<u>A R B I T R A T</u> L ...O N 1 2 3) JENNIFER STILSON,) 4 Plaintiff,)) 5 VS .) 6 STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE) 7 COMPANY,) a Defendant,) 9 10 11 Deposition of DR. DENNIS B. BROOKS, 12 taken on direct examination before William J. Mahan, 13 **Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public** 14 within and for the State of Ohio, at 26900 Cedar 15 Road, Beachwood, Ohio, at 4:40 p.m., Monday, April 16 13, 1992, pursuant to notice and/or stipulations 17 of counsel, by the Defendant in this case. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	APPEARANCES :
2	David Paris, Esq. Nurenberg, Plevin, Heller & McCarthy
3	Standard Building Cleveland, Ohio 44113
4	on behalf of the Plaintiff;
5	Gerald Jeppe, Esq.
6	Meyers, Hentemann, Schneider & Rea 21st Floor Gungenion Building
7	Superior Building Cleveland, Ohio 44114
8 9	on behalf of the Defendant.
10	
11	
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	Timothy Paleho, Videotape Technician.
14	-
15	400 400 400 400
16	
17 18	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DR. DENNIS B. BROOKS, called by 1 the Defendant for the purpose of direct 2 examination, as provided by the Ohio 3 Rules of Civil Procedure, having been 4 first duly sworn as hereinafter certified, 5 deposed and said **as** follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR, DENNIS B. BROOKS 7 BY MR, JEPPE: 8 MR. JEPPE: Paris, Mr. 9 on bahalf of Jennifer Stilson, and I 10 believe Mrs. Stilson's husband, do you 11 have any objection to the taking of Dr. 12 Brooks' videotape deposition at this time 13 or in this manner for the purposes of 14 being used at the underinsured 15 motorists' arbitration scheduled for this 16 week? 17 MR.PARIS: No, I don't. 18 Would you please state your name for the record? Q. 19 Dennis Bruce Brooks. A. 20 And, Dr. Brooks, briefly, would you give the Q. 21 Panel the benefit of your educational background? 22 I graduated from Harvard University in 1959. A. Yes, 23 I then attended Western Reserve University 24 School of Medicine and graduated from there in 25

1963. 1 I spent a year as a rotating intern 2 at the Mt. Sinai Hospital of Cleveland and 3 spent a year as a general surgery resident 4 also at Mt. Sinai. 5 My third and fourth years as a course 6 graduate trainee was an orthopedic surgery 7 resident at Mt. Sinai. And during my fifth 8 year I was a research associate with the 9 biomechanics laboratory of Case Western Reserve 10 University. 11 My sixth and final year of postgraduate 12 training was in childrens' orthopedics at 13 University Medical Center of Indiana. 14 Doctor, what is your business address? Q. 15 A. 26900 Cedar Road, Beachwood. 16 And you are a licensed orthopedic surgeon in the Q. 17 State of Ohio? 18 Yes. Α. 19 And when were you licensed to practice medicine? Q. 20 1963, A. 21 When did you take up the actual practice of Q 22 medicine in the State of Ohio? 23 After I returned from the service in 1971. A. 24 From 1971 to the present time, have you then been Q. 25

1		continuously engaged in the active practive of
2		orthopedic surgery?
3	A	Yes
4	ð	And are you presently engaged in the practice
5		of orthopedic surgery?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q.	Do you see patients on a daily basis?
8	Α	Yes •
9	Q.	And you still conduct surgery?
10	Α	Yes.
11	е	Doctor, what hospitals are you affiliated with?
12	A.	Mt. Sinai Medical Center of Cleveland.
13	Q	And, doctor, have you at any time during your
14		professional life had an opportunity to teach
15		orthopedic surgery or a part thereof to medical
16		students at a university or in a hospital?
17	Α	Yes.
18	ð	Would you please outline that for the Panel, if
19		you would.
20	А	Certainly. I am presently an assistant clinical
21		professor of orthopedic surgery at Case Western
22		Reserve University.
23		I'm active in the orthopedic residency
24		teaching program at Mt. Sinai Medical Center.
25	e	Doctor, have you authored any publications,

Γ

Î. i

1		articles or chapters in books, et cetera, with
2		respect to your specialty?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q	Can you briefly just describe or relate some for
5		the panel, if you would, please?
6	A	Yes. I've written articles that have dealt with
7		bone grafting in orthopedic surgery; the
8		biomechanics of knee injuries; several articles
9		that dealt with the biomechanics of internal
10		fixation devices, and an article on congenital
11		dislocation of the knee.
12	ð	Doctor, are you associated or are you a member
13		of any professional organizations or associations?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Would you briefly describe some of them or relate
16		some of them to the Panel?
17	A.	Certainly. I'm a member of the American
18		Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons; the International
19		Society of Orthopedics and Traumatology; the
20		Orthopedic Research Society, and the Clinical
21		Orthopedic Society.
22	Q.	Now, doctor, there is a term #at is used both
23		in medicine and in law, it's called Board
24		certified or Board certification, if you would.
25		First of all, doctor, are you Board certified?

÷ 1 A. Yes. 2 Are you Board certified in orthopedic surgery? a 3 Yea. A. 4 Would you briefly, then, relate for the Panel a 5 what it takes to become Board certified and how 6 long you have been Board certified? 7 Briefly, it takes a lot of hard work. A. I've 8 been Board certified since 1971. In order to 9 do that, I had to pass the requirements of the 10 Board which included a prescribed period of 11 postgraduate training, 12 I had to successfully complete an 13 examination, both oral and written, 14 Doctor, have you had any connections with the Q. 15 Board of Examiners since you passed the Board certification back in -- what year was that 16 17 again, sir? 1971, 18 A. 19711 19 a 20 Δ Yes, I have. Can you briefly outline for the Panel what other 21 ۵ connections you have, had with the Board of 22 Examiners? 23 Yes, I have been an examiner for the American A. 24 Board of Orthopedic Surgery for the past six

1 /

25

1		years .
2	ð	Now, doctor, at my request, did you have an
3		opportunity to examine a Jennifer Stilson?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	All right. If you would, doctor, please, relate
6		to the Panel when you examined Jennifer Stilson
7		and where that examination took place.
8	А	I examined Jennifer Stilson on December 30, 1991
9		here in my offices.
10	Q.	Doctor, did you take a history of Jennifer
11		Stilson?
12	A.	Yes ∎
13	e	Did you take the history yourself?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	Would you please relate to the Panel, if you
16		would, the history that was given to you by
17		Jennifer Stilson on the date of! the examination?
18	А	Certainly. She told me that she had been
19		injured on December 21st, 1989 after midnight.
20		She told me, as she put it, that she
21		was asleep when the accident happened and thus
22		did not recall the accident.
23		She had been riding as a front middle
24		seat passenger, When she awoke she was on what
25		she referred to as a bed board on the street.

She indicated that she remembered what she called bits and pieces. In essence she indicated to me that she was taken to Parma Community Hospital where radiographs were obtained.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

She was then transferred to Metropolitan General Hospital, She was admitted under the care of Dr. Wilbur who treated her for what she referred to as a burst fracture of one of my lumbar vertebra, five broken ribs, and scrapes and cuts,

She told me that the day following her admission she underwent surgery at which time Dr, Wilbur did, as she indicated, **a** fused vertebra with scrapings fram my right hip.

Before surgery she was given what she was told, **a** choice of a body cast or surgery. With the body cast, it might set but it might be crooked.

The bone fragments would do damage to my spinal cord. These were factors that were explained to her by her treating physicians.

> She told me that at the time of her discharge from Metropolitan General Hospital she was wearing a brace which extended from the

10 cervical thoracic junction to the sacrum 1 2 posteriorly, and from the sternum to the iliac 3 crest anteriorly. She was required to wear this brace 4 when she was up. 5 She told me that she could remove it 6 when she was lying down, 7 She told **me** following her discharge 8 she continued under Dr. Wilbur's care and 9 was reexamined by him at varying intervals 10 from one month to six months. 11 She was last examined by Dr. Wilbur 12 approximately 11 months before I saw her. 13 Dr. Wilbur had examined her in January of 14 In December of 1991, she had told me 1991. 15 that she was to be reexamined by Dr. Wilbur 16 in January of 1992. 17 I then inquired as to her complaints 18 at the time I saw her in December of 1991 and 19 she indicated that she had, as she put it, 20 pain in my lower back, right in my lower back. 21 She told **me that** her pain was present as she 22 гı indicated usually everyday, it's like a headache, d 23 pain to real hard pain. 24 She indicated she would become more 25

1 symptomatic when she stood for 15 to 20 2 minutes or when she sat for 25 minutes. 3 Activities such as cleaning house, 4 mopping floors and vacuuming also increased 5 her symptoms. 6 Her pain was decreased by aspirin or 7 She generally took Bufferin but laying down. 8 because she was three months pregnant when I saw her in December of 1991, she was taking 9 10 Tylenol. She had no associated leg radiation. 11 She also indicated that she was symptomatic 12 with respect to her ribs, as she put it, 13 occasionally, if my ribs are hit the wrong 14 way or I'm laying on a hard surface. Herrib 15 pain, as she indicated, was momentary. 16 I inquired into her past medical history 17 and she indicated that she had no symptoms 18 referable to her chest or low back before the 19 accident. She had not been involved in any 20 new accidents. 21 Lastly, she told me that at the time 22 of this accident she was employed as a teacher 23 and was in fact on Christmas vacation when the 24 accident happened in 1989. 25

Following the accident she missed a month 1 from work in addition to the time she had been 2 off for vacation. And that concluded the history. 3 Doctor, let's, just taking the history, going Ρ 4 back a little ways, I have **a** couple of questions 5 that I would like to ask you about Jennifer 6 Stilaon. 7 When you saw her back on December 30, 8 1991, what was her major complaint at that time? 9 Her major complaint was that of back pain. Α 10 Now, doctor, with respect to her activities, Q 11 you stated, I believe, that you said such 12 activities, such as cleaning the house, mopping 13 floors and vacuuming, increased her symptoms? 14 A. Yes. 15 Did she state to you that she could not do these Q. 16 things at all or that she could do those things 17 and they increased her symptoms? 18 **When I asked** her what were the activities that Α. 19 increased her symptoms, she told me those were 20 the activities that increased her symptoms. 21 She did not give me any indication that she 22 could not do those things, 23 Did she give you an indication that she could Q. 24 not perform her duties at work as a fifth grade 25

etwas .

1		school teacher?
2	A.	No, she did not.
3	Q	Now, doctor, other than the pain, which I think
4		she described as dull to what was that
5		again, sir?
6	A.	A real hard pain .
7	ð	A dull to a real hard pain. Other than that
8		complaint, did she have any complaints at all
9		about her or symptoms at all with respect
10		to her condition?
11	A.	No.
12	Q	All right. Now, following the taking of the
13		history, doctor, what was done at that time?
14	A.	I then performed a physical examination.
15	õ	Would you please relate for the Panel, if you
16		would, doctor, the areas of the body that
17		you examined and your findings upon examination.
18	A.	Certainly. I examined two areas about which
19		she3 had complaints, her chest and her spine.
20		The examination of her chest revealed
21		there was no tenderness with palpation of her
22		ribs.
23		Chest expansion was symmetrical.
24		When I examined her lumbar spine I
25		noted that she had a well healed scar extending
-		

:

from approximately the level of T-11 to the 1 2 sacrum, 3 There was an increase in her lumbar lordosis. 4 There was no evidence of spasm. 5 There was no tenderness with palpation 6 of the scar, the lumbosacral spine, sacroiliac 7 joints or sciatic notches. 8 Forward flexion could be accomplished 9 such that her fingertips reached her ankles. 10 Extension and lateral bending were 11 performed normally. 12 Heel walking and toe walking were performed 13 without evidence of weakness or pain. 14 Burns' test was negative. After she 15 performed Burns' test, I asked her to repeat 16 forward flexion again and she did so such that 17 her fingertips again reached her ankles. 18 All right. Doctor, let's stop there just for Q. 19 a second. Going back and reviewing just that 20 portion of the examination relating to the lumbar 21 spine thus far, with respect to your physical 22 examination, have you found at this point any 23 abnormal findings with respect to Jennifer Stilson? 24 A. Yes. 25

1	9	Would you explain to the Panel what abnormal
2		findings you had found as of that point?
3	R	I found a long scar on her back,
4		I noted that she had an increase in her
5		lumbar lordosis, And 1 f the ability to touch
6		the floor or to touch your toes is considered
7		normal, then the third abnormal finding was
8		inability to do so. Reaching as far as her
9		ankles was all that she could do,
10	e	Now, doctor, the significance of the I believe
11		you said the lumbar lordosis, the increase in the
12		lumbar lordosis?
13	Α	It was significant in that knowing what I knew
14		about Jennifer and the fact that she had had
15		a fusion, I would have actually have expected
16		a decrease in her lumbar lordosis. So the fact
17		that her lumbar lordosis was increased beyond
18		the average was significant, An increase in
19		lumbar lordosis can be a cause of low back
20		pain.
21	e	Could you find anything on physical examination
22		that would have caused an increase in the lumbar
23		lordosis?
24	Α	You don't generally find something on physical
25		examination to explain why somebody has an

-

1		increase in lumbar lordosis.
2	Q	Can you explain to the Panel why there was an
3		increase in the lumbar lordosis?
4	A.	Can I explain it to the Panel?
5	Q	Yes.
6	A.	She was three months pregnant and there is an
7		increase in lumbar lordosis that accompanies
8		pregnancy so that is one explanation.
9	ç	Now, also, doctor, you stated that as far as the
10		touching of the floor with one's fingers, is that
11		correct?
12	A.	I don't understand the question,
13	ð	There is a bending exercise that Jennifer
14		Stilson performed?
15	A.	Yes,
16	Q	Was she able to touch the floor with her fingers?
17	A.	No•
18	Q	How far waseshe able to bend over?
19	A.	She could reach her ankles.
20	8	Is this an abnormal finding?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q	In what respect, air, would you expect a normal
23		person to be able to reach the floor?
24	A.	Well, as I said a minute ago, if you consider
25		the ability to touch the floor to be normal, or

4

-

L6

1		to touch your toea to be normal, then what she
2		did was slightly abnormal,
3	ð	Any other abnormal findings besides that, sir?
4	A.	Not at that point,
5	Q.	All right. Did you conduct a further examination
6		of her low back?
7	A.	Yea.
8	Q	Would you please describe for the Panel, if you
9		would, then, your further examination?
10	A.	I noted that sitting straight leg raising
11		could be accomplished to the horizontal bilaterally
12		Supine straight leg raising was restricted
13		to 70 degrees bilaterally and accompanied by
14		hamstring tightness.
15		Lasegue's maneuver increased the hamstring
16		tightness,
17		With contralateral hip and knee flexion,
18		supine straight leg raising could be accomplished
19		to 90 degrees bilaterally.
20		The neurological examination revealed
21		symmetrically hyperactive deep tendon reflexes,
22		negative Babinski signs and normal muscle
23		strength,
24		There was disesthesia with pinprick
25		testing along the medial aspect of the left first
	,	

i

.7

		metatarsal. Sensation w as normal in all other
		areas.
ĩ		The deep tendon reflexes in the upper
4		extremities also were hyperactive.
5	Q	All right. And, doctor, let's stop here for a
6		second.
7		If you would, please, would you explain
8		to the Panel anything of significance in this
9		portion of the x-rays that would have a bearing
10		on Jennifer stilson's injury and recovery?
11	A	This portion of the examination?
12	Q	Yes.
13	А	When I put together the various straight leg
14		raising tests, the major factor in Jennifer's
15		apparent limitation of supine straight leg
16		raising, when she is lying flat, was hamstring
17		tightness. And the way I was able to demonstrate
18		that to myself was, first of all, when she was
19		in the sitting position with her legs hanging
20		over the table, she was able to perform sitting
21		straight leg raising to the horizontal,
22		She has hip flexion at that time and
23		actually has knee flexion on the opposite side.
24		Also when she was lying down, by flexing
25		the contralateral or the opposite hip and knee,

she was able to perform straight leg raising 1 on each side to 90 degrees. 2 Well, when you take somebody who has 3 contralateral hip and knee flexion, that is 4 comparable to having them sitting up with one 5 hip flexed and one hip -- I'm sorry, one knee 6 bent, with one hip flexed and the same knee 7 bent. So in essence when her hamstrings were 8 relaxed, okay, she had normal straight leg 9 That's important, raising. 10 Her deep tendon reflexes were hyperactive, 11 There are a variety of Causes of hyperreflexia, 12 In an injury such as Jennifer had, one 13 of the things that was important to determine 14 whether the injury caused the hyperreflexia, 15 but by finding that she had hyperactive reflexes 16 in her upper extremities, which are innervated 17 by an area of her spine far away from the 18 injured area in her low back, I was able to 19 determine that the increased reflexes or 20 the hyperreflexia was a normal condition for her. 21 The other finding was that of disesthesia. 22 In essence --23 Q. Excuse me, 24 Well, I was going to explain that, Α. 25

1	Q.	What is disesthesia?
2	A.	Well, I'm just trying to figure out-a good way
3		to explain it if you will give me a chance,
4		It's a funny feeling, Okay? In other
5		words, Jennifer didn't have total disesthesia,
6		but I used that word because whatever her exact
7		response was, it was different, it was an
8		abnormal feeling, okay, and that abnormal
9		feeling was located along the medial aspect of
10		the first metatarsal on the left which is the
11		area that is innervated by the L-4 nerve root
12		which certainly waa a nerve root that was
13		irritated in this accident, So the finding of
14		abnormal sensation, or disesthesia, along her
15		left great toe, was an abnormal finding. It
16		wouldn't have any functional significance but
17		it was a finding,
18	Q.	All right, Did this conclude your examination
19		of Jennifer Stilson?
20	A.	Yes.
21	ð	Now, doctor, did you also have record8 or did you
22		also review certain records with regard to
23		Jennifer Stilson?
24	A.	Yes. I would like to point out that I was unable
25		to obtain any radiographs at that time because

•

1		she was three months pregnant,
2	Q.	All right, So at the time of your examination,
3		no x-rays were taken?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	Did you have a chance to review other x-rays,
6		though, that were taken before she was pregnant?
7	A.	ultimately, yes.
8	Q.	Can you outline for the Panel, please, the records
9		of Jennifer Stilson that you did review?
10	A.	Yes. I reviewed records from Parma Community
11		Hospital for December 22nd, 1989; records from
12		MetroHealth Medical Center for the period
13		between December 22nd, 1989 and December 30th,
14		1989.
15		I reviewed Dr. Wilbur's letter of
16		November 5th, 1990.
17		I reviewed radiographs which were
18		obtained on January 25th, 1991; radiographs
19		that were obtained on July 13, 1990 and on
20		April 6th, 1990; and I have reviewed an office
21		record from Dr, Wilbur which was prepared on
22		January 25th, 1991 as well as the radiologist's
23		report of the radiographs that were obtained
24		on January 25th, 1991.
25	ð	All right. Doctor, without going through all of

-

the records individually that you have reviewed, starting with the Parma Community Hospital and going up through the hospitalization and Dr. Wilbur's treatment, would you please summarize for the Panel, if you would, the significant portions of those records that you found to be of value with respect to the injury and the treatment and recovery that Jennifer has made? Certainly, The important things that I gleaned Α from the Parma Community General Hospital and the MetroHealth records were the indication that she had sustained a fracture of L-3 which was referred to as an atypical burst fracture; that she had sustained fractures of the left seventh. eighth, ninth and tenth ribs as well as the right first rib: a laceration of her elbow.

۷

Ē

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also of importance was the fact that she underwent what was referred to as a posterior spinal fusion from L-2 to L-4, using Cotrell-Dubosset instrumentation; and L-3 laminectomy with exploration of the right L-3 nerve root and right autogenous iliac bone graft.

When she was discharged from the hospital she was wearing **a TLSO** which means a thoracal lumbar sacral orthosis. It's a brace that covers

		the thoracic lumbar and sacrum. That is what
		was important in those records,
		Dr. Wilbur's record, or his letter
		rather of November 5th, 1990, indicates that
		when he examined Mrs. Stilson eight months after
1		the accident, she had no significant leg pain,
		no disesthesias and no neurologic complaints.
٤	Q	At this point in time, then, doctor, what is
ę		the significance of these findings with respect
10		to Dr. Wilbur's letter?
11	А	She has made a very good recovery sight months
12		after the accident.
13	8	Now, you read I believe, a note front Dr. Wilbur
14		dated 1-25-91 and have you had a chance to
15		review the x-rays and x-ray reports that were
16		taken on 1-25-91?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	With respect to the x-rays first of all, with
19		respect to Dr, Wilbur's report, at that time
20		is there anything of significance in that record
21		that would indicate how her recovery was
22		progressing?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q.	Would you please explain that for the Panel?
25	A	Yes, On January 25th, 1991, which was 13 months

*

1		after the accident, Dr. Wilbur's records indicates
2		that she was, and I quote, completely asymptomatic,
3		working on a full time basis and neurologically
4		intact. So 13 months after the accident, she
5		had made an excellent recovery.
6	Q	The fact that she was what Dr. Wilbur calls
7		completely asymptomatic, what does that mean to
8		you, doctor?
9		MR. PARIS: Objection.
10	A	It means to me that she is without symptoms, that
11		she has no symptoms. In fact, she was completely
12		without symptoms.
13	ð	You had a chance to compare the x-rays, I believe,
14		of June the 6th of 1990, July 13 of 1990 and
15		January 25th of 1991, is that correct, sir?
16	A	Yes, sir.
17	ð	Will you please, if you would, outline for the
18		jury your findings with respect to those x-rays,
19		the comparisons that were made and your
20		findings there, sir?
21	λ.	Certainly. I reviewed the most recent radiographs,
22		those taken January 25th of 1991, about 11 months
23		before I saw her, and then we compared them
24		with previous radiographs. The comparison
25		demonstrated that there had been no change in the

AND DOCUMENTS

1 configuration or the alignment of the lumbar spine, its shape, if you will, in the interval 2 3 between April 6th, 1990 which was five months 4 after the accident, and January 25th, 1991, 5 13 months after the accident. There had been healing of the L-3, the third lumbar vertebral 6 body fracture as well as consolidation of the 7 bone graft. So the comparison revealed that the 8 fracture had healed, the bone graft had 9 healed and the fusion was stable. 10 Did this appear to be a good recovery from Q. 11 these injuries? 12 A. Absolutely. 13 Now, doctor, with respect to your examination of Q. 14 Jennifer Stilson, I realize that you reviewed 15 some of these records before you examined her 16 and some of these records after you examined her. 17 Can you tell the Panel, if you would, what 18 degree of limitations or restrictions and 19 activities you would expect from Jennifer Stilson 20 at this time? 21 As of my examination in December of 1991? Α 22 That's correct, at the time you saw her. 0 23 I think it would be difficult for her to bend over A. 24 and touch the floor with her knees straight. She 25

definitely has a hamstring tightness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

I think that she would have **no** difficulty bending if she bent with her knees **as** she attempted to bend or to lift.

I think that she would have symptoms of mechanical back pain, generalized aching with repetitive activities that **is** to say, if she was engaged in an activity where **she was** required to repetitively bend over, I think she would have **some** mechanical low **back** symptoms. On the **other** hand, just barely bending over from time to time with her knees bent shouldn't bother her.

Other limitations -- well, there are 14 certainly some sports activities that would not 15 be advisable for her to participate in, only 16 because the result of a new injury would have 17 greater consequences than if she had not had 18 her back fused, for example, I think there was 19 mention somewhere about snow skiing. I think 20 it would be foolhardy, if that is an appropriate 21 word to use, to even participate in that kind 22 of sport. 23

Would that be because of her inability to do
so or because of what possibly could happen if

1		it was reinjured?
2	λ.	It would not be because of her inability to do
3		so. She ought to be able to ski, but an injury
4		might have more devastating consequences than
5		if she had not had a spinal fusion.
6	<u>Q</u>	What about with respect to range of motion of the
7		back, can you give the Panel an idea as to the
8		restrictions or limitations with respect to range
9		of motion as of the data of your examination?
10	A.	Well, as I have tried to point out, she really
11		had very little limitation of motion.
12		She could get her fingertips as far as
13		her ankles. She couldn't touch her toea.
14		She couldn't touch the floor.
15		Now, I can't think of any activities that
16		I do on a daily basis where I have to bend over
17		and touch the floor with my knees straight, So
18		although'ahe had some limitation, I can't perceive
19	- - 	of something that would be of great functional
20		consequence.
21	Q	Well, having examined her and having examined her
22		records and the x-rays, would she be able to
23		perform her normal daily functions, let's say,
24		at home?
25	A.	A s a housewife

*

1	0.	As a housewife and mother?
2	A	And soon to be mother, yes.
3		·
4	Q.	She would be able to perform those?
	а	Yes.
5	Q	You're aware, of course, that she is a fifth
6		grade, she was a fifth grade Schoolteacher.
7		Would she be able to perform her functions as
8		a fifth grade schoolteacher?
9	A.	Well, it has been a long time since I've been
10		in the fifth grade, but as I remember the
11		duties of a teacher, yes, I think she could get
12		around and communicate with the class. You
13		know, she might have some low back pain if she
14		stood in one place for 45 minutes or so, but
15		there are things that you can do while you're
16		on your feet for a period of time like that to
17		decrease those kinds of problems.
18	<u>Q</u>	Well, doctor, is there anything that you can
19		think of with respect to her condition, anything
20		that she could do to improve the condition that
21		she is presently in or was in at the time you
22		saw her, things that could be done to help her?
23	A	Y e s.
24	Ç	Further?
25	A	Yes.

Γ

1	¢	Would you outline that for the Court, if you
2		would?
3	A.	Pes. To deliver her child, and I'm not saying that
4		facetiously, but with pregnancy there is an
5		increase in your lumbar lordosis. There is also
6		a laxity of certain supporting structures around
7		the pelvis, so delivering of the child will
8		return those things to her pre-pregnant status.
9		After her delivery she! is going to have to work
10		again on rehabilitation which will include
11		strengthening her abdominal muscles.
12		And certainly as her examination
13		demonstrated, she has tight hamstrings. And
14		by working on a program of hamstring stretching,
15		that will also improve her condition.
16	Q.	Now, I think this goes without saying, but
17		tight hamstrings were not caused by this
18		accident, is that correct?
19	A.	That's correct, they were not,
20	Q.	It's just something that is natural with her,
21		is that in her makeup or what?
22	A.	some people have tight hamstrings, Whether they
23		are born with it, or whether they develop it,
24		you know, it depends, Women often have tighter
25		hamstrings than men. But the tight hamstrings

1 were **not caused** by the accident. 2 All right, Doctor, then with respect again to you, a 3 at the time that you examined Jennifer Stilson 4 back in December of 1991, and again with respect 5 to the records that you have reviewed with this 6 individual, for this individual, at the time 7 you saw her, would you just again outline for 8 the panel your impression as to her recovery from 9 this injury? 10 I think she has made an excellent recovery A. 11 from the very significant injury that she 12 sustained. 13 Nothing MR. JEPPE: 14 further at this time, Off the 15 MR. PARIS: 16 record. We are off MR. PALCHO: 17 the record. 18 (Discussion off the record.) 19 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. DENNIS B, BROOKS 21 BY MR. PARIS: 22 Q, Doctor, good afternoon, I am David Paris, 23 Good afternoon, Mr, Paris. A. 24 Can we agree, doctor, that Jennifer Stilson, as a Q, 25

1		direct and proximate result of this collision
2		sustained the following injuries which perhaps
3		you and I can go over together?
4		No, 1, she fractured the spinous process
5		of the first lumbar vertebra?
6	Α	I may have missed that but that is nota
7		problem.
8	Q	Let's take a look at the initial x-ray films
9		there from Parma E.R., and the reports that
10		you reviewed.
11	A.	Yes, it says in that report there appears to be
12		a fracture line extending through the spinous
13		process of L-1,
14	Q.	Okay. She also had a fracture of the spfnous
15		process of L-23
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	She had an anterior compression fracture or an
18		atypical burst fracture of the third lumbar
19		vertebra?
20	A.	Yes.
21	ð	As well as a fracture of the spinous process of
22		that vertebra?
23	А	Okay.
24	С,	Did she also have a fracture of the spinous
25		process of the fourth lumbar vertebra? You will

)

Г

.

1		not find that in that report.
2	A.	Okay, I'm not aware that she did.
3	Q.	All right. Would you take a look at the
4		Metro records?
5	А.	Certainly,
6	Q	Specifically at the operative note of Dr,
7		Wilbur, Page 2 of the operative report,
8	A.	Right, I have just got to find it.
9		It was noted that the spinous processes
10		of the L-2, 3 and 4 were avulsed, and the
11		inner-spinous and supraspinous ligaments were
12		torn. So, yea, she did have an injury to L-2,
13		L-3 and the spinous process of L-2, 3 and 4.
14	Q	And L-1 as indicated in the E.R. x-ray?
15	A.	Right,
16	Q.	In addition to those fractures she had a
17		laceration of the right side of her nose and
18		right lip, are you aware of that from the E.R.
19		record from Parma?
20	A.	I would agree to that, Okay.
21	Q	And a laceration to her right elbow?
22	A.	Yes .
23	Q	I'm sorry. Left elbow?
24	A.	I'm aware of the elbow laceration,
25	Q	And the laceration to her left leg?

ŕ

1	λ.	Yè.e
2	<u>Q</u>	You're also aware that she had a fracture to
3		her left ribs, seventh rib, the eighth rib and
4		ninth and tenth rib?
5	Α	All right,
6	Q.	I mean, are we in agreement on that?
7	Α	Yes, we are in agreement.
8	Q.	We also agree, doctor, that she fractured the
9		first right rib?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	Now, did she also have a small pneumothorax?
12	Α	I don't know.
13	ð	If you'll look at the Metro Hospital records
14		there should be a radiology report.
15	A.	It should be in the discharge summary if it is
16		of any significance.
17	ð	Well, here, this might be a little faster,
18	A	Was this done before or after surgery? It
19		certainly says small pneumothorax.
20	Q.	And probably contused lung?
21	Α	CT of abdomen 12-22-89.
22	Q.	Surgery was 12-223
23	Α	Okay. Something about a possible contused lung,
24		did you say?
25	Q.	Probable contused lung. Do you see that there?

*

Γ

[
1	A.	I'll find it. No. Let's see.
2	Q.	Perhaps I can help you.
3	A	Surely Probably contused lung,
4	Q.	Posteriorly?
5	A	There is also probably contused lung posteriorly,
6		Okay ,
7	Q	Now, doctor, she also had quite a bit of soft
8		tissue tearing within the areas of the fractures
9		from L-1 down to L-4, is that correct?
10	A.	Soft tissue tearing?
11	Q.	Yes, rupturing?
12	A.	Right,
L3	Q.	Now, perhaps you can help us out here, Is
L4		this illustration adequate?
15	A.	Oh, can I keep this?
16	Q	Not on your life?
17	A.	Yes, this is a very well recognized illustration,
18	Q.	Okay, Can you focus in on that all right? All
19		right. Without using a pen
20	А.	That's all right. Read what it says on there.
21	Q.	ALL right. If we can hold this up for everybody,
22		maybe we can go through some of the, areas
23		beginning with, in looking at the operative
24		note I see that they noted there was tearing
25		of the interspinous and supraspinous ligaments from

••

daðanda

1		L-2 to L-4. What is that area that we are
٢		talking about in those soft tissues?
çı	а	Yes, it is marked. Okay,
4		The interspinous ligaments are the
5		is the ligament that is between the two spines
6		of the vertebral body. So here is an
7		interspinous Ligament, There is an interspinous
8		ligament, So it's all this area in through here.
9	Q	All right. And if we are looking at can you
10		point out L-4, 3, 2 and 1?
11	Α	Certainly, Here is 4, 3, 2 and 1,
12	Q.	And these are the spinous processes?
13	Α	These are the spinous processes.
14	Q	So these are fractured, 1, 2, 3 and 4?
15	А.	Correct.
16	Q	In addition to those fractures, we have
17	Α	Wait, Was it spinous or transverse process?
18	<u>Q</u>	Spinous.
19	Α	Spinous. Okay.
20	Q.	Okay, So we have those fractured.
21		We have tearing of the
22	A.	Ligaments between them.
23	Q.	The interspinous ligaments?
24	Α	Right.
25	Q.	And we have tearing of the supraspinous ligament
		·

telesta **a**

1		which is where?
2	Α	Well, hare 1t says supraspinous ligament and
3		the supraspinous ligament is the ligament that
4		is posterior to the interspinous ligament and
5		sort of connects all these structures together.
6	Q.	All right. As a matter of fact, doctor, in
7		your review of the operative note, did you
8		find that the spinous processes were actually
9		free floating?
10	A	I don't recall that terminology. But I recall
11		wait a minute. I think I left it out here.
12	Q	Page 2 of the operative note.
13	A.	There are actually two operative notes. Dr.
14		Wilbur's operative note?
15	õ	Yes.
16	A	Okay. I just have to find that again, Let me
17		borrow your copy for a minute.
18	ð	Yes, that will be easier.
19	A	That is what it says. It was noted that the
20		processes were essentially free floating.
21	ð	Now, how did thewell, let me just keep this
22		out here.
23	A.	Okay 🛛
24	Q	How do you get the spinous processes to be
28		free floating within the confines of that space?

.
1	А.	Wall, I think, as you have aaked and as the
2		operative note indicated, if she has got
3		fractures of 4, 3, 2 and 1, and if they are
4		fractured in through here, and if the
5		there is tearing of the ligaments that connect
6		them together, then they are all separate, so
7		they would be, quote, free floating, yes.
8	Q	Apparently she also had a rupture of the
9		ligamentum flavam at the L-2, 3 junction, are
10		you aware of that?
11	A.	I recall reading that, yes,
12	Q	And on the illustration, to the right of that
13		side view
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	can you show us where the ligamentum flavam
16		is and what the purpose of that is? Can you
17		focus in on that all right?
18	A.	Okay. Here is 5, 4, 3, They have removed
19		posterior elements but it is the same structure.
20		The ligamentum flavam is another ligament that
21		extends from one level to the other, I suspect
22		that it gives some stability to the spine,
23	Q.	And that actually covers that is posterior
24		to the posterior longitudinal ligament?
25	Α.	Yes, The ligamentum flavam is posterior to the

1.

•

1		the posterior longitudinal ligament is also			
2		posterior to the dura. It's a thing that sits			
3		here it is free in the inner lamina area			
4		but itsitsunderneath the lamina right here,			
5	ð	Actually, I think on the next page we might			
6		have a better view. I just remembered that,			
7	A.	There it is. So this is anterior, This is			
8		way posterior, These are the spinous processes			
9		that we were talking about and here is the			
10		yellow ligament or the ligamentum flavam.			
11	Q.	All right. So that was ruptured at that L-3,			
12		4 L-2, 3 jucture?			
13	Α	Okay 🛛			
14	e	Okay, Thank you, doctor,			
15	A.	You're welcome.			
16	Q.	In addition to that she had an operative			
17		procedure which resulted in approximately a			
18		nine inch scar on her back.			
19	Α	I didn't measure it. It extended from the			
20		lower part of her thoracic spine.			
21	Q.	The T-11 or 12?			
22	R	T-11, right, to her sacrum, yes ,			
23	e	Okay. And also she had disesthesia of the left			
24		right toe?			
25	A.	Correct,			

Г

1	Q i	" Probably due to an L-4 nerve root irritation			
2		from this accident?			
3	A.	Correct.			
4	Q.	And doers that complete all of the injuries that			
5		this woman sustained?			
6	A.	That I'm aware of,			
7	Q	All right. Doctor, would you agree with me			
8		that these injuries were productive of great			
9		pain for Jennifer?			
10	A.	Yes.			
11	Q	And do I understand correctly that she underwent			
12		three distinct operative procedures, one being			
13		the posterior fusion from L-2 to L-4 with the			
14		Cotrell-Dubosset instrumentation performed by			
15		Dr. Wilbur, is that right?			
16	A.	Before I can answer your question, tell me			
17		the three procedures. and I'll tell you whether			
18	Q	The L-3 laminectomy and the right iliac			
19		harvesting,			
20	A.	Okay. No, I don't agree that there are three			
21		distinct and separate procedures. They are			
22		all part of the same thing, They were all			
23		performed at the same time and they were all			
24		necessary to achieve the end result.			
25	Q	Okay. All right. The laminectomy and the			

6.ja

1		posterior fusion were all part of tha same			
2		thing?			
3	A.	certainly.			
4	Q.	They are not two separate procedures, though?			
5	A	N o .			
6	Q.	Okay. Mow,, have you ever performed yourself			
7		the posterfor fusion, L-2 through L-4 with the			
8		Cotrell-Dubosset instrumentation?			
9	Α	No.			
0	Q	Okay. Do you agree that each of these			
1		injuries that we have described were directly			
2		and proximately caused by her car accident of			
3		December 22, 19891			
۱	A.	Yes.			
5	Q.	And would you agree that the medical care and			
3		the surgeries were reasonable and necessary			
,		to treat those injuries?			
;	Α	Yes.			
	Q.	And in your report dated March 15, 1991, it was you			
		opinion at that time that the fusion will eliminate			
		approximately one-third of the normal thoracal			
		lumbar motion?			
	A.	Yes.			
	Q	And in your expert reported dated March 15,			
		1991, it was your opinion that the fusion would			

r

1		also result in increased stresses on the		
2		unfused levels of her; spine which would		
3		manifest itself in recurrent mechanical low		
4		back pain?		
5	A	Yes.		
6	Q	And when you examined Jennifer on December 30,		
7		1991, she told you that she had pain in her		
8		low back which was present everyday?		
9	A.	Yes 4		
10	Q	That the pain was worse when standing longer than		
11		15 minutes?		
12	A.	A. Yes.		
13	Q	Q Or sitting for more than 25 minutes?		
14	А	A Yes.		
15	Q	She told you that normal activities such as		
16		housecleaning, mopping fkoors and vacuuming		
17		increased the pain?		
18	A	That is what she told me, yes.		
19	Q	And during the physical examination you performed		
20		the Burns' test?		
21	A	Yes.		
22	Q.	And one of the purposes of the Burns' test is		
23		to ferret out those people who are malingerers?		
24	A	Yes.		
25	Q.	And if your test was positive on the Burns' test,		

•

1		that would suggeat that there was a great deal
2		of exaggeration by the patient?
3	Α	That would suggest that there was exaggeration
4		on the part of the patient, depending upon the
5		positivity of the Burns' test, would be whether
6		it was great or not.
7	ð	Okay. And in this particular case, the Burns'
8		test was not positive?
9	А	Right. You see, some people have a negative
10		Burns' test.
11	Q	Now, going back to the increased stresses that
12		are now put on Jennifer's unfused levels of her
13		spine, would you agree that she is at a higher
14		risk or more susceptible to develop degenerative
15		disk disease at an earlier age than the general
16		population?
17	A	Yes -
18	Q	And, doctor, in your opinion, are the following
19		complaints of pain, which are associated with
20		certain activities and restrictions, reasonable
21		and consistent with the type of injuries
22		Jennifer had, housecleaning, which requires
23		repetitive bending and stooping for extended
24		periods of time?
25	Α	Just so I can understand, you're going to give
23		

1		me some activities, these activities cause her	43		
2					
		pain and you want to know whether I believe they			
3	are consistent with the injuries and the treatment				
4		that: she has had?			
5	e	Right .			
6	A.	Right? Repetitive housecleaning, or housecleaning			
7		which requires repetitive bending and stooping			
8		over a prolonged period of time, yes.			
9	Q	Pushing a vacuum cleaner, around the house?			
10	A.	N o .			
11	Q	How about lifting over 10 or 15 pounds?			
12	A	A Not with proper lifting techniques, it shouldn't			
13		be painful.			
14	е	Okay, Lifting and walking with grocery bags?			
15	A.	It depends upon the number of times she has to			
16		lift, how heavy the grocery bags are and how			
17		far she has to walk. So at one extreme, yes,			
18		it certainly could be painful. On the other			
19		extreme, it wouldn't necessarily be.			
20	Q	Sitting in one position for over 20 minutes?			
21	k	I wouldn't relate that to her accident.			
22	e	Standing in one position for over 20 minutes?			
23	A	Twenty minutes is a little bit short, If it was			
24		45 minutes, I would say yes ,			
24	Q	Yes, you did mention that in your direct examination			
23					

*

1	A	Right.	
2	Q.	Participating in a bowling league, on a weekly	
3		basis?	
4	A.	I guess it would depend on her form because as	
5		a good bowler, again, you bend your knees when	
6		you deliver the ball. And if she bends her	
7		knees when she lifts the ball off the rack	
8		it could probably could accomplish that.	
9	ð	But certainly <i>no</i> snow skiing?	
10	A	Certainly no snow skiing.	
11	Q	Yardwork such as <i>raking</i> , weeding, and shoveling	
12		snow?	
13	A.	Again, it depends upon duration, So it is possible.	
14	Q	Contact: sports are out?	
15	a	Yes, I wouldn't expect she would play football.	
16	Q	And she is going to have to take special care	
17		as it relates to lifting and bathing her newborn	
18		child, newborn as long as the baby still has to	
19		be carried around over the next couple of years,	
20		is that right?	
21	А	She is going to have to be careful how she lifts,	
22		Jennifer, yes .	
23	e	Yes.	
24	A.	Right.	
25	ð	And how she puts the baby in the tub?	

1	A	Right,			
2	е	And how she takes the baby out of the tub?			
3	A.	Right.			
4	¢	And how she breast feeds or if she intends to			
5		breast feed the baby?			
6	A	I don't know how that would I don't know how			
7		your back enters into that one. But we can have			
8		a demonstration, I guess,			
9	ð	You would agree, doctor, that Jennifer will			
10		always have to maintain an exercise regimen with			
11		respect to her injuries?			
12	A.	. With respect to the injured part of her, yes.			
13	Q	Sure, And would you agree, doctor, that the			
14		five months she was required to wear her brace			
15		was reasonable and necessary?			
16	A.	Yes.			
17		MR. PARIS: Off the			
18		record,			
19		MR, PALCHO: We are off			
20		the record.			
21		(Discussion off the record.)			
22		MR. PARIS: Back on the			
23		record.			
24		MR. PALCHO: We are back			
28		on the record.			

Γ

1		MR. PARIS: _ Thank you,			
2	doctor, I don't have anything further.				
3					
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF DR, DENNIS B, BROOKS				
5	BY MR. JEPPE:				
6	2	2. Doctor, I just have three or four more questions.			
7	With respect to Jennifer Stilson's				
8		condition at the time you saw her back in			
9	December of 1991				
10	A.	Yes.			
11	Q	I know that she was complaining of pain or			
12	some pain in the low back at that time, is that				
13	correct?				
14	A. Yes.				
15	Q	And at the time I took Jennifer's deposition,			
16		she was complaining of almost continuous pain			
17		in the low back. With respect to the injuries			
18		that she did sustain, and from the records			
19		that you reviewed and also your examination of			
2c		her, is this consistent, this continuous type			
21		complaint of pain with the type of recovery			
2:		that she experienced?			
2	A.	No, I don't believe that the continuous nature			
24		of the pain is consistent with her recovery.			
2	ð	And why is that, doctor?			

*

1 Well, as we noted earlier, she was seen by Dr. A 2 Wilbur approximately 11 months I believe before 3 I saw her. And she was without pain. She was 4 asymptomatic. I believe she will have an does have 5 symptoms of mechanical back pain, but those 6 are intermittent and variable. They are not 7 continuous. 8 Now, doctor, in your report as referred to by 9 0 Mr. Paris, the report dated March 15 of 1991, 10 at that time you stated, and you were referring 11 to the fusion will eliminate approximately 12 one-third of the normal thoracic lumbar 13 sacral motion. Upon your examination of 14 Jennifer, back in December of 1991, did you 15 in fact -- is that what happened, is that in 16 fact the case that she has one-third limitation 17 of that motion? 18 Well, certainly the manner in which I tested Α. 19 her motion, asking her to bend forward to touch 20 the floor and she just reached her ankles, that 21 would not indicate that she has lost a third of 22 her lumbar motion. So the answer has to be no. 23 Now, doctor, also regarding -- off the record Q. 24 a second. 25

	MR. PALCHO:	We are off	
4	the record.		
e,	(Discussion off the record.)		
4	MR, PALCHO:	We are on	
e	the record.		
E	Doctor, you have a ri	ght to review	
7	this tape or you can waiver	that right.	
8	THE WITNESS:	I waive that	
9	right,		
10	MR. PALCHO:	And will	
11	counsel waive filing of that	t tape?	
12	FIR. JEPPE :	Yes .	
13	MR. PARIS:	Yes .	
14	MR. PALCHO:	We are off	
15	the record.		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

i

-

1

2

25

CERTIFICATE

The State of Ohio,) County of Cuyahoga.)SS:

I. William J. Mahan, a Notary Public within and for 3 the State of Ohio, authorized to adminiater oaths and to 4 take and certify depositions, do hereby certify that the 5 above-named DR, DENNIS B. BROOKS was by me, before the 6 giving of his deposition, first duly sworn to testify 7 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth: 8 that the deposition as above set forth was reduced to 9 writing by me by means of stenotypy, and was later 10 transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that 11 this is a true record of the testimony given by the 12 witness, and that the reading and signing of the 13 deposition was expressly waived by the witness and by 14 stipulation of counsel; that said deposition was taken 15 at the aforementioned time, date and place, pursuant to 16 notice and stipulations of counsel; and that I am not a 17 relative or employee or attorney of any of the parties, 18 or a relative or employee of such attorney, or 19 financially interested in this action. 20

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
and seal of office, at Cleveland, Ohio, this / 5th day
of April, A.D. 1992.
ailiam J. Mahan, Notary Public, State of Ohio William J. Mahan, Notary Public, State of Ohio
Midland Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115

My commission expires January 19, 1995.