1 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 1 CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO 2 3 MORTON BIEL, et al., Plaintiffs, 4 CASE NO. 203689 5 -vs-ALL-STRUCTURES, et al., 6 Defendants. 7 8 9 Videotaped deposition of <u>DENNIS BROOKS, M.D.</u>, taken as if upon oral examination before Gala J. 10 Marzec, a Notary Public within and for the State 11 of Ohio, at the offices of Dennis Brooks, M.D., 12 26900 Cedar Road, Beachwood, Ohio, at 4:30 p.m. 13 on Friday, March 20, 1992, pursuant to notice 14 and/or stipulations of counsel, on behalf of the 15 Defendants in this cause. 16 17 18 MEHLER & HAGESTROM Court Reporters 19 1750 Midland Building Cleveland, Ohio 44115 20 216.621.4984 2 1 FAX 621.0050 800.822.0650 22 23 24 25

1

B 13

REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO.

APPEARANCES:

3	
2	David Paris, Esq.
3	James Schumacher, Esq. Nurenberg, Plevin, Heller & McCarthy
4	First Floor 1370 Ontario Street
5	Cleveland, Ohio 44113 (216) 621-2300,
6	On behalf of the Plaintiffs,
7	Joseph Wantz, Esq.
8	Meyers, Hentemann, Schneider & Rea 2121 The Superior Building
9	Cleveland, Ohio 44114 (216) 241-3435,
10	On behalf of the Defendants.
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	Doug Clark, Videotape Operator.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2 1	
22	
23	
2 3	
25	

3 VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: We're on the 1 2 record. DENNIS BROOKS, M.D., of lawful age, 3 called by the Defendants for the purpose of oral 4 examination, as provided by the Rules of Civil 5 Procedure, being by me first duly sworn, as 6 hereinafter certified, deposed and said as 7 follows: 8 9 ORAL EXAMINATION **OF** DENNIS BROOKS, M.D. BY MR. WANTZ: 10 11 MR. WANTZ: Okay For the record, we're back here for the deposition of 12 Dr. Brooks pursuant to agreement of 13 14 counsel. Mr. Paris is going to play the deposition he previously took of Dr. Brooks 15 as part of his case; therefore, I'm going 16 to go ahead and examine Dr. Brooks as a 17 follow-up to your deposition. Is that 18 19 correct, Dave? 20 MR. PARIS: Yes. 21 Doctor, you testified previously on direct for Ο. 22 Mr. Paris as a result of your examination of Mr. Biel, is that right? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 Ο. What I want to do here this afternoon is just

800-626-6313

4 follow up with some questions regarding your 1 examination and your findings with regard to 2 Mr. Biel. 3 Now, you indicated previously in response 4 to Mr. Paris' questions that you did believe 5 Mr. Biel would continue to have some ongoing 6 limitations, is that correct? 7 Yes. 8 Α. 9 Q. Could you explain for the jury what those ongoing limitations would be? 1.011 I don't expect Mr. Biel to regain a normal Α. Yes. 12 or a complete range of shoulder motion. I do expect that he will have a very functional range 13 14 of shoulder motion. He may have some limitation at the extremes of motion. But I believe he'll 15 have a functional range of motion. 16 Could you maybe demonstrate for us, doctor, when 17 Q. you say he will have limitations, at the 18 19 extremes what kinds of motions and where the 20extremes would be that you would expect the 2 1 limitations? 22 One of the motions is called abduction, Α. Yes. 23 bringing your arm out from the side and raising your hand above your head, I don't suspect that 24 25 he's going to be able to get up to 180 degrees,

FORM CSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO

which would be having his hand this way. However, as I reviewed the records and reviewed Dr. Brems' examination of May of 1991, he noted that Mr. Biel had 160 degrees, so it's not quite 180, but it's 160. And that's a very functional range.

He also noted that Mr. Biel had about 65 7 degrees of external rotation. External rotation 8 can be measured in several ways. But the way it 10 was measured is this would be neutral with your arm here, and then as you come back this would 11 12 be 90 degrees, so he got back to about here. That's 65 degrees. He may gain another five 13 degrees or so. But again, because of the nature 14 of the injury he had and the surgery to repair 15 it, he's not going to be able to get to 190. 16

The third motion is internal rotation, reaching behind your back, okay. Again, when Dr. Brems examined him, he could reach to T-12, which meant that he could reach right at the level where his thoracic spine joined his lumbar spine. The average person can probably reach up to about T-8 or even T-6, I don't expect that he can do that, but he can get to T-12. So he may be able to scratch the lower part of his

& MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

		б
1		back this way and may have to use a back
2		scratcher if he wants to get up higher.
3	Q.	Doctor, would there be any pain associated with
4		Mr. Biel's using the arm in the normal range and
5		normal functions that you believe he will have
6		as a result of this injury?
7	Α.	No. I believe that he really will be pain-free
8		during the activities of daily living and using
9		his arm in a functional range.
10	Q.	Doctor, going back to your exam, which I believe
11		you performed on July 16, 1991
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	you indicated that you found on his active
14		range of motion that his abduction was 80
15		degrees.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Yet if I heard you right, you indicated that
18		Dr. Brems found that the range of motion for
19		abduction was 165.
20	Α.	160, yes.
21	Q.	Excuse me, 160.
22		Doctor, can you explain the difference
23		between your finding and Dr. Brems?
24	Α.	Yes. When I examined Mr. Biel, I asked him to
25		perform these motions. They were done actively

CO 800-626-6313 REPOPTES

FORM CSP

		7
1		by him. I didn't take his arm and passively put
2		it through a range of motion. So I had to
3		depend on whatever effort he was putting out.
4		He had seen Dr. Brems two months previously
5		and there is no reason to believe that he
6		deteriorated, that he got worse over those two
7		months period of time. So I believe that when
8		he saw me for whatever reason he wasn't
9		demonstrating the entire range of motion that
10		he, in fact, had.
11	Q.	Is it possible, doctor, that he had regressed?
12	Α.	No. I don't
1.0		MR. PARIS: Objection.
13		MR. FARIS. ODJECTION.
13	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is
	Α.	
14	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is
14 15	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is
14 15 16	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he
14 15 16 17	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or
14 15 16 17 18	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or tore apart the repair that Dr. Brems had done.
14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or tore apart the repair that Dr. Brems had done. So I don't believe that it's possible that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A . Q.	Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or tore apart the repair that Dr. Brems had done. So I don't believe that it's possible that I'm sorry, I don't believe that it's probable
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or tore apart the repair that Dr. Brems had done. So I don't believe that it's possible that I'm sorry, I don't believe that it's probable that he regressed,
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Anything is possible, Mr. Wantz. But there is nothing that he told me in his history, there is nothing in the record to indicate that he sustained another injury, that he disrupted or tore apart the repair that Dr. Brems had done. So I don't believe that it's possible that I'm sorry, I don't believe that it's probable that he regressed, Doctor, were there any other discrepancies

প্র

FORM CSR - LASER

		8
1		degrees of external rotation. So he just came
2		out this way. When Dr. Brems saw him he was out
3		to 65. So that was different.
4		Also when I saw him, he internally rotated
5		to L-4, which is about the level of his waist.
6		Whereas I mentioned earlier, when Dr. Brems saw
7		him he could touch that area where his mid-back
8		joins his low back.
9	Q.	Were there any other differences, Doctor, that
10		you can recall?
11	A.	The only other thing was that when ${\tt I}$ tested his
12		strength ${f I}$ found that his external rotator
13		strength, which is a very important muscle
14		group, was four out of five. In other words, it
15		was 80 percent of normal, five out of five being
16		normal. Dr. Brems had noted that he was, he
17		demonstrated considerable weakness. So in that
18		particular area he actually demonstrated
19		improvement in the two-month period of time.
2 0	Q.	Doctor, you've previously indicated for
21		Mr. Paris that you believe that because of this
22		injury Mr. Biel would not be able to engage in
23		lifting paint cans for approximately one year,
24		is that correct?
2 5	Α.	Yes.

		9
1	Q.	And you still agree with that opinion that you
2		rendered previously?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Doctor, that refers to the right arm, is that
5		correct?
6	Α.	That's correct.
7	Q.	Is there anything about this injury that would
8		have prevented Mr. Biel from lifting paint cans
9		with his left arm?
10	Α.	No.
11	Q.	You're aware, Doctor, that Mr. Biel was a
12		manufacturer's representative selling paint for
13		Sherwin-Williams?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Was there anything else about his injury apart
16		from the fact that he could not lift paint cans
17		with his right arm that you believe would have
18		prevented him from doing that job?
19	A.	As a manufacturer's representative, during what
20		period of time?
2 1	Q.	During any period of time after the accident,
22		after the injury?
23	A.	Yes. I believe that right after the injury, for
24		example, it would have been impossible for him
2 5		to drive unless he drove one handed. After he

		10
1		had his surgery he was immobilized for a period
2		of time, and it would have been impossible for
3		him to drive his car again unless he drove one
4		handed. So there is a period from the time of
5		the injury to the time of his surgery, which was
6		in December, and until the time that his
7		immobilization was removed, plus say about a
8		month or so of physical therapy. I don't recall
9		the exact dates.
10	Q.	Doctor, based on your examination of Mr. Biel
11		and your review of the records regarding his
12		treatment and your medical experience, do you
13		have an opinion to a reasonable degree of
14		medical certainty how long that disability from
15		driving would have lasted?
16	Α.	Yes. I believe that
17		MR. PARIS: Objection.
18	Α.	from the time of surgery
19	Q.	Excuse me, Doctor, you do have an opinion?
20	Α.	Yes, I do have an opinion.
21	Q.	What is that opinion?
22		MR. PARIS: Objection.
23	Α.	I believe from the time of surgery to the time
24		that he would have been able to drive would have
25		been a period of five months.

& MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

a M O

11 1 MR. WANTZ: Thank you, Doctor. Ι have no other questions. 2 3 MR. PARIS: Off the record. 4 VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: We're off the 5 record. 6 7 (Thereupon, a discussion was had off the record.) 8 9 10 MR. PARIS: Let's go back on the 11 record. VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: We're on the 12 13 record. 14 15 ORAL EXAMINATION OF DENNIS BROOKS, M.D. 16 BY MR. PARIS: 17 Dr. Zaas -- I'm sorry. Dr. Brooks, it's David Q. 18 Paris again. 19 Just so we're clear, let's talk a little 20 bit about the fact of Mr. Biel's inability to work for a period of time after this accident. 2 1 22 I take it you are aware that he was a 75-year 23 old man who was still self-employed as a 24 manufacturer's rep? 25 Α. Yes.

800-626-6313

A BR&MFG.

		12
1	Q.	And still going strong and earning a good living
2		prior to this accident?
3	Α.	I really don't know what his income was.
4	Q.	I'll ask you to assume that the evidence in this
5		case is going to be that his income, his
6		business income alone had continually increased
7		from '87, 1988, 1989 to 1990. He was a very
8		self motivated, hard working gentleman at that
9		age.
10		MR. WANTZ: I'll object.
11	Q.	Well, I will assume in any event, please
12		assume he continued to earn more money during
13		this period of time.
14	Α.	All right.
15	Q.	As part of his duties, only part of his duties
16		was to carry paint cans from store to store,
17		restock shelves as a manufacturer's rep for
18		Sherwin-Williams and other paint companies,
19		which not only required the use of his dominant
20		right arm, but also required the use of his left
21		arm. I would expect that you would acknowledge
22		that when one is handling cases of paint it not
23		only requires the use of the left arm but also
24		the right arm?
25	Α.	A case of paint that contains more than one can,

		13
1		sure.
2	Q.	When I asked you your opinions originally in
3		this case, it was your opinion that
4		approximately 13 months off from work, or 13
5		months of inability to lift a paint can, one
6		paint can with his right arm was reasonable.
7		Was that your original opinion in your original
8		deposition?
9	Α.	I don't recall. I've not had the opportunity to
10		review that deposition, but I have no reason to
11		change that today, 12 months, 13 months,
12	Q.	Okay. Now, you also indicated that there were
13		some differences in his range of motion, which
14		you detected in July of 1991, and which
15		Dr. Brems detected in May of 1991.
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q .	And it was your suggestion that it was probably
18		not due to a regression?
19	Α.	That's correct.
20	Q.	Do I understand, Doctor, that once this surgery
21		is done to a massive rotator cuff tear involving
22		all four of the muscle groups that an
23		individual, an elderly individual, will always
24		regain the same or close to the same strength
25		that he had before the accident?

		14
1	Α.	I have a problem with the first part of your
2		question. As I understand it, Mr. Biel did not
3		tear all four muscles. He just tore three of
4		the muscles. Did he tear all four muscles?
5	Q.	I was under the impression well, you have the
6		operative records. I thought it involved the
7		subscapularis, the infra
8	Α.	Supraspinatus, infraspinatus and teres minor. I
9		wasn't aware that it involved the
10		subscapularis. But given that difference, the
11		question was, even if he tore three of the
12		muscles, would he regain the same degree of
13		strength that he had preoperatively, is that
14		correct?
15	Q.	Yes.
16	Α.	No. I don't believe that he would necessarily
17		regain the same degree, 100 percent of the
18		strength that he had pre-injury,
19		preoperatively.
20	Q.	Okay. And as it relates to the age of an
21		individual, what effect does that have in their
22		recuperation?
23	Α.	It depends upon their motivation. You just told
24		me he was a very motivated individual. So I
25		don't think that in this situation age is

		15
1		necessarily a factor.
2	Q.	When you saw him in July of 1991, you saw quite
3		a bit of atrophy in the muscle groups, did you
4		not?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Atrophy in the muscle groups is a sign of what?
7	A.	Atrophy of the muscle group is a sign of less
8		bulk or substance than is present in a normal
9		situation.
10	Q.	Less substance to the muscle groups means what?
11	Α.	Well, it doesn't always mean weakness. That is
12		to say, because somebody has less bulk, they can
13		develop the remaining fibers to an extent that
14		they can regain strength, okay.
15	Q.	Sure. But as a general proposition in a 76-year
16		old man who has obvious wasting of the
17		subscapularis muscles and the two muscles in the
18		back, which I believe you detected?
19	Α.	No, no.
20	Q.	You did not detect that, doctor?
21	Α.	Let's look at what I detected.
22	Q.	I can refer you to
23	Α.	Page 2 of my report.
24	Q.	Or I was going to refer to your deposition, but
25		whatever.

& MFG. CO. 6B1B

		1 6
1	A.	My report says there was atrophy of the
2		supraspinatus and infraspinatus.
3	Q.	And doctor, wasn't there also atrophy of the
4		tissue covering the humeral head or over the
5		subscapularis?
6	Α.	No. I don't recall what I said in my
7		deposition. What ${f I}$ said in my report was, the
8		humeral head was palpably prominent anteriorly.
9		So there was some loss of tissue. Whether it
10		was subcutaneous tissue or not, I'm not certain.
11	Q.	Let me refresh your recollection on page 21 of
12		your deposition.
13		Your answer was: "In addition, the humeral
14		head, the ball part of the ball and socket
15		joint, was palpable anteriorly. I mean I could
16		actually feel the front part of his shoulder
17		joint."
18		Question: "What is the significance of
19		that?"
20		Answer: "That there really wasn't a lot of
2 1		tissue between his skin and the humeral head.
22		He probably had some atrophy of the anterior
23		part of the rotator cuff as well,
24		subscapularis. And I made mention of it because
2 5		it was a finding."

		17
1		Okay. So he did have atrophy in the
2		subscapularis, did he not?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	Okay. This was in July of 1991?
5	Α.	Correct.
6	Q.	And there is a relationship between atrophy and
7		strength of the muscles?
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	And the reconditioning that is recommended for
10		people with massive rotator cuff tears and the
11		surgeries that Mr. Biel had is to try to
12		increase the strength and the bulk of those
13		atrophied muscles, is that correct?
14	Α.	One of the goals, yes.
15	Q.	And to increase range of motion?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	Sometimes it's successful and sometimes it's
18		not?
19	Α.	That's correct.
20	Q.	And a lot has to do with the age of the patient
21		and the motivation of the patient?
22	Α.	I've not been convinced that the age of the
23		patient is a factor. Motivation is a factor.
24	Q.	Well, once again, Doctor, do you recall in your
25		deposition where you testified that the

		18
1		rehabilitation for an elderly person with
2		rotator cuff surgery is longer, more extensive
3		than that of a younger person?
4	Α.	I would agree. Again, I don't recall that. But
5		I still believe that today, yes. It's longer
6		and more extensive.
7		MR. PARIS: Thank you, Doctor.
8		I have no further questions.
9		
10		FURTHER ORAL EXAMINATION OF DENNIS BROOKS, M.D.
11		BY MR. WANTZ:
12	Q.	Doctor, just a brief follow-up.
13		You indicated earlier this evening, and I
14		think before, that when you examined Mr. Biel he
15		had approximately 80 percent, four out of five
16		finding for strength, is that correct?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Doctor, at the point when you examined him,
19		would you expect to a reasonable degree of
20		medical certainty that that strength would
21		continue to improve to some degree?
22	Α.	I don't think so. I think that four out of
23		five, 80 percent is about all we can expect.
24		MR. WANTZ: Okay. Thank you,
25		Doctor, I have no further questions.

CO. 800-626-6313

		19
1		MR. PARIS: One last question.
2		
3		FURTHER ORAL EXAMINATION OF DENNIS BROOKS, M.D.
4		BY MR. PARIS:
5	Q.	The 80 percent was at the external rotation, is
6		that right?
7	Α.	Right.
8	Q.	He also only had 80 percent strength in internal
9		rotation?
10	Α.	Yes.
11	Q.	And he had only 60 percent strength in forward
12		flexors?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	And only 60 percent strength in abductors?
15	Α.	Yes.
16		MR. PARIS: Thank you very much,
17		Doctor.
18		MR. WANTZ: Nothing further.
19		Thank you, Doctor.
20		THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
21		VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: Doctor, you
22		can view this videotape to prove its
23		accuracy and you can also waive that.
24		THE WITNESS: I'll waive that.
25		VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: Will counsel

800-626-6313

-

REPORTERS

	2 0
1	waive all filing requirements?
2	MR. WANTZ: Yes.
3	MR. PARIS: Yes.
4	VIDEOTAPE OPERATOR: We're off the
5	record.
6	(Signature waived.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

CO. 800-626-6313 FORM CSR - LASSR RSPORT RUS PARE

	21
1	
2	
3	
4	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
5	The State of Ohio,) SS:
6	County of Cuyahoga.)
7	I, Gala J. Marzec, a Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, authorized to
8	administer oaths and to take and certify depositions, do hereby certify that the
9	above-named <u>DENNIS BROOKS</u> , M.D. Was by me, before the giving of his deposition, first duly
10	sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that the deposition as
11	above-set forth was reduced to writing by me by means of stenotypy, and was later transcribed
12	into typewriting under my direction; that this is a true record of the testimony given by the
13	witness, and the reading and signing of the deposition was expressly waived by the witness
14	and by stipulation of counsel; that said deposition was taken at the aforementioned time,
15	date and place, pursuant to notice or stipulation of counsel; and that I am not a
16	relative or employee or attorney of any of the parties, or a relative or employee of such
17	attorney, or financially interested in this action.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
19	hand and seal of office, at Cleveland, Ohio, this 23rd day of March A.D.
20	$19 \frac{92}{2}$
21	
22	Dala J. Marsie
23	Gala J. Marzec, Notary Public, State of Ohio 1750 Midland Building, Cleveland, Ohio 44115
24	My commission expires September 25, 1995
25	

FORM CSR - LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO.

Γ

		22
1	WITNESS INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	ORAL EXAMINATION	3
4	DENNIS BROOKS, M.D. BY MR. WANTZ	U U
5	ORAL EXAMINATION	11
6	DENNIS BROOKS, M.D. BY MR. PARIS	
7	FURTHER ORAL EXAMINATION	18
8	DENNIS BROOKS, M.D.	10
9	BY MR. WANTZ	19
10	FURTHER ORAL EXAMINATION DENNIS BROOKS, M.D.	19
11	BY MR. PARIS	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		