

489

COLOR VIDEO TAPING

IBM COMPATIBLE

COMPUTERIZED TRANSCRIPTION

STATE OF OHIO,)
) SS:
COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA.)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Manju Taneja, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
vs.) No. 204573
)
Neil S. Angerman,)
)
Defendant.)
)
)
)
)

Videotaped deposition of MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D.
taken as if under direct examination before Ellen
A. Hancik, a Notary Public within and for the State
of Ohio, at the offices of Malcolm A. Brahms, M.D.,
26900 Cedar Road, Suite 228, Beachwood, Ohio on
Thursday, September 19, 1991 at 6:00 p.m., pursuant
to notice and stipulations of counsel, on behalf
of the Defendant.

Court Reporters

OCIATES

1150 THE ILLUMINATING BUILDING • CLEVELAND, OHIO 44113
241-5500

1 APPEARANCES:

2 Fred Wendel, III, Esq.,

3 on behalf of the Plaintiffs;

4 Kuepper, Walker, Hawkins & Chulick, by:
5 Mark R. Chulick, Esq.,

6 on behalf of the Defendant.

7 S T I P U L A T I O N S

8 It was stipulated by and between counsel
9 for Plaintiffs and Defendant that this deposition may
10 be taken in stenotype by Ellen A. Hancik, that
11 said stenotype notes may be subsequently transcribed
12 into typewriting in the absence of the witness; that
13 the reading and signing of the deposition by the
14 witness are waived; and that all requirements of the
15 Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure with regard to notice
16 of time and place of taking this deposition are waived.

17 - - -
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

3
MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D., of lawful
age herein, called by the Defendant
for the purpose of direct examination,
as provided by the Ohio Rules of Civil
Procedure, being by me first duly
sworn, as hereinafter certified,
deposed and said as follows:

- - -

NR. CHULICK: Let the record
reflect that this is the videotaped
deposition of Dr. Malcolm Brahms
intended for use at trial in lieu of
Dr. Brahms appearing live.

DIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D.

BY NR. CHULICK:

Q Doctor, would you state your full name for the
record?

A Dr. Malcolm A. Brahms.

Q Doctor, what is your occupation?

A Physician/orthopedic surgeon.

Q Where is your office located, Doctor?

A 26900 Cedar Road, Beachwood, Ohio.

Q And are we at your office this afternoon?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Doctor, are you presently licensed to practice

1 Your profession in the State of Ohio?

2 A I am.

3 Q And if so, the year in which you were
4 licensed?

5 A 1950.

6 Q Doctor, are you licensed to practice medicine
7 in any other state?

8 A I had licensure in Indiana which I have not
9 maintained since I left my residency there.

10 Q Doctor, for the jury, would you provide us
11 with an educational background?

12 A Yes. I'm a graduate of Western Reserve
13 University Medical School, served a year of
14 rotating internship at Cleveland City Hospital
15 now known as Cleveland Metropolitan General
16 Hospital, followed by four more years of
17 orthopedic surgical training, another year at
18 Cleveland City Hospital, a year at Mt. Sinai
19 Medical Center in Cleveland, Ohio, and two
20 years at Indiana University Medical Center in
21 Indianapolis, Indiana.

22 Q Doctor, do you have a medical specialty?

23 A I do.

24 Q What is that?

25 A Orthopedic surgery.

1 Q And are you board certified in orthopedic
2 surgery?

3 A I am. I am.

4 Q Could you explain to the jury what it means to
5 be board certified?

6 A The requirements for board certification is to
7 complete an AMA approved residency in
8 orthopedic surgery, followed by a written and
9 an oral examination, followed by the mandatory
10 practice of orthopedic surgery for two years,
11 followed again by a written and an oral
12 examination.

13 Successful completion of those
14 requirements entitles one to become certified.

15 Q Doctor, for the jury's benefit, can you
16 explain what an orthopedic surgeon is?

E7 A Orthopedic surgery is that branch of medicine
18 that deals with the investigation,
19 preservation and the restoration of the form
2.3 and function of the musculoskeletal system by
21 medical, surgical, or rehabilitative means.

22 Q Doctor, when did you become board certified in
23 orthopedic surgery?

24 A 1953.

25 Q Doctor, have you written or caused any

1 articles to be written in your field of
2 expertise?

3 A I have articles in the major and the minor
4 orthopedic journals and a chapter in two of
5 the current orthopedic textbooks on the
6 market.

7 Q Doctor, are you a member of any professional
8 association or medical society?

9 A Yes, I am.

10 Q And could you tell the jury which associations
11 and societies you're a member of?

12 A Sure. I'm a member of the Cleveland Academy
13 of Medicine, the Ohio State Medical
14 Association, and the American Medical
15 Association.

16 I'm a fellow of the American College of
17 Surgeons, I'm a diplomat of the American
18 Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons.

19 I'm a member of the Cleveland Orthopedic
20 Society, the Ohio State Orthopedic Society,
21 the Mid America Orthopedic Society, the
22 Clinical Orthopedic Society.

23 I'm a member of the American Academy of
24 Orthopedic Surgeons for Sports Medicine. I'm
25 a member of the American Academy of Orthopedic

1 Surgeons for the Foot and the Ankle, one of
2 the founding members of that organization.

3 I belong to the International Society for
4 Orthopedists and Traumatologists, to the
5 Australian American Orthopedic Society and
6 some other minor groups as well.

7 Q Doctor, you mentioned that you've had some
8 experience in the field of sports medicine.

9 Have you had occasion to become involved
10 with any professional sports organizations
11 during your practice?

12 A Both professional and otherwise. I was a
13 treating physician for local high schools in
14 sports endeavors.

15 I was the consultant orthopedic surgeon
16 for the Cleveland Indians, Cleveland Bulldogs
17 and the Cleveland Browns.

18 Q Doctor, at the present time, are you
19 affiliated with any hospital?

20 A I am.

21 Q Which hospital?

22 A Mt. Sinai Medical Center and Suburban
23 Community Hospital.

24 Q Doctor, in your practice, do you have occasion
25 to treat patients who have been involved in

1 automobile accidents?

2 A Yes, I do.

3 Q Doctor, have you had occasion to examine a
4 patient by the name of Manju Taneja?

5 A Yes.

6 Q And Doctor, when did that examination occur?

7 A I examined her on the 13th of August of 1991.

8 Q And Doctor, what was the purpose of your
9 examination?

10 A To examine the patient and to render a report
11 concerning that examination.

12 Q Doctor, can you explain to the jury what your
13 examination consisted of?

14 A Sure. A history and a physical examination.

15 Q For the jury's benefit, Doctor, can you
16 explain what a history is?

17 A Yes. History is that which the patient tells
18 the doctor. The reasons for coming to the
19 doctor's office, the hows, the whys, the whens
20 and the wherefores of the nature of their
21 visit.

22 Q And the significance of the history?

23 A History is extremely significant to any
24 physician.

25 Q And the other part of your examination

1 consisted of what?

2 A A physical examination.

3 Q Doctor, can you explain to the jury, from
4 beginning to end, how you conducted your
5 examination of Mrs. Taneja?

6 A Certainly. After taking a history, the
7 patient is given a complete orthopedic
8 examination focusing principally on the areas
9 of the chief complaint.

10 Q And did you obtain a history from Mrs. Taneja?

11 A I did.

12 Q And what did she tell you about regarding her
13 history?

14 A Yes. She told me that on the 7th of April of
15 1989, she was a driver of her automobile. She
16 was wearing a seatbelt, she was involved in an
17 automobile accident. This occurred on
18 Interstate 480 near the Warrensville Center
19 Road exit.

20 She said that she was proceeding in
21 traffic and her automobile was struck from the
22 rear. She said that she was not aware that
23 she struck any part of the interior of the
24 automobile.

25 She said that the impact caused her to

10

1 have a forward and backward movement of her
2 torso. She did not seek any immediate
3 emergency room treatment that same day, but
4 because of the progressive pain in her neck
5 and her back, she was seen by Dr. Robert Corn
6 on the 17th of April, 1989.

7 She said that his examination included a
8 review of the x-rays, a prescription for
9 physical therapy, and medicines, and that the
10 patient is not aware that she had any other
11 examinations, for example, which included a CT
12 scan or an MRI examination.

13 Q Doctor, did you elicit any further information
14 from Mrs. Taneja?

15 A Yes. She told me that she's the owner of a
16 children's clothing store, that she was unable
17 to work for one week. She said she's not been
18 able to return to her full work duties since
19 the accident. She said she works
20 approximately three or four hours a day.

21 At the time of the examination that I
22 performed on the 13th of August, she said that
23 she has neck and back pain which radiates into
24 both of her arms as far as her elbows.

25 She said she has a sensation of stiffness

11
in her neck. She has difficulty bending her
neck. She has pain in her shoulders and pain
radiates down to her elbows. Pain never
radiates below the elbow joints.

She has not had an EMG examination. She's
able to reach overhead, she's able to hook her
bra in the back, and she's able to dress
herself.

Q Doctor, you mentioned that she did not have an
EMG examination. Can you explain what an EMG
examination is for the jury?

A Yes. An EMG examination is a form of a test
that is done to investigate the speed in which
a nerve transmits an impulse, as well as to
determine whether there's any muscle damage to
the involved areas of that examination.

Q And you also mentioned that she did not have a
CT scan or an MRI. Again for the jury's sake,
can you define to them what those tests are?

A Yes. Those are two sophisticated tests that
are used by physicians in focusing in on a
three dimensional spectroscopic examination of
a part.

It -- CTs and MRIs are able to determine
the differences of various kinds of body

12
parts. The difference between soft tissues
and bone, the difference between fluid and fat
as it differs from muscles, tendons,
ligaments, etc., and that's the reason for
those examinations.

Q Doctor, when would a CT scan, an MRI or an ENG
examination be indicated?

A Oh, I think it's a test that the doctors would
feel necessary when there is suspicion that
there has been nerve damage. When there's
been an involvement of the part, that part
which is examined which may, for example,
indicate ruptures of discs, or whether or not
there's any abnormal shadows, such as cysts,
tumors, etc.

Q And those tests were not performed in Mrs.

Taneja's case?

A Apparently, these were not indicated or
thought necessary by Dr. Corn.

Q Doctor, did you elicit any further information
from Mrs. Taneja --

A Yes.

Q -- in the history you obtained from her?

A She told me that the pain in her back extends
from the base of her neck to a part just above

2 the waist area, the upper lumbar region. She
3 did not have any pain in her legs.

4 She said that occasionally, coughing,
5 sneezing and intercourse aggravates her
6 symptoms. She's not troubled by bowel
7 movements. Walking for long periods of time
8 or standing aggravates her symptoms. She's
9 able to lift five to ten pounds,

10 The pain occasionally awakens her. She
11 has morning stiffness. When she drives, she
12 has pains in her arms.

13 She told me that her household duties
14 include cooking and light cleaning. She does
15 not use the vacuum sweeper, she has help at
16 her home for the heavy cleaning duties.

17 At work, she occasionally does some of the
18 sales on the floor. Most of her job is
19 administrative and is done in a sitting
20 position.

21 She doesn't play any sports, and she said
22 she formerly, however, was able to play
23 tennis. That was the history that she gave
24 me.

25 Q And after you obtained the history, you
proceeded to conduct your physical

examination?

14

2 A That is correct.

3 Q And could you describe for the jury what that
4 consisted of, Doctor?

5 A Sure. We're dealing with a forty-one year
6 old, a hundred seventy pound, 5'2" female.

7 The examination of the cervical spine
8 which is the neck revealed that she was able
9 to bend her head forward. She was able to
10 look up towards the ceiling which we call
11 extension.

12 She was able to turn her head to either
13 side and to tilt her head to either side
14 within a normal range of movement.

15 The shoulder motions which included the
16 ability to turn her arm inward and outward as
17 well as to elevate her arm was normal. Her
18 elbow joints and her wrist joint motions were
19 normal.

20 There's no evidence of any muscle spasm in
21 the muscles of her neck. Her reflexes were
22 found to be physiological. They reacted in a
23 normal fashion.

24 She complained of trapezius muscle
25 soreness. The trapezius muscle is that muscle

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12 Q Doctor, at this point, you would make a
13 distinction, would you not, between what are
14 termed subjective complaints of the patient
15 and objective findings of injury?

16 A Yes, that's correct.

17 Q And could you explain to the jury what are
18 meant by those terms?

19 A Subjective is that which the patient tells the
20 doctor. Objective findings are those that the
21 doctor is able to see, feel, measure and
22 record.

23 Q For example, an objective finding regarding
24 Mrs. Taneja would be her weight, is that
25 correct?

1 A Objective, yes. A hundred seventy pounds, a 16
2 scale would say a hundred seventy pounds.
3 Q And you can determine that despite what she
4 would tell you, is that correct?
5 A Yes, that's correct.
6 Q And her complaints to you about her pain then
7 are subjective in nature?
8 A Those are all subjective, yes.
9 Q Doctor, when you examined Mrs. Taneja's neck
10 or her cervical spine, did you make any
11 objective findings of injury?
12 A Absolutely none. Her range of motion, the
13 sensory, the motor, all was completely within
14 normal limits, including her grip strength,
15 the ability to squeeze a dynamometer all were
16 equal.
17 Q Now you mentioned earlier in the history you
18 took that she complained of pain in her upper
19 arms.
20 A Yes.
21 Q Did you find any medical reason why she would
22 be experiencing pain in her upper arms?
23 A I did not.
24 Q Doctor, you continued your physical
25 examination with the lumbar or low back area,

1 is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And could you describe for the jury what that
4 part of the examination consisted of?

5 A The examination of her low back region was
6 within normal limits. It was also determined
7 that she had some flexibility in her dorsal
8 spine. That's the part from the base of the
9 neck to the waist. The dorsal spine is that
10 part of the anatomy where the ribs are
11 attached to the back. That test to determine
12 whether there's flexibility revealed that she
13 did have that flexibility in that portion of
14 her back.

15 The straight leg raising sign is done by
16 the patient lying on the examining table and
17 raising her leg without bending her knee and
18 measuring that arc of motion and that measured
19 eighty degrees. That is a normal range of
20 motion.

21 She had no evidence of any muscle spasm in
22 the back. She had no deficit in sensory
23 perception using a pin prick.

24 She demonstrated no motor weakness, her
25 reflexes again were normal. She had no

measurable atrophy, her pulses were palpable. She was able to bend forward ninety degrees. Her side bending was within normal limits, and the patient demonstrated difficulty moving on and off the examining table.

Q Doctor, you used the term muscle spasm.

Could you explain what that is?

A Sure. Muscle spasm is an involuntary condition that occurs in muscles when injured. The muscles become shorter, and in their shortening are tighter, firmer. That explains a muscle spasm.

Q Would a muscle spasm be an objective finding of injury?

A Yes.

Did you make any findings of muscle spasm when you examined Mrs. Taneja?

A No muscle spasm in the cervical or in the lumbar region.

Q Doctor, did your examination of Mrs. Taneja consist of anything else?

A No, that was principally the examination.

Q And did you review any records of Mrs. Taneja regarding her past history?

A I did.

1 Q And what records were those, Doctor?

2 A Principally, the records of her examination by
3 Dr. Corn.

4 Q Doctor, if Dr. Corn made a finding that her
5 range of motion in her neck to chin, which is
6 I believe called flexion?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Had a reduction of ten percent, do you attach
9 any particular significance to that?

10 A Oh, I think that when he examined her
11 initially, one would expect that she would
12 have that degree of limitation of motion. Ten
13 percent of limitation of motion is somewhere
14 in the neighborhood of four and a half to five
15 degrees, a very minimal functional factor.

16 Q Can you demonstrate for the jury what that
17 would be like if you were conducting a range
18 of motion test?

19 A Well, we're dealing with a 5'2", a hundred
20 seventy pound, short, obese individual.

21 And the body type itself in those
22 individuals is different from a tall, lanky
23 thin person. It represents her ability to
24 move her head forward, and big people don't
25 have a lot of room to move their head forward,

1 especially men or women who are of that
2 habitat.

3 Be that as it may, ten percent is such an
4 infinite decimal amount of loss, that it isn't
5 worth talking about.

6 Q Doctor, do you have an opinion based upon a
7 reasonable degree of medical certainty
8 regarding Mrs. Taneja's condition when you
9 examined her?

10 A I have an opinion.

11 Q And Doctor, what is your opinion?

12 A Oh, I think she sustained some soft tissue
13 injuries at the time that she was involved in
14 her accident.

15 At the time that I examined her, I found
16 that her physical examination was completely
17 within normal limits.

18 Q So Doctor, based upon a reasonable degree of
19 medical certainty, and is it your opinion that
20 she completely recovered from any injuries she
21 may have sustained from the accident?

22 MR. WENDEL: Objection.

23 A I have an opinion. -

24 Q What is your opinion, Doctor?

25 A Obviously, when the examination is normal, she

1 recovered completely.

2 Q Doctor, normally, how long does a soft tissue
3 injury take to resolve itself?

4 A That depends upon age and whether or not the
5 patient has any superimposed medical
6 conditions.

7 In a person of forty-one years of age, I
8 would think that soft tissue injuries should
9 respond reasonably well within a period of six
10 to eight weeks.

11 Q And Doctor, if Mrs. Taneja was exhibiting upon
12 examination a normal range of motion in both
13 her cervical and lumbar areas within six to
14 eight weeks, would that surprise you?

15 A It would not.

16 Q Doctor, do you have an opinion based upon
17 reasonable -- a reasonable degree of medical
18 certainty whether or not Mrs. Taneja suffered
19 any kind of permanent injury as a result of
20 the automobile accident?

21 A I have an opinion.

22 Q What is your opinion, Doctor?

23 A There's obviously no evidence to support any
24 continuing residual manifestation of the
25 injuries.

1 Q And Doctor, do you have an opinion based upon
2 a reasonable degree of medical certainty
3 whether or not Mrs. Taneja requires any
4 continuing treatment?

5 A I have an opinion.

6 Doctor, what is your opinion?

7 I think that if she re-injures herself, or in
8 any overuse phenomena, for example, in a gym
9 or a spa, she might have recurring symptoms,
10 but there's no evidence to support any other
11 reason for any residual manifestations of
12 injury.

13 Doctor, in your opinion, would there be any
14 reason for Mrs. Taneja to have whirlpool
15 treatment or join an exercise spa as a result
16 of any injuries she may have sustained in the
17 automobile accident?

18 A I have an opinion.

19 Q What is it, Doctor?

20 A I think all of us benefit from going to any
21 exercise class from a cardiovascular
22 standpoint, and I would say that it would do
23 no harm but there would be no specific
24 indications to send her to a spa for the
25 injuries she sustained in the automobile

accident.

23

MR. CHULICK: Thank you, Doctor.

I have nothing further at this time.

MR. WENDEL: Go off the record.

VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Off the record.

- - -

(Discussion off the record.)

- - -

VIDEO TECHNICIAN: Back on the
record.

MR. WENDEL: Doctor, my name is
Fred Wendel. I represent Manju and Jay
Taneja in this action, as I'm sure
you're aware of.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D.

BY MR. WENDEL:

Q When giving your credentials, you had
mentioned quite a number of groups and
associations to which you belong. What
percentage of your professional time is spent
actively engaged in those activities with
those groups?

A I certainly don't understand your question,
but I'll try to answer it.

1 Those of us who are in orthopedic surgery
2 attend orthopedic meetings, and most of those
3 groups that were mentioned, or some of those
4 that are mentioned are orthopedic
5 organizations to which we go to those meetings
6 when they're held most frequently, sometimes
7 annually, sometimes maybe semi-annually -- I
8 mean bi-annually.

9 Sometimes we miss a year or two but
10 frequently.

11 ? Would you say that you are actively engaged in
12 all of those organizations?

13 I know I am.

14 Doctor, does it take anything other than the
15 payment of dues to be involved in one of those
16 organizations?

17 Those organizations that I mentioned, some of
18 them require dues, a lot of them don't require
19 dues.

20 One becomes a fellow of the College of
21 Surgeons, one becomes a member of the American
22 Orthopedic Society. There are no dues. We
23 pay for the journals when we get them.
24 But you don't have to be actively involved to
25 be a member, do you, Doctor?

1 A Those -- those societies that I mentioned are
2 principal societies. They're not phony
3 societies.

4 Q I didn't ask that though, Doctor.

5 A Therefore, if I mention them, I'm actively
6 engaged in them.

7 Q Each and every one of them every year?

8 A Each and every one of them and as I said,
9 perhaps -- and the American Academy of
10 Orthopedic Surgery, I haven't missed a meeting
11 since 1955 and most of the other associations
12 are done annually. If not annually, every
13 second year.

14 Q Doctor, just for the benefit of the ladies and
15 gentlemen of the jury, isn't it true that you
16 were hired by Mr. Chulick to do this
17 examination?

18 A I'm never hired by anyone to do anything.

19 Q You were paid by Mr. Chulick or his office to
20 do this examination, were you nor, Doctor?

21 A I do get paid for examining and writing the
22 report but I'm not hired.

23 Q And also for testifying, is that not true?

24 A That is correct.

25 Q You were not hired by the Court to do this

1 function, were you?

2 a I'm not hired. I hate that word hired.
3 That's a verb that I think you I ought to quit
e using. I'm not hired. I'm asked to examine
5 and I do examine and do make a report.

6 3 You were not asked by the court to do this
7 examination, prepare a report and testify,
8 were you, Doctor?

9 A Not by the court. I'm asked by the attorney
10 who refers a patient to this office, to
11 examine the patient and render a report and if
12 requested, to perform a deposition of this
13 nature. I will comply with that.

14 Doctor, you're familiar with Dr. Corn, are you
15 not?

16 Yes.

17 Is he a respected member of the Orthopedic
18 Society here in this area?

19 A Dr. Corn is a very excellent doctor.

20 2 Thank you. Doctor, part of your report that
21 was not read to the members of the jury is the
22 fact that past history as given to you of Mrs.
23 Taneja's medical care is, as you put it,
24 non-contributory.

25 Is that accurate?

That's correct.

2 Q What does that mean?

3 A Means that nothing preceded this event that
4 influenced her medical condition.

5 Q You mean there was no prior neck problems, no
6 prior back problems?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Of which she complained before this collision?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q Now as part of your examination, you said, and
11 correct me if I'm wrong, "The patient
12 demonstrated difficulty moving on and off the
13 examining table?"

14 A

15 Q You watch a patient do that, to get on and off
16 to see if there are problems?

17 A Sure.

18 Q That's part, in fact, of your examination,
19 isn't it?

20 A Sure. That's right.

21 Q The patient, in most cases, would not be aware
22 that they're being observed for examination
23 purposes at that time?

24 A Sure. That's right.

25 Q But she had some difficulty, at least

1 demonstrated difficulty doing that, didn't
2 she?

3 A Yes. That's correct.

4 Q Now Doctor, do you have any qualms or disputes
5 with the treatment regimen rendered by Dr.
6 Corn for this type of injury?

7 A None whatsoever.

8 Q Thank you. You would find that the treatment
9 rendered by him is reasonable, necessary and
10 appropriate?

11 A Reasonable. I don't know that I would find it
12 necessary, but the treatment is appropriate if
13 recommended by him.

14 Q For soft tissue injuries, you treat some of
15 your patients with medication, do you not?

16 A Absolutely.

17 Q You recommend exercises for some of your
18 patients, do you not?

19 A Sure.

20 Q And also don't you refer patients at times for
21 physical therapy?

22 A For a limited period, yes.

23 Q And of those physical therapy treatments,
24 there would be different types of modalities
25 or different types of therapy?

- 1 A Certainly.
- 2 Q And each type of therapy has its own
- 3 particular benefit, does it not, Doctor?
- 4 A Certainly. That's right.
- 5 Q Now Doctor, you saw Manju on one occasion and
- 6 one occasion only?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q How long did your actual physical examination
- 9 take?
- 10 A Well, since you sent somebody to time me, I
- 11 timed myself as well, and the examination --
- 12 history began at 3:07 and ended at 3:18. The
- 13 physical examination began at 4:44, and ended
- 14 at 4:53.
- 15 Q So the physical examination itself was, if my
- 16 math is correct, nine minutes?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And there was a history taken totaling eleven
- 19 minutes?
- 20 A Yes. That's correct.
- 21 Q So a total of twenty minutes of time spent
- 22 with Mrs. Taneja?
- 23 A Sure. That's right.
- 24 Q And Doctor, you saw her, if I'm correct, on
- 25 August 13th, 1991?

1 A That's correct.

2 Q Which is, if my math is correct, about two
3 years, four months after the injury?

4 A That's right.

5 Q You didn't see her before that, did you,
6 Doctor?

7 A I did not.

8 Q You did not see her on a monthly basis like
9 Dr. Corn had in the beginning?

10 A I think the statement was made I saw her on
11 one occasion.

12 Q Okay. You would agree with that then?

13 A Sure.

14 Q Doctor, you're not sitting here denying that
15 Mrs. Taneja had an injury of some nature, are
16 you?

17 A I think you heard me say that the patient
18 sustained soft tissue injuries at the time of
19 her accident.

20 Q Okay. Doctor, a lack of objective findings at
21 the time of your one examination two years and
22 four months following the collision
23 establishes only that fact that at that time,
24 she had no objective findings. Would you
25 agree with that?

1 Yes, certainly.

2 That does not mean that she was never injured
3 though?

4 That's correct.

5 Doctor, you'll treat patients of your own
6 based upon subjective complaints of the
7 patient, won't you?

8 Absolutely. That's the chief complaint. When
9 the patient comes in, that's what they tell
10 you.

11 Q Okay. And it's important to you to hear the
12 subjective complaints of a patient?

13 A Sure. History is important.

14 Q And certainly you can't ignore those?

15 A We don't.

16 Q Now if a patient came in to you with
17 subjective complaints, you'd treat them, would
18 you not?

19 A When the patient comes to me on the initial
20 examination, yes.

21 Q Don't you in your treatment and care of your
22 own patients rely upon those subjective
23 complaints to see how the patient's doing?

24 A Absolutely.

25 Q Now in soft tissue injuries which we might

1 call here muscles and non-bony injuries,
2 patients will at times experience flare-ups,
3 or exacerbations, will they not?

4 A I don't think so, no.

5 Q Will they have periods of remission or periods
6 where they feel better?

7 A No. We're not treating a medical problem
8 where there's waxing and waning of symptoms.
9 The symptoms continue to gradually improve and
10 only reoccur with repeated trauma.

11 Q Are you saying then that your patients,
12 without recurring trauma, will not have good
13 days and bad days?

14 A Yes. That's what I'm saying. When it's soft
15 tissue injuries, yes.

16 Q And that they're only going to have a constant
17 continuum of pain till they resolve?

18 A For soft tissue injuries, that's right.

19 Q Now when you talk about recurring trauma,
20 would you agree with me, Doctor, that
21 activities of daily living, the things that we
22 do every day, can constitute that kind of
23 trauma?

24 A Absolutely not.

25 Q Not?

1 A That's right.

2 Q Your patients don't have good days and bad
3 days based upon their activities that they
4 would normally do, do they, Doctor?

5 A Mr. Wendel, when you go to work every day, y³¹
6 don't suffer from the day before from anything
7 that you did on a routine basis.

8 If you changed a tire, you might have pain
9 the next day but you don't have any lingering
10 pains because of the work that you're doing.

11 Q Are you then telling me based upon those --
12 that statement, that doing things that we
13 normally do cannot make a condition worse?

14 A No. Things that we do every day without
15 adding anything new or superimposed upon our
16 daily activities would not institute or cause
17 any added symptoms.

18 Q Do you have patients who you have treated for
19 longer than six to eight weeks with soft
20 tissue injuries only?

21 A I expect my patients of this age who have soft
22 tissue injuries to be well at the end of six
23 or eight weeks.

24 Q Let me ask it another way if that wasn't
25 understood well.

1 Do you have patients who you have treated
2 longer than six to eight weeks who have
3 nothing more than soft tissue injuries?

4 MR. CHULICK: Objection.

5 A The same answer applies.

6 MR. WENDEL: I'm not sure I
7 understood
8 your answer.

9 MR. CHULICK: Objection.

10 The answer is if a patient forty-one years of
11 age has a soft tissue injury and doesn't
12 respond within six or eight weeks, we'd have
13 to find a reason for that patient having
14 continuing symptoms.

15 It would not be on the basis of that soft
16 tissue injury.

17 Q So in other words, you do have patients then
18 that you've treated longer than six to eight
19 weeks with soft tissue injuries coming to you
20 initially, correct?

21 A That's not what I said.

22 Q Is that correct though?

23 A That's not correct. That's not what I said.

24 Q What do you do with a patient who comes in
25 with a soft tissue injury where their

1 complaints, their subjective complaints of
2 pain and discomfort last longer than six to
3 eight weeks?

4 A I ask them to find out from themselves if the
5 soft tissue injury is really bothering them or
6 if they are continuing to harbor reasons for
7 having those symptoms continue on. I can't
8 believe that a forty-one year old person with
9 a soft tissue injury wouldn't be well within
10 that period of time.

11 Q That's your opinion, Doctor --

12 A That's my opinion.

13 Q -- is it not?

14 A That's right.

15 Q Are you saying then to some extent then that
16 patients who continue to have pains
17 thereafter, it's in their head?

18 A No, I didn't say that.

19 Q Doctor, do you know whether she was on any
20 medication at the time of your examination?

21 A I asked her if she had any medicines. That's
22 part of the past history, and she gave me no --
23 she said to me as a past history that she had
24 a motor vehicle accident -- no motor vehicle
25 accident, that she had a cholecystectomy in

1 1983, that she is not taking any medicines but
2 she did have Naprosyn and that she no longer
3 is taking physical therapy and that she does
4 take some medicines for pain. That was the
5 past history that she gave.

6 Q So in other words, she does take medication
7 for pain?

8 A Sure.

9 Q Do you know whether she was taking medication
13 for pain that day?

11 A I don't know that.

12 Q You didn't ask her?

13 A It wouldn't be important for me to know that.

14 Q I see. Doctor, the fact that a patient,
15 including one of your own, would demonstrate
16 no objective signs to you during the course of
17 an examination, that would not in itself mean
18 that the patient was not experiencing pain or
19 discomfort, would it?

25 A No. A patient could have pain and have a
21 normal examination.

22 Q You'd give pain medication to your patients
23 without seeing objective signs if they had
24 subjective complaints of pain?

25 A No way, no way.

1 Q So you feel it's important for a doctor to
2 make sure that there's some injury or some
3 problem before they give medication, would you
4 not?

5 A Absolutely correct.

6 Q You're aware of the fact that Dr. Corn gave
7 Mrs. Taneja medication throughout the course
8 of his care and treatment?

9 A Sure. I'm aware of that.

10 Q Doctor, would an injury to a patient's soft
11 tissues make them more susceptible to
12 re-injury due to the nature of the healing
13 process of the soft tissue injury?

14 A Soft tissue injuries, no. Ligamentous
15 injuries, yes.

16 Q Do you have an opinion as to whether there was
17 any ligamentous injury involved in this
18 particular matter?

19 A I have no reason to believe that there was any
20 ligamentous injuries.

21 Q Would sprained muscles result in muscles that
22 are less elastic than non-injured muscles?

23 A There is no such thing as a sprained muscle.

24 Q How about a strained muscle?

25 A There is no such thing as a strained muscle.

1 Q There is no such thing as a sprain or strain
2 of a muscle?

3 A f a muscle, no.

4 Q Doctor, if Manju Taneja had presented herself
5 you at the date of your examination with
6 the history as given to you, with the past
7 objective signs but without objective signs at
8 the time of your examination, but with the
9 subjective complaints that she did have, would
10 you accept her as a patient?

11 A At the time that she was injured?

12 Q No, at the time that you saw her in August of
13 '91.

14 A Would I accept her as a patient?

15 Q Yeah.

16 A If she came to me with complaints of her
17 nature, the answer is yes, I would accept her
18 as a patient but I wouldn't necessarily
19 provide her with any medication.

20 Q You wouldn't reject her then?

21 A I don't reject no one that comes in this
22 office.

23 Q Doctor, what does the term significant mean to
24 you?

25 A The same thing it means to everybody else.

1 Something over and above the average.

2 Q It means that there's something there, not an
3 absence, correct?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q It's a matter of degree, correct?

6 A Sure. That's right.

7 Q But there is something there as opposed to
8 nothing being there?

9 A Sure. That's right.

10 Q In your conclusion in your report states, if I
11 read it correctly, the first six words, "On
12 the date of this examination", correct,
13 Doctor?

14 A What page are you looking at?

15 Q The last page, number three.

16 A Sure. Yes, yes.

17 Q Doctor, do you have any evidence anywhere that
18 Manju Taneja had this overuse phenomena that
19 you talk about?

20 A I didn't make the diagnosis. I said in that,
21 if you read the complete sentence and I'll
22 take the liberty to read that sentence, "On
23 the date of this examination -- ", which was
24 the 13 of August, 1991, "-- the patient does
25 not demonstrate any significant residual

1 manifestations of injury either to the
2 cervical or to the lumbar spine,

3 "It is conceivable that with further
4 insult or with repetitive overuse phenomena,
5 that the patient may well experience recurrent
6 symptoms of muscle and ligamentous strains in
7 the lumbar and cervical spine. One would
8 anticipate that symptoms of this nature would
9 respond favorably with the benefit of time,
10 the medications prescribed and the natural as
11 well as the physical therapy that was utilized
12 in her treatment."

13 Q Doctor, do you have any evidence of further
14 insult in Mrs. Taneja's case?

15 A That's not what that sentence says.

16 Q I'm asking you --

17 A The sentence only says that this patient may
18 get symptoms similar to that which she
19 experienced after her automobile accident, if
20 she has another automobile accident or an
21 insult of some nature, or if she does
22 something over and above her normal activities
23 of daily living.

24 Q Let me ask my question again.

25 Do you have any evidence in her case that

1 there was further insult following the
2 collision in April of 1989?

3 A None whatsoever.

4 Q Thank you. Do you have any evidence that
5 there's a repetitive overuse phenomenon in
6 Mrs. Taneja's case following the automobile
7 collision in April of 1989?

8 A Obviously, I can't answer that because I don't
9 know whether this patient decided to wash her
10 floors, to scrub her bathroom down, to paint
11 her house, to change a tire, to shovel snow,
12 to dig in her garden. Those are overuse
13 situations.

14 I have no reason to know that specifically
15 so I can't answer that question.

16 Q You didn't ask her?

17 A There is no reason for my asking that.

18 Q But you didn't ask her, Doctor, correct?

19 A There is no reason for my asking that.

20 Q Is it correct, Doctor, you did not, in fact,
21 ask her?

22 A There is no reason for my asking that. We can
23 go on now till about 8:00 tonight. I'm going
24 to answer it the same way. There is no reason
25 in the world for my asking that question.

1 Q Doctor, isn't part of your function here to
2 make a determination as to *a* cause of
3 continuing problems *of* which she complained?

4 A Not mine, no.

5 Q That's not what you consider your function to
6 be here?

7 A That has nothing to do with my function. My
8 function was to examine the patient and make a
9 report of my physical examination on the date
10 that I examined her.

11 Q However complete it might be, correct?

12 A It was complete.

13 Q Doctor, what does recurrent mean?

14 A Something that happens over and over again.

15 Q Or repeat, reappear?

16 A Yes, sure.

17 MR. WENDEL: I have nothing
18 further.

19 MR. CHULICK: Doctor --

20 THE WITNESS: I need to make one
21 qualified statement. I said before --

22 MR. WENDEL: Objection.

23 THE WITNESS: -- that there was no
24 you might like this so you might not
25 object -- that I said that there was no

evidence of any ligamentous damage.

I must retract that and state that at the time that her soft tissue injury occurred, she may well have had Ligamentous injury of the cervical and/or the lumbar spine.

I don't want that to be a mistaken answer, okay?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D.

BY MR. CHULICK:

Q Doctor, you -- or you answered Mr. Wendel's question earlier stating that there is no such thing as a muscle strain or muscle sprain.

A Sure.

Q Can you explain to the jury what happens to a muscle when it's injured in a phenomenon such as an automobile accident?

A There is no such thing as a muscle strain. It's a common colloquial way of explaining symptoms of this nature, but strains and sprains occur to ligamentous tissues, not to muscle tissues.

Muscles are damaged by a blow, a contusion, or a laceration or a loss of blood supply. But there is no strain to a muscle.

1 Muscle -- the muscle tenderness junction
2 is the term used for strains and sprains, not
3 muscle tissue.

4 Q Doctor, is there any medical reason which
5 would preclude such an injury from healing
6 within six to eight weeks?

7 A None whatsoever. Soft tissue in nature. A
8 significant ligament injury, for example, of
9 the knee, perhaps even of the ankle, may take
10 a longer time but not a soft tissue muscle
11 injury.

12 Q Doctor, you mentioned earlier that if after
13 six to eight weeks, the patient continued to
14 complain of subjective complaints, that there
15 would have to be another reason for that.

16 A Sure.

17 Q And the physician then should look elsewhere
18 to find the reason?

19 A Or to find out whether or not there's been
20 another superimposed injury or a phenomenon
21 which caused muscle pain.

22 Q Is that the time when a doctor may decide to
23 use a test like an EMG, an MRI or a CT scan?

24 A No. I think that the CT scan or the MRI would
25 depend on more localizing neurological reasons

1 to obtain that kind of an exam.

2 After all, an MRI is about eight hundred
3 dollars, a CT scan is about four hundred
4 dollars.

5 So the use of those as a diagnostic tool
6 would need better indications.

7 Q In Mrs. Taneja's case, as far as you saw,
8 would there be any indication for that type of
9 test?

10 A I think Dr. Corn is an astute orthopedic
11 surgeon.

12 Had he thought that it was a necessary
13 consideration, he would have ordered a CT scan
14 or an MRI.

15 Q Doctor, you mentioned that as one of the
16 modalities of treatment for a person who
17 complained of soft tissue injuries that you
18 would recommend a limited amount of physical
19 therapy?

20 A Sure.

21 3 in your opinion, how would you define a
22 limited amount of physical therapy?

23 A Well, it's my experience that a patient will
24 receive three weeks of physical therapy for
25 any acute injury, and the patient needs to be

1 re-evaluated thereafter to see whether or not
2 more therapy is indicated.

3 Q Should a patient continue on and off over the
4 course of approximately a year and a half to
5 two years with physical therapy?

6 MR. WENDEL: Objection.

7 A I think that that's excessive, unnecessary *and*
8 certainly not indicated.

9 Q Doctor, in conducting an orthopedic
10 examination, are there any standards or
11 criteria the profession follows when you
12 conduct one of these examinations?

13 A I don't understand your question.

14 Q In other words, are there certain criteria?
15 Is there certain things that you do as an
16 orthopedic surgeon to conduct a physical
17 examination of a patient in order to formulate
18 an opinion regarding that patient's condition?

19 A Well, I think it's like being a housewife.
20 Everybody doesn't cook the same and everybody
21 doesn't clean the same. I think all of us
22 have our own methods of examination that we've
23 used over a period of years, and I can't tell
24 you how another orthopedic surgeon would do it
25 but I assume it would be pretty similar.

1 MR. CHULICK: Doctor, I have
2 nothing further at this time. Thank
3 you.

4 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D.
5 BY MR. WENDEL:

6 Q Doctor, you were telling us that there's no
7 such thing as a sprain or a strain of a
8 muscle, that a muscle can be injured by a blow
9 or a contusion, a laceration or loss of a
10 blood supply?

11 A Sure.

12 Q Can an overstretch of a muscle result in a
13 laceration or the loss of blood supply?

14 A Not a loss of blood supply, no; can cause
15 disruption of muscle fibers, yes.

16 Q So I mean if someone's neck is snapped or
17 their back is snapped too far forward and
18 back, that could result in a tearing of the
19 muscle fibers, couldn't it?

20 A Absolutely not.

21 Q No. Doctor, you're saying then that if after
22 six to eight weeks, a patient of forty-one
23 years continues to have complaints and the
24 initial diagnosis is that of a soft tissue or
25 a muscle injury, it must be some other injury.

I Did I understand you correctly to say that?

2 A There must be a reason. It doesn't have to be
3 another injury but there has to be a reason
4 and that reason might well be not only injury
5 but metabolic as well.

6 Q Could it be a physical reason?

7 A If there's re-injury, yes.

8 Q Without re-injury?

9 A Not that I would --

10 Q Could it be something that possibly was not
11 recognized right away by the doctor?

12 A Like what?

13 Q I don't know.

14 A Well, if you can tell that answer yes or no.
15 I don't know what you're intimating.

16 Q Unfortunately, I'm not a doctor.

17 A I appreciate that in our discussion.

18 Q Yeah, and I'm asking you from your medical
19 background if there's anything that could be
20 of a physiologic nature that could cause
21 continued problems after six to eight weeks.

22 A Well, if we found something on a CT scan or an
23 MRI that would explain that, the answer is
24 yes. If not, I wouldn't know.

25 Q Now Doctor, here in this particular matter

1 again, I believe you would agree that there⁴⁹
2 was no evidence of any prior injury to the
3 neck or back of Manju Taneja?

4 MR. CHULICK: Objection.

5 A She did not tell me of any prior injury.

6 Q And you are not aware of any either, are you,
7 Doctor?

8 MR. CHULICK: Objection.

9 A I have no idea that there was any other
10 injury.

11 Q You have been provided no information by Mr.
12 Chulick or anyone of any prior injury to
13 Mrs. Taneja, correct?

14 MR. CHULICK: Objection.

15 A I have not.

16 Q Doctor, you said that orthopedic surgeons have
17 their own methods of examination --

18 A Sure.

19 Q -- of a patient?

20 A Sure.

21 Q Would you agree that orthopedists also may
22 have differences in the way in which they
23 treat a patient?

24 Sure.

25 That it's pretty much individual, correct?

1 A Sure.

2 Q And that all orthopedic surgeons looking at
3 the patient wouldn't necessarily agree on the
4 patient's condition. Would you agree with
5 that?

6 A Absolutely.

7 Q And that wouldn't make one particularly wrong
8 if they treated it differently than another?

9 A No, I find no reason to say it's wrong.

10 Q Doctor, how would a muscle be injured in a
11 flexion/extension type trauma if a head is
12 thrown forward and then back?

13 A I think that would be ligamentous injury and
14 not muscle injury.

15 Q So if there was a snapping of the neck forward
15 and backward, that would be a ligamentous
17 injury?

18 A Sure, right.

19 Q Is the healing of a ligament of any longer
20 duration than the healing of a muscle?

21 A Yes, but I think that within that period of
22 frame work of six to eight weeks would be
23 adequate.

24 Q Will physical therapy treat ligamentous
25 injuries?

1 a Sure.

2 a And it would be appropriate to submit a
3 patient to physical therapy to treat a
4 ligamentous injury, wouldn't it?

5 A For a recommended period of time, yes. Not an
6 excessive amount of time.

7 MR. REEDEL: Nothing further.

8 Thank you.

9 MR. CECILICK: I have nothing
10 further.

11 THE WITNESS: I waive the right to
12 review and sign, okay?

13 (Videotaped deposition concluded.

14 Signature waived.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF OHIO,)
) SS: CERTIFICATE
COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA.)

I, Ellen A. Hancik, a Notary Public
within and *for* the State aforesaid, duly commissioned
and qualified, do hereby certify that the above-named
MALCOLM A. BRAHMS, M.D., was by me before the giving
of his deposition, first duly sworn to testify the
truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth;
that the deposition as above set forth was reduced
to writing by me by means of stenotype, and was later
transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that
the reading and signing of the deposition by the
witness were expressly waived by stipulation of
counsel and the witness; that the said deposition was
taken pursuant to stipulations of counsel herein
contained, and was completed without adjournment;
that I am not a relative or attorney of either party
or otherwise interested in the event of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my
hand and seal of office, at Cleveland, Ohio, this
23rd day of September, A.D. 1991.

Ellen A. Hancik
Ellen A. Hancik, Notary Public
My commission expires: 2/10/93.