AND NOTHING-							
20	HAS TURNED OUT TO BE RELIABLE.							
21	Q WHEN SHE RETURNED TO THE HOSPITAL ON							
22	4-8 , IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT SHE STILL HAD ${f A}$							
23	SUBCLINICAL ABRUPTION?							
24	A I DON'T KNOW. IT GETS HARD TO SAY							

1	BECAUSE SHE WENT INTO LABOR. IN LABOR CONTRACTIONS
2	CAN BE A MANIFESTATION OF ABRUPTION ALSO.
3	Q NOBODY DIAGNOSED HER AS HAVING AN
4	ABRUPTION WHEN SHE RETURNED; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	A WHEN DID THAT BECOME APPARENT TO THEM?
6	AFTER THEY DELIVERED THE DEAD BABY THEY FOUND A CLOT.
7	THEY DID DIAGNOSE IT. SHE RETURNED AND THEY
8	DIAGNOSED THAT. I WAS ANSWERING THE OTHER QUESTION,
9	BUT GO AHEAD.
10	Q BEFORE THEY DELIVERED THE CHILD AND
11	FOUND THE CLOT RETROPLACENTALLY, ARE YOU AWARE OF
12	ANYONE WHO BAS DIAGNOSE5 HER AS HAVING AN ABRUPTION?
13	A I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANYTHING WRITTEN
14	AT THAT POINT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT PEOPLE WERE
15	THINKING. THEY UNDOUBTEDLY WERE THINKING ABOUT
16	ABRUPTION. ANYBODY WHO COMES IN TERM WITH A DEAD
17	BABY, THEY THINK ABOUT ABRUPTION. MEDICAL STUDENTS
18	THINK ABOUT ABRUPTION IF THEY SEE A DEAD BABY AT
19	TERM, PARTICULARLY SOMEONE IN LABOR.
20	Q GOING BACK TO YOUR EARLIER ANSWER, I
21	GOT THE IMPRESSION WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS AN ABRUPTION
22	DOES NOT HAVE MUCH OF AN IMPACT ON HEMOGLOBIN,
23	HEMATOCRIT.
24	A I DIDN'T MEAN TO IMPLY THAT. YOU

1	ASKED ABOUT HEMOSTASIS. THAT'S CLOTTING.
2	Q THAT WAS A POOR QUESTION. WHAT I'M
3	TRYING TO GET AT IS HEMOGLOBIN AND HEMAT'OCRIT.
4	A OKAY.
5	Q DOES AN ABRUPTION, EVEN SUBCLINICAL,
6	HAVE AN EFFECT ON HEMOGLOBIN AND HEMATOCRIT?
7	A MINOR DEGREE OF ABRUPTION WILL NOT.
8	YOU CAN HAVE AN ABRUPTION ENOUGH TO HAVE A BABY IN
9	DISTRESS BUT MAY OR MAY NOT HAVE AN EFFECT ON
10	HEMOGLOBIN. IT MAY BE A SUBTLE EFFECT. THE PROBLEM
11	IS WE DON'T DO HEMOGLOBINS EVERY WEEK, SO WE CAN'T
12	TELL THAT TODAY SO AND SO IS 9.3 AND LAST WEEK SHE
13	WAS 11.8; THEREFORE, SOMETHING'S GOING ON. WE DO
14	THEM ONCE AT 34 WEEKS OR SOMETHING.
15	arrho did she have any blood work done that
16	YOU ARE AWARE OF DURING HER FIRST BOSPITALIZATXON ON
17	4-7?
18	A NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
	II NOT TO HE KNOWEEDOE.
19	Q DID SHE HAVE SOME BLOOD WORK DONE WHEN
19 20	
	Q DID SHE HAVE SOME BLOOD WORK DONE WHEN
20	Q DID SHE HAVE SOME BLOOD WORK DONE WHEN SHE RETURNED?
20 21	Q DID SHE HAVE SOME BLOOD WORK DONE WHEN SHE RETURNED? A YES.

1	Q AND WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, SHE LOST
2	BLOOD?
3	A IN THESE CIRCUMSTANCES WE KNOW THAT IT
4	WENT OUT HER UTERUS. ALL YOU CAN CONCLUDE FROM
5	ISOLATED NUMBERS IS THE PATIENT IS ANEMIC. IN $oldsymbol{A}$
6	CLINICAL SETTING SHE LOST TNIS BLOOD FROM AN
7	ABRUPTION.
8	Q BEAR WITH ME. WHEN YOU SAY ANEMIC,
9	WHAT DO YOU MEAN?
10	A LOW HEMOGLOBIN.
11	Q YOU ATTRIBUTE THAT TO THE ABRUPTION?
12	A RIGHT.
13	Q DID THAT INDICATE A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT
14	OF BLOOD LOSS OR A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF ANEMIA?
15	A YES. IT WAS ALSO AFTER THE FACT. IT
16	SOUNDS LIKE SHE CAME IN AND $f A$ COUPLE HOURS DELIVERED.
17	I DON'T KNOW IF THE HEMOGLOBIN WAS BACK BEFORE SHE
18	DELIVERED.
19	Q I $GUESS$ WHAT I'M TRYING TO FIND OUT, I
2 0	DON'T KNOW IF I'VE ASKED THE QUESTION YET, IS
21	LABORATORY BLOOD WORK OF ANY VALUE IN ASSISTING THE
2 2	MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL IN DIAGNOSING A POTENTIAL
2 3	ABRUPTION?
24	A A POTENTIAL ABRUPTION AHEAD OF TIME?

1	Q ONE THAT'S DEVELOPING, ONE THAT'S						
2	THERE OR DEVELOPING.						
3	A NOT CONSISTENTLY. TOO MANY FALSE						
4	POSITIVES AND TOO MANY FALSE NEGATIVES.						
5	Q ARE BLOOD TESTS DONE NEVERTHELESS BY						
6	MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS TO SEE IF THERE IS SOME USEFUL						
7	INFORMATION TO HELP RULE IN OR RULE OUT AN ABRUPTION?						
a	A YES. CAN BE.						
9	Q IS THAT WHY IT WAS DONE IN THIS CASE?						
10	A I THINK IT WAS DONE TO SEE HOW ANEMIC						
11	SHE WAS BECAUSE THEY NOTICED SHE WAS PALE ON						
12	ADMISSION.						
13	Q DO YOU HAVE ANY CRITICISMS OF DR.						
14	BILLING'S CARE?						
15	A NO.						
16	Q ANY CRITICISMS OF ANY OF THE NURSING						
17	CARE?						
18	A NO.						
19	Q ANY CRITICISMS OF THE NURSE MIDWIFE'S.						
20	CARE?						
21	A NO.						
22	Q NO CRITICISMS OF ANYBODY?						
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.						
24	${f Q}$ THAT'S ALL ${f I}$ GOT. THANKS.						

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MH. WEAVER: Q JUST TO CLARIFY. DO I CORRECTLY ASSUME THEN IF YOU HAVE NO CRITICISM OF THE DOCTORS, NURSES OR MIDWIVES, YOU ALSO HAVE NO CRITICISMS OF ANY OTHER COMMUNITY HOSPITAL EMPLOYEE? THAT'S CORRECT. MR. SCHUMACHER: ANYTHING? MR. SHADLEY: NO QUESTIONS. THANKS. SIGNATURE NOT WAIVED. THEREUPON, AT 5:33 P.M., TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 1995, THE DEPOSITION WAS CONCLUDED.

STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D.

I CERTIFY THAT THIS DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT

WAS SIGNED IN MY PRESENCE BY STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D.

ON THE 10 to Day of October , 1995.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET

MY HAND AND AFFIXED MY SEAL OF OFFICE AT COLUMBUS,

OHIO, ON THIS 10 th Day of October , 1995.

Sugare De Pietro NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: May 21,1999

ERRATASHEET

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE ON THE TRANSCRIPT. ANY CHANGES IN FORM OR SUBSTANCE YOU DESIRE TO MAKE SHOULD BE ENTERED UPON THIS SHEET.

REPORTER:

I HA'	VE REA	D THE	ENT	IRE	TRAN	SCRI	PT OF	MY DE	POS.	(OITI	TA1	KEN	on	THE
7-7	DAY	OF		$\Delta U I$	LXT		, 19	99_1,	OR :	THE S	SAME	HAS	BE	EN
READ	TO ME	. <u>I</u>	REQU	EST	THAT	THE	FOLL	OWING	CHAI	IGES	BE :	ENTE	RED)
UPON	THE R	ECORD	FOR	THE	REA	SONS	INDI	CATED.	\mathbf{I}	HAVI	SI	GNEI) MY	•
NAME	BELOW	AND	ALSO	TO	THE	SIGN	ATURE	PAGE	AND	AUTI	IORI:	ZE Y	OU	TO
ATTA	CH THE	SAME	TO	THE	ORIG	INAL	TRANS	SCRIPI						

PAGE	LINE	CHANGE	REASON
11	5	WEEKS X	NAE
33	27	EVASWE	> INUASIUS WENGUO
35	()		95 09
48	(6		5/40 cm 150 5/67 Occor
50	41	DEG 6 "6	171 Mrs 2 - 103801 AS
32 56	13		Wasy DECHANGE DMG PREDEX
56	13		= CONTRACTION PAIN
66	2	PHNASING ST	DUED OF "MAKENG"
ļ			
ļ			<u>1</u>
\			
DATE	K	9/9 SIGNAT	URE Repen) Clina

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

STATE OF OHIO)
) SS:
COUNTY OF FRANKLIN }

I, DENISE L. SHOEMAKER, REGISTERED

PROFESSIONAL REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR

THE STATE OF OHIO, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT BEFORE THE

TAKING OF HIS DEPOSITION, THE SAID STEPHEN J. DEVOE,

M.D., WAS FIRST DULY SWORN BY ME TO TELL THE TRUTH,

THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH;

THAT **SAID** DEPOSITION **WAS** TAKEN IN ALL RESPECTS PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATIONS OF COUNSEL HERETOFORE SET FORTH AND GIVEN AT THE SAID TIME **AND** PLACE BY THE SAID STEPHEN J. DEVOE, M.D.;

THAT I AM NOT AN ATTORNEY FOR OR RELATIVE OF EITHER PARTY AND HAVE NO INTEREST WHATSOEVER IN THE EVENT OF THIS LITIGATION.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET

MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL OF OFFICE AT COLUMBUS,

OHIO, THIS 7TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 1995.

DENISE L. SHOEMAKER, RPR, NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF OHIO.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: JANUARY 20, 1999.