

#580

1 State of Ohio,)
 2 County of Cuyahoga.)
 3

4 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

RECEIVED

5 CHARLOTTE HEINE, et al.,)

1 19)pa

6 Plaintiffs,)

KELLER & CURTIN
 Case No. 309,666
 CO. L.P.A.

7 vs.)

Judge Gail R. Kane

8 DAVID WILSON, et al.,)

9 Defendants.)
 10

11 DEPOSITION OF ROBERT C. CORN, M.D.
 12 Thursday, December 18, 1997
 13

14 The deposition of ROBERT C. CORN, M.D., a
 15 witness, called for examination by the Defendant,
 16 David Wilson, under the Ohio Rules of Civil
 17 Procedure, taken before me, Diane M. Stevenson, a
 18 Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public in
 19 and for the state of Ohio, by agreement of
 20 counsel, at the offices of Robert C. Corn, M.D.,
 21 850 Brainard Road, Highland Heights, Ohio,
 22 commencing at 5:30 p.m., the day and date above
 23 set forth.
 24

25
 Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
 Morse, Gantverq & Hodde

1 APPEARANCES:

2 On behalf of the Plaintiffs:

3 Ellen M. McCarthy, Esq.
4 Nurenberg, Plevin,
5 Heller & McCarthy Co., LPA
1st Floor Standard Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

6 and

7 John P. Berena, Esq.
8 10633 Pearl Road
Strongsville, Ohio 44136

9 On behalf of the Defendant, David Wilson:

10 Walter H. Xrohngold, Esq.
11 Keller & Curtin Co., LPA
12 330 Hanna Building
Cleveland, Ohio 44115

13 On behalf of the Defendant,
14 State Auto Insurance Company:

15 Robert G. Hurt, Esq.
16 7029 Pearl Road, Suite 310
Middleburg Heights, Ohio 44130

17 ALSO PRESENT:

18 Kenneth M. Simon, Videographer
19
20 - - -
21
22
23
24
25

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge

1 MR. KROHNGOLD: Let the record
2 reflect that this is the trial deposition of
3 Dr. Robert Corn, which is being taken pursuant to
4 notice, and I am going to ask for a waiver of any
5 defects in service, notice, or the filing of the
6 deposition, Counsel.

7 MS. MCCARTHY: Sure.

8 MR. KROHNGOLD: Let the record
9 further reflect that this is the trial deposition
10 of Dr. Robert Corn, which is being taken to
11 preserve his testimony for use at the time of the
12 trial in the action brought by Charlotte Heine
13 and her husband against my client, Mr. David
14 Wilson. This action has Case No. 309,666 in the
15 Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas.

16 - - -

17 ROBERT C. CORN, M.D.

18 A witness, called for examination by the
19 Defendant, David Wilson, under the Rules, having
20 been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified,
21 was examined and testified as follows:

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. KROHNGOLD:

24 My name is Walter Krohngold, and I represent the
25 defendant in this case, Dave Wilson.

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge

1 Doctor, could you please tell us your **full**
2 name.

3 A. My name is Robert Curtis Corn, C O R N.

4 Q. Doctor, what is your current professional
5 address?

6 A. My main office address **is** at 850 Brainard Road in
7 Highland Heights, Ohio.

8 Q. Are we at that address today?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Doctor, what is your profession?

11 A. I am an orthopedic surgeon.

12 Q. Are you licensed to practice medicine in the
13 state of Ohio?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. **How** long have you been so licensed?

16 A. Since 1976.

17 Q. Are you Board certified in orthopedic surgery?

18 A. Yes, I am.

19 Q. Could you please **tell** us **a** little bit about what
20 orthopedic surgery involves and what Board
21 certification means.

22 A. Well, orthopedic surgery is the branch of
23 medicine which involves the medical and surgical
24 treatment of diseases, disorders, injuries, and
25 some tumors of the musculoskeletal system. That

1 include problems of the bones, muscles, tendons,
2 joints and ligaments.

3 It also involves a number of subspecialties,
4 which may be a little bit more familiar, such as
5 hand surgery, surgery of the spine, sports
6 medicine, and arthroscopic surgery. Surgery for
7 total joint replacements, problems with rehabili-
8 tation, problems with nerves and muscle injuries
9 Orthopedic surgery is a surgical subspecialty.

10 and it has its own board or committee which sets
11 certain educational and testing standards, as all
12 medical and surgical subspecialties do

13 What happens and how you become an
14 orthopedic surgeon is that sometime in your
15 second or third year in medical school when you
16 decide what you want to be when you grow up, you
17 seek what the board stipulates as the necessary
18 accomplishments that you have to go through in
19 order to qualify.

20 In the mid and late 1970s, when I went
21 through this process, I had to have completed a
22 residency program, that is a postgraduate, post-
23 medical school apprenticeship program, which
24 basically allows you to do more and more as your
25 educational experience and your talent improves

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantvarg & Hodge

1 You have to take certain in-training
2 examinations each year and pass those exams, or
3 at least have a satisfactory grade in them to
4 show that you are learning something. Then you
5 have to be in the clinical practice of the
6 specialty for one calendar year and one
7 geographical location.

8 During that time period, a peer review would
9 take place. Questionnaires would go out in the
10 community, doctors would appear in surgery and
11 watch how you work, they would basically judge
12 how you have performed during that particular
13 year, and then you become eligible to take a
14 certifying series of examinations.

15 The exams were a two-day series of oral
16 exams and written exams. And after fulfilling
17 all of those requirements, you would be certified
18 by the American Board of Orthopedic Surgery.

19 Q Is that one of the highest, if not the highest,
20 achievement obtainable in your specialty?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Doctor, could you please tell the ladies and
23 gentlemen of the jury a little bit about your
24 professional background, including college,
25 medical school, and your post-medical school

1 training.

2 A. I received my Bachelor of Science in biology from
3 the Albright College in Reading, Pennsylvania in
4 1971. I then moved back to my hometown in
5 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, where I attended the
6 Hahneman University School of Medicine from 1971
7 to the middle of 1975. I graduated and received
8 my MD degree in 1975.

9 I then moved out here to Cleveland where I
10 started my orthopedic training. I did all my
11 training at The Cleveland Clinic. I was at The
12 Clinic from 1975 until the middle of 1979, when I
13 graduated from the program.

14 I then entered the private practice of
15 orthopedic surgery in August of 1979. And for
16 the past 18 and a half years I have been a
17 private practice orthopedic surgeon, primarily on
18 the east side of Cleveland.

19 Q. Do you have any hospital privileges at the local
20 hospitals?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. Could you tell us about some of those?

23 A. I am on the active staff at the Meridia Hillcrest
24 Hospital, the Meridia Euclid Hospital, and the
25 Meridia Huron Hospital, the Lake County Hospital

1 Systems, the PHS Mt. Sinai Hospital System, a
2 the University Hospitals Bedford Medical Cent

3 By "active staff" I mean I have emergenc
4 room, hospital, and operating room privileges
5 those hospitals.

6 Q Have you had any administrative posts in any of
7 these hospitals?

8 A Yes.

9 Q What are those?

10 A Well, I was Chief of the Orthopedic Surgery
11 Service at the Meridia Huron Hospital from
12 January of 1984 through November of 1992.

13 Q Have you been involved in teaching at all over
14 the years?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Please tell us about that.

17 Since 1980 or '81 I have been a clinical
18 instructor in orthopedic surgery at the Case
19 Western Reserve University School of Medicine.

20 And from the early '80s on, I have been an
21 Associate Professor of Orthopedic Surgery at the
22 Ohio College of Podiatric Medicine. That is the
23 podiatry school here in Cleveland.

24 Thank you, Doctor, Doctor, on occasion, do you
2 examine individuals who are not your patients for

1 purposes of medical-legal matters or Social
2 Security or Workers' Compensation proceedings and
3 the like?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Did you have an occasion to examine the plaintiff
6 in this case, a Ms. Charlotte Heine, at the
7 request of the defense?

8 A I did.

9 Q Doctor, could you please tell us where and where
10 that examination took place?

11 A The examination took place here in my office on
12 April the 7th of 1997

13 Q Either before or after the examination, did you
14 have an opportunity to review a variety of
15 medical records which were also sent to you?

16 A Yes.

17 Q As well as some photographs taken of Ms. Heine's
18 knee during surgery?

19 A Yes, on both of her knees

20 Q And also some x-ray films, as well?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Doctor, have you prepared a report regarding your
23 examination, which is dated April 9, 1997?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Please feel free to refer to your report or any

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge

1 of the medical records in answering my questions
2 today, Doctor. And I would ask that any opinions
3 you do give us be to a reasonable degree of
4 medical certainty. Okay?

5 A. Sure.

6 Q. Doctor, would you please tell us when you
7 initially saw Ms. Heine, was that on April 7 of
8 this year?

9 A. It was.

10 Q. At that time, did she give you a description of
11 the accident itself?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. What did she tell you?

14 A. Basically, it was a front end impact when a
15 vehicle turned. And she basically stated that
16 she had both feet on the brake, that she felt
17 that both of her knees, but primarily -- well,
18 actually, primarily the left knee was injured.
19 The right knee subsequently became painful a
20 number of months later.

21 She also was thrown forward and backwards
22 and injured her neck and upper back, as well.

23 Q. Was there any loss of consciousness in this
24 accident in which you are aware?

25 A. No, there was no loss of consciousness.

1 Q. And then she was taken to Fairview General
2 Hospital for a couple of days?

3 A. Well, she was admitted -- she was appropriately
4 evaluated and admitted for observation. I think
5 the primary reason was because there was some
6 abnormality noted on the initial neck x-rays, and
7 they wanted to get additional films, that they
8 subsequently did.

9 They did not find any traumatic abnormali-
10 ties, that is nothing, no fractures, no bones
11 slipped out of position, what we call a
12 dislocation or subluxation. And she was
13 subsequently discharged from the hospital.

14 Q. While she was in the hospital, there was an MRI
15 of her neck, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. What kind of findings were on that MRI?

18 A. Well, the findings, basically, despite her fairly
19 young chronological age -- "fairly young" means
20 anybody younger than I am -- it basically showed
21 that, really, the whole middle portion of her
22 cervical spine was involved with a rather
23 pronounced premature aging process or loss of
24 water content within the actual disks, the
25 intervertebral disks. This is called

1 degenerative disk disease.

2 We know this by MR scan, because the MR
3 basically sees or detects the different levels of
4 water concentration. And with this type of disk
5 problem, you lose water content.

6 This is not an acute process, "acute"
7 meaning quick process to start, it is usually a
8 rather lengthy process that can go on for months,
9 years, or decades.

10 The standard x-rays showed some abnormali-
11 ties, but the MRI really gave the details. It
12 allowed the doctors to actually physically see
13 the disk tissues and the small bone spurs that
14 were present, clearly indicating a long-term
15 process, not a newly-started process.

16 Q. From your review of the records, was there any
17 injury to her right knee at the time of the
18 initial accident, Doctor?

19 A. No, there was no mention of her right knee at all
20 as part of the original injury.

21 Q. I believe your report mentions that she saw a
22 Dr. Mervart on one occasion regarding some
23 problems with her left knee?

24 A. Well, actually Mervart is a neurosurgeon. And I
25 think that was just basically a follow-up from --

1 I think he was consulted in the hospital, and she
2 followed up with him on one additional visit.
3 But there was really nothing that he elected to
4 do. It certainly wasn't a surgical problem in
5 her neck.

6 Q. She eventually began treating at Beachwood
7 Orthopedics. Are you aware of that?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And initially, we have already -- the jury will
10 have already heard Dr. Morris's rather lengthy
11 testimony by this time, so I don't want to dwell
12 on it too much.

13 But could *you* tell *us*, from your understand-
14 ing of the records, what her initial complaints
15 were to Beachwood orthopedics?

16 A. Well, her initial complaints were spinal. They
17 were in the neck and upper back. I believe in
18 the second visit, which was a couple weeks later,
19 I can't remember whether it was two or three
20 weeks, that is when she first started having some
21 left knee complaints.

22 They basically for the first block **of** time
23 ignored her knee complaints, although it was
24 mentioned in the medical records. Their primary
25 concern was treating her spinal condition.

1 The left knee ultimately underwent, and this
 2 was about three months later in September, she
 3 underwent some further neurological evaluation in
 4 that interim with Dr. Morris and Dr. Leizman, I
 5 believe.

6 And then she had her MRI of her left knee
 7 And the left knee MRI was radiologically
 8 abnormal, and it was elected to proceed with a
 9 surgical procedure on the abnormality that was
 10 noted on the MR scan.

11 Q Now, you mentioned there was an x-ray done by

12 Berchoud Orthopedics

13 A Yes, by Dr. Leizman, who is one of Dr. Morris's
 14 associates

15 Q What parts of the x-ray would an EMG test for?

16 A Well, the EMG stands for electromyogram. It
 17 essentially examines a portion of the peripheral
 18 nervous system involving the ability of a nerve
 19 to conduct a motor impulse to a muscle, and that
 20 is essentially what an EMG tests, it tests the
 21 conductivity of a nerve and the functional
 22 behavior, so to speak, of the nerve and its
 23 connecting muscle.

24 Q. Was this done to her upper body, her neck area?

25 A. This was really part of the spinal evaluation

with her, the initial complaints that were treated out at Beachwood.

3 Q. Would there be a sound reason for performing the
4 EMG if there was an MRI previously done at the
5 hospital of her neck area which showed the
6 condition of her neck?

7 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

8 A. The MRI really would give a better structural
9 picture. The EMG basically gives you a
10 functional picture.

11 Without any neurological deficits, I am not
12 sure what the exact indications there were and
13 exactly clear why the EMG was performed. But
14 from a surgeon's standpoint, even if the EMG was
15 positive and there was a very -- or negative,
16 rather, it was normal, and the MRI was very
17 positive, you tend to ignore the EMG results if
18 there was something that was critical from an
19 anatomical, that is a physical, standpoint.

20 I don't have any specific indications that I
21 can recall that made the EMG necessary. But
22 then, again, I didn't see her at that time.

23 Q. Now, she had two surgeries from Beachwood
24 Orthopedics while under their care, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. The first one was on her left knee, and that was
2 in October of 1994.

3 A. Correct, about a month after the scan.

4 Q. Now, did you have a chance **to look** at any of the
5 photographs that were taken during that
6 procedure?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And can you tell us a little bit about why that
9 is done and how it is done?

10 A. The surgery, I assume you are talking about?

11 Q. Yes.

12 A. The surgery is an ambulatory procedure. It is
13 right now my most common operation that I perform
14 other than fracture and trauma surgery. It is
15 done on an in an out basis, typically under
16 general anesthetic. I always make pictures of a
17 similar quality. Actually, I tend to make a
18 video of the actual surgery, so I can instruct my
19 patients exactly what we **do**.

20 Essentially, the procedure is done through a
21 closed circuit TV system where you basically **do**
22 the operation by watching a TV screen. Through
23 one little incision is placed the arthroscopic
24 telescope, which also has an irrigation system in
25 it to fill the knee up with fluid. And through

1 separate incisions, I typically only use one, but
2 there are surgeons who use two or three,
3 depending on what their preference is, in order
4 to fully evaluate and to remove damaged or
5 abnormal tissue.

6 The goal of the surgery is to make normal
7 the knee as well as possible, removing anything
8 that may cause future damage, remove any loose
9 pieces or loose fragments that may be floating
10 around the knee, and also to quantify what the
11 MRI shows.

12 The MRI basically is a snapshot. It tells
13 you if something is there or it is not there.
14 The details are really a lot more apparent when
15 you are physically looking at the tissues.

16 Q. When you make these incisions to put these
17 various instruments through, generally how big
18 are the incisions?

19 A. The incisions are about a quarter of an inch
20 long, about that wide.

21 Q. And there may be either one, two or three on
22 someone's knee?

23 A. I almost universally use two. There are some
24 surgeons, and I think Dr. Gabelman, who did the
25 surgery, typically uses three. One extra one is

1 for irrigation purposes only

2 But typically most doctors use two. Some
3 use four. I mean, whatever the preference. It
4 depends on how you learned how to do the
5 technique, and how complicated it gets and
6 whether you can see what you want to see through
7 the two incisions that you originally planned.

8 Q You said this is mandatory. Does what mean there
9 go home the same day?

10 A Correct.

11 Q Now, you didn't do the surgery. Don't you saw
12 photographs taken during the procedure?

13 A Yes.

14 Q What do those tell you about Ms. Heine's left
15 knee, at least at the time what the surgery was
16 performed? I know you want to feel free to look at
17 the photographs or even show them to me if that
18 will help.

19 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

20 A Again, and these are somewhat -- they don't mean
21 anything unless you have seen lots and lots of
22 these, and I will try to hold this up and use a
23 pointer.

24 Q Just by way of background, I have supplied these
25 to you?

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverg & Hodge

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. And these were from Dr. Gabelman's chart, his
3 office?

4 A. Correct. I will use a little laser pointer.
5 This is the end of the thigh bone, or what we
6 call the femur. This area over here is the top
7 of the leg bone, or the tibia. And this raggedy
8 looking tissue here is the complex tear that was
9 in the medial meniscus.

10 Now, the complexity of the tear and the
11 somewhat raggedy appearance, it looks like a
12 frayed piece of cloth. And, essentially, this is
13 the appearance of a chronic, long-term, meniscal
14 tear.

15 I think that if you look down here, the next
16 picture you can see is a metal probe going over
17 here. And you can see that this is not a tear
18 like you would split your -- rip a piece of paper
19 in half or punch a hole, or a button hole type of
20 appearance. This is a very raggedy looking tear.
21 And you can see it in this one, too. Again, not
22 a real clean appearance.

23 Unfortunately, these are reversed, so I will
24 need to flip these over. The other thing that
25 was noted, on the undersurface of the femoral

1 condyle on the end of the thigh bone, the
2 cartilage appeared to be also very, very raggedy
3 in appearance; that is, when you break open a
4 chicken bone that nice pearly white, smooth
5 substance, this was not pearly white and smooth,
6 it had a lot of dents and furrows in it.

7 And what Dr. Gabelman ended up doing, if you
8 look down here, is used a little shaving device
9 and he removed the cartilage, the torn parts of
10 the meniscus, removed the frayed parts of the
11 arthritis that was making up the end of the thigh
12 bone, and basically she is left with a pretty
13 clean appearance. This looks much, much better
14 than the original one that we looked at.

15 And this is the lateral meniscus, or the
16 outside cartilage, And we notice that it is
17 absolutely pristine surfaces on the top and
18 bottom with a normal appearing cartilage.

19 This was the intra-articular, the inside of
20 the joint, photographs that were made at the time
21 of the first surgery. And they clearly indicate
22 an extremely long-standing degenerative type of
23 meniscal tear. This is not the appearance of an
24 acute, certainly two months old, three months
25 old, type of tear. This is something that looks

1 years old, if not longer.

2 Q Is it was a very recent or has just occurred as a
3 result of the accident, what would you expect to
4 see different?

5 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

6 A You wouldn't see the articular surface damage
7 typically what happens -- the bad thing about
8 these injuries, and when the doctors tend to want
9 to remove that tissue, is that it acts like a
10 pebble in the shoe If you step on a pebble and
11 now step on it, it is not only painful, but it
12 can actually give you a blister.

13 Well, what happens, when that blister type
14 damage from this tear affects the end of the
15 thigh bone, what happens is that it destroys the
16 cartilage And this is a tissue that the body
17 cannot repair itself

18 And once that is damaged, you can always see
19 damage, it may work fine, but you can always see
20 the damage.

21 This is not the type of thing you would see
22 with a direct impact type of trauma This is a
23 grinding away type of situation that looks years
24 old It looks like it is ancient

25 Q Now, a month later she had right knee arthroscopic

 Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
 Morse, Gantverq & Hedge

1 surgery. And I had supplied you with photographs
2 taken of her right knee, although, admittedly, I
3 guess they came out fairly poor when they were
4 taken during the procedure.

5 Again, this is probably because the light source
6 was a little tiny bit different. I think with
7 very little imagination, if I can figure out how
8 to use this thing, again, this is the end of the
9 thigh bone, and the same type of raggedy looking
10 tear is located along the back side of the inner
11 cartilage, the one that is on the inside of the
12 right knee. And this was a knee that wasn't even
13 injured.

14 This was not the knee that would bother
15 her. And we have virtually the identical
16 appearance of a meniscal injury, something that
17 looks identical to the one that was hurt.

18 In other words, the cartilage was chewed up
19 to the same degree. And absolutely the identical
20 procedure was performed on the uninjured knee a
21 month later.

22 This clearly indicates to me that she had
23 the same process going on in both of her knees,
24 premature arthritic process, similar to the
25 arthritic process going on in the mid-portion of

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR

1 her neck and neither one of these damages, so
 2 to speak, were from a singular incident or
 3 trauma, especially the right one and there was
 4 no identical appearance on the left one to the
 5 right one

6 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection Move to
 7 strike.

8 Q Was there anything on your review or either of
 9 these sets of photographs which appeared to be
 10 caused by the automobile accident of June or
 11 1994?

12 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.
 13 A In my opinion, the chronic emotional tears would
 14 not have been caused by the motor vehicular
 15 accident, as it was described, and with the short
 16 period of time that transpired between the car
 17 accident and the time of the orthopedic
 18 surgeries were performed

19 Q Doctor, at the time you first saw Ms Xip -- or
 20 you saw her on just one occasion, is that
 21 correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And under the rules of the Court that are set up,
 24 are you only allowed to see and examine her on
 25 one occasion?

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
 Morse, Gantverg & Hodge

1 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

2 A. I am not a lawyer, so I don't know what the rules
3 are. But typically I am not allowed, **unless** both
4 counsel need or want an update, I am usually only
5 allowed to see them once.

6 Are you familiar with the arrangements between
7 the local Bar Association and the local Medical
8 Association regarding these examinations?

9 A. Yes, I am very well aware of that.

10 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

11 Q. Under those rules, are you permitted to see a
12 litigant in a personal injury case more than one
13 time --

14 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

15 Q. -- for an action examination?

16 A. Typically I am not, unless both parties agree to
17 a second examination. I can't ask for a second
18 examination, and the patient can't ask me for a
19 second examination.

20 Q. Are you allowed to offer any medical advice to
21 the patient?

22 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

23 A. **No**, I am not.

24 Q. Are you allowed to treat the patient at all?

25 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR

- 1 1. I am not allowed to give the patient any
2 recommendations or any treatment. It is strictly
3 an evaluation, a second opinion, so to speak, for
4 whoever asked me to see the patient. I am **not**
5 allowed to really share that information with
6 them, or give them any advice or recommendations
7 of what they could do in the future.
- 8 2. At the time that you saw **Ms.** Heine, what were her
9 complaints to you in April of '97?
- 10 A. The complaints at that time, she still had a lot
11 of complaints. She still had problems with her
12 neck. She had difficulty lifting. She had a
13 tingling sensation in both shoulders. She had a
14 great deal of -- do you want me to just read what
15 I have in my report, because I have no physical
16 recollection of it.
- 17 She basically had problems with her neck.
18 She had **less** problems with the low back. Her
19 knees at that point in time were off and on, they
20 weren't as consistent as her neck and upper back
21 symptoms were.
- 22 Q Did you conduct a physical examination of
23 Ms. Heine?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was anyone present during the physical

1 examination and the time spent with her?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Who was that?

4 A. Her attorney was there.

5 Q. Was that Mr. Berena?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Please tell **us** what you examined, what parts of
8 her body you examined, and what you found upon
9 your examination.

10 A. The examination that was performed was **a** complete
11 orthopedic examination on the areas that she had
12 complaints. This is the same exam that **I** do 40
13 to 60 times a week on the neck, the low back, and
14 on both of her knees. So I did a thorough,
15 comprehensive orthopedic examination on those
16 areas.

17 Q. Tell **us** about your exam of her neck area, which
18 is called the cervical spine?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Tell **us** about that.

21 A. Well, first **I** tend to watch how people walk. She
22 was able to walk on her heels and toes. She
23 didn't have any gross limping. She did not have
24 any atrophy or gross wasting of her leg muscles
25 when she was observed walking in and out and

1 during the history portion of the examination.

2 The neck exam revealed no objective signs,
3 "objective" meaning abnormalities that I can
4 see, touch, feel or measure. She had some
5 complaints which only she feels, and then there
6 are objective findings, if they are present,
7 which is a manifestation, a physical manifesta-
8 tion, of complaints, so to speak.

9 Q. Are complaints what we call subjective
10 complaints?

11 A. Yes, they are feelings that one has that no one
12 else really has other than the person making the
13 complaints.

14 Q. And the objective findings would be your
15 measurements or your examination which would
16 verify or confirm some physical problem with her?

17 A. They may or may not confirm a physical
18 abnormality, or something else may show **up** that
19 she was unaware of, he or she would be unaware
20 of.

21 Q. I am sorry for --

22 A. So, in other words, what I am looking for is she
23 had a bunch of complaints, and I am looking for
24 any physical abnormalities. I knew she had some
25 MR abnormalities, but I was looking for: Is there

1 anything on physical examination that I could
2 see?

3 So, in general, what I look for is the
4 posture, the position, how people hold
5 themselves, how they move, how they change
6 positions.

7 I felt and touched the major muscle groups
8 in the front, side, and back of the neck, upper
9 back, shoulders. I watched how she moved her
10 neck, her upper back, her scapula, which are the
11 shoulder blades.

12 There seemed to be a voluntary restriction
13 of motion. In other words, she said she couldn't
14 move any farther, but I could not see any
15 tightness of the muscle or any spasm or any
16 guarding of the muscle. And these would be
17 objective signs of the muscle not functioning
18 normally, or a muscle overprotecting.

19 The movement of the shoulder blade she
20 claimed to be painful, but essentially the
21 movement was normal. The muscle development in
22 the neck, upper back and shoulder area appeared
23 to be proportional, that is the left and right,
24 and appeared to be well developed. There were no
25 signs of a single or a group of muscles that

1 appeared to be being favored. She had a fairly
2 normal appearance for someone 47 years old **of her**
3 height and weight.

4 The examination also included exam of the
5 shoulders, the elbows, the wrists, and the small
6 joints of the hand. I looked and felt and tried
7 testing the strength of these muscle groups. I
8 looked at them, and I also physically measured
9 them with a tape measure, measuring the
10 circumference of her arms at the armpit, the
11 mid-arm and forearm, as well at the wrist
12 levels. There was no atrophy or size difference
13 between the left and the right side noted.

14 And in neurological examination, that is her
15 ability to detect sensation, the motor exam and
16 reflex exam was performed, and I was unable to
17 determine any lesion, any abnormality that would
18 be affecting a particular nerve root or part of
19 the nervous system.

20 Q. When you say this neurological examination was
21 normal, what are you looking for in terms of some
22 of the complaints or the degenerative problems
23 that were seen on the **MRI** films?

24 A. Well, again, when I had seen her I had not looked
25 at the medical records. So I was unaware at that

I' time what the diagnostic testing had uncovered
2 other than what she told me her understanding was.

3 In other words, I don't look at the medical
4 records, I didn't look at the x-rays, prior to
5 the examination. So, at that time I just go in
6 cold, so to speak, and I just look for any
7 abnormalities I can find.

8 She did claim to have some stiffness. But
9 typically when there is stiffness related to
10 irritation of muscle there would be some
11 guarding, some factor of the muscle either
12 protecting the individual or protecting one group
13 of muscles in certain movements. So that is what
14 I look for.

15 I look for an abnormality of a nerve root.
16 That is a part of a branch of the nerve that
17 leaves the spinal cord and goes to a particular
18 area of the arm. And I did the same thing in the
19 legs, too, but we are talking about the arms
20 right now.

21 I looked for any ability to detect --
22 abnormality in sensation. Now, although
23 sensation, or the ability to feel, is subjective,
24 the pattern is objective.

25 In other words, if they are numb within a

1 certain area, although they feel it and I can't
2 feel it, it can be objective in its distribution.

3 In other words, does it follow a particular
4 nerve root? **Does** it match with any other
5 symptoms the individual may have? **So** even though
6 I can't tell it is numb, if the numbness follows
7 a certain distribution, that can also add up into
8 a possible abnormality.

9 Q. And that **part** of your examination was normal?

10 A. Correct. So even though she had all of these
11 very unusual neck pictures on the MRI scan, there
12 was really no physical abnormality that shows any
13 neurological impingement, that is a squeezing, a
14 pressure or irritation of any of the nerves in
15 her spinal cord or leaving her spinal cord.

16 Q. Did you also examine her low back?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And that is called a lumbar spine?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Tell us about that.

21 A. Again, a similar type of examination was done in
22 her low back. I asked her to move in certain
23 directions, and she had approximately 90 percent
24 of what I expected her to have. So there was a
25 minor degree of stiffness, but really she had

1 fairly normal motion.

2 Again, certain provocative testing was done
3 in order to test whether there was any irritation
4 of the nerves of the low back, the nerves that go
5 from the back to the legs. And these tests were
6 all normal. The hip joint also examined
7 normally.

8 So other than a little stiffness in the low
9 back, really no objective abnormality was noted.
10 And she had over 90 percent of her predicted
11 movement .

12 Q. Did you examine her knees?

13 A. I did.

14 Q. What did you find there?

15 A. I examined the knee, and I will basically go over
16 my findings, and probably easier to go through
17 what I look for in examination.

18 What I first look for is I look for
19 alignment. Is there an angulation, what we call
20 a varus or valgus deformity, that is a knock-
21 kneed or bowlegged deformity. And she had none,
22 the knees were straight.

23 I then **look** if there is any effusion, or
24 water on the knee. This can be seen and it can
25 be felt. Neither of that was felt. Effusion, or

1 water on the knee, usually comes **if** the body
2 remains inflamed, the knee is inflamed, and the
3 body tends to form fluid to sort of protect
4 itself, **or** to cushion the structures within the
5 knee.

6 I then look at range **of** motion, how
7 flexible, how mobile is the knee? And she had a
8 normal range **of** motion **of** both knees.

9 I then test the ligaments, both the inside
10 ligaments and the outside ligaments. This is
11 done with manual stressing. That is holding one
12 area and wedging or trying to push the knee
13 open.

14 I then do the same thing with the front and
15 back ligaments **of** the knee. I then test
16 rotational instability, which, basically, I am
17 looking at the cuff of ligaments that run around
18 the knee, and the two ligaments that run in the
19 middle of the knee. This is a typical sports
20 knee evaluation was done.

21 The only abnormalities that were noted is
22 under both kneecaps. When she bent and
23 straightened the knees, there was a slight
24 grinding sensation, a rubbing sensation, and this
25 was undoubtedly part of this minor degenerative

1 abnormality underneath the kneecap. But it **was**
2 not significant, and it was not severe, and it
3 was not painful. It was just an objective
4 abnormality. And this was very minimal.

5 I see this universally in people over the
6 age of 50, and I may see 30 or 40 of these a
7 week. I felt that these findings were there, but
8 they were minimal, and they certainly were not
9 painful.

10 I then looked at the circumference of the
11 knees, actually took a physical tape measure and
12 measured at the bend of the knee to see if one
13 knee was larger than the other knee, and both
14 knees were the same size.

15 I then did the same for the measurements of
16 the muscles of the thigh and the muscles of the
17 calf, and they feel equal and symmetrical, that
18 is that both sides were the same.

19 The only other objective finding was the
20 healed arthroscopic incisions. And I believe
21 that there were four incisions on each of the
22 knees.

23 Q. Did that complete your physical examination of
24 her?

25 A. Yes.

1 Doctor, did you also happen to review a variety
22 of medical records sent to you?
3 I did.
4 Could you list those records, please.
5 The records that were reviewed included those
6 from the Fairview General Hospital, the records
77 form Beachwood Orthopedics, including the MRI
88 scan results, and basically from the West Side
99 imaging unit, the records from Dr. Morris,
100 Leizman and Gabelman.
111 There was the actual x-ray films that were
122 done in the hospital. But I did not see the
133 actual MRI films both at the hospital or the ones
144 of her knees. I also did evaluate the actual
155 arthroscopic pictures that were taken at the time
166 of the surgery.
177 Q Doctor, based on your examination of the
188 plaintiff, and any of the records which you
199 reviewed, were you able to form an opinion based
200 on a reasonable degree of medical certainty as to
21 Ms. Heine's condition at the time of your
22 examination?
233 A Yes.
244 Q Could you please tell us that.
255 A At the time that I saw her, she had some

1 subjective residuals **of** a cervical, that **is** a
2 neck, and **low** back strain or sprain, a stretching
3 muscular injury.

4 Q. What do you mean by "subjective residuals"?

5 A. In other words, the way the accident occurred,
6 and the way the mechanism which was suspected
7 would have caused this type of injury, that is a
8 stretching or pulling injury to the neck, if it
9 was more severe, then there could have been a
10 more severe bony injury or disk injury sustained.
11 But there was really none that was ever noted.

12 *So* my opinion was that was, essentially, the
13 injury that she had, a stretching or pulling
14 injury of the muscles or ligaments of the neck
15 and the low back. And there was also a probable
16 contusion to the left knee, although this was not
17 a contusion that produced a black and blue mark.

18 Q. Did any of your diagnoses regarding the knee
19 relate to the automobile accident itself, as far
20 as your findings on examination?

21 A. Well, the findings were normal, other than the
22 arthroscopic incisions and the slight degree **of**
23 patellar grinding. And none **of** those were part
24 **of** the accident.

25 Q. Regarding your examination and your review of the

1 records, do you have an opinion as to whether the
2 knee surgeries which she had were due to the
3 automobile accident?

4 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

5 Q. And if you want to separate out the left and the
6 right knee, please do so.

7 A. I do have an opinion.

8 Q. What is that, please?

9 A. The surgery on the right knee, in my opinion, was
10 unrelated to the accident. There was never an
11 injury. There was never a problem. Although I
12 believe it was Dr. Morris's opinion she may have
13 been limping and favoring it, she wouldn't have
14 torn a meniscus doing that.

15 Initially when I reviewed the case, before I
16 saw the arthroscopic pictures which came somewhat
17 later, it sounded like, according to what she
18 said, that the left knee injury may have been
19 caused and the surgery may have been necessary on
20 the basis of the history she presented to me and
21 the time of my evaluation.

..22 However, when I looked at the intra-operative
23 pictures, that is the pictures taken during the
24 surgery, the same type of tearing was noted in
25 both of the knees, virtually identical. And the

11 right knee wasn't injured and had that. Then I
22 really questioned how the left knee injury could
3 have torn the meniscus in that chronic manner.

4 The actual surgery was done for the torn
5 cartilage, and I don't believe that the torn
6 cartilages were due to the motor vehicular
7 accident. And I guess that probably summarizes
8 it. The actual need for the surgery was the
9 tears. And the tears, in my opinion, were not
10 caused by the accident.

11 Q. Would they have predated the accident, in other
12 words, occurred before the accident?

13 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

14 A. By their appearance, in my opinion, they probably
15 preexisted the injury by a substantial period of
16 time, months if not years.

17 Q. Doctor, you said that you do some of these
18 arthroscopic procedures, as well, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. When you do a procedure of this kind, what is
21 your general fee for the procedure?

22 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

23 A. I am assuming, reading the operative note, it was
24 for a partial meniscectomy and chondroplasty,
25 which would be anywhere from \$2,000 to \$2,200.

1 Q Yes. And if I can just use the words that are on
2 the bill from Beachwood Orthopedics, "Arthroscopy
3 of the knee with debridement and shaving as well
4 as a meniscectomy."

5 A It would be about \$2,000, \$2,200.

6 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

7 Q And that would be for the entire procedure?

8 A Correct, the surgeon's fees. And most insurances
9 wouldn't pay anywhere near that.

10 Q All right. I believe the records would indicate
11 the bill charged by Beachwood Orthopedics was
12 approximately \$5,200. Would your fees in any
13 circumstance approach those for a single
14 operation?

15 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

16 A My fees for a total knee replacement would not
17 exceed that amount. So I think that is a little
18 high.

19 Q And after you perform a similar surgery on one of
20 your patients, would it be typical that you order
21 some type of rehabilitation of your patients to
22 get their knee back in shape?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Would that include a certain amount of physical
25 therapy?

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge

1 A It usually includes some degree, yes.

2 Q And approximately how many physical therapy
3 treatments would you generally order to
4 rehabilitate, say, a middle-aged woman with this
5 type of procedure?

6 A Five to ten treatments, with home exercises.

7 Q Doctor, do you have a prognosis for Ms. Heine as
8 far as what the future holds?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Could you please tell us what that is.

11 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

12 A The prognosis for soft tissue injuries I believe
13 is good. Soft tissue injuries typically heal.
14 And, really, on the basis of the evaluation, I
15 was unsure as to where her severe symptoms were
16 still coming from.

17 Now, she does have some degenerative
18 problems. Now, there is no clear indication in
19 the records or in the test that was done that
20 there was any acceleration of these problems or
21 permanent aggravation of these problems.

22 Typically, these problems will always get
23 worse with age. So I would expect that if she is
24 normal, and most people fall into this type of
25 category, degenerative changes always get worse

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge

1 as you get older.

2 But there did not appear to be any physical
3 injury to these tissues that would have
4 accelerated this process. So, from a prognostic
5 standpoint, I would say it would be guarded
6 solely on her degenerative problems.

7 But on her acute injuries, on any injuries
8 she may have attained or received as part of the
9 car accident, I believe those have healed and
10 would have healed long before I saw her.

11 Q. Could you tell us, finally, Doctor, exactly what
12 you believe, to a reasonable degree of medical
13 certainty, were the injuries to Ms. Heine as a
14 result of this automobile accident.

15 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

16 A. In my opinion, the injuries sustained were a soft
17 tissue strain or sprain of the neck and low back,
18 and possibly a contusion of the left knee,
19 although that was not documented for two weeks.
20 And typically when people bruise themselves, it
21 comes about rather rapidly. By her history, this
22 may have occurred.

23 Q. Have the opinions you have given us today,
24 Doctor, been to a reasonable degree of medical
25 certainty?

1 **Yes.**

2 MR. KROHNGOLD: Can we *go* off the
3 record for just a minute, please.

4 (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the
5 record.)

6 MR. KROHNGOLD: Doctor, at this
7 time I don't have anything further. Thank you
8 very much.

9 - - -

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. MCCARTHY:

12 Q. Dr. Corn, my name is Ellen McCarthy. And I,
13 along with Leon Plevin and Mr. Berena, represent
14 Charlotte Heine.

15 As I understand it from the conversation
16 earlier, Mr. Krokngold did send you some
17 correspondence with respect to this case?

18 A. You know, I don't keep any correspondence with
19 any attorneys for any reason. So I don't know if
20 I have received anything,

21 I think it was Mr. Hurt who hurt contacted
22 my office for this exam. I believe the only
23 communication we had was **a** fax notice of the
24 deposition time from Mr. Xrohngold's office. **I**
25 didn't even know he was involved initially.

1 Q. So any correspondence that might have come
2 through **is** not here for **us** to review today; is
3 that fair?

4 A. I don't keep it, and **I** don't even know if **I** got
5 it or what it contained.

6 Q. Dr. Corn, what do you charge for your deposition
7 testimony?

8 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

9 A. The charge is basically a charge for the service,
10 and it is based on an hourly basis, and it is
11 \$900 an hour.

12 Q. Did you charge for your report, your examination,
13 and your review of the records?

14 A. There was a global fee that was submitted for the
15 actual examination, review **of** medical records,
16 and the production **of** a medical report. And that
17 was one fee that was probably sent in back last
18 April.

19 Q. What was that fee?

20 A. I have no idea.

21 Q. About what would you expect it **to** be, given the
22 nature of this case?

23 A. Probably in a range between \$900 and \$1,700.

24 MR. BERENA: I am sorry, I didn't
25 hear you, Doctor.

1' THE WITNESS: Between \$900 and
2 \$1,700 for the whole service.

3 MR. BERENA: For the report?

4 THE WITNESS: For everything.

5 MR. KROHNGOLD: If we can just
6 have one attorney asking questions at a time. I
7 mean, when Ellen is done, Mr. Berena, if you want
8 to ask questions, but I prefer we not go back and
9 forth and back and forth like this.

10 MS. MCCARTHY: Okay.

11 | Q (Continuing.) Doctor, in terms of the records
12 that you did review after your examination, did
13 you have an opportunity to review Dr. Mervart's
14 records?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Have you ever seen those records?

17 A. No.

18 Q. I think you said earlier that Mrs. Heine
19 sustained a sprain or strain to her neck, upper
20 back, and lower back; is that correct?

21 A. I said neck and lower back. But that was my
22 opinion.

23 Q. Is a sprain or strain of the neck or low back
24 productive of pain?

25 A. For a period of time, sure.

1 Q. Why is that?

2 A. That is a good question. Most people don't have
3 a scientific explanation for that. But it
4 usually involves irritation of the muscles in
5 response to an injury.

6 We have all had the feeling of a pulled
7 muscle or an overused muscle, and that is
8 essentially varying degrees of uncomfortable.

9 There may be some local irritation of some
10 of the nerves that supply the muscles. I am not
11 really sure of the exact etiology of where the
12 pain comes from.

13 Q. In your report on page eight, top paragraph, you
14 indicate she was objectively recovered from her
15 neck, upper back, and lower back injuries.

16 Do you see that?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. **When** did she recover?

19 A. An exact date she recovered? I really don't know
20 the exact date. I know she had not sought any
21 medical care or attention for quite some time. I
22 would imagine it was sometime in 1995.

23 Q. You can't say with a reasonable degree of medical
24 certainty when, precisely, this woman recovered
25 from her neck and back injuries which you

1 attribute to this accident; is that a fair
2 statement?

3 A. I have no idea.

4 Q. Have you ever diagnosed a patient of your own
5 with permanent injuries to his or her neck and
6 back from strains and sprains?

7 A. I may have at one point in time in the past, yes.
8 They usually have some other component to it.
9 But I do believe there can be permanent objective
10 injuries from soft tissue that have been torn or
11 chronically inflamed.

12 Q. Can a person have a degenerative condition and
13 never know it?

14 A. Absolutely.

15 Q. Can a person have a degenerative condition and
16 never have any pain or any problem associated
17 with that condition?

18 A. Well, realistically I am sure people would say
19 yes to that answer, but they probably have some
20 stiffness or some weather change feelings. They
21 may have something they may not be aware of as
22 needing to see a doctor.

23 But I think there are many people that do
24 not seek medical attention for nuisance
25 complaints that may be degenerative in nature.

1 Q. When you asked Mrs. Heine if she ever, prior to
2 this accident, experienced stiffness or weather
3 type changes in any of the affected areas that
4 you have talked about, in particular her knees,
5 what did she tell you?

6 A. I don't remember if I asked that particular
7 question.

8 Q. Can a person have a degenerative condition which
9 doesn't produce any pain and get involved in a
10 motor vehicle accident and suddenly have pain?

11 A. Is it possible? Sure, it is possible.

12 Q. Why is it possible?

13 A. If there has been a significant enough stretching
14 injury to the joint, if it is a joint, or if
15 there is a disk that goes beyond its normal
16 degree of movement, or a ligament that goes
17 beyond its normal degree of stretchability or
18 strength, sure, you could injure a degenerative
19 condition. I don't think you are more
20 susceptible, but I think that, sure, you could
21 injure it.

22 Q. There is no evidence that this woman had any
23 prior problems with her knees, is there?

24 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

25 A. Again, I really didn't see any records that

1 that, in your opinion, the injury, at worst,
2 created a minor tear or aggravated a previously
3 torn medial meniscus.

4 You will stand by that statement today,
5 won't you?

6 A. Sure. That is a probability, yes.

7 Q. When you took her history, she told you that in
8 addition to hitting both knees either on the
9 steering column or the dashboard, she also had a
10 twisting type injury to her knee? She told you
11 that?

12 A. She may have told me that. It is difficult to
13 picture, but she did tell me that.

14 Q. It is noted on the second page of your report.

15 A. That is because she told me that, yes.

16 Q. Would you agree that a twisting motion of the leg
17 can produce a tear to the medial meniscus?

18 A. A twisting movement with certain other parameters,
19 not just a twisting movement, that it is part of
20 a mechanism for a tear.

21 Q. Doctor, is the nature and extent of property
22 damage significant in terms of the extent of
23 injury?

24 A. No, not in my opinion.

25 MS. MCCARTHY: I don't have any

1 more questions. Thank you.

2 - - -

3 CROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY MR. BERENA:

5 Q. Doctor, I would like to ask you a number of
6 questions.

7 I need to get this microphone.

8 MS. MCCARTHY: Oh, sorry.

9 Q. Do you have the records that were submitted to
10 you by Mr. Krohngold or Mr. Hurt in front of you,
11 Doctor?

12 A. I don't have all of them. We sent them back. I
13 have some of the records still here.

14 Q. I am going to direct your attention to the
15 nursing progress notes which are dated June 10,
16 1994.

17 A. From which source?

18 Q. This would be from Fairview General Hospital.

19 A. Okay.

20 Q. I don't know if you found the same.

21 A. I do. Page 42?

22 Q. Well, **up** at the left-hand corner it says 6/10/94.

23 A. Unfortunately this doesn't have the 6 -- the
24 first number is off of it. But it says "1700" in
25 the top margin.

1 Q It starts out reading, "Patient admitted to 227-2
2 for observation.

3 A I do not have that.

4 MR. XROHNGOLD: It might be up
5 here on the top line.

6 A Oh, yes, I do have that. Yes, okay.

7 Q You do have that?

8 A Yes. That is not the first thing that it says.

9 Q All right. Doctor, first of all, June 10, 1994,
10 that was the very day that this accident
11 occurred; is that correct?

12 A Correct.

13 Q And you have mentioned you understood that
14 Mrs. Heine came in by ambulance to the emergency
15 room at Fairview General. And reading toward the
16 end of the second line on my sheet, please follow
17 along with me on your copy, it says, "Complains
18 of left shoulder, arm, and knee pain."

19 Is that correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q So that we can understand that a person at
22 Fairview General, perhaps a nurse, spoke with
23 Mrs. Heine, and these are the things that that
24 nurse recorded that Mrs. Heine told that
25 individual; is that correct?

1 A. I assume that is how it happened, sure.

2 Q. So that is a statement by Mrs. Heine that her
3 left knee **is** bothering her **on** the very same day
4 that she first comes into Fairview Hospital, the
5 very same day **of** the accident; is that correct?

6 A. It does mention that, yes.

7 Q. If you would go down about six or seven lines,
8 toward the right-hand side it says, "C/O," with a
9 slash in between, "general soreness,"

10 What does that "C/O" mean?

11 A. Complains of.

12 Q. So she told the person that wrote this down that
13 she had soreness in a generalized way; **is** that
14 correct? How do you understand that, Doctor?
15 What does that mean?

16 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

17 A. I don't have any idea what that means.

18 Q. General soreness would mean throughout various
19 parts of her body; wouldn't that **be** correct?

20 A. I don't know.

21 Q. Do you know if that person asked her, "Mrs. Heine,
22 do you have any pain in your right knee?"

23 A. Again, I don't know what questions were asked,
24 and neither does anybody. All we know are some
25 of the responses that she may have made and that

1 were recorded by a licensed practical nurse on
2 that particular point in time at 1700 hours.

3 Q. Would you please follow it down there a little
4 bit further there, Doctor. A few more lines
5 below that it says, "Complains of generalized
6 pain." Then it says -- would you read those
7 words? It starts out with the word "very," "Very
8 slight --"

9 A. It looks like, "Very slight edema noted, left
10 knee."

11 Q. What does the word "edema" mean, Doctor?

12 A. It means swelling.

13 Q. And the person then looked at Mrs. Heine's knee?

14 A. We don't know that.

15 Q. And then wrote this?

16 A. I don't know.

17 Q. What is the normal course of your experience --
18 you have hospital privileges, you said, at all
19 these various hospitals.

20 A. Correct.

21 Q. How are the nurses trained, to your understanding?

22 A. I have no idea.

23 Q. What are the nurses called upon to do in writing
24 these records?

25 A. Basically, they have to chart what transpired

1 during various time periods of their nursing
2 shift.

3 Q. Do they write down what they see with their **own**
4 eyes?

5 A. I have no idea what they are told at Fairview
6 General Hospital.

7 Q. It says, "Very slight edema noted, left knee"; is
8 that correct, Doctor?

9 A. That is what it reads.

10 Q. So someone wrote that down --

11 A. Obviously.

12 Q. -- from observing or looking with their eyes at
13 Mrs. Heine's left knee.

14 A. I can't say that for sure.

15 Q. What does the word "edema" mean, again? I didn't
16 understand what you said.

17 A. Edema is actually a subcutaneous collection of
18 fluid.

19 Q. And you stated earlier in your testimony that a
20 twisting and a medial meniscus tear would result
21 in fluid being accumulated in the knee, didn't
22 you?

23 A. I never said that, no. The question was: Can a
24 twisting injury injure a meniscus?

25 Q. I thought earlier in your testimony, excuse me, I

1 thought you said that fluid would accumulate
2 where there was a torn medial meniscus.

3 A. No, it doesn't always do that.

4 Q. Okay. But does it do it sometimes, Doctor?

5 A. Well, it accumulates in a very specific pattern,
6 and I can't say that that is the one that is
7 being described here.

8 Q. But my question to you, sir, was: Does fluid
9 accumulate on occasions when there is a torn
10 medial meniscus?

11 A. Sometimes, about 25, 30 percent of the time.

12 Q. All right. Perhaps on this particular day, then,
13 you are aware Mrs. Heine was bedridden, that she
14 stayed in a bed for four days while she was at
15 Fairview Hospital?

16 MR. XROHNGOLD: Objection.

17 A. Again, I don't know what her mobility status was.
18 It is not real clear from reading the medical
19 records.

20 Q. If we go down a few more lines, please, Doctor,
21 if you would follow me, it says -- this is now on
22 June 11th, this is the next day, it says, "0800."

23 Do you see that line, Doctor?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. It says, "Complains of generalized pain, mainly

1 on the left side. Sore back." And what are the
2 next words there? I can't quite read that.

3 A. "Lying in bed. Has a history of back problems."

4 Q. So she is lying in bed when this note is made.

5 A. No, we don't know if she is lying in bed. We
6 know that at 800 hours this was the recording.
7 It recorded that is 8:00 in the morning the day
8 after the accident.

9 This is what the first nurse is noting at
10 some point in time on that shift.

11 Q. This is the next day that we are talking about.

12 A. The next morning.

13 Q. Please go down about six lines. I see an "L"
14 with a circle, and it says, "L knee." Could you
15 read that for us, please.

16 A. I am sorry, I have no idea where you are talking
17 about now.

18 Q. About four lines down further.

19 A. From where?

20 Q. From where we just read where it says "Mainly on
21 the left. Sore back." Go down five lines.

22 A. "Left knee hurts when ambulates to bathroom." So
23 she is clearly not in bed.

24 Q. What does that indicate, Doctor?

25 A. That means she is complaining of left knee pain

1 when she is walking to the bathroom.

2 Q. When she got out **of** bed to go to the bathroom --

3 A. The morning after the accident she was walking to
4 the bathroom, and she complained her left knee
5 hurt.

6 Q. And a nurse wrote that down in this chart; is
7 that correct?

8 A. That is what it says.

9 Q. You have no idea what caused the pain to her left
10 knee?

11 A. I don't think anybody does.

12 Q. In common sense, when she had just been in an
13 accident the day before, what do you conclude
14 from common sense, Doctor?

15 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

16 A. I found that common sense has very little
17 relevance in medicine, especially trying to
18 interpret someone else's opinions and what they
19 are putting down. Common sense plays **no** role in
20 this whatsoever.

21 !. **Would** you go down about -- let me count the
22 lines, please.

23 A. Maybe we can start at the bottom and count **up**.

24 Q. Well, yes, we could do that. Five up from the
25 bottom. This is in a different handwriting,

- 1 isn't it, Dr. Corn?
- 2 A. Well, I am not a handwriting specialist, but it
- 3 look pretty similar to the one that was written
- 4 the night before.
- 5 Q. Let's look at the part where it says, "Left knee
- 6 hurts when ambulates to bathroom."
- 7 A. That is definitely a different --
- 8 Q. That handwriting is definitely different than
- 9 this handwriting, isn't it?
- 10 A. Yes, that is absolutely true. This is 1630,
- 11 which is 4:30 in the afternoon.
- 12 Q. Can you read what it says there, Dr. Corn.
- 13 A. "Skin warm and intact. Denies pain."
- 14 Q. No, where it says, "Complains of," a little bit
- 15 lower.
- 16 A. "Denies pain at present. Complains of left knee,
- 17 ankle, shoulder soreness, left knee slightly
- 18 edematous."
- 19 Q. What does that mean, Dr. Corn?
- 20 A. I have **no** idea. "C-collar remains on. Patient
- 21 wishes to go home."
- 22 Q. Mrs. Heine was observed by another person who has
- 23 different handwriting at this time that you have
- 24 indicated. That person wrote down in this chart,
- 25 "Complains of left knee, ankle, shoulder

1 soreness, left knee slightly edematous." I don't
2 understand, what is "edematous"?

3 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection. He
4 said that didn't know. Asked and answered.

5 A. "Edematous" is the adjective for "edema."

6 Q. And edema means collection of fluid; is that
7 correct?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. So we now have another person observing
10 Mrs. Heine's left knee and writing down
11 "edematous."

12 A. We don't know that.

13 Q. Well, it is two different handwritings.

14 A. We know that there are two different persons
15 entering that. We don't know if it is the
16 patient saying that. We don't know if it is the
17 nurse saying that. We know it is the nurse
18 recording that.

19 Q. From your experience and your admissions at all
20 these various hospitals, you don't understand
21 that the nurses are supposed to write down the
22 objective findings that they see in the chart?

23 A. I have no idea what the nurses are instructed to
24 do. I spend very little time reading the nurses'
25 notes to determine physical findings because they

1 are usually very unqualified to give expert
2 findings medically, especially from an orthopedic
3 standpoint, which is a lot more confusing than
4 the typical medical findings.

5 Q. But don't you, as a treating physician, don't you
6 read the nurses' notes before you further treat a
7 patient? Don't you use that information?

8 A. No, not typically. I scan the nurses' notes. I
9 usually, typically, make rounds with the nurses,
10 so I don't usually read their notes until I sign
11 off on the chart. So I do not use the nurses'
12 notes as a practical means of patient management.

13 Quite frankly, their assessments are not
14 always accurate when it comes to complex
15 diagnostic areas. I think it is important that --

16 Q. Is observing --

17 MR. XROHNGOLD: Objection. Let
18 him finish his answer.

19 Q. Excuse me, Doctor. Is observing a swollen knee,
20 is that a complex diagnosis?

21 A. If you don't know -- well, we don't know who is
22 saying that. We don't know what that means. It
23 is not quantitated in any way, shape, or form.

24 It doesn't say what position the knee
25 appeared to be swollen in. It didn't say where

1 the knee appeared to be swollen. So it really
2 gives me no clinical information whatsoever
3 except that somebody wrote it down.

4 Q. Somebody that happens to be a registered nurse,
5 and another person that happens to be a
6 registered nurse.

7 A. Again, it says LPN. These are licensed practical
8 nurses.

9 Q. And they have years of training, don't they,
10 Doctor?

11 A. I don't know what their training is. I am sure
12 it is not as long as medical training.

13 Q. Let me ask this question: Mrs. Heine explained
14 to you how this accident happened; is that
15 correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. She told you she had both of her feet on the
18 brake pedal at the time of the impact?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. She told you that the impact caused her feet to
21 slip off of that brake pedal?

22 A. She said that it slipped off after the impact.

23 Q. And she told you --

24 A. I did not understand that the impact caused the
25 knee, caused the legs, the feet to come off of

1 the brake pedal. That is not what I understood.

2 Q. Mrs. Heine further explained how close she was to
3 the steering wheel and the dashboard in her
4 vehicle, didn't she?

5 A. She may have.

6 Q. Now, you mentioned that you saw Mrs. Heine for
7 the first time, and you first saw her on April 7,
8 1997; is that correct, Doctor?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And that is about two years and ten months after
11 this collision occurred; is that correct?

12 A. That is probably true. I didn't do the
13 arithmetic, but I would have no problems with
14 that.

15 Q. How many physical therapy treatments had
16 Mrs. Heine had before you saw her?

17 A. I have no idea. Probably over 70.

18 Q. How do you come up with the number 70?

19 A. I think that is what they did at Beachwood
20 Orthopedics.

21 Q. Did Mr. Xrohngold write you that number in one of
22 his letters?

23 MS. ~~McCarthy~~ *Xrohngold*: I counted them up
24 and I told the doctor.

25 A. He told me 73 or something like that.

1 Q. So you hadn't counted them yourself, right?

2 A. I don't waste my time doing things like
3 that.

4 MR. KROHNGOLD: I waste my time
5 doing things like that.

6 Q. Mr. Krohngold counted them and he brought it to
7 your attention; is that correct?

8 A. Mr. Krohngold mentioned to me that -- he
9 mentioned the care and treatment, and that is
10 what he told me.

11 Q. How many prescriptions had Mrs. Heine had for
12 pain medication before she saw you?

13 A. I have no idea.

14 Q. How many prescriptions for other types of
15 medications, muscle relaxers, et cetera, had she
16 had before she saw you?

17 A. I have no idea.

18 Q. How much time had passed after this accident
19 before Mrs. Heine was first seen by Dr. Morris?

20 A. I think it was a couple weeks.

21 Q. So he saw her within 14 days of when this
22 accident occurred; is that correct, Doctor?

23 A. I think that is what the records indicate.

24 Q. How many times did he see Mrs. Heine over that
25 two years and ten months before you saw her?

1 A. I have no idea. I haven't counted the number. I
2 am sure you have that number.

3 Q. Doctor, would it be fair to say -- you are a
4 physician -- if you had seen a patient over a
5 protracted period of time yourself, one of your
6 patients, and you had performed various tests and
7 various office examinations on more than one
8 occasion over a period of two years and ten
9 months, would you be in a better position to give
10 opinions about your patient than Dr. Morris if he
11 saw your patient one time two years and ten
12 months after an incident?

13 A. Well, in fact Dr. Morris has seen some of my
14 patients and has come to similar conclusions that
15 I have.

16 The thing is that I cannot tell you how she
17 was at all those various times. I rely on the
18 medical records, their validity, their truth,
19 their honesty, and their completeness. That is
20 not what my job was here.

21 My job was to evaluate her at one particular
22 point in time a number of years after the
23 accident to ascertain what any degree of
24 permanence was, what her current injuries are,
25 what her current problems are.

1 I am sure that I have no idea, and I am sure
2 that Dr. Morris, other than his notes, may have
3 no idea what each and every one of his visits
4 were. You are talking about apples and oranges.
5 That is **not** my job.

6 My job was to look at her one particular
7 time, to look at her present condition at that
8 point in time, to review the medical records and
9 give opinions of how she was at that particular
10 point in time. That does not necessitate me
11 being there at every single visit to see how she
12 was at one point in time.

13 Q. Dr. Corn, on page four of your report at the
14 bottom, it says, "Past medical history."

15 Do you see that portion, sir?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Would you read just the first four or five words
18 after "Past medical history."

19 A. It says, "Past medical history revealed
20 absolutely no prior knee problems."

21 Q. Mrs. Heine was able to go about her daily life
22 and she was pain free, she had no problems with
23 her knees before this collision; isn't that true?

24 A. That is what she said.

25 Q. Do you have any reason not to believe Mrs. Heine?

- 1 A. I don't have any opinion one way or the other.
- 2 Q. Well, when you saw her, did she appear to you
3 that she was trying to deceive you or mislead **you**
4 or lie to you?
- 5 A. You know, I didn't give her that opportunity
6 because I didn't really **look** at the medical
7 records and try to quiz her on what she may have
8 said or didn't say. That was not the purpose of
9 it.
- 10 Q. Well, neither defense counsel has ever given you
11 Dr. Mervart's records even up to today; is that
12 correct? You haven't seen them?
- 13 A I haven't seen them.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Now, you mention in your report that
15 your opinion about this degenerative condition is
16 based upon the films that you took, the films
17 that you looked at from Dr. Gabelman's surgery;
18 is that correct?
- 19 A. The arthroscopic pictures, yes.
- 20 Q. And you are aware that Dr. **Morris** has a different
21 opinion; is that correct?
- 22 A. I have no idea what his opinion was. He wasn't
23 even there at the time of surgery.
- 24 Q. **Is** it fair to say that physicians have different
25 opinions regarding the treatment of different

1 patients?

2 A. I think when you look at objective findings, such
3 as pictures of an abnormality, I don't know how
4 you can have a great deal of individual opinion.

5 Q. That wasn't my question, Dr. Corn. Your approach
6 treating a patient may be different than a
7 different physician; is that correct?

8 A. My approach to treatment?

9 Q. Yes.

10 A. **Sure**, absolutely.

11 Q. Have you treated patients on subjective
12 complaints?

13 A. Not for very long. Until I start getting
14 diagnostic testing, until I find something else
15 to treat, I do not feel comfortable treating
16 subjective symptoms. Because people with
17 subjective symptoms only don't get better.

18 Q. Is an MRI test an objective finding?

19 A. No. An **MRI** test is not an objective finding. An
20 MRI test generates objective x-ray abnormalities.

21 Q. In this case you indicated Mrs. Heine's MRI of
22 her left knee clearly showed abnormal findings?

23 A. It clearly showed abnormal findings.

24 Q. And then later on, a short while after that,
25 Mrs. Heine had an MRI on her right knee, **and** that

1 also showed abnormal findings?

2 A. It showed the identical abnormal findings. As a
3 matter of fact, the reports were absolutely
4 identical.

5 Q. Now, when Mrs. Heine had the first surgery on the
6 left knee, are you aware she had to try to use
7 crutches afterward during her rehabilitation?

8 A. That would have been the appropriate thing to do.

9 Q. Are you aware -- and I showed you her complaints
10 of left shoulder injury from the hospital records
11 of June 10.

12 A. Well, it doesn't say left shoulder injury. It
13 says she has left shoulder pain.

14 Q. When we use crutches, we put the crutch
15 underneath our armpit; is that correct?

16 A. If you do, you are doing it incorrectly. These
17 are not crutches that rest in your armpits.
18 These are crutches that you basically walk on
19 with your forearms and your hands. You should
20 not rest them **in** your armpits. You should not
21 put any stress on your shoulder that way.

22 Q. Can a person who is favoring one leg, like the
23 left leg or left knee, can they put extra strain
24 on their right leg or right knee?

25 A. Well, that is the purpose of the crutches, to

1 equalize it. I don't really believe there is
2 excessive strain put on the opposite leg if you
3 are using your crutches appropriately.

4 Q. I will finish up very briefly, Doctor.

5 Doctor, you said you had this standard fee
6 for your services which included both the
7 deposition and the report.

8 A. No, that is not what I said.

9 Q. The deposition cost today was \$900; is that
10 correct?

11 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

12 A. Well, the deposition charges by my corporation
13 for my services as an employee is \$900 an hour.

14 Q. \$900 an hour?

15 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

16 A. Correct.

17 Q. And then the report cost was something different?

18 A. Well, there is no separate report cost. It was
19 the global fee for the time it took to do the
20 examination, review the medical records and
21 x-rays, and the production of a nine-page report
22 -- ten-page report -- nine and a little bit more
23 page report.

24 Q. Now, when you saw Mrs. Heine, which was two years
25 and ten months after this accident, she had told

1 you that she had had to use a TENS unit; is that
2 correct?

3 A. That is what she told me she used, yes.

4 Q. Would you tell us what a TENS unit is, please.

5 A. A TENS unit stands for transcutaneous nerve
6 stimulator.

7 Q. What is the purpose of having those TENS unit
8 being used, Doctor, please?

9 MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

10 A. Well, typically, I don't recommend them, and I
11 don't distribute them in my practice. But it is
12 supposedly to relieve pain.

13 Q. Also, Mrs. Heine indicated to you that she had
14 tried to do, and along with her physical therapy
15 treatments, treating herself at home; is that
16 correct, Doctor?

17 A. Well, that is all she had done for quite sometime
18 because she didn't see her treating doctors for
19 quite sometime before she saw me.

20 Q. No, I am talking about in the early course of her
21 treatment. She was actively trying to get
22 better. She was using the TENS unit; is that
23 correct?

- 24 A. Well, a TENS unit doesn't get you better. A TENS
25 unit is a method of supposedly relieving pain.

1 Q. She also used home cervical traction equipment;
2 is that correct?

3 A. Correct. That was another unit, I think, that
4 was distributed by Dr. Morris's office.

5 Q. She also indicated to you that she was using a
6 stationary bicycle?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. So those were efforts Mrs. Heine was doing in
9 order to try to strengthen her legs and make her
10 movements better, and so forth; is that correct,
11 Doctor?

12 A. Well, some of them were. At least the stationary
13 bicycle was.

14 MR. BERENA: Thank you. I have no
15 further questions.

16 - - -

17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. KROHNGOLD:

19 Q. Doctor, I have now just a few questions, and I
20 will hope to be brief so we can let you go, and
21 hopefully we haven't put the jury to sleep too
22 much so far today.

23 Why don't we go off the record for just a
24 moment, please.

25 (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the

1 record.)

2 Q. (Continuing.) Doctor, I just want to try to
3 review a couple of things that were raised by
4 Mr. Berena upon his questioning of you.

5 I just showed you two pages of records from
6 Mr. Mervart, which I will represent to you are
7 the only records from Dr. Mervart I have ever
8 seen in this case.

9 Could you please tell us what date are from
10 those pages, please.

11 A. Basically, one is handwritten and one is typed
12 from June 28 of 1994.

13 Q. And that is about two weeks after the accident,
14 or so, two and a half weeks after the accident?

15 A. More or less, yes.

16 Q. What are her complaints at that time?

17 A. Basically --

18 MR. BERENA: Object. I object.

19 A. She basically had some neck aches, and she had
20 some pain going from her neck to her shoulders.
21 She had some tingling of both upper extremities.
22 So she had a bunch of subjective symptoms at that
23 time.

24 Q. Any complaints of knee problems at that time to
25 that doctor?

1 A. No. He is a neurosurgeon. I am not sure how he
2 would even handle a knee complaint.

3 Q. But at least there is none in those records?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Now, Ms. McCarthy actually had asked you a
6 question about aggravating a dormant preexisting
7 condition. Assuming for the moment that
8 Ms. Heine struck her knees on the dashboard, as
9 she is suggesting, would that type of trauma
10 cause the kind of stretching or twisting injury
11 which you had talked about earlier in aggravating
12 one of these degenerative conditions?

13 A. Not typically.

14 Q. And was there evidence, from your review of the
15 photographs, again, that if this happened this
16 caused any kind of tear of the meniscus?

17 MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

18 A. You know, I really was racking my brain on this
19 issue. And this was probably one of the hardest
20 things to review is something that happened two
21 years ago and trying to fill in blanks which the
22 own treating doctor really could not explain.

23 I have never seen a torn meniscus tear -- or
24 a meniscus, I should say, tear the way that these
25 pictures appear with the way the injury was

1 described, in that there didn't appear to be any
2 acute or fresh component to it. This just looked
3 like a chewed up meniscus.

4 Could one of those little tears have
5 occurred? Sure. But it certainly wouldn't have
6 necessitated the need for the removal of the
7 cartilage and removal of the end of the thigh
8 bone cartilage.

9 I just can't see how that would happen from
10 a physical standpoint. I just don't understand
11 the mechanism that that would have happened.

12 Q. While we were off the record, I asked you to take
13 a look at the records from the EMS and the
14 typewritten initial intake records from the
15 emergency room. And I will just hand those to
16 you once again.

17 First of all, the EMS report, is that
18 identified somehow at the top?

19 A. I recognize it. It says, "Cuyahoga County EMS
20 Run Report Form."

21 Q. All right. Is there anything in the description
22 of her complaints about her knees striking the
23 dashboard?

24 A. No. They typically show a little schematic of
25 the human body and where they assess the injuries

1 to be. You can clearly see that the injuries
2 that she was concerned with at that time -- they
3 have a little stick figure of the back of a
4 person and the front of a person -- and they
5 shaded the areas in the left shoulder and the
6 left side and abdomen region. There is
7 absolutely no shaded regions in the lower
8 extremities at all.

9 Q. Next to there are some boxes which talk about
10 normal and abnormal parts of her body.

11 A. Yes. This is, simple checklist, as well as a
12 head injury sheet.

13 Q. What part of the body would the blank be for her
14 knees under that?

15 A. It says normal.

16 Q. For what part?

17 A. Back and spine, lower extremities normal. Upper
18 extremities, she had pain.

19 Q. So lower extremities would include the knees.

20 A. Yes.

21 Q. And that was checked off as normal?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. Now, I asked you also to review some of the
24 typewritten intake notes from the hospital. And
25 have you had a chance to review those?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Now, is there anything in those intake records
3 about her striking her knees anywhere?

4 A. No.

5 Q. Now, **on** the side there I put a little yellow tag
6 on the side of the pages there, and I believe you
7 just read that to the jury. If you could read
8 that one line about previous history.

9 A. I think we discussed that before. It is that
10 particular line that is on page 42 **of** my records,
11 dated 6/11/94 at 8:00 in the morning, "Complains
12 of generalized pain in the left side. Sore
13 back. Lying in bed. Has had history of back
14 problems."

15 Q. So these records which Mr. Berena had been
16 discussing at length with you **do** contain an
17 admission by her of a history of back problems.

18 A. That is what it says.

19 Q. Did she acknowledge that to you at the time that
20 she came and saw you?

21 A. No.

22 Q. Now, Mr. Berena had also asked you about your
23 knowledge about medication that she was taking.
24 Do you recall that?

25 A. Yes. He asked me how many prescriptions.

1 Q I would like to show you a listing of
2 prescriptions that is going to be submitted to
3 the jury in this case from Beachwood Orthopedics,
4 and ask you if you are familiar with some of
5 these medications there, because they are kind of
6 long names.

7 A. Yes, sure.

8 Q. Could you tell us, generally, are they generic
9 terms for what may be more commonly known as some
10 of the drugs that people may take for pain?

11 A. Yes. They dispense these medicines themselves.
12 There is a medicine called Relafen and Naprosyn.
13 Those are both anti-inflammatory medications.
14 Darvocet, which is basically a Darvon, mild to
15 moderate pain killer. Ibuprofen, which is
16 Motrin. Cyclobenzaprine, that is a Flexeril,
17 that is another muscle relaxant. And those are
18 the medicines.

19 So basically an anti-inflammatory, three
20 anti-inflammatory medications, and one mild to
21 moderate pain medication.

22 Q. All right. Now, you said ibuprofen. Is that --

23 A. Ibuprofen is Motrin. It is the same stuff you
24 get over the counter, only it is a little
25 stronger.

1 Q. What is the charge for the ibuprofen there?

2 A. Ibuprofen was \$29.16.

3 Q. And is there a charge for acetaminophen?

4 A. That is Tylenol. Well, it is actually Darvon and
5 Tylenol.

6 Q. What is the charge for that?

7 A. \$34.65.

8 Q. Are there some prescriptions there as much as \$70
9 there?

10 A. Yes, the Flexeril, the muscle relaxants, were
11 \$88.03.

12 Q. Do you know how these compare to drug prices if
13 you were to go to Revco and buy them?

14 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

15 Q. If you **know**.

16 A. You know, I don't know the precise things. They
17 seem a little on the high side, but I don't have
18 the exact fees. These are probably generics.
19 Generics don't typically cost as much as the name
20 brand.

21 Q. All right. Mr. Berena has also asked you a
22 couple questions about your abilities to or your
23 qualification to comment on some of these
24 injuries that Mrs. Heine had.

25 You are aware that although Dr. Morris is

1 the only one that is testifying in the case, he
2 is not the one that performed the actual
3 surgeries?

4 A. Correct. Dr. Gabelman performed the surgeries.

5 Q. Do you know whether Dr. Morris ever even looked
6 at the photographs that you showed to the jury of
7 how her knee looked at the time of the surgery?

8 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

9 A. I have no idea what he reviewed and what he
10 didn't review.

11 Q. Assuming that he never looked at those photo-
12 graphs, would you be in as good or better
13 position to comment on the condition of
14 Ms. Heine's knee at the time of surgery?

15 MS. McCARTHY: Objection.

16 A. I think if you looked at the pictures, you would
17 know exactly what the condition was. If you
18 didn't look at the pictures, I am not sure how
19 you would have any idea what the condition was.

20 Q. From your review of the pictures, does this
21 appear to be a degenerative process that is going
22 on inside Ms. Heine's knee?

23 MR. BERENA: Objection.

24 A. Yes.

25 MR. KROHNGOLD: Doctor, that is

1 all I have. Thank you.

2 - - -

3 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

4 BY MS. McCARTHY:

5 Q. Dr. Corn, I think you indicated in response to
6 one of Wally's questions that there was a nursing
7 note from June 11 that said she made a history of
8 back complaints or made a complaint of back
9 problems.

10 A. She had a history of back problems.

11 Q. Thank you. And I think you said that she didn't
12 reveal that to you. Is that what your comment
13 was? Or you didn't know about it?

14 A. I wasn't aware of that.

15 Q. To be fair, Doctor, on page five of your report,
16 top paragraph, it says, "She did briefly discuss
17 the 1980 injury. This was a somewhat substantial
18 impact, with an injury to her neck and low back.
19 She did state that she had objectively recovered
20 from these injuries prior to the car accident in
21 question." Did I read that correctly?

22 A. Correct, you did.

23 MS. McCARTHY: I don't have any
24 more questions for you.

25 MR. BERENA: I just have one.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BERENA:

Q. Dr. Corn, when Mrs. Heine told you about the 1980 accident, didn't she indicate to you that she had a lot of serious dental problems and teeth that were cracked and broken, and she had to have a significant amount of dental work from that accident?

MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

A. You know, if she did, I would not have recorded it because I don't have any particular knowledge or interest in dental injuries.

Q. But it is clear that she did tell you about that accident; is that correct?

A. She did tell me that she was in it, and she had completely recovered from it.

Q. And that accident was 14 years before this accident; isn't that true?

A. Correct. I was not aware that she had a current back problem.

MR. BERENA: Thank you. No further questions.

- - -

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KROHNGOLD:

Q. Doctor, if someone had recovered a long time ago from a back injury, do you think it would be likely that they would mention a history of back problems to a nurse in a hospital if they had recovered from that long ago --

MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

Q. -- that would appear in a daily nurse's note?

MS. MCCARTHY: Objection.

A. Again, I would say typically you would only give a problem to the nurse of a current situation. Again, this probably goes into the level of detail of these nurses' notes, and that they are not real complete, and they are not meant to be comprehensive.

I have no idea what she told them, if she tried to explain that this was a recent back problem, or something that was 20 years earlier, that is really not clear, which goes to the lack of specificity of all nurses' notes. They don't particularly have doctor issues in mind. They have nursing issues in mind.

MR. KROHNGOLD: Thanks, Doctor,
that is all.

- - -

FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. BERENA:

Q. Just one question, Doctor. If Mrs. Heine wanted to be forthright with a nurse who asked her, "Have you ever hurt your back before," then she answered honestly and truthfully; is that correct?

A. The fact is --

MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

A. The fact is that you don't know what question was asked, how the question was phrased, or what the response was. So I don't know, and I don't have an opinion on any of those type of questions.

Q. She clearly told the nurse she had a prior back problem.

MR. KROHNGOLD: Objection.

A. Again, all I know is that they had a history of back problems. I have no idea what else was explained, or what the question was that elicited that, or whether it was a voluntary remark. I have no idea.

Q. Just the same way that you don't know about the rest of the nursing notes that we went through in detail; is that correct?

1 A. Again, if you are making some sort of derogatory
2 inference, that really frosts me because I tried
3 to explain nurses' notes are nurses' notes. They
4 deal with nursing care issues. They do not
5 typically address orthopedic issues.

6 I don't know what questions were asked that
7 produced these answers. I don't know if it is
8 the patient's own interpretation that the nurse
9 is recording. I don't know. And you don't
10 know.

11 All we know is that there are certain
12 statements, and if you want to isolate out a
13 statement, you can isolate out a statement. But
14 it really means nothing to me without looking at
15 the entire picture or seeing what the doctors
16 thought at that time. And the doctors didn't
17 even mention any problem with her knee during
18 that hospitalization.

19 MR. KROHNGOLD: Anything else?

20 MR. BERENA: Thank you. Doctor.

21 MR. KROHNGOLD: Nothing further,
22 Doctor. Thank you very much.

23 MR. SIMON: Doctor, you have the
24 right to view the videotape and/or read the
25 transcript, or you can waive such rights.

1 THE WITNESS: I will waive my
2 right to review both.

3 MR. SIMON: Counsel, do *you* want
4 to waive the filing of the videotape?

5 MR. KROHNGOLD: Yes.

6 MS. MCCARTHY: Yes.

7 - - -

8 (DEPOSITION CONCLUDED.)

9 (SIGNATURE WAIVED.)

10 - - -

CERTIFICATE

State of Ohio, }
County of Cuyahoga.) ss:

I, Diane M. Stevenson, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the within-named witness, ROBERT C. CORN, M.D., was by me first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then given by him was by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said witness, afterwards transcribed by means of computer-aided transcription, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony as given by him as aforesaid.

I do further certify that this deposition was taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified, and was completed without adjournment.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee or attorney of any party, or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland Ohio, on this 16th day of JANUARY, 1998.



Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Notary Public in and for
The State of Ohio.

My Commission expires October 31, 2000.

Diane M. Stevenson, RMR
Morse, Gantverq & Hodge