State of Ohio,) SS: 1 2 County of Cuyahoga.) 3 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 4 5 6 KEVIN & LYNN BECKER,)) 7) Plaintiffs,) 8) Case No. 307384 v.) 9) RALPH E. HOLLANDER, 10 Defendant.) 11 THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM R. BOHL, M.D. 12 13 WEDNESDAY, APRIL 22, 1998 14 The videotaped deposition of WILLIAM R. BOHL, 15 M.D., a witness, called for examination by the 16 Plaintiffs, under the Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, 17 taken before me, Kristine M. Para, Notary Public in and 18 19 for the State of Ohio, pursuant to agreement of counsel, at the offices of William R. Bohl, M.D., 20 Lutheran Medical Building, 2600 Vestry Avenue, Suite 21 3200, Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at 1:30 p.m., the day 22 and date above set forth. 23 24 25

```
1
    APPEARANCES
 2
    On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
         DAVID B. MALIK, ESQ.
 4
         Law Offices of David B. Malik
 5
         8228 Mayfield Road, Suite 4B
         Chesterland, Ohio 44026
         (440) 729 - 8260
 6
 7
         MARK W. RUF, ESQ.
         Hoyt Block, Suite 300
         700 West St. Clair Avenue
 8
         Cleveland, Ohio 44113
         (216) 687-1999
 9
10
11
    On behalf of the Defendant Ralph Hollander:
         JEFFREY SCHENK, ESQ.
12
         Quandt, Giffels & Buck Co., L.P.A.
13
         800 Leader Building
         Cleveland, Ohio 44114
         (216) 241-2025
14
15
    On behalf of the Defendant Scott Schwartz:
16
         PATRICK ROCHE, ESQ.
         Davis & Young Co., L.P.A.
17
         1700 Midland Building
         Cleveland, Ohio 44115
18
         (216) 348-1700
19
20
21
22
    ALSO PRESENT
23
         JIM TOROK, Mirror Image Video
24
25
```

1	INDEX	
2		PAGES
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY	
4	MR. MALIK	4
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY	
6	MR. ROCHE	17
7	MR. SCHENK	22
8	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY	
9	MR. MALIK	25, 28
10	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY	
11	MR. ROCHE	27
12		
13		
14		
15	PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS MARKED	
16	A	11
17		
18		
19	OBJECTIONS BY	
20	MR. ROCHE	9, 10, 13,
21	MD COUENY	15, 16
22	MR. SCHENK	9, 10
23	MR. MALIK	17, 24
24		
25		

1	
1	MR. MALIK: Let me just go
2	on, before we go on the video just to say
3	that this is the deposition of Dr. Bohl
4	taken in lieu of live testimony at trial.
5	
6	WILLIAM R. BOHL, M.D.
7	a witness herein, called for examination by the
8	Plaintiffs, under the Rules, having been first duly
9	sworn, as hereinafter certified, deposed and said as
10	follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. MALIK:
13	Q. Would ou please state your full name for the
14	record?
15	A. William Reinard Bohl.
16	Q. And what is your profession?
17	A. I'm an orthopeodic surgeon.
18	Q. And what is your business address?
19	A. 1730 West 25th Street, Suite 3200, Cleveland,
20	Ohio, 44113.
21	Q. And are you licensed to practice medicine in the
22	State of Ohio?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And how long have you been doing that?
25	A. Let's see, my license goes back to 1973.

1	Q.	Okey. Would you explain to the jury a little	
2	bit about your medical training?		
3	A.	After finishing college I attended medical	
4	school	at Case Western Reserve Medical School,	
5	gradua	ted in 1972. Then I was a surgery intern for one	
6	year a	t University Hospitals of Cleveland, then a	
7	genera	l surgery resident for another year at University	
8	Hospit	als of Cleveland and then a resident in	
9	orthop	edic surgery for three years at the Cleveland	
10	Clinic		
11	Q.	And do you have a specialty today?	
12	А.	Orthopedic surgery.	
13	<i>a</i> .	Okay. Would you explain to the jury what	
14	orthop	edic surgery is?	
15	А.	It's a field of medicine that involves treatment	
16	of cond	ditions and injuries of bones, joints, the spine,	
17	soft t:	issues of the extremities.	
18	Q.	Are you a member of any medical societies?	
19	А.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And which ones?	
21	А.	American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons, the	
22	Ohio St	cate Orthopeodic or the Ohio Orthopedic	
23	Associa	ation, Cleveland Medical Society, Ohio State	
24	Medical	Association, Cleveland Academy of Medicine,	
25	Wilderr	ness Medical Society, Underwater Medical Society,	

1	Cleveland Rheumatism Foundation, Arthritis Foundation.		
2	There might be a couple others.		
3	Q. Okay. And are you a member of any hospital		
4	staffs?		
5	A. Yes.		
6	Q. Which ones?		
7	A. Lutheran Hospital, St. Vincent Charity Hospital,		
8	Deaconess Hoppital, St. Alexis Hospital, Mt. Sinai		
9	Hospital.		
10	Q. Are you Board certified?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	Q. Would you please explain to the jury what Board		
13	certification is?		
14	A. An orthopeodic surgeon, to become Board		
15	certified, you have to complete an approved residency		
16	program, you have to get recommendations from		
17	actually, it turned out to be the heads of five		
18	different departments at every hospital you go to, so		
19	that was about 20 recommendations. You have to pass		
20	you have to be in practice in one location, exclusively		
21	orthopeodic surgery for at least a year, and you have		
22	to pass written and oral examinations in orthopedic		
23	surgery.		
24	Q. And just for the record where did you do your		
25	undergraduate work?		

1	Α.	Oberlin College.
2	Q,	Did you have an occasion to treat the plaintiff
3	in thi	s case, Kevin Becker?
4	А.	Yes.
5	Q.	And can you tell me how it came about that you
6	began	treating him?
7	А.	Okay. I'm referring to office notes from our
8	office	. Mr. Becker was referred to me by Dr. Robert
9	Blankf	ield for evaluation of a back problem.
10	Q.	Okay. And when was that?
11	Α.	I first saw him July 12, 1996.
12	Q.	And when you saw him did you take a history and
13	perform a physical?	
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Can you tell us what the history and physical
16	reveal	ed?
17	Α.	First of all, I read over some of Dr.
18	Blankfield's notes which are kind of extensive and	
19	difficult to read. My own notes state that he was	
20	struck by an automobile January 1996 while at work and	
21	thrown onto the hood of a car. He was complaining of	
22	pain in his back for a couple well, actually a	
23	couple days later he began having increased pain in his	
24	back wł	nich then radiated to his right leg all the way
25	down to	b his foot.

He then also stated he had another injury where he had fallen off a motorcycle at work in May and that that had worsened the pain. He said that the pain was persistent. That's all I have in the way of history.
Q. Okay. In terms of a physical, did you perform a physical?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And can you tell us what that revealed?
9 A. On examining his back there was tenderness in
10 the lower midline of his back, as well as over the
11 sacroiliac joint and the right sciatic notch which is

12 opposed to your hip area. He was able to bend foward
13 100 degrees and backwards 20 degrees.

14 Q. Okay.

A. He had some weakness of the muscles on the right side with -- well, let's see. Actually, no weakness that you could distinguish by manual testing, but if you measured it he had a half inch of calf atrophy on the right side. He had limited straight leg raising on the left side.

21 Q. In laymen's terms what did you find?

22 A. Some tenderness in his lower back and a little23 weakness in his right leg.

24 Q. Okay. Taking into consideration the two
25 accidents were you able to determine whether or not any

1	of those accidents had an impact on his condition?
2	MR. ROCHE: Object.
3	MR. SCHENK: Objection.
4	A. By history, yes.
5	Q. Okay. Now, did Mr. Becker present to you with
6	any spinal deformity?
7	A. He had some x-rays that I reviewed.
8	Q. M-hm. Okay. And did he have a condition known
9	as spondylolisthesis?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And would you explain to the jury what that is?
12	A. There's two types of spondylolisthesis. What a
13	spondylolisthesis is, using the model, is where the
14	body of one vertebrae slips forward on the body of the
15	other through the disc space.
16	The variety Mr. Becker had is shown in this
17	particular model, where in a portion of the vertebrae
18	called the pars interarticularis, instead of bone
19	there's fibrous tissue and so the vertebrae isn't held
20	back and the vertebrae is allowed to slip forward
21	because of that.
22	The other variety is due to arthritis in the
23	facet joints and that wasn't what he had.
24	Q. Okay. What are the ways in which a person can
25	develop spondylolesthesis?

1	A. Probably the most frequent cause is a stress
2	fracture of the pars interarticularis, of the bone, and
3	you see that most often in people who do a lot of
4	backward bending such as gymnasts. You see it in
5	football players who are in the front line who are
6	blocking a lot and getting their back hyperextended.
7	Sometimes you see it in runners due to the rotation of
8	their pelvis.
9	The other mechanism is traumatic where somebody
10	has a severe enough injury to crack the bone across
11	that level. Once you have the boney defect, then the
12	slip can occur.
13	Q. Were you able to determine when Mr. Becker
14	developed this condition?
15	MR. ROCHE: Object.
16	A. No.
17	Q. Okay. Can you tell me to a reasonable degree of
18	medical certainty whether or not the injury, the
19	spondylolesthesis, is consistent with the accidents
20	that took place in January and May?
21	MR. SCHENK: Objection.
22	A. From some x-rays I had seen from earlier, the
23	spondylolisthesis itself, which is the slip with the
24	defect, existed before the accidents.
25	Q. Okay. Can you tell me to a reasonable degree of

1	medical certainty whether or not the first accident or		
2	the second accident or both accidents aggravated the		
3	condition so as to cause him pain?		
4	A. By history it appears more likely than not that		
5	both accidents aggravated the condition.		
6	(Thereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit A to		
7	the deposition of William R. Bohl, M.D.		
8	was marked for identification.)		
9	BY MR. MALIK:		
10	Q. Handing you what I'm marking as Exhibit A, can		
11	you tell me what that is?		
12	A. This appears to be a sort of a schematic diagram		
13	showing the condition of spondylolisthesis.		
14	Q. Okay. Does that fairly and accurately represent		
15	spondylolisthesis?		
16	A. It represents one variety of it.		
17	Q. Does it represent the variety Mr. Becker had?		
18	A. It doesn't appear to. It appears to be more		
19	consistent with the degenerative variety because you		
20	don't see the defect in the vertebrae.		
2 1	Q. Okay. But in both varieties there's a vertebrae		
22	that slips forward, correct?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. Okay. So to the extent that that shows a		
25	vertebrae slipping forward, it indicates a condition		

that Mr. Becker had? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. MALIK: Okay. 4 (Thereupon, there was a recess.) BY MR. MALIK: 5 Q. Doctor, what were Mr. Becker's complaints to 6 7 you, if any? Pain in his lower back, pain down his right leg. 8 Α. Q. Okay. Were you able, based upon your history 9 and examination, to render a diagnosis? 10 11 Α. Yes. Q. And what is that diagnosis? 12 Spondylolisthesis at -- grade one 13 Α. 14 spondylolesthesis at L-5, S-1 with sciatica. Q. When you say grade one what do you mean? 15 16 Α. Spondylolisthesis comes in grades. A grade one is 25 percent or less slip of the vertebrae on the 17 lower vertebrae. A grade two is 25 to 50 percent, and 18 19 then it goes up to grade five which involves the whole vertebrae falling off. 20 21 Q. And when you say sciatica what do you mean? Sciatica is a symptom. It's an irritation or --22 Α. 23 an irritation or compression of the components of the sciatic nerve and what you feel is pain radiating down 24 the leg to at least the calf area. 25

1	Q.	If I give you the definition that objective		
2	means	means things that you could see and feel yourself and		
3	subjec	tive means what the patient tells you, did Mr.		
4	Becker	have any objective signs of spondylolesthesis?		
5	Α.	Yes.		
6	Q.	And what were those?		
7	Α.	The x-ray.		
8	Q.	And what were his subjective complaints to you?		
9	Α.	Pain.		
10	Q.	Okay. Have you had the opportunity to examine		
11	patien	ts with this condition before?		
12	Α.	Yes.		
13	Q.	Have you had the opportunity to determine		
14	whether or not in your medical experience they were			
15	telling you the truth or they were faking?			
16		MR. ROCHE: Object.		
17	Α.	I've had the opportunity to try and determine		
18	that.			
19	Q.	Okay. Did you have any reservations that Mr.		
20	Becker	was telling you anything but the truth?		
2 1	Α.	No.		
22	Q.	Did you prescribe any drugs for Mr. Becker?		
23	Α.	I don't believe so.		
24	Q.	Did you make any surgical recommendations?		
25	Α.	I discussed surgery or I discussed the various		

1	options with him for a bit, and based on the	
2	persistence of his symptoms I suggested that if he	
3	wanted to try and resolve what he had that surgery	
4	would be necessary.	
5	Q. And what kind of surgery would that be?	
6	A. It would be removal of the protruding disc that	
7	he had at the $L-5$, $S-1$ level and a fusion from the	
8	fourth lumbar vertebrae to the sacrum.	
9	Q. And when you say a fusion what do you mean?	
10	A. What you try and do is form one massive bone out	
11	of those three components of the spine. It involves	
12	going in this would normally be a posterior fusion	
13	involves going in, removing the joints and laying	
14	down bone graft that you usually get from a part of the	
15	pelvis in back and then wearing a brace until that all	
16	became one big, solid mass of bone.	
17	Q. Are there any is there any hardware	
18	associated with that operation?	
19	A. There can be. Usually in a two-level fusion for	
20	spondylolisthesis it's not necessary.	
21	Q. Okay. Did you prescribe any physical therapy	
22	for Mr. Becker?	
23	A. There are physical therapies I usually prescribe	
24	for this, but I don't have any note that I did.	
25	Q. In terms of the mechanics of pain why does	

1	somebody feel pain from this kind of condition?	
2	A. Two reasons; one is the instability. You've	
3	in this spondylolisthesis you don't have the facet	
4	joints and there is a segment of the spine that really	
5	is unattached to the rest of the spine except through	
6	joints, and that can be painful. The other is with	
7	enough slippage of one vertebrae on the other there can	
8	be traction on the nerves that go over that level. And	
9	that's usually what's well, actually in his case,	
10	the sciatica can either be due to the slippage or a	
11	ruptured disc pushing up under the nerve root, and he	
12	had what looked like some degree of disc protrusion on	
13	his MRI.	
14	Q. Okay. Was the disc protrusion something that	
15	you could tell us was a result of the accident, or	
16	something that existed before?	
17	MR. ROCHE: Objection.	
18	A. You couldn't tell.	
19	Q. In terms of the pain, can you tell us whether or	
20	not it was a dull ache, or can you tell us if it	
21	generated sharp pains or both; are you able to	
22	characterize the kind of pain from this injury?	
23	A. It has a character. I didn't characterize it.	
24	Q. Typically, though.	
25	A. Typically it's not a sharp pain. It's usually a	

1	dull t	o burning pain down the back of the leg.
2	Q.	Okay. How many times did you see Mr. Becker?
3	А.	Twice.
4	Q.	And, again, that was at the request of Dr.
5	Blankf	ield, I believe?
6	Α.	Yes. Well, the second time was just for a
7	follow	v-up, but the initial time was at the request of
8	Dr. Bl	ankfield.
9	Q.	Assume that Mr. Becker would not have had an
10	operat	ion, what would his prognosis have been?
11		MR. ROCHE: Object.
12	a.	You can't say for sure. He could have become
13	totall	y asymptomatic, or he could have gotten worse,
14	anywhe	ere in between.
15	Q.	And what are the benefits of having the
16	operation?	
17	Α.	You remove the disc that appears to be causing
18	at least some irritation of the nerve root and you	
19	stabli	ze the lower part of the spine.
20	Q.	Did you charge Mr. Becker for your services?
2 1	А.	Yes.
22	Q.	Do you recall what your charges were?
23	Α.	I see an \$80.00 charge for the first visit and ${f a}$
24	\$35.00	charge for the second visit.
25	Q.	Okay. Are those charges reasonable, necessary

1 and a direct result of the accidents? 2 Α. Yes. 3 MR. MALIK: Okay. I have nothing further at this time. 4 5 б CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. ROCHE: 8 Dr. Bohl, my name is Pat Roche and I represent Q. one of the two defendants in this case, a fellow by the 9 name of Schwartz. 10 As I understand your testimony, first of all, 11 Mr. Becker, before he was involved in either one of 12 these accidents had the condition that you have 13 14 described as spondylolisthesis, correct? Α. I believe so. 15 16 Q. All right. And do you have an opinion in this case as to whether that was caused by some other 17 accident in Mr. Becker's past or it was there since 18 birth or from some other cause? 19 MR. MALTK: 20 Objection. I can only say it's usually from some cause 21 Α. other than the two you mentioned. 22 23 Q. Okay. Did you know whether or not Mr. Becker had been involved in any other accidents other than the 24 one in January of '96 and the one in May of '96? 25

17

1	Α.	No.		
2	Q.	Q. Had he ever told you that he was involved in a		
3	motorc	ycle accident in 1993?		
4	А.	He may have.		
5	Q.	Do you recall him telling you that he was		
6	involv	ed in an accident, he broke three excuse me,		
7	he bro	ke seven of his ribs in a motorcycle accident?		
8	А.	I don't recall him telling me that, no.		
9	Q.	Okay. Now		
10	А.	I probably knew it at the time because it's part		
11	of the	chart.		
12	Q.	Okay. Did you review any of the imaging films		
13	or records from that accident to determine whether or			
14	not that may have caused or contributed to the			
15	spondy	lolesthesis?		
16	А.	Just prior to everybody coming in here I was		
17	shown some x-rays from 1993 of the lumbar spine and			
18	that's	how I knew that he had the spondylolesthesis at		
19	that t	ime.		
20	Q.	So he had it as early as 1993?		
21	Α.	Yes.		
22	Q.	Okay. You also found in your studies of this		
23	case,	I guess from an MRI, that he had a bulging disc,		
24	correct	t?		
25	А.	Yes.		

1	Q. And the bulging disc was caused by the		
2	spondylolisthesis; was it not?		
3	A. Well, you can't say how it was caused.		
4	Sometimes with spondylolesthesis you have a bulging		
5	disc and sometimes you don't.		
6	Q. Okay. But it's not uncommon to find a bulging		
7	disc in patients that have spondylolesthesis; is that		
8	right?		
9	A. It's not uncommon, no.		
10	Q. All right. And in any event you have no opinion		
11	today as to whether or not the bulging disc was caused		
12	either by the accident of January 8, 1996 or the		
13	accident of May 23rd, 1996; is that right?		
14	A. That's right.		
15	Q. Okay. Now, if you had said that the patient had		
16	I think you said one-half inch calf atrophy on the		
17	right side, right?		
18	A. That's what I measured, yes.		
19	Q. And that was in July of 1996?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Q. Is that right?		
22	Do you know whether or not he had complained to		
23	any other of your medical associates about problems		
24	with his right leg before you saw him? And I'll refer		
25	you to a note of February 8, 1996. And I'm referring		

1	just about to the middle of the page where it says CO,		
2	complaint of.		
3	А.	Yes. It says here midline low back pain	
4	radiat	es right buttock, right posterior thigh and	
5	anterior thigh.		
6	Q.	And radiates means the pain	
7	А.	Goes downward.	
8	Q.	Goes downward.	
9	А.	Towards that area.	
10	Q.	Is it not consistent with your findings of	
11	atrophy that he would have had complaints in that right		
12	leg ar	ea as early as February of 1996?	
13	А.	He could have.	
14	Q.	Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the	
15	atrophy was caused by either one of these motorvehicle		
16	accide	nts or by some event in Mr. Becker's past?	
17	Α.	Actually, you can't say for sure what caused the	
18	atrophy.		
19	Q.	Okay.	
20	Α.	It can be caused by persistent pain and favoring	
21	them.		
22	Q.	Now, the medical cause, would you agree with me,	
23	of the	surgery that was eventually had here, and that	
24	surger	y was done by another physician, is that right,	
25	or was	it done by you? I don't know.	

1	A. Oh, I didn't even know he'd had surgery.		
2	You mean for his back?		
3	Q. Yes.		
4	A. No, I didn't realize he'd had surgery for his		
5	back.		
6	Q. Well, if he does or did have surgery you would		
7	agree the cause of that surgery based on your findings		
8	would have been the spondylolisthesis, the bulging disc		
9	and complaints of pain radiating down his leg, right?		
10	A. Well, that's why I would have done surgery on		
11	him.		
12	Q. Okay. And you have no opinion as to whether or		
13	not any of t ose conditions are related to either the		
14	motorcycle accident of January 8, 1996 or the		
15	motorcycle accident of May 23rd, 1996; am I right?		
16	A. What do you mean by related?		
17	Q. Well, I would like to know whether or not		
18	A. I think the spondylolisthesis was there. I		
19	don't know whether the disc was there.		
20	Q. Right.		
21	A. And you don't do surgery for the condition, you		
22	do surgery because the condidtion is symptomatic,		
23	because frequently, in fact, probably more often than		
24	not, the spondylolisthesis is not symptomatic.		
25	Q. Did you find anything in your examination of		

1	this patient or his imaging films to indicate that his		
2	physical condition in the low back, the physical		
3	condition of his spine and related tissues was changed		
4	in any way by what happened to him on May 23rd, 1996,		
5	the second motorcycle accident?		
6	A. No.		
7	MR. ROCHE: All right.		
8	That's all I have. Thank you very much.		
9	, _		
10	BY MR. SCHENK:		
11	Q. Doctor, my name is Jeff Schenk and I represent		
12	Ralph Hollander in this lawsuit. I do want to ask you		
13	a couple of questions about your treatment of Mr.		
14	Becker.		
15	My understanding is, and you've testified, that		
16	you first saw him in July of 1996, correct?		
17	A. Yes.		
18	Q. And it's your understanding that he had		
19	previously been treating with Dr. Blankfield, correct?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Q. And it's your understanding that he was involved		
22	in two separate accidents prior to seeing you, at least		
23	two separate accidents prior to seeing you?		
24	A. He told me about at least two, yes.		
25	Q. Okay. And did he tell you that both of those		

1	accidents occurred while he was in the course of his		
2	employment?		
3	А.	Yes.	
4	Q.	And did he so am I to understand that he had	
5	an acci	dent in January of 1996; is that your	
6	underst	anding?	
7	Α.	Yes.	
8	Q.	And that after that time that he had seen Dr.	
9	Blankfi	eld; is that correct?	
10	Α.	Well, he had seen Dr. Brightman after that or	
11	Blankfield after that accident, yes.		
12	Q.	Okay. And is it your understanding that the	
13	second accident which is involved in this lawsuit		
14	occurred in May of 1996?		
15	Α.	Yes.	
16	Q.	And so is it your understanding that he had	
17	returned to work after that initial accident in January		
18	of 1996	?	
19	Α.	Well, you would assume that if he got injured at	
20	work that he had returned to work.		
21	Q.	Okay. And did Mr. Becker indicate to you	
22	followi	ng the January accident how frequent he had any	
23	indication of pain in his lower back or in the right		
24	buttocks?		
25	A. 1	No.	

1	Q. If I could, Doctor, and if you could turn to the		
2	February 8th, 1996 note of Dr. Blankfield, and it would		
3	be on the second page, the following page at the top,		
4	right underneath the name Kevin Becker, can you read		
5	what that note indicates?		
6	MR. MALIK: Whose note is it?		
7	MR. SCHENK: I believe it's		
8	Blankfield's.		
9	MR. MALIK: Objection.		
10	A. Spondylolisthesis L-5 , S-1, chronic back pain		
11	from remote rib fracture, minimal spondylolisthesis,		
12	lateral epicondylitis.		
13	Q. And next to that note before it begins it says		
14	A-S-S, am I to understand, would that mean assessment?		
15	A. Yes.		
16	Q. Okay. What does assessment mean?		
17	A. It's the same as impressions.		
18	Q. Did you have any understanding as to whether or		
19	not Mr. Becker had a rib fracture in the January 1996		
20	motor vehicle accident?		
21	A. Well, it says remote rib fracture, so presumably		
22	not.		
23	Q. Okay. So remote would be prior to, a month		
24	before; would that be fair?		
25	This note is from February of 1996 and if one of		

1	the accidents was involving a January 1996 accident		
2	A. Well, let's see, we already brought up the		
3	history of a rib fracture in 1993, so I'm assuming		
4	that's the rib fracture they're referring to.		
5	MR. SCHENK: Okay. Thank		
6	you, Doctor. I don't have any other		
7	questions.		
8			
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION		
10	BY MR. MALIK:		
11	Q. Doctor, that note that you just read doesn't		
12	indicate the location of that specific type back pain,		
13	does it?		
14	A. Doesn't well, let's see, the impression		
15	doesn't. On the back or on the exam the tenderness		
16	is in the right flank and posterior rib area.		
17	Q. Okay. In the rib area, that's different than		
18	the low back?		
19	A. Well, it says right flank and posterior rib.		
20	Well, actually, I can't tell for sure. It says tender		
21	right flank and then there's an and I don't know		
22	whether this is an and or an or, or it could be an on,		
23	and then it says posterior rib.		
24	Q. Okay. And that's not your note, is it?		
25	A. No.		

1	Q. Okay. Mr. Roche asked you a question whether or		
2	not you saw any changes, I believe, in his condition on		
3	x-ray or objectively speaking. In order to have a		
4	change in symptoms would you expect that that's		
5	something that would necessarily show up on an x-ray?		
6	A. No.		
7	Q. So then Mr. Becker Mr. Becker's injuries and		
8	pain could have been there without showing up on any		
9	type of x-ray?		
10	A. Yes.		
11	Q. Okay. Thank you.		
12	A. Or at least as a change on any x-ray.		
13	MR. MALIK: M-hm. Thank		
14	you.		
15	MR. ROCHE: Doctor, the		
16	only one I have		
17	MR. TOROK: Sorry, mike		
18	again.		
19	MR. ROCHE: You can hear		
20	me, can't you?		
21	MR. TOROK: I'll turn it		
22	up.		
23			
24			
25			
-			

1	RECROSS-EXAMINATION		
2	BY MR.	ROCHE:	
3	Q.	The only question ${\tt I}$ have is re	egarding this note
4	on Feb	pruary 8, 1996 that you reviewed	l before, whether
5	the pa	ain was in the rib area or somew	where else in the
6	back.		
7		Does that note not say C/O com	mplain of
8	something, LBP, and then a little wavy line, L-4;		y line, L-4;
9	doesn'	t that mean low back pain about	L-4?
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	And isn't $L-4$ a vertebrae in t	the low back?
12	Α.	Yes, but sometimes they're ref	erring to pain in
13	an L-	distribution of the leg, too.	
14	Q.	Okay. LBP.	
15	А.	Yes, low back pain.	
16	Q.	So the pain was in the low bac	k, right?
17	Α.	Yes.	
18		MR. ROCHE:	Thank you.
19	Α.	Well, That's what the note say	rs. I don't know
20	how Dr. Blankfield interpretted it.		
21		MR. ROCHE:	All right. Thank
22		you.	
23			
24			
25			

Interfactory and

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
2	BY MR. MALIK:			
3	Q. Not to split hairs too much because the jury has			
4	a couple of these videos to see so I want to be quick,			
5	but you can have different types of low back pain from			
6	different types of injuries, correct?			
7	A. Yes.			
8	Q. In other words, you could have one type of pain			
9	from broken ribs and another type of pain from			
10	spondylolisthesis?			
11	A. Yes. Well, actually, broken ribs usually don't			
12	give you low back pain.			
13	MR. MALIK: Okay. Thank			
14	you. I have nothing else.			
15	MR. ROCHE: Nothing			
16	further.			
17	MR. SCHENK: Nothing.			
18	MR. TOROK: Doctor, you			
19	have the right to review this videotape in			
20	its entirety			
2 1	THE WITNESS: I'll waive it.			
22	MR. TOROK: Can we also			
23	have the stipulation between counsel that			
24	Mirror Image Video remain custodian of			
25	this video tape until it's time of			
l				

TRADUCTION

HOFFMASTER COURT REPORTERS, INC.

1	playback at trial?	
2	MR. MALIK:	I agree.
3	MR. SCHENK:	Yes.
4		
5	(DEPOSITION CONCLUDED.)	
6	(SIGNATURE WAIVED.)	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
-		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	State of Ohio,) SS:
3	County of Cuyahoga.) I, Kristine M. Para, a Notary Public within and
4	for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified,
5	do hereby certify that the within-named witness,
6	WILLIAM R. BOHL, M.D., was by me first duly sworn to
7	tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the
8	truth in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then
9	given by him as reduced to stenotypy in the presence of
10	said witness, and afterwards transcribed by me through
11	the process of computer-aided transcription, and that
12	the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
13	testimony so given by him as aforesaid.
14	I do further certify that this deposition was
15	taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption
16	specified.
17	I do further certify that I am not a relative,
18	employee or attorney of either party, or otherwise
19	interested in the event of this action.
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
21	and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on
22	this 29th day of April 1998.
23	Kristine M. Para, Notary Public
24	in and for the State of Ohio.
25	My Commission expires November 29, 2000.