1564 THE STATE OF OHIO,) 1) SS: JAMES J. CARROLL, J. COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA: 2) 3 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 4 (CIVIL DIVISION) 5 WENDY WILLIAMS PERIN,) 6) Plaintiff,) 7) vs.) Case No. 153064 8 BELLA LEYBOVICH, 9 10) Defendant.) 11 --- 000 ---12 EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS 13 (Cross-examination of Dr. Kenneth Callahan) 14 --- 000 ----15 16 APPEARANCES : 17 On Behalf of the Plaintiff: 18 THOMAS O. CALLAGHAN, ESQ. 19 On Behalf of Defendant: 20 DAVID G. BORLAND, ESQ. 21 22 23 CANN Thomas C. Walters 24 Official Court Reporter Cuyahoga County, Ohio 25

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON SESSION, OCTOBER 18, 1989 1 2 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Callaghan? 5 MR. CALLAGHAN: 6 Thank you, your Honor. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF KENNETH CALLAHAN 8 9 BY MR. CALLAGHAN: Good afternoon, Doctor. My name is Tom Q 10 Callaghan? 11 Hi, Mr. Callaghan. How are you? A 12 I spell my name with a G and you don't? Q 13 Right. Your parents could spell better than A 14 mine. 15 Q You had at one time? 16 A That's right. 17 Doctor, you and I first met in your office Q 18 January 7th of 1987 on the time of your exam of Wendy 19 Perin, right? 20 Right. That's correct, Mr. Callaghan. A 21 Do you recall my being present at that time? Q 22 A I do. 23 And I was present during the time type of your 24 Q recording of notes of the history that Wendy gave, 25

2

was I not? 1 A That is correct, Mr. Callaghan. 2 And, Doctor, you didn't tape-record that Q З session, did you? 4 A No. 5 0 Do you remember, we were both taking notes? 6 As I remember, my yellow pad and your yellow pad? 7 A Yes. 8 I see you're looking at your notes? Q 9 A Yes. 10 MR. CALLAGHNA: Your Honor, may I 11 have a moment to look at Dr. Callahan's notes? 12 And, Doctor, have you brought your 13 complete chart with you today? 14 THE WITNESS: I have, indeed, 15 Mr. Callaghan. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Borland? 17 MR. BORLAND: That's fine. 18 MR. CALLAGHAN: May I approach the 19 witness? 20 THE COURT: Surely. 21 MR. CALLAGHAN: If the Court 22 please, this may take a minute for me to 23 review. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25

 $t = - t \cdot t_1$

- i

Thank you, Doctor? Q 1 Thank you, Mr. Callaghan. 2 А And those are the only notes of that meeting 3 Q that you have, is that correct, Doctor? 4 A That is correct, Mr. Callaghan. 5 Q And I take it it's your practice, Doctor, not 6 to ever tape-record those sessions, is that true? 7 A That is true. 8 All right. And you don't tape-record those Q G sessions to this day, do you? 10 A No. 11 Defense medicals, what we call defense Q 12 medicals? 13 A I call them independent medical examinations. 14 All right. Were you satisfied, Doctor, and are Q__ 15 you now satisfied that the notes that you took that 16 day included all that you thought was relevant about 17 what Wendy told you? 18 A Yes. 19 All right. And you were aware then, were you Q 20 not, that an arthrogram procedure had been performed 21 a month and a half earlier? 22 Yes. Д 23 Had that been performed to one or both sides of Q 24 her jaw? 25

Å.

I never saw the copy of the arthrogram, but I А 1 believe it was done on both sides. 2 And Wendy told you at that visit, January 6, 0 3 1987, in fact, that she had just had the arthrograms 4 performed, didn't she? 5 A Yes, I have it here. 6 And did she tell you that they were positive 0 7 findings on the arthrogram at the time? 8 I don't recall that she had, but I would look A 9 at the arthrogram ordinarily anyway. 10 0 That is not included in your notes as to what 11 she might have said about the results of the 12 arthrogram, is it? 13 It's implied. Dr. Lewis sent me to University A 14 Hospitals for arthrograms. The next sentence, Lewis 15 said I need surgery. 16 If it was a negative arthrogram she 17 wouldn't need surgery. 18 When she told you that Dr. Lewis had talked 0 19 about surgery, if you, in fact, believed her, given 20 your regard for Dr. Lewis, you would have believed 21 that she probably would have surgery at that time, is 22 that not true? 23 A Yes. 24 Q Okay. Doctor, tell me if you will, why at 25

that time you ordered a panorex X-ray? 1 Well, a panorex X-ray, Mr. Callaghan, will show A 2 the ball and socket. It will show whether there's 3 any erosion or nibbling away, osteonecrosis of the 4 bone. 5 It will show a considerable amount of 6 the bone. 7 Q But it won't show anything about the inside of 8 the temporomandibular, would it? 9 A No. 10 And you knew at that time, based upon your exam 0 11 and based upon Wendy's report, again if you believed 12 her, that the arthrogram had been performed and 13 Dr. Donald Lewis was considering surgery, isn't that 14 true? 15 Yes. A 16 Were you looking for something else from a 17 treatment standpoint, having done those panorex 18 X-rays? 19 From a diagnostic standpoint, Mr. Callaghan, if A 20 there is arthritic changes or if there are bone 21 changes a flattening of the condyle, you can learn a 22 lot from a panorex. 23 You knew at that time that the panorex X-rays 0 24 were not going to show you anything with respect to 25

б

her internal derangement of the temporomandibular 1 joint itself, correct? 2 That it does not show soft tissue. Α З Right. Now, October 12, 1987 you wrote that 0 4 letter to Mr. Borland's law firm as you testified? 5 Yes. А 6 And the purpose of that report is to evaluate 0 7 Mrs. Perin in terms of one, her injury, and whether 8 or not her injury was caused by the accident, fair to 9 say? 10 That is correct. A 11 And that report, including the signature page, 0 12 consisted of ten typewritten pages? 13 That is correct, Mr. Callaghan. A 4 That report as you testified was written ten 0 15 months after that one visit Wendy and I made to your 16 office in January of 1987? 17 Partly on that and also all of the other À 18 reports I have before me. 19 As far as the history is concerned, you had to Q 20 look back into your notes there and reconstruct what 21 Wendy had told you based upon your notes? 22 That's correct. Ā 23 0 Because you didn't have any tape-recording 24 device at the time? 25

* / . - · · · ·

1	A NO.
2	Q Doctor, isn't it fact when Wendy and I visited
3	you, and we were both there taking notes, that Wendy
4	told you that she could she could eat, but that
5	she had she couldn't bite, couldn't open her mouth
6	for something big, but that she was eating soft foods
7	at that time?
8	A Well, I don't I don't take notes,
9	Mr. Callaghan. If you notice these are all long hand
10	sentences. It's a narrative form. It's not just
11	notes, so I don't have that in my notes.
12	I knew she could not open very wide, so
13	I think it's implies. It only opened 35 millimeters
14	at that time.
15	Q In your report of October 12, 1987, who is this
16	Dr. Gelb? Is he well known as an authority?
17	A I have his textbook here. Yes, I believe he's
18	well known as an authority.
19	Q And this is the third addition or second
20	addition?
21	A Second addition.
22	Q Has he been he recognized for sometime as an
23	authority on the subject of temporomandibular joint
24	dysfunction?
25	A Yes, he is one authority, yes.

And there are other authorities upon whom you Q 1 rely? Of course, you testified? 2 That is correct. A 3 And how long has he been recognized as an 0 4 authority in your view, Doctor? 5 I don't know specifically, Mr. Callaghan. A 6 I would think in the '80's. He published the book in 7 '85, so we certainly recognized it since then. 8 Had he published before that time? 0 9 I don't know. A 10 As a matter of fact, up until three and a half Q 11 years ago you had never heard of Dr. Gelb, isn't that 12 right, Doctor? 13 I have to think about that. I don't know A 14 whether I had or not. When his book came out I 15 bought it, and that was in '85. I certainly heard of 16 it then. 17 Dr. Gelb has been recognized as an author, at 0 18 least and writing textbooks for a long time before 19 1985, isn't that true? 20 I said I don't know, Mr. Callaghan. Ã 21 So you didn't know him until recently, is that Q 22 correct, Doctor? 23 I still don't know him. Å 24 You just knew him as of three years? Q 25

I don't know him now. I just know what he A 1 writes. 2 Now, Doctor, on page seven of your report, and Q 3 could you pull that out, dated October 12th? Are you 4 on page seven? 5 Yes. A 6 Under discussion, I wonder if you would read 0 7 along with me? "Trauma is rarely listed as one of 8 the prime ideological factors involved in language 9 standing and chronic temporomandibular joint 10 dysfunction. 11 This applies particularly to trauma in 12 which the patient did not strike any object at the 13 time of the traumatic incident with her jaws, face or 14 teeth." 15 And you made that statement then? 16 Yes. A 17 October of --Q 18 Trauma is meant to understand in this context, A 19 external trauma. 20 As opposed to internal trauma which you 0 21 discussed --22 Yes. Å 23 -- on direct? 0 24 A Yes. 25

Right. Is that still your view today? Q 1 Α That it is rare? 2 61.0 It's rarely a factor ideologically in the cause Q 3 of TMJ dysfunction? 4 I believe that it is a factor, but it's well A 5 down on the list. 6 Well down on the list? Q 7 А Yes. 8 an du And that is in terms of being and ideological Q 9 factor with temporomandibular joint dysfunction? 10 A Yes. 11 0 That is opposed to myofascial pain dysfunction? 12 A No, not as opposed to -- as opposed to the 13 other causes of temporomandibular joint. 14 Let's get our definitions straight, shall we? Q 15 A Please do. 16 I'm going to try. Myofascial pain dysfunction Q 17 is something separate and apart, is it not, from 18 internal derangement? 19 Absolutely. A 20 Okay. And both of those disorders, if you 0 21 will, can be subheadings of the general category of 22 TTMJ dysfunction, fair enough? 23 That's correct. Å 24 0 Now, you would agree, of course, that trauma is 25

ntio rarely an ideological factor in the cause of 1 myofascial pain dysfunction, correct? 2 Well, I'll have to think about that. I didn't A 3 say that in the report. 1 I'm asking to you breakdown the statement, Ο. 5 because it's a general statement, is it not, Doctor? 6 Yes. A 7 And it includes both myofascial pain 0 8 dysfunction and internal derangement and I want to 9 break it up. 10 Are you referring in that statement 11 more to myofascial pain dysfunction which Wendy did 12 not have, or are you are referring just as much and 13 with just as much force to internal derangement? 14 In this statement I'm saying that the overall Α 15 causes of temporomandibular disorder, whether it's 16 MPD or internal derangement, that of the overall 17 causes, I believe that trauma is well down on the 18 list of common causes. 19 0 All right. And you would further agree that 20 that statement insofar as it relates to MPD is 21 irrelevant to Wendy's case because at the time, at 22 the time of the writing of the report you already 23 knew she had internal derangement and that was the 24 subject of the report and the subject of this case, 25

isn't that true?

1

25

A I don't break down between MPD and internal
derangement. In this particular case she has
internal derangement.

Q Do you agree it might not have been a bad idea when you wrote this, because it was specifically about this young woman that we might have couched the phrase in terms of what she actually had, not another dysfunction, MPD?

10 A I don't really know what you are getting to, 11 but --

Q All right. Well, admittedly, Doctor, I'm a little clumsy. This is my first TMJ case and please bear with me.

What if the statement read like this, trauma is rarely listed as one of the prime trauma is rarely listed as one of the prime of the temporomandibular joint?

19Would you agree with that statement?20AI probably would agree to that, Mr. Callaghan,21yes.

Q You would agree also that trauma is rarely related to causing internal derangement, intrinsic trauma?

A Yes, that's correct.

NAU And understand that Dr. Lewis and Dr. Goldberg Q 1 completely disagree with you on that topic? 2 A Yes. 3 And there are many, many others that, in fact, Q 4 disagree with you, isn't that so, on that subject? 5 A No. 6 They are two of the only people that you know Q 7 of in this community, in your field of oral and 8 maxillofacial surgery that disagree with you on that 9 topic? 10 Well, I haven't asked everybody, Mr. Callaghan, A 11 but the ones I asked pretty much agree with me. 12 I added an appendage to that statement, 13 Please feel free --Q 14 If internal trauma did cause it, then why don't A 15 all the Brown's football players have it? Why don't 16 boxers have it, and why is it it's restricted so much 17 to young female sales representatives? 18 I'll answer the question for you, but we'll get 0 19 to that in a minute. Ligaments. The ligaments 20 contained inside the intracapsuleinside the joint of 21 the jaw, they are considerably smaller than the 22 ligaments in the knee and the elbow. 23 You would agree with that, would you 24 not? 25

A They are smaller, that's true. 1 And you said that you have done some surgery, Q 2 some TMJ surgery in the past? 3 Α Yes. 4 That wasn't by any means a major component of Q 5 your practice, was it, Doctor? 6 No, not in recent years. А 7 Now, you answered Mr. Borland's question that, Q 8 yes, indeed, you have written reports for his law 9 firm, Meyers, Hentemann, Stevens, and Rea, and you 10 have written a number of reports for them over the 11 years? 12 And could you estimate approximately 13 how many reports you have written for Mr. Borland's 14 law firm, say in the year 1988, and I'm saying with 15 respect to personal injury cases of this type, TMJ, 16 alleged TMJ injuries, if you will? 17 I'll use the word allege, and 18 accidents? 19 I have a chart. I could go home and tell you, A 20 but I don't have that accurate of a number here. 21 Would it be more than 20 in the last year? 0 22 Probably might be a close estimate -- around A 23 there. 24 And those reports were principally concerned 0 25

with he people who allegedly suffered injuries to the 1 TMJ joint as a result of accidents, correct? 2 Α Yes. 3 And, likewise, do you also write reports for 0 4 the law firm of Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton and Norman? 5 А Infrequently, but some. 6 0 And, in addition to writing reports for that 7 law firm and Mr. Borland's law firm, you write 8 reports to the clients directly, do you not, before g the matter gets to court -- so to speak, before a 10 lawsuit is filed? 11 I don't write letters to clients, no. А 12 0 Clients of Mr. Borland's law firm, you do not 13 write letters to them? 14 No, I write -- no. I write them to the law Λ 15 firms when they ask me, but a number of these, I 16 have. Yes, there is a causal relationship and I so 17 report that. 18 And others I report no, there is not a 19 causal relationship. I'm sorry. Go ahead. 20 You have already told us that you are no Q 21 stranger to the courtroom? 22 A That is true. 23 And you are comfortable in this surrounding, Q 24 would that be fair to say? 25

А Yes. 1 You are quite obviously appearing here live 2 Q today, right? 3 Yes, it's me. А 4 Quite obviously I said? 0 5 A Yes. 6 And you're not on videotape? Q 7 A No. Nope, you and me. 8 Un Like Dr. Lewis and King, in fact, you make it 0 9 your practice to appear live for courtroom testimony, 10 don't you? 11 A Yes. 12 Fair to say you enjoy this experience? Q 13 Α Yes, I think so. 14 You get a kick out of it? Q 15 А Better than being home watching television, 16 yes. 17 When you have to come and testify live, Doctor, Q. 18 does that pose a problem with your schedule with 19 patients? 20 A Well, it does and it doesn't, I'll answer that. 21 I have a partner and he's really a neat guy, but he's 22 gone. He takes long vacations, like being in 23 practice with Marco Polo. He's dressed and he's 24 qone. 25

But when he's there we have an 1 arrangement whereby he takes over the practice and I 2 come to court. 3 He's there now? Q 4 Α Yes. 5 He's there today? 0 6 A Yes. 7 You are pretty much on call if Mr. Borland's Q . 8 law firm or other defense law firms for whom you 9 work, if they call you, you can pretty much make 10 arrangements to be at the courthouse at a certain 11 time, is that fair? 12 Yes, or plaintiffs' attorneys just as well. A 13 Doctor, come on. What percentage of your Q 14 testimony is for plaintiffs' lawyers? 15 I write many letters which I don't testify for. A 16 You don't testify for, though? Q 17 A No. 18 You write plenty of letters for plaintiffs' 0 19 lawyers, is that what you are telling us? 20 Yes. A 21 Q How many is plenty, Doctor, in the last year? 22 À I would say in the last month, I have written 23 three and one will go to court with Paul Kaufman. 24 Doctor, you admitted on direct, did you not, Q 25

that cervical whiplash injury can cause internal 1 derangement? 2 Yes. A 3 Q You did say that? 1 Yes. А 5 And that's been known for sometime, has it not? Q 6 A Yes. 7 I mean, you won't find a treatise either by an 0 8 author that Dr. Goldberg recognizes or that you ĝ recognize as an authoritative source that is going to 10 say the opposite, that cervical whiplash trauma does 11 not cause internal derangement of the 12 temporomandibular joint. That is fair to sav, too, 13 isn't it? 14 I don't know of such an article -- possible A 15 that it's published. 16 Something that you have read widely? Q 17 Yes. A 18 0 And fact is, cervical whiplash trauma can and 19 will cause temporomandibular joint dysfunction 20 specifically internal derangement? 21 A Yes. 22 And that's been recognized by Dr. Gelb going Q 23 back to 1985, isn't that true? 24 A Yes. 25

ΤЭ

And frankly, it's been recognize by the Q 1 authorities for sometime predating 1985, isn't that 2 right? 3 That may well be. Α 4 Yeah. So when did you change your mind, 0 5 Doctor? When did you finally come to the conclusion 6 and start to agree with the rest of the experts that 7 cervical whiplash trauma can, in fact, cause internal 8 derangement, because that didn't used to be your 9 opinion, Doctor? 10 I suppose around 1985 or so. A 11 Oh, I'll help you on that. And, again forgive 0 12 With all do respect, I'm here to do justice for me. 13 a young lady, and please --14 THE COURT: Please, 15 Mr. Callaghan, just questions. 16 I'm sorry, your MR. CALLAGHAN: 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: And the jury will 19 disregard counsel's purpose for being here. 20 Strike it from your mind. It's 21 stricken from the record. 22 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, your 23 Honor. Your Honor. I apologize. 24 I beg the Court's indulgence. Q 25

Didn't you at one time in the last few 1 years, Doctor, testify to the affect that a patient 2 can no sooner suffer a whiplash trauma in the TMJ 3 than you can have a whiplash of a tooth. 4 That was a clever play on words. Do 5 you remember saying that? 6 I may have. A 7 So you did change your mind? Q 8 Yes, back in -- there's an article that came A 9 out in the Journal of Oral Surgery in August of '87. 10 I'm aware of that article, Doctor, and we'll 0 11 get to that in a minute. That is also not quoted in 12 Wendy's report. 13 You wrote that report, Wendy's report 14 October 12, 1987, and as I heard you say on direct, 15 you read these journals every night and that journal 16 came out in early August and that is the Journal of 17 Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, correct? 18 Yes, there's lot of things I didn't quote in A 19 Wendy's report. 20 That's pretty much the bible to your 0 21 profession, just as the New England Journal of 22 Medicine is to the field of medicine, isn't that 23 right? 24 No, that is not right, Mr. Callaghan. A bible A 25

has words which are guaranteed to be true. A journal 1 has words that are sometimes controversial. 2 It is as the name implies, it's the Journal of 0 3 Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery. It's quite respected 4 by members of your profession? 5 Yes, absolutely. A 6 Okay. And that was the first time when this Q 7 article came out, I take it, this case study of 25 8 patients who had suffered cervical whiplash trauma 9 and then developed internal derangement. 10 That was the first time that you swung 11 over to the other side that yes, in fact, cervical 12 whiplash trauma extension/flexion injuries can cause 13 TMJ internal derangement, is that fair to say? 14 I don't think it -- Mr. Callaghan, I don't A 15 know. This remark about whiplash of the tooth -- you 16 are quoting me out of context, and if I could see the 17 rest of the letter maybe I would say fine. 18 It's not a letter, it's live testimony. 0 19 A Okay. Well, then to answer your question, I 20 think -- I don't know when I was more amenable to 21 cervical whiplash. I'm saying now --22 Now, you are more amenable to --0 23

MR. BORLAND: Let him finish his answer.

24

25

Yeah. I don't that I was ever directly opposed A 1 I don't know. to it. 2 You certainly don't mention anything about 0 3 recognizing the fact that a cervical whiplash injury 4 can cause internal derangement in Wendy's report and 5 that was written October 12th of 1987, isn't that 6 true? 7 A Mr. Callaghan ---8 0 Isn't that true, you did not mention anything 9 about whiplash trauma? 10 А That's true, because she didn't report it for 11 four and a half months. 12 I'm, asking when she reported it, Doctor. Q. I'm 13 talking about the mechanism that caused the injury, 14 the type of accident that she was involved with. 15 What you do say in your report, though? 16 A The mechanism that you say caused the injury, I 17 say doesn't. 18 0 I'm not talking about causing the injury 19 necessarily, talking about the accident itself. And 20 certainly by implication in that report you are 21 suggesting to the evaluator of your opinion and the 22 reader of your report that you must suffer a direct 23 blow to the mandible, to the jaw, to the head, to the 24 face, because you did say that in your report, did 25

you not --1 A No. 2 Let's take out your report. Doctor, we'll come 0 3 back to that. 4 Doctor, can you tell the jury, if you 5 would, how long it's been that you have been giving 6 expert testimony of this type? 7 I don't know really. Eight years, maybe ten A 8 years. 9 And I believe you testified that you and 0 10 Dr. Goldberg are good friends? 11 Yes. A 12 All right. And that you and Dr. Lewis are good Q 13 friends? 14 Yes. A 15 And that both you and Dr. Goldberg are on the Q 16 faculty at Case Western Reserve? 17 Yes. Ā 18 0 As a matter of fact, Doctor, you don't really 19 teach at Case Western Reserve University Dental 20 School, isn't that true? 21 А That is true. 22 for ' You teach in the classroom? Q 23 I teach in the clinic, Clinical Assistant A 24 Professor. I teach in the clinic, but we give the 25

lecture at --1 You are a Clinical Assistant Professor? 0 2 A Yes. 3 And Dr. Goldberg is an Assistant Professor on Q 4 the faculty, the teaching faculty? 5 A He gives lectures. Yes, he's head of the 6 department. 7 When did you become an Assistant Professor? Q 8 Associate Professor. Α 9 You are an Associate professor? Q 10 I think 1978. A 11 And Associate Professor is one step blow an 0 12 Assistant Professor, isn't that right? 13 I don't know. A 14 Q You know that, Doctor, do you not. You have a 15 title? 16 I got one title. It's an Associate Clinical A 17 Professor and I have that since '78. 18 How often do you teach at Case Western Reserve Q 19 University in the clinic, Doctor? 20 Å Tuesday mornings, once a week. 21 Every Tuesday? 0 22 A Yes. 23 And what types of surgery do you teach? Q 24 Primarily dental --A 25

What? Q 1 Dental, extractions and bone trims and Å 2 biopsies, that sort of thing. 3 Extractions of wisdom teeth and how about the 0 4 bones? I don't quite --5 Bone trim. Α 6 Bone trim? Q 7 Yes. A 8 You do some jaw surgery, too? Q 9 Yes. A 10 And that would involve wiring the jaws? Q 11 Talking about the clinic or my practice? A 12 In your practice? 0 13 I do jaw surgery, of course, and jaw fractures A 14 and jaw fractures involves wiring the jaws, yes. 15 And you did say that you hold Dr. Lewis and Dr. 0 16 Goldberg in very high esteem? 17 A Yes. 18 You would agree that both Dr. Goldberg and Q 19 Dr. Lewis do an extensive amount of TMJ surgery? 20 Å I don't know that Dr. Lewis does 21 temporomandibular joint surgery. I know Dr. Goldberg 22 does. 23 Dr. Goldberg does extensive arthroscopic Q 24 surgery as well? 25

Yes. А 1 And you said Dr. Donald Lewis is a good friend 0 2 of yours. Don't you, in fact, know that he does a 3 very tremedous amount of open jaw internal 4 derangement -- repair of the meniscus, repair of --5 I don't know that -- where the primary areas A 6 They are done at Metro and University. are done. 7 Would you also agree that Dr. Goldberg's 0 8 talents as an oral and maxillofacial surgeon, and his 9 expertise in the area of the TMJ and 10 temporomandibular joint disorders, is recognized not 11 only in Ohio, but outside of Ohio, as well? 12 Ã Yes. 13 0 Because Dr. Goldberg treats or teaches the main 14 course, courses in temporomandibular joint 15 dysfunction at Case Western Reserve Dental School, 16 doesn't he? 17 Yes. A 18 Q When was the last time you taught in a 19 classroom at Case Western Reserve? 20 A When I give -- I give a talk every Tuesday 21 morning at the end of the session, and it's 22 essentially a small classroom. 23 We talk on office emergencies and what 24 to do in the case of a patient with a fast pulse, 25

slow pulse, various things that happen in the office. 1 So I give little seminars each Tuesday. 2 Doctor, have you published on the subject of Q 3 TMJ? 4 No, I haven't, Mr. Callaghan, although I have А 5 one in the process of. 6 The answer is that you have not? 0 7 No. A 8 Have you published in any other areas of 0 9 surgery, Doctor? 10 Yes. A 11 Where would that have been? Q 12 I published on Pagett's Disease. I published A 13 on Pentrane anesthesia, on a disease of the kidney 14 which causes jaw lesions. I have had four 15 publications mentioned. 16 Those have been published in the last ten Q 17 vears? 18 A No. 19 You haven't published anything in the last ten Q 20 years? 21 А No. 22 And as a matter of fact, Doctor, you don't Q 23 treat and manage temporomandibular joint patients, do 24 you? 25

I diagnose them and I see them --Å 1 Apart from diagnosing them, though, you don't Q 2 actually treat them from the standpoint of their 3 coming back on regular basis to see you? 4 No, I would refer them if they need No. A 5 surgery. 6 To a dentist -- if they didn't -- I didn't mean Q 7 to interrupt your testimony, but to a periodontist or 8 dentist, isn't that true? 9 Yes. А 10 Now, Doctor, you testified I believe that 0 11 you're chief of the department of oral and 12 maxillofacial surgery at Mary Mount? 13 That is correct, division of. A 14 I'm sorry? Q 15 I think it's a division. A 16 I think you said that. Does anyone, in fact, 0 17 perform TMJ surgery at Marymount? 18 I don't believe so, nor do I believe it ought À 19 to be done ---20 I didn't ask that. It's a simple yes or no. Q 21 Please let the THE COURT: 22 witness finish his answer. 23 I don't know that. To my knowledge, I don't A 24 think anyone does temporomandibular joint surgery at 25

1 Marymount, no.

When you have a patient who requires TMJ 0 2 surgery, to whom do you refer that patient, because 3 as you testified, you don't do that surgery yourself? 1 I have a feeling that not very many people need A 5 temporomandibular joint open surgery. 6 Let me rephrase that. For those patients whom 0 7 you deem to need surgery of the temporomandibular 8 joint, to whom do you send those patients? 9 I'll answer that again, Mr. Callaghan. I don't A 10 believe that anyone needs temporomandibular joint 11 surgery unless we are talking about arthroscopic 12 surgery. 13 Arthroscopic, I would refer them to 14 Dr. Thomas Henderson at Cleveland Netro. 15 Cleveland Metro? Q 16 Yes. A 17 So, apart from diagnosis, you do not treat 0 18 medically any of your, any TMJ patients at the 19 present time? 20 À At the present time, no. 21 As a matter of fact, you haven't in the last Q 22 ten years, isn't that fair to say? 23 Last seven years. A 24 And you don't treat these patients surgically 0 25

either? ť Α No. 2 Have you at any time, Doctor, treated patients 0 3 with TMJ dysfunction from a medical standpoint as 4 opposed to surgery? 5 Α Yes, I used to. 6 And that was prior to seven or eight years ago, Q 7 is that right? 8 Yeah. A 9 Do you have in your practice currently, 0 10 patients referred to you by others, other physicians 11 or treating specialists for treating TMJ dysfunction? 12 Yes. A 13 What is that for, diagnosis? Ω 14 Diagnosis, yes. A 15 Q Doctor, have you at any time ever done any 16 surgery on a patient with internal derangement of the 17 temporomandibular joint? 18 Yes. A 19 Q You have actually performed surgery? 20 A Yes. 21 Where would that have been? Q 22 A Lutheran Hospital. 23 Q That was many, many years ago, wasn't it? 24 A Yes. 25

How many years, approximately? 0 1 It would be before '73, but we did a lot of A 2 surgery in the '60's. 3 So it's fair to say, is it not, that the state 0 4 of the art with respect to the understanding of the 5 temporomandibular joint, its workings, the way it 6 functions, the ideology or causes of damage to it, 7 that that's changed quite a bit since the late '60's? 8 Is that true? 9 That's correct. А 10 Did you ever act as a lead surgeon in those 0 11 cases? 12 Yes. A 13 And that was open jaw surgery? Q 14 A Yes. 15 Am I correct in understanding, Doctor, that 0 16 your qualifications as an expert in this case, have 17 primarily to do with your wide reading, your 18 attendance at seminars and your attendance at 19 lectures, is that right? 20 No, I see a lot of TMJ patients in the office A 21 as I just explained to you, Mr. Callaghan, and we 22 talk at great length, yes, talk about treatment, 23 planning diagnosis, X-rays. 24 0 You diagnose TMJ patients clinically and --25

Å And radiographically. 1 Radiographically? Q 2 A Yes. 3 You don't perform arthrograms? Q 4 A No. 5 By the way, that is a painful procedure, isn't Q 6 it? 7 A Yes. 8 So, let me rephrase it. Given the fact as we 0 9 know now, you don't surgically treat and manage TMJ 10 patients with internal derangement? 11 You don't medically treat them. You 12 don't see them on a regular and continuing basis. 13 All right. Notwithstanding, and I understand your 14 qualifications to testify in this case have to do 15 with your wide reading, your attendance at lectures, 16 attendance at seminars and seeing patients that have 17 TMJ? 18 À Diagnosing them, yes. 19 Q Okay. But you don't diagnose them through 20 arthrograms? 21 No. A 22 Q Have you ever lectured on the TMJ, other than 23 to lawyers? 24 A Not recently. 25

You have, in fact, lectured to defense lawyers, 0 1 lawyers who represent defendants in these type of 2 cases, on the subject of TMJ, haven't you? 3 I may have. I have been at seminars. A Whether 4 they are all laywers or not --5 Okay. Your Honor, I beg the Court's Q 6 indulgence. (Pause.) I'm trying to find the correct 7 report and I just found it. 8 Now, when you did an examination of 9 Wendy on January 6th of '87, you found Wendy to be a 10 person with normal dentition, isn't that correct? 11 А Yes. 12 You didn't find any wear facets that might be 0 13 indicative of grinding? 14 I didn't find any wear facets A, but, B, I A 15 don't think they are terribly significant. 16 I'm just asking if you found them? Q 17 A No. 18 0 Did you at the time of your examination note 19 any parafunctional jaw habits of any kind upon your 20 physical examination of Wendy? 21 I don't see how you could note parafunctional A 22 jaw habits during an examination, no. 23 One way would be to see the wear facet. T Q 24 suppose another way would be to see or perceive a 25

malocclusion, a biteproblem, isn't that true? 1 That's not a parafunctional habit, A 2 Mr. Callaghan. 3 I'm sorry. It's caused by a parafunctional 0 4 habit sometimes, is it not? 5 In any case, Doctor, you didn't find 6 any malocclusions in Wendy's teeth either, did you? 7 A No. 8 Was there anything in her history that she gave Q 9 you that suggests that she was a stressful or 10 stressed-out person? 11 No. A 12 Q Now, Doctor, you talked about microtrauma 13 before, I think, and that is internal --14 A Yes. 15 That is internally induced trauma? Q 16 А That's correct. 17 As opposed to extrinsic trauma? Q 18 A Yes. 19 Q And as a matter of fact, those microtraumas, 20 that would be as a result of parafunctional jaw 21 habits, I suppose, that involves the stretching of 22 the ligaments inside of the interior capsule of the 23 joint, doesn't it? 24 Yes. À 25

And I think I understood you to say with that 0 1 situation as opposed to direct extrinsic trauma that 2 they will stretch to a point where eventually pain 3 will occur? 4 Yes. A 5 And there is no telling, is there, when the Q 6 pain will occur with those microtraumas internally? 7 That's correct. I think Dr. Goldberg says it А 8 or Lewis says it very well. It goes from a click to 9 a louder click to a click and pop that doesn't hurt, 10 to a pop that does hurt. 11 And as a matter of fact, you said, I believe on 0 12 direct, that when the jaw is opened too wide you can 13 stretch the ligaments inside the capsule. 14 Isn't that true, whether you said it or 15 not, is it 16 A Probably true, sure it is. 17 And, likewise, with a cervical whiplash injury, Q 18 can you not stretch the ligaments inside the capsule 19 without causing immediate pain? 20No. Å 21 Couldn't they be -- could they, in fact, be 0 22 stretched minutely by a whiplash trauma? 23 Yes, the same way that it could with an Ă 24 uncontrolled yawn, same way with a sneeze and the 25
same way it could with eating a Big Mac Burger. 1 And let's say that it takes, for example, and 0 2 this might sound silly to you, Doctor, but bear with 3 me. 4 Okay, A 5 We talked about these little microtraumas 0 6 gradually building up where the ligaments are 7 stretched far enough, I take it to the point where 8 they lose their elasticity, their grip, and the disk 9 would move anteriorly forward, right? 10 A Yes. 11 Q And it takes so many of those microtraumas to 12 add up to a big trauma? 13 Yes. A 14 To enough stretching to accomplish that? 0 15 Ä Yes. 16 Now, is it not possible, Doctor -- am I boring 0 17 I'm sorry? you? 18 A No, keep talking --19 Is it not possible to have stretching as a Q 20 result of cervical whiplash that doesn't tear off the 21 meniscus, it doesn't rip it apart, but it stretches 22 it just enough, it stretches those avascular 23 ligaments on both sides such that you wouldn't 24 experience pain at the time of the accident or 25

immediately thereafter?

1

4

5

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

A If you're talking specifically in this individual's case or generally --

Q We are talking about -- let's talk about generally first, then we'll talk about Wendy.

A Generally, it is possible for one act to give 7 internal derangement.

Q Is it possible for one act not to create
internal derangement, but to create a stretching of
the ligaments such that maybe we skipped over about
eight microtraumas, but we will needed another eight
to get to the final trauma, the final slip?

A In the case of Wendy she already had internal derangement, as I explained to you, in 1984. And to answer your other question, can it skip over, if it skips over that far it's a pretty painful injury.

That is like opening too wide or that is like doing something, oh, my gosh, what did I do to my jaw? It hurts.

20 Q Let's go ahead. You said that Wendy had 21 internal derangement because she had clicking back in 22 '84?

A Crepitus, yes.

Q Let's go back to that prior clicking before the accident, and, Doctor, take a look at your notes

because I couldn't understand it myself in reading 1 the report why you also report a click in '82 if 2 Wendy told you not only did she have a click in '84, 3 but she had a click in '82? 4 Because it says in my notes in '82, but then I А 5 read Dr. King's report and it was in '84. 6 Then you, in fact, you include in the report 0 7 she had a slight click in '82 and '84? 8 Yes. A 9 á. Q So you made mistakeg? 10 He may be incorrect. Probably '84. It's still A 11 the one episode. She reported it and --12 0 And you have read through Dr. King's 13 deposition, haven't you? 14 I have. A 15 The transcript? 0 16 A Yes. 17 And you know, as a matter of fact, that his 0 18 testimony was that crepitus to him is that the 19 general dentist meant any form of clicking, and 20 further, that there were varying degrees of quote, 21 crepitus to Dr. King. 22 You reviewed that with You read that. 23 Mr. Borland? 24 Yes. A 25

But I take it that you are still standing by Q 1 your reliance on what you saw in Dr. King's chart, 2 crepitus in quotation, 2-84? 3 Yes. A 4 Would that clicking without pain, does that 0 5 indicate internal derangement? 6 A Yes. 7 And that internal derangement is a TMJ 0 8 dysfunction? 9 If you got clicking you have internal Α 10 derangement. That is the reason it clicks. It's 11 sliding up and running over that little band on that 12 displaced meniscus. 13 Q So a lot of people, I take it, in the general 14 populous, generally without any other problems with 15 their jaws, they have, in fact, have internal 16 derangement if they have any clicking? 17 А That is correct. As a matter of fact, I just 18 attended a seminar where they took 42 normal joints 19 with people with no history of trauma, no history of 20 clicking, no history of symptoms, and did an MRI and 21 said they had 30 years of internal derangement --22 it's a very complicated subject. 23 That is a TMJ dysfunction. But if you have an 0 24 internal derangement that, doesn't necessarily cause 25

pain?

1

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A That's correct.

Q It's when the derangement becomes more anteriorly displaced that it starts to cause pain, right?

A I'm sorry. I'm note sure about. I don't know
 7 what causes the pain. Other people have it for years
 8 and don't have pain.

9 Q X some people that have internal derangement 10 versus others who have their discs farther forward, 11 isn't that true?

A Right, but I don't know that there's a correlation between the further forward it gets and the more it hurts. I'm not sure of that.

Q Doctor, I don't remember if you would remember writing this letter, December 5th of 1988. It doesn't involve this case, but it's another case, opinion letter that you wrote to the firm of Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton and Norman.

And it's written under your heading there, Doctors Bell and Callahan, December 5th, 1988, and written to Mr. Singletary. Do you know him? A Like a pen pale. I have written to him. Q He's one of the lawyers at Gallagher's office? A Okay.

You make a statement on page 11 of that report, Q 1 Doctor, and I quote, opposite paragraph number three, 2 "and the conclusion 3 MR. BORLAND: Excuse me. Has he 4 been provided a copy of this? 5 MR. CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure. 6 MR. BORLAND: Would you provide 7 him a copy? 8 And there it says, and I quote, "I'm not 0 g convinced that the TMJ disorder includes popping and 10 clicking alone." You said that, right? 11 That's right. A 12 Q Can you explain how that statement is 13 consistent with your previous statement? 14 A An awful lot of people, as I explained, have 15 popping and clicking and I think Dr. Goldberg and 16 Lewis explained that to you, too. 17 If you have popping and clicking it's 18 not necessarily TMJ disorder even though it's an 19 internal derangement. Even though it's a, it's an 20 anatomic finding, that has no significance. 21 If you don't have any pain you don't --22 it's a pathologic existence which does not need 23 treatment. 24 What you are saying, I gather, is internal Q 25

derangement is not always a TMJ disorder? 1 That's right. A 2 I asked you before whether it was a TMJ Q 3 dysfunction -- same thing? 4 А Okav. 5 So what you are saying, is that people can have 0 6 a slight click as Dr. Goldberg and Dr. Lewis both 7 testified -- in many, many people there is a perfect 8 13:50 synchronization of the TMJ, right? q That's right. А 10 And many of us, literally speaking, we have 0 11 internal derangement. In fact, I suppose internal 12 derangement so slight that you wouldn't even have a 13 click. Is that fair to say? 14 I don't know. I don't know. Ä 15 Wendy had -- would you now acknowledge, based Q 16 upon the charts that you reviewed, the arthrogram, 17 and the University Hospitals surgery, she had a very 18 serious internal derangement, did she not? 19 A Yes. 20 Yeah. I believe your testimony was earlier Q 21 that you don't know what causes pain after a patient 22 gets -- gets the discs sufficiently anteriorly 23 displaced -- you know what it's caused by? 24 2 SNIT A I know what causes the pains. I didn't say I 25

don't know what causes the pain. I don't believe 1 that any more anteriorly or any more lateral 2 extension of a disc dislocation necessarily puts one 3 patient in great pain and another patient goes along 4 and pays no attention to it. 5 What causes the pain is the retrodisc. 6 The nerves and the blood vessels are exposed? 0 7 A Yes. 8 That is not the only pain that you can feel in 0 9 the inside of the joint as result of internal 10 derangement, is it? That is part of the pain? 11 No. A 12 Isn't it a fact that there is, in addition to Q 13 that inflammation, that sensitivity that I think you 14 are talking about, the retrodiscal pad, that their 15 can be often, with internal derangement, bleeding 16 into the joint spaces, and that in turn, along with 17 the inflamation, can produce scar tissue? 18 Yes, that's correct. Ą 19 And the scar tissue itself isn't painful and 0 20 neither is the process of the formation of the scar 21 painful, isn't that right? 22 Yes. Ã 23 The scar tissue becomes painful, sufficiently Q 24 painful, when enough scar tissue develops such that 25

movement of the jaw, microtears, if you will, tear up 1 the adhesions and cause pain in the case of an 2 internal derangement? 3 But the disc is still coming from the disc A 4 area. 5 0 But that takes some time to develop after the 6 disc has gone forward in some cases, doesn't it? 7 Correct, but it starts with the click as I A 8 stated. 9 Let's go back to --Q 10 THE COURT: Let's take a 11 recess. Ladies and gentlemen, you are not to 12 discuss this case among yourselves. Do not 13 permit anyone to discuss it with you or in your 14 presence, nor form any opinion concerning this 15 case. 16 17 (Thereupon, a short recess was had.) 18 19 Doctor, do you have your report in hand, Q 20 October 12, 1987? 21 I do. A 22 I direct your attention to the top of the page, 0 23 actually about a quarter of the way down, at the end 24 of the first paragraph? 25

What page? А 1 Page four. I'm sorry if I didn't mention that. 0 2 The last sentence -- well, let's go to the previous, 3 so we can put it in context. 4 You're commenting here, are you not, 5 about Dr. Brooks' orthopedic evaluation of Wendy, 6 Dr. Brooks' being the defendant's expert in this 7 case, isn't that true? 8 Yes. A 9 And at that time you were reviewing his chart 0 10 or his report as part of your ability to evaluate 11 Wendy's TMJ problem, isn't that right? 12 A Yes. 13 All right. And read along with me, Doctor, if 0 11 you would, and we are referring now, are we not, to 15 Dr. Brooks' opinion letter such as the opinion you 16 wrote. 17 Now, in his letter to Mr. Borland, 18 Dr. Brooks has a one paragraph summary in his letter 19 in which he states in the early part of 1986 -- we 20 know it was 1985, December, that in the early part of 21 1986 Mrs. Perin consulted Dr. King the dentist 22 because of continuing jaw pain. 23 He concludes that paragraph by stating 24 that she was referred to Dr. Lewis and he discussed 25

surgery with her. 1 These are Dr. Brooks' words that you 2 are paraphrasing, I take it, right? З That's correct. A 4 He makes no other comment about her alleged TMJ 0 5 complaints, nor apparently did Mrs. Perin bring any 6 complaint to Dr. Brooks' at the time of her 7 examination on April 13, 1987 of TMJ dysfunction or 8 complaint. 9 Now, Doctor, this, as we know, this 10 report was written October 12 of '87, at a time when 11 you knew the surgery had already been performed on 12 Wendy, right? 13 Yes. A 14 0 And she had a serious enough internal 15 derangement problem to necessitate surgery and that 16 surgery gave her relief certainly by the time you had 17 written this report? 18 Ă Yes. 19 0 You knew that because the surgery was 20 accomplished in June of 1987? 21 Å Yes. 22 There are two things that concern me, Doctor. 0 23 He makes no other comment about her alleged TMJ 24 complaints. 25

Why did you say alleged, Doctor? At that point, Doctor, you knew as well as we know today 2 they weren't alleged. She had complaints and it was her 3 real injuries that necessitated surgery. 4 Why did you say allege? 5 A I don't know why I said alleged in that 6 particular instance. She had symptoms when I saw 7 her. 8 0 Do you think that statement might help the 9 defendant? 10 A No. 11 You didn't think it was going to help the Q 12 plaintiff. You didn't put it in there for that 13 reason. 14 Why did you think it was significant, 15 Doctor, that Dr. Brooks or that Wendy didn't bring 16 any complaint of TMJ disorder to Dr. Brooks in April 17 of 1987 when, in fact, she was going there for the 18 sole purpose of being examined orthopedically by a 19 doctor she never expected to see again and by a 20 doctor who was hired by the other side in this case? 21 Because, Mr. Callaghan, if you see an A 22 orthopedic surgeon you would talk about 23 temporomandibular joints. The orthopedic surgeon 24 deal with joints, and I don't know ---25

But she had --0 1 THE COURT: Just a moment. 2 complete your answer. 3 THE WITNESS: They will with 4 joints, and very frequently if you have a joint 5 disturbance I would tell a joint doctor about 6 it. 7 Doctor, she had, as you paraphrase it here, she 0 8 had, indeed discussed it with Dr. Brooks and told him 9 about the history, but that she didn't complain to 10 him at that time and apparently you thought that was 11 significant, isn't that so? 12 Can I answer that by finding Dr. Brooks' Α 13 letter? I think the second -- she did not apparently 14 bring any complaint to Dr. Brooks at the time of her 15 examination in April 13, 1987. 16 Yeah? 0 17 It's not particularly significant. It's just A 18 part of the report. This is one of the things I 19 found from reading the report. 20 There is no other basic underlying 21 significance. 22 Q Because it's reasonable to assume now, 23 appreciating Wendy's history, that in April of '87, 24 just a month and a half or month and three quarters 25

before the surgery that she was having problems with 1 her internal derangement, with her TMJ at that time. 2 That would be a fair statement? 3 A Yes, but what I find unusual about that, most 4 TMJ patients I see will tell everybody; tell the 5 cleaning lady running the vacuum sweeper. It's 6 attached a great deal of --7 Maybe she's not a complainer and she knew she 0 8 was going to have surgery? 9 That may well be. A 10 And didn't necessarily trust an orthopedic 0 11 surgeon whom she never met and only there for one 12 occasion and going there for advice on her TMJ when 13 she was treating with Dr. Lewis --14 MR. BORLAND: Are you testifying 15 or is that a question? 16 THE COURT: The objection is 17 sustained. 18 It's not a --A 19 THE COURT: The objection is 20 sustained, Doctor. 21 Do you believe Dr. King now when he says, as he Q 22 did in his testimony, that Wendy had a slight click 23 in February of 1984 and not that grating and grinding 24 which you interpreted that crepitus notation to mean? 25

I simply don't know the answer to that. A 1 Dr. King writes such sparse notes. I don't say that 2 in a pejorative manner. He said crepitus. 3 If I take that in context really only I 4 meant a slight click. Then you ought to have written 5 that down but, in fact, he didn't. 6 But you had an opportunity to review his Q. 7 testimony, right? 8 Yes. A 9 As a matter of fact, that is one of the 0 10 advantages of testifying live here today rather than 11 on videotape, because these transcripts have been 12 available since August and you have had a lot of 13 opportunity to review them with Mr. Borland, isn't 1.1 that a fact? 15 A I don't think I saw the transcripts until last 16 Wednesday or last Thursday. 17 Q Normally ---18 They may have been available ---A 19 Normally, of course, had they been testifying 0 20 live, there would have been a separation of witnesses 21 and you would not have been able to view their 22 testimony had they been? 23 MR. BORLAND: Objection. What is 24 the point of all this? 25

THE COURT: The objection is 1 sustained. 2 Now, on that same page, Doctor, in page four, 0 3 last paragraph, I would appreciate it if you would 4 read along with me. 5 However, there is one other finding 6 which is significant on Dr. King's chart. I have 7 before me a chart that indicates Dr. King did a 8 routine dental examination of Wendy's teeth and 9 existing fillings on that chart. 10 In very easy to read writing, is the 11 following notation, that you referred to, crepitus, 12 2-84, in quotation marks. Where did -- the word 13 crepitus is used frequently by dentists to indicate 14 TMJ clicking or popping which you also testified to. 15 No problem yet. Dr. King indicates 16 that Mrs. Williams-Perin, had crepitus, cracking 17 grating, in February of 1984. This is one and a half 18 years before her moving vehicle collision and almost 19 two years before she sought help from Dr. King about 20 her TMJ. 21 Then you go onto say on the same page, 22 and in the same writing, and apparently with the same 23 pen, Dr. King indicates bite plane. I must infer 24 from this that the patient had crepitus, TMJ 25

symtomatology, and need for a bite plane 1 approximately one and a half years prior to her 2 moving vehicle collision? 3 Å Yes. 1 Right. Do you have a copy of Dr. King's chart 0 5 in your file, Doctor, and if you do --6 A Yes. 7 May I see it? May we approach the bench? 0 8 9 (Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibits 11 and 10 12 were marked for identification.) 11 12 Doctor, handing you what's been marked for Q 13 identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 11, can 14 you identify that? 15 Yes, that is the same -- I have the photocopy. A 16 This is a photocopy. It is -- shall I explain to the 17 jury what it his? 18 Is that Dr. King's chart? 0 19 Yes. A 20 May I see your copy? These copies seem to be Q 21 different, Doctor. In fact, on my copy crepitus is 22 very light. Isn't it marked, 2-84 and bite plane is 23 very dark, isn't it? 24 A Yes. 25

But on yours, crepitus appears to be quite a Q 1 bit more bold, desn't it? 2 Yes, correct, but since those are the only two Α 3 notations on this one side of the page I presume they 4 were done at the same time. 5 I have found since that they were done 6 with a different pen. I can't tell. 77 Handing you now what's been marked as 0 8 Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 12, can you identify that 9 for us? 10 Why sure. One is done in red, and this, of A 11 course, I can't tell that. I'm just given a copy and 12 it looks like the same handwriting and same type of 13 pen and since they are only two notations it's 14 logical to asume bite plane and crepitus have 15 something to do with one another. 16 Q I see. Yours came out darker than mine. Let 17 me refer you to the front page of that report, 18 Doctor. That is the clinical record? 19 A Yes. 20 And does yours look the same as mine other than 0 21 there were a few extra visits? I'm handing you the 22 original now. 23 Yes. Å 24 Yours cuts off there? 0 25

A Yes. 1 Q And I refer you to about line number seven 2 where it says one, 4-86, 3 А Yes. 4 Q Bite plane seated? 5 A Yes. 6 Q Look at my original, if you would, Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit Number 12? 8 Α Okay. 9 All right. Is there any other writing, and Q 10 that is opposite January of '86. Is there any other 11 writing on that, the front of the chart in black, I 12 think, similar to this --13 Yes. А 14 Isn't that a felt tip pen? Does it appear to Q 15 be? 16 It's really not my field of expertise. I don't A 17 know. I think it's a felt tip. 18 Q Let me stop the inquiry. I'm not trying to 19 jump on you. We see bite plane on the chart on the 20 diagrammatic, do we not? 21 A Yes. 22 And with the same pen, January of '86, bite Q 23 plane seated, right? 24 A Yes. 25

So we can conclude, logically, I think, you Q 1 would agree with me, that the bite plane was written 2 here at the same time that the bite plane was seated 3 in '86? 4 А Yes. 5 Not in February of '84? Q 6 That is true. A 7 So your inference to the fact that you said 0 8 that you must infer from that that Dr. King detect a 9 need for a bite plane, you would back off on that 10 statement now, wouldn't you? 11 Å Well, yes. I already explained that to you, 12 Mr. Callaghan, that I see it in only two entries on 13 one page. And I presume they would have made them 14 the same day. It's reasonable. 15And, Doctor, going back to your visit of Ο. 16 January of '87, at that time were you concerned how 17 minor or slight the click that Dr. King talks about, 18 how that had progressed from the time of February of 19 '84 to the time of her automobile accident in August 20 of '85? 21 Was I concerned? A 22 0 Were you interested to know the progression or 23 lack of progression of that click between the time it 24 was noted by Dr. King in February of '84 to how it 25

5б

was doing by the time of the accident in August of 1 185? 2 A Not specifically. I'm not, Mr. Callaghan, and 3 I'll tell you, because Dr. King told Miss Williams --4 she told us she had a click. He said, quote, don't 5 worry about it. So I presume it would been redundant 6 for her to tell him again about it. 7 I'm asking whether you thought it was important 0 8 for you to know, in assessing the causation, 9 evaluating the causation or lack of causation of this 10 accident to Wendy's internal derangement, and by 11 that, wouldn't it have been important for you to 12 inquire at the time of the examination, hey, Wendy, 13 has that click been getting worse or is it the same 14 or is it not present at all since the time it was 15 noted by Dr. King in 1984? 16 A Yes, that might have been worthwhile. 17 0 As a matter of fact, you never did ask that 18 question? 19 A Well, I asked as many questions as I could, 20 Mr. Callaghan. I don't know whether I asked that one 21 or not. 22 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Doctor. 23 I have nothing further. 24 --- 000 ----25

.

CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

ĝ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I, Thomas C. Walters, Official Court Reporter for the Court of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, do hereby certify that as such reporter, I took down in stenotypy all of the proceedings had in said Court of Common Pleas in the above-entitled cause; that I have transcribed my said stenotype notes into typewritten form as appears in the foregoing Excerpt of Proceedings, that said transcript is a partial record of the proceedings had in the hearing of said cause, and constitutes a true and correct Excerpt of Proceedings had therein.

THOMAS C. WALTERS, Official Court Reporter Cuyahoga County, Ohio