

DENNIS BIBROOKS, M.D.

ORTHOPAENIC SUPRERV

#559

26900 CEDAR > BEACHWOOD, OHIO 4 TELEPHONE 216/464

July 23, 1962

Mr. Charles H. WHITTAms Claims Department Amica Mutual insurance Company Westgate Tower, Suite 300 20525 Center Ridge Road Cleveland, Ohio 44116

Re: llena Korn Ll1F01034

Dear Mr. Williams:

The above named claimant was examined by me on July 20, 1953 regarding an accident which occurred on January 6, 1982. This 37-year-old female informed me, in the presence of ner counsel, that she was injured in approximately January of 1982. At that time, she was riding in the front seat of an automobile which was stopped when it was struck from behind by a second car. She was not wearing seatbelts at the time of the accident and recalled that she was thrown forward. She felt as though a "Jolt went thmugh me". Within 33 minutes of the accident, she had pain in her neck and low back as well as "aching" over her entire body. The following morning, she came under the care of Chiropractor Pavkov and was treated by him with "adjustments". She received treatment approximately three times a week for approximately two months.

Sometime thereafter, she came under the care of Chiropractor Firster and was treated by him twice a week for approximately three to four months. She received "wetheat packs", diathermy, ultrasound, massage and "the traction machine". Her treatment was applied to her neck and "the whole spine".

Following the initial three to four months of treatment, she then received treatment approximately once a week and, ultimately, once every two weeks. She was last treated by Chiropractor Firster approximately two months ago. She has not been treated by other physicians nor has she been hospitalized.

At the time of this examination, the claimant stated that she had pain in the left posterior aspect of her cervical spine which radiated into the left parascapular area. Her pain we present "almost all the time". It increased when she became tired and when she performed activities such as doing dishes or sitting for longer than 30 minutes or a hard chair. Washing her hair also increased her symptoms. When she was more relaxed or laying flat, her symptoms were reduced. There was no associated arm radiation. She specifically denied any other symptoms referable to the accident.

1981 'SZ AINT

F11F01034 THE ALL SHOW THE DIRE mox enell tail 1. 18.12 . ameilli .H asined? . M

• OFCT: 9584

A . To grad a start of the second start of the

anobiose bnoses and sand besels mention, and we are how on lareves its in the meet a prisit sew sets tends to find the love love love the love the received tends to the received tends to the received tends to the received tends tends to the received tends t one to two days. Approximately two weeks after the accident, while "wrassling with a child", accident, she was working as a child care worker and was away from work for approximately and. To emit as welling the stron Dr. Paykov. prior to the accident. At the stimulitation and the of the "ernemreulbe "sfupor" bevieser ben ene rant belieser nens ene ..."Vilser pointow" besard ene aniqa issivationed whether and any symptoms referals to her cervicel spine, an issue to her cervicel spine, and A Contraction of the second

.noinssi, ismion s. ni sides, gninimexe end breaked bre breake of alde sew and sitting position without difficulty, ambulated without find and was able proportions. She stated that she was 5 feet 2 leat 2 set of 120 pounds. She Physical exemination revealed a famale of approximately her stated age who was of average

"xonqqe linn or blb bus norking as inception and the supervisor and did so until approx-

Imately three weeks prior to this examination

and a start water of the start franking and

and the produce of the special

للان من المسلم المعالية المعالية المسلم المسلم المياني. من المسلم المسلم المسلم المسلم المسلم المسلم المياني الم

yr.

12

194. ---

1.8

the extremes of all motion. ta nisq zew profilension, lateral rotation and lateral bending blaterally. There was pain at Cervical flexion was accomplished normally and there was approximately 25 percent reduction paracervical or trapezius spasm. There were no areas of localized tenderness to palpation. Examination of her dervical spine revealed normal, cervical lordosis without evidence of

deep tendon reflexes, motor power and sensory perception. lemion beleaver selimenties and the noisenimexe lealgolonues .vilanessild noisem There was no thoracic or parascapular tendemess. There was a full range of shoulder Examination of her shoulders revealed no evidence of localized tenderness or of atrophy.

a lesser degree, posteriorly. was disc space nerrowing at the C5-6 interspace with advanced spuring anteriorly and, to Adiographs of the cervical spine revealed no evidence of fracture or dislocation. There

the head, neck, chest, spine and pelvis. spondylosis are supersent at the this examination. The two AP views are those of issives to depresent and endered only to the cervical spine demonstrates the same degree of cervical aton bus 2821,8 yrsunst no banlatdo adargolagraphs obtained on January 8, 1982 and note pressure". He does not list those symptoms or physical findings which may have substantiated type strain to the cervical spine causing spinal subluxations allowing for nerve root chiroprector Pavkov Indicates a diagnosis of "Acute traumatic hyperextension, hyperflexion The material forwarded to me has been reviewed and in his medical reportiof March 12, 1982,

condition occurred on January 19, 1982". He does not Indicate that a new accident occurred. In his "medical report" of July 16, 1982, Chiropractor Pavkov notes "Acute exacerbation to

of traumails although it appears that the date is incorrect. July 26, 1982, approximately six months after the accident. He describes a "second episode in his report of July 28, 1982, Dr. Tramer describes his examination of the claimant on

چېغور ديند اوريانيون . د ياليون

July 23, 1983

Mr. Charles H. Williams

Re: 11ena Korn L11F01034

Page three. If the

in his "medical report" of September 21, 1982, Chiropractor Firster Indicates diagnoses with respect to the carvical and lumbosacralispine. He does not indicate the symptoms or physical findings which may have been present nor does he indicate the date of his initial examination. He does indicate the second accident which occurred and notes "The extended disability would not appear to be applicable to the original injury... The weakened state of the carvicoscapular region served to contribute toward reinjury". Chiropractor Bestgen's interpretation of radiographs obtained on June 24, 1982 is noted: Based on the information available to me, i believe the claimant was in a vehicular accident on January 6, 1982, and that she sustained a carvical strain. She then sustained a second injury, and, as noted above, this was more serious than the first and required additional treatment.

At the present time, she is still symptomatic... However, I believe these symptoms are on the basis of her pre-existent cervical spondylosis. Therefore, I believe that she will will have no permanent disability directly attributable to the accident of January 6, 1982.

· • •

Very truly yours,

Dennis B. Brooks, H.D.

.

يويون. مشهر ...

S. A

DBB/anm