| | Page 1 | | Page 3 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF CUYAHOGA COUNTY, OHIO | 1 | Telephonic Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. |
| 2 | of contrained country office | 2 | October 27, 2003 |
| 3 | WILLIAM J. GILL, III Executor of the Estate | 3 | JAMES BASS, JR., M.D., called as a |
| | of DANIEL P. GILL, | 4 | witness herein by the Defendants, after having |
| 4 5 | deceased, Plaintiff, | 5 | first been duly sworn, was examined and |
| 6 | vs. Case No. | 6 | testified as follows: |
| 7 | 457639 | 7 | EXAMINATION |
| | ROGER A. MANSNERUS, M.D. | 8 | BY-MR.WARNER: |
| 8 | et al., Defendants. | 9 | Q. Doctor, for the record will you |
| 9 | | 10 | state your name? |
| 10 | TELEPHONIC DEPOSITION OF | 11 | A. James Bass, Junior, M.D. |
| 11 | | 12 | Q. My name is Robert Warner, I'm an |
| 12 | JAMES BASS, JR., M.D. | 13 | attorney representing Dr. Mansnerus. I take |
| 12 | October 27, 2003 | 14 | it you've been to a deposition before? |
| 13 14 | 3:01 p.m. 4045 Newman Road | 15 | A. Yes, I have. |
| 14 | Racine, Wisconsin | 16 | Q. Okay. You've been identified as |
| 15 | CORINNE M. DAVIS | 17 | an expert on behalf of the plaintiff in this |
| 16 | a Notary Public | 18 | case. Do you have your file in front of |
| 17 | in and for the State of Wisconsin | 19 | you? |
| 18 | | 20 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 19 20 | | 21 | Q. All right. Can you identify for |
| 20 | | 22 | the record what you have in front of you? |
| 22 | | 23 | A. I sure can. I've got my letter |
| 23 24 | | 24 | that I sent to Mr. Mishkind September 22nd |
| 25 | | 25 | 6, 2002, relative to my review of the medical |
| | Page 2 | | Page 4 |
| 1 | APPEARANCES | 1 | records of Daniel Gill. I also have several |
| 2 | APPEARED TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE | 2 | sheets of paper here which are dated that |
| 3 | PLAINTIFF | 3 | they're originally addressed to Attorney Howard |
| 4 | BECKER & MISHKIND | 4 | Mishkind. They're from different doctors. |
| 5 | BY MR. HOWARD D. MISHKIND | 5 | There's reports on them. |
| 6 | The Skylight Office | 6 | Q. Okay. You identified what you |
| 7 | Tower, Suite 660 | 7 | have? |
| 8 | Cleveland, Ohio 44113 | 8 | A. I've got one that says Let's |
| 9 | | 9 | see. It's from Robert J. Steel, M.D., |
| 10 | APPEARED TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF THE | 10 | F.A.C.P. I have one from it's from |
| 11 | DEFENDANTS | 11 | let's see |
| 12 | REMINGER & REMINGER | 12 | Q. Doctor, did you have these last |
| | BY MR. ROBERT WARNER | 13 | reports before you wrote your report? |
| 13 | DI WIN, KODENI WANNEN | | The second secon |
| 13 14 | 1400 Midland | 14 | A. No, I did not. John E. Sullivan. |
| | | 14 15 | , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 14 | 1400 Midland | | M.D., is the next one. I have one from |
| 14 15 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 | |
| 14 15 16 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. |
| 14 15 16 17 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 18 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in front of me. I also had some deposition of |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in front of me. I also had some deposition of Norman Nathan Levitan, M.D. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 18 19 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in front of me. I also had some deposition of Norman Nathan Levitan, M.D. Q. Okay. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in front of me. I also had some deposition of Norman Nathan Levitan, M.D. Q. Okay. A. And I also have a deposition of |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 1400 Midland Building, 101 Prospect Avenue | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M.D., is the next one. I have one from wait a minute from Mark J. Bachman, M.D. Q. Okay. A. Those are the records I have in front of me. I also had some deposition of Norman Nathan Levitan, M.D. Q. Okay. |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

| | | 1 | |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|
| | Page 5 | | Page 7 |
| 1 | A. That's it. | 1 | Mishkind in which he faxed me for a Grand |
| 2 | Q. Do you have the medical records? | 2 | Jury – Wait a minute. That's not the right |
| 3 | A. Oh, yes, I do have those. | 3 | one. |
| 4 | Q. Anything else besides that? | 4 | Q. As a suggestion, can we have the |
| 5 | A. No. | 5 | speakerphone literally very close to you? |
| 6 | Q. What about any letters from | 6 | A. Good enough. How's that? |
| 7 | plaintiff's counsel? | 7 | Q. Much better. |
| 8 | A. No, I don't have anything from him | 8 | A. Okay. Good enough. |
| 9 | except I've got that one letter I mentioned | 9 | Q. And what again was Exhibit 2? |
| 10 | before. | 10 | A. Exhibit 2 is a letter from Mary |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Can you go off the record. | 11 | Ellen Sansbury. |
| 12 | If you hand that letter to the court | 12 | Q. Do you know who that is? |
| 13 | reporter? | 13 | A. She's a nurse that works with the |
| 14 | A. Okay. | 14 | this group of lawyers. |
| 15 | MR. MISHKIND: For the record, | 15 | Q. What group of lawyers? |
| 16 | which letter is that the doctor is handing to | 16 | A. She's a nurse/legal assistant with |
| 17 | the court reporter? | 17 | the group of Becker, Mishkind. |
| 18 | MR. WARNER: I think he indicated | 18 | Q. With Mr. Mishkind's firm. I |
| 10 | the letter that he received from you. | 10 | |
| 20 | MR. MISHKIND: Okay. I'm not sure | 20 | understand. All right. Any others letters, any other exhibits? |
| | | 1 | - |
| 21 | he identified that. | 21 22 | |
| 22 | MR. WARNER: I'm going to have it | i | himself. |
| 23 | marked then he can identify it. Let me know | 23 | Q. All right. Is there an Exhibit 3 |
| 24 | when we're ready to go, Ms. Reporter. | 24 | yet? |
| 25 | THE WITNESS: I'm afraid I can't | 25 | A. No, Exhibit 1 and 2. |
| | D | | D |
| 1 | Page 6 find it. | 1 | Page 8 Q. Okay. So we've identified all the |
| $\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$ | (Discussion held off the record.) | 2 | letters you have in your file? |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | (Exhibit-1 was marked for | 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | | 4 | |
| 4 | identification.) BY MR. WARNER: | 5 | |
| 5 | | | any journals or medical articles on this |
| 6 | Q. Doctor, is that the only letter | 6 | subject? |
| 7 | you had from plaintiff's counsel? | 7 | A. No, I haven't. |
| 8 | A. I had from his – from a – | 8 | Q. Do you consider any journals or |
| 9 | Q. Was that a yes? | 9 | articles authoritative in this specific |
| 10 | A. No. | 10 | related to this case? |
| 11 | Q. Do you have other letters from | 11 | A. Well, I'll tell you, I can't think |
| 12 | plaintiff's counsel? | 12 | of any one specific article that's really |
| 13 | A. I have one from Mary Ellen | 13 | specific on this sort of case. However, I |
| 14 | Sansbury, RN, LNC. | 14 | have seen articles that talked about it in |
| 15 | Q. And all – Have those letters | 15 | the past. |
| 16 | given to the court reporter and she'll mark | 16 | Q. This is my only chance to talk to |
| 17 | them as exhibits. | 17 | you before the trial. Are you going to |
| 18 | (Exhibit-2 was marked for | 18 | identify any articles as being authoritative |
| 19 | identification.) | 19 | in this case? |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Okay. We're | 20 | A. No, I'm not. |
| 21 | all set. | 21 | Q. Okay. If you do so, will you |
| 22 | BY MR. WARNER: | 22 | please notify Mr. Mishkind so I'm aware of |
| 23 | Q. Doctor, go through the records and | 23 | that? |
| 24 | just identify what Exhibit 2 is? | 24 | A. Sure. |
| 25 | A. Exhibit 2 is a letter from Mr. | 25 | Q. How old are you, Doctor? |
| 21 22 23 24 | all set. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Doctor, go through the records and just identify what Exhibit 2 is? | 21 22 23 24 | Q. Okay. If you do so, will you please notify Mr. Mishkind so I'm aware that? A. Sure. |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

www.SetDepo.com

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

| 1 | Page 9 | | Page 11 |
|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | A. 63. | 1 | A. How many cases? |
| 2 | Q. All right. And have you ever | 2 | Q. Yes. |
| 3 | served as an expert before in medical/legal | 3 | A. Do I have? |
| 4 | cases? | 4 | Q. Yes. |
| 5 | A. Yes, I have. | 5 | A. I'm retired. |
| 6 | Q. All right. What year did you | 6 | Q. Okay. You're retired. When did |
| 7 | first start? | 7 | you retire? |
| 8 | A. 1992. | 8 | A. July of July of 2002. |
| 9 | Q. That was 1992? | 9 | Q. So you're no longer practicing |
| 10 | A. That's correct. | 10 | medicine? |
| 11 | Q. Okay. How many cases have you | 11 | A. No. |
| 12 | done since 1992, how many cases have you | 12 | MR. MISHKIND: Doctor, I think |
| 13 | reviewed? | 13 | when he asked you how many cases you have in |
| 14 | A. I don't know. Maybe 100 or so. | 14 | house, he wanted to know how many cases |
| 15 | Q. Do you keep a list of them | 15 | you're reviewing currently. |
| 16 | anywhere? | 16 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 17 | A. No, I don't. | 17 | Q. We'll go back to that. I'm going |
| 18 | Q. And how do you break that down | 18 | to go in this area. What do you currently |
| 19 | plaintiff versus defense? | 19 | - Doctor, was there a specific reason that |
| 20 | A. 99 plaintiffs and one percent is | 20 | you retired at age 63? |
| 21 | one case has been for a defendant. | 21 | A. Yes. I developed Parkinson's |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Do you have your name with | 22 | disease. |
| 23 | any medical/legal organization that purports to | 23 | Q. Are you being treated for that? |
| 24 | indicate you're willing to review medical/legal | 24 | A. Oh, yes. |
| 25 | cases? | 25 | Q. When was that diagnosis made? |
| | | | |
| 1 | Page 10 A. No, I don't. | 1 | Page 12 A. I think it was January of 19 |
| 2 | Q. All right. How do most of the | 2 | 2002. |
| 3 | cases come to you? | 3 | Q. So currently you're spending zero |
| 4 | A. I used to put ads in the Trial | 1 | Q: 50 currently you're spending zero |
| 1 7 | | 1 4 | time in the active practice of clinical |
| 15 | - | 4 | time in the active practice of clinical medicine? |
| 5 | Magazine. | 5 | medicine? |
| 6 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? | 5 6 | medicine? A. That's true. |
| 6 7 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. | 5 6 7 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go |
| 6 7 8 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind | 5 6 7 8 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently |
| 6 7 8 9 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? | 5 6 7 8 9 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | medicine?A. That's true.Q. Okay. And then how many To goback to this, how many cases do you currentlyhave in house in reference to medical/legalfiles?A. Just this one.Q. Just this one.A. That's correct.Q. You're not taking on any new ones? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. Q. Do you know what happened to that | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health problems? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. Q. Do you know what happened to that case? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health problems? A. Nope, just that I retired. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. Q. Do you know what happened to that case? A. Yes, they settled that case. It | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health problems? A. Nope, just that I retired. Q. Okay. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. Q. Do you know what happened to that case? A. Yes, they settled that case. It did not go to trial. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health problems? A. Nope, just that I retired. Q. Okay. A. I'm thinking of starting up again |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Magazine. Q. Which trial magazine? A. The name of it is Trial Magazine. Q. Very good. And has Mr. Mishkind or his firm worked with you before? A. Yes, he has. Q. On how many occasions? A. Once before. Q. And what was that case about? A. It was about a thoracic surgical case also. I don't recall really that much about it except it was about a guy who was in the hospital and developed atelectasis and did not check did not get a follow-up x-ray and he had lung cancer. Q. Do you know what happened to that case? A. Yes, they settled that case. It | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | medicine? A. That's true. Q. Okay. And then how many To go back to this, how many cases do you currently have in house in reference to medical/legal files? A. Just this one. Q. Just this one. A. That's correct. Q. You're not taking on any new ones? A. I would if I could get them. At this time I'm not advertising so I'm not getting anything. Q. Okay. Is that a multiple reason, that is, you retired and your health problems? A. Nope, just that I retired. Q. Okay. |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

| | | 1 | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Page 13 | | Page 15 |
| 1 | again? | 1 | Q. So what happened to the patient? |
| 2 | A. Medical/legal. | 2 | A. The patient died about two days |
| 3 | Q. Medical/legal cases? | 3 | later. It turns out that the autopsy |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | revealed that his bowel had indeed died. |
| 5 | Q. Okay. All right. Doctor, have | 5 | Q. Okay. |
| 6 | you ever been sued for medical malpractice? | 6 | A. But the only one who was sued was |
| 7 | A. Yes, I have. | 7 | me, not my partner who talked me into this |
| 8 | Q. On how many occasions? | 8 | sort of business. |
| 9 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection and | 9 | Q. So that case was settled? |
| 10 | continuing line objection to any questions of | 10 | A. Yes, \$170,000. |
| 11 | the doctor being sued but you can go ahead | 11 | Q. Okay. Then the next file? |
| 12 | and answer the question, Doctor. | 12 | A. Next case was in 1987 which time I |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: Four times. | 13 | saw a patient who had been seen in the ER on |
| 14 | BY MR. WARNER: | 14 | the Saturday before having a cold, pulseless |
| 15 | Q. Will you briefly go through | 15 | left leg that was that was quite painful. |
| 16 | Were all of them in What city are you in, | 16 | Patient had a white count of 26,000, however, |
| 17 | Milwaukee? | 17 | the diagnosis of the emergency room physician |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | was that she had some sort of respiratory |
| 10 | Q. Were all in the Milwaukee area? | 19 | problem so she told him to go home. When she |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 | saw her doctor on Monday, it had been 72 |
| 20 | Q. What county is that? | 20 | hours so there was nothing to do on the case |
| 22 | A. It's Milwaukee County. | 22 | - |
| 23 | | 22 | but kind of let her go. What I did is I |
| 23 24 | Q. Okay. Tell me briefly what each | 23 | told them exactly what had happened, what was |
| | of the four cases was about and what happened | | going on. They decided they wanted to have |
| 25 | to it? | 25 | someone else do the surgery so they took her |
| l | | 1 | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Page 14 | 1 | Page 16 |
| 1 | A. Okay. The first case was three | 1 | to another hospital where they took off her |
| 2 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was | 2 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone |
| 2 3 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case | 2 3 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was |
| 2 3 4 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a | 2 3 4 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had | 2 3 4 5 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the | 2 3 4 5 6 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he said why don't we watch him and see if he | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the doctor's medical malpractice but go ahead, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he said why don't we watch him and see if he gets better. He did appear to get a little | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the doctor's medical malpractice but go ahead, Doctor, answer the question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he said why don't we watch him and see if he gets better. He did appear to get a little better over the next 15, 20 minutes, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the doctor's medical malpractice but go ahead, Doctor, answer the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. The third one |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he said why don't we watch him and see if he gets better. He did appear to get a little better over the next 15, 20 minutes, therefore, I listened to him and closed the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the doctor's medical malpractice but go ahead, Doctor, answer the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. The third one was a patient who underwent Let's see now |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Okay. The first case was three months after I got in the practice which was back in November of 1981 and that case involved a patient who came to me with a Bochdalek hernia that was incarcerated. I had known about the patient before because of the fact that he had been seeing a doctor friend of mine, however, the guy did not want to come in because he was trying to make more money. So when he came in, his Bochdalek hernia was incarcerated, therefore, there was no question we had to do something about it so such that my senior partner and I took him in to surgery. And what I did is opened the guy up and sure enough, there was a Bochdalek hernia. It appeared the small bowel was dead so what I was going to do is take it all out but he said that if we took it out the patient would be a GI cripple and he said why don't we watch him and see if he gets better. He did appear to get a little better over the next 15, 20 minutes, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | to another hospital where they took off her leg that afternoon. She sued everyone involved. I was let off and her doctor was let off after a after a judge looked at it and said there was nothing there against us. So Let me think now. What happened is that we were let off with prejudice. With prejudice. MR. MISHKIND: Do you want the doctor to go into as much detail? MR. WARNER: I think he's doing fine. BY MR. WARNER: Q. Let's go on to the third thing. Just a couple sentences about what happened? A. Third case was a MR. MISHKIND: Excuse me for one second. Let me reiterate my continuing line objection to any questions concerning the doctor's medical malpractice but go ahead, Doctor, answer the question. THE WITNESS: Okay. The third one |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| Page 17 | |
|--|--|
| 1 by a podiatrist who hadn't checked her | 1 Q. This is the only report you wrote, the Sentember 26, 20022 |
| 2 pulses. When she came in she had pus coming | 2 the September 26, 2002? |
| 3 out of her toe. When I seen her I could tell | 3 A. Yes. |
| 4 she didn't have any pulses by looking at her, | 4 Q. All right. Doctor, among items |
| 5 and, indeed, she did not have pulses. We did | 5 you were sent, weren't you sent x-rays? |
| 6 an arteriogram and she had no blood flow to | 6 A. Yes. |
| 7 her leg which was the reason why she had | 7 Q. And what were the dates on the |
| 8 gotten in trouble. I told the family this | 8 x-rays? |
| 9 but they said well, we want you to try to | 9 A. Oh, I think that was I think |
| 10 get blood flow to her leg so we did a bypass | 10 that was December 30th or something like |
| 11 on it but it didn't work. And so she too | 11 that. Wait a minute. It was one It was |
| 12 underwent a leg amputation. And what they did | 12 December 30th, 1999. |
| 13 is they then sued the whole group. I was | 13 Q. What happened to the x-rays? |
| 14 again let off because they said hey, the guy | 14 A. X-rays were lost. |
| 15 did whatever he could for the lady. And so | 15 Q. How did that happen? |
| 16 that took care of that problem. I was let | 16 A. Well, when I retired my wife had |
| 17 off with prejudice again. | 17 me get rid of all the x-rays laying around |
| 18 BY MR. WARNER: | 18 so I just took them and threw them all out. |
| 19 Q. And the last suit? | $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ 19 \\ \textbf{Q. Okay.} \end{array}$ |
| 17Q.And the fast suff.20A.The last case was one of a patient | 20 A. Then I didn't discover until later |
| | 21 I accidentally thrown out the one I wanted to |
| 8. | 5 |
| 22 heavy smoker. I told her I would not do | |
| 23 surgery any further unless she quit smoking, | 23 Q. Okay. But like you said, this is |
| 24 she told me she had, however, she really | the only case you have going on now anyway? |
| 25 hadn't. I didn't find out until after we | 25 A. That's correct. |
| Page 18 | Page 20 |
| 1 had done her surgery which was fairly major | 1 Q. Okay. Doctor, on December 30th, |
| 2 and involved an aortofemoral bypass. And so | 2 1999, Mr. Gill came in to Dr. Mansnerus's |
| 3 she ended up dying of a problem with her | 3 office, how long had he been having problems? |
| 4 lungs and so I was sued then. I lost that | 4 A. Six weeks prior to being seen. |
| 5 case. | 5 Q. Did you look at the history as |
| 6 Q. Did you go to trial on that one? | 6 reported in the chart? |
| 7 A. No, we did not go to trial. We | 7 A. Yes. |
| 8 settled for 340,000. | 8 Q. You don't recall a forwarding |
| , · | 9 history? |
| | |
| | · · · |
| 11 Q. Okay. Doctor, what do you charge | 11 six weeks prior to being seen. |
| 12 for writing for reviewing a file? | 12 Q. How about the history of cough and |
| 13 A. Reviewing a file is 400 an hour. | 13 yellow sputum? |
| 14 Q. All right. For deposition what do | 14 A. That was – that was December |
| 15 you charge? | 15 30th. Okay. That was four days prior. |
| 16 A. 2,500 . | 16 Q. Okay. |
| 17 Q. How much? | 17 MR. MISHKIND: I think we may be |
| 18 A. 2,500. | 18 talking about two different visits, Rob. |
| 19 Q. \$2,500 you're charging me for a | 19 MR. WARNER: I was talking about |
| 20 discovery deposition? | 20 the December 30th. |
| 21 A. That's correct. | 21 THE WITNESS: I was talking about |
| 22 Q. What do you charge for trial? | 22 December 9th. Sorry. |
| 23 A. 5,000 . | |
| | 23 MR. MISHKIND: As long as we're on |
| | 0 |
| | 0 |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

| | Page 21 | | Page 23 |
|-------|---|----------|---|
| -1 | Q. On that visit what else did Dr. | 1 | A. I'd say probably half and half. |
| 2 | Mansnerus do, December 30th, 1999? | 2 | Q. Half and half. Okay. Doctor, can |
| 3 | A. Yeah. Plaintiff would get a chest | 3 | you outline what you feel Your opinion is |
| 4 | x-ray and perform follow-up thereafter. | 4 | Dr. Mansnerus deviated from the standard of |
| 5 | Q. Are you aware that he prescribed | 5 | care? |
| 6 | antibiotics? | 6 | A. Yes, I do. |
| 7 | A. Yes, he prescribed zithromax as I | 7 | Q. Will you outline for me what your |
| 8 | recall. | 8 | criticisms are of the doctor? |
| 9 | Q. And also Robitussin? | 9 | A. I think given Mr. Gill's history |
| 10 | A. He might have done that too. | 10 | of being an ex-smoker and the findings on |
| 11 | Q. Were those things within the | 11 | chest x-ray, there is no question he should |
| 12 | standard of care? | 12 | have been referred for a chest x-ray followed |
| 13 | A. Sure. | 13 | by a CT scan, and in spite of the |
| 14 | Q. What The chest x-ray indicated | 14 | recommendation of the radiologist he did not |
| 15 | that there was gave a diagnosis of | 15 | do what was clearly indicated when he saw the |
| 16 | pneumonia? | 16 | patient in January of 2000. It's my opinion |
| 17 | A. The chest x-ray said that the | 17 | that had Dr. Mansnerus followed the |
| 18 | patient had an infiltrate in the upper lobe. | 18 | appropriate standards of care with the |
| 10 | Q. Did they give a diagnosis? | 10 | follow-up chest and further diagnostic studies |
| 20 | A. I don't know. I don't remember. | 20 | Mr. Gill's nonsmall cell lung cancer would |
| 20 | Q. Would that be important? | 20 | have been diagnosed in late February late |
| 22 | | 22 | January or early February. With a much |
| 22 | | 23 | earlier diagnosis, he would have had a |
| 23 | that you can't get pneumonia from just a | 23 24 | 0 |
| 25 | plain old pneumonitis. Pneumonitis is not the same as pneumonia. Pneumonia is where | 25 | substantially increased likelihood of successful resection with an increased |
| 23 | the same as pheumonia. Pheumonia is where | 23 | successful resection with an increased |
| | Page 22 | | Page 24 |
| 1 | the entire lobe is taken up with bacteria and | 1 | likelihood of favorable prognosis. It's my |
| 2 | all that sort of business. | 2 | opinion that with a timely and appropriate |
| 3 | Q. The – If the chest x-ray gave a | 3 | diagnosis in early 2000, Mr. Gill would |
| 4 | diagnosis or impression of pneumonia, you | 4 | probably have survived. Without any question, |
| 5 | wouldn't disagree with it? | 5 | the delay caused by not performing any repeat |
| 6 | A. No, I wouldn't. | 6 | chest x-ray followed by a CT scan destroyed |
| 7 | Q. Doctor, you're a vascular surgeon? | 7 | any chance for Mr. Gill to survive lung |
| 8 | A. I do vascular and thoracic. | 8 | cancer and caused him to undergo extensive |
| 9 | Q. Are you board certified in both | 9 | treatment for metastatic disease caused by the |
| 10 | areas? | 10 | delayed diagnosis. |
| 11 | A. Board certified in thoracic | 11 | Q. Doctor, can I stop you. I notice |
| 12 | surgery. | 12 | you're reading from your report, right? |
| 13 | Q. Not vascular surgery? | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | A. No. | 14 | Q. You're reading word for word from |
| 15 | Q. When you were practicing and I | 15 | your report? |
| 16 | think you last retired was January of 2002, | 16 | A. Really? |
| 17 | was I correct that you retired January 2002? | 17 | Q. Yeah. I can read myself. |
| 18 | A. That's correct. | 18 | A. Um-hum. |
| 19 | Q. What was your breakdown of patients | 19 | Q. I appreciate that. |
| 20 | as far as vascular versus thoracic surgery? | 20 | A. Um-hum. |
| 20 | A. I had a couple of thoracic and | 20 | Q. All right. Ex-smoker, how long |
| 21 | about four or five vascular cases. | 22 | was he a smoker? |
| 23 | Q. Can you give me the percentage-wise | 23 | A. Smoker about 20, 25 years. |
| 24 | was most your work done in vascular surgeries | 23 24 | Q. And how many cigarettes a day did |
| 24 | or how would you break it down for me? | 25 | he smoke or packs a day? |
| 1 / 7 | | | |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

www.SetDepo.com

| | Page 25 | | Page 27 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | A. Pack and a half. | 1 | indicate that you don't want to do a |
| 2 | Q. Doctor, is this patient's lung | 2 | follow-up too quickly with pneumonia, you want |
| 3 | cancer related to the patient's history of | 3 | to give it time to see what's happening and |
| 4 | smoking? | 4 | I've heard two to three months is an |
| 5 | A. In all likelihood it is. | 5 | appropriate time frame to wait to do repeat |
| 6 | Q. Would the pack and a half or as | 6 | chest x-ray? |
| 7 | you indicated 20 years or so, 20, 25 five | 7 | A. Four to six weeks. |
| 8 | years, is that a significant amount of | 8 | Q. Four to six weeks? |
| 9 | cigarette smoking? | 9 | A. That's correct. |
| 10 | A. It is a significant amount of | 10 | Q. So if the chest x-ray was taken |
| 11 | cigarette smoking, yes. | 11 | the first one December 30th, that would put |
| 12 | Q. Doctor, this patient stopped | 12 | us in the range using your outline anywhere |
| 13 | smoking sometime before this, correct? | 13 | from January 30th until approximately February |
| 14 | A. 1987, yes. | 14 | 15th? |
| 15 | Q. Why is it that Did the patient | 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | still remain a risk for lung cancer? | 16 | Q. And you're thinking at that time |
| 17 | A. Oh sure he did. | 17 | is that why don't you want to do a repeat |
| 18 | Q. Even though he stopped smoking? | 18 | chest x-ray earlier than that? |
| 19 | A. That's correct. | 19 | A. Well, some people would think well |
| 20 | Q. Why is that? | 20 | if you're going to let this thing if |
| 21 | A. Because of the fact that what | 21 | you're going to let the disease cure itself, |
| 22 | happens when you injure the lung by smoke is | 22 | let it do that and let the x-ray return to |
| 23 | that it remains at risk to develop lung | 23 | normal and that's when you take a review |
| 24 | cancer for a number of years after you quit | 24 | x-ray because if it's still something on the |
| 25 | you see. And if the cells begin to change | 25 | x-ray you'll see it again then you'll know |
| | • | | |
| | | | |
| | Page 26 | 1 | Page 28 |
| 1 | which is what happened to him, then the thing | 1 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, |
| 2 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you | 2 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. |
| 2 3 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you | 2 3 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the |
| 2 3 4 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in | 2 3 4 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no |
| 2 3 4 5 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent | 2 3 4 5 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason | 2 3 4 5 6 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens — I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens - I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they - they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. Q. Doctor, in the chest Initial | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. BY MR. WARNER: |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$ | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. Q. Doctor, in the chest Initial chest x-ray was taken December 30th, 1999? | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$ | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. BY MR. WARNER: Q. I thought we got a chest x-ray on |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$ | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. Q. Doctor, in the chest Initial chest x-ray was taken December 30th, 1999? A. Um-hum. | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$ | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. BY MR. WARNER: Q. I thought we got a chest x-ray on December 30th? |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$ | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens - I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they - they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. Q. Doctor, in the chest Initial chest x-ray was taken December 30th, 1999? A. Um-hum. Q. And the impression I think | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ \end{array}$ | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. BY MR. WARNER: Q. I thought we got a chest x-ray on December 30th? |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$ | which is what happened to him, then the thing is still there, they can get lung cancer you see. Because what happens I can tell you if you had been diagnosed with lung cancer in the year 1899, you would have been sent directly out to the university because reason is it was such a rare disease at that time. So few people smoked. And yet nowadays it's so common, everyone sees the disease. And it's all correlated to cigarette smoking. As a matter of fact, in women it's been shown that they they started when they started smoking heavily around World War II, men all went to war, left women here and started smoking, what happened in that situation is that the incidents of lung cancer started going up until today it's the most common thing in women where before it was breast cancer. Q. Doctor, in the chest Initial chest x-ray was taken December 30th, 1999? A. Um-hum. | $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ \end{array}$ | it's not pneumonia that you're looking at, it's something else. Q. I think you told me on the December 30th, 1999, visit you had no criticisms of the medical care of Dr. Mansnerus? A. No. Q. Okay. And it's on in and around the time frame of January 30th to February 15th that's when your criticisms started to come in? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Objection. Go ahead, Doctor, if you understand the question. I don't think that's the testimony. THE WITNESS: What I really think is this, that what he should have done is the first time he saw this guy he should have gotten a chest x-ray. BY MR. WARNER: Q. I thought we got a chest x-ray on December 30th? |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| Page 291Q. You want to go back to December12the 9th now?23A. Oh, sure I do.34Q. All right. Because before I asked45you about your criticisms and I didn't hear56you talk about that date, maybe I67misunderstood you when I asked you what the78criticisms were?89A. You said you wanted to know910criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I1011didn't have any problem with.1112Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you.12 | that f at would |
|--|--|
| 2the 9th now?2on. And what would that chest x-ray3A. Oh, sure I do.3you talked about in that time frame of4Q. All right. Because before I asked4January 30th and February 15th what5you about your criticisms and I didn't hear5that have shown?6you talk about that date, maybe I6A. Probably would have shown n7misunderstood you when I asked you what the7change.8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | that f at would |
| 3A. Oh, sure I do.3you talked about in that time frame of4Q. All right. Because before I asked4January 30th and February 15th what5you about your criticisms and I didn't hear5that have shown?6you talk about that date, maybe I6A. Probably would have shown n7misunderstood you when I asked you what the7change.8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | f at would |
| 4Q. All right. Because before I asked4January 30th and February 15th what5you about your criticisms and I didn't hear5that have shown?6you talk about that date, maybe I6A. Probably would have shown n7misunderstood you when I asked you what the78criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | it would |
| 5you about your criticisms and I didn't hear5that have shown?6you talk about that date, maybe I6A. Probably would have shown n7misunderstood you when I asked you what the7change.8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | |
| 6you talk about that date, maybe I6A. Probably would have shown n7misunderstood you when I asked you what the 87change.8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | 0 |
| 7misunderstood you when I asked you what the 87change.8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms im according to the records of Dr. Mans.11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans.12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | • |
| 8criticisms were?8Q. No change?9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans.12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | |
| 9A. You said you wanted to know9A. That's correct.10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | |
| 10criticisms of treatment on the 30th which I10Q. Did the patient's symptoms in11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q. I don't want to limit you in any12A. I'll check and tell you. | |
| 11didn't have any problem with.11according to the records of Dr. Mans12Q.I don't want to limit you in any12A.I'll check and tell you. | nrove |
| 12 Q. I don't want to limit you in any 12 A. I'll check and tell you. | |
| | 101 431 |
| 13 way and I apologize if I somehow left you 13 Q. And specific to the January 6t | h |
| 14 with that impression. I'll repeat the 14 2000, note? | , |
| 15 question again. This my only chance to get to 15 A. He was complaining of lingerin | л а |
| 15161616161616 | 1g |
| 101010101017Mansnerus?17Q. Isn't there some wording befo | re |
| 18 A. Okay. I think he should have 18 that, Doctor? | C |
| 19 gotten an x-ray on him on the 9th of 19 A. Let's see. | |
| 1717A.Let s see.20December when he first saw the patient.20Q.He's improved? | |
| 20Determine inst saw the patient.20Q.He is improved.21Q.Okay. You agree that the21A.I'm trying to find it here in the | |
| 21 G. Okay. Fou agree that the 21 A. This trying to this it there in the 22 follow-up chest x-ray of December 30, 1999, 22 notes. Okay. Let's see here. Seen one | |
| the impression of pneumonia was correct so if 23 ago. This is December 6th. | WEEK |
| | |
| | lean |
| 25 more likely than not that would have showed a 25 January 6? | |
| Page 30 | Page 32 |
| 1 diagnosis of pneumonia or maybe it wouldn't 1 THE WITNESS: That's correct. | |
| 2 or you don't know? 2 Seen one week ago with pneumonitis. Le | |
| 3 A. I feel it probably would have 3 see. And mostly bland to normal except t | or |
| 4 shown the infiltrate in the upper lobe you 4 lingering cough. | |
| | |
| 5 see. 5 BY MR. WARNER: | |
| 6 Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that? 6 Q. What did you say before the | |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough? | |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for | an vô |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal. | amud |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal? | |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for | |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough. | or |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th | or |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it | or dið |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th | or dið |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it | or dið |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4? | or dið |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right. | or did t at |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest | or did t at |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had if15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia? | or did t at |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had if15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia? | or did t at were |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia?20looking at when the follow-up chest x-ray20A. Yes, they were.21then should have been done?21Q. All right. It's your opinion that | or did t at were t |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7Iingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia?20looking at when the follow-up chest x-ray20A. Yes, they were.21then should have been done?21Q. All right. It's your opinion tha22A. Follow-up chest x-ray should have22on or about December 30th, 1999, Mr. | or did t at were t |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7Ingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia?20looking at when the follow-up chest x-ray20A. Yes, they were.21then should have been done?21Q. All right. It's your opinion that22A. Follow-up chest x-ray should have22on or about December 30th, 1999, Mr.23been done four to six weeks after the first23have pneumonia? | or did t at were t |
| 6Q. Doctor, how sure are you of that?6Q. What did you say before the7MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the7lingering cough?8form of the question but go ahead, Doctor.8A. Something to normal except for9THE WITNESS: I'm fairly certain9mostly something to normal.10that's what it would have shown you see10Q. Back to normal?11because that's when he first had a problem.11A. Mostly back to normal except for12BY MR. WARNER:12lingering cough.13Q. Okay. The patient never had13Q. And I think on December 30th14pneumonia before?14the patient have a temperature, I had it15A. I know.15101.4?16Q. And he had stopped smoking some16A. I think you're right.17years before?17Q. So is it not only did the chest18A. Yes, that's correct.18x-ray, the symptoms of December 30th19Q. All right. I guess I'm still19indicative of pneumonia?20looking at when the follow-up chest x-ray20A. Yes, they were.21then should have been done?21Q. All right. I's your opinion tha'22A. Follow-up chest x-ray should have22on or about December 30th, 1999, Mr.23been done four to six weeks after the first23have pneumonia? | or did t at were t Gill did |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| | | I | |
|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | Page 33 | 1 | Page 35 |
| | second chest x-ray that you suggested be done | 1 | Q. Doctor, we're already past that. |
| 2 | that they would it would have still showed | 2 | What you told me was the time frame of |
| 3 | what again would it have showed? | 3 | January 30 to February 15th and then you're |
| 4 | A. Well, I'll tell you what it would | 4 | tacking I want to know from that second |
| 5 | have shown is some sort of patchy infiltrate | 5 | chest x-ray how much time would have to go |
| 6 | in the left upper lobe. | 6 | by until in your opinion the diagnosis would |
| 7 | Q. What would that prompt to be done? | 7 | have been, one to two weeks or shorter? |
| 8 | A. That would have prompted a call to | 8 | A. One week. One week would be good. |
| 9 | the surgeon to come - or the pulmonologist | 9 | Q. What would be the max number? |
| 10 | to come and do a bronchoscopy on the patient. | 10 | A. Two to three week. |
| | Q. To do exactly what? | 11 | Q. Two to three weeks? |
| 12 | A. A bronchoscopy. | 12 | A. Um-hum. |
| 13 | Q. A bronchoscopy | 13 | Q. Anywhere from one to two to three |
| 14 | A. Um-hum. | 14 | weeks? |
| 15 | Q would that take a couple days | 15 | A. That's correct. |
| 16 | to a week to get scheduled or maybe longer? | 16 | Q. So that could bring us up to |
| 17 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go | 17 | February 15th or even in beginning of March |
| 18 | ahead, Doctor. | 18 | when the diagnosis would be made? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: Couple days I would | 19 | A. That's correct. |
| 20 | guess. | 20 | Q. If the diagnosis were made during |
| 21 | BY MR. WARNER: | 21 | that time frame, that still would have been |
| 22 | Q. Okay. This would not be done on | 22 | within the standard of care? |
| 23 | a stat basis, this would be done | 23 | A. That's true. |
| 24 | A. Urgently. | 24 | Q. Okay. When was the diagnosis |
| 25 | Q. That would be what? | 25 | made? |
| 1 2 3 | A. Done on an urgent basis.Q. Okay. Then what would that show?A. Probably show the tumor in the | 1 2 3 | A. Made in July of 2000 I believe. Q. So if we're talking middle of February to early part of March – March, |
| 4 | left upper lobe bronchus that's causing the | 4 | April, May, June – diagnosis made in July, |
| 5 | problem you see. And once it was biopsied, | 5 | we're talking about four to five months in |
| 6 | then you would know what the hell was going | 6 | the delay of diagnosis of cancer? |
| 7 | on. | 7 | A. That's correct. |
| 8 | Q. They'd have to do a biopsy then? | 8 | Q. Doctor, at the time of the |
| 9 | A. Sure. | 9 | diagnosis have you looked at the staging of |
| 10 | Q. When would the biopsy be scheduled? | 10 | what – do you know what the staging of the |
| 11 | A. Biopsy would be scheduled the same | 11 | cancer was? |
| 12 | time the bronchoscopy is done. | 12 | A. It was a stage three, wasn't it? |
| 13 | Q. All right. So what time frame | 13 | Q. You're of the opinion it was a |
| 14 | would the diagnosis of cancer be made then? | 14 | stage three? |
| 15 | A. Oh, anywhere from – If he had | 15 | A. Stage three or four I think. I'm |
| 16 | done it when it should have been done, it | 16 | not sure. |
| 17 | would have already been made. | 17 | Q. You're not sure. Okay. Doctor, |
| 18 | Q. I'm trying to get a time frame. | 18 | how much time have you spent reviewing these |
| 19 | You have second chest x-ray from January 30th | 10 | medical records? |
| 20 | to February 15th so we're talking about one | 20 | A. Six hours. |
| 20 | to two weeks after that? I'm trying to have | 20 | Q. How many hours? |
| 22 | you tell me what the time frame for the | 22 | A. Six. |
| 23 | diagnosis would be. | 23 | A. Six. Q. I couldn't hear you, Doctor. |
| 24 | A. Anywhere from January 6th through | 24 | A. Six hours. |
| 1 ° ° | 12. INTRAVITATE AND | 1 - 1 | 12. LIA HUUID. |
| 25 | February 15th. | 25 | Q. I'm sorry. There's a delay here |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

| 1 | Page 37 with the telephone and I apologize if you | 1 | Page 39 Q. Is it fair to say that as of |
|--|--|--|---|
| 2 | think I'm I'm having difficulty hearing | 2 | December the 30th of '99 that this patient in |
| 3 | you. | 3 | all probability had a stage four lung cancer? |
| 4 | A. No problem. Okay. No problem. | 4 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. |
| 5 | Q. You read the deposition of Dr. | 5 | THE WITNESS: I don't think so. |
| 6 | Levitan? | 6 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 7 | A. Yes, I did. | 7 | |
| 8 | | 8 | Q. Okay. What do you think it was |
| | Q. Dr. Levitan is of the opinion that this was the medical records show this was | 9 | staged at at that time? |
| 9 10 | | 10 | A. Probably a stage two. |
| | a stage four. Do you agree or disagree with | 10 | Q. A stage two? |
| 11 | that that when the patient when diagnosed in | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | July was stage four? | 1 | Q. What basis are you relating that |
| 13 | A. Well, I tell you I'm not sure if | 13 | on, how do you come to that conclusion? A. The CT of the bones had not been |
| 14 | that's true. | 14 | |
| 15 | Q. Well, Doctor, this is the only | 15 | done at the time so we don't see T3 N0. We |
| 16 | time I get to talk to you. When are you | 16 | don't see anything but an N0 and we don't |
| 17 | going to have an opinion whether this patient | 17 | even see a T0 and N0. |
| 18 | had a three or a four stage? | 18 | Q. Like you said, no CT scan had been |
| 19 | A. Okay. I can tell you right now. | 19 | done so how are you getting to a stage two? |
| 20 | Q. Okay. | 20 | A. Um-hum. |
| 21 | A. Give me a couple minutes. Okay. | 21 | Q. How are you classifying this |
| 22 | Q. Dr. Levitan said this was a T4 N3 | 22 | patient on stage two on December 30th, 1999? |
| 23 | M1? | 23 | A. Because he had no problems to |
| 24 | A. N3 M1. Okay. | 24 | relate to cause symptoms at that time. |
| 25 | Q. And I want to know whether you | 25 | Q. He had no problems that were |
| | Page 38 | | Page 40 |
| 1 | agree or disagree with that or have no | 1 | related to having cancer at that time? |
| 2 | opinion. | 2 | A. No. |
| 3 | MR. MISHKIND: I think his | 3 | Q. Completely explain, I didn't |
| 4 | question before what his opinion is to | 4 | understand your comment. He had no problems |
| 5 | whether it's a stage three or four. I | 5 | that what? |
| 6 | believe the doctor is looking at this record | 6 | A. He had no problems in his femur at |
| 7 | to determine that. | 7 | that time you see. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. Let | 8 | Q. No problems in the femur. All |
| 9 | me check here. It is a stage four, you're | 9 | right. |
| 10 | right. | 10 | A. So that means he's an N0 and you |
| 11 | BY MR. WARNER: | 11 | really couldn't see any nodes so it's N0 so |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Doctor, in read at the | 12 | it's stage one actually. |
| 13 | deposition of Dr. Levitan he was of the | 13 | Q. So he's a stage one? |
| 14 | opinion that this patient retrospectively | 14 | A. That's correct. |
| 15 | probably had this lung cancer from about six | 15 | Q. And yet this cancer had been |
| | | 16 | What's your basis for saying stage one? |
| | to seven years before diagnosis? | 110 | |
| 16 | to seven years before diagnosis? A. I don't think that's true. | 10 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 |
| 16 17 | A. I don't think that's true. | 17 | |
| 16 17 18 | A. I don't think that's true.Q. All right. How far back do you | 17 18 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. |
| 16 17 18 19 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of | 17 18 19 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of diagnosis, how far back did it exist? | 17 18 19 20 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done so you're saying since it wasn't done you're |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of diagnosis, how far back did it exist? A. Three to five. | 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done so you're saying since it wasn't done you're making assumptions then. I'm lost on how you |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of diagnosis, how far back did it exist? A. Three to five. Q. Three to five? | 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done so you're saying since it wasn't done you're making assumptions then. I'm lost on how you get to that. |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of diagnosis, how far back did it exist? A. Three to five. Q. Three to five? A. Um-hum. | 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done so you're saying since it wasn't done you're making assumptions then. I'm lost on how you get to that. A. I'll tell you, because what |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I don't think that's true. Q. All right. How far back do you feel this cancer from the time of diagnosis, how far back did it exist? A. Three to five. Q. Three to five? | 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Because it was T1 N0 M0 or T2 N0 M0. Q. You said no CT scan had been done so you're saying since it wasn't done you're making assumptions then. I'm lost on how you get to that. |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

| | Page 41 | | Page |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | growing at the same rate they're always | 1 | said before latter part of February to March |
| 2 | growing but now you can actually see the | 2 | A. Yes, he was. |
| 3 | growth. | 3 | Q. What type of surgery would you do? |
| 4 | Q. Is this a slow growing or fast | 4 | A. Thoracotomy. |
| 5 | growing cancer? | 5 | Q. Can you be more specific exactly |
| 6 | A. This is probably a fast growing. | 6 | what you would do? |
| 7 | Q. This is a fast growing cancer? | 7 | A. Thoracotomy with left upper |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | lobectomy. |
| 9 | Q. What type of cancer did he have? | 9 | Q. When was the last time you did a |
| 0 | A. He had a fast growing. | 10 | thoracotomy, Doctor? |
| 1 | Q. Pardon? | 11 | A. Oh, about a year ago. |
| 2 | A. He had a relatively fast growing | 12 | Q. Was there any other reason that |
| 3 | one. | 13 | you retired, Doctor, or why did you |
| 4 | Q. I mean was it small cell or | 14 | specifically retire? |
| 5 | nonsmall cell? | 15 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection, asked and |
| 6 | A. Nonsmall cell. | 16 | answered. Go ahead again, Doctor. |
| 7 | Q. And you're of the opinion that | 17 | THE WITNESS: Because I developed |
| 8 | nonsmall cell is fast growing? | 18 | Parkinson's disease. |
| 9 | A. Some of them are, yes. | 19 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 20 | Q. Well, now you sort of modified. | 20 | Q. Okay. Is this high grade or low |
| 21 | You said some of them are. Was this cancer | 21 | grade carcinoma? |
| 22 | that Mr. Gill had was this a fast growing | 22 | A. It's high grade. |
| 23 | cancer as you previously stated? | 23 | Q. Is that a good or bad sign for |
| 24 | A. Yes, it was. | 24 | the patient? |
| 25 | Q. Doctor, you're not an oncologist, | 25 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go |
| 1 2 | correct? A. No. | 1 2 | ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: It's not good. |
| 3 | Q. Would you defer Would you feel | 3 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 4 | more comfortable to deferring to a board | 4 | Q. All right. Why not? |
| 5 | certified oncologist as to the opinion of the | 5 | A. Because when they're high grade |
| 6 | staging on this patient? | 6 | they grow faster. |
| 7 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. | 7 | Q. This was Was this a D |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: No. | 8 | differentiated type of cancer? |
| 9 | BY MR. WARNER: | 9 | A. Yes, it was. |
| 0 | Q. You would not? | 10 | Q. And is that a good or bad sign |
| 1 | A. No. | 11 | for the patient? |
| | Q. You typically stage patients for | 12 | A. It's not good. |
| 2 | cancer? | 13 | Q. Pardon? |
| | | | • |
| 3 | A. That's correct. | 1 4 | A. Not good. |
| 3 4 | A. That's correct. O. When do you get involved? | 14 15 | A. Not good. O. Okay. Was this I saw the word |
| 3 4 5 | Q. When do you get involved? | 15 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word |
| 3 4 5 6 | Q. When do you get involved?A. I get involved at the time when it | 15 16 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. When do you get involved?A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. | 15 16 17 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. When do you get involved?A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out.Q. When they do the surgery? | 15 16 17 18 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis | 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? |
| 3 5 6 7 8 9 20 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis many times. | 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? A. Well, you see it. Where do you |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis many times. Q. When would you have done surgery | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? A. Well, you see it. Where do you see the word? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis many times. Q. When would you have done surgery on this patient? | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? A. Well, you see it. Where do you see the word? Q. Where do I see it? I heard it |
| 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis many times. Q. When would you have done surgery on this patient? A. As soon as I saw it. | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? A. Well, you see it. Where do you see the word? Q. Where do I see it? I heard it described as a bulky type of cancer. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 | Q. When do you get involved? A. I get involved at the time when it comes to take them out. Q. When they do the surgery? A. That's correct. Also the diagnosis many times. Q. When would you have done surgery on this patient? | 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. Okay. Was this I saw the word bulky, b-u-l-k-y. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean in reference to the cancer? A. Well, you see it. Where do you see the word? Q. Where do I see it? I heard it |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| | D 45 | | D 42 |
|--|---|---|---|
| .1 | Page 45 MR. WARNER: Where in the medical | 1 | Page 47 at the time of diagnosis? |
| 2 | records. I don't have it in front of me. | 2 | A. That's correct. |
| 3 | BY MR. WARNER: | 3 | Q. Had it in Say December the 30th |
| 4 | Q. What does bulky means? | 4 | of '99 had it already it was already in |
| 5 | A. Bulky means it's large. | 5 | the lungs obviously, correct? |
| 6 | Q. I'm looking through my records, | 6 | A. That's where it started, yes. |
| 7 | Doctor. At the time of diagnosis, what areas | 7 | Q. Because you said it was three to |
| 8 | of the body did the patient have cancer? | 8 | five years old. Was it in the neck at the |
| 9 | A. At the time of diagnosis, he had | 9 | time, had it already spread to the neck? |
| 10 | it in his lungs. | 10 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go |
| 11 | Q. Langs. | 11 | ahead, Doctor. |
| 12 | A. And in the neck. | 12 | THE WITNESS: No. |
| 13 | Q. Neck. | 13 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 14 | A. And in the periaortic node. | 14 | Q. It had not? |
| 15 | Q. Where was that? | 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | A. Periaortic. | 16 | Q. Had it already spread in the |
| 17 | Q. Where exactly is the periaortic? | 17 | mediastinum? |
| 18 | A. It's around the aorta which is the | 18 | A. No, it had not. |
| 19 | main blood vessel that comes out of the heart | 19 | Q. Had it already spread to the |
| 20 | and gives blood to the rest of the body. | 20 | periaortic? |
| 21 | Q. Anywhere else that you recall? | 21 | A. No, it had not. |
| 22 | A. No. | 22 | Q. Had it already spread to the |
| 23 | Q. What about the femur? | 23 | femur? |
| 24 | A. I don't think they did a CT scan | 24 | A. No, it had not. |
| 25 | at that time, had they? | 25 | Q. And, Doctor, how do you know that |
| <u> </u> | | | 2. And, Dottor, non do you mon mut |
| | Page 46 | | Page 48 |
| 1 | Q. More likely than not did he have | 1 | no CT scan was done, how do you know that? |
| 2 | it in the femur at that time? | 2 | A. Because you would be able to see |
| 3 | A. I don't think so. | 3 | it on examination of the patient and/or chest |
| 4 | Q. You don't think so. Ultimately he | 4 | x-ray. |
| 5 | did have a diagnosis of cancer spread to the | 5 | Q. You would be able to see the |
| 6 | femur? | 6 | mandada and a summary and the stand of months |
| | | | metastatic spread on the chest x-ray? |
| 7 | A. Yes, he did. | 7 | A. Sure could. |
| 8 | A. Yes, he did. Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? | 7 8 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from |
| 8 9 | | 7 8 9 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me |
| 8 9 10 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum?A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. | 7 8 9 10 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? |
| 8 9 10 11 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the | 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum?A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. Q. Where else had it spread to as of | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability that is more likely than not have microscopic |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. Q. Where else had it spread to as of the time of the diagnosis in July? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability that is more likely than not have microscopic spread of the cancer on December 30th, 1999? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. Q. Where else had it spread to as of | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability that is more likely than not have microscopic |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. Q. Where else had it spread to as of the time of the diagnosis in July? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability that is more likely than not have microscopic spread of the cancer on December 30th, 1999? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. He also had it in the mediastinum? A. Mediastinum adjacent to the lung, yes. Q. Okay. Then he had it in the bone? A. Yes. Q. At the time of diagnosis, would you agree that it was widely metastatic? A. No, I don't. Q. The primary source of the cancer was in the lungs? A. Yes. Q. Where else had it spread to as of the time of the diagnosis in July? A. It spread to the neck and to the | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Sure could. Q. You have a chest x-ray from December 30, 1999, and you already told me you agree with the diagnosis of pneumonia? A. That's right. Q. All right. So based on the chest x-ray itself of December 30th, 1999, the diagnosis of lung cancer was not made? A. That's correct. Q. Doctor, what is microscopic? A. That means you can only see it through a microscope. Q. Did the patient in all probability that is more likely than not have microscopic spread of the cancer on December 30th, 1999? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| 1 / 7 | | <i>4</i> | Problems. If you have brain, you have brain |
|----------|---|----------|---|
| 24 | Q. Okay. One second here. Of the | 25 | problems. If you have brain, you have brain |
| 23 | A. The doesn't smoke so it doesn't really matter now. | 24 | liver, for example, you have a liver |
| 22 | smoke? A. He doesn't smoke so it doesn't | 22 | wherever the spread is. If it's in the |
| 21 22 | Q. What was that about continuing | 21 22 | one. Weight loss, if you got spread then you have situations which are secondary to |
| 20 | BY MR. WARNER: | 20 | A. Oh, coughing up bloody sputum main |
| 19 | the face of cancer. | 19 | lung cancer? |
| 18 | some people will continue to smoke even in | 18 | Q. What are signs and symptoms of |
| 17 | things that would cause the problems such as | 17 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 16 | he whether or not he was continuing to do | 16 | THE WITNESS: Sounds pretty good. |
| 15 | about. And the other thing is whether or not | 15 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. |
| 14 | to the microscopic area that you're talking | 14 | around 15 percent? |
| 13 | what that depends on is the amount of spread | 13 | that the five-year survival rate is about |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Well, I tell you, | 12 | Q. Would you agree or disagree with |
| 11 | ahead, Doctor. | 11 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 10 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go | 10 | THE WITNESS: I don't know. |
| 9 | Mr. Gill unfortunately couldn't be reversed? | 9 | form of the question but go ahead. |
| 8 | spread, more likely than not then the fate of | 8 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the |
| 7 | Q. And what if it was microscopic | 7 | survival rate for lung cancer? |
| 6 | BY MR. WARNER: | 6 | Q. All right. What's the five-year |
| 5 | say that he did have a chance of having it. | 5 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: I suppose you could | 4 | think. |
| 3 | Go ahead, Doctor. | 3 | lung cancer, it's about 25 or 30 percent I |
| 2 | form of the question, possibility, speculative. | 2 | THE WITNESS: If you look at all |
| 1 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection to the | 1 | (Question read.) |
| | Page 50 | | Page 52 |
| | | <u> </u> | |
| 25 | 1999, or metastasis? | 25 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | microscopic spread of cancer on December 30th, | 24 | it back to you? |
| 23 | that it is possible that the patient had | 23 | question repeated, the court reporter can read |
| 22 | Q. Okay. So I guess the reverse is | 22 | Q. Go ahead, Doctor. Do you need the |
| 20 | sample to look at. | 20 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 20 | A. Well, because I did not get a | 20 | not stopped you or done anything. |
| 19 | 30th, 1999? | 19 | tomorrow you're as generous with me. I've |
| 18 | had microscopic spread of cancer on December | 18 | MR. WARNER: I said I hope |
| 10 | you told me you can't tell me if the patient | 17 | suggestions. |
| 15 16 | Q. All right. Doctor, why is it that | 15 | MR. MISHKIND: I'm not making any |
| 14 15 | BY MR. WARNER: | 14 15 | generous as I am to make suggestions, Howard. |
| 13 14 | different questions, Rob. One he didn't know and one his answer was no. | 15 14 | the depositions hopefully you will be as |
| 12 | MR. MISHKIND: You did ask two | 12 13 | question, Doctor. MR. WARNER: Tomorrow when we do |
| 11 | questions, didn't you? | 11 | question but go ahead and answer the |
| 10 | A. I think you asked two different | 10 | my objection to the broad nature of the |
| 9 | statements for me? | 9 | the type of lung cancer but in general note |
| 8 | did not, how do you distinguish those two | 8 | record, I'm not sure he's required to state |
| 7 | you didn't know and now you're telling me he | 7 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. For the |
| 6 | Q. Well, I thought you first told me | 6 | for lung cancer? |
| 5 | A. No, he probably did not. | 5 | Q. What's the one-year survival rate |
| 4 | 30, 1999? | 4 | five, isn't it? |
| 3 | spread of the cancer in the neck on December | 3 | A. I think it's about number four or |
| 2 | than not did the patient have microscopic | 2 | range? |
| | Q. You don't know that. More likely | 1 | deaths in America where does lung cancer |
| 1 | O Van don't know that Mars likely | | |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

| | Page 53 | | Page 55 |
|--|---|--|---|
| . 1 | problems. If you have a bony metastasis, | 1 | Q. For example, the pneumonia that Mr. |
| 2 | then you have problems with breaking of legs | 2 | Gill had that you said he had? |
| 3 | and that sort of business. | 3 | A. Um-hum. I would say in that sort |
| 4 | Q. This patient wasn't coughing up any | 4 | of situation that I would say what he needs |
| 5 | bloody sputum, correct? | 5 | is another chest x-ray to make sure that |
| 6 | A. Nope. | 6 | things aren't still going on. |
| 7 | Q. He didn't have weight loss, right? | 7 | Q. The medical records in this case |
| 8 | A. Nope. | 8 | though do substantiate that Mr. Gill did |
| 9 | Q. He didn't have When you talked | 9 | respond to the antibiotic treatment, he got |
| 10 | spread, he didn't have any signs of symptoms | 10 | better? |
| 11 | with his liver? | 11 | A. Yes, but he still had lingering |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | cough you see. |
| 13 | Q. Or his brain? | 13 | Q. Maybe you didn't understand my |
| 14 | A. Nope. | 14 | question. If you could answer it yes or no |
| 15 | Q. No fractures? | 15 | or I don't know would be fine. Did, in |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | |
| 10 | | 10 | fact, Mr. Gill respond to the antibiotic treatment? |
| 17 | Q. You agree cigarette smoking is the most important risk factor? | 17 | |
| 1 | | 1 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. The |
| 19 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. | 19 | doctor can answer whatever way he feels |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 20 | necessary but go ahead, Doctor. |
| 21 | BY MR. WARNER: | 21 | THE WITNESS: My situation is that |
| 22 | Q. I'm sorry, was that a yes or no, | 22 | the patient did his fever did go down. |
| 23 | Doctor? | 23 | However, he continued with the lingering cough |
| 24 | A. Yes. | 24 | and that to me means that he still has a |
| 25 | Q. I'm looking through my notes, | 25 | problem. |
| | Page 54 | | Page 56 |
| 1 | | | |
| 1 | Doctor. | 1 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 1 2 | Doctor. A. Um-hum. | 1 2 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| | | | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 2 | A. Um-hum. | 2 | BY MR. WARNER:Q. He still had a pneumonia problem?A. That's correct. |
| 2 3 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement | 2 3 4 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? |
| 2 3 4 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for | 2 3 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? |
| 2 3 4 5 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an | 2 3 4 5 6 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have that problem for the rest of his life? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have it for the rest of his life? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have it for the rest of his life? A. Oh, I'm not sure if he'd have it for about five years after he quit. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment ment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I think that it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have it for the rest of his life? A. Oh, I'm not sure if he'd have it for the rest of his life but he would have it for about five years after he quit. Q. This was longer than five years |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Um-hum. Q. Doctor, tell me whether you disagree or agree with the statement establishing the diagnosis of pneumonia for low-risk stable patients treated on an outpatient basis, repeated chest radiography is generally not indicated for patients who respond to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead, Doctor. THE WITNESS: What do you want me to say on that one? BY MR. WARNER: Q. Whether you agree or disagree that patients who respond to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment who had the diagnosis of pneumonia that repeated chest radiography is not indicated for patients who responded to antibiotic treatment? MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go ahead. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. He still had a pneumonia problem? A. That's correct. Q. What type of problem did he still have? A. Still had problem with his lung that's why the cough was bothering them. Q. Somebody smokes as long as you've indicated are they going to have a compromised lung? A. Oh, I think so. It depends on how much they smoked. Q. Well, the history that you gave, I'm not going to suggest anything, but you reviewed, Doctor? A. Um-hum. I think that he would have a little bit of a problem, yes. Q. All right. He's going to have it for the rest of his life? A. Oh, I'm not sure if he'd have it for about five years after he quit. |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

www.SetDepo.com

| r | - | I | |
|----|--|----------|---|
| | Page 57 | | Page 59 |
| .1 | right. So he should be okay from that | 1 | opinion occurred in May or in June, once the |
| 2 | standpoint. That makes you think though what | 2 | metastasis has occurred in this particular |
| 3 | he's got is something it's not normal you | 3 | patient more likely than not his chance of |
| 4 | see. That's the problem that you see that. | 4 | long-term survival is unlikely? |
| 5 | Q. Okay. When did the I asked | 5 | A. That's correct. |
| 6 | you in December of 1999 if the patient had | 6 | Q. So you're indicating that the |
| 7 | had metastasis and you said no, so when did | 7 | diagnosis for Mr. Gill would have to be made |
| 8 | this patient have metastasis, what month did | 8 | before more likely than not there was a |
| 9 | that occur realizing the diagnosis is made in | 9 | metastasis or spread of the cancer? |
| 10 | July and you're saying in end of February, | 10 | A. That's correct, unless it only |
| | March is when you would have made the | 11 | spread to a small part. Say, for example, |
| 12 | diagnosis of cancer. | 12 | it spread to the hila nodes, then you would |
| 12 | 0 | 12 | |
| | A. I think probably May or June. | | still have a chance to save him. |
| 14 | Q. May or June? | 14 | Q. Why does lung cancer have such a |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | poor survival rate? |
| 16 | Q. So in May or June we had a | 16 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go |
| 17 | metastasis of the cancer in Mr. Gill? | 17 | ahead doctor. |
| 18 | A. That's correct. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Because when it's |
| 19 | Q. Could it even have occurred in | 19 | seen so when you see it, it's usually too |
| 20 | July? | 20 | late to do anything you see. So because |
| 21 | A. Sure it could have. | 21 | what happens is that you don't see anything |
| 22 | Q. And could it Going the other | 22 | until you start getting symptoms and symptoms |
| 23 | wave of the spectrum, could it have occurred | 23 | don't start showing up until very late in the |
| 24 | even into April? | 24 | disease. |
| 25 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go | 25 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| | | | |
| | Page 58 | | Page 60 |
| 1 | ahead, Doctor. | 1 | Q. What was your You've treated |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. | 2 | many patients with lung cancer? |
| 3 | BY MR. WARNER: | 3 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | Q. So it's possible I guess in April? | 4 | Q. You've done thoracotomies on them? |
| 5 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection, | 5 | A. That's correct. |
| 6 | speculation. Go ahead, Doctor. | 6 | Q. And I assume that some of your |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. | 7 | patients in all probability despite your good |
| 8 | BY MR. WARNER: | 8 | medical care still died from lung cancer? |
| 9 | Q. Okay. What about even going into | 9 | A. Sure they do. |
| 10 | the next month in March? | 10 | Q. What percentage of your patients |
| 11 | A. I don't think so. | 11 | who you performed thoracotomies on survived, |
| 12 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. | 12 | who you performed thoracotomies on survived, were they in line with the national |
| 12 | BY MR. WARNER: | 12 | statistics or did you have better results or |
| 1 | | 15 14 | worse? |
| 14 | Q. I'm sorry, Doctor, did you answer? | | |
| 15 | A. Yes, I did. | 15 | A. About the same results. |
| 16 | Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your | 16 | Q. You had about the same results? |
| 17 | answer. What was your answer? | 17 | A. Yes, I think so. |
| 18 | A. I don't think so. | 18 | Q. So in terms of one-year survival |
| 19 | Q. But apparently it's possible? | 19 | rate, you had approximately, what, 40 percent |
| 20 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. | 20 | survive? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Anything is possible. | 21 | A. About 52 percent. |
| 22 | BY MR. WARNER: | 22 | Q. 52 percent? |
| 23 | Q. Okay. Doctor, if realizing the | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 1 | | | |
| 24 | diagnosis is in July and you said that the | 24 | Q. And in a five-year survival rate |
| | diagnosis is in July and you said that the metastasis more likely than not in your | 24 25 | Q. And in a five-year survival rate was it consistent with national standards of |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

| | Dame (1 | | Dama (2 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | Page 61 Page 61 | 1 | Page 63 question? |
| 2 | A. No, it was higher than that. | 2 | MR. WARNER: Could we have the |
| 3 | Q. Higher than that. What percentage | 3 | court reporter read it back? |
| 4 | of your patient | 4 | (Question read.) |
| 5 | A. I'd say 35. | 5 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| ł | | 6 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 6 7 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Same basis I stated before. | 7 | |
| | THE WITNESS: 35 to 40 I guess. | 8 | Q. Okay. |
| 8 | 9 | | MR. MISHKIND: Again, show an |
| 9 | BY MR. WARNER: | 9 | objection. That includes all comers not just |
| 10 | Q. All right. Would you track them | 10 | the staging. |
| 11 | longer than five years Strike that. Is | 11 | THE WITNESS: That's true. |
| 12 | lung cancer a patient considered cured | 12 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 13 | after five years or with lung cancer you | 13 | Q. Doctor, when did you when were |
| 14 | can't say that? | 14 | these x-rays thrown out? |
| 15 | A. If they don't have any evidence of | 15 | A. I think they were thrown out last |
| 16 | spread after five years, you can say they're | 16 | year. |
| 17 | cured. | 17 | Q. Can you give me a month or time |
| 18 | Q. Okay. So you said you had a | 18 | frame? |
| 19 | better result than the national standards of | 19 | A. Oh, right after I quit practice. |
| 20 | your doing you had a 35 to 40 percent | 20 | Q. So that was in January of 2002? |
| 21 | survival rate after five years? | 21 | A. I meant June of 2002. |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | Q. I'm sorry, I misunderstood you. |
| 23 | Q. All right. So if this patient had | 23 | MR. MISHKIND: Rob, for the record |
| 24 | come to you and just doing with your own | 24 | his report was written September 2002 and I |
| 25 | statistics, more likely than not Mr. Gill | 25 | don't know whether that helps in terms of |
| | Page 62 | | Page 64 |
| 1 | would not have survived five years? | 1 | when the x-rays were thrown out because |
| 2 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. You're | 2 | timing of his report indicate he reviewed |
| 3 | misstating the facts. It depends upon the | 3 | them. |
| 4 | stage it's in. The 35 to 40 percentage it | 4 | MR. WARNER: Right. He said he |
| 5 | all covers. Rob, you're misstating the facts | 5 | got them, reviewed them, and threw them out. |
| 6 | intentionally and the record should reflect | 6 | I understand. |
| 7 | that, but you can answer the question. | 7 | BY MR. WARNER: |
| 8 | MR. WARNER: I hope you're just as | 8 | Q. Doctor, I've heard Mr. Mishkind and |
| 9 | generous as I am in allowing you to have a | 9 | appreciate it but you stand by your testimony |
| 10 | speaking objection and I hope you don't | 10 | that when you threw them out you said it was |
| 11 | interfere tomorrow and you give me the same | 11 | June of 2002? |
| | | 12 | A. Well, wouldn't have been that time. |
| 12 | courtesv. | 1 1 2 2 2 | The TTUER TYURIUM CHARTE DUCH LIME COME |
| 12 13 | courtesy. BY MR. WARNER: | ŧ | |
| 13 | BY MR. WARNER: | 13 | It was after I quit. |
| 13 14 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the | 13 14 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? |
| 13 14 15 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. | 13 14 15 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. |
| 13 14 15 16 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for | 13 14 15 16 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and | 13 14 15 16 17 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies | 13 14 15 16 17 18 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't people in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of 2002 you think you quit? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't people in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. You're misstating the facts of the record in | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of 2002 you think you quit? A. Yes, it was June or July. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't people in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. You're misstating the facts of the record in terms of statistics and I want the record to | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of 2002 you think you quit? A. Yes, it was June or July. Q. Those other comments then are |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't people in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. You're misstating the facts of the record in terms of statistics and I want the record to reflect that. Doctor, go ahead and answer | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of 2002 you think you quit? A. Yes, it was June or July. Q. Those other comments then are mistaken, so it's July of 2002? |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MR. WARNER: Q. Go head, Doctor, answer the question. MR. MISHKIND: Let me state for the record I sat through Levitan's and Rossman's deposition and the same courtesies applied during my deposition so don't people in glass houses shouldn't throw stones. You're misstating the facts of the record in terms of statistics and I want the record to | 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | It was after I quit. Q. After you quit? A. Yes, practice. Q. Maybe I When did you quit again? I keep saying January 2002. A. July of 2002. Q. Now you're telling me it's July of 2002 you think you quit? A. Yes, it was June or July. Q. Those other comments then are |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

www.SetDepo.com

······

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

| | Page 65 | | Page 67 |
|----------|--|-----|---|
| 1 | BY MR. WARNER: | 1 | DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBITS |
| 2 | Q. Doctor, I don't want to be | 2 | EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION |
| 3 | misunderstood. When did you quit practicing | 3 | 1 10/15/02 Letter |
| 4 | medicine? | 4 | 2 9/18/01 Letter |
| 5 | A. July of 2002. | 5 | |
| 6 | Q. Okay. And, Doctor, throughout this | 6 | |
| 7 | deposition if you need to correct something, | 7 | |
| 8 | please do. | 8 | |
| 9 | A. Okay. | 9 | |
| 10 | Q. So at the time you wrote your | 10 | |
| 11 | report, you were already retired? | 11 | |
| 12 | A. That's correct. | 12 | |
| 13 | Q. Okay. I don't have any other | 13 | |
| 14 | questions at this time except I do have one | 14 | |
| 15 | more follow-up. Your license has never been | 15 | |
| 16 | suspended? | 16 | |
| 17 | A. No. | 17 | |
| 18 | Q. Never been revoked? | 18 | |
| 19 | A. No. | 19 | |
| 20 | Q. All right. Doctor, have you | 20 | |
| 21 | outlined all your criticisms of Dr. Mansnerus? | 21 | |
| 22 | MR. MISHKIND: Objection. Go | 22 | |
| 23 | ahead, Doctor. | 23 | |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. | 24 | |
| 25 | MR. WARNER: All right. Doctor, I | 25 | |
| | | | |
| 1 | Page 66 | 1 | Page 68 STATE OF WISCONSIN) |
| | appreciate it. I think we're done. MR. MISHKIND: For the record | 1 2 | MILWAUKEE COUNTY) SS: |
| 2 | | 3 | I, CORINNE M. DAVIS, Notary Public |
| 3 | just, Court Reporter, if you could reflect no waiver of signature so that when the | 4 | in and for the State of Wisconsin, do hereby |
| 4 5 | deposition is transcribed, number one, just | 5 | certify that the deposition of JAMES BASS, |
| | reflect that by agreement doctor could have | 6 | JR., M.D. was taken before me at 4045 Newman |
| 6 7 | 28 days which Mr. Warner and I have agreed | 7 | Road, Racine, Wisconsin, on the 27th day of |
| 8 | to with regard to all of our experts and | 8 | October, 2003, commencing at 3:01 o'clock in |
| 9 | that the doctor will read the deposition | 9 | the afternoon. |
| 10 | rather than waiving signature. | 10 | That it was taken at the instance |
| 11 | MR. WARNER: Thank you. | 11 | of the Defendants, upon verbal interrogatories. |
| 12 | (At 4:15 p.m. the deposition | 12 | That said deposition was taken to |
| 13 | ended.) | 13 | be used in an action now pending in the |
| 14 | ondou.j | 14 | Circuit Court of Common Pleas of Cuyahoga |
| 15 | | 15 | County, Ohio, in which WILLIAM J. GILL, III, |
| 16 | • | 16 | is the Plaintiff and ROGER A. MANSNERUS, |
| 17 | | 17 | M.D., et al., are the Defendants. |
| 18 | • | 18 | APPEARANCES |
| 19 | | 19 | BECKER & MISHKIND, The Skylight |
| 20 | • | 20 | Office Tower, Suite 660, Cleveland, Ohio |
| 21 | • | 21 | 44113, by MR. HOWARD D. MISHKIND appeared |
| 22 | • | 22 | telephonically on behalf of the Plaintiff. |
| 22 | | 23 | REMINGER & REMINGER, 1400 Midland |
| 4.2 | • | 24 | Building, 101 Prospect Avenue, West, Cleveland, |
| 24 | | | |
| 24 25 | | 25 | Ohio 44115-1093, by MR. ROBERT WARNER appeared |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. - October 27, 2003

| | ······································ | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| | Page 69 | | Page 71 |
| 1 | telephonically on behalf of the Defendants. | 1 | CERTIFICATE |
| | | | STATE OF : |
| 2 | That said deponent, before | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | examination, was sworn to testify the truth, | 3 | COUNTY/CITY OF : |
| 4 | the whole truth, and nothing but the truth | 4 | Before me, this day, personally |
| 5 | relative to said cause. | 5 | appeared, James Bass, Jr., M.D., who, being |
| 6 | That the foregoing is a full, | 6 | duly sworn, states that the foregoing |
| 7 | true, and correct record of all the | 7 | transcript of his/her Deposition, taken in |
| 8 | proceedings had in the matter of the taking | 8 | the matter, on the date, and at the time and |
| 9 | of said deposition, as reflected by my | 9 | place set out on the title page hereof, |
| | | | |
| 10 | original machine shorthand notes taken at said | 10 | constitutes a true and accurate transcript of |
| 11 | time and place. | 11 | said deposition. |
| 12 | | 12 | |
| 13 | Notary Public in and for | 13 | James Bass, Jr., M.D. |
| 14 | the State of Wisconsin | 14 | |
| 15 | Dated this 3rd day of November, | 15 | SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this |
| 16 | 2003, | 16 | day of , 2003 in the |
| 17 | Milwaukee, Wisconsin. | 17 | jurisdiction aforesaid. |
| 18 | | 18 | Juriscicium atoresaid. |
| | My commission expires April 4, | | |
| 19 | 2004. | 19 | My Commission Expires Notary Public |
| 20 | | 20 | |
| 21 | | 21 | , |
| 22 | | 22 | |
| 23 | | 23 | |
| 24 | | 24 | |
| 25 | | 25 | |
| 20 | • | <i></i> | • |
| | | | |
| | т | | |
| 1 | Page 70 | -4 | Page 72 |
| 1 | CAPTION | 1 | Page 72 DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET |
| 2 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., | 2 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET |
| | CAPTION | | |
| 2 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and | 2 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET |
| 2 3 4 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title | 2 3 4 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 |
| 2 3 4 5 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. | 2 3 4 5 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition | 2 3 4 5 6 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Page No. Line No. Change to: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$ | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: |
| $\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24 \end{array}$ | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \\ 24 \end{array}$ | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Page No. Line No. Change to: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | CAPTION The Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D., taken in the matter, on the date, and at the time and place set out on the title page hereof. It was requested that the deposition be taken by the reporter and that same be reduced to typewritten form. It was agreed by and between counsel and the parties that the Deponent will read | $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 18 \\ 19 \\ 20 \\ 21 \\ 22 \\ 23 \end{array}$ | DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET RE: SetDepo, Inc. File No. 2242 Case Caption: William J. Gill, III vs. Roger A. Mansnerus, M.D., et al. Deponent: James Bass, Jr., M.D. Deposition Date: October 27, 2003 To the Reporter: I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me. I request that the following changes be entered upon the record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and authorize you to attach both to the original transcript. Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: |



Since 1991

Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!

www.SetDepo.com

| Page 73 1 Reason for change: 2 Page No. Line No. Change to: 3 . 4 Reason for change: 5 Page No. Line No. Change to: 6 . 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 . 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
|--|--|
| Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: Reason for change: Reason for change: Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. . | |
| Reason for change: Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: Page No. Line No. Change to: Reason for change: Reason for change: Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. . | |
| 4 Reason for change: 5 Page No. Line No. Change to: 6 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 5 Page No. Line No. Change to: 6 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 6 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 10 Reason for change: 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D. 12 . | |
| 11 Deposition of James Bass, Jr., M.D.12 . | |
| 12 . | |
| | |
| 13 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| 13 Page No. Line No. Change to:14 | |
| 15 Reason for change: | |
| 16 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| 17 | |
| 18 Reason for change: | |
| 19 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| 20 | |
| 21 Reason for change:22 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| 23 Page No. Line No. Change to. | |
| 24 Reason for change: | |
| 25 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| | |
| Page 74 | |
| | |
| 2 Reason for change: | |
| 3 Page No. Line No. Change to: | |
| 4 | |
| | |
| 5 Reason for change: | |
| 6. | |
| 7 . | |
| | |
| 8 SIGNATURE:DATE: | |
| 9 James Bass, Jr., M.D | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |



Nationwide Scheduling - One Call Does It All!